UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

The use of lineage tracing to study kidney injury and regeneration

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

The use of lineage tracing to study kidney injury and regeneration / Romagnani, Paola; Rinkevich, Yuval; Dekel, Benjamin. - In: NATURE REVIEWS. NEPHROLOGY. - ISSN 1759-5061. - STAMPA. - 11:(2015), pp. 420-431. [10.1038/nrneph.2015.67]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1013718 since: 2020-09-06T17:03:44Z

Published version:

DOI: 10.1038/nrneph.2015.67

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

Lineage tracing methods to study kidney injury and regeneration, their limitations and advantages

Paola Romagnani^{1,2}, Yuval Rinkevich³, Benjamin Dekel^{4,5}

¹Excellence Centre for Research, Transfer and High Education for the development of DE NOVO Therapies (DENOTHE), University of Florence, Italy

²Pediatric Nephrology Unit, Meyer Children's Hospital, Florence, Italy.

³Stanford Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

⁴Pediatric Stem Cell Research Institute, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 52621, Israel

⁵ Division of Pediatric Nephrology, Edmond and Lily Safra Children's Hospital, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 52621, Israel

Corresponding authors:

Paola Romagnani
Department of Biomedical Experimental and Clinical Sciences "Mario Serio", University of Florence
Viale Pieraccini 6, 50139, Firenze, Italy
e-mail: paola.romagnani@unifi.it

Benjamin Dekel
Division of Pediatric Nephrology & Pediatric Stem Cell Research Institute, Edmond and Lily Safra Children's Hospital, Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 52621, Israel
e-mail: Binyamin.Dekel@sheba.health.gov

Key words: clonal analysis, lineage tracing, renal progenitors, stem cells, regeneration, podocyte, tubular cell, injury

Running title: *Lineage tracing strategies for kidney regeneration*

36 **Abstract**

37 Lineage tracing strategies is proving to be a powerful approach for tracking cells *in vivo*, and is transforming
38 our understanding of the cell fate changes that underlie kidney pathophysiology. The technological advances
39 in lineage tracing approaches is permitting novel spatial, temporal and kinetic resolutions into mechanisms
40 responsible for tissue renewal and repair. In particular, lineage tracing has been used to investigate the
41 possible role of stem/progenitor cells in kidney development, homeostasis and regeneration. Recently, the
42 production of novel murine models where individual cells are tagged, assist in clarification of mechanisms of
43 kidney regeneration and new answers to old questions are gradually being unraveled. The complexity of
44 these genetically engineered systems requires careful analysis and interpretation. Caution must be paid
45 particularly on the type of promoter, switch and reporter used, as well as on the induction times that are best
46 to study the pre-specified end points. Here, we focus on the main points that need to be considered to
47 interpret results obtained with lineage tracing, as well as on novel techniques that are becoming available,
48 with a particular emphasis on their use for studying putative renal progenitors and the mechanisms of kidney
49 regeneration.

50 **Keypoints**

- 51 • The principle of lineage tracing is based on marking a single cell in such a way that the mark is
52 transmitted to the cell's progeny.
- 53 • Lineage tracing can provide information about the number of progeny of the founder cell, their
54 location, and their differentiation status.
- 55 • The critical points to consider for an appropriate evaluation of the data obtained with lineage tracing
56 should be three: the promoter, the switch and the reporter.
- 57 • The choice of the promoter should be optimized based on the cell type that has to be studied.
- 58 • The best possible promoter is one that is specifically and selectively expressed by the cell type
59 analysed.
- 60 • For any kind of promoter system used, the expression pattern has to be verified prior to the actual
61 cell fate tracing experiments.
- 62 • If the cell type marked by the promoter is unknown, negative results never allow definitive
63 conclusions.
- 64 • The switch is usually a drug-regulated form of the bacterial enzyme Cre recombinase, which
65 activates the transgene to allow turning on and off transcription of the reporter in a time-dependent
66 manner.

- 67 • The use of lines that express Cre constitutively is problematic for lineage tracing, because transient or
68 later expression of the gene in other cells also likely induces *de novo* activation of Cre recombinase,
69 potentially altering results interpretation.
- 70 • Critical points to evaluate the results are also represented by the induction times used to study the
71 pre-specified end points.
- 72 • Fluorescent reporters allow not only qualitative evaluation of phenomena but also quantitation and
73 live imaging through dual or multiphoton microscopy.
- 74 • Use of multicolor reporters allows evaluation of cell division and clonal analysis and provides the
75 most accurate genealogical descriptions of stem/progenitor behaviors.

76

77

78 Until recently, the behavior of cells *in vivo* could only be inferred indirectly, and consequently the basis of
79 tissue biology in the kidney was ill-defined. However, lineage tracing in transgenic mice is proving to be a
80 powerful approach for tracking cell fate *in vivo*, and it is transforming our understanding of the cell fate
81 changes that contribute to kidney injury and regeneration. Traditionally used in developmental biology,
82 lineage tracing has become an essential tool also in stem cell research, because it provides information about
83 how the cell behaves in the context of the intact tissue or organism.¹⁻⁶ Tracking cells in their native habitat
84 has provided insight into how the balance of proliferation and differentiation is achieved to an extent never
85 before envisaged and thus, lineage tracing is increasingly applied to solve numerous scientific problems. In
86 particular, the use of lineage tracing has provided unprecedented levels of information about clonal dynamics
87 and the organization of the stem cell compartment in postnatal tissues²⁻⁷ and has recently been used to
88 investigate the possible role of resident progenitor/stem cells in kidney development, homeostasis and
89 regeneration.⁸⁻¹² However, the inherent complexity of genetic recombination techniques and the increasing
90 number of possibilities associated with this technology makes the interpretation of the results of these studies
91 accessible only to experts. Several excellent reviews were focused on the technical aspects of lineage
92 tracing.¹³⁻¹⁵ In this Review, we focus on the main points that need to be considered to interpret results
93 obtained with lineage tracing, with a particular emphasis on their use for studying putative renal progenitors
94 and the mechanisms of kidney regeneration.

96 **Lineage tracing: how it works** The basic concept of lineage tracing is simple, and is based on marking a
97 single cell in such a way that the mark is transmitted to the cell's progeny. To this aim, expression of a
98 reporter gene, such as the enzyme β -galactosidase or a fluorescent protein, is switched on in a subset of cells
99 in a tissue^{16,17}. If the labelled cells divide, they pass on the expression of the reporter to their daughter cells,
100 and form clusters of labelled cells, providing information about the number of progeny of the founder cell,
101 their location, and their differentiation status.

102 To conduct a lineage-tracing experiment a switch that is usually a drug-regulated form of the bacterial
103 enzyme Cre recombinase, must be engineered into the mouse genome. This enzyme recognizes specific
104 sequences, called *LoxP* sites^{16,17}, that are not naturally found in the mouse genome, and are inserted only in

105 transgenic constructs used to create the transgenic line (**Fig.1**). Put simply, Cre recombinase excises the DNA
106 between *LoxP* sites and rejoins the ends¹⁶(**Fig.1**). To control Cre activity have been developed modified
107 promoters that are active only in specific cell populations and allow Cre transcription exclusively in a drug-
108 sensitive fashion, thus leading to reporter expression.¹³⁻¹⁷ Already from this summary, it is clear that the
109 critical points to consider for an appropriate evaluation of the data should be three: the promoter, the switch
110 and the reporter.

