



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
FIRENZE

## FLORE

# Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

### **Behavioural phenotype of histamine H4 receptor knockout mice: Focus on central neuronal functions**

Questa è la versione Preprint (Submitted version) della seguente pubblicazione:

*Original Citation:*

Behavioural phenotype of histamine H4 receptor knockout mice: Focus on central neuronal functions / Sanna, Maria Domenica; Ghelardini, Carla; Thurmond, Robin L.; Masini, Emanuela; Galeotti, Nicoletta. - In: NEUROPHARMACOLOGY. - ISSN 0028-3908. - STAMPA. - 114:(2017), pp. 48-57. [10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016.11.023]

*Availability:*

The webpage <https://hdl.handle.net/2158/1065686> of the repository was last updated on 2017-10-03T10:07:48Z

*Published version:*

DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016.11.023

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

*Publisher copyright claim:*


Conformità alle politiche dell'editore / Compliance to publisher's policies

Questa versione della pubblicazione è conforme a quanto richiesto dalle politiche dell'editore in materia di copyright.

This version of the publication conforms to the publisher's copyright policies.

La data sopra indicata si riferisce all'ultimo aggiornamento della scheda del Repository FloRe - The above-mentioned date refers to the last update of the record in the Institutional Repository FloRe

(Article begins on next page)



## **Behavioural phenotype of histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor knockout mice: focus on central neuronal functions**

<sup>1</sup>Maria Domenica Sanna, <sup>1</sup>Carla Ghelardini, <sup>2</sup>Robin L Thurmond, <sup>1</sup>Emanuela Masini, <sup>1</sup>Nicoletta Galeotti

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health (NEUROFARBA), Section of Pharmacology, University of Florence, Viale G. Pieraccini 6, 50139 Florence, Italy,

<sup>2</sup>Janssen Research & Development, LLC, 3210 Merryfield Row, San Diego, California 92121, United States.

Address correspondence to:

Prof. Nicoletta Galeotti, Dept. of NEUROFARBA, Section of Pharmacology, University of Florence, Viale G. Pieraccini 6, I-50139 Florence, Italy

tel.: +39 055-2758391; e-mail: [nicoletta.galeotti@unifi.it](mailto:nicoletta.galeotti@unifi.it)

**Running head:** behavioural phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO

**Conflicts of interest:** none

**Author contribution:** Dr. Sanna conducted the behavioural experiments, acquired and analysed the data. Prof. Galeotti designed and directed the protocol, and drafted the article. Prof. Masini participated in the protocol design and critically revised the article. Prof Ghelardini was involved in critically revising the article. Dr. Thurmond genotyped and provided H<sub>4</sub>R knockout mice.

**Abbreviations:** DRG = dorsal root ganglia; H<sub>4</sub>R = histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptors; H<sub>4</sub>R-KO = Histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor knockout; i.c.v. = intracerebroventricular; i.p. = intraperitoneal; NORT = novel object recognition test; SNI = spared nerve injury; TST = tail suspension test; Wt = wild type

## Abstract

The functional expression of H<sub>4</sub> receptors (H<sub>4</sub>R) within neurons of the central nervous system has been recently reported, but their role is poorly understood. The present study aims to elucidate the role of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R by providing the first description of the **behavioural** phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient (H<sub>4</sub>R knockout, H<sub>4</sub>R-KO) mice. Mice lacking H<sub>4</sub>R underwent behavioural studies to evaluate locomotor activity, pain perception, anxiety, depression, memory and feeding behaviour. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed a significant increase in ambulation in an open field as well as in exploratory activity in the absence of any modification of motor coordination. The sensitivity of mutant mice to a thermal or a mechanical stimulus was identical to that of the wild type mice, but H<sub>4</sub>R-KO showed sensory hypersensitivity toward a condition of neuropathic pain. The lack of H<sub>4</sub>R is associated with the promotion of anxiety in the light-dark box test. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed an increased immobility time in the tail suspension test, experimental procedure used to evaluate the response of H<sub>4</sub>R deficient mice to a behavioural despair paradigm. Cognitive function parameters of H<sub>4</sub>R deficient mice, examined using the passive avoidance and the novel object recognition tests, were unaltered showing the lack of influence of H<sub>4</sub>R on working and recognition memory. Finally, H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice showed an orectic phenotype. These results illustrate that H<sub>4</sub>R modulates various neurophysiological functions such as locomotor activity, anxiety, nociception and feeding behaviour, confirming the importance of the integrity and functionality of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R in the histaminergic regulation of neuronal functions.

## **Behavioural phenotype of histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor knockout mice: focus on central neuronal functions**

<sup>1</sup>Maria Domenica Sanna, <sup>1</sup>Carla Ghelardini, <sup>2</sup>Robin L Thurmond, <sup>1</sup>Emanuela Masini, <sup>1</sup>Nicoletta Galeotti

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Psychology, Drug Research and Child Health (NEUROFARBA), Section of Pharmacology, University of Florence, Viale G. Pieraccini 6, 50139 Florence, Italy,

<sup>2</sup>Janssen Research & Development, LLC, 3210 Merryfield Row, San Diego, California 92121, United States.

Address correspondence to:

Prof. Nicoletta Galeotti, Dept. of NEUROFARBA, Section of Pharmacology, University of Florence, Viale G. Pieraccini 6, I-50139 Florence, Italy

tel.: +39 055-2758391; e-mail: [nicoletta.galeotti@unifi.it](mailto:nicoletta.galeotti@unifi.it)

**Running head:** behavioural phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO

**Conflicts of interest:** none

**Author contribution:** Dr. Sanna conducted the behavioural experiments, acquired and analysed the data. Prof. Galeotti designed and directed the protocol, and drafted the article. Prof. Masini participated in the protocol design and critically revised the article. Prof. Ghelardini was involved in critically revising the article. Dr. Thurmond genotyped and provided H<sub>4</sub>R knockout mice.

**Abbreviations:** DRG = dorsal root ganglia; H<sub>4</sub>R = histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptors; H<sub>4</sub>R-KO = Histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor knockout; i.c.v. = intracerebroventricular; i.p. = intraperitoneal; NORT = novel object recognition test; SNI = spared nerve injury; TST = tail suspension test; Wt = wild type

## Abstract

The functional expression of H<sub>4</sub> receptors (H<sub>4</sub>R) within neurons of the central nervous system has been recently reported, but their role is poorly understood. The present study aims to elucidate the role of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R by providing the first description of the behavioural phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient (H<sub>4</sub>R knockout, H<sub>4</sub>R-KO) mice. Mice lacking H<sub>4</sub>R underwent behavioural studies to evaluate locomotor activity, pain perception, anxiety, depression, memory and feeding behaviour. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed a significant increase in ambulation in an open field as well as in exploratory activity in the absence of any modification of motor coordination. The sensitivity of mutant mice to a thermal or a mechanical stimulus was identical to that of the wild type mice, but H<sub>4</sub>R-KO showed sensory hypersensitivity toward a condition of neuropathic pain. The lack of H<sub>4</sub>R is associated with the promotion of anxiety in the light-dark box test. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed an increased immobility time in the tail suspension test, experimental procedure used to evaluate the response of H<sub>4</sub>R deficient mice to a behavioural despair paradigm. Cognitive function parameters of H<sub>4</sub>R deficient mice, examined using the passive avoidance and the novel object recognition tests, were unaltered showing the lack of influence of H<sub>4</sub>R on working and recognition memory. Finally, H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice showed an orectic phenotype. These results illustrate that H<sub>4</sub>R modulates various neurophysiological functions such as locomotor activity, anxiety, nociception and feeding behaviour, confirming the importance of the integrity and functionality of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R in the histaminergic regulation of neuronal functions.

