



# FLORE

# Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

# On the influence of virtual camber effect on airfoil polars for use in simulations of Darrieus wind turbines

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

On the influence of virtual camber effect on airfoil polars for use in simulations of Darrieus wind turbines / Bianchini, Alessandro; Balduzzi, Francesco; Rainbird, John M.; Peiró, Joaquim; Graham, J. Michael R.; Ferrara, Giovanni; Ferrari, Lorenzo. - In: ENERGY CONVERSION AND MANAGEMENT. - ISSN 0196-8904. -ELETTRONICO. - 106:(2015), pp. 373-384. [10.1016/j.enconman.2015.09.053]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1008155 since: 2021-03-30T14:36:59Z

Published version: DOI: 10.1016/j.enconman.2015.09.053

*Terms of use:* Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

# On the Influence of Virtual Camber Effect on Airfoil Polars for Use in Simulations of Darrieus Wind Turbines

3 4	Alessandro Bianchini <sup>1a</sup> , Francesco Balduzzi <sup>1b</sup> , John M. Rainbird <sup>2c*</sup> , Joaquim Peiro <sup>2d</sup> , J. Michael R. Graham <sup>2e</sup> , Giovanni Ferrara <sup>1f</sup> , Lorenzo Ferrari <sup>3g</sup>
5	<sup>1)</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Florence
6	Via di Santa Marta 3, 50139, Florence, Italy
7	Phone +39 055 275 8773
8	
9	<sup>2)</sup> Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Imperial College
10	South Kensington Campus, SW7 2AZ, London, UK
11	* Phone +44 (0)20 7594 5074
12	
13	<sup>3)</sup> CNR-ICCOM, National Research Council of Italy
14	Via Madonna del Piano 10, 50019, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy
15	Phone +39 055 5225 218 - Fax +39 055 5225 203
16	

## 17 Abstract

Darrieus vertical-axis wind turbines are experiencing renewed interest from researchers and manufacturers, though their efficiencies still lag those of horizontal-axis wind turbines. A better understanding of their aerodynamics is required to improve on designs, for example through the development of more accurate low-order (e.g. blade element momentum) models. Many of these models neglect the impact of the curved paths that are followed by blades on their performance. It has been theorized that the curved streamlines of the flow impart a virtual camber and incidence on them, giving a performance analogous to a cambered blade in a rectilinear flow.

To test the extent of this effect, wind tunnel experiments have been conducted in a rectilinear flow to obtain lift and drag for three airfoils: a NACA 0018 and two conformal transforms of the profile. The transformed airfoils exhibit the virtual camber that the theory predicts is imparted to a NACA 0018 when used in a Darrieus turbine with blade chord-to-turbine radius ratios, c/R, of 0.114 and 0.25. A parallel computational fluid dynamics campaign has been conducted to study the

b) balduzzi@vega.de.unifi.it d) j.peiro@imperial.ac.uk f) giovanni.ferrara@unifi.it

g) lorenzo.ferrari@iccom.cnr.it (\*=corresponding author)

aerodynamic behavior of the same blades in curvilinear flow in Darrieus-like motion with c/R =0.114 and 0.25, at tip-speed ratios of 2.1 and 3.1, using novel techniques to obtain blade effective angles of attack. The analysis confirms that the theory holds, with the wind tunnel results for the NACA 0018 being analogous to numerical results for the relevant cambered airfoils.

In addition, turbine performance is calculated using computational fluid dynamics and a blade element momentum code, for each of the blades in turn. The computational fluid dynamics results for the NACA 0018 agree closely to blade element momentum results for the equivalent cambered airfoil where c/R = 0.25, for both turbine power and blade tangential forces. Agreement between the two methods using geometrically identical blades is poor at both the blade and turbine level for c/R= 0.25.

40 It is concluded that when modeling a Darrieus rotor using blade element momentum methods, 41 applying experimental data for the profile used in the turbine will yield inaccurate results if the c/R42 ratio is high, in such cases it is necessary to select a profile based on the virtual shape of the blades.

43

Keywords: Darrieus, vertical axis wind turbine, flow curvature, virtual camber, experiments, CFD,
blockage tolerant wind tunnel

# 46 **1. Introduction**

47 Most installed wind energy capacity is provided by large wind farms comprised of horizontal 48 axis wind turbines (HAWTs) [1]. Turbines are becoming ever more efficient and their diameters 49 ever bigger. While these large installations are a valuable addition to grid capacity, such designs are 50 not suitable for building integration, and they do not benefit people and communities without a grid 51 connection.

Installed capacity comprised of smaller turbines, both on- and off-grid, is growing in the U.S. [2] with similar trends notable in other developed countries. Research into novel small designs is also on the increase. Building integration forms a large part of this, with studies looking at the challenges presented by the pre-existing built environment [3,4] or aiming to design new buildings
that produce favorable conditions for wind energy production [5].

Vertical axis wind turbines (VAWTs) have been identified as suitable for small-scale installations due to their mechanical simplicity and ease of installation and maintenance afforded by the positioning of generation equipment at ground level [6]. Recent studies have focused on the integration of VAWTs into the built environment, e.g. in rooftop installations [7] or in skewed flows caused by urban infrastructure [8,9], and on improvement of VAWT energy yields [10], which still lag conventional HAWTs.

63 VAWTs are also suited to urban installations due to their good handling of turbulent and 64 unstructured flows, with low noise emissions and high reliability [11]. Darrieus rotors are the most 65 popular VAWT design used, as they are the only VAWT able to reach power coefficients 66 comparable to HAWTs [12].

67 To improve efficiencies further, a more in depth understanding of the physical phenomena that govern Darrieus turbine behavior is needed. For example, both dynamic stall [12] and flow 68 69 curvature effects [13] affect turbine performance, but are not completely understood. Approximate 70 corrections, or no correction at all, are applied for them when using low-order models (e.g. blade-71 element momentum, BEM models). Low-order models still represent an industry standard for the 72 analysis of wind turbines. Whether used as a first step in the design process, or in coupled codes for 73 the analysis of aero and other dynamics simultaneously [14], they are used ahead of more advanced analyses due to their robustness and speed. Reasonably accurate results have been produced for 74 75 time-dependent studies on acceleration [15] and for power and operating range calculations [16].

Studies have demonstrated that so-called "flow curvature effects" have a large impact on small Darrieus turbine performance. These effects, caused by the curved paths that VAWT blades follow in operation, were first proposed by Migliore [17]. They manifest in a "virtual" blade camber and incidence, giving blade performance characteristics analogous to those of a cambered blade at incidence in a rectilinear flow. Migliore never went beyond his theoretical approach to verify his proposals experimentally. The current authors have conducted several numerical and experimental
studies in an attempt to verify Migliore's theory.