111

112 **The promoter** By enabling the tracking of cells and their progeny at any time point, lineage tracing is
113 nowadays the most reliable technique to determine the stem or progenitor nature of a cell. However, the
114 choice of the promoter is an essential determinant of results. For example, using lineage tracing Humphreys
115 *et al* proved that tubular regeneration after acute kidney injury is totally derived from intrinsic epithelial
116 cells.¹⁰ Indeed, in this elegant study, the authors employed a mouse line in which the Six2 promoter directly
117 derived the expression of the Cre recombinase fused to the GFP.¹⁰ By crossing it with a Rosa26-LacZ or RFP
118 reporter line they obtained the reporter heritable expression.¹⁰ The authors were thus able to tag only
119 Six2⁺ renal epithelial precursors, which are present in the metanephric mesenchyme (MM) during the
120 developmental period of active nephrogenesis.¹¹ All the MM-derived nephron epithelial cells including
121 tubular cells, but no extra-tubular cells, were thus marked.¹⁰ The paper demonstrated that, following an
122 ischemic injury, no dilution of reporter-marked cells was present, and that surviving tubular cells were
123 positive for both the reporter and the proliferation marker Ki67, indicating that all reparative epithelial cells
124 originated from within the MM-derived nephron.^{10,18} The use of this promoter for tracing, however, does not
125 discriminate between terminally differentiated epithelial cells and putative intra-tubular progenitors, since
126 cells from which tubular structures of the nephron are derived are marked at the time of embryogenesis. In
127 addition, the use of a constitutive system cannot completely exclude upregulation of the label within the
128 tubules after development (as discussed in detail below). Thus, to finally dissect the contribution of potential
129 intratubular progenitors to tubular regeneration, lineage tracing strategies based on other promoters were
130 proposed. Indeed, Kusaba *et al.*¹⁹ used the sodium dependent inorganic phosphate transporter, SLC34a1, as a
131 marker to trace terminally differentiated proximal tubular cells. Based on the fact that there is no dilution of

132 fate marker after injury and repair, the authors concluded that progenitors do not participate in tubular
133 regeneration.¹⁹ However, this work which relies on lineage tracing of a cell population unified by a cell
134 marker, does not take into consideration the possibility that intrinsic renal progenitors may express
135 differentiated tubular markers to some degree. Indeed, expression of differentiation markers by tissue
136 resident progenitors was reported in several organs.²⁰⁻²⁴ Thus, the choice of a tubule differentiation marker
137 for the promoter may not allow excluding the existence of tubular-committed progenitors since they would
138 be genetically tagged similarly to differentiated tubular cells.

139 Interestingly , several studies have proposed the existence in adult human kidney of a putative population of
140 tubular progenitors characterized by co-expression of surface markers CD133 and CD24. These cells can co-
141 express tubular markers although at lower levels than other differentiated tubular cells and localize within the
142 tubule, scattered among differentiated tubular cells.²⁵⁻²⁷ To trace these putative tubular progenitors, Berger *et al.*²⁸,
143 used a conditional mouse originally designed to reproduce the expression pattern of the endogenous
144 podocalyxin gene within podocytes.⁸ In this mouse, transgene expression was unexpectedly detected within
145 parietal epithelial cells of the Bowman's capsule but not within podocytes.⁸ This allowed tracing the
146 migration of parietal epithelial cells within the glomerular tuft and demonstrate that these cells can act as
147 podocyte progenitors during kidney development.⁸ In further studies, the same authors demonstrated that
148 parietal epithelial cells represent a major constituents of sclerotic lesions in focal segmental
149 glomerulosclerosis and, together with podocytes,²⁹, in crescents^{30,31}. In a more recent article, Berger *et al.*
150 proposed that committed podocytes are recruited from Bowman's capsule even after birth, and that this
151 represents a committed intrinsic "podocyte reserve", which can be recruited to allow glomerular growth³²
152 and may be particularly active in human³³. Interestingly, Sagrinati *et al.* had described a progenitor potential of
153 parietal epithelial cells in human.³⁴⁻³⁸ Of note, in human, parietal epithelial cells of the Bowman's capsule
154 appear as undifferentiated at the urinary pole³⁸ and as podocyte-committed in more proximity to the vascular
155 pole³⁸ and, like putative tubular progenitors, are characterized by co-expression of CD133 and CD24.³⁴⁻³⁸. In
156 their study, Berger *et al.* assumed that, similarly to CD133, their mouse may tag tubular progenitors in
157 addition to parietal epithelial cells and then described a lack of amplification of tagged tubular cells
158 following acute kidney injury.²⁸ However, at difference with cells expressing CD133 in human kidney, that
159 were previously reported to behave as progenitors *in vitro* and following their transplantation in models of

160 acute tubular injury *in vivo*,^{26,34-41} the scattered tubular cells in the transgenic mouse described by Berger *et*
161 *al.* were not functionally studied.²⁸ In this mouse, multiple different cell types in addition to parietal epithelial
162 cells are tagged, and the progenitor nature of the tagged scattered tubular cells is hypothesized based on
163 expression of four markers that are shared by CD133+ human renal cells as well as by mouse parietal
164 epithelial cells of the Bowman's capsule in addition to other cell types. Of note, the distribution of tagged
165 tubular cells is also different from that reported for the CD133+ human tubular progenitors, that are mostly
166 localized in the S3 segment and distal tubule,^{26,34} while tagged cells in this mouse mostly localize in the
167 S1+S2, as well as S3 segment.²⁸ Since it is unknown if tubular progenitors in this mouse are really tagged,
168 the absence of their amplification in response to injury does not allow to conclude about their existence and
169 role. Thus, as a general concept, if lineage tracing strategies demonstrate self renewal and differentiation
170 capacity of the tagged cell population, one can conclude positively on the existence of a progenitor
171 population. However, if the cell type marked by the promoter is unknown, negative results never allow
172 definitive conclusions. Thus, the questions if tubular progenitors exist in the mouse and are involved in
173 tubular regeneration remain open. This further underlines how critical is the choice of the promoter used for
174 lineage tracing. For all these reasons, to draw conclusions about putative tubular progenitors, models that
175 exploit physiologically expressed genes at single cell resolutions that are progenitor-specific and which,
176 consequently, may unify human and mouse studies, are mandatory.

177 In fact, human stem cell studies usually rely on prospective isolation based on specific markers followed by
178 functional analysis including *in vivo* transplantation assays).³⁴⁻⁴¹ Accordingly, in the human fetal kidney and
179 Wilms tumor, Ncam1 and Aldh1 (especially the Aldh1a2 paralogue),⁴²⁻⁴⁷ CD133 and CD24⁴⁸ have been put
180 forward as stem/progenitor cell markers in early mesenchyme (Six2⁺) and derived epithelial precursors (Six2⁻
181).⁴²⁻⁴⁸ In the human adult kidney, CD133, CD24 and Aldh have been suggested to mark putative *in situ*
182 epithelial progenitor populations while Ncam1 down-regulated after completion of nephrogenesis and
183 reactivated in culture and following injury,⁴⁹ delineated a clonogenic cell subset that exhibited de-
184 differentiation, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition characteristics converting to a stem cell-like state.⁴⁹

185 Lineage tracing based on such markers may define a role in tubular homeostasis and regeneration for
186 progenitors similar to the ones described in human. A prerequisite for this analysis is that a given
187 marker/promoter would share homology in humans and mice, similar mRNA or protein levels and similar

188 expression domain. This could be relevant to Ncam1/Aldh1a2 and hence generation of conditional
189 Ncam1/Aldh1a2-CreERT2 mice would clarify a role in development and in adults. In contrast, markers such
190 as human CD133 cannot be used in the mouse kidney.⁵⁰ Indeed, human CD133 was first isolated from
191 hematopoietic stem cells by a monoclonal antibody recognizing a specific epitope designated as AC133.^{51,52}
192 Once included in the Cluster of Differentiation nomenclature (CD), it was thus classified based on the name
193 of the epitope (CD133).^{51,52} CD133 currently serves as a useful marker for the isolation of many different
194 types of stem and progenitor cells in adult human tissues, even for clinical purpose.^{51,52} However, antibodies
195 that recognize portions of the human CD133 protein different from the AC133 epitope do not specifically
196 recognize stem cells, but are rather expressed by many differentiated epithelia.^{51,52} Recent results suggest that
197 only antibodies that recognize epitopes localize in the second extracellular loop, like the AC133 and 293C3
198 clones used to identify human renal progenitors,⁵⁰ are suitable for stem cell and progenitors recognition.^{51,52}
199 Although for these reasons use of CD133 mRNA or gene to detect renal progenitors in the mouse is currently
200 not possible,^{34,50} a lineage tracing approach that uses a promoter that is co-expressed with CD133 on renal
201 progenitors may be suitable. Future studies are required to address this possibility. Importantly, there may
202 exist the opposite situation in which a murine marker such as Sca1, previously shown to identify an MSC-
203 like cell population in the adult mouse kidney),⁴⁹ has no homologous protein in humans, precluding
204 transplantation assays with counterpart human cells. Therefore, for any kind of promoter system used, the
205 expression pattern has to be accurately chosen and verified prior to the actual cell fate tracing experiments.