**Key words:** histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptors; central nervous system; pain; anxiety; memory; feeding

## 1. Introduction

Histamine activates four G protein-coupled receptors designated H<sub>1</sub>R, H<sub>2</sub>R, H<sub>3</sub>R and H<sub>4</sub>R (Bongers et al., 2010; Walter and Stark, 2012; Seifert et al., 2013; Strasser et al., 2013). Peripheral histamine is produced by mast cells, basophils and gastric enterochromaffine-like cells with a key role in immunological processes, allergy and inflammation that involves all histamine receptor subtypes. In addition to its peripheral functions, histamine also acts as a neurotransmitter. The H<sub>1</sub>R, H<sub>2</sub>R and H<sub>3</sub>R as well as the histamine-synthesizing enzyme histidine decarboxylase (HDC) are neuronally expressed (Haas et al., 2008; Schneider et al., 2014a). Neuronal histamine activates postsynaptic H<sub>1</sub>R and H<sub>2</sub>R and regulates a multitude of behaviours and metabolic functions, e.g. food intake, energy consumption, respiration, susceptibility to seizures, locomotor activity, cognition, pain perception, circadian rhythm, sleep and wakefulness, arousal or emotional states (Schneider et al., 2014a). The release of neuronal histamine is controlled by a negative feedback, which is mediated by the presynaptic H<sub>3</sub>R (Haas et al., 2008; Schneider et al., 2014b).

The physiological role of the histamine H<sub>4</sub>R, the most recently discovered histamine receptor subtype (Nakamura et al., 2000; Oda et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2001), has not yet been fully elucidated. The immunological function of the peripheral H<sub>4</sub>R has been clearly identified (Seifert et al., 2013; Neumann et al., 2014). However, much less is known about expression and function of H<sub>4</sub>R in the central nervous system and since the discovery of H<sub>4</sub>R, its functional presence in the peripheral and central nervous system has been controversially discussed (Schneider and Seifert, 2016).

The H<sub>4</sub>R mRNA was detected in human dorsal root ganglia (DRG), spinal cord and brain regions including hippocampus, cortex, thalamus and amygdala. In the rat H<sub>4</sub>R mRNA was found in the DRG, spinal cord, cortex, cerebellum, brainstem, amygdala, thalamus and striatum (Strakhova et al., 2009). In addition, significantly increased H<sub>4</sub>R mRNA expression was observed in the putamen and caudate nucleus of Parkinson's patients (Shan et al., 2012). Moreover, on the protein level, H<sub>4</sub>R was immunohistochemically identified in the DRG and spinal cord (Strakhova et al., 2009; Lethbridge and Chazot, 2010) as well as in several regions of human and mouse brain, such as

thalamus, hippocampus and cerebral cortex (Connelly et al., 2009). The functional expression of H<sub>4</sub>R on human and rodent neurons highlights their implication in neuronal functions, but the difficulty to generate H<sub>4</sub>R antibodies with high specificity (Beermann et al., 2012) generates results that should be interpreted with some caution.

The use of selective ligands for H<sub>4</sub>R can greatly help understand the physiological role of H<sub>4</sub>R. However, in the absence of knockout controls, off-target effects of the H<sub>4</sub>R ligands cannot be fully excluded. Although H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice were generated more than a decade ago (Hofstra et al., 2003), they have not yet been used for systematic experiments to elucidate the physiological and pathological role of this receptor subtype within the central nervous system. The aim of the present study is to clarify the functional role of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R and its involvement in neuronal processes through the **phenotypic characterization** of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice.



## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Animals and reagents

Histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor knockout (H4R<sup>-/-</sup>) mice were generated by Lexicon Genetics (Woodlands Park, TX, USA) as previously described (Hofstra et al., 2003) provided by Janssen Research & Development, LLC La Jolla, CA, USA and back crossed to CB57 background. Corresponding wild-type (Wt) mice were obtained from Harlan Laboratories (Bresso, Italy).

**Male** mice were randomly assigned to standard cages, with four to five animals per cage. The cages were placed in the experimental room 24 h before behavioural test for acclimatization. The animals were fed a standard laboratory diet and tap water ad libitum and kept at 23 ± 1 °C with a 12 h light/dark cycle, light on at 7 a.m. The experimental protocol was carried out after approval by the Animal Care and Research Ethics Committee of the University of Florence, Italy, under license from the Italian Department of Health (54/2014-B) and in compliance with international laws and policies (Directive 2010/63/EU of the European parliament and of the council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes; Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, US National Research Council, 2011). All studies involving animals are reported in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines for experiments involving animals (McGrath & Lilley, 2015). All effort was taken to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

All behavioural experiments were performed during the light phase with a blind procedure. The number of animals per experiment was based on a power analysis (Charan & Kantharia, 2013) and ten animals per group were used to have the probability of 86% that the study detects a difference between groups at a two-sided 0.05 significance level. Sample size was calculated by G power software.

VUF 8430 (40 µg per mouse), diazepam (1 mg/kg) (Sigma, Milan, Italy), D-amphetamine (1 mg/kg; De Angeli, Rome, Italy), amitriptyline (10 mg/kg; Sigma, Milan, Italy) were dissolved in isotonic (NaCl 0.9%) saline solution immediately before use. Drug concentrations were prepared in such a

way that the necessary dose could be administered in a volume of 10 ml/kg by intraperitoneal (i.p.) or in a volume of 5  $\mu$ l per mouse by intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) injection, as previously described (Galeotti et al., 2003). Doses and administration schedule were chosen on the basis of time-course and dose-response curves performed in our laboratory (Galeotti et al., 2013).

## **2.2. Locomotor activity**

### **2.2.1. Rotarod test**

The apparatus consisted of a base platform and a rotating rod of 3 cm diameter with a non-skid surface. The rod was placed at a height of 15 cm from the base. The rod, 30 cm in length, was divided into 5 equal sections by 6 disks. Thus up to 5 mice were tested simultaneously on the apparatus, with a rod-rotation speed of 16 r.p.m. The integrity of motor coordination was assessed on the basis of the number of falls from the rod in 30 s.

### **2.2.2. Hole-board test**

The spontaneous locomotor activity was evaluated by using the hole-board test. The apparatus consisted of a 40 cm square plane with 16 flush mounted cylindrical holes (3 cm diameter) distributed 4 by 4 in an equidistant, grid-like manner. Mice were placed on the centre of the board one by one and allowed to move about freely for a period of 10 min each. Two photobeams, crossing the plane from mid-point to mid-point of opposite sides, thus dividing the plane into 4 equal quadrants, automatically signalled the movement of the animal (counts in 5 min) on the surface of the plane (spontaneous mobility). Miniature photoelectric cells, in each of the 16 holes, recorded (counts in 5 min) the exploration of the holes (exploratory activity) by the mice.

## **2.3. Nociceptive behaviour**

### **2.3.1. Mechanical threshold (von Frey's test)**

Mechanical allodynia was measured by using Dynamic Plantar Aesthesiometer (Ugo Basile, Bologna, Italy). The mice were placed in individual Plexiglas cubicles (8.5 x 3.4 x 3.4 (h) cm) on a wire mesh platform and allowed to acclimate for approximately 1 h, during which exploratory and grooming activity ended. After that, the mechanical stimulus was delivered to the plantar surface of the hind paw of the mouse from below the floor of the test chamber by an automated testing device. A steel rod (2 mm) was pushed with electronic ascending force (0-5 g in 35 s). When the animal withdrew its hind paw, the mechanical stimulus was automatically withdrawn and the force recorded to the nearest 0.1 g. Nociceptive response for mechanical sensitivity was expressed as mechanical paw withdrawal threshold (PWT) in grams. The mean PWT was calculated from six consecutive trials (each performed every 30 min) and averaged for each group of mice.

### **2.3.2. Hargreaves' plantar test**

Thermal nociceptive threshold was measured using Hargreaves' device as described (Hargreaves et al., 1988). Paw withdrawal latency in response to radiant heat (infrared) was assessed using the plantar test apparatus (Ugo Basile, Comerio, Italy). Each mouse was placed under a transparent Plexiglas box (7.0 (d) × 12.5 (w) x 17.0 (h) cm) on a 0.6-cm-thick glass plate and allowed to acclimatize for 1–2 h before recording. The radiant heat source consisted of an infrared bulb (Osram halogen-bellaphot bulb; 8 V, 50 W) that was positioned 0.5 cm under the glass plate directly beneath the hind paw. The time elapsed between switching on the infrared radiant heat stimulus and manifestation of the paw withdrawal response was measured automatically. The intensity of the infrared light beam was chosen to give baseline latencies of 10 s in control mice. A cut-off of 20 s was used to prevent tissue damage. Each hindpaw was tested 2–3 times, alternating between paws with an interval of at least 1 min between tests. The interval between two trials on the

same paw was of at least 5 min. Nociceptive response for thermal sensitivity was expressed as thermal paw withdrawal latency in seconds. All determinations were averaged for each animal.

### **2.3.3. Hot-plate test**

The hot plate test was performed as previously described (Galeotti et al. 2003). Mice were placed inside an hot plate apparatus (Ugo Basile Biological Research Apparatus, Varese, Italy), which was set thermostatically at  $52.5 \pm 0.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Reaction times (s) were measured with a stopwatch. The endpoint used was the licking of the fore or hind paws. Mice were removed from the hot plate immediately after the first response. Data were recorded as raw latencies and an arbitrary cut-off time of 45 s was adopted.

### **2.3.4. Spared nerve injury (SNI)**

Mono-neuropathy was induced according to the method of Bourquin et al. (2006). Mice were anaesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (60 mg/kg i.p.). The right hind limb was immobilized in a lateral position and slightly elevated. Incision was made at mid-thigh level using the femur as a landmark. The sciatic nerve was exposed at mid-thigh level distal to the trifurcation and freed of connective tissue; the three peripheral branches (sural, common peroneal, and tibial nerves) of the sciatic nerve were exposed without stretching nerve structures. Both tibial and common peroneal nerves were ligated and transacted together. A microsurgical forceps with curved tips was delicately placed below the tibial and common peroneal nerves to slide the thread (5.0 silk, Ethicon; Johnson & Johnson Intl, Brussels, Belgium) around the nerves. A tight ligation of both nerves was performed. The sural nerve was carefully preserved by avoiding any nerve stretch or nerve contact with surgical tools. Muscle and skin were closed in two distinct layers with silk 5.0 suture. Intense, reproducible and long-lasting thermal hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia-like behaviours are measurable in the non-injured sural nerve skin territory. The SNI model offers the advantage of a

distinct anatomical distribution with an absence of co-mingling of injured and non-injured nerve fibers distal to the lesion such as the injured and not injured nerves and territories can be readily identified and manipulated for further analysis (i.e. behavioural assessment). The sham procedure consisted of the same surgery without ligation and transection of the nerves.

Animals were habituated to the testing environment daily for at least 2 days before baseline testing. Nociceptive responses to mechanical and thermal stimulus were measured before surgery, to establish a baseline for comparison with post-surgical values, and 7 days after nerve injury.

## **2.4. Antidepressant-like activity**

### **2.4.1. Tail suspension test**

A piece of tape was adhered to the upper middle of the tail of each animal, creating a flap with the overlap of tape. Mice were suspended from a plastic rod mounted 50 cm above the surface by fastening the tail to the rod with adhesive tape. The duration of the test was 6 minutes and immobility was measured in the first 2 min, when animals react to the inescapable stress, and in the last 4 min of the test, when the behavioural despair is established. Immobility was defined as the absence of any limb or body movements, except those caused by respiration.

## **2.5. Evaluation of food consumption**

Mice did not have access to food for 4 or 12 h but water was available ad libitum. A weighed amount of food (standard laboratory pellets) was given and the weight consumed (evaluated as the difference between the original amount and the food left in the cage, including spillage), was measured 15, 30, 45 and 60 min after the beginning of the test, to an accuracy of 0.1 g. An arbitrary cut-off time of 60 min was used.

## **2.6. Evaluation of mnemonic functions**

### **2.6.1. Passive-avoidance test**

The apparatus consisted of a two-compartment acrylic box with a lighted compartment connected to a darkened one by a guillotine door. As soon as the mouse entered the dark compartment, it received a punishing electrical shock (0.5 mA, 1 sec). The latency times for entering the dark compartment were measured in the training test and after 24 h in the retention test. The maximum entry latency allowed in the training and retention sessions was, respectively, 60 and 180 s.

### **2.6.2. Novel object recognition test**

The novel object recognition, based on spontaneous exploratory activity, is a test to measure a form of recognition memory (Okamura et al., 2011). The novel object recognition test (NORT) was performed in the open field device (cylinder diameter: 78 cm, height walls: 60 cm). Mice were habituated to the open field without objects for 10 min. Then, in the training phase, animals were placed in the center of the apparatus with two identical objects placed 16 cm away from the walls and allowed to explore both objects for 5 min. Object exploration was recorded by an experienced observer only when the animal's nose or mouth was in contact with the object. In the test phase, the animals were placed back in the open field with one object familiar (the same as the previous phase) and one novel object, 3 h or 24 h after the training phase in order to measure short-term memory or long-term memory. The time spent exploring the objects was recorded for 5 min. The test phase reflects the preference for the novel object. Recognition index for the novel object was calculated as the ratio between time spent exploring the novel object with respect to overall exploring time, expressed by  $(TN-TF/TN + TF) \times 100$  [TF = time spent exploring familiar object; TN = time spent exploring the novel object]. During training session both objects are novel and the time spent on both objects should be similar. The objects were always placed in the same location, 16 cm from the

wall and 37 cm apart. Velcro into the base of the objects was used to secure the objects in place. In addition, the objects to be explored were made of plastic in three different shapes that had no significance for animals and had never been associated with reinforcement. The objects and the apparatus were cleaned with ethanol solution between trials.

## **2.7. Anxiolytic-like activity**

### **2.7.1. Light dark box**

The light–dark box was made of white and black opaque apparatus (length 50 cm, width 20.5 cm, and height 19 cm) consisted of two equal acrylic compartments, one dark and one white, illuminated by a 60-W bulb lamp and separated by a divider with a 10 x 3.2 cm opening at floor level. Each mouse was placed in the middle of the light chamber facing a side away from the door and then released. Animals' behaviours were scored for 5 min and included the latency to the first step into the dark compartment, the duration of time spent in the light chamber, the number of full-body transitions between chambers. These behaviours have previously been measured as a reflection of anxiety in this apparatus (Bourin and Hascöet, 2003). After testing, subjects were removed from the light–dark box and returned to their home cage in colony room. The apparatus was cleaned with 70% ethanol after each use and allowed to dry before the next subject was tested. This test exploited the conflict between the animal's tendency to explore a new environment and its fear of bright light.

## **2.8. Statistical analysis**

All experimental results are given as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.mean. Data were analysed using Student's t-test, one-way or two-way ANOVA. Tukey's test was used for post hoc analysis following a significant one-way ANOVA. Multiple comparisons following two-way ANOVA were conducted

with Bonferroni post hoc comparison. A P-value of  $<0.05$  was considered statistically significant. The computer programme GraphPad Prism version 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used in all statistical analyses.



### **3. Results**

#### **3.1. Influence of H<sub>4</sub>R on locomotor behaviour**

H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice did not show any visible sign of altered gross behaviour or poor health. The body weight was comparable to that of wild type mice (Fig. 1A). In addition, specific tests were performed to unmask alterations of locomotor behaviour that were not visible to the operator. Mice were evaluated for motor coordination by use of the rotarod test and for spontaneous mobility and exploratory activity by use of the hole board test.

H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice did not show any impairment in the motor coordination and the number of falls from the rotating rod was identical to that of wild type mice (Fig. 1B). The spontaneous mobility (Fig. 1C) and exploratory activity (Fig. 1D) of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice were significantly increased in comparison with the control group.

#### **3.2. Role of H<sub>4</sub>R on nociception**

The involvement of histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptors in the modulation of the pain threshold was evaluated by applying a thermal (Hargraves's test, hot plate test) or a mechanical (von Frey's test) stimulus. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed a thermal (Fig. 2A,B) and mechanical (Fig. 2C) threshold comparable to that of wild type (Wt) mice, indicating the lack of any spontaneous hypernociceptive or antinociceptive phenotype. The role of H<sub>4</sub>R on nociceptive behaviour was also investigated in the presence of a condition of neuropathic pain (SNI model). 7 days after surgery, Wt mice showed a marked thermal (Fig. 2D) and mechanical (Fig. 2E) hyperalgesia on the ipsilateral side. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice that underwent SNI showed a thermal and mechanical hypersensitivity on the ipsilateral side which was significantly more severe than control mice, while the nociceptive response in the contralateral side was comparable to that detected in Wt mice. A specific contribution of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R in the control of chronic pain of neuropathic origin was illustrated.

### **3.3. Role of H<sub>4</sub>R in a depressant-like paradigm**

The role of H<sub>4</sub>R in the modulation of a depressant-like behaviour was investigated in the tail suspension test (TST), a widely used test to evaluate antidepressant-like activities. In the TST animals are subjected to the short term, inescapable stress of being suspended by their tail. Animals then develop an immobile posture considered as a depressive-like behaviour. The presence of a depressant/antidepressant-like phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice was detected in the first 2 min when animals react to the inescapable stress (Fig. 3A), and in the last 4 min (Fig. 3B) of the test, when the behavioural despair is established. Experiments showed an increased immobility time in the first 2 min of the test that was still significant in the last 4 min. The immobility time detected in the 6 min duration of the test (Fig. 3C) confirmed that the immobility time values were significantly higher than that showed by Wt control animals.

To confirm the trend towards a depressant-like phenotype in mice lacking the H<sub>4</sub>R, the TST was performed in control mice following neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R activation. However, the intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) administration of the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8430 (20 µg) was unable to modify the immobility time in the TST (Fig. 3D), showing the lack of any antidepressant-like activity and suggesting the lack of a prominent role of H<sub>4</sub>R in the modulation of mood. The administration of amitriptyline, used as reference drug, decreased the immobility time values in the mouse TST, thus validating our experimental results (Fig. 3D).

### **3.4. Anxiogenic-like phenotype in H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice**

The role of H<sub>4</sub>R in anxiety-related behaviours was investigated in the light dark box paradigm. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice spent a shorter time in the light chamber than the Wt mice (Fig. 4A) without modifying the latency to the first step in the dark chamber (Fig. 4B). A second behavioural parameter detected to evaluate the presence of an anxiolytic-like behaviour in mice lacking H<sub>4</sub>R

was the number of transitions from the two chambers, that was markedly reduced in comparison with Wt mice. The anxiolytic reference drug diazepam markedly increased the transitions in comparison with the control group (Fig. 4C). All these data indicate an anxiogenic-like phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice.

In order to confirm the role of H<sub>4</sub>R in the response to an anxiety-inducing environment, the anxiolytic-like properties of the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8430 were tested. The compound, after i.c.v. administration (20 µg), prolonged the time spent in the light chamber producing an anxiolytic-like effect (Fig. 4D). Diazepam, used as a reference molecule, prolonged the time spent in the lighted compartment, thus evidencing its anxiolytic-like properties and validating our experimental approach. The intensity of the anxiolytic-like effect of VUF 8430 was comparable to that induced by diazepam (Fig. 4D).