Initial research compared BEM output, using polars for symmetrical airfoils and cambered airfoils, to experimental turbine data [18]. The cambered airfoil data was taken from literature and gives only an approximate representation of virtual camber effects. Later, a technique was developed to find the effective incidence of VAWT blades in computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations [13]. This allowed plots of airfoil lift and drag against incidence to be processed from the turbine CFD data. CFD results for a turbine with virtually cambered blades were compared to experimental results for a symmetrical NACA 0018 from literature.

90 This paper documents a new approach to the problem. Rather than relying on existing blade polars for symmetrical airfoils, or cambered profiles that represent, at best, an approximation to 91 92 virtual camber, new wind tunnel experiments have been conducted. Lift and drag forces have been 93 obtained for three airfoils: a NACA 0018 and two modified profiles based on the NACA 0018. The modified profiles have been conformally transformed to fit their camber lines to the arc of a circle, 94 95 such that the ratio of the airfoil chord to the circle's radius, c/R, is 0.114 or 0.25. See Fig. 3 for the three profiles. Wind tunnel testing has used a new, blockage tolerant test section specifically 96 97 developed for VAWT blade testing [19].

The NACA 0018 was chosen as it is commonly used in VAWT research, while the c/R ratios of the transformed airfoils were chosen for comparability to those used in Migliore's original paper of 0.114 and 0.26 [17]. The airfoil with c/R of 0.114 has a maximum camber of 1.42% at 50% of chord, while the c/R of 0.25 has 3.11% maximum camber, again at 50% of chord.

Based on Migliore's proposal, in the flow of the wind tunnel the transformed airfoils should perform as the unmodified NACA 0018 would in VAWTs with similar *c/R* ratios and conversely the NACA 0018's tunnel results should be similar to those of the transformed airfoils when used in the VAWTs. Obtaining force data from a rotating VAWT blade would be challenging experimentally. Instead, CFD simulations have been conducted at several tip-speed ratios, TSRs. 108 into plots of lift and drag against effective incidence using the aforementioned technique [13]. Preliminary findings, comparing data obtained in a conventional wind tunnel (for just the 109 110 NACA 0018 and the c/R of 0.25 transform) to CFD results (for turbines with c/R of 0.25), have been published previously [20] with limited consideration of BEM modeling. This paper extends 111 112 the work to two transformed airfoil and turbine c/R ratios, using new wind tunnel results from the 113 blockage tolerant test section and higher quality CFD results. It also provides an analysis of what 114 these findings mean for BEM modeling of VAWTs, including recommendations of how best to 115 account for the virtual camber effect in such codes.

Results for TSRs of 2.1 and 3.1 are presented in this paper. Forces calculated have been processed

107

The experiments and simulations documented in this paper were conducted at a Reynolds number of 300,000. This is a typical operating blade Reynolds number for small-scale, commercially available VAWTs. For example, the Urban Green Energy Visionair 3 turbine [21], has a 1.8 m diameter and 0.38 m blade chord. In normal operating conditions, at a TSR of 3 in a wind speed of 6 m/s, it will experience blade Reynolds numbers of 300,000 – 600,000.

121 In the range of Reynolds numbers a VAWT blade could be expected to encounter (less than  $3 \times$ 122 10<sup>6</sup> for even the largest VAWTs in high winds), other than at very low Reynolds numbers (of less 123 than 80,000), the addition of camber to a profile has the same effects on airfoil performance. These 124 can be seen in Fig. 8, namely a shift in the lift/incidence curve to higher lifts, an increase in positive stall angle (and the maximum lift generated at this point) and a corresponding decrease in negative 125 stall angle (and the minimum lift generated at this point). See for example the low Reynolds number 126 work of Selig [22] and Althaus [23]. This has been confirmed in the range 80,000 – 300,000 for the 127 profiles used in this study in wind tunnel tests conducted by the current authors that are yet to be 128 129 published. Thus, testing the validity of Migliore's theory at one Reynolds number in this range 130 gives confidence in its applicability to all relevant Reynolds numbers.

131 The c/R ratio used here, after Migliore [17], is a measure of virtual camber added to a blade 132 undergoing VAWT motion. It is subtly different to the more common turbine solidity ratio, Nc/R, where *N* is the number of blades on the rotor, since it is independent of blade number. This paper shows that while virtual camber does not greatly impact turbines with a c/R of 0.114, it does those with a c/R of 0.25, proving similar for higher c/R turbines. As a point of reference, the Visionair 3 turbine has a c/R of around 0.4, the highest of the Urban Green Energy range.

# 137 **2. Methods: experiments**

Conventional wind tunnels do not provide an accurate reproduction of unconstrained steady 138 flow, since they are conducted using test sections with solid walls which affect the flow around a 139 140 model under test. The walls constrain any streamline curvature induced by the model. Further, model and walls together block the flow through the tunnel, causing it to speed up. Fig. 1 shows 141 streamline development around an airfoil in a wind tunnel and in free air. The figure was prepared 142 143 using a panel method with airfoil panels represented by distributed vortices and sources and tunnel wall panels represented by point vortices. The streamlines in both cases are released from the same 144 145 points. Those constrained by the tunnel show less curvature than the free air equivalents, and are 146 forced closer together by the blockage of the airfoil and tunnel walls.

147



148



Figure 1 – Effects of wind tunnel walls on streamlines around an airfoil.

#### 150 **2.1 Blockage reduction**

Blockage corrections are used to compensate for the effect of blockage and streamline curvature. Derived using potential flow theory for streamline constraints and through potential flow and empirical methods for blockage, they are applicable only to attached flows, though with caution they can be applied to flows with "some degree of separation... with caution" [24].

Though most testing for this study has been conducted at incidences between the stall angles of 155 the airfoils where corrections perform well, it has extended beyond stall. Tunnel constraints can 156 157 affect stall itself, with the blockage-accelerated flow resulting in a shift of the stall angle [25]. Blockage corrections cannot account for this. Even small differences in airfoil polars have a large 158 impact on VAWT analyses prepared using them [26], so better ways of limiting the effects of 159 160 blockage are needed for VAWT blade testing. Blockage tolerant wind tunnels offer an alternative means of reducing blockage that is not reliant on corrections. Since corrections for solid-walled and 161 162 open jet wind tunnels are of opposite signs [24] one would expect that free-air conditions could be 163 approximated using semi-permeable walls.