206

207 **The switch** The power and specificity of lineage tracing is in the variety of 'switches' that are now available
208 to allow a temporal control over the activity of the Cre recombinase. Indeed, it must be underlined that the
209 simple use of a cell-specific promoter that directly drives Cre expression without the use of a switch that
210 allows turning on and off of Cre recombinase transcription over time, can alter the results obtained with
211 lineage tracing. Indeed, transient expression of the gene in another cell population, or later expression in cells
212 of the tissue under study can induce *de novo* activation of Cre recombinase in a way that a part of the newly
213 generated cells won't be derived from initially tagged ones. This *in vivo* genetic fate mapping is frequently
214 confused with lineage tracing analysis and cannot give conclusive results, and often leads to over

215 interpretation of the data. For example, Sakamoto et al.⁵³ concluded, in a model of FSGS, that podocytes
216 transit into a parietal epithelial cell phenotype⁵³. However, in the constitutive mouse model used in this
217 study, upregulation of podocyte markers may have occurred in whatever moment in parietal epithelial cells
218 leading to Cre recombinase activation and cell tagging, and thus the labelled cells may also represent parietal
219 epithelial cells differentiating into podocytes.⁵³ For this reason, lineage tracing needs to be based on temporal
220 regulation of marker gene expression. To this aim, inducible models have been created, also termed
221 conditional models. Cell-specific promoters have been modified with regulatory elements that control Cre
222 expression only when activated by specific exogenous molecules. As a consequence, the Cre recombinase
223 will recombine the DNA in the desired cells that express the marker gene, only during the administration of
224 the inductor molecule, thus opening a transient window in which cells may be labeled. Upon withdrawal of
225 the molecule, no other cell that has not been labeled during the administration window can undergo genetic
226 recombination, neither if it express the cell-specific promoter. Conditional assays principally rely on
227 Tamoxifen-regulated systems (CreER^T) (**Fig. 1A**) or on Tetracycline-regulated systems (**Fig. 1B**).
228 Tamoxifen-based systems are built to constitutively express an inactivated form of Cre recombinase fused to
229 a modified Estrogen Receptor (ER^T) (**Fig. 1A**). When tamoxifen binds to the ER the protein can modify its
230 structure and enter the nucleus, gaining access to DNA, where activated Cre may recombine (**Fig. 1A**). In
231 Tetracycline-controlled systems the cell-specific promoter drives the expression of a reverse tetracycline-
232 controlled transactivator (rtTA) (**Fig. 1B**). When this protein is ligated by administered Doxycycline, it will
233 bind DNA sequences of Tetracycline-controlled transcriptional activation-elements (Tet), which in turn
234 drives Cre expression (**Fig. 1B**). Cre will then act on the reporter transgene by ligating loxP elements (**Fig.**
235 **1B**). An interesting comparison of how different the result can be when obtained with constitutive versus
236 conditional mice can be observed in two recent studies by Pippin *et al.*, who used the renin gene as a cell-
237 specific promoter in order to label and trace cells of the juxtaglomerular apparatus.^{54,55} The authors
238 demonstrated that renin expressing cells have regenerative capacity and are able to replace podocytes as well
239 as parietal epithelial cells in a mouse model of FSGS, suggesting that they may serve as upstream
240 mesenchymal progenitors for both parietal epithelial cells and podocytes.⁵⁴ In both of these studies, the
241 authors used a constitutive model in which renin drives the recombination that leads to fluorophore ZsGreen
242 expression, within cells.^{54,55} Using this fate mapping approach, the authors reported an increase in cells of

243 renin lineage in the intraglomerular compartment at 14 days after injury, with a subset that coexpressed the
244 podocyte markers nephrin, podocin and synaptopodin, indicative of a podocyte-like marker
245 phenotype.⁵⁴ Since any cell population that had expressed renin, in any moment of animal life, would result
246 as genetically tagged, the use of a constitutive model here, limits our interpretations into whether the renin-
247 positive population contributes to podocyte replenishment. Moreover podocytes can express renin under
248 some circumstances, which could be an alternative explanation for the co-expressions of podocyte
249 markers and fluorescence signal.⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ In one of the two studies⁵⁴ the authors also used a conditional mouse
250 system to perform lineage tracing of renin cells, and similarly concluded that these cells can act as podocyte
251 progenitors.⁵⁴ However, when traced with the conditional mouse in the same FSGS model, renin expressing
252 cells that derived from podocytes appeared to be extremely rare, more than ten fold less than with the
253 conditional mouse.^{54,55} These studies reveal that these methodological aspects can have strong impact on data
254 interpretation and conceptual conclusions.

255 Interestingly, a recent study by Starke *et al*⁹ further analyzed the hypothesis that cells of renin lineage act as
256 precursors for other renal cells by using an inducible mouse model that labeled renin cells with β -gal.⁹ In
257 that paper, the authors demonstrated that two-thirds of the glomerular tufts became β -gal positive following
258 mesangial injury and intraglomerular renin descendant cells colocalized only with mesangial but not with
259 endothelial, podocyte, or parietal epithelial cell markers, suggesting that renin cells can act as precursors for
260 mesangial cells, but not for podocytes.⁹ Thus, further studies are required to effectively address the
261 contribution of renin cells to podocyte replenishments.

262 Although the use of a time dependent switch is an essential pre-requisite for a correct lineage tracing
263 experiment, further important caveats should be considered when designing such an experiment and
264 interpreting results. First of all, it has to be considered that the doses of the drug given to the animal will
265 affect the analysis, and thus must be chosen depending on the experimental purpose. Indeed, low or sub-
266 optimal administration will label the population of interest at clonal density, and it is therefore used to study
267 origins of particular cell population, as demonstrated by Rios *et al*.³ High doses will instead maximize the
268 labeling of the entire stem/progenitor pool, enabling the visualization of their cumulative contributions
269 within the entire compartment.^{13,14} Second, an important limitation for conditional experiments can be
270 leakiness of the system: where there is residual recombination even in the absence of induction. Because of

271 this limitation, multiple control groups must be estimated in all experimental conditions, and various tissues
272 must be evaluated. Additional limitations include, transgene constructs that may spontaneously silence and
273 lead to under representation of data. Cell fusion events may also occur *in vivo* in a number of tissues⁵⁹ leading
274 to spreading of fluorophore expression, and to false interpretations of multi-lineage contributions.^{13,14,60} Third,
275 it must be considered that drugs like tamoxifen or doxycycline can be given through different routes of
276 administration, like intraperitoneally, by chow or drinking water, introducing a variability in results,
277 depending on timing, health conditions, age, weight, and more. Finally, mosaic activation of cre
278 recombination can occur. Indeed, ideally, the reporter gene is driven by a ubiquitously active promoter or
279 gene locus. Unfortunately, no such promoter has been identified so far. Viral promoter fragments usually are
280 transcriptionally active in a mosaic fashion, and the ROSA26 locus is transcriptionally active at a low level
281 in most cells but it may be transiently down-regulated in diseased tissue."
282 All these considerations, highlight how challenging lineage tracing experiments can be, and how an accurate
283 choice of the switch and of its timing, are critical determinants of the results and of their interpretations.