### **3.5. Orectic phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice**

The role of H<sub>4</sub>R in feeding behaviour was evaluated in H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice that were previously deprived of food. The cumulated amount of food eaten by mice which had no access to food for 4 h before the test, experimental condition suitable to highlight an increase in food intake, is reported in Fig. 5A. Wt mice showed a modest but constant increase in the amount of food consumed in the 60 min test. Similarly, H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed a constant increase in the food intake, but the cumulative amount of food eaten was significantly higher than that consumed by the Wt, showing an orectic phenotype (Fig. 5A). To further delineate the role of H<sub>4</sub>R in the feeding behaviour, the same experiment was performed in mice which were not provided with food for 12 h, experimental condition able to highlight an anorectic behaviour. In a condition of more prolonged food deprivation, the cumulated amount of food eaten by H<sub>4</sub>R-KO was comparable to that eaten by Wt mice at any time point (Fig. 5B), ruling out the presence of an anorectic phenotype. Amphetamine, used as a reference molecule, greatly reduced the food consumption at any time point (Fig. 5B), thus evidencing its anorectic properties and validating our experimental approach.

To confirm these results we evaluated the feeding behaviour in control mice exposed to the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8430. I.c.v. treatment with VUF 8430 did not modify the food consumption within the first 30 min, whereas an anorectic effect was produced 45 and 60 min after the beginning of the test (Fig. 5C). VUF 8430-treated mice showed an anorectic behaviour which was of smaller intensity than that produced by the reference drug amphetamine, indicating that H<sub>4</sub>R, while able to influence food consumption, are not endowed with a prominent role in the eating behaviour.

### **3.6. Role of H<sub>4</sub>R on memory functions**

To clarify the role of H<sub>4</sub>R on memory processes, we determined the behavioural response of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice in a passive avoidance task. Mice lacking H<sub>4</sub>R showed the absence of any impairment in working memory since the latency values recorded in both the retention and training sessions were identical to those detected in the control group (Fig. 6A).

To evaluate whether H<sub>4</sub>R might produce a detrimental or ameliorative effect on recognition memory, the novel object recognition test (NORT) was performed. In the NORT the total time spent exploring both objects in the training session (internal control) was similar in Wt mice (Fig. 6B). Also H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed similar exploration times in the training session, but time values were higher than those of control mice (Fig. 6C), in confirmation of the higher exploratory activity of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice observed in the hole board test (Fig. 1D). The evaluation of the training object exploration index confirmed the lack of any difference on training exploration activity between Wt and H<sub>4</sub>R-KO (Fig. 6B). The evaluation of the exploration times between training object and novel object in the retention session illustrated that in H<sub>4</sub>R-KO there was an increased novel object exploration time of intensity comparable to that detected in Wt mice (Fig. 6C). The novel object exploration index (Fig. 6E) and the discrimination index (Fig. 6F) were comparable to those of Wt mice, indicative of a lack of any recognition memory impairment.

#### 4. Discussion

Even though the immunological function of H<sub>4</sub>R is well established, little is known about expression and function of H<sub>4</sub>R in the central nervous system. The present study investigated the role of H<sub>4</sub>R in the histaminergic regulation of neuronal functions by characterizing the behavioural phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice.

H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice did not show any visible sign of altered gross behaviour, weight loss or poor health. However, the histaminergic system is known to be involved in the regulation of locomotor activity. HDC<sup>(-/-)</sup> mice show reduced locomotor and exploratory activity (Acevedo et al., 2006), that likely reflects a lack of histaminergic stimulation of H<sub>1</sub>R, H<sub>2</sub>R and H<sub>3</sub>R since knockout mice for each histamine receptor subtype demonstrated reduced locomotor activity (Yanai et al., 1998; Shiba et al., 2001; Toyota et al., 2002). Investigating into the locomotor behaviour of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice, we observed that the lack of H<sub>4</sub>R increased spontaneous mobility and exploratory activity, conversely to the locomotor reduction produced by the deficiency of the other histamine receptor subtypes. However, similarly to H<sub>1</sub> deficiency (Yanai et al., 1998), H<sub>4</sub> deficiency did not impair the rotarod performance indicating the lack of influence on motor coordination.

In human and rodents, expression of H<sub>4</sub>R mRNA appears to be the highest in the spinal cord. Immunohistochemical detection revealed that H<sub>4</sub>R are strongly expressed in sensory neurons of dorsal root ganglia (DRG) with more intense staining of small and medium diameter cells, with a H<sub>4</sub> signal which is neuronal and not of glial origin, and in the lumbar spinal cord, especially laminae I and II (Strakova et al., 2009; Sanna et al., 2015). Detection of H<sub>4</sub>R in the spinal cord and on cell bodies of DRG neurons raises the possibility that these histamine receptors might have a role in nociception. Behaviour experiments performed with mice after intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) administration of H<sub>4</sub>R agonists, revealed pain-reducing effects of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R activation in acute thermal nociception (Galeotti et al., 2013) and neuropathic pain (Smith et al., 2007; Sanna et al., 2015), further supporting this hypothesis. H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice showed a thermal and mechanical threshold comparable to that of Wt animals, but showed a significantly increased pain

hypersensitivity after spared nerve injury, a surgical procedure to produce a peripheral mononeuropathy characterized by a long lasting thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia. This hypernociceptive phenotype, that selectively emerges in a neuropathic pain condition in the absence of any influence on normal pain threshold, indicates that modulation of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R appeared not to be involved in the regulation of the physiological maintenance of the pain threshold, while it is highly involved in the response to a condition of pathological chronic pain hypersensitivity of neuronal origin. These results also importantly highlight an opposite involvement of peripheral and neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R on pain processes, with peripheral H<sub>4</sub>R involved in promoting inflammatory pain, as widely reported, and neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R involved in the relief from neuropathic pain.

The role of the histaminergic system in the modulation of anxiety-like behaviours in animals has been suggested, but with contradictory results. Increased anxiety has been reported in mice after peripheral administration of the histamine precursor l-histidine (Kumar et al., 2007). However, these results are at odds with more recent findings demonstrating increased anxiety in several behavioural tests in histidine decarboxylase (HDC)-deficient mice (Dere et al., 2004; Acevedo et al., 2006). Opposite roles for histamine receptor subtypes in the modulation of anxiety behaviour has also been reported. H<sub>1</sub>R-deficient mice showed reduced anxiety (Yanai et al., 1998; Zlomuzica et al., 2008) whereas H<sub>2</sub>R-deficient mice were more anxious than wild-type controls (Shiba et al., 2001). H<sub>3</sub>R-deficient mice and treatment with H<sub>3</sub>R antagonists showed increased or decreased anxiety depending on the experimental paradigm used (Rizk et al., 2004; Bongers et al., 2004). The role of H<sub>4</sub>R in the modulation of anxiety by the histaminergic system has not been elucidated. Present results obtained in the light-dark box test, indicate a clear involvement of H<sub>4</sub>R in anxiety behaviour since H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice spent a shorter time in the light chamber than the Wt mice, showing an anxyogenic-like phenotype. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice also showed a reduced number of transitions between the two compartments, a parameter usually considered to evaluate the anxiolytic-like efficacy of compounds along with the light/dark performance, further supporting the increased response to anxiety of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice. This hypothesis is supported by previous data in which i.c.v. administration of the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8430 caused anxiolytic effects (Galeotti et al., 2013).