#### 164

#### 2.2 The Imperial College Parkinson blockage tolerant tunnel

165 Parkinson's tunnel design [27] has been used in this study, whose configuration is depicted in Fig. 2. Slotted walls comprised of a regular array of evenly spaced airfoils perpendicular to the flow 166 allow flow to exit and re-enter the main channel, the shape of the array components avoiding 167 168 separation around them. The slatted wall regions are enclosed by plenum chambers of depth p and length L to maintain mass conservation in the flow along the tunnel. An appropriate open area ratio 169 (OAR, a measure of open to slatted wall areas, defined as g/s) must be settled on through 170 171 experiments on models of different sizes but with like shapes. The OAR that gives the most similar results for the models is that which provides the closest approximation of unconstrained steady 172 flow, since in free-air results would be identical. 173



176

Figure 2 – Schematic diagram of the Parkinson blockage-tolerant wind tunnel.

177

An OAR of 71% was found to work best for airfoils tested in the Imperial College Parkinson tunnel. The tunnel has a square cross-section with sides of 915mm, a plenum length of 2178mm and depth of 350mm. Slat airfoils have NACA 0015 profiles and 90mm chords.

For details on the design and build of this tunnel, experimental set up, and the experiments performed to calibrate the OAR, see [19]. For convenience, a summary of the experimental set up and methods is given below. The tunnel was found to reduce the effects of blockage better than corrections applied to results taken in a solid-walled tunnel [19]. Data from the tunnel requires no additional processing to achieve low blockage results.

#### 186 **2.3 Airfoils**

The three airfoils (the NACA 0018 and transformed airfoils c/R = 0.114 and 0.25, see Fig. 3 for the profiles used) all span the width of the tunnel of 915mm and have 183mm chords to give a chord-to-tunnel height ratio, c/H, of 0.2. They were 3D-printed using nylon laser sintering, in four span-wise parts due to print chamber limitations. 191 The printed parts were assembled around two 10mm thick 915mm steel rods and were finished 192 with filler and paints to achieve an accurate profile, checking against laser-cut female profile 193 templates produced to an accuracy of  $< 100 \mu$ m. All of the airfoils had their trailing edges blunted 194 to a radius of 0.75 mm to ease the 3D printing process. Note that chord measurements are to this 195 blunted trailing edge.

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203



to a bearing and a stepper motor to control incidence. The transducers rotate with the airfoil and

205 measure normal and tangential forces along with moment about the half chord.

#### 206 **2.5 Data acquisition and experiment control**

Tunnel velocity is measured across the contraction with a manometer and controlled, via outputs on a National Instruments USB-6229 data acquisition board, using a PC running a proportionalintegral-derivative controller (PID). The incidence stepper motor is also controlled through the board, with incidence checks taken using an optical encoder to ensure the stepper motor does not slip under load. Outputs from the force transducers are digitized using a pair of NI PCI-6220 data acquisition boards, with simultaneous acquisition from both. These are then processed into lift, drag and moment about the quarter-chord.

The process is fully automated and run from a Matlab script. Required incidences and Reynolds number are input, the apparatus does the rest, rotating to each incidence in turn, checking the speed of the tunnel with the PID, allowing settling time, then recording forces and flow conditions.

#### 217 **2.6 Accuracy of measurements**

The force transducers used are factory rated to a 95% confidence level to within 1% for force measurements and 1.5% for torque measurements, with no significant deterioration in performance noted.

A small amount of play remains in the system when the stepper motor is holding a steady incidence. This is around  $\pm 0.25^{\circ}$  when forced by hand, though no significant incidence play was noted during experiments over the range of incidences presented in this paper.

The largest source of error results from creep in the force transducers. The number of readings taken between re-zeroing of the transducers has been limited to reduce the impact of this. Offsets are taken at the beginning and end of each run of the experiment, with the differences between the two offsets time apportioned across readings to give a local zero from which forces are calculated. The maximum cumulative error in lift or drag readings is estimated at 3%. No differences were noted between repeated runs of the experiments greater than this.

# **3. Methods: CFD simulations**

231 CFD simulations were used to investigate the aerodynamic behavior of the selected airfoils 232 when rotating in a Darrieus turbine. Four single-bladed rotors were considered, the two c/R ratios of 233 0.114 and 0.25, fitted with both the NACA 0018 and the relevant transformed airfoil.

#### **3.1 Numerical settings and test plan**

The commercial code ANSYS Fluent [28] was used to solve the time-dependent unsteady Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (U-RANS) equations in their two-dimensional form. Based on previous studies using the same commercial software [29], the Coupled algorithm was employed to handle the pressure-velocity coupling. It was proved that this algorithm ensured more stable results when adopting different meshes, timesteps, or rotating speeds. The second order upwind scheme was used for spatial discretization of the whole set of RANS and turbulence equations, as well as the bounded second order for time differencing, to obtain good resolution.

Air was modeled as an ideal compressible gas with standard ambient conditions, i.e. a pressure of  $1.01 \times 10^5$  Pa and a temperature of 300 K. The authors have recently presented an assessment of the main settings that have been applied to the CFD simulations [29], which have also been validated against experimental data obtaining very good agreement. The results of the sensitivity analyses on the main simulation parameters are here reported.

Exploiting the sliding-mesh model of the solver, the simulation domain was divided into two subdomains in order to allow the rotation of the turbine, as proposed by Maître et al. [30] and Raciti Castelli et al. [31]. Fig. 4 shows a circular zone containing the turbine, with a diameter (2D) twice that the turbine itself (D). R represents the turbine radius. The circular zone rotates with the angular velocity of the rotor while a rectangular fixed outer zone determines the overall domain extent.



Figure 4 – Simulation domain.



254

255

The use of a sliding interface is of particular interest for unsteady simulations of rotating machines, thanks to the possibility of differentiating the discretization requirements between the two subdomains. The rotating region around the turbine has strict requirements in terms of spatial discretization, in order to correctly describe the flow gradients in the proximity of the blades. The outer region, conversely, often does not need extremely fine discretizations, allowing one to enlarge its overall dimensions to avoid undesired disturbances induced by the boundary conditions.

262 Focusing on this latter aspect, all the boundary distances of Fig. 4, selected after the sensitivity studies reported in [29], are given as a function of the rotor diameter. The velocity is imposed at the 263 264 inlet section, which is placed 40 rotor diameters upwind of the rotating axis. The ambient pressure condition is imposed at the outlet boundary, located 100 rotor diameters downwind, while a 265 symmetrical condition is defined for the lateral boundaries at a distance of 30 rotor diameters. The 266 267 symmetry condition for lateral boundaries is the most common solution for this type of simulation (e.g. [32]). An alternative option could be to represent the lateral boundaries with "opening-type" 268 conditions (i.e. able to support simultaneous inflow and outflow over a single region), which could 269

enable a reduction of domain width. Due to possible instabilities generated by this type of settingthe conservative choice of symmetry conditions was maintained here.