284

285 ***The reporter*** The third critical ingredient for a lineage tracing experiment is the choice of the reporter.
286 Traditionally, the *Escherichia coli* lacZ gene, β -galactosidase, was one of the first reporters used for lineage
287 tracing and has been used extensively.^{13,14} β -galactosidase produces an intense blue color when incubated
288 with the substrate analog X-gal, but is technically difficult and can be variable. Alternatively, beta-gal can be
289 visualized using immunofluorescent stainings, although with such methods podocytes may show low-level
290 background staining.¹³⁻¹⁵ Fluorescent reporters have become the new standard, and in this case detection by
291 epifluorescence is far superior to antibody-enhanced methods, which are subject to nonspecific binding of
292 antibodies and also raises the possibility of imaging clones of cells in living tissue through the use of
293 multicolor reporters. Such dynamic analysis of cell-fate decisions is facilitated by advances in imaging, such
294 as two- or multiphoton fluorescence microscopes, which enable in-depth scanning and optimal fluorophore
295 separation of the multicolor fluorescence, as recently illustrated by an elegant study that used this approach
296 to visualize podocyte response to injury.⁶¹ In multicolor reporters, attention should be paid to the use of lines
297 that express Cre constitutively because a change in cell color ("flipping") may occurs even after the initial
298 Cre recombination. Therefore, multicolor reporters are optimal when used in combination with inducible Cre

models. The high choice of different reporters that are becoming available is now one of the major strengths of lineage tracing approaches, but can also limit comparisons of results and their interpretations. For instance, the sensitivity to Cre-mediated recombination of different reporter alleles targeted to the *Rosa26* locus can vary substantially. Some *Rosa26*-targeted reporters will respond efficiently to Cre but can show leaky expression (background expression) in the absence of the Cre-inducing drug.¹³⁻¹⁵ Others are less efficient, and so have less background.¹³⁻¹⁵ These issues are crucial when comparing studies in which Cre is being used to report gene expression or signaling activity, as the proportion of cells labeled may reflect the reporter that is used as much as the level of Cre activity.¹³⁻¹⁵ However, a careful choice of the reporter may even allow sophisticated quantitation of regeneration after injury processes. To this aim, one of the most used reporter genes is the *mT/mG(membraneTomato/membraneGreen)* transgene, which is characterized by a constitutive expression of the membrane bound fluorescent Tomato Red protein in all the cells of the animal body (**Fig. 2A**). As the sequence encoding the Tomato Red is floxed by two loxP site, only cells that will undergo recombination events will be able to express the membrane bound GFP which is downstream of Tomato Red, thus turning red into green (**Fig. 2A**). One example of a paper which used this system is Wanner *et al.* that studied podocyte turnover and regeneration in aging, in a unilateral nephrectomy models and following acute podocyte loss.⁶² To study severe podocytes depletion the authors employed a quadruple transgenic model in which the podocyte marker Podocin conditionally induced the shift of the *mT/mG* transgene present on one *Rosa26* allele, while inducing the expression of the human simian Diphtheria toxin receptor (iDTR) transgene, codified under the other *Rosa26* allele (**Fig.2**).⁶² Using this methodology, podocytes were tagged in green and were induced to express the iDTR following doxycycline administration, making them susceptible to a specific ablation as a consequence of the Diphtheria toxin injection.⁶² With this approach, newly generated podocytes can only be red colored due to the withdrawal of doxycycline before the onset of the damage.⁶² While this approach enables quantification of *de novo* generated podocytes, it does not permit to identify the source of the novel podocytes population, neither does it allow to establish the origins or identities of the progenitor population.⁶² By employing this transgenic mouse model the authors reported, at 4 week from Diphtheria toxin (DTA) injection, a significant increase in the percentage of red-positive (newly generated) podocytes after ablation (**Fig. 2B**), corresponding to regeneration of 38% of lost podocytes, while after nephrectomy and in an aging model no increase in

Tomato Red⁺podocytes was reported.⁶² Interestingly, the DTA model is the only one that is characterized by podocyte depletion, while nephrectomy and aging do not necessarily lead to podocyte loss. This suggests that podocyte regeneration may occur only after podocyte detachment or death.

Even if genetically modified mice with inducible recombination under different promoters have been used for *in vivo* fate mapping in the kidney, these analyses were performed on the population level, and not on individual cells. As such, cellular models for kidney development, physiologic tissue maintenance, and regeneration *in vivo* remained open questions. To this aim, multicolour reporters, that enable combinatorial expression of multiple fluorescent proteins in a stochastic manner under the control of a specific promoter, like the 'Brainbow' (**Fig. 3**), 'Rainbow' or 'Confetti' constructs, have opened a new wide range of possibilities.

Rinkevich *and* Dekel.,¹² have recently utilized such a genetic marking strategy for lineage tracing of individual renal precursors in the adult mouse kidney. This strategy entails the use of a multicolor (red, yellow, green, blue) Cre-dependent reporter construct within the ROSA locus ($R26^{VT2/GK3}$, termed 'Rainbow'), in addition to a lineage tracing strategy that is independent of candidate markers (using an inducible Cre-ER fusion protein under the ubiquitous Actin promoter). $Actin^{CreER}$; $R26^{VT2/GK3}$ offspring injected with tamoxifen, induced cytoplasmic fusion protein to enter the nucleus and permanently recombine a random single color-encoding gene in all renal epithelial cells, regardless of their locations, marker gene expressions or developmental potentials.¹² Using this unbiased assay, clonal analysis of individual cells over a 7-month period was performed, revealing the emergences of unipotent clones of three major types (proximal, distal tubule, and collecting tubules) each of which contributed to individual tubule segments over that tracing period¹² (**Fig. 4A**). Because of the cellular density of the kidney tissue, clonal analysis using a 4-color reporter construct may mask cellular contributions from adjacent and similarly colored cells to these clones.¹² To circumvent this possibility, Rinkevich *and* Dekel., have employed a protocol using single and low doses of tamoxifen. In these experiments, the tissue frequency of recombination was low enough (<1%) as to sparsely label single cells with individual fluorescent colors within large and non-colored kidney domains.¹² These low-dose experiments demonstrated that large and single colored clones are outputs of individual cells that generate and regenerate the kidney epithelia¹² (**Fig. 4B, white arrows**). Thus, using a ubiquitous, unbiased promoter (Actin), clonal analysis helped identify a distinct mode of renal epithelial cell

turnover in maintenance and following ischemia and toxic AKI.¹² Long-term lineage tracing *in vivo* revealed significant tubulogenesis has occurred within the mature kidney epithelia that produce slowly expanding clonal foci with segmental boundaries. To visualize the full sizes and distributions of clones Rinkevich and Dekel isolated intact nephron segments from *Actin*^{CreER}; *R26*^{VT2/GK3} mice that were chased for 7-months¹² (**Fig. 4, C, D**). Intact tubules exhibited large epithelial clones within individual segments indicating that cells with lineage-restricted capacities and progenitor characteristics reside within tubule segments of the nephron.¹² Following this 7-month clonal analysis, large clones also appeared within multiple glomeruli (**Fig. 4, E-G**), indicating that both adult tubules and glomeruli house active clone forming cells.¹²

Another system that is employed for lineage tracing and clonal analysis during embryonic development involves the creation of multicolored chimeric mice, termed tetrachimera mice.⁶³ These mice are generated by injecting mouse embryonic stem cells that express separate fluorescent proteins (GFP-mES, RFP-mES, CFP-mES) into wild-type blastocysts.⁶³ Kidneys from generated tetrachimera mice revealed that mature nephrons were polyclonal, indicating derivation during development from mixed contributions of clones, each of which contributed to individual tubule segments and to individual regions within nephrons⁶³ (**Fig. 4H**).