The light/dark box test is limited by its ability to yield false-positive results if a drug increases general motor function. H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice showed increased spontaneous mobility and exploratory activity. However, the latency to the first step into the dark compartment is identical to that of the Wt animals and the number of transitions, reported to be an index of exploration activity because of habituation over time (Bourin and Hascoët, 2003), is reduced. It appears that the response to an anxiety-inducing environment predominates over the locomotor and exploratory behaviour, making the results reliable.

Histaminergic neurons project to regions especially important for cognitive functions such as the frontal cortex, basal forebrain, hippocampus and amygdala (Panula and Nuutinen, 2013). The role of histamine H<sub>1</sub>R, H<sub>2</sub>R and H<sub>3</sub>R has been intensively investigated, but these studies have used many behavioural tasks and both improvements and impairments in cognitive behaviour have been obtained (Dere et al., 2010). To investigate the role of the neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R in the modulation of memory processes, we evaluated the memory performance of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice on a passive avoidance task and on a novel object recognition paradigm. H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice did not show any alteration of both working and recognition memory. The capability of a H<sub>4</sub>R agonist to counteract scopolamine-induced amnesia has been demonstrated in a passive avoidance paradigm (Galeotti et al., 2013). However, the authors did not detect any procognitive activity following neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R stimulation in mice devoid of pharmacologically-induced memory deficits. These data, along with the lack of any memory impairment in H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice might suggest that the H<sub>4</sub>R subtype did not play a prominent role in the histaminergic modulation of memory processes.

Brain histamine plays a fundamental role in eating behaviour as it induces loss of appetite and it has long been considered a satiety signal that is released during food intake (Sakata et al., 1997; Provensi et al., 2014). Brain histamine appears to suppress food intake mainly via H<sub>1</sub>R. Centrally administered H<sub>1</sub>R agonists suppressed food consumption in rats (Lecklin et al., 1998), whereas injection of H<sub>1</sub>R-antagonists elicited food intake (Sakata et al., 1998; Ookuma et al., 1993). Experiments with H<sub>1</sub>R-KO mice further confirmed that histamine inhibits food intake via H<sub>1</sub>R (Masaki et al., 2004). H<sub>2</sub>R was not involved in feeding since both H<sub>2</sub>-agonists (Lecklin et al., 1998)

and antagonists (Sakata et al., 1998) centrally injected had no effect on food consumption. More recently a role of H<sub>3</sub>R in the eating behaviour has been postulated. H<sub>3</sub>R agonists increase feeding (Chiba et al., 2009) whereas H<sub>3</sub>R antagonists have been reported to induce weight loss (Malmjöf et al., 2005). To date, little is known on the role of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R in the modulation of eating behaviour and no data from knockout mice are available. The evaluation of cumulative food intake over a 60 min period in mice deprived of food for 4 h, experimental condition suitable to highlight an increase in food intake, showed a higher amount of food eaten by H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice in comparison with Wt animals. The orectic phenotype showed by H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice is in agreement with previous results in which the i.c.v administration of the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8430 significantly reduced food consumption, further supporting the involvement of H<sub>4</sub>R in the regulation of food consumption by the histaminergic system.

Pharmacological or genetic loss of histamine or histamine receptor function in animals produces phenotypes that mimic human depression (Haas et al., 2008). Activation of H<sub>1</sub>R reduces the time of immobility in the forced swimming test, suggesting an antidepressant-like effect (Lamberti et al., 1998). More recently, the involvement of the H<sub>3</sub>R has been postulated since blockade of H<sub>3</sub>R produced an antidepressant-like activity in rats that was prevented by H<sub>1</sub>R and H<sub>2</sub>R antagonists (Femenia et al., 2015). Investigating into the role of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R, an increased immobility time in the TST was showed by H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice. This effect was particularly evident in the first 2 min of the test, when animals react to the inescapable stress, more than what showed in the last 4 min of the test, when the behavioural despair is established. Since H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice showed an anxiogenic-like behaviour, we cannot exclude that the increased immobility time was secondary to a response to an anxiety-inducing environment. The lack of any antidepressant-like effect by i.c.v. administration of an H<sub>4</sub>R agonist, along with a lower expression of H<sub>4</sub>R in the CA1 hippocampal area (Connelly et al., 2009) in comparison with other histamine receptor subtypes (Vizueté et al., 1997; Pillot et al., 2002), further supports the hypothesis of a secondary role of H<sub>4</sub>R in depression.

The H<sub>4</sub>R-KO model used is constitutive and it cannot be excluded that the altered neuronal functions detected on H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice are a consequence of developmental effects. However,



behavioural experiments performed with mice after pharmacological H<sub>4</sub>R stimulation within the central nervous system revealed pain-reducing, anxiolytic and orectic behaviour (Galeotti et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2007; Sanna et al., 2015), effects that were opposite to those showed by H<sub>4</sub>R deficiency. These data, taken as a whole, let hypothesize a role for central H<sub>4</sub>R in the modulation of neuronal functions and that the behavioural phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice is likely to be related to a role of H<sub>4</sub>R in adult mice rather than to a consequence of developmental processes.

## **5. Conclusions**

Present results represent the first description of the **behavioural** phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice. These data illustrate that H<sub>4</sub>R modulates various neurophysiological functions such as locomotor activity, nociception, anxiety and feeding behaviour, confirming the functional role of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R and the importance of their integrity in the histaminergic regulation of neuronal functions. Selective stimulation of neuronal H<sub>4</sub>R might have important clinical relevance as an innovative approach for neuropathic pain relief and for the treatment of anxiety and anxiety-related disorders.