Table 1 reports the main geometrical features of the four simulated models. The airfoil chord was kept constant in all the simulations, while the revolution radius was changed to achieve the two desired chord-to-radius ratios.

275

276

#### Table 1 – Test cases.

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4
c/R	0.114		0.	25
airfoil	NACA0018	Transformed $(c/R=0.114)$	NACA0018	Transformed ( <i>c</i> / <i>R</i> =0.25)
c [m]	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
<b>R</b> [m]	1.75	1.75	0.8	0.8
U [m/s]	8	8	8	8

277

To correctly describe the flow around each airfoil, six different levels of refinement of the mesh and three angular time-steps were considered for both c/R ratios, in order to identify the required number of nodes in the mesh surrounding the airfoil and the total number of mesh elements of the computational grid. The mesh settings were defined accordingly to the results of the grid-independency analysis reported in [29], since they were deemed to guarantee the same level of accuracy.

An unstructured mesh composed by triangular elements was used for the discretization of the core flow region, except for the boundary layer region, where a structured O-grid was generated with a row of 50 inflated layers to include the entire boundary layer height. The requirement in terms of near wall refinement is very strict: the near-wall cell size is determined by imposing the condition that its first nodal point has a distance from the wall that does not exceed the limit required by a  $\omega$ -based turbulence model for a proper resolution of the boundary layer. This was achieved by ensuring that the values of the dimensionless wall distance ( $y^+$ ) during the rotor revolution did not exceed the limit of 1, necessary to ensure that the first computational nodefalls in the linear region of the boundary layer.

The expansion ratio for the growth of elements starting from the surface was kept below 1.1 293 to achieve good mesh quality in proximity of the airfoil. It was proven that a grid-independent 294 behavior can be obtained by using a discretization of the airfoil surface with approximately 600 295 nodes. The mesh size of the rotating region, for the single-bladed configuration, results in 296 approximately  $1.4 \times 10^5$  elements, while the stationary region is discretized with  $2.0 \times 10^5$ 297 elements. Figs 5-7 show some details of the grids. The rotating domain, containing the rotating 298 blade, is characterized by a progressive coarsening of the elements with the distance from the 299 300 blade. The mesh is refined in the region surrounding the blade due to the higher complexity of the flow field. As suggested by [31], a control circle (Fig. 6), with a diameter equal to twice the 301 302 airfoil's chord, was defined around the blade in order to have a better capability to control the 303 elements size in the region closer to the blade itself. The use of quadrilateral elements in the near-wall region is clearly distinguishable in Fig. 7 for the blade leading edge. The chosen mesh 304 305 topology requires a grid-clustering in order to have a smaller spacing between the nodes near both the leading and trailing edges, being the regions experiencing the highest gradients. 306



308

309 Figure 5 – Computational grid for the rotating domain (e.g. transformed airfoil with c/R=0.25).



range between 0.135° and 0.42° were used, corresponding to the cases with the lowest and the
 highest TSR respectively.

323 As suggested by many authors (e.g. Howell et al. [33] or Rossetti et al. [34]), the global convergence of each simulation was monitored comparing the average value of the torque 324 coefficient (c<sub>T</sub>) over a complete revolution. After a specific sensitivity analysis [29], the selected 325 threshold for convergence was identified in a variation lower than the 0.1% of the torque 326 327 coefficient value between two subsequent revolutions. This value was by far lower than the limit commonly adopted in literature, i.e. 1%. The required number of revolutions is not a priori 328 known, being dependent on the rotating speed of the turbine: in the present analyses, it ranged 329 330 between 30 and 50 revolutions.

Concerning the turbulence closure problem, Balduzzi et al. [35], showed the effectiveness of Menter's shear stress transport (SST) model in performance simulations involving unsteady aerodynamics for VAWTs, as also confirmed by wide use in literature.

In the present study attention has been focused on a more detailed examination of the 334 aerodynamic behavior of a single airfoil in motion by analyzing equivalent static pressure 335 coefficients on the blade profiles. Since the prediction of the boundary layer evolution becomes a 336 337 critical issue and the blade Reynolds number for the considered cases cannot guarantee a fully 338 turbulent condition, the  $\gamma$ -Re $_{\theta}$  transition model (derived by Menter and Langtry from the SST model [36]) was implemented, despite its increased computational cost. Lanzafame et al. 339 340 recently showed good agreement between experimental data and numerical results obtained with 341 the transition turbulence model for two different types of H-Darrieus turbines [37].

The CFD methodology used in this study has been assessed and validated in the recent past through direct comparisons with experimental data. Simulations have been shown to accurately predict the experimental power curves of a full-scale rotor tested with variations of the pitch angle [13]. The methods also succeeded in correctly predicting blade torque profiles [29], as measured experimentally by Vittecoq and Laneville [38].

#### **3.2 Data analysis**

348 Once each simulation had reached full convergence, an additional revolution was simulated 349 acquiring the pressure distribution over the airfoils and the flow field in proximity of the blades 350 approximately every 2 degrees.

In order to reconstruct VAWT blade polars and evaluate the virtual camber effect, a robust procedure to extrapolate the incidence angle was needed. The concern of defining the angle of attack from CFD simulations of rotating blades has been addressed by wind turbine specialists in case of HAWTs [39]. More recently, a method for VAWTs was proposed by Balduzzi et al. [13] and then further improved by Bianchini et al. [20].

The method of Balduzzi et al. adapted the averaging technique of Hansen [40] for use in Darrieus turbines. In this method, the velocity triangles of the blades are reconstructed by evaluating the relative wind speed in a properly positioned area in front of the airfoil and applying an inverse BEM method to estimate the induction factor. In an inverse BEM method applied to VAWTs the effect of velocity reduction and distortion generated by the blade-flow interaction is globally modeled by a variation of the induction factor [13], with no information on the distortion of the absolute wind speed.

To overcome this intrinsic uncertainty, the novel approach developed by Bianchini et al. [20] was adopted, making use of the virtual camber concept, the main topic of the present study. The method, a four-step process, is briefly summarized here:

3661. Based on the chord-to-radius ratio of the rotor (c/R) and the tip-speed ratio (TSR), the virtual367airfoil due to flow-curvature effects is defined based on the conformal transformations of368Migliore et al. [17].

The pressure coefficient distributions over the virtual airfoil are calculated for a wide range
 of AoAs with fine intervals of 0.25° between each. This is performed in XFoil [41] using a
 Reynolds number compatible with that attended on the airfoil. Then, all the pressure
 coefficient distributions are normalized within -1 and +1 by scaling them by their maximum

and minimum values. This solution allows comparison between pressure distributions,
depending only on the incidence angle, with a negligible error on the exact relative speed,
which can be hard to define from CFD calculations [20].

- 3. The pressure coefficient distributions calculated from CFD are acquired from calculations at 377 different azimuthal positions and compared to those previously obtained for an airfoil with 378 horizontal chord [13]. They are again normalized within -1 and +1 by scaling them by their 379 maximum and minimum values.
- 4. For every azimuthal position, the pressure coefficient distribution from CFD is compared to 380 all those calculated for the airfoils. By doing so, the distribution that best fits that from CFD 381 382 can be highlighted. In particular, the position along the chord of the pressure peak is mainly used to define the incidence as the influence of flow speed has been discarded by 383 normalizing the distributions. This comparison directly provides the estimation of the 384 385 incidence on the airfoil. Moreover, by normalizing the pressure profile the relative speed can be evaluated a posteriori. This velocity value appeared fully compatible with that predicted 386 based on the "reference zone estimation" of Ref. [13]. 387
- As discussed in literature [39], the validity of this approach unfortunately ceases as soon as the flow is separated around the turbine blades. In these conditions, no reliable blade pressure distribution can be obtained with XFoil and therefore no comparison can be made from CFD to XFoil to define the flow incidence on the airfoil.
- **4. Results**
- **4.1 Wind tunnel experiments**

Lift and drag coefficients for the three airfoils, tested at a Reynolds number of 300,000, are presented in Figs 8 and 9 respectively. The plots show coefficients for both increasing and decreasing incidence in the vicinity of stall. Results for the earlier NACA 0018 study of Timmer [42], taken at the same Reynolds number, are also included. There is excellent agreement
between the two NACA 0018 datasets, other than at stall points. Stall occurs at an incidence of
16° in the current study, with flow reattaching at 11° with decreasing incidence, with equivalent
values in Timmer's study of 17° and 11.5° respectively. There are two possible causes for this
difference:

402 <u>Blockage effects</u> – Timmer's data was taken in a conventional solid-walled tunnel and post-403 processed with blockage corrections. Though these corrections do adjust lift and drag to account 404 for the acceleration of flow around the model caused by blockage, they do not account for the 405 delay of stall to higher angles also caused by the faster flow. Since the tolerant tunnel used in this 406 study physically reduces blockage, this problem is not encountered.

407 <u>Airfoil surface finish</u> – Both studies allowed free transition, were Timmer's models rougher 408 than our own, higher stall/reattachment angles would be expected. Those of the current study 409 were finished with 1000-grit sandpaper and paints (an accurate surface roughness measurement 410 has not been obtainable). Timmer does not disclose surface roughness in his paper [42].

The "bump" in the lift coefficients of the two studies at around 8° is caused by a laminar separation bubble which Timmer was able to remove with application of zig-zag strips to initiate turbulent boundary layer transition.













Since the two cambered airfoils were designed specifically for this study, there are no results in literature to compare them against. Figs 8 and 9 show them alongside results for the NACA 0018. The relationships between the aerodynamic characteristics and camber are as one would expect in this range of Reynolds numbers and blade thickness and cambers: the greater the camber, the higher the stall angle and Cl max at positive incidences, while the opposite is true at

lower incidences, and the angle at which zero lift occurs decreases as camber increases [43].

428

#### 4.2 CFD turbine simulations

For both chord-to-radius ratios, seven rotating speeds were considered for the simulation of the airfoils (the NACA0018 and the relevant transformed equivalent). An undisturbed wind speed of 8 m/s was imposed at the inlet boundary, leading to an investigated operating range of TSRs from 1.0 to 4.7. The comparison of power coefficients ( $c_P$ ) trends is reported in Fig. 10 as a function of the tip speed ratio (TSR).

434

435





438 As discussed in Bianchini et al. [20] and confirmed here, blades having a higher chord-toradius ratio exhibit a shift of the curve peak towards lower TSRs. The behavior of the two 439 airfoils at c/R = 0.114 are guite similar, while the two airfoils at c/R = 0.25 behave guite 440 differently, stressing the importance of a proper blade design criteria for high c/R ratios [13]. 441 These differences are also visible in torque extraction over the revolution, recently discussed by 442 Bianchini et al. [20], who showed that the energy extraction with the transformed airfoil 443 (arranged with its camber curving towards the center of rotation, and expected to behave like the 444 symmetric NACA0018 in curvilinear flow) is more balanced between the upwind and the 445 446 downwind halves of the revolution. Conversely, the geometrical NACA0018 (which in turn is 447 expected to behave like the transformed airfoil with camber curved outward away from the center of rotation) concentrates the torque extraction in the upwind zone, providing higher local 448 torque coefficients. Fig. 11 shows the tangential force coefficient over a revolution at TSR=3.1 449 for all the investigated airfoils, demonstrating this difference in upwind/downwind balance 450 between the airfoils for c/R = 0.25. 451



Figure 11 – Comparison of tangential force coefficient profiles over a revolution @ TSR=3.1 for all 453 454 the simulated airfoils.

# 455 **5. Discussion**

To assess the impact of virtual camber effects on the aerodynamics of the airfoils in motion, two TSRs were selected for each curve and analyzed in detail. For both the c/R = 0.25 and the c/R = 0.114 cases, TSRs of 2.1 and 3.1 were selected. They are characterized by local blade Reynolds numbers between  $2.5 \times 10^5$  and  $3.0 \times 10^5$ , comparable to those of the new experimental data collected in the wind tunnel.

For all the above operating conditions, the analysis was restricted to a range of azimuthal angles between approximately  $\vartheta$ =-10° to  $\vartheta$ =+70°. In this range, the AoA is generally small enough to ensure attached flow on the airfoil, allowing the procedure for AoA estimation by means of comparisons with XFoil data to be used. For the convention of signs and reference systems please refer to Fig. 12.







Figure 12 – Signs and reference systems convention.

469

The aforementioned procedure was applied to the selected azimuthal positions for all the 470 471 tested airfoils. Based on previous results shown by Balduzzi et al. [13] and Bianchini et al. [18], the pressure coefficient distributions over the airfoils in every condition were expected to 472 reproduce those of the corresponding virtual airfoil obtained from experiments on the conformal 473 transformed airfoils. As mentioned, the transformed airfoil arranged with its camber inward 474 becomes a virtual NACA 0018 when rotated about a radius four times its chord length, while the 475 476 NACA 0018 becomes a virtual transformed airfoil with its camber outward in similar conditions (see Fig. 12). 477

The matching between the pressure profiles from CFD with those related to the virtual airfoil 478 based on conformal transformation was excellent. As an example (comparable agreement was 479 found in almost all the other considered azimuthal positions), Fig. 13 compares the pressure 480 coefficient profiles at an azimuthal position of  $\vartheta$ =32.8° of the four simulated airfoils with the 481 482 correspondent distribution obtained with XFoil for the their equivalent transformed airfoils. With both the c/R ratios the virtual camber effect is verified. Also, the incidence angle (i.e. the angle 483 484 of the pressure distribution over the virtual airfoils which best matched the CFD data) differs for the two configurations, as the two c/R ratios induce different virtual incidence effects, with a 485 486 smaller incidence for the smaller c/R, again in line with virtual camber and incidence theory.

Finally, it is apparent that good agreement was also found between CFD and XFoil on theposition of the transition on the airfoil.





Figure 13 – Pressure profiles comparison @ 9=32.8°, TSR=3.1 for all the simulated airfoils: CFD 492 data vs. matching profile over the transformed airfoil due to the virtual camber effect.

493

Once all the azimuthal positions were processed, the equivalent polars (i.e. the lift and drag 494 outputs) of the airfoils during their revolution were reconstructed from the numerical evaluation 495 of the tangential and normal forces exerted by the airfoils themselves. 496

Figs 14 and 15 show the trends for lift and drag coefficients, respectively, for all four airfoils 497 498 in motion.





Figure 14 – Reconstructed lift polars for the four simulated airfoils at TSR=2.1 and TSR=3.1.



502

Figure 15 – Reconstructed drag polars for the four simulated airfoils at TSR=2.1 and TSR=3.1.

505 There is excellent agreement between reconstructed data from CFD and blade polars based on the equivalent virtual airfoil, whether calculated using XFoil or obtained experimentally. The 506 lift coefficients are particularly well reproduced for both c/R ratios, in terms of both the slope of 507 the linear region and the lift coefficient at  $AoA = 0^{\circ}$ . Small discrepancies can be noticed in the 508 drag coefficient produced by the CFD computed NACA0018 airfoil at the higher c/R ratio, 509 510 which seems to increase more rapidly than that of the virtual transformed airfoil, although the very low absolute value of the drag is more sensitive to small errors produced by the analysis 511 (e.g. in the correct estimation of the relative speed). Also, experiments used force transducers 512 513 rather than a wake traverse to measure drag, which can be inaccurate where drag forces are very 514 low.

It should be noted that since the incidence calculation technique works by matching CFD airfoil pressure distributions to the nearest match produced in XFoil, the incidences produced are inclusive of any virtual incidence effects. Thus, as mentioned, in Fig. 13 different incidences are calculated at different c/R ratios for the same airfoil, in spite of TSR and azimuth being the same, and the effects of virtual incidence have no impact on Figs 14 and 15.

All the above results clearly demonstrate that the virtual camber effect originally postulated Migliore et al [17] strongly affects the aerodynamic behavior of a Darrieus turbine with a medium-high chord-to-radius ratio. They also confirm the blade design criteria proposed by Balduzzi et al. [13] and demonstrate the suitability of the presented experimental data to the simulation of this type of wind turbine.

### 525 **6. Potential benefits on BEM analyses**

526 The main use of the results obtained in the present work is connected to their potential impact 527 on BEM models. Though more advanced prediction models are available (e.g. CFD or vortex 528 models), these simplified theories can still provide some advantages under defined circumstances, especially concerning the general design of a machine (e.g. overall dimensionsand attended power) and particularly when a reduction of the computational cost is needed [18].

Based on a lumped parameters approach to aerodynamics, BEM models are intrinsically very sensitive to the accuracy of input blade data in terms of lift and drag coefficients [44]. Discrepancies in tabulated data, even small, can substantially affect the reliability of the predictions, both in terms of peak efficiency and of optimal TSR.

To quantify the effect of neglecting virtual camber, experimental coefficients collected in the wind tunnel (and matched by CFD) were used in the VARDAR BEM code of the University of Florence, which has previously been validated against experimental results for a Darrieus turbine taken in a wind tunnel [18] and is considered a robust design tool for H-Darrieus rotors [45].

Using the code, two turbine geometries were simulated either with the lift and drag coefficients of the NACA 0018 or with those of the equivalent transformed airfoil at each c/Rratio. Figs 16 and 17 report the comparison in terms of power coefficient curves between CFD and BEM with turbine c/R = 0.114 and c/R = 0.25 respectively.

543





Figure 16 – Comparison between BEM and CFD based power coefficient curves at *c/R*=0.114.





548

Figure 17 – Comparison between BEM and CFD based power coefficient curves at *c/R*=0.25.

For the c/R = 0.25 case, BEM predictions using the polar of the virtually transformed airfoil fit the 2D CFD simulation of the NACA 0018 well, and similarly BEM results for the NACA 0018 fit the CFD simulation of the transforms. Agreement between BEM and CFD simulations using the same blade profiles is clearly worse. Agreement for the c/R = 0.114 case is strong between the two CFD simulations and the two BEM simulations, suggesting the impact of virtual camber is less extreme on the outputs of the two codes at this lower c/R.

The impact of virtual camber on the reliability of the code for the c/R = 0.25 case is more pronounced if the predictions of blade torque production as a function of the azimuthal position of the blade is analyzed. Fig. 18 compares the torque profile of the CFD transformed airfoil at TSR = 3.1 with the predictions of the BEM code using the coefficients of the transformed airfoil and the NACA 0018. The agreement is far stronger between the transformed airfoil and the virtually equivalent NACA 0018 than with its geometrical equivalent. The use of the aerodynamic coefficients of the equivalent transformed airfoil appears necessary for an accurate prediction of behavior using a BEM model when the c/R ratio is high, with a remarkable improvement in the agreement of this theory to CFD.

565



566

567 Figure 18 – Tangential force coefficient trends @ TSR=3.14 and *c/R*=0.25: CFD simulation of the 568 transformed airfoil vs. BEM predictions using different polars.

# 569 **7. Conclusions**

Results have been presented in a study analyzing the impact of virtual camber effects on the performance of Darrieus VAWT blades. The curved paths blades follow have been hypothesized to impart virtual camber and incidence on them, making their behavior analogous to cambered airfoils in rectilinear flow. Comparisons have been made between results from wind tunnel tests in rectilinear flow on a NACA 0018 and two blades modified to exhibit the virtual camber expected in VAWTs with c/R = 0.114 and 0.25, and CFD simulations of the curvilinear flow of VAWT blades for the same three profiles. 577 Curves of lift and drag against incidence computed from the CFD results match not to the same 578 profile from the wind tunnel work, but to the relevant equivalent virtually transformed profile. This 579 suggests that virtual camber is a significant contributor to VAWT performance and as such must be 580 considered when making use of low order models such as BEM codes.

An analysis is made of VAWT performance in terms of power coefficient against TSR using BEM with the experimental polars of the three airfoils, alongside a similar analysis using CFD. For higher c/R ratios, there is again good agreement between relevant transformed pairings and not between geometrically identical airfoils. This agreement extends to a blade-level analysis prepared using the BEM and the CFD in the form of blade tangential force coefficients against azimuth.

586 Findings show that consideration of curvature effects is necessary to obtain accurate results from BEM codes that are comparable to those of CFD. When simulating a VAWT using BEM 587 methods, blade data for input should be selected based not on the physical geometry of the blade, 588 589 but on that of a transformed profile. The profile should have camber such that its chord aligns with an arc of the circumference of the turbine. Such profiles can be calculated using conformal 590 591 transformation theory. The incidence of this transformed blade should be adjusted in line with 592 Migliore's virtual incidence. If experimental data is not available for the transformed profile, it can be obtained using the methods described in this paper, or estimated (for attached flows) by 593 594 simulating the transformed airfoil shape using panel methods.

# 595 8. Acknowledgments

596 We would like to thank Prof. Ennio Antonio Carnevale of the University of Florence for 597 supporting this research program. Experimental work was supported financially by the 598 Environmental Services Association Education Trust.

# 599 9. Nomenclature

600	<u>Acronyms</u>		
601	AoA	Angle of Attack	
602	BEM	Blade Element Momentum	
603	CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics	
604	OAR	Open Area Ratio	
605	SST	Shear Stress Transport	
606	TSR	Tip-Speed Ratio	
607	U-RANS	Unsteady Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes	
608	VAWTs	Vertical Axis Wind Turbines	
609			
610	Greek symbols		
611	α	Angle of Attack (symbol)	[deg]
612	γ	Intermittency	
613	Э	Azimuthal Angle	[deg]
614	π	Dimensionless Pressure Coefficient	[-]
615	ω	Specific Turbulence Dissipation Rate	[1/s]
616	Ω	Revolution Speed	[m/s]
617			
618	Latin symbols		
619	A	Turbine's Swept Area	[m <sup>2</sup> ]
620	С	Blade Chord	[m]
621	CD	Drag Coefficient	[-]
622	CL	Lift Coefficient	[-]

623  $c_P$  Power Coefficient [-]

624	СТ	Tangential Force Coefficient	[-]
625	D	Rotor Diameter	[m]
626	Ft	Tangential Force	[N]
627	g	Slatted Wall Spacing	[m]
628	k	Turbulence Kinetic Energy	$[m^2/s^2]$
629	L	Plenum Chamber Length of the Tolerant Tunnel	[m]
630	р	Plenum Chamber Depth of the Tolerant Tunnel	[m]
631	R	Rotor Radius	[m]
632	Re	Reynolds Number	[-]
633	$Re_{ heta}$	Momentum Thickness Reynolds Number	[-]
634	S	Slatted Wall Distance	[m]
635	U	Undisturbed Wind Speed	[m/s]
636	W	Relative Speed	[m/s]
637	${\mathcal Y}^+$	Dimensionless Wall Distance	[-]

# 639 **10. References**

- 640 [1] Global Wind Energy Outlook. GWEC, Brussels (Belgium); 2014.
- 641 [2] Small Wind Turbine Global Market Study. AWEA, Whashington DC (USA); 2008.
- [3] Dayan E. Wind energy in buildings: Power generation from wind in the urban environment where it is needed most. Refocus 2006;72(2):33-38.
- [4] Balduzzi F, Bianchini A, Ferrari L. Microeolic turbines in the built environment: influence of
  the installation site on the potential energy yield. Renewable Energy 2012;45:163-174.
  DOI: 10.1016/j.renene.2012.02.022
- 647 [5] Mertens S. Wind Energy in the Built Environment. Brentwood (UK): Multi-Science; 2006.
- 648 [6] Kirke BK, Evaluation of self-starting vertical axis wind turbines for standalone applications.
  649 Ph.D. thesis, Griffith University, Gold Coast (Australia); 1998.
- [7] Balduzzi F, Bianchini A, Carnevale EA, Ferrari L, Magnani S. Feasibility analysis of a
   Darrieus vertical-axis wind turbine installation in the rooftop of a building. Applied Energy
   2012;97:921–929. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.12.008
- [8] Simão Ferreira CJ, van Bussel G, van Kuik G. An analytical method to predict the variation in
   performance of a H-Darrieus in skewed flow and its experimental validation. Proc. of the
   European Wind Energy Conference, February 27-March 2, 2006, Athens (Greece); 2006.
- Bianchini A, Ferrara G, Ferrari L, Magnani S, An improved model for the performance
  estimation of an H-Darrieus wind turbine in skewed flow. Wind Engineering 2012;36(6):667686. DOI: 10.1260/0309-524X.36.6.667
- [10] Bianchini A, Ferrara G, Ferrari L, Design guidelines for H-Darrieus wind turbines:
   Optimization of the annual energy yield. Energy Conversion and Management 2015;89:690 707. DOI: 10.1016/j.enconman.2014.10.038
- [11] Aslam Bhutta MM, Hayat N, Farooq AU, Ali Z, Jamil ShR, Hussain Z, Vertical axis wind
   turbine A review of various configurations and design techniques. Renewable and
   Sustainable Energy Reviews 2012;16(4):1926-1939. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2011.12.004
- [12] Paraschivoiu I, Wind Turbine Design with Emphasis on Darrieus Concept. Polytechnic
   International Press, Canada; 2002.
- [13] Balduzzi F, Bianchini A, Maleci R, Ferrara G, Ferrari L. Blade design criteria to compensate
   the flow curvature effects in H-Darrieus wind turbines. Journal of Turbomachinery
   2015;137(1):1-10. DOI: 10.1115/1.4028245
- [14] Borg M, Shires A, Collu M, Offshore floating vertical axis wind turbines, dynamics
   modelling state of the art. Part I: Aerodynamics. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews
   2014;39:1214-1225. DOI:10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.096
- [15] Bianchini A, Ferrari L, Magnani S. Start-up behavior of a three-bladed H-Darrieus VAWT:
  experimental and numerical analysis. Proc. of the ASME Turbo Expo 2011, Vancouver
  (Canada), June 6-10; 2011. DOI: 10.1115/GT2011-45882
- [16] Camporeale SM, Magi V. Streamtube model for analysis of vertical axis variable pitch turbine
   for marine currents energy conversion. Energy conversion and Management
   2000;41(16):1811-1827. DOI: 10.1016/S0196-8904(99)00183-1
- [17] Migliore PG, Wolfe WP, Fanucci JB, Flow curvature effects on Darrieus turbine blade
   aerodynamics. Journal of Energy 1980;4(2):49-55. DOI: 10.2514/3.62459
- [18] Bianchini A, Ferrari L, Carnevale EA, A model to account for the virtual camber effect in the
   performance prediction of an H-Darrieus VAWT using the momentum models. Wind
   Engineering 2011; 35(4):465-482. DOI: 10.1260/0309-524X.35.4.465
- [19] Rainbird J, Peiro J, Graham JM, Post-stall airfoil performance and vertical-axis wind turbines.
  33rd ASME wind energy symposium, AIAA SciTech 2015, Kissimmee, Florida, USA, Jan 59, 2015.