Clonal analysis can further be used in the context of generating renal organoids. Following our previous success in establishing long-term kidney sphere cultures from single cell suspensions of human patients, recapitulating renal features and *in vivo* renal capacity,^{42,64} Rinkevich et al.¹² recently used the Rainbow system to investigate the *in vitro* fates from individual renal precursors, by establishing a culture system of growing murine renal epithelial organoids in suspension.¹² In this assay, kidneys were harvested from *Actin*^{CreER}; *R26*^{VT2/GK3} mice immediately after a tamoxifen administration regimen, dissociated into single cells, and plated with matrigel. Within several days of culturing, monoclonal renal organoids developed from individual cells that gradually enlarged, and then opened into hollow spheres resembling renal tubes *in vivo*.¹² Similar to *in vivo* observations, clonal progeny developing within renal organoids maintained segment identity. When combined with isolation of renal cell fractions using flow cytometry, This type of approach allows the identifications of *in vitro* clonal efficiencies as well as progenitor frequencies within isolated FACS-sorted renal fractions¹² (**Fig. 4, I-I''**).

382 The same study also examined the clonal capacities of Wnt-responsive cells by using a Wnt reporter
383 *Axin*^{CreER} that expresses the Cre-ER fusion protein under the promoter of the *Axin* gene; which provides
384 negative feedback in the Wnt- β -catenin signaling pathway. Single cell analysis was performed on the
385 population of renal cells that were Wnt responsive by crossing *Axin2*^{CreER} mice to 'Rainbow' reporter
386 mice.¹² *Axin2*^{CreER}; *R26*^{VT2/GK3} that were lineage traced from e17.5 up to the 5th postnatal months showed
387 single colored and large clones within the adult tubules, indicating that they derived from individual Wnt-
388 responsive precursors¹² (**Fig. 4J**). The authors performed a comparison of clone size between the unbiased
389 clonal analysis (*Actin*^{CreER} promoter) and clonal analysis using Wnt-responsive cell fractions
390 (*Axin*^{CreER} promoter).¹² Their analysis suggests that Wnt-responsive cells (WRCs) are cells with significant
391 proliferative capacity, behaving *de facto* as long-lived unipotent progenitors when an appropriate stimuli to
392 clonally expand/self-renew is received.¹²

393 Consistent with this, Barker *et al*⁷ has identified cells within developing kidney (following epithelial
394 induction) that express LGR5⁺ (a Wnt co-receptor). The authors crossed *LGR5*^{CreER} with a similar multicolor
395 (red, yellow, green, blue) Cre-dependent reporter construct within the ROSA locus (the Confetti reporter)
396 and found individual LGR5⁺ cells (most likely Wnt responsive cells) as the immediate progenitors that
397 generate the thick ascending limb of Henle's loop and distal convoluted tubule.⁷ Despite the fact that LGR5 is
398 silenced at postnatal stages of development and fails to trace Wnt-responsive cells in the adult, both reports
399 demonstrate that constant tubulogenesis is occurring within the mammalian kidney via a similar mechanism
400 involving Wnt-responsive precursors giving rise to other cells.

401 Taken altogether, the *in vivo* clonal analysis demonstrates that lineage-committed cells with progenitor
402 characteristics continuously maintain and self-preserve the mouse kidney throughout life and after AKI.

403

404 **An outlook on emerging methodologies** In the most recent years, novel techniques emerged that can
405 potentially solve many of the ongoing questions and debates about kidney regeneration. The recent
406 observation that adult progenitors expressing low levels of differentiation markers may be involved in
407 regeneration of adult tissues was for example recently addressed in the brain by using Split-cre. Such a
408 strategy, which can allow lineage tracing of a specific population in response to a combination of two

409 reporters, can be achieved by expressing the two fragments of a split Cre recombinase from two different
410 tissue-specific promoters.^{65,66} This lead to the characterization of different types of progenitors in adult
411 mouse brain based on co-expression of CD133 and neural-specific markers.^{22-24,67} Fate mapping experiments
412 based on the overlapping activity of two promoters can also be performed by using two independent
413 recombinases (Cre and Flp) driven by two promoters combined with a special reporter allele.⁶⁷ This system
414 has been used to identify and analyse neural progenitors in several brain regions.^{23,24,68} These strategies may
415 be applied also to address the existence and potential role of renal progenitors in the mouse kidney.

416 Although lineage tracing techniques hold the potential to change our knowledge of kidney biology, they add
417 to their complexity being time consuming and highly costly. Indeed, genetic manipulation in mammalian
418 species are usually made in the germline of an organism, which can then be used to create a stable transgenic
419 strain for experimentation.⁶⁹ Recently, however, the RNA-guided endonuclease Cas9 from microbial type II
420 CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat) systems (previously referred to as Cas5 or
421 Csn1)⁷⁰⁻⁷⁸ has been harnessed to facilitate genetic manipulations in a variety of cell types and organisms
422 (reviewed in Hsu et al., 2014).⁷⁷ A major advantage in CRISPR/Cas gene editing is that reactions can be
423 multiplexed to introduce multiple genome modifications in a single step.^{77,78} Cas9 can be easily
424 reprogrammed using RNA guides to generate targeted DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), which can
425 stimulate genome editing via one of the two DNA damage repair pathways: nonhomologous end-joining
426 (NHEJ), resulting in insertions and deletions (indels) or homology-directed repair (HDR), resulting in precise
427 sequence substitution in the presence of a repair template.^{77,78} Unlike other programmable nuclease systems
428 used for genome editing, a unique advantage of the Cas9 system is that Cas9 can be combined with multiple
429 single-guide RNAs (sgRNA). However, commonly used delivery systems based on lentiviral and adeno-
430 associated viral (AAV) vectors have limited packaging capacity which renders it challenging for
431 incorporation of Cas9 along with sgRNA expression cassettes and necessary genetic elements (i.e.,
432 promoters, fluorescent proteins, and polyadenylation sequences). Thus, to facilitate broader applications of
433 CRISPR-Cas9, Platt et al. generated a Cre-dependent Rosa26 Cas9 knockin mouse to overcome the delivery
434 challenges associated with Cas9 (**Fig. 5**).⁷⁹ Cas9-expressing cells derived from the constitutive Cas9-
435 expressing mice facilitate genome editing because it requires only the introduction of sgRNAs, which can be
436 efficiently delivered using viral and nonviral sgRNA delivery methods.⁷⁹ This mouse can be used in

437 conjunction with a variety of guide RNA delivery reagents, including to facilitate genome editing in multiple
438 tissues *in vivo* (**Fig. 5**).⁷⁹ *In vivo* as well as *ex vivo* genome editing using adeno-associated virus (AAV)-,
439 lentivirus-, or particle-mediated delivery of guide RNA in neurons, immune cells, and endothelial cells was
440 reported.⁷⁹ More importantly, Platt et al. delivered a single AAV vector in the Cre-dependent LSL-Cas9
441 model to generate loss-of-function mutations in the tumor suppressors *Trp53* (*p53*) and *Lkb1*, and homology-
442 directed repair modification of *Kras* to oncogenic *KrasG12D* simultaneously.⁷⁹ Intra-tracheal delivery of this
443 vector, which also carried Cre and a luciferase reporter sequence in addition to the sgRNA and *KrasG12D*
444 homology repair templates, resulted in adenocarcinomas in 100% of the infected animals.⁷⁹ These data
445 suggest that the conditional LSL-Cas9 mice provide exciting new tools to perform targeted genomic
446 manipulation in traditionally challenging cell types *in vivo* and *ex vivo*, and to generate novel and fast
447 strategies for lineage tracing which hold great promises for regenerative studies.

448

449 **Conclusion**

450 Lineage tracing strategies are powerful tools that are now growingly applied to understand the physio-
451 pathological mechanisms that govern kidney regeneration and disease. Novel options to enhance the system
452 and its possible applications are continuously becoming available, further increasing its possible
453 applications. Caution must be paid particularly to the type of promoter, switch and reporter used, as well as
454 on the induction times that are best to study the pre-specified end points. Even with these caveats, lineage
455 tracing strategies are making accessible to our knowledge a wide range of processes, including kidney
456 regeneration, which can now be directly visualized for the first time. Lineage tracing methods at single cell
457 resolutions rather than cell populations would provide the most accurate genealogical descriptions of
458 stem/progenitor behaviors. Consequently, novel types of murine models where renal progenitors are
459 specifically tagged are being produced, and novel techniques to reduce the complexity and costs of lineage
460 tracing experiments are appearing. Gradually, mechanisms of kidney regeneration are being clarified and new
461 answers to old questions are gradually being found. These approaches and their use will allow the
462 identifications and complete characterizing of all progenitor populations within the kidney and their
463 contribution to kidney regeneration with unprecedented resolution and accuracy.

