



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Physiological significance of forest tree defoliation: Results from a survey in a mixed forest in Tuscany (central Italy)

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Physiological significance of forest tree defoliation: Results from a survey in a mixed forest in Tuscany (central Italy) / Pollastrini, Martina; Feducci, Matteo; Bonal, Damien; Fotelli, Mariangela; Gessler, Arthur; Grossiord, Charlotte; Guyot, Virginie; Jactel, Hervé; Nguyen, Diem; Radoglou, Kalliopi; Bussotti, Filippo. - In: FOREST ECOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT. - ISSN 0378-1127. - STAMPA. - 361:(2016), pp. 170-178.

Availability:

The webpage <https://hdl.handle.net/2158/1011883> of the repository was last updated on 2016-10-25T10:17:58Z

Published version:

DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2015.11.018

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

La data sopra indicata si riferisce all'ultimo aggiornamento della scheda del Repository FloRe - The above-mentioned date refers to the last update of the record in the Institutional Repository FloRe

(Article begins on next page)

Manuscript Number: FORECO15463R2

Title: Physiological significance of forest tree defoliation: results from a survey in a mixed forest in Tuscany (central Italy)

Article Type: FLA Full Length Article

Keywords: crown condition; defoliation; foliar analysis; FunDiveEUROPE; mixed forests; tree diversity

Corresponding Author: Dr. Martina Pollastrini,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Florence

First Author: Martina Pollastrini

Order of Authors: Martina Pollastrini; Matteo Feducci, PhD; Damien Bonal, PhD; Mariangela Fotelli, PhD; Arthur Gessler, Prof. Dr.; Charlotte Grossiord, PhD; Virginie Guyot, PhD; Hervé Jactel; Diem Nguyen; Kalliopi Radoglou; Filippo Bussotti, Prof.

Abstract: A survey of tree crown defoliation and leaf physiological traits (chlorophyll a fluorescence, nitrogen content, and stable carbon isotope composition) was carried out in the thermophilous deciduous forests in Tuscany (central Italy). In contrast to large scale surveys, where variation in defoliation can be associated with the change in environmental conditions, in a limited homogenous area the defoliation of co-existing tree species may have different significance and depends on the interaction between the characteristics of each individual species with biotic stress and environmental conditions. The survey included measurements of structural and vegetational characteristics of the forest stands, such as Leaf Area Index (LAI), basal area and tree diversity, which is expressed as the Shannon diversity index. The five tree species studied (*Castanea sativa*, *Quercus cerris*, *Quercus ilex*, *Quercus petraea* and *Ostrya carpinifolia*) showed species-specific crown conditions and physiological features relative to stand structure and diversity. The shape of the crowns and their area (LAI) affected forest defoliation. Tree diversity reduced defoliation in *C. sativa*, which was the tree species most affected by defoliation, and likewise for *Q. ilex*. Chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters showed lower photosynthetic efficiency in defoliated *C. sativa*, *O. carpinifolia* and *Q. petraea* trees. Similarly, foliar nitrogen content decreased in defoliated *C. sativa* and *O. carpinifolia* trees, whereas $\delta^{13}C$ was higher in defoliated *C. sativa*. These responses may be related to the health status of *C. sativa*, since it was subjected to pathogen damages and insect attacks. In contrast, the mast year in *O. carpinifolia* may have diverted the nutrient resources from leaves to fruits, and consequently explaining the physiological effects on the tree crown. These results suggest that the combined analysis of defoliation with foliar features and stand characteristics can provide insights into tree health and vitality.

1 **Physiological significance of forest tree defoliation: results from a survey in a**
2 **mixed forest in Tuscany (central Italy)**

3

4 Martina Pollastrini^{1*}, Matteo Feducci², Damien Bonal³, Mariangela Fotelli⁴, Arthur Gessler⁵,
5 Charlotte Grossiord⁶, Virginie Guyot^{7, 8}, Hervé Jactel⁸, Diem Nguyen⁹, Kalliopi Radoglou¹⁰, Filippo
6 Bussotti¹

7

8

9 ¹Department of Agri-Food Production and Environmental Science, Section of Soil and Plant Science, University of
10 Firenze, Italy;

11 ²Department of Agri-Food Production and Environmental Science, Section of Plant Pathology and Entomology,
12 University of Firenze, Italy;

13 ³INRA, UMR EEF, 54280 Champenoux, France;

14 ⁴Forest Research Institute of Thessaloniki, Greek Agricultural Organization-Dimitra, Greece

15 ⁵Forest Dynamics, Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL Birmensdorf, Switzerland

16 ⁶Earth and Environmental Sciences Division, MS-J495, Los Alamos National Lab, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

17 ⁷UMR Biodiversité Gènes et Ecosystèmes INRA, CESTAS Cedex, France

18 ⁸UMR 1201 Dynafor INRA-INPT/ENSAT, Castanet Tolosan Cedex, France

19 ⁹Department of Forest Mycology and Plant Pathology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Box 7026,
20 Uppsala, Sweden

21 ¹⁰Department of Forestry and Management of Environment and Natural Resources, Democritus University of Thrace,
22 Greece

23

24 *Corresponding author at: Department of Agri-Food Production and Environmental Science,

25 Section of Soil and Plant Science, University of Firenze, Italy. Tel.: +39 055 2755851. E-mail

26 address: martina.pollastrini@unifi.it (M. Pollastrini)

27

28

29 **Highlights**

30

- 31 • Crown condition and leaf physiological traits were assessed in mixed forests
- 32 • Tree species and Leaf Area Index were the most important predictors of defoliation
- 33 • Tree diversity reduced the defoliation in chestnut and in holm oak
- 34 • Defoliation has different physiological significance in forest tree species
- 35 • Crown assessment is more informative when defoliation and leaf traits are combined

36

37 **Summary**

38 A survey of tree crown defoliation and leaf physiological traits (chlorophyll *a* fluorescence,
39 nitrogen content, and stable carbon isotope composition) was carried out in the thermophilous
40 deciduous forests in Tuscany (central Italy). In contrast to large scale surveys, where variation in
41 defoliation can be associated with the change in environmental conditions, in a limited homogenous
42 area the defoliation of co-existing tree species may have different significance and depends on the
43 interaction between the characteristics of each individual species with biotic stress and
44 environmental conditions. The survey included measurements of structural and vegetational
45 characteristics of the forest stands, such as Leaf Area Index (LAI), basal area and tree diversity,
46 which is expressed as the Shannon diversity index. The five tree species studied (*Castanea sativa*,
47 *Quercus cerris*, *Quercus ilex*, *Quercus petraea* and *Ostrya carpinifolia*) showed species-specific
48 crown conditions and physiological features relative to stand structure and diversity. The shape of
49 the crowns and their area (LAI) affected forest defoliation. Tree diversity reduced defoliation in *C.*
50 *sativa*, which was the tree species most affected by defoliation, and likewise for *Q.ilex*. Chlorophyll
51 *a* fluorescence parameters showed lower photosynthetic efficiency in defoliated *C. sativa*, *O.*
52 *carpinifolia* and *Q. petraea* trees. Similarly, foliar nitrogen content decreased in defoliated *C. sativa*
53 and *O. carpinifolia* trees, whereas $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was higher in defoliated *C. sativa*. These responses may be
54 related to the health status of *C. sativa*, since it was subjected to pathogen damages and insect
55 attacks. In contrast, the mast year in *O. carpinifolia* may have diverted the nutrient resources from
56 leaves to fruits, and consequently explaining the physiological effects on the tree crown. These
57 results suggest that the combined analysis of defoliation with foliar features and stand
58 characteristics can provide insights into tree health and vitality.

59

60 **Keywords:** crown condition, defoliation, foliar analysis, FunDivEUROPE, mixed forests, tree
61 diversity

62

63 **Abbreviations List:**

64 BA = Basal Area ($\text{m}^2 \text{ha}^{-1}$);

65 CC = crown compression;

66 ChlF = Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence;

67 C/N = Carbon/ Nitrogen ratio;

68 F_0 : minimum (basal) fluorescence in dark adapted samples;

69 F_M : maximal fluorescence in dark adapted samples;

70 F_V : total variable fluorescence ($F_M - F_0$);

71 $F_V/F_M = \phi_{P0} = TR_0/ABS = [F_M - F_0]/F_M$: maximum quantum yield of PSII photochemistry of a dark
72 adapted sample;

73 LAI = Leaf Area Index ($\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$);

74 LI = Light Interception Index;

75 M_0 : initial normalized slope of the fluorescence transient;

76 OJIP: labels of the different time-steps of the fluorescence transient;

77 PI_{ABS} : Performance Index on absorption basis. Index for energy conservation of photons absorbed
78 by PSII, through the electron transport chain to the reduction of the electron acceptors in the
79 intersystem between PSII and PSI;

80 PI_{TOT} : Performance Index total. Potential capacity for energy conservation until the reduction of the
81 final acceptors beyond the PSI;

82 PSI: photosystem I;

83 PSII: photosystem II;

84 V_I : relative variable fluorescence at I step (30 ms);

85 V_J : relative variable fluorescence at the J step (2 ms);

86 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$: carbon isotope composition (‰);

87 $\Delta V_{I-P} = 1 - V_I = \text{I-P phase}$: relative contribution of the I-P phase to the fluorescence transient OJIP
88 (it is regarded as a measure for the efficiency of the electron flux through PSI to reduce the final
89 acceptors of the electron transport chain);

90 $\Psi_{E0} = 1 - V_J = \text{Jstep} = ET_0/TR_0$: probability of an electron to move from reduced Q_A , the secondary
91 PSII electron acceptor, into the electron transport chain.

92

93

94 **1. Introduction**

95 Tree crown defoliation is the main parameter adopted in surveys (International Co-operative
96 Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests, ICP Forests
97 programme, www.icp-forests.net) to assess the health of European forests (Eichhorn et al., 2010).
98 Defoliation is a raw visual indicator of the relative amount of foliage on the tree crown compared to
99 a reference standard tree, and is assessed visually by trained field teams (Ferretti et al., 1999).
100 Defoliation is an unspecific parameter integrating intrinsic tree genetic variability, site effects (soil
101 fertility, climatic features, structure and composition of a forest stand), and external factors such as
102 abiotic and biotic stresses. Consequently, defoliation (as assessed according to the ICP Forests
103 criteria) is not necessarily equivalent to damage and can be considered indicative of the plastic
104 equilibrium of a tree in a given environment.

105 Crown defoliation has been assessed extensively in many European countries since the
106 1980s, and the trends recorded are assumed to correlate with the effects of environmental stress,
107 such as air pollution and climate change (Van Leeuwen et al., 2000; Meining and Fischer, 2011;
108 Bussotti et al., 2014, 2015). Recent papers examining these historical trends found relationships
109 between the increasing defoliation levels and the change of climatic conditions, with special
110 reference to drought and heat waves (Seidling, 2007; Carnicer et al., 2011; De la Cruz et al., 2014).
111 To increase the effectiveness of the surveys, and to evaluate the overall conditions of the trees,
112 visual assessment of defoliation and crown status could be combined with the analysis of the
113 functional traits most likely linked to the responses of trees to environmental stress (Bussotti and
114 Pollastrini, 2015).

115 The physiological consequences of defoliation have not been thoroughly explored.
116 Defoliation implies reduction of the leaf area, light absorbing area and the whole tree
117 photosynthetic apparatus, and is commonly assumed that defoliated trees have reduced growth. This
118 assumption, though supported by observational evidence (Augustatis and Bytnerowicz, 2008), does
119 not take into account the so-called *compensatory photosynthesis*, i.e. the capacity to compensate the

120 loss of leaves with higher photosynthetic rates in the remaining foliage (Nowak and Caldwell, 1984;
121 Desotgiu et al., 2012a). Eyles et al. (2011) observed compensatory photosynthesis in aphid-
122 defoliated *Pinus radiata* D.Don, and attributed this effect to the enhanced exploitation of sunlight
123 by leaves in the inner layers of the crown. It is likely that there is a threshold of defoliation whereby
124 the remaining foliage is no longer able to restore the full photosynthetic activity. The altered light
125 regime within a thinned crown affects photosynthetic function (Lavigne et al., 2001; Turnbull et al.,
126 2007) and leaf nitrogen content that is directly related to the protein composition of the
127 photosynthetic apparatus (Ellsworth and Reich, 1995; Wright et al., 2004). Moreover, foliar
128 transpiration can be either enhanced or suppressed by the altered microclimate inside the canopy
129 (Quentin et al., 2011). It is reasonable to assume that the altered physiological functions in
130 defoliated trees may be reflected by an array of leaf features detectable with foliar analysis (Bussotti
131 and Pollastrini, 2015).

132 Among stand features, tree species composition and diversity are thought to be important.
133 Mixed forests are assumed to be more productive (Jucker et al., 2014) and more resilient to
134 environmental stress (Grossiord et al., 2014a, b) than monospecific ones that result from positive
135 interactions among tree species and the ability to exploit resources more efficiently (Bengtsson et
136 al., 2000; Balvanera et al., 2006; Knoke et al., 2008). Eichhorn et al. (2005) identified tree diversity
137 as a relevant factor that positively influences the crown conditions (i.e. reduced defoliation) at the
138 stand level in mixed oak – beech forests in Germany.

139 The present research was part of a project on the functional significance of forest
140 biodiversity in Europe (FunDivEUROPE, Baeten et al., 2013), and was carried out in mixed
141 broadleaved forests in central Italy (Tuscany). Defoliation and crown conditions, assessed
142 according to the guidelines of the ICP Forests manual (Eichhorn et al., 2010), were studied in the
143 context of stand characteristics and foliar features. Stand characteristics provide information on the
144 possible detrimental (or beneficial) effects of forest structure and composition (basal area, leaf area
145 index, tree species mixture) on crown condition. Foliar features are relevant to investigation of the

146 cause and/or consequences of defoliation on tree health and relative physiological functions. In
147 contrast to large scale surveys, where variation in defoliation can be associated with the change in
148 environmental conditions, for example drought or elevation gradients (Michel and Seidling, 2014)
149 in a local homogenous area, with uniform climatic and soil conditions, defoliation may have
150 contrasting significance to different tree species and depends on the interaction between the
151 characteristics of each individual species with biotic stress and environmental conditions.

152 Within the hypothesis that defoliation may have different ecological and physiological
153 meanings in tree species sharing the same environment, the present survey is aimed at exploring the
154 effectiveness of comprehensive foliar analysis, combined with the structure and composition of the
155 forest stands, to analyze species-specific responses connected to defoliation.

156

157 **2. Materials and Methods**

158 *2.1. Site description*

159 This study was carried out in the Italian forests (Tuscany, Colline Metallifere) of the exploratory
160 platform of the FunDivEUROPE project (www.fundiveurope.eu, Baeten et al., 2013). The study
161 design of the survey in Tuscany has been described by Bussotti et al. (2012). The sites were located
162 at 43.27° N, 11.26° E, mainly at 350-450 m asl (for detailed characteristics of the plots see Table
163 S1). The mean annual precipitation in the plots is 733 ± 42 mm and the mean annual temperature is
164 13.35 ± 0.38 °C (data from WorldClim-Global Climate Data, www.worldclim.org, with spatial
165 resolution of 1x1 km). The bedrock is predominantly siliceous (sandstones and various
166 conglomerates) and the soil is Cambisol (FAO classification), with a mean soil depth of 68 cm.
167 Almost all of the plots have northern exposure and mean slope <50%. Thirty-six plots (30x30 m)
168 with five focal tree species (*Quercus ilex* L.; *Quercus cerris* L.; *Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Liebl.;
169 *Ostrya carpinifolia* Scop.; and *Castanea sativa* Mill.) were selected. Forest stands are around 50 -70
170 years old and originate from old coppices (the cutting of the stumps was suspended after the World
171 War II). The trees of *C. sativa* are 60 year-old-stumps sprouted from the oldest trees cultivated in

172 the past for fruits and then abandoned. At present, the forests considered in this study are public and
173 managed as natural reserves.

174 In this survey thirty-two plots were used (four plots were discarded because data were biased by
175 uncontrolled conditions). The plots had different levels of tree species diversity, ranging from
176 monocultures to a maximum of four species. The level of tree diversity was calculated as the
177 Shannon diversity index (Staddon et al., 1997; Spellerberg and Fedor, 2003), taking into account
178 tree basal area, for each plot.

179

180 *2.2. Leaf Area Index and Light Interception Index*

181 Canopy closure of the forest stands was assessed by means of Leaf Area Index (total one-side area
182 of leaf tissue per unit ground surface area, m^2m^{-2} , Watson, 1947). Five measurements of Leaf Area
183 Index (LAI) in each plot were carried out at two time points, either early in the morning (shortly
184 before sunrise) or late in the evening (shortly after sunset) in order to work in the presence of
185 diffuse solar radiation and thus reduce the effect of scattered blue light in the canopy. LAI
186 measurements were carried out in early September 2012, before the beginning of leaf shedding,
187 using a Plant Canopy Analyzer LAI-2000 (LI-Cor Inc., Nebraska). With the LAI-2000, the incident
188 light above the canopy and the light transmission below the canopy were measured using one sensor
189 with five fisheye light sensors (lenses), with central zenith angle of 7°, 23°, 38°, 53° and 68° (LAI-
190 2000 manual, Li-Cor 1991). The protocol used in each plot consisted of five measurements within
191 the plots (light transmission below the canopy), and five measurements outside the forest (as proxy
192 of the light incidence above the canopy), in an open space that was in close proximity of the
193 sampled plots. LAI data were processed using Li-Cor's FV2200 software (LI-COR Biogeosciences,
194 Inc. 2010). The light transmittance measurements of the fifth ring were removed to minimize the
195 boundary effects on LAI. The LAI value per plot was the mean value of the five measurements for
196 each plot.

197 Furthermore, the capacity of trees to intercept light was determined. The light interception
198 index (LI, King et al., 2005) was calculated for each tree, according to Jucker et al. (2015):

199
$$LI = CPA \times CI^2$$

200 where CPA is the crown projected area of each tree (in m², calculated using the crown radius
201 measurements taken in the field), and CI is the crown illumination index, which scores each tree on
202 a scale of 1 to 5 based on exposure to direct sunlight (Clark and Clark, 1992).

203

204 *2.3. Crown condition assessment*

205 In each plot, between six and 12 dominant trees were selected. Six trees were selected in
206 monocultures, and three trees per focal species in mixture plots. The trees were randomly selected
207 among the trees with the largest diameter breast height. Defoliation and damage symptoms on
208 leaves and branches were assessed for each selected tree, on the visible portion of the crown, in
209 June 2012 following the guidelines of the ICP Forests (Eichhorn et al., 2010). Defoliation was
210 evaluated according to a proportion scale in 5% intervals (0= not defoliated tree; 5; 10; 15 ...
211 100%= dead tree), by comparing the sampled tree with a photographic standard (“photoguide”
212 method, Müller and Stierlin, 1990; Ferretti, 1994). Defoliation was defined as leaf loss (fallen and
213 undeveloped leaves, dieback of parts of the crown, as well the loss of foliar surface as a
214 consequence, e.g. of herbivores and/or by hail) compared to a reference tree, regardless of the cause
215 of foliage loss. Damage on leaves and branches due to biotic and abiotic factors were evaluated
216 from the ground, with binoculars, in the visible part of the crown, according to a scale with 5%
217 intervals from 0 to 100%. Causes of the damage symptoms (meteorological, mechanical, leaf
218 senescence, biotic attacks, etc.) were determined when visually recognizable. The interaction with
219 the neighbouring trees (“crown compression”) was also assessed on an ordinal scale (0= crown
220 completely free in all four sides; 1= one side of the crown compressed by neighbouring trees; 2=
221 two sides of the crown compressed; 3= three sides of the crown compressed; and 4= all four sides
222 of the crown compressed).

223 2.4. Leaf sampling

224 From each selected tree, branches with leaves attached were sampled in two parts of the crown, the
225 highest, southern exposed part, and the lower third of the same side. The sampling was done in June
226 2012, with the assistance of tree climbers and extension loppers. Fully developed current-year
227 leaves were collected both from deciduous and evergreen species.

228

229 2.5. Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence analysis

230 Measurements of chlorophyll *a* fluorescence (ChlF) were done, after four to five hours of dark
231 adaptation of the sample, on 16 leaves for each tree. The dark adaptation of leaves was carried out
232 in hermetic black plastic bags immediately after sampling, and stored in a dark room at ambient
233 temperature. Leaves were humidified to avoid de-hydration. A long dark adaptation period was
234 necessary to reduce both dynamic and chronic leaf photoinhibition (Werner et al., 2002; Desotgiu et
235 al., 2012b; 2013) and allow leaves to return to standard conditions. Measurements were done with a
236 HandyPEA fluorimeter (Hansatech Instruments Ltd., Petney, Norfolk, UK). Plotted on a
237 logarithmic time scale, the fluorescence induction transient shows polyphasic behaviour. The
238 different time-steps of this polyphasic transient are labelled as: O (20-50 μ s), J (2 ms), I (30 ms) and
239 P (peak). The latter indicates the highest fluorescence intensity (F_M), when saturating light is used.
240 Generally, F_M is reached around 0.8 s. For reviews of the theoretical background of ChlF
241 parameters obtained from the ChlF induction curve (fast kinetics) of dark-adapted leaves, see
242 Strasser et al. (2000, 2004, 2010) and Kalaji et al. (2014). ChlF parameters used in this study,
243 calculated on the basis of JIP-test (Strasser et al., 2004), were: F_V/F_M , Ψ_{E_0} , ΔV_{I-P} and Performance
244 Indices (PI_{ABS} and PI_{TOT}) (see Abbreviation list). PI_{ABS} combines three parameters related to the
245 photosynthetic activity: (1) the density of reaction centres; (2) the quantum yield of primary
246 photochemistry; (3) and the ability to feed electrons into the electron chain between PSII and PSI.
247 PI_{TOT} considers also the efficiency by which an electron can move from the reduced intersystem
248 electron acceptors to the PSI end electron acceptors.

249 *2.6. Chemical analyses: total foliar nitrogen and carbon content; carbon isotope composition*

250 Twenty fully expanded leaves were sampled from each sampled tree for the analysis of carbon
251 isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$, ‰), total carbon (C, %) and nitrogen (N, %) contents. Foliar samples
252 were dried at 60°C for 48 h, after which the foliar samples from the same species in the same plot
253 were pooled together and finely grounded.

254 For the analysis of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ about 1.0 mg of the dried powdered material from each sample was
255 placed into tin capsules. The analyses were performed by the Technical Platform of Functional
256 Ecology at the INRA Forest Ecology and Ecophysiology Unit (Champenaux, France), with an
257 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta S, Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany). The isotopic
258 composition of the sample was reported in delta notation ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) relative to Vienna Pee Dee
259 Belemnite standard.

260 For the determination of the N and C content, 2.5 mg of the dried sample was used. All
261 samples were analysed by Near Infra Red Spectroscopy (NIRS), as described by Niederberger et al.
262 (2015). For this purpose, a subset of the samples was analysed for N and C with a flash CHN
263 Elemental Analyser (Flash EA1112 Series, ThermoFinnigan, Milan, Italy) to calibrate the NIRS
264 spectra. The spectra were averaged from five replicates taken with a Fourier Transform Mid- and
265 Near Infrared combination instrument (Tensor 37, Brukeroptics, Ettlingen, Germany). Each single
266 spectrum was a mean of 32 individual scans over the range of 12000 to 4000 cm^{-1} wave numbers
267 with a resolution of 8 cm^{-1} . The choice of samples for calibration was done for each component
268 separately and carried out with an automatic function in the OPUS spectroscopy software (version
269 6.5, Brukeroptics Ettlingen, Germany) after a first prediction with an existing model. A second
270 subset of the samples was analysed with the same equipment to validate the calibration. Calibration
271 was performed with cross validation with one leave-out sample. The statistical parameters r^2
272 (coefficient of determination), RMSECV (root mean square error of cross validation) for calibration
273 or RMSEP (root mean square error of prediction) for validation and RPD (ratio of standard error of
274 prediction to standard deviation) were used for the evaluation of prediction quality. Leaf N and C

275 content at the species level for each plot was calculated as average value of three or six trees per
276 species sampled in the plot.

277

278 *2.7.Symptoms of parasitic attacks on leaves*

279 A number of fresh leaves were selected to assess the presence of fungi (25-60 leaves per branch;
280 50-100 leaves per tree) and insects symptoms (30 leaves per branch). Damage symptoms on leaves
281 that were not visible during crown evaluations were assessed. Fungal symptoms were classified into
282 two categories: powdery mildew and leaf spots. The percentage of leaves with the presence of either
283 type of symptom was counted. After fungal assessment, the leaves were frozen at -18°C until the
284 assessment of the endophagous insects. Four insect guilds were considered for all tree species:
285 miners, gallers, rollers and tiers. As the sap-feeder species *Trioxa remota* Foerster was the only one
286 easily detectable on deciduous oak leaves (nymphs stay on the underside of the leaves), there was a
287 fifth insect guild for *Q. cerris* and *Q. petraea*. A mite guild was also assessed for *Q. ilex*. The
288 number of leaves with at least one of the six guilds was counted. Insect damage at the tree level was
289 then aggregated by calculating the percentage of leaves with at least one damage present.

290 Ectophagous insects were not included in the analysis since the loss of foliar surface was already
291 accounted in the assessment of defoliation, according to the definition of Eichhorn et al. (2010).
292 Data of fungi and insect damage are reported as percent of damaged leaves (separately for fungi and
293 insects) relative to the total number of assessed leaves (including both damaged and healthy leaves).

294

295 *2.8.Data analysis*

296 To test the univariate correlations of defoliation with the foliar and stand structure parameters on
297 the whole sample (i.e. all tree species considered together) and for each species individually, the
298 Spearman rank correlation test was applied. Significant differences between tree species for each
299 parameter were assessed with a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (for independent samples).
300 Linear mixed models were used to determine the importance of stand structural parameters,

301 diversity and crown properties of trees as predictors of tree defoliation. A number of alternative
302 mixed effects models of defoliation were fitted and compared using Aikake Information Criteria
303 (AIC). Models included different combinations of predictors: plot basal area (BA), Leaf Area Index
304 (LAI), Shannon diversity index, crown compression and light interception index were treated as
305 fixed effects, and tree and plot were treated as random effects in the model. We performed two sets
306 of models: (1) linear mixed models for the whole dataset (i.e. all tree species together). In this case
307 the variable ‘species’ was included in the models as a fixed-effect variable, and (2) linear mixed
308 models for each tree species separately to examine species-specific responses to defoliation. Before
309 running the models, the correlation between the predictor variables was checked to avoid
310 autocorrelation between them. The assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance of
311 defoliation were checked. All analyses were implemented in R (3.1.2; R Core Team 2014). For the
312 linear mixed models, the package lmerTest (Kuznetsova et al., 2014) was used.

313

314 **3. Results**

315 The results of the survey carried out in Tuscany considered 244 trees in 32 plots (Table 1). The
316 variability of each foliar and crown trait assessed, both between trees in the same plot and between
317 the plots, is provided in Table 2. The traits estimated by visual assessment (crown defoliation,
318 damage to leaves and branches, insect and pathogen attacks) showed high variability among the
319 trees and the plots. Photosynthetic performance indices also had high coefficient of variability (CV).
320 The lowest CV values were in F_v/F_m , C content and $\delta^{13}C$.

321 The *C. sativa* monocultures had the highest BA and the lowest LAI compared to the other
322 species, and *Q. ilex* monocultures had the highest LAI (Table 1). Crown condition features for each
323 species, as visually assessed from the ground, are reported in Table 3. *C. sativa* showed the highest
324 levels of defoliation and damage to leaves and branches, followed by *O. carpinifolia*. Oak species
325 had the lowest defoliation levels and crown damage. Defoliation was significantly correlated, in
326 many species, to the presence of dead or dying branches (*C. sativa*: $r^2 = 0.53$; *O. carpinifolia*: $r^2 =$

327 0.22; *Q. cerris*: $r^2 = 0.21$; all species: $r^2 = 0.53$). On *C. sativa*, crown dieback was related to past
328 attacks by the fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica* (Murr.) Barr. and oomycete *Phytophthora*
329 *cambivora* (Petri) Buisman. More recently, the new agent causing defoliation and damage to leaves
330 was attributed to the Asian wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu that produces galls on buds
331 (and subsequent desiccation of branches) and leaves. The causes of branch dieback in oaks were not
332 easily identifiable in the field, but were most likely caused by insects, e.g. *Coroebus florentinus*
333 (Herbst), and by the opportunistic fungus *Biscogniauxia mediterranea* (De Not.) Kunze that acts
334 synergistically with drought. Climatic agents (drought and high summer temperatures) probably
335 induced early senescence and loss of leaves in *O. carpinifolia*.

336 The effects of the stand structure and tree composition as predictors of tree defoliation were
337 examined using of univariate correlations (Table 4) and linear mixed models (Tables 5 and 6).
338 Species and LAI were the most important predictors for defoliation in the whole sample (Table 5),
339 whereas specific factors were important in each individual tree species. LAI was negatively
340 correlated with defoliation in *C. sativa*, *O. carpinifolia* and *Q. cerris*. Since LAI was positively
341 correlated with plot BA ($r^2 = 0.21$), we expected to observe an inverse correlation between BA and
342 defoliation. That was indeed observed for *O. carpinifolia*, *Q. cerris* and *Q. ilex*, whereas for *C.*
343 *sativa*, the opposite trend was noted. Tree diversity (Shannon diversity index) showed significant
344 negative correlation with defoliation in *C. sativa* and *Q. ilex*. Light interception index (LI) and
345 crown compression (CC) exerted significant effects on defoliation in the whole sample and in some
346 species, but in opposite directions: LI was negatively correlated with defoliation, and CC was
347 positively correlated with tree defoliation (Table 4).

348 Physiological features were measured on detached leaves. The highest foliar concentration
349 of N was detected in *C. sativa* and the lowest in the sclerophyllous evergreen species *Q. ilex* (Table
350 3). The highest $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values were observed in *Q. petraea* and the lowest in *O. carpinifolia* (Table 3).
351 For ChlF parameters (Table 3), F_v/F_m and Ψ_{E_0} were not significantly different among species,
352 whereas the lowest values of ΔV_{I-P} and PI_{TOT} were observed both in *O. carpinifolia* and *Q. ilex*. The

353 univariate correlations between defoliation and leaf features are shown in Table 4. C/N ratio was
354 positively correlated to defoliation in many species, and negatively in the whole sample. ChlF
355 parameters were negatively correlated with defoliation in *C. sativa* (F_V/F_M and PI_{ABS}), *O.*
356 *carpinifolia* and *Q. petraea* (Ψ_{E0} , ΔV_{I-P} , PI_{ABS} and PI_{TOT}), but positively in *Q. ilex*. $\delta^{13}C$ was
357 positively correlated with defoliation in the whole sample and in *C. sativa*. Insect and pathogen
358 damage (assessed on detached leaves) were negatively correlated with defoliation in *Q. cerris* and
359 *O. carpinifolia*, respectively. Pathogen damage was negatively correlated with defoliation in the
360 whole sample.

361

362 **4. Discussion**

363 In the present survey, the main patterns of defoliation were associated with parasitic attacks (*C.*
364 *sativa*) or abiotic agents (*O. carpinifolia*). Stand factors could enhance or suppress tree crown
365 defoliation. The closure of the canopies, expressed with high LAI, was the main stand factor
366 associated with reduced defoliation. The positive correlation between crown compression and
367 defoliation in some species seemed to suggest an opposite trend, i.e. enhanced defoliation. This
368 apparent contradiction can be explained by a negative effect of the aboveground competition
369 between crowns, resulting in mechanical abrasions (Hajek et al., 2015). In *C. sativa*, the positive
370 correlation between basal area and defoliation was explained by the high defoliation rates of old
371 large chestnut trees under parasitic attacks.

372 Tree diversity reduced tree defoliation in *C. sativa* and *Q. ilex*. In the studied forests, *C.*
373 *sativa* was severely affected by the Asian gall wasp *D. kuriphilus*, which constitutes a tremendous
374 threat for tree health and fruit production (Quacchia et al., 2008; Panzavolta et al., 2012; Battisti et
375 al., 2014). Tree diversity is expected to reduce the intensity of insect herbivore attacks (Jactel and
376 Brockerhoff, 2007). Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain this associational resistance
377 effect of diverse plant communities (Tahvanainen and Root, 1972). According to the “resource
378 concentration hypothesis” (Root, 1973), the probability of a host plant to be located by insects

379 decreases in plurispecific systems. Non-host plants could disrupt chemical or physical cues used by
380 herbivores to locate a suitable host (Huber and Borden, 2001; Castagneyrol et al., 2013). In addition,
381 the “natural enemy hypothesis” (Root 1973; Russell 1989) suggests that richer plant assemblages
382 provide natural enemies with more complementary resources and habitats, thus promoting top-down
383 regulation of herbivores. Tree species richness *per se*, however, has no effect on the probability of
384 attack by pests. The infestation rate is also strongly dependent on plot composition (Castagneyrol et
385 al., 2014) and species-specific interactions. Guyot et al. (2015) found the decrease of *D. kuriphilus*
386 attacks on chestnut tree crowns in more diverse forests. The authors suggested that it is connected
387 with the presence of oak species, housing cynipid galls and associated parasitoids (Aebi et al., 2006,
388 2007, Panzavolta et al., 2013, Quacchia et al., 2013). At opposite, in *Q. ilex*, the negative correlation
389 between defoliation and the Shannon diversity index was probably due to the smaller size of this
390 species in comparison with the tallest deciduous oaks, and as a result, *Q. ilex*, a sciaphilous species,
391 benefits from the shading effect of dominant canopies.

392 Foliar damage assessed from the ground (ICP Forests protocol) and on detached leaves gave
393 contrasting information. Ground assessment provides a general overview and allows the
394 identification of the most relevant foliar attacks affecting the status of the whole crown. Fungal and
395 insect damages assessed directly from leaves on hand may, however, be indicative of a demography
396 in equilibrium with the crown status (Leather, 2005), although these parameters showed high
397 variability (Table 2).

398 Among the physiological traits assessed, the C/N ratio was related to defoliation in many
399 species. For each species considered individually, the C/N ratio was positively associated with
400 defoliation (reduction of N with increasing defoliation), the opposite was observed in the whole
401 sample. This apparent contradiction can be explained by the result of the combination of species-
402 specific behaviors, with the most N-rich species (*C. sativa*) being also the most defoliated. In *O.*
403 *carpinifolia*, we found increasing C/N ratio associated with decreasing levels of photosynthetic
404 efficiency in defoliated trees. Nikiforou and Manetas (2011) found that the decrease of ΔV_{I-P} may

405 be indicative of low nitrogen foliar concentrations. In *O. carpinifolia*, defoliation was probably
406 related to intense fructification and seed production (masting) that occurred during the sampling
407 period. Masting is a well know phenomenon that has a relevant role in forest ecology (Kelly and
408 Sork, 2002; Packham and Hilton, 2002), whereby the recurrence of mast year may be indicative of
409 altered environmental conditions (Jonard, 2009). In *O. carpinifolia*, this behavior was already
410 observed in previous surveys in Tuscany (Bussotti, data not published). Inverse relationships
411 between fructification and defoliation was found on *Fagus sylvatica* L. (beech) in central Europe by
412 Eichhorn et al. (2005) as a consequence of nutrient allocation strategies (carbon and nitrogen were
413 diverted from leaves to increase its availability for fructification, Jonard et al., 2009). Thomas et al.
414 (2002) demonstrated that unbalanced nutritional status (in this case decreased K/N and P/N ratios,
415 and decreased production of allochemicals caused by high nitrogen deposition) was responsible of
416 poor crown conditions in European species of deciduous oak (*Quercus robur* L. and *Q. petraea*),
417 and pathogenic attacks were favoured.

418 Defoliation in *C. sativa* was correlated to the capacity to trap the solar energy (F_v/F_M and
419 light interception index). A reduction of the photosynthetic efficiency, measured with the JIP-test,
420 was also observed by Ugolini et al. (2014) in *C. sativa* leaves attacked by *D. kuriphilus*. According
421 to the authors, the quantum yield efficiency (F_v/F_M) was not negatively affected by the presence of
422 galls, but Ψ_{E_0} was affected. Deciduous oaks had low levels of defoliation, almost always falling
423 below the value of 25%, which is the threshold that defines “healthy” trees (Eichhorn et al., 2010).
424 This narrow range of defoliation impeded the effective analysis of the relationships with ChlF
425 parameters, although contrasting patterns were detected on *Q. petraea* and *Q. ilex*. The positive
426 correlation between defoliation and ChlF parameters in *Q. ilex* can be attributed to better
427 exploitation of sunlight in lighter crowns, as explained by the enhanced electron transport (Bussotti,
428 2004).

429 Higher values of $\delta^{13}C$ (less negative values) in defoliated trees revealed a potential relation
430 between defoliation and drought stress. High $\delta^{13}C$ content in plant tissues indicates decreased leaf

431 internal CO₂ concentration that can be caused by a decrease in stomatal conductance induced, for
432 example, by drought (Francey and Farquhar, 1982). In the present research, we found a positive
433 correlation between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and defoliation in the whole sample, and among individual tree of *C.*
434 *sativa*. The loss of foliage mass may cause higher irradiation levels and a drier microclimate in the
435 crown, which may induce stomatal closure. As far as *C. sativa* is concerned, the relatively high
436 values of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ may be a result of parasitic attacks on the functionality of roots (*P. cambivora*) and
437 xylem (*C. parasitica*), and by the leaf damage (*D. kuriphilus*).

438 There are many papers about the relationship between crown defoliation and drought, where
439 water shortage is a causal factor, both in space (increasing defoliation in drier sites, Zierl, 2002) and
440 in time (increasing defoliation after drier years, Solberg, 2004; Ferretti et al., 2014). In previous
441 research carried out in Italian beech forests, Bussotti et al. (2005) found that the most defoliated
442 trees, growing in the southernmost drought-prone sites, had smaller and thicker leaves, and higher
443 C/N ratio, than trees growing in mesic sites. Drought is expected to play an increasing role in
444 Mediterranean forests in light of climate change (Galiano et al. 2012; Bussotti et al., 2014, 2015).
445 The present paper supports the idea that the use of physiological indicators in forest surveys may be
446 relevant to validate the responses observed in controlled conditions and field studies realized “ad
447 hoc” over a larger scale (Iovi et al., 2009; Galiano et al., 2012; Moreno-Gutiérrez et al., 2012;
448 Granda et al., 2014).

449

450 **5. Conclusions**

451 The results presented here suggest that defoliation is not an unequivocal phenomenon, but may have
452 different causes and physiological significance in different tree species. Defoliation in *C. sativa* was
453 associated with insect and pathogen attacks, and subsequently resulted in increased exposure to
454 drought. In *O. carpiniifolia*, the effect of fruiting with the diversion of N from leaves to fruit was
455 found. In these two species, defoliation was accompanied by the decrease of photosynthetic
456 efficiency, as observed by JIP-test parameters. The opposite pattern observed in *Q. ilex* (higher

457 photosynthetic efficiency in more defoliated trees) maybe related to specific physiological strategies
458 to exploit sunlight.

459 This “leaf trait analysis” approach can be applied both at local and large scale for purposes
460 of forest management and policy. Locally it can be used to individuate the most appropriate
461 structural characteristics of the forests to enhance the physiological efficiency of the different tree
462 species. Moreover, through surveys repeated over time, it would be possible to assess the responses
463 of trees to silvicultural practices. At a large scale, this analysis can contribute to strengthening the
464 informative potential of the ongoing routine monitoring activities (e.g., ICP Forests) providing
465 insights about the ecological equilibrium of different tree species in a changing environment. In this
466 perspective the leaf traits analysis can provide basic knowledge to support the elaboration of
467 adaptive strategies.

468 The main limitation of this study relies in the difficult of representing, with a consistent
469 number of replicates (within and among forest stands), all the possible combination of tree species
470 mixture whilst avoiding bias deriving from the variability of environmental factors (e.g., bedrock
471 and exposure). Such limitations are due to the characteristics of landscape fragmentation and the
472 difficulty of sampling leave in high forests. A large scale survey with a consistent number of forest
473 stands may provide more robust data evidencing general tendencies and avoiding interference from
474 local factors. The analysis of the variability of the foliar features assessed in this study (Table 2) can
475 help to select the more robust and reliable parameters to design an effective cost-benefit surveys
476 according to the criteria of ecological monitoring (Elzinga et al., 2001).

477

478 **Acknowledgements**

479 The research leading to these results received funding from the European Union Seventh
480 Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under Grant 265171. We are grateful to the Regional
481 Government of Tuscany, the National Forest Service (Corpo Forestale dello Stato) – UTB Follonica,
482 the Comunità Montana Colline Metallifere, the Municipality of Volterra for having supported the

483 logistic aspects of the research. We thank also Federico Selvi, Andrea Coppi and Elisa Carrari for
484 the survey design and technical support. Thanks to the tree climbers Cinzia Sarti, Claudio Santelli,
485 Giovanni Lotti and Francesco Ierimonti for the leaf collection. We thank Tommaso Jucker for the
486 availability of light interception index data, as well as Renate Nitschke, Jurgen Bauhus, Francoise-
487 Xavier Joly and Stephan Hattenschwiller for their contribution in the NIRS and chemical reference
488 analysis.

489

490

491 **References**

- 492 Aebi, A., Schönrogge, K., Melika, G., Alma, A., Bosio, G., Quacchia, A., Picciau, L., Abe, Y.,
493 Moriya, S., Yara, K., Seljak, G., Stone, G.N., 2006. Parasitoid recruitment to the globally
494 invasive chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. In: Ozaki, K., Yukawa, J., Ohgushi, T.,
495 Price, P. (Eds), Gallling arthropods and their associates. Springer-Verlag, Tokyo. pp 103-121.
- 496 Aebi, A., Schönrogge, K., Melika, G., Quacchia, A., Alma, A., Stone, G.N. 2007. Native and
497 introduced parasitoids attacking the invasive chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*.
498 EPPO Bulletin 37:166-171.
- 499 Augustatis, A., Bytnerowicz, A., 2008. Contribution of ambient ozone to Scots pine defoliation and
500 reduced growth in the Central European forests: A Lithuanian case study. Environ. Pollut.
501 155, 436-445.
- 502 Baeten, L., Verheyen, K., Wirth, C., Bruelheide, H., Bussotti, F., Finér, L., Jaroszewicz, B., Selvi,
503 F., Valladares, F., Allan, E. et al., 2013. A novel comparative research platform designed to
504 determine the functional significance of tree species diversity in European forests. Persp. Pl.
505 Ecol. Evolut. Syst. 15, 281-291.
- 506 Balvanera, P., Pfisterer, A.B., Buchmann, N., He, J.-S., Nakashizuka, T., Raffaelli, D., Schmid, B.,
507 2006. Quantifying the evidence for biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and
508 services. Ecol. Let. 9, 1146–1156.
- 509 Battisti, A., Benvegnù, I., Colombari, F., Haack, R.A., 2014. Invasion by the chestnut gall wasp in
510 Italy causes significant yield loss in *Castanea sativa* nut production. Agr. For. Entom. 16,
511 75–79.
- 512 Bengtsson, J., Nilsson, S.G., Franc, A., Menozzi, P., 2000. Biodiversity, disturbances, ecosystem
513 function and management of European forests. For. Ecol. Manag. 132, 39-50.
- 514 Bonan, G.B., 2008. Forests and climate change: Forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of
515 forests. Science 320,1444–1449.

516 Bussotti, F., 2004. Assessment of stress conditions in *Quercus ilex* L. leaves by O-J-I-P chlorophyll
517 *a* fluorescence analysis. Plant Biosys. 138, 101-109.

518 Bussotti, F., Pancrazi, M., Matteucci, G., Gerosa, G., 2005. Leaf morphology and chemistry in
519 *Fagus sylvatica* L. (beech) trees as affected by site factors and ozone: results from
520 CONECOFOR permanent monitoring plots in Italy. Tree Physiol. 25, 211-219.

521 Bussotti, F., Pollastrini, M., 2015. Evaluation of leaf features in forest trees: methods, techniques,
522 obtainable information and limits. Ecol. Ind. 52, 219-230.

523 Bussotti, F., Coppi, A., Pollastrini, M., Feducci, M., Baeten, L., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Verheyen,
524 K., Selvi, F., 2012. Le aree forestali italiane di FunDiv Europe: un nuovo progetto FP7 sul
525 significato funzionale della biodiversità forestale in Europa (The Italian forest sites of
526 FunDivEurope: a new FP7 project on the Functional Significance of Forest Biodiversity in
527 Europe). Forest@ 9, 251-259.

528 Bussotti, F., Ferrini, F., Pollastrini, M., Fini, A., 2014. The challenge of Mediterranean
529 sclerophyllous vegetation under climate change: from acclimation to adaptation. Environ.
530 Exp. Bot. 103, 80-98.

531 Bussotti, F., Pollastrini, M., Holland, V., Brüggemann, W., 2015. Functional traits and adaptive
532 capacity of European forests to climate change. Environ. Exp. Bot. 111, 91-113.

533 Carnicer, J., Coll, M., Ninyerola, M., Pons, X., Sánchez, G., Peñuelas, J., 2011. Widespread crown
534 condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate
535 change-type drought. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (PNAS) 108, 1474-1478.

536 Castagneyrol, B., Giffard, B., Péré, C., Jactel, H., 2013. Plant apparency, an overlooked driver of
537 associational resistance to insect herbivory. J. Ecol. 101, 418-429.

538 Castagneyrol, B., Régolini, M., Jactel, H., 2014. Tree species composition rather than diversity
539 triggers associational resistance to the pine processionary moth. Bas. Appl. Ecol. 15, 516-
540 523.

541 Clark, D.A., Clark, D.B., 1992. Life history diversity of canopy and emergent trees in a neotropical
542 rain forest. *Ecol. Monogr.* 62, 315-344.

543 De la Cruz, A., Gil, P.M., Fernández-Cancio, A., Minaya, M., Navarro-Cerrillo, R.M., Sánchez-
544 Salguero, R., Grau, J.M., 2014. Defoliation triggered by climate induced effects in Spanish
545 ICP Forests monitoring plots. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 331, 245-255.

546 Desotgiu, R., Cascio, C., Pollastrini, M., Gerosa, G., Marzuoli, R., Bussotti, F., 2012a. Chlorophyll
547 a fluorescence analysis along a vertical gradient of the crown in a poplar (Oxford clone)
548 subjected to ozone and water stress. *Tree Physiol.* 32, 976–986.

549 Desotgiu, R., Cascio, C., Pollastrini, M., Gerosa, G., Marzuoli, R., Bussotti, F., 2012b. Short and
550 long term photosynthetic adjustments in sun and shade leaves of *Fagus sylvatica* L.,
551 investigated with the fluorescence transient (FT) analysis. *Plant Biosys.* 146 (Supp. 1), 206-
552 216.

553 Desotgiu, R., Cascio, C., Pollastrini, M., Gerosa, G., Marzuoli, R., Bussotti, F., 2013. Responses to
554 ozone on *Populus* “Oxford” clone in an open top chamber experiment assessed before
555 sunrise and in full sunlight. *Photosynthetica*, 51 (2): 267-280.

556 Eichhorn, J., Icke, R., Isenberg, A., Paar, U., Schönfelder, E., 2005. Temporal development of
557 crown condition of beech and oak as a response variable for integrated evaluations. *Eur J*
558 *Forest Res* 124, 335–347.

559 Eichhorn, J., Roskams, P., Ferretti, M., Mues, V., Szepesi, A., Durrant, D., 2010. Visual
560 Assessment of Crown Condition and Damaging Agents. Manual Part IV. In: Manual on
561 methods and criteria for harmonized sampling, assessment, monitoring and analysis of the
562 effects of air pollution on forests. UNECE ICP Forests Programme Co-ordinating Centre,
563 Hamburg. http://www.icp-forests.org/pdf/FINAL_Crown.pdf

564 Ellsworth, D.S., Reich, P.B., 1995. Canopy structure and vertical patterns of photosynthesis and
565 related leaf traits in a deciduous forest. *Oecologia* 96, 169-178

566 Elzinga, C.L., Salzer, D.W., Willoughby, J.W., Gibbs, J.P., 2001. Monitoring plant and animal
567 populations. Blackwell Science, Malden.

568 Eyles, A., Smith, D., Pinkard, E.A., Smith, I., Corkrey, R., Elms, S., Beadle, C., Mohammed, C.,
569 2011. Photosynthetic responses of field-grown *Pinus radiata* trees to artificial and aphid-
570 induced defoliation. *Tree Physiol.* 31, 592-603.

571 Ferretti, M. (Ed.), 1994. Mediterranean Forest Trees. A Guide for Crown Assessment. CEC –
572 UN/ECE, Brussels, Geneva.

573 Ferretti, M., Bussotti, F., Cenni, E., Cozzi, A., 1999. Implementation of Quality Assurance
574 procedures in the Italian programs of forest condition monitoring. *Wat. Air Soil Pollut.* 116,
575 371-376.

576 Ferretti, M., Nicolas, M., Bacaro, G., Brunialti, G., Calderisi, M., Croisé, L., Frati, L., Lanier, M.,
577 Maccherini, S., Santi, E., Ulrich, E., 2014. Plot-scale modeling to detect size, extent, and
578 correlates of changes in tree defoliation in French high forests. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 311, 56-69.

579 Francey, J.R., Farquahar, G.D., 1982. An explanation of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ in tree rings. *Nature* 297, 28-31.

580 Galiano, L., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Sabaté, S., Lloret, F., 2012. Determinants of drought effect on
581 crown condition and their relationship with depletion of carbon reserves in a Mediterranean
582 holm oak forest. *Tree Physiology* 32, 478-489.

583 Granda, E., Scoffoni, C., Rubio-Casal, A.E., Sack, L., Valladares, F., 2014. Leaf and stem
584 physiological responses to summer and winter extremes of woody species across temperate
585 ecosystems. *Oikos* 123, 1281–1290.

586 Grossiord, C., Granier, A., Ratcliffe, S., Bouriaud, O., Bruelheide, H., Chečko, E., Forrester, D.I.,
587 Dawud, S.M., Finér, L., Pollastrini, M., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Valladares, F., Bonal, D.,
588 Gessler, A., 2014a. Tree diversity does not always improve resistance of forest ecosystems
589 to drought. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (PNAS)* 111, 14812–14815.

590 Grossiord, C., Gessler, A., Granier, A., Pollastrini, M., Bussotti, F., Bonal, D., 2014b. Interspecific
591 competition influences the response of oak transpiration to increasing drought stress in a
592 mixed Mediterranean forest. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 318, 54-61.

593 Guyot, V., Castagneyrol, B., Vialatte, A., Deconchat, M., Selvi, F., Bussotti F., Jactel, H. 2015.
594 Tree diversity limits the impact of an invasive forest pest. *PLOS ONE*
595 Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136469 .

596 Hajek, P., Seidel, D., Leuschner, C., 2015. Mechanical abrasion, and not competition for light, is
597 the dominant canopy interaction in a temperate mixed forest. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 348, 108–
598 116

599 Huber, D.P.W., Borden, J.H., 2001. Angiosperm bark volatiles disrupt response of douglas-fir
600 beetle, *Dendroctonus pseudotsugae*, to attractant-baited traps. *J.Chem. Ecol.* 27, 217-233.

601 Jactel, H., Brockerhoff, E.G., 2007. Tree diversity reduces herbivory by forest insects. *Ecol. Let.* 10,
602 835–848

603 Jonard, M., André, F., Dambrine, E., Ponette, Q., Ulrich, E., 2009. Temporal trends in the foliar
604 nutritional status of the French, Walloon and Luxembourg broad-leaved plots of forest
605 monitoring. *Ann. For. Sci.* 66, p. 412.

606 Jucker, T., Bouriaud, O., Avacaritei, D., Coomes, D., 2014. Stabilizing effects of diversity on
607 aboveground wood production in forest ecosystems: linking patterns and processes. *Ecol.*
608 *Let.* 17, 1560-1569

609 Jucker, T., Bouriaud, O., Coomes, D.A., 2015. Crown plasticity enables trees to optimize canopy
610 packing in mixed-species forests. *Funct. Ecol.* In press, doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12428

611 Kalaji, H.M., Schansker, G., Ladle, R.J., Goltsev, V., Bosa, K., Allakhverdiev, S.I., Brestic, M.,
612 Bussotti, F., Calatayud, A., Dąbrowski, P., et al., 2014. Frequently asked questions about in
613 vivo chlorophyll fluorescence: practical issues. *Photos. Res.* 122, 121–158.

614 Kelly, D., Sork, V.L., 2002. Mast seeding in perennial plants: Why, How, Where? *Ann. Rev. Ecol.*
615 *Sys.* 33, 427-447.

616 King, D.A., Davies, S.J., Nur Supardi, M.N., Tan, S., 2005. Tree growth is related to light
617 intercetption and wood density in two mixed dipterocarp forests of Malaysia. *Funct. Ecol.*
618 19, 445-453

619 Knoke, T., Ammer, C., Stimm, B., Mosandl, R., 2008. Admixing broadleaved to coniferous tree
620 species: a review on yield, ecological stability and economics. *Eur. J. For. Res.* 127, 89–101.

621 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R., 2014. Test in Linear Mixed Effects Models
622 (package lmerTest).

623 Iovi, K., Kolovou, C., Kyparissis, A., 2009. An ecophysiological approach of hydraulic
624 performance for nine Mediterranean species. *Tree Physiol.* 29, 889-900.

625 Lavigne, M.B., Little, C.H.A., Major, J.E., 2001. Increasing the sink:source balance enhances
626 photosynthetic rate of 1-year-old balsam fir foliage by increasing allocation of mineral
627 nutrients. *Tree Physiol.* 21, 417–426.

628 Leather, S., 2005 (Ed.). *Insect sapling in forest ecosystems*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford UK.

629 Li-Cor, 1991. LAI-2000 Plant Canopy Analyzer Operating Manual. Lincoln, NE, USA.

630 Meining, S., Fischer, R., 2011. Tree crown condition and damage causes. In: Fischer, R., Lorenz, M.
631 (Eds.), *Forest Condition in Europe. 2011 Technical Report of ICP Forests and FutMon.*
632 *Work report of the Institute for World Forestry 2011/1*. Hamburg.

633 Michel, A., Seidling, W. (eds), 2014. *Forest Condition in Europe: 2014 Technical Report of ICP*
634 *Forests. Report under the UNECE Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution*
635 *(CLRTAP)*. Vienna: BFW Austrian Research Centre for Forests. BFW-Dokumentation
636 18/2014.

637 Moreno-Gutiérrez, C., Dawson, T.E., Nicolás, E., Querejeta, J.I., 2012. Isotopes reveal contrasting
638 water use strategies among coexisting plant species in a Mediterranean ecosystem. *New*
639 *Phytologist* 196, 489-496.

640 Müller, E., Stierlin, H.R., 1990. *Tree Crown Photos*. Sanasilva. Swiss Federal Institute for Forest
641 *Snow and Landscape Research*, Birmensdorf, Switzerland.

642 Niederberger, J., Todt, B., Boča, A., Ritschke A., Kohler, M., Kühn, P, Bauhus, J. Use of near-
643 infrared spectroscopy to assess phosphorus fractions of different plant availability in forest
644 soils. *Geobiosciences* 12, 3415–3428.

645 Nikiforou, C., Manetas, Y., 2011. Inherent nitrogen deficiency in *Pistacia lentiscus* preferentially
646 affects photosystem I: a seasonal field study. *Funct. Pl. Biol* 38, 848–855

647 Nowak, R.S., Caldwell, M.M., 1984. A test of compensatory photosynthesis in the field:
648 Implications for herbivory tolerance. *Oecologia* 3, 311-318.

649 Ogaya, R., Peñuelas, J., Asensio, D., Lluísà, J., 2011. Chlorophyll fluorescence responses to
650 temperature and water availability in two co-dominant Mediterranean shrub and tree species
651 in a long-term field experiment simulating climate change. *Environmental and Experimental*
652 *Botany* 73, 89-93.

653 Packham, J.R., Hilton, G.M., 2002. Inter- and intra-site variation in the fruiting of common beech
654 (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in England over a twenty two year period (1980-2001). *Arbor. J.* 26, 1-
655 22.

656 Panzavolta, T., Bracalini, M., Croci, F., Campani, C., Bartoletti, T., Miniati, G., Benedettelli, S.,
657 Tiberi, R., 2012. Asia chestnut gall wasp in Tuscany: gall characteristics, egg distribution
658 and chestnut cultivar susceptibility. *Agric. For. Entom.* 14, 139-145.

659 Panzavolta, T., Bernardo, U., Bracalini, M., Cascone, P., Croci, F., Gebiola, M., Iodice, L., Tiberi,
660 R., Guerrieri, E., 2013. Native parasitoids associated with *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in
661 Tuscany, Italy. *Bull. Insect.* 66,195-201

662 Pollastrini, M., Holland, V., Brüggemann, W., Koricheva, J., Jussila, I., Scherer-Lorenzen, M.,
663 Berger, S., Bussotti, F., 2014a. Interactions and competition processes among tree species in
664 young experimental mixed forests, assessed with chlorophyll fluorescence and leaf
665 morphology. *Plant Biol.* 16, 323–331.

666 Pollastrini, M., Desotgiu, R., Camin, F., Ziller, L., Gerosa, G., Marzuoli, R., Bussotti, F., 2014b.
667 Severe drought events increase the sensitivity to ozone on poplar clones. *Env. Exp. Bot.* 100,
668 94-104.

669 Quacchia, A., Moriya, S., Bosio, G., Scapin, I., Alma, A., 2008. Rearing, release and settlement
670 prospect in Italy of *Torymus sinensis*, the biological control agent of the chestnut gall wasp
671 *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. *BioControl* 53, 829–839.

672 Quacchia, A., Ferracini, C., Nicholls, J.A., Piazza, E., Saladini, M.A., Tota, F., Melika, G., Alma, A.
673 2013. Chalcid parasitoid community associated with the invading pest *Dryocosmus*
674 *kuriphilus* in north-western Italy. *Insect Cons. Div.* 6, 114-123

675 Quentin, A.G., O’Grady, A.P., Beadle, C.L., Worledge, D., Pinkard, E.A., 2011. Responses of
676 transpiration and canopy conductance to partial defoliation of *Eucalyptus globulus* trees.
677 *Agr. For. Met.* 151, 356-364.

678 R Core Team, 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
679 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>.

680 Root, R.B., 1973. Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse habitats: the
681 fauna of collards (*Brassica oleracea*). *Ecol. Mon.* 43, 95-124

682 Russell, E.P., 1989. Enemies hypothesis: a review of the effect of vegetational diversity on
683 predatory insects and parasitoids. *Environ. Entom.* 18, 590-599

684 Seidling, W., 2007. Signals of summer drought in crown condition data from the German Level I
685 network. *Eur. J For. Res.* 126, 529–544.

686 Solberg, S., 2004. Summer drought: a driver for crown condition and mortality of Norway spruce in
687 Norway. *For. Path.* 34, 93-104.

688 Spellerberg, I.F., Fedor, P.J., 2003. A tribute to Claude Shannon (1916-2001) and a plea for more
689 rigorous use of species richness, species diversity and the ‘Shannon-Wiener’ Index. *Glob.*
690 *Ecol. Biog.* 12, 177-19.

691 Staddon, W.J., Duchesne, L.C., Trevors, J.T., 1997. Microbial diversity and community structure of
692 postdisturbance forest soils as determinate by sole-carbon-source utilization patterns.
693 Microbiol. Ecol. 34, 125-130.

694 Strasser, R.J., Srivastava, A., Tsimilli-Michael, M., 2000. The fluorescence transient as a tool to
695 characterize and screen photosynthetic samples. In: Yunus, M., Pathre, U., Mohanty, P.
696 (Eds.). Probing photosynthesis: mechanisms, regulation and adaptation. Taylor & Francis
697 London. pp. 445-483.

698 Strasser, R.J., Tsimilli-Michael, M., Srivastava, A., 2004. Analysis of the fluorescence transient. In:
699 Chlorophyll fluorescence: a Signature of Photosynthesis. Advances in Photosynthesis and
700 Respiration Series. Papageorgiou, G.C., Govindjee (Eds.), Springer Dordrecht, The
701 Netherland, pp. 321-362.

702 Strasser, R.J., Tsimilli-Michael, M., Qiang, S., Goltsev, V., 2010. Simultaneous in vivo recording of
703 prompt and delayed fluorescence and 820-nm reflection changes during drying and after
704 rehydration of the resurrection plant *Haberlea rhodopensis*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1797,
705 1313-1326.

706 Tahvanainen, J.O., Root, R. B., 1972. The influence of vegetational diversity on the population
707 ecology of a specialized herbivore, *Phyllotreta cruciferae* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae).
708 Oecologia 10, 321-346.

709 Thomas, F.M., Blank, R., Hartmann, G., 2002. Abiotic and biotic factors and their interactions as
710 causes of oak decline in Central Europe. For. Path. 32, 277-307.

711 Turnbull, T.L., M.A. Adams and C.R. Warren. 2007. Increased photosynthesis following partial
712 defoliation of field-grown *Eucalyptus globulus* seedlings is not caused by increased leaf
713 nitrogen. Tree Physiol. 27, 1481-1492.

714 Ugolini, F., Massetti, L., Pedrazzoli, F., Tognetti, R., Vecchione, A., Zulini L., Maresi G., 2014.
715 Ecophysiological responses and vulnerability to other pathologies in European chestnut
716 coppices, heavily infested by the Asian chestnut gall wasp. For. Ecol. Mana. 314, 38-48.

717 Van Leeuwen, E.P., Hendriks, K.C.M.A., Klap, J., De Vries, W., De Jong E., Erisman, J.W., 2000.
718 Effects of environmental stress on forest crown condition in Europe. Part II: Estimation of
719 stress induced by meteorology and air pollutants. *Wat. Air. Soil Pollut.* 119, 335–362.

720 Watson, D.J, 1947. Comparative physiological studies in the growth of field crops. I. Variation in
721 net assimilation rate and leaf area between species and varieties, and within and between
722 years. *Ann. Bot.* 11, 41-76

723 Werner, C., Correia, O., Beyschlag, W., 2002. Characteristic patterns of chronic and dynamic
724 photoinhibition of different functional groups in a Mediterranean ecosystem. *Functional*
725 *Plant Biol.* 29, 909-1011.

726 Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z. et al., 2004. The worldwide leaf
727 economics spectrum. *Nature* 428, 821-827

728 Zierl, B., 2004. A simulation study to analyse the relations between crown condition and drought in
729 Switzerland. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 188, 25-38.

730

731

732
733
734

Table 1 Number of plots and trees sampled in the study. For Basal Area (BA, m² ha⁻¹) and Leaf Area Index (LAI, m² m⁻²), mean and standard deviation for each species and mixture level are indicated.

Species	Mixture level	No. Plots	No. Trees	BA		LAI	
				M	±sd	M	±sd
<i>Castanea sativa</i>	1-sp	2	11	29.33	±0.49	2.95	±0.13
	2-sp	3	9	29.07	±3.54	4.13	±0.12
	3-sp	5	15	26.01	±1.66	3.24	±0.70
	4-sp	4	12	27.95	±5.15	4.24	±0.54
<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i>	1-sp	2	11	23.72	±2.51	3.49	±1.69
	2-sp	3	8	22.80	±1.84	4.09	±0.61
	3-sp	4	12	26.45	±4.67	4.16	±0.65
	4-sp	5	13	28.10	±3.84	3.77	±0.54
<i>Quercus cerris</i>	1-sp	1	12	28.30	±0.29	3.48	±0.04
	2-sp	3	9	30.24	±6.42	5.25	±1.15
	3-sp	5	14	26.83	±4.06	3.72	±1.00
	4-sp	6	18	27.65	±5.24	4.13	±0.58
<i>Quercus ilex</i>	1-sp	2	12	28.48	±5.60	4.54	±0.67
	2-sp	4	12	28.46	±7.74	4.77	±1.32
	3-sp	5	13	27.84	±3.62	4.06	±0.65
	4-sp	7	19	27.89	±5.10	4.05	±0.60
<i>Quercus petraea</i>	1-sp	2	12	28.85	±0.97	3.76	±0.28
	2-sp	1	3	21.85	±0.00	4.91	±0.00
	3-sp	5	15	25.72	±2.27	3.47	±0.84
	4-sp	5	14	26.03	±2.92	3.82	±0.49
All species	1-sp	10	58	27.77	±3.38	3.65	±0.93
	2-sp	7	41	27.39	±6.09	4.61	±1.01
	3-sp	8	69	26.53	±3.34	3.70	±0.83
	4-sp	7	76	27.53	±4.55	4.00	±0.57

735

736 **Table 2** Variability (coefficient of variability, CV) of the tree and foliar parameters among trees in the same plot and between plots. For foliar nitrogen content
 737 (N), carbon content (C) and carbon isotope composition (Leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$), the variability is indicated between plots because these parameters were measured at the tree
 738 species level in each plot.
 739
 740

	<i>Castanea sativa</i>		<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i>		<i>Quercus cerris</i>		<i>Quercus ilex</i>		<i>Quercus petraea</i>	
	CV (%)		CV (%)		CV (%)		CV (%)		CV (%)	
	tree	plot	tree	plot	tree	plot	tree	plot	tree	plot
Tree characteristics										
Defoliation	29.36	46.06	29.47	36.65	38.33	53.63	76.56	111.13	42.02	54.49
Damage to leaves	57.00	64.36	43.89	63.82	93.38	81.33	141.29	165.92	74.47	59.20
Damage to branches	38.21	65.60	52.72	59.12	66.91	63.28	121.45	111.95	77.31	55.85
Foliar characteristics										
Insect damage	20.68	19.53	75.13	55.62	35.08	27.84	31.98	22.59	47.54	32.95
Pathogen damage	61.81	224.32	71.69	249.72	83.75	134.85	44.32	43.63	115.30	96.29
Nitrogen (N %)		8.23		10.26		6.71		8.31		6.49
Carbon (C %)		1.52		1.59		1.42		1.19		1.79
Leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)		-1.49		-2.37		-1.51		-2.32		-2.37
C/N		9.37		9.06		5.92		9.02		5.54
Fv/F _M	1.30	1.19	0.99	1.61	1.84	1.78	1.82	1.83	1.19	1.97
Ψ_{Eo}	5.07	4.70	3.23	8.33	6.47	9.11	5.59	7.75	4.07	8.48
$\Delta V_{\text{i-p}}$	8.53	10.49	9.52	21.41	8.65	15.27	11.17	15.80	8.12	12.67
PI _{ABS}	17.84	14.54	11.34	24.39	22.31	25.07	24.27	41.40	15.02	26.22
PI _{TOT}	19.92	25.10	19.83	43.99	22.90	34.03	25.47	45.00	19.83	33.53

741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747

748
749
750
751

Table 3 Mean (\pm standard deviation) of the crown and leaf parameters assessed for each tree species in the whole sample (i.e. all tree species together). The data are pooled for all plots (monospecific and mixed plots). Letters indicate the significant differences of the parameters among the species (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for independent samples). Descriptions of each parameter can be found in the Materials and Methods. See Abbreviation list.

	<i>Castanea sativa</i>			<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i>			<i>Quercus cerris</i>			<i>Quercus ilex</i>			<i>Quercus petraea</i>		
	Mean	\pm sd		Mean	\pm sd		Mean	\pm sd		Mean	\pm sd		Mean	\pm sd	
Crown parameters:															
Defoliation (%)	36.90	\pm 16.99	a	17.44	\pm 6.39	b	12.16	\pm 6.51	b	6.51	\pm 7.23	c	12.76	\pm 6.95	b
Damage to leaves (%)	23.02	\pm 14.81	a	10.77	\pm 6.87	b	2.97	\pm 2.41	b	0.93	\pm 1.53	c	4.29	\pm 2.54	b
Damage to branches (%)	32.57	\pm 21.36	a	13.77	\pm 8.14	b	7.08	\pm 4.48	b	1.94	\pm 2.17	c	7.24	\pm 4.04	b
Foliar parameters:															
Insect damage (%)	45.26	\pm 14.23	a	3.25	\pm 2.71	c	33.10	\pm 13.97	b	38.72	\pm 15.44	b	36.46	\pm 20.10	b
Pathogen damage (%)	17.73	\pm 0.19	a,b	24.68	\pm 0.26	a,c	4.96	\pm 0.06	c	41.57	\pm 0.14	b	8.39	\pm 0.07	c
Nitrogen (N %)	2.62	\pm 0.21	a	2.36	\pm 0.26	b	2.26	\pm 0.14	c	1.28	\pm 0.12	d	2.27	\pm 0.16	c
Carbon (C %)	48.93	\pm 0.81	b	49.22	\pm 0.90	c	50.22	\pm 0.66	a,b	50.37	\pm 0.56	a	49.70	\pm 0.73	b,c
Leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	-27.06	\pm 0.38	c	-28.26	\pm 0.64	c	-27.54	\pm 0.39	a	-27.79	\pm 0.67	b	-27.04	\pm 0.64	b
C/N	18.83	\pm 1.74	d	21.06	\pm 2.08	c	22.35	\pm 1.25	b	39.58	\pm 3.86	a	22.04	\pm 1.62	b
Fv/F _M	0.79	\pm 0.01	a	0.79	\pm 0.02	a	0.78	\pm 0.02	a	0.78	\pm 0.02	a	0.79	\pm 0.02	a
Ψ_{Eo}	0.60	\pm 0.04	a	0.58	\pm 0.05	a	0.57	\pm 0.06	a	0.58	\pm 0.06	a	0.59	\pm 0.05	a
$\Delta V_{\text{I-P}}$	0.28	\pm 0.04	a	0.20	\pm 0.04	c	0.31	\pm 0.06	a	0.26	\pm 0.05	b	0.29	\pm 0.04	a,b
PI _{ABS}	36.19	\pm 7.96	a	25.93	\pm 7.00	b	31.38	\pm 10.39	a	34.24	\pm 17.44	a	34.30	\pm 10.99	a
PI _{TOT}	33.75	\pm 10.77	a	13.78	\pm 6.25	c	39.83	\pm 15.83	a	27.67	\pm 14.35	b	35.44	\pm 14.91	a

752

753
754
755
756
757

Table 4 Correlations (Spearman rank correlation) between defoliation and stand parameters and leaf traits in the whole sample (i.e. all tree species together) and in each tree species. Significant correlation coefficients ($p < 0.05$) are in bold.

	<i>All species</i>	<i>C. sativa</i>	<i>O. carpinifolia</i>	<i>Q. cerris</i>	<i>Q. ilex</i>	<i>Q. petraea</i>
Stand and tree characteristics						
Tree Diversity (Shannon Index)	-0.107	-0.325	0.083	0.074	-0.350	-0.203
Plot basal Area (BA)	-0.010	0.427	-0.178	-0.436	-0.119	0.058
Leaf Area Index (LAI)	-0.333	-0.401	-0.586	-0.397	0.037	-0.257
Crown compression (CC)	0.051	0.313	0.381	0.114	-0.01	-0.165
Light Interception (LI)	-0.365	-0.187	-0.119	-0.075	-0.198	-0.110
Foliar characteristics						
Leaf $\delta^{13}\text{C}$	0.175	0.426	0.074	-0.072	0.168	0.157
C/N	-0.572	0.517	0.330	0.206	0.534	0.224
Fv/F _M	0.155	-0.332	-0.244	0.036	0.224	-0.274
Ψ_{Eo}	0.088	-0.172	-0.328	0.005	0.428	-0.492
$\Delta V_{\text{I-P}}$	0.044	-0.057	-0.378	-0.007	0.349	-0.401
PI _{ABS}	0.123	-0.402	-0.403	-0.048	0.409	-0.413
PI _{TOT}	0.085	-0.208	-0.452	-0.081	0.493	-0.437
Insect damage	0.005	0.056	0.084	-0.315	-0.035	-0.278
Pathogen damage	-0.240	-0.109	-0.395	0.057	0.160	-0.185

758
759
760
761

762 **Table 5** Degrees of freedom (df), F and p-value from the linear mixed models used to test the fixed effects of
763 stand parameters (plot basal area, Shannon diversity index and LAI), tree species, crown characteristics of
764 trees (light interception index, crown compression) and the effects of fungal attacks on tree defoliation in the
765 whole sample (all tree species together). The significant effect ($p < 0.05$) of the predictor on the variability of
766 defoliation is noted in bold.

767
768

Predictor	df	F	p-value
Plot basal area	1	0.080	0.780
Shannon diversity index	1	0.205	0.655
LAI	1	5.047	0.034
Light interception index	1	0.000	0.993
Crown compression	1	1.210	0.273
Species	4	33.741	0.000
Pathogen damage	1	3.750	0.054

769

770
771
772
773
774
775

Table 6 Degree of freedom (df), F and p-values from the linear mixed models used to test the fixed effects of stand parameters (plot basal area, Shannon diversity index, LAI), and crown characteristics of trees (light interception index, crown compression) on defoliation in each tree species. The models did not include the correlated predictors (plot basal area and Leaf Area Index in the model for *Q. petraea* and *Q. ilex*).

Predictor	<i>Castanea sativa</i>			<i>Ostrya carpinifolia</i>			<i>Quercus cerris</i>			<i>Quercus petraea</i>			<i>Quercus ilex</i>		
	df	F	p value	df	F	p value	df	F	p value	df	F	p value	df	F	p value
Plot basal area	1	7.338	0.01	1	0.038	0.846	1	1.513	0.24				1	0.023	0.88
Shannon diversity index	1	1.716	0.197	1	0.033	0.857	1	0.056	0.816	1	0.159	0.7	1	1.736	0.207
Light interception index	1	3.975	0.053	1	1.669	0.204	1	2.614	0.112	1	0.306	0.583	1	1.316	0.257
Crown compression	1	2.93	0.095	1	0.879	0.355	1	10.846	0.002	1	0.06	0.807	1	0.645	0.426
Leaf Area Index							1	1.504	0.241	1	4.354	0.063			

776
777
778

Highlights

- Crown condition and leaf physiological traits were assessed in mixed forests
- Tree species and Leaf Area Index were the most important predictors of defoliation
- Tree diversity reduced the defoliation in chestnut and in holm oak
- Defoliation has different physiological significance in forest tree species
- Crown assessment is more informative when defoliation and leaf traits are combined

FORECO15463R2

Reviewers' Comments

Authors responses

Reviewer #1:

The authors followed the main recommendations of the first review. From my view, the value of the paper increased significantly. I recommend accepting the paper for printing after some minor changes. One point for further considerations and improvement: Some phrases and statements of the paper are not precise enough. Some examples:

Introduction gives the scientific environment of the study and questions that might be still open.

However, it should be stated even more clearly what is the task of the study and what can be achieved by the scientific concept. It seems important to specify what can be achieved and what is out of reach by methodological limitations and difficulties of the study.

The limitation are discussed in Conclusions. In Introduction some statements are changed:

“The present research was part of a project on the functional significance of forest biodiversity in Europe (FunDivEUROPE, Baeten et al., 2013), and was carried out in mixed broadleaved forests in central Italy (Tuscany). Defoliation and crown conditions, assessed according to the guidelines of the ICP Forests manual (Eichhorn et al., 2010), were studied in the context of stand characteristics and foliar features. Stand characteristics provide information on the possible detrimental (or beneficial) effects of forest structure and composition (basal area, leaf area index, tree species mixture) on crown condition. Foliar features are relevant to investigation of the cause and/or consequences of defoliation on tree health and relative physiological functions. In contrast to large scale surveys, where variation in defoliation can be associated with the change in environmental conditions, for example drought or elevation gradients (Michel and Seidling, 2014) in a local homogenous area, with uniform climatic and soil conditions, defoliation may have contrasting significance to different tree species and depends on the interaction between the characteristics of each individual species with biotic stress and environmental conditions. Within the hypothesis that defoliation may have different ecological and physiological meanings in tree species sharing the same environment, the present survey is aimed at exploring the effectiveness of comprehensive foliar analysis, combined with the structure and composition of the forest stands, to analyze species-specific responses connected to defoliation.” [line 139-155]

I appreciate to combine aspects of site, stand, LAI, defoliation, C/N, Carbon isotopes and ChlF as well as insects and fungi in the given study. However, my concern is: The main questions raised are quite general. Is the paper able to give answers to general questions such as (Summary 41) "to detect the main causes of tree defoliation in forest tree species".... E. g. water use and drought are not at all included in the concept.

The main question is the different responses of tree species sharing the same environment. In Summary the statement was changed:

“In contrast to large scale surveys, where variation in defoliation can be associated with the change in environmental conditions, in a limited homogenous area the defoliation of co-existing tree species may have different significance and depends on the interaction between the characteristics of each individual species with biotic stress and environmental conditions”. [line 40-44]

Another example: the authors relate the results to forest management. However, is there a real good argumentation how to use the results for practical forestry? Under which conditions show the results a general applicability in practical forestry?

Forest management issues are not included now in Summary and Introduction. In Conclusions the statements were changed:

“This “leaf trait analysis” approach can be applied both at local and large scale for purposes of forest management and policy. Locally it can be used to individuate the most appropriate structural characteristics of the forests to enhance the physiological efficiency of the different tree species. Moreover, through surveys repeated over time, it would be possible to assess the responses of trees to silvicultural practices. At a large scale, this analysis can contribute to strengthening the informative potential of the ongoing routine monitoring activities (e.g., ICP Forests) providing insights about the ecological equilibrium of different tree species in a changing environment. In this perspective the leaf traits analysis can provide basic knowledge to support the elaboration of adaptive strategies.” [line 459-467]

Is the investigation and inclusion ChIF sufficient to state: "the present paper demonstrated the feasibility of the use of physiological indicators in forest surveys?"

This statement is deleted. It is now added a discussion about the limits and difficult of the survey. [line 468-476]

Discussion tries to explain major results quite completely. However, it is not adequately stated, which contradictions might be given by restrictions of the data base or by the complexity of the concept. Questions such as: What might be a needed next step to clarify complex results. Which consequences can be derived from the experimental set up (samples, repetitions). Which limitations are given by statistical methods?

In conclusions the statements were changed:

“The main limitation of this study relies in the difficult of representing, with a consistent number of replicates (within and among forest stands), all the possible combination of tree species mixture whilst avoiding bias deriving from the variability of environmental factors (e.g., bedrock and exposure). Such limitations are due to the characteristics of landscape fragmentation and the difficulty of sampling leave in high forests. A large scale survey with a consistent number of forest stands may provide more robust data evidencing general tendencies and avoiding interference from local factors. The analysis of the variability of the foliar features assessed in this study (Table 2) can help to select the more robust and reliable parameters to design an effective cost-benefit surveys according to the criteria of ecological monitoring (Elzinga et al., 2001).” [line 468-476]

Therefore, I would prefer to be more precise what the authors really intend to explain. This is mainly valid in the chapter introduction (questions) and discussion/summary. In my opinion, this would be a further step of improvement of the overall value of the paper.



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

DISPAA

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE DELLE
PRODUZIONE AGROALIMENTARI
E DELL'AMBIENTE

Martina Pollastrini, PhD
University of Florence
Department of Agri-Food Production
and Environmental Science
Tel.: +39 055 2755851
E-mail: martina.pollastrini@unifi.it

Firenze, November 5th 2015

Subject: FORECO15463R2: **Submission revised manuscript**

Pollastrini M., Feducci M., Bonal D., Fotelli M., Gessler A., Grossiord C., Guyot V., Jactel H., Nguyen D., Radoglou K., Bussotti F., **'Physiological significance of forest tree defoliation: results from a survey in a mixed forest in Tuscany (central Italy)'**

Dear Editor,

We herewith enclose a new revised version of the manuscript and a detailed list of responses to each point raised by Reviewers.

We thank the two anonymous Referees for their constructive comments and suggestions, which has helped us to improve the paper.

Waiting for your kind consideration,

Yours Sincerely,

Martina Pollastrini

Supplementary Material for online publication only

[Click here to download Supplementary Material for online publication only: Support Information_FORECO15463R2.docx](#)