- [20] Bianchini A, Balduzzi F, Rainbird J, Peiro J, Graham JMR, Ferrara G, Ferrari L, An
  Experimental and Numerical Assessment of Airfoil Polars for Use in Darrieus Wind
  Turbines. Part 1 Flow Curvature Effects. Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and
  Power 2016;138(3). DOI: 10.1115/1.4031269.
- 691 [21] http://www.ugei.com/vertical-axis-wind-turbine/visionair3-vawt, last access 09/2015.
- M. S. Selig, C. A. Lyon, P. Giguere, C. Ninham, and J. Guglielmo, *Summary of low-speed airfoil data vols. 1-5*, SoarTech Publications, Virginia Beach, VA, 1995-2012.
- [23] Althaus, D., *Profilpolaren für den Modellflug, vols. 1 & 2.* Neckar-Verlag, Villingen Schwenningen. 1980.
- ESDU, Lift-interference and blockage corrections for two-dimensional subsonic flow in
   ventilated and closed wind-tunnels. Technical Report 76028, Engineering Sciences Data Unit,
   1978.
- [25] Khoo H, Separated flow past wind turbine aerofoil sections. Thesis (M.Phil.) Department ofAeronautics, Imperial College, London 1991.
- [26] Hill N, Dominy R, Ingram G, Dominy J, Darrieus turbines: the physics of self-starting.
   Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part A-Journal of Power and Energy 2009;223:21-29.
- [27] Parkinson G, The tolerant tunnel: concept and performance. Canadian Aeronautics and Space
   Journal 1990;36(3):130-134.
- 706 [28] Ansys, Inc., 2013, Fluent Theory Guide, release 14.5. 4.
- [29] Balduzzi F, Bianchini A, Maleci R, Ferrara G, Ferrari L, Critical issues in the CFD simulation
   of Darrieus wind turbines. Renewable Energy 2016;85(01):419-435.
   DOI: 10.1016/j.renene.2015.06.048
- [30] Maître T, Amet E, Pellone, C. Modeling of the Flow in a Darrieus Water Turbine: Wall Grid
   Refinement Analysis and Comparison with Experiments. Renewable Energy 2013;51:497–
   512. DOI: 10.1016/j.renene.2012.09.030
- [31] Raciti Castelli M, Englaro A, Benini E. The Darrieus Wind Turbine: Proposal for a New
  Performance Prediction Model Based on CFD. Energy 2011;36(8):4919–4934. DOI:
  10.1016/j.energy.2011.05.036
- [32] Beri H, Yao Y. Effect of Camber Airfoil on Self Starting of Vertical Axis Wind Turbine," J.
   Environ. Sci. Technol. 2011;4(3):302–312.
- [33] Howell R, Qin N, Edwards J, Durrani N. Wind Tunnel and Numerical Study of a Small
  Vertical Axis Wind Turbine. Renewable Energy 2010;35(2):412–422. DOI:
  10.1016/j.renene.2009.07.025
- [34] Rossetti A, Pavesi G. Comparison of Different Numerical Approaches to the Study of the H Darrieus Turbines Start-Up. Renewable Energy 2013;50(February):7–19. DOI:
   10.1016/j.renene.2012.06.025
- [35] Balduzzi F, Bianchini A, Gigante FA, Ferrara G, Campobasso MS, Ferrari L, Parametric and
   Comparative Assessment of Navier-Stokes CFD Methodologies for Darrieus Wind Turbine
   Performance Analysis, Proc. of the ASME Turbo Expo 2015, Montreal, Canada, June 15-19,
   2015. DOI: 10.1115/GT2015-42663
- [36] Menter FR, Langtry RB, Likki SR, Suzen YB, Huang, PG., and Völker, S., A Correlation based Transition Model Using Local Variables Part 1 Model Formulation, Proc. of the
   ASME Turbo Expo 2004, Vienna, Austria, June 14-17, 2004.
- [37] Lanzafame R, Mauro S, Messina M, 2D CFD modeling of H-Darrieus wind turbines using a transition turbulence model. Energy Procedia 2014;45:131-140.
- [38] Vittecoq P, Laneville A, The aerodynamic forces for a Darrieus rotor with straight blades:
   Wind tunnel measurements. Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics
   1983;15(1-3):381-388.

- [39] Guntur, S. and Sørensen, N.N., 2012, "Evaluation of several methods of determining the
  angle of attack on wind turbine blades," Proc. of The science of Making Torque from Wind
  2012, Oldenburg, Germany, 09-11 October 2012.
- [40] Hansen, M.O.L., Sørensen, N.N., Sørensen, J.N., and Michelsen J.A., 1997, "Extraction of
  lift, drag and angle of attack from computed 3-D viscous flow around a rotating blade," Proc.
  of the EWEC 1997, Dublin (Ireland), pp. 499–501.
- [41] XFoil User Guide, available online at: http://web.mit.edu/drela/Public/web/xfoil, last access
   02/10/2014
- [42] Timmer WA, Two-dimensional low-Reynolds number wind tunnel results for airfoil
   NACA0018. Wind Engineering 2008;32(6):525-537.
- [43] Jacobs EN, Sherman A, Airfoil section characteristics as affected by variations of the
   Reynolds number. Tech. Rep. 586, NACA, 1937.
- [44] Bianchini, A., Balduzzi, F., Rainbird, J., Peiro, J., Graham, J M.R., Ferrara, G. and Ferrari, L.,
  2015, "An Experimental and Numerical Assessment of Airfoil Polars for Use in Darrieus
  Wind Turbines. Part 2 Post-Stall Data Extrapolation Methods," Journal of Engineering for
  Gas Turbines and Power 2016;138(3). DOI: 10.1115/1.4031270
- 752 [45] Bianchini A, Ferrari L, Magnani S., Energy-yield-based optimization of an H-Darrieus wind
- turbine. Proceedings of the ASME Turbo Expo 2012, Copenhagen (Denmark), June 11-15,
  2012. DOI: 10.1115/GT2012-69892