464

465

466 **Acknowledgements**

467 This manuscript is supported by the European Community under the European Community's Seventh
468 Framework Programme (FP7/2012-2016), grant number 305436 (PR), The Israel Scientific Foundation
469 Grant Award 910/11 and the NephroTools Marie Curie Program FP7 (BD).

470

471 **Competing interests**

472 Paola Romagnani is author of two patents on human renal progenitors (patent numbers: PCT/EP2007/054132
473 and F12013A000303) that are property of the University of Florence as well as of the public paediatric
474 Meyer Children's Hospital.

475

476

477 **Bibliography**

478

- 479 1. Chaible LM, Corat MA, Abdelhay E, Dagli ML. Genetically modified animals for use in research and
480 biotechnology. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 9, 1469-82 (2010).
- 481 2. Barker, N., *et al.* Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene Lgr5. *Nature*.
482 449, 1003-7 (2007).
- 483 3. Rios, A.C., Fu, N.Y., Lindeman, G.J., & Visvader, J.E. In situ identification of bipotent stem cells in
484 the mammary gland. *Nature*. 506, 322-7 (2014).
- 485 4. Rompolas, P., Mesa, K.R., & Greco, V. Spatial organization within a niche as a determinant of stem-cell
486 fate. *Nature*. 502, 513-8 (2013).
- 487 5. Snippert, H.J., *et al.* Intestinal crypt homeostasis results from neutral competition between symmetrically
488 dividing Lgr5 stem cells. *Cell*. 143, 134-44 (2010).
- 489 6. Ritsma, L., *et al.* Intestinal crypt homeostasis revealed at single-stem-cell level by in vivo live imaging.
490 *Nature*. 507, 362-5 (2014).
- 491 7. Barker N, Rookmaaker MB, Kujala P, Ng A, Leushacke M, Snippert H, van de Wetering M, Tan S, Van
492 Es JH, Huch M, Poulsom R, Verhaar MC, Peters PJ, Clevers H. Lgr5(+ve) stem/progenitor cells
493 contribute to nephron formation during kidney development. *Cell Rep.* 2, 540-52 (2012).
- 494 8. Appel, D., *et al.* Recruitment of podocytes from glomerular parietal epithelial cells. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.*
495 20, 333-43 (2009).
- 496 9. Starke, C., *et al.* Renin Lineage Cells Repopulate the Glomerular Mesangium after Injury. *J. Am. Soc.*
497 *Nephrol.* 2014 Jun 5. [Epub ahead of print]
- 498 10. Humphreys, B.D., *et al.* Intrinsic epithelial cells repair the kidney after injury. *Cell. Stem. Cell.* 2, 284-91
499 (2008).
- 500 11. Kobayashi, A., *et al.* Six2 defines and regulates a multipotent self-renewing nephron progenitor
501 population throughout mammalian kidney development. *Cell. Stem. Cell.* 3, 169-81 (2008).
- 502 12. Rinkevich, Y., *et al.* In vivo clonal analysis reveals lineage-restricted progenitor characteristics in
503 mammalian kidney development, maintenance, and regeneration. *Cell. Rep.* 7, 1270-83 (2014).
- 504 13. Kretschmar, K., & Watt, F.M. Lineage tracing. *Cell*. 148, 33-45 (2012).
- 505 14. Blanpain, C., & Simons, B.D. Unravelling stem cell dynamics by lineage tracing. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell.*
506 *Biol.* 14, 489-502, (2013).
- 507 15. Humphreys, B.D., & Dirocco, D.P. Lineage-tracing methods and the kidney. *Kidney. Int.* 2013.
- 508 16. Hoess, R.H., Wierzbicki, A., & Abremski, K. The role of the loxP spacer region in P1 site-specific
509 recombination. *Nucleic. Acids. Res.* 14, 2287-300 (1986).
- 510 17. Livet, J., *et al.* Transgenic strategies for combinatorial expression of fluorescent proteins in the nervous
511 system. *Nature*. 450, 56-62 (2007).

- 512 18. Humphreys, B.D., Czerniak, S., DiRocco, D.P., Hasnain, W., Cheema, R., & Bonventre, J.V. Repair of
513 injured proximal tubule does not involve specialized progenitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 108, 9226-
514 31 (2011).
- 515 19. Kusaba, T., Lalli, M., Kramann, R., Kobayashi, A., & Humphreys, B.D. Differentiated kidney epithelial
516 cells repair injured proximal tubule. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 111, 1527-32 (2014).
- 517 20. Mascré, G. *et al.* Distinct contribution of stem and progenitor cells to epidermal maintenance. *Nature*. 489,
518 257-62 (2012).
- 519 21. Pina, C. *et al.* Inferring rules of lineage commitment in haematopoiesis. *Nature Cell Biol.* 14, 287-294
520 (2012)
- 521 22. Miyoshi, G., & Fishell, G. Directing neuron-specific transgene expression in the mouse CNS. *Curr Opin*
522 *Neurobiol* 16, 577-584 (2006).
- 523 23. Awatramani, R., Soriano, P., Rodriguez, C., Mai, J.J., Dymecki, S.M. Cryptic boundaries in roof plate
524 and choroid plexus identified by intersectional gene activation. *Nat Genet* 35, 70-75 (2003).
- 525 24. Jensen, P., *et al.* Redefining the serotonergic system by genetic lineage. *Nat Neurosci* 11, 417-419
526 (2008).
- 527 25. Lindgren, D., *et al.* Isolation and characterization of progenitor-like cells from human renal proximal
528 tubules. *Am. J. Pathol.* 178, 828-37 (2011).
- 529 26. Angelotti, M.L., *et al.* Characterization of renal progenitors committed toward tubular lineage and their
530 regenerative potential in renal tubular injury. *Stem Cells*. 30, 1714-25 (2012).
- 531 27. Smeets B, Boor P, Dijkman H, Sharma SV, Jirak P, Mooren F, Berger K, Bornemann J, Gelman IH,
532 Floege J, van der Vlag J, Wetzels JF, Moeller MJ. Proximal tubular cells contain a phenotypically
533 distinct, scattered cell population involved in tubular regeneration. *J Pathol.* 2013 Apr;229(5):645-59.
- 534 28. Berger, K., *et al.* Origin of regenerating tubular cells after acute kidney injury. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*.
535 111, 1533-8 (2014).
- 536 29. Moeller, M.J. *et al.* Podocytes populate cellular crescents in a murine model of inflammatory
537 glomerulonephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 15, 61-7 (2004).
- 538 30. Smeets, B., *et al.* Parietal epithelial cells participate in the formation of sclerotic lesions in focal
539 segmental glomerulosclerosis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 22, 1262-74 (2011).
- 540 31. Smeets, B., *et al.* Tracing the origin of glomerular extracapillary lesions from parietal epithelial cells. *J.*
541 *Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 20, 2604-15 (2009).
- 542 32. Berger, K., *et al.* The regenerative potential of parietal epithelial cells in adult mice. *J Am Soc Nephrol.*
543 25, 693-705 (2014).
- 544 33. Berger, K., & Moeller, M.J. Podocytopenia, parietal epithelial cells and glomerulosclerosis. *Nephrol Dial*
545 *Transplant.* 29, 948-50 (2014).
- 546 34. Romagnani, P., Lasagni, L., & Remuzzi, G. Renal progenitors: an evolutionary conserved strategy for
547 kidney regeneration. *Nat. Rev. Nephrol.* 9, 137-46 (2013).