**Fundings:** This work was supported by grants from Università degli Studi di Firenze.

**Conflicts of interest:** none

## References

- Acevedo SF, Ohtsu H, Benice TS, Rizk-Jackson A, Raber J (2006). Age-dependent measures of anxiety and cognition in male histidine decarboxylase knockout ( $Hdc^{-/-}$ ) mice. *Brain Res* 1071:113–123.
- Beermann S, Seifert R, Neumann D (2012). Commercially available antibodies against human and murine histamine H4-receptor lack specificity. *Naunyn Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* 385:125–135.
- Bongers G, de Esch I, Leurs R (2010). Molecular pharmacology of the four histamine receptors. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 709: 11e19.
- Bongers G, Leurs R, Robertson J, Raber J (2004). Role of H3-receptor-mediated signaling in anxiety and cognition in wild-type and  $Apoe^{-/-}$  mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29:441–449.
- Bourin M, Hascoët M (2003). The mouse light/dark box test. *Eur J Pharmacol* 463:55-65.
- Bourquin AF, Süveges M, Pertin M, Gilliard N, Sardy S, Davison AC, et al. (2006). Assessment and analysis of mechanical allodynia-like behavior induced by spared nerve injury (SNI) in the mouse. *Pain* 122:14.e1–14.
- Charan J, Kantharia ND (2013). How to calculate sample size in animal studies? *J Pharmacol Pharmacother* 4:303-306.
- Chiba S, Itateyama E, Sakata T, Yoshimatsu H (2009). Acute central administration of immepip, a histamine H3 receptor agonist, suppresses hypothalamic histamine release and elicits feeding behavior in rats. *Brain Res Bull* 79:37-40.
- Connelly WM, Shenton FC, Lethbridge N, Leurs R, Waldvogel HJ, Faull RL, et al. (2009). The histamine H4 receptor is functionally expressed on neurons in the mammalian CNS. *Br J Pharmacol* 157:55-63.
- Dere E, De Souza-Silva MA, Spieler RE, Lin JS, Ohtsu H, Haas HL, et al. (2004). Changes in motoric, exploratory and emotional behaviours and neuronal acetylcholine content and 5-HT

- turnover in histidine decarboxylase-KO mice. *Eur J Neurosci* 20:1051–1058.
- Dere E, Zlomuzica A, De Souza Silva MA, Ruocco LA, Sadile AG, Huston JP (2010). Neuronal histamine and the interplay of memory, reinforcement and emotions. *Behav Brain Res* 215:209-220.
- Femenía T, Magara S, DuPont CM, Lindskog M (2015). Hippocampal-dependent antidepressant Action of the H3 receptor antagonist Clobenpropit in a rat model of depression. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 18:1-11.
- Galeotti N, Bartolini A, Ghelardini C (2003). The phospholipase C-IP<sub>3</sub> pathway is involved in muscarinic antinociception. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 28:888-897.
- Galeotti N, Sanna MD, Ghelardini C (2013). Pleiotropic effect of histamine H4 receptor modulation in the central nervous system. *Neuropharmacology* 71:141-147.
- Haas HL, Sergeeva OA, Selbach O (2008). Histamine in the nervous system. *Physiol Rev* 88: 1183e1241.
- Hargreaves K, Dubner R, Brown F, Flores C, Joris J (1988). A new and sensitive method for measuring thermal nociception in cutaneous hyperalgesia. *Pain* 32:77–88.
- Hofstra CL, Desai PJ, Thurmond RL, Fung-Leung WP (2003). Histamine H4 receptor mediates chemotaxis and calcium mobilization of mast cells. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 305:1212-1221.
- Kumar KV, Krishna DR, Palit G (2007). Histaminergic H1 receptors mediate L-histidine-induced anxiety in elevated plus-maze test in mice *Behav Pharmacol* 18:213-217.
- Lamberti C, Ipponi A, Bartolini A, Schunack W, Malmberg-Aiello P (1998). Antidepressant-like effects of endogenous histamine and of two histamine H1 receptor agonists in the mouse forced swim test. *Br J Pharmacol* 123:1331–1336.
- Lecklin A, Etu-Seppälä P, Stark H, Tuomisto L (1998). Effects of intracerebroventricularly infused histamine and selective H1, H2 and H3 agonists on food and water intake and urine flow in Wistar rats. *Brain Res* 793:279-288.
- Lethbridge NL, Chazot PL (2010). Immunological identification of the mouse H4 histamine receptor on spinal cord motor neurons using a novel anti-mouse H4R antibody. *Inflamm Res*

59 (Suppl. 2):S197–S198.

- Liu C, Wilson SJ, Kuei C, Lovenberg TW (2001). Comparison of human, mouse, rat, and guinea pig histamine H4 receptors reveals substantial pharmacological species variation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 299:121-130.
- MalmLöf K, Zaragoza F, Golozoubova V, Refsgaard HH, Cremers T, Raun K, et al. (2005). Influence of a selective histamine H3 receptor antagonist on hypothalamic neural activity, food intake and body weight. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 29:1402–1412.
- Masaki T, Chiba S, Yasuda T, Noguchi H, Kakuma T, Watanabe T, et al. (2004). Involvement of hypothalamic histamine H1 receptor in the regulation of feeding rhythm and obesity. *Diabetes* 53:2250–2260.
- McGrath JC, Lilley E (2015). Implementing guidelines on reporting research using animals (ARRIVE etc.): new requirements for publication in BJP. *Br J Pharmacol* 172:3189–3193.
- Nakamura T, Itadani H, Hidaka Y, Ohta M, Tanaka K (2000). Molecular cloning and characterization of a new human histamine receptor, H4R. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 279:615-620.
- Neumann D, Schneider EH, Seifert R (2014). Analysis of histamine receptor knockout mice in models of inflammation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 348: 2e11.
- Oda T, Morikawa N, Saito Y, Masuho Y, Matsumoto S (2000). Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel type of histamine receptor preferentially expressed in leukocytes. *J Biol Chem* 275:36781-36786.
- Okamura N, Garau C, Duangdao DM, Clark SD, Jüngling K, Pape HC, et al. (2011). Neuropeptide S enhances memory during the consolidation phase and interacts with noradrenergic systems in the brain. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36:744–752.
- Ookuma K, Sakata T, Fukagawa K, Yoshimatsu H, Kurokawa M, Machidori H, et al. (1993). Neuronal histamine in the hypothalamus suppresses food intake in rats. *Brain Res* 628:235–242.
- Panula P, Nuutinen S (2013). The histaminergic network in the brain: Basic organization and role in

- disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 14:472–487.
- Pillot C, Heron A, Cochois V, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Ligneau X, Schwartz JC, et al. (2002). A detailed mapping of the histamine H(3) receptor and its gene transcripts in rat brain. *Neuroscience* 114:173-193.
- Provensi G, Coccurello R, Umehara H, Munari L, Giacobuzzo G, Galeotti N, et al. (2014). Satiety factor oleoylethanolamide recruits the brain histaminergic system to inhibit food intake. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 111:11527-11532
- Rizk A, Curley J, Robertson J, Raber J (2004). Anxiety and cognition in histamine H3 receptor–/– mice. *Eur J Neurosci* 19:1992–1996.
- Sakata T, Ookuma K, Fukagawa K, Fujimoto K, Yoshimatsu H, Shiraishi T, et al. (1988). Blockade of the histamine H1-receptor in the rat ventromedial hypothalamus and feeding elicitation. *Brain Res* 441:403-407.
- Sakata T, Yoshimatsu H, Kurokawa M (1997). Hypothalamic neuronal histamine: Implications of its homeostatic control of energy metabolism. *Nutrition* 13:403–411.
- Sanna MD, Stark H, Lucarini L, Ghelardini C, Masini E, Galeotti N (2015). Histamine H4 receptor activation alleviates neuropathic pain through differential regulation of ERK, JNK and P38 MAPK phosphorylation. *Pain* 156:2492-2504.
- Schneider EH, Neumann D, Seifert R (2014a). Modulation of behavior by the histaminergic system: lessons from H1R-and H2R-deficient mice. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 42: 252e266.
- Schneider EH, Neumann D, Seifert R (2014b). Modulation of behavior by the histaminergic system: lessons from HDC-, H3R-and H4R-deficient mice. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 47: 101e121.
- Schneider EH, Seifert R (2016). The histamine H4-receptor and the central and peripheral nervous system: A critical analysis of the literature. *Neuropharmacology* 106:116-128.
- Seifert R, Strasser A, Schneider EH, Neumann D, Dove S, Buschauer A (2013). Molecular and cellular analysis of human histamine receptor subtypes. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 34: 33e58.
- Shan L, Bossers K, Luchetti S, Balesar R, Lethbridge N, Chazot PL, et al. (2012). Alterations in the

- histaminergic system in the substantia nigra and striatum of Parkinson's patients: a postmortem study. *Neurobiol Aging* 33:1488.e1–1488.e13.
- Shiba T, Kobayashi T, Mobarakeh JI, Sakurai E, Nakagawa Y, Tamai M, et al. (2001). Behavioral characterization in mice lacking histamine H2 receptors. In: Conference of the Society for Neuroscience, San Diego, CA, Abstract 745.15.
- Smith FM, Haskellberg H, Tracey DJ, Moalem-Taylor G (2007). Role of histamine H3 and H4 receptors in mechanical hyperalgesia following peripheral nerve injury. *Neuroimmunomodulation* 14:317-325.
- Strakhova MI, Nikkel A, Manelli AM, Hsieh G, Esbenshade TA, Brioni JD, et al. (2009). Localization of histamine H4 receptors in the central nervous system of human and rat. *Brain Res* 1250:41-48.
- Strasser A, Wittmann HJ, Buschauer A, Schneider EH, Seifert R (2013). Species-dependent activities of G-protein-coupled receptor ligands: lessons from histamine receptor orthologs. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 34: 13e32.
- Toyota H, Dugovic C, Koehl M, Laposky AD, Weber C, Ngo K, et al. (2002). Behavioral characterization of mice lacking histamine H3 receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* 62:389–397.
- Vizuite ML, Traiffort E, Bouthenet ML, Ruat M, Souil E, Tardivel-Lacombe J, et al. (1997). Detailed mapping of the histamine H2 receptor and its gene transcripts in guinea-pig brain. *Neuroscience* 80:321-343.
- Walter M, Stark H (2012). Histamine receptor subtypes: a century of rational drug design. *Front Biosci Sch Ed* 4: 461e488.
- Yanai K, Son LZ, Endou M, Sakurai E, Nakagawasai O, Tadano T, et al. (1998). Behavioural characterization and amounts of brain monoamines and their metabolites in mice lacking histamine H1 receptors. *Neuroscience* 87:479–487.
- Zlomuzica A, Viggiano D, De Souza Silva MA, Ishizuka T, Gironi Carnevale UA, Ruocco LA, et al. (2008). The histamine H1-receptor mediates the motivational effects of novelty. *Eur J Neurosci* 27:1461–1474.



**Fig. 1.** Locomotor behaviour of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice. (A) H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed a body weight similar to wild type (WT) mice. (B) Lack of impairment of motor coordination by H<sub>4</sub>R mutant mice. Increased of spontaneous mobility (C) and exploratory activity (D) in mice lacking H<sub>4</sub>R. \*P<0.05 in comparison with wild type mice; n=10 per group.

**Fig. 2.** Hypernociceptive phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice. (A) H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed similar pain threshold to wild type (WT) mice against thermal (plantar test, A; hot plate test, B) and mechanical (C) stimuli. Spared nerve injury (SNI) produced, 7 days after surgery, thermal (D) and mechanical (E) allodynia in the ipsilateral side of control mice that was significantly more prominent in H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice. \*P<0.05 vs contralateral side; °P<0.05 vs ipsilateral side of wild type mice; n=10 per group.

**Fig. 3.** Depressant-like phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice in the tail suspension test. H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed increased immobility time in comparison with wild type (WT) animals detected in the first 2 min (A), in the last 4 min (B) and in the whole 6 min duration of the test (C). Treatment with the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8430 (20 µg per mouse i.c.v.) did not modify the immobility time that was reduced by the antidepressant drug amitriptyline (AMI), used as reference drug (D). \*P<0.05 in comparison with control mice; n=10 per group.

**Fig. 4.** Anxiogenic-like effect of H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice in the mouse light/dark box test. (A) H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed a reduced permanence in the light compartment (A) in comparison with wild type (WT) mice. (B) The latency to the first step into the dark compartment of mutant mice was similar to that of WT mice. (C) The number of transitions was reduced in H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice. Diazepam (1 mg/kg i.p.), used as reference anxiolytic drug, increased the number of transitions. (D) Treatment of WT mice with the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8439 (20 µg i.c.v.) increased the time spent in the light chamber producing an anxiolytic-like effect comparable to that showed by diazepam. \*P<0.05 in comparison with the control group; n=10 per group.




**Fig. 5.** Orectic phenotype of H<sub>4</sub>R-deficient mice. The food intake values were evaluated as the cumulated amount of food eaten 60 min after the beginning of the test. (A) After 4h-food deprivation H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed a significant increase in the food consumption. (B) No difference between H<sub>4</sub>R-KO and wild type (WT) mice was detected after 12 h-food deprivation. D-amphetamine (amph, 1 mg/kg i.p.) was used as reference drug. (C) Decrease of food intake by i.c.v. administration of the H<sub>4</sub>R agonist VUF 8430 (40 µg). \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*<0.001 in comparison with the control group; n=10 per group.

**Fig. 6.** Lack of effect of H<sub>4</sub>R deficiency on memory processes. (A) H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed no impairment of working memory in the passive avoidance test. (B) In the novel object recognition test wild type mice reduce exploration of the familiar object in the retention test. No difference between exploration times was detected in the training session. (C) H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice showed an exploratory activity similar to wild type mice. No difference in the training object exploration index (D), the novel object exploration index (E) and discrimination index (F) between wild type and H<sub>4</sub>R-KO mice. °P<0.05 in comparison with training values; \*P<0.05 vs TA1; n=10 per group.




Figure(s)

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure(s)

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure(s)


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure(s)

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure(s)

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