- 548 35. Romagnani, P., & Remuzzi, G. Renal progenitors in non-diabetic and diabetic
549 nephropathies. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 24, 13-20 (2013).
- 550 36. Lasagni, L., & Romagnani, P. Glomerular epithelial stem cells: the good, the bad, and the ugly. *J. Am.*
551 *Soc. Nephrol.* 21, 1612-9 (2010).
- 552 37. Sagrinati, C., *et al.* Isolation and characterization of multipotent progenitor cells from the Bowman's
553 capsule of adult human kidneys. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 17, 2443-56 (2006).
- 554 38. Ronconi, E., *et al.* Regeneration of glomerular podocytes by human renal progenitors. *J. Am.*
555 *Soc. Nephrol.* 20, 322-32 (2009).
- 556 39. Bussolati, B., *et al.* Isolation of renal progenitor cells from adult human kidney. *Am. J. Pathol.* 166, 545-
557 55, 2005.
- 558 40. Mazzinghi, B., *et al.* Essential but differential role for CXCR4 and CXCR7 in the therapeutic homing of
559 human renal progenitor cells. *J Exp Med.* 205, 479-90 (2008).
- 560 41. Lazzeri, E., *et al.* Urine-derived human renal progenitors for personalized modeling of genetic kidney
561 disorders. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* in press
- 562 42. Dziedzic, K., Pleniceanu, O., & Dekel, B. Kidney stem cells in development, regeneration and
563 cancer. *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* 2014 Aug 13. pii: S1084-9521(14)00238-9. doi:
564 10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.08.003. [Epub ahead of print]
- 565 43. Metsuyanin S., *et al.* Expression of stem cell markers in the human fetal kidney. *PLoS One.* 2009 Aug
566 21;4(8):e6709.
- 567 44. Harari-Steinberg, O., *et al.* Identification of human nephron progenitors capable of generation of kidney
568 structures and functional repair of chronic renal disease. *EMBO. Mol. Med.* 5, 1556-68 (2013).
- 569 45. Pode-Shakked, N., *et al.* The isolation and characterization of renal cancer initiating cells from human
570 Wilms' tumour xenografts unveils new therapeutic targets. *EMBO. Mol. Med.* 5, 18-37 (2013).
- 571 46. Shukrun, R., *et al.* Wilms' tumor blastemal stem cells dedifferentiate to propagate the tumor bulk. *Stem*
572 *Cell Reports.* 3, 24-33 (2014).
- 573 47. Buzhor, E., *et al.* Reactivation of NCAM1 defines a subpopulation of human adult kidney epithelial cells
574 with clonogenic and stem/progenitor properties. *Am. J. Pathol.* 183, 1621-33 (2013).
- 575 48. Lazzeri, E., *et al.* Regenerative potential of embryonic renal multipotent progenitors in acute renal
576 failure. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 18, 3128-3138 (2007).
- 577 49. Dekel, B., *et al.* Isolation and characterization of nontubular sca-1+lin- multipotent stem/progenitor cells
578 from adult mouse kidney. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 17, 3300-14 (2006).
- 579 50. Angelotti, M.L., Lazzeri, E., Lasagni, L., Romagnani, P. Only anti-CD133 antibodies recognizing the
580 CD133/1 or the CD133/2 epitopes can identify human renal progenitors. *Kidney Int.* 78, 620-1 (2010).
- 581 51. Shmelkov, S.V., St Clair, R., Lyden, D., Rafii, S. AC133/CD133/Prominin-1. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.*
582 37, 715-719 (2005).
- 583 52. Grosse-Gehling, P., *et al.* CD133 as a biomarker for putative cancer stem cells in solid tumours:
584 limitations, problems and challenges. *J Pathol.* 229, 355-78 (2013).

585 53. Sakamoto, K., *et al.* The direction and role of phenotypic transition between podocytes and parietal
586 epithelial cells in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 306, F98-F104
587 (2014).

588 54. Pippin, J.W., *et al.* Cells of renin lineage are progenitors of podocytes and parietal epithelial cells in
589 experimental glomerular disease. *Am. J. Pathol.* 183, 542-57 (2013).

590 55. Pippin, J.W., *et al.* Cells of renin lineage take on a podocyte phenotype in aging nephropathy. *Am. J.*
591 *Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 306, F1198-209 (2014).

592 56. Liebau, M.C., *et al.* Functional expression of the renin-angiotensin system in human podocytes. *Am. J.*
593 *Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 290, F710-9 (2006).

594 57. Velez, J.C., Bland, A.M., Arthur, J.M., Raymond, J.R., & Janech, M.G. Characterization of renin-
595 angiotensin system enzyme activities in cultured mouse podocytes. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 293,
596 F398-407 (2007).

597 58. Rosenberg, M.E., Correa-Rotter, R., Inagami, T., Kren, S.M., Hostetter, T.H. Glomerular renin synthesis
598 and storage in the remnant kidney in the rat. *Kidney Int.* 40, 677-83 (1991).

599 59. Johansson, C.B., *et al.* Extensive fusion of haematopoietic cells with Purkinje neurons in response to
600 chronic inflammation. *Nat Cell Biol.* 10, 575-83 (2008).

601 60. Wagers, A.J., Sherwood, R.I., Christensen, J.L., & Weissman, I.L. Little evidence for developmental
602 plasticity of adult hematopoietic stem cells. *Science.* 297, 2256-9 (2002).

603 61. Hackl, M.J., *et al.* Tracking the fate of glomerular epithelial cells in vivo using serial multiphoton
604 imaging in new mouse models with fluorescent lineage tags. *Nat. Med.* 19, 1661-6 (2013).

605 62. Wanner, N., *et al.* Unraveling the role of podocyte turnover in glomerular aging and injury. *J. Am. Soc.*
606 *Nephrol.* 25, 707-16 (2014).

607 63. Ueno, H., & Weissman, I.L. Clonal analysis of mouse development reveals a polyclonal origin for yolk
608 sac blood islands. *Dev. Cell.* 11, 519-33 (2006).

609 64. Buzhor E¹, Harari-Steinberg O, Omer D, Metsuyanin S, Jacob-Hirsch J, Noiman T, Dotan Z, Goldstein
610 RS, Dekel B. Kidney spheroids recapitulate tubular organoids leading to enhanced tubulogenic potency
611 of human kidney-derived cells. *Tissue Eng Part A.* 2011 Sep;17(17-18):2305-19.

612 65. Hirrlinger J, *et al.* Split-cre complementation indicates coincident activity of different genes in vivo.
613 *PLoS One.* 2009;4:e4286.

614 66. Wang P, *et al.* Intersectional Cre driver lines generated using split-intein mediated split-Cre
615 reconstitution. *Sci Rep.* 2012;2:497.

616 67. Yamamoto, M., *et al.* A multifunctional reporter mouse line for Cre- and FLP-dependent lineage
617 analysis. *Genesis.* 47, 107-14 (2009)..

618 68. Beckervordersandforth, R., *et al.* In vivo fate mapping and expression analysis reveals molecular
619 hallmarks of prospectively isolated adult neural stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell.* 7, 744-58 (2010).

- 620 69. Nagy, A., Rossant, J., Nagy, R., Abramow-Newerly, W., and Roder, J.C. Derivation of completely cell
621 culture-derived mice from early-passage embryonic stem cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90, 8424–
622 8428 (1993).
- 623 70. Barrangou, R., et al. CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* 315,
624 1709–1712 (2007).
- 625 71. Bolotin, A., Quinquis, B., Sorokin, A., and Ehrlich, S.D. Clustered regularly interspaced short
626 palindrome repeats (CRISPRs) have spacers of extrachromosomal origin. *Microbiology* 151, 2551–
627 2561(2005).
- 628 72. Deltcheva, E., et al. CRISPR RNA maturation by trans-encoded small RNA and host factor RNase III.
629 *Nature* 471, 602–607(2011).
- 630 73. Garneau, J.E., et al. The CRISPR/Cas bacterial immune system cleaves bacteriophage and plasmid DNA.
631 *Nature* 468, 67–71(2010).
- 632 74. Gasiunas, G., Barrangou, R., Horvath, P., and Siksnys, V. Cas9-crRNA ribonucleoprotein complex
633 mediates specific DNA cleavage for adaptive immunity in bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109,
634 E2579–E2586 (2012).
- 635 75. Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J.A., and Charpentier, E. A programmable
636 dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* 337, 816–821 (2012).
- 637 76. Sapranaukas, R., Gasiunas, G., Fremaux, C., Barrangou, R., Horvath, P., and Siksnys, V. The
638 *Streptococcus thermophilus* CRISPR/Cas system provides immunity in *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids*
639 *Res.* 39, 9275–9282 (2011).
- 640 77. Hsu, P.D., Lander, E.S., and Zhang, F. Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome
641 engineering. *Cell* 157, 1262–1278 (2014).
- 642 78. Lienert, F., et al. Synthetic biology in mammalian cells: Next generation research tools and
643 therapeutics. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 15, 95–107.(2014).
- 644 79. Platt, R.J., et al. CRISPR-Cas9 knockin mice for genome editing and cancer modeling. *Cell*. 159(2):440–
645 55 (2014).

LEGEND FOR FIGURES

Figure 1 Cre-recombinase-based lineage tracing system. Schematic representation of two of the most common strategies used for lineage tracing. The genetic background of one animal is altered such that a specific promoter is used to induce Cre recombination in specific cells. These mice are crossed to a reporter animal harbouring a stop codon flanked by Cre-recombinogenic *loxP* sites upstream of a reporter gene, such as *lacZ* or *GFP*, under the control of the *Rosa26* promoter. In mice expressing both genetic elements, Cre recombinase excises the stop codon, such that *Rosa26* drives expression of the reporter in stem cells. Once marked in this way, all descendants propagate the expression of the reporter under *Rosa26* promoter control. In Cre recombinase expression can be activated at defined time-points through treatment with specific drugs.

(A) Temporal restriction can be achieved by fusing the Cre recombinase gene to the tamoxifen-responsive hormone-binding domain of the estrogen receptor (Cre-ER^{TAM}). The Cre enzyme is in an inactive state in the absence of the ligand tamoxifen. Once tamoxifen is added, the Cre is active and can translocate to the nucleus. In the absence of tamoxifen, no expression of the reporter gene is observed because of the presence of the stop signal upstream of the reporter gene. When tamoxifen is administered, the Cre is activated and mediates recombination between the *loxP* sites in cells. As a consequence, the STOP codon is excised and the cells are permanently marked by the reporter gene. ER, estrogen receptor; GFP, green fluorescent protein. (B) Tet-on strategy for inducible and temporal control of transgene expression is based on the tetracycline (tet) bacterial resistance gene operon. Three transgenic lines are required. The first transgenic line contains the tTA under the control of a cell-specific promoter: this line provides the selectivity to the system. The second transgenic line contains the transgene of interest under the control of the tet operon (TetO) DNA-binding element. The TetO promoter element can be activated upon binding of the tetracycline transactivator (tTA) to drive ubiquitous expression. The third transgenic line contains the reporter system. When a tetracycline derivative, such as doxycycline, is given to the trigenic mice (obtained from breeding the tTA line with the TetO line, and then with the reporter line) in the drinking water, doxycycline binds to tTA to induce its interaction with the TetO minimal promoter and thereby turns on transgene expression selectively in the desired cell type.

678 **Figure 2 *mT/mG* Cre-recombinase-mediated reporter for lineage tracing in the kidney.**

679 (A) *mT/mG* is a double-fluorescent Cre reporter construct that expresses membrane-targeted tandem dimer
680 Tomato (*mT*) prior to Cre-mediated excision and membrane-targeted green fluorescent protein (*mG*) after
681 excision. Tomato reporter expression is nearly ubiquitous, allowing visualization of fluorescent markers in
682 live and fixed samples of all tissues examine, while *mG* labeling is Cre-dependent, complementary to *mT* at
683 single cell resolution, and distinguishable by fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Both membrane-targeted
684 markers outline cell morphology, highlight membrane structures, and permit visualization of fine cellular
685 processes, and thus are ideal to study podocytes. (B) *mT/mG* image of an
686 *hNPHS2.rtTA;TetO.Cre;mT/mG;iDTR* kidney previously induced with doxycycline, treated with DT as
687 previously reported⁴⁹ and compared with healthy controls. After staining with synaptopodin (blue), this model
688 allows visualization of resident podocytes (green and blue), and of *de novo* generated podocytes (red and
689 blue) after podocyte injury. Left: A healthy glomerulus, where all resident podocytes appear as green and
690 blue after doxycycline induction of Cre recombinase activation. Right: After doxycycline washout, induction
691 of podocyte injury with *iDTR* treatment is followed after one month by generation of novel podocytes that
692 are not derived from resident podocytes, as demonstrated by the lack of green signal. Newly generated
693 podocytes appear as synaptopodin positive cells (blue) that are red labelled, showing they were generated
694 from an external progenitor.

695

696 **Figure 3: MulticolourCre-recombinase-mediated reporter for lineage tracing and clonal analysis.**

697 (A) Schematic representation of the genetic strategy to mark cells with multiple fluorescent proteins. One
698 animal harbours a transgene encoding a cell-specific promoter driving Cre recombinase expression. These
699 mice are crossed to a reporter animal that, under the control of the ubiquitous *Rosa26* promoter, harbour a
700 neomycin resistance gene flanked by Cre-recombinogenic *loxP* sites, and multiple genes, in sense and
701 antisense orientations, encoding the fluorescent proteins, GFP, RFP, YFP and CFP (green, red, yellow and
702 cyan fluorescent protein, respectively) that are flanked by Cre-recombinogenic *loxP* and inversion sites. Cre
703 recombinase stochastically excises and inverts at the *loxP* sites to generate the possible transgenes shown,
704 and allow *Rosa26* to drive expression of multiple combinations of fluorescent proteins in cells and their
705 progeny.

706
707
708

709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717

718

719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728

729
730

Figure 4. Clonal analysis of the renal epithelia.

(A) Merged Rainbow image showing single colored clones within the adult kidney following a 7-month chase in *Actin*^{CreER}; *R26*^{VT2/GK3} mice. (B) Merged DAPI and Rainbow image showing outcomes of clonal analysis following low dose tamoxifen administration. Large and separate single colored clones are visible within tubule segments. (C, D) Intact nephrons isolated from kidneys of *Actin*^{CreER}; *R26*^{VT2/GK3} mice indicate that significant tubulogenesis has occurred within adult nephrons. (E-G) Merged Rainbow images of glomeruli showing single colored clones. (H) Merged tetrachimera image of a developing kidney. A large red clone contributing to a nephron segment. (I-I'') Merged images of monoclonal renal organoids following clonal analysis in-vitro. (J) A large single colored clone emerges from a Wnt-responsive precursor, as observed by clonal analysis in *Axin*^{CreER}; *R26*^{VT2/GK3} mice.

Figure 5 CRISP-based systems for lineage tracing. Generation of a CRISP-based mouse was recently achieved based on Rosa26-LSL-Cas9 knockin mice have a floxed-STOP cassette preventing expression of the downstream bicistronic sequences (Cas9 and EGFP). Although under control of a CAG promoter, widespread expression of cas9 and EGFP is prevented by the STOP cassette. After exposure to Cre recombinase, expression of cas9 and EGFP is observed. Cas9 expression is tightly controlled in a Cre-dependent manner, whereas gene editing via viral delivery of cas9 is burdened by packaging size limits. These Rosa26-LSL-Cas9 mice only require one to select a Cre recombinase driven by the promoter of their choosing and a specific single guide RNA (sgRNA) for generating single or multiple simultaneous mutations editing because it requires only the introduction of sgRNAs, which can be efficiently delivered using lentiviral vectors.

A

B

Stochastic possibilities after Cre recombination: