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# INTEGRAL ESTIMATES FOR TRANSPORT DENSITIES 

L. DE PASCALE, L. C. EVANS, AND A. PRATELLI


#### Abstract

We introduce some integration-by-parts methods that improve upon the $L^{p}$ estimates on transport densitites from the recent paper by L. De Pascale and A. Pratelli, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 14 (2002), 249-274.


## 1. Introduction

This paper provides some PDE methods that improve upon the $L^{p}$ estimates on the "transport densities" in certain Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problems, as derived in the earlier paper [4] by the first and third authors and in some case also in [7]. Our main estimate provides the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{k}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}}+1\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $2 \leq q<\infty$, when $u$ solves the quasilinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma_{k} D u_{k}\right)=f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}:=e^{\frac{k}{2}\left(\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2}-1\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $k$ sufficiently large. The constant $C$ in (1) depends on $q$, but not on the parameter $k$.
This problem arises as an approximation of the fundamental transport (or continuity) equation for the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem, as explained for instance in [6]. In this interpretation, we seek an optimal rearrangement of the measure $\mu^{+}:=f^{+} d x$ into $\mu^{-}:=f^{-} d y$. In the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $u_{k} \rightarrow u, \sigma_{k} \rightarrow a$ and the potential $u$ solves

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}(a D u)=f  \tag{4}\\
|D u| \leq 1 \\
|D u|=1 \text { where } a>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We call $a$ the transport density. It turns out that an optimal mass reallocation plan can be constructed using $u$ and $a$.

The paper [4] by De Pascale and Pratelli studied how the integrability properties of $f=$ $f^{+}-f^{-}$affect those of the transport density. They showed that
(i) $a \in L^{\infty}$ if $f \in L^{\infty}$, and
(ii) $a \in L^{q-\epsilon}$ if $f \in L^{q}$, for $1 \leq q<\infty$ and each $\epsilon>0$.

[^0]We introduce in this paper some PDE integration-by-parts methods to improve assertion (ii), by demonstrating

$$
a \in L^{q} \quad \text { if } f \in L^{q}, \text { for } 2 \leq q<\infty
$$

We have tried, and failed, to extend our methods to include $q=\infty$.
A PDE like (4) comes up also in the general formulation of Bouchitté and Buttazzo [1] for finding a distribution of a given amount of conductor to best dissipate heat. Then $f$ represents a heat source and $u$ the temperature of the system. The survey [6] describes several more applications.

## 2. Approximation

We will for simplicity take $U=B^{0}(0, R)$, the open ball with center 0 and radius $R>0$. Hereafter we always suppose that $f \in L^{1}(U)$, with $\int_{U} f d x=0$. Denote by $u_{k}$ the solution of the nonlinear boundary-value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma_{k} D u_{k}\right)=f & \text { in } U  \tag{5}\\
u_{k}=0 & \text { on } \partial U
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k}:=e^{\frac{k}{2}\left(\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2}-1\right)} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $u_{k}$ is the unique minimizer of the functional

$$
F_{k}[v]:=\int_{U} \frac{1}{k} e^{\frac{k}{2}\left(|D v|^{2}-1\right)}-f v d x
$$

in $W_{0}^{1, k}$. This approximation is suggested by the recent paper [5]. Regularity theory (Cf. Marcellini [9]) implies that $u_{k}$ is smooth, provided $f$ is.

We want to study the limits of $u_{k}$ and $\sigma_{k}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and begin with some uniform bounds.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $f \in L^{1}(U)$. Then the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, q}(U)$, for each $1 \leq q<\infty$.
Proof. Observe first that $x \leq e^{\frac{x^{2}-1}{2}}$ for $x \geq 0$, and therefore that $\left|D u_{k}\right| \leq \sigma_{k}^{\frac{1}{k}}$. Recalling then (5), (6), we deduce for $k>n$ that

$$
\int_{U}\left|D u_{k}\right|^{k+2} d x \leq \int_{U}\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2} \sigma_{k} d x=\int_{U} f u_{k} d x \leq C\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{k}}
$$

Note that $\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{k}}^{k} \leq\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{k+2}}^{k+2}+C$. Hence $\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{k}}^{k} \leq C+C\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{k}}$, and so $\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{k}} \leq C$. We deduce for each $k>q$ that

$$
\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq\left\|D u_{k}\right\|_{L^{k}}\|1\|_{L^{\frac{k q}{k-q}}} \leq C
$$

We next indentify the $\Gamma$-limit of problem (5), (6) as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For this, define

$$
F[v]:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\int_{U} f v d x \quad \text { if } v \in C_{0}^{0,1}(U),|D v| \leq 1 \text { a.e. }  \tag{7}\\
+\infty \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.2. As $k$ goes to infinity, we have

$$
F_{k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F .
$$

with respect to the uniform convergence of functions.

Proof. 1. Since the mapping $u \mapsto\langle f, u\rangle=\int_{U} f u d x$ is linear, it is enough to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}[v]:=\frac{1}{k} \int_{U} e^{\frac{k}{2}\left(|D v|^{2}-1\right)} d x \xrightarrow{\Gamma} E[v], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
E[v]:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad \text { if } v \in C_{0}^{0,1}(U),|D v| \leq 1 \text { a.e. }  \tag{9}\\
+\infty \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

2. If $E[v]<\infty$, we clearly have

$$
E[v]=0=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} E_{k}[v] .
$$

Suppose now that $v_{k} \rightarrow v$ uniformly, and $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} E_{k}\left[v_{k}\right] \leq C<\infty$. Fix an integer $m$ and let $k>m$. Since $e^{\frac{x^{2}-1}{2}} \geq x$, we have for each open set $V \subseteq U$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{V}\left|D v_{k}\right|^{m} d x\right)^{1 / m} & \leq|V|^{1 / m-1 / k}\left(\int_{V}\left|D v_{k}\right|^{k} d x\right)^{1 / k} \\
& \leq|V|^{1 / m-1 / k} k^{1 / k} E_{k}\left(v_{k}\right)^{1 / k} \leq|V|^{1 / m-1 / k} k^{1 / k} C^{1 / k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to limits in $k$ and recalling the lower semicontinuity of the $L^{m}$ norm of the gradient, we discover

$$
\left(\int_{V}|D v|^{m} d x\right)^{1 / m} \leq|V|^{1 / m}
$$

This inequality, valid for all $V$ as above, implies that $D v$ is in $L^{\infty}$, with $|D v| \leq 1$ almost everywhere. Consequently,

$$
E[v]=0 \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} E_{k}\left[v_{k}\right] .
$$

Introduce next the vector fields

$$
\mathbf{G}_{k}:=\sigma_{k} D u_{k} \quad(k=1, \ldots) .
$$

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that for some $1<q<\infty$ we have the uniform bounds

$$
\sup _{k}\left\|\mathbf{G}_{k}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}<\infty .
$$

Define

$$
f_{k}:=-\operatorname{div}\left(\mathbf{G}_{k}\right),
$$

and assume

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{k} \rightharpoonup f \quad \text { weakly in } L^{q}(U) \\
\mathbf{G}_{k} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{G} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{q}\left(U ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \\
u_{k} \rightarrow u \quad \text { uniformly. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then there exists a positive function $a \in L^{q}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{G}=a D u, \\
|D u|=1 \text { a.e. on }\{a>0\}, \text { and } \\
\sigma_{k} \rightharpoonup a \quad \text { weakly in } L^{q}(U) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular, $a=|\mathbf{G}|$.

Proof. 1. First of all, note that $-\operatorname{div} \mathbf{G}=f$; that is,

$$
\int_{U} \mathbf{G} \cdot D \psi d x=\int_{U} f \psi d x
$$

for all $\psi \in C^{1}, \psi=0$ on $\partial U$.
Let us now fix $0<\lambda<1$ and calculate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U}|\mathbf{G}| d x & \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U}\left|\mathbf{G}_{k}\right| d x=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\left\{\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2}>1-\lambda\right\}}\left|\mathbf{G}_{k}\right| d x+\int_{\left\{\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2} \leq 1-\lambda\right\}}\left|\mathbf{G}_{k}\right| d x\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\lambda}} \int_{U}\left|\mathbf{G}_{k}\right|\left|D u_{k}\right| d x+\int_{U} e^{-\frac{k}{2} \lambda} \sqrt{1-\lambda} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $k$ goes to infinity, the last integral goes to 0 . Notice also that

$$
\int_{U}\left|\mathbf{G}_{k}\right|\left|D u_{k}\right| d x=\int_{U} \sigma_{k}\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2} d x=\int_{U} f_{k} u_{k} d x
$$

Therefore

$$
\sqrt{1-\lambda} \int_{U}|\mathbf{G}| d x \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U} f_{k} u_{k} d x=\int_{U} f u d x=\int_{U} \mathbf{G} \cdot D u d x
$$

for each $0<\lambda<1$, and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U}|\mathbf{G}| d x \leq \int_{U} \mathbf{G} \cdot D u d x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Reasoning now as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 , we fix an integer $m$ and let $k>m$. Then for each open set $V \subseteq U$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{V}\left|D u_{k}\right|^{m} d x\right)^{1 / m} & \leq|V|^{1 / m-1 / k+1}\left(\int_{V}\left|D u_{k}\right|^{k+1} d x\right)^{1 / k+1} \\
& \leq|V|^{1 / m-1 / k+1}| | \mathbf{G}_{k} \|_{L^{1}}^{1 / k+1} \leq|V|^{1 / m-1 / k+1} C^{1 / k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Pass to limits in $k$ to find

$$
\left(\int_{V}|D u|^{m} d x\right)^{1 / m} \leq|V|^{1 / m}
$$

and therefore $|D u| \leq 1$ almost everywhere. The first two assertions of the Theorem now follow from (10).
3. To show also that $\sigma_{k} \rightharpoonup a$, let us fix $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ and prove

$$
\int_{U} \sigma_{k} \psi d x \rightarrow \int_{U} a \psi d x
$$

We write

$$
\int_{U} \sigma_{k} \psi d x=\int_{U} \sigma_{k}\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2} \psi d x+\int_{U} \sigma_{k}\left(1-\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2}\right) \psi d x=: A_{1}+A_{2}
$$

Notice now that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & =\int_{U} \psi \mathbf{G}_{k} \cdot D u_{k} d x=\int_{U} \mathbf{G}_{k} \cdot D\left(u_{k} \psi\right) d x-\int_{U} u_{k} \mathbf{G}_{k} \cdot D \psi d x \\
& =\int_{U} f_{k} u_{k} \psi d x-\int_{U} u_{k} \mathbf{G}_{k} \cdot D \psi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression converges as $k \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U} f u \psi d x-\int_{U} u \mathbf{G} \cdot D \psi d x & =\int_{U} \mathbf{G} \cdot D(u \psi) d x-\int_{U} u \mathbf{G} \cdot D \psi d x \\
& =\int_{U} \psi \mathbf{G} \cdot D u d x=\int_{U} \psi a|D u|^{2} d x=\int_{U} a \psi d x
\end{aligned}
$$

4. It remains to show that $A_{2} \rightarrow 0$. If we write $\varphi_{k}:=\left|D u_{k}\right|^{2}-1$, then

$$
\left|A_{2}\right| \leq\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{U} e^{\frac{k}{2} \varphi_{k}}\left|\varphi_{k}\right| d x
$$

Since $x e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \leq 1$ for each $x>0$, we have

$$
\int_{\left\{\varphi_{k}<0\right\}} e^{\frac{k}{2} \varphi_{k}}\left|\varphi_{k}\right| d x \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{U} k\left|\varphi_{k}\right| e^{-\frac{k\left|\varphi_{k}\right|}{2}} d x \leq \frac{|U|}{k} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Finally, since $q>1$ there exists a constant $c_{q}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{e^{x(q-1)}}{x} \geq c_{q}>0
$$

for all $x>0$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left\{\varphi_{k}>0\right\}} e^{\frac{k}{2} \varphi_{k}}\left|\varphi_{k}\right| d x & =\frac{2}{k} \int_{\left\{\varphi_{k}>0\right\}} e^{\frac{k}{2} \varphi_{k}} \frac{k}{2} \varphi_{k} d x \\
& \leq \frac{2}{c_{q} k} \int_{\left\{\varphi_{k}>0\right\}} e^{\frac{q k}{2} \varphi_{k}} d x=\frac{2}{c_{q} k} \int_{U} \sigma_{k}^{q} d x \leq \frac{2 C^{q}}{c_{q} k} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof that $A_{2} \rightarrow 0$.

## 3. Estimates I

The full calculations for our main estimate in $\S 4$ are fairly involved, and so for the reader's convenience we provide in this section a simpler computation illustrating the main ideas. Suppose $2 \leq q<\infty$.
Theorem 3.1. There exists a constant $C$, depending on $q$, but independent of $k$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma_{k}^{q} d x \leq C\left(\int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+1\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. 1. To simplify notation, we hereafter in the proof do not write the subscripts $k$. Observe that since $D u$ is bounded in each space $L^{q}$ and $u=0$ on $\partial U$, we have the bound

$$
|u| \leq C
$$

for some constant $C$.
2. Multiply (5) by $\sigma^{q-1} u$ and integrate by parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{2}+(q-1) \sigma^{q-1} D u \cdot D \sigma u d x & =\int_{U} \sigma u_{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u\right)_{i} d x=\int_{U} f \sigma^{q-1} u d x \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{U}|f|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{U} \sigma^{q} d x\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here and afterwards we write the subscript $i$ to denote the partial derivative with respect to the variable $x_{i}$.

Notice that $|D u|^{2} \geq 1$ if $\sigma \geq 1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q} d x \leq C\left(\int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+\int_{U} \sigma^{q-1}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x+1\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Next, multiply (5) by $-\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i}\right)_{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{j} d x & =-\int_{U} f\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{j} d x \\
& =\int_{U} f \sigma^{q-2}\left(-\left(\sigma u_{j}\right)_{j}\right) d x-\int_{U} f(q-2) \sigma^{q-2} \sigma_{j} u_{j} d x  \tag{14}\\
& \leq C \int_{U} f^{2} \sigma^{q-2}+|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x
\end{align*}
$$

The term on the left is

$$
\begin{align*}
A: & =-\int_{U} \sigma u_{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{i j} d x+\int_{\partial U} \sigma u_{i} \nu^{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{j} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1}  \tag{15}\\
& =\int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i}\right)_{j}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{i} d x+\int_{\partial U} \sigma u_{i} \nu^{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{j}-\sigma u_{i} \nu^{j}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{i} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu=\left(\nu^{1}, \ldots, \nu^{n}\right)$ is the unit outer normal to $\partial U$. The boundary integral is

$$
\begin{align*}
B:= & \int_{\partial U} \sigma^{q}\left(u_{i} \nu^{i} u_{j j}-u_{i} \nu^{j} u_{i j}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
& +\int_{\partial U}(q-1) \sigma^{q-1}\left(u_{i} \nu^{i} u_{j} \sigma_{j}-u_{i} \nu^{j} \sigma_{i} u_{j}\right) d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrand of the last term equals 0 , since $\sigma=e^{\frac{k}{2}\left(|D u|^{2}-1\right)}$ and so $\sigma_{j}=k u_{l} u_{l j} \sigma$.
Consider a point $x_{0} \in \partial U$; without loss, we can take $x_{0}=(0, \ldots, R)$. Then $\nu=(0, \ldots, 1)$ and $D u=\left(0, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$, since $u=0$ on $\partial U$. The integrand of the first term on the right hand side of (16) at $x_{0}$ therefore equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{q}\left(\Delta u-u_{n n}\right) u_{n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly, write $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ and observe that $u\left(x^{\prime}, \sqrt{R^{2}-\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right) \equiv 0$ for small $x^{\prime}$. We differentiate this identity twice and set $x^{\prime}=0$, to compute $\Delta u-u_{n n}=\frac{n-1}{R} u_{n}$ at $x_{0}$. Hence

$$
B=\frac{n-1}{R} \int_{\partial U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{2} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq 0
$$

4. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i}\right)_{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{j} d x \geq \int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i}\right)_{j}\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{j}\right)_{i} d x \\
& =\int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i j}+\sigma_{j} u_{i}\right)\left(\sigma^{q-1} u_{i j}+(q-1) \sigma^{q-2} \sigma_{i} u_{j}\right) d x  \tag{18}\\
& =\int_{U} \sigma^{q}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{2}+(q-1) \sigma^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma|^{2}+q \sigma^{q-1} \sigma_{j} u_{i} u_{i j} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\sigma_{j}=k u_{l} u_{l j} \sigma$. Hence (14) and (18) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{2}+ & (q-1) \sigma^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma|^{2}+\frac{q}{k} \sigma^{q-2}|D \sigma|^{2} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{U} f^{2} \sigma^{q-2}+|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x  \tag{19}\\
& \leq \frac{q-1}{2} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma|^{2}+C \int_{U}|f|^{2} \sigma^{q-2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma|^{2} d x \leq C \int_{U}|f|^{2} \sigma^{q-2} d x \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. Combining now (13) and (20) one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q} d x & \leq C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+C \int_{U} \sigma^{q-1}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x+C \\
& \leq C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+\frac{1}{3} \int_{U} \sigma^{q} d x+C \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma|^{2} d x+C \\
& \leq C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+\frac{1}{3} \int_{U} \sigma^{q} d x+C \int_{U}|f|^{2} \sigma^{q-2} d x+C  \tag{21}\\
& \leq C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+\frac{2}{3} \int_{U} \sigma^{q} d x+C
\end{align*}
$$

and this gives (11).
Remark. The boundary integral term $B$ is in fact nonnegative for any convex, smooth domain replacing $U=B(0, R)$ : see for instance the similar calculations in $\S 1.5$ of Ladyzhenskaja [8].

## 4. Estimates II

In this section we derive our main integral estimate.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that $2 \leq q<\infty$ and that $f \in C^{\infty}(\bar{U})$. Then there exist a constant $C$, depending only on $q$, and a constant $K$, depending only on $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma_{k}^{q}\left|D u_{k}\right|^{q} d x \leq C\left(\int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+1\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geq K$.
The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1, except that we must handle the additional term $\left|D u_{k}\right|^{q}$ on the left. This makes our multipliers and estimates more intricate.
Proof. 1. For notational simplicity we hereafter write $\sigma$ and $u$ in place of $\sigma_{k}$ and $u_{k}$.
Since $f$ is smooth, the same is true for $u$ and $\sigma$. Observe also the bound

$$
|u| \leq C .
$$

We record for later reference these consequences of (6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D u|_{i}=\frac{\sigma_{i}}{k \sigma|D u|}, u_{i} u_{i j}=\frac{\sigma_{j}}{k \sigma} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. We multiply the $\operatorname{PDE}$ (5) by $\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1} u$ and integrate by parts, to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma D u \cdot D\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1} u\right) d x=\int_{U} \sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1} u f d x \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand term in (24) is less than or equal to

$$
C \int_{U} \sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1}|f| d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\left\{|f| \leq \frac{\sigma|D u|}{2 C}\right\}} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+2^{q-1} C^{q} \int_{\left\{|f|>\frac{\sigma|D u|}{2 C}\right\}}|D u|^{2}|f|^{q} d x
$$

But if $\sigma|D u|<2 C|f|$, then obviously $\sigma|D u| \leq 2 C\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}$. Recalling (6), we see that this implies $|D u| \leq 2$ provided $k \geq K$, for some constant $K$ depending only upon $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1} u f d x \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. We use (23) to evaluate the left hand term in (24):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{U} \sigma D u \cdot D\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1} u\right) d x \\
& =(q-1) \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+3} d x  \tag{26}\\
& \quad \sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1} u D \sigma \cdot D u d x+(q+1) \int_{U} \sigma^{q} u|D u|^{q} D u \cdot(D|D u|) d x \\
& \quad=\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+3} d x
\end{align*}
$$

But $\sigma \geq 1$ only if $|D u| \geq 1$; and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x \leq \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+3} d x+C \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $U$ is bounded.
Combining (27), (26), (24) and (25), we deduce the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x \leq C & +\frac{1}{2} \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x \\
& +C \int_{U} \sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q+1}|D \sigma \cdot D u| d x+\frac{C}{k} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q-1}|D \sigma \cdot D u| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as before (this means dividing the integrals in the set where $|D \sigma \cdot D u| \leq \epsilon \sigma|D u| / C$ and in the rest of $U$ ), we see that therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x \leq & C+C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+\epsilon \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+\frac{\epsilon}{k} \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q} d x \\
& +\frac{C^{2}}{\epsilon} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x+\frac{C^{2}}{k \epsilon} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\epsilon>0$. Since $\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q} d x \leq \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+C$, this implies our first main estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x \leq C & +C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+C \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{C}{k} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

4. The last two terms in (29) involving $D \sigma \cdot D u$ are dangerous, since $D \sigma$ is of order $k$ : we need another estimate to control them.

Let us therefore continue by multiplying the $\operatorname{PDE}(5)$ by - div $\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} D u\right)$ and thereby deriving the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \operatorname{div}(\sigma D u) \operatorname{div}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} D u\right) d x=-\int_{U} f \operatorname{div}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} D u\right) d x \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term on the right equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U} f \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}( & -\operatorname{div}(\sigma D u)) d x-\int_{U} f \sigma D u \cdot D\left(\sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}\right) d x=\int_{U}|f|^{2} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q} d x \\
& -(q-2) \int_{U} f \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q} D u \cdot D \sigma d x-q \int_{U} f \sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q-1} D u \cdot(D|D u|) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We again recall (23) and deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{U} f \operatorname{div}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} D u\right) d x \leq \int_{U}|f|^{2} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q} d x \\
& \quad+(q-2) \int_{U}|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x+\frac{q}{k} \int_{U}|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The left hand term of (30) is

$$
\begin{align*}
A:= & -\int_{U} \sigma u_{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{j}\right)_{i j} d x+\int_{\partial U} \sigma u_{i} \nu^{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{j}\right)_{j} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\
= & \int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i}\right)_{j}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{j}\right)_{i} d x  \tag{32}\\
& +\int_{\partial U} \sigma u_{i} \nu^{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{j}\right)_{j}-\sigma u_{i} \nu^{j}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{j}\right)_{i} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Call the boundary term $B$. Then, almost exactly as in step 3 of the previous proof, we can show that

$$
B=\frac{n-1}{R} \int_{\partial U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d \mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq 0
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i}\right)_{i}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{j}\right)_{j} d x \geq \int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i}\right)_{j}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{j}\right)_{i} d x \\
= & \int_{U}\left(\sigma u_{i j}+\sigma_{j} u_{i}\right)\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} u_{i j}+(q-1) \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q} \sigma_{i} u_{j}+\frac{q}{k} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2} \sigma_{i} u_{j}\right) d x \\
= & \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{2}+\frac{q}{k} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma|^{2}+(q-1) \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{q}{k^{2}} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma|^{2}+\frac{q}{k} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The first, the second and the fourth terms in the last expression are positive, and so we deduce

$$
\begin{gather*}
(q-1) \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x+\frac{q}{k} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x \\
\leq \int_{U} \operatorname{div}(\sigma D u) \operatorname{div}\left(\sigma^{q-1}|D u|^{q} D u\right) d x \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

Collecting (33), (30) and (31), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{k} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{U}|f|^{2} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q} d x+C \int_{U}|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x  \tag{34}\\
& \quad+\frac{C}{k} \int_{U}|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x
\end{align*}
$$

Take $\epsilon>0$ to be a small constant, which will be fixed later on. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U} f^{2} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q} d x & \leq \epsilon \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+C \int_{\{|f|>\sigma|D u| \sqrt{\epsilon}\}}|f|^{q}|D u|^{2} d x  \tag{35}\\
& \leq \epsilon \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x
\end{align*}
$$

since $|D u| \leq 2$ wherever $|f|>\sigma|D u| \sqrt{\epsilon}$, provided $k \geq K$ and $K$ is large.
Recalling (35), we can likewise estimate for each $\delta>0$ that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{U}|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u| d x \leq \delta \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x+C \int_{U} f^{2} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q} d x \\
\leq \delta \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x+C\left(\epsilon \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+\int_{U}|f|^{q} d x\right) \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{U}|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x \leq \delta \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x+C \int_{U} f^{2} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2} d x  \tag{37}\\
\leq \delta \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x+C\left(\epsilon \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q} d x+C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\sigma \geq 1$ only if $|D u| \geq 1$, we have

$$
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q} d x \leq \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+C
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U}|f| \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D u \cdot D \sigma| d x \leq & \delta \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x \\
& +C\left(\epsilon \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+\int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+1\right) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\delta>0$ small, we then derive from (34), (35), (36) and (38) our second main inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x & +\frac{1}{k} \int_{U} \sigma^{q-2}|D u|^{q-2}|D \sigma \cdot D u|^{2} d x  \tag{39}\\
& \leq \epsilon \int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x+C \int_{U}|f|^{q}+C
\end{align*}
$$

5. Putting together inequalities (29) and (39) and fixing $\epsilon>0$ small, we finally discover

$$
\int_{U} \sigma^{q}|D u|^{q+2} d x \leq C+C \int_{U}|f|^{q} d x
$$

As $\sigma \geq 1$ only if $|D u| \geq 1$, estimate (22) follows.

Theorem 4.1 concerns only smooth functions $f$. However, since the bound for the $L^{q}$ norm of the transport density depends only upon the $L^{q}$ norm of $f$, we can approximate:
Theorem 4.2. For each $2 \leq q<\infty$ and $f \in L^{q}(U)$ the associated transport density a belongs to $L^{q}(U)$. Furthermore, there is a constant $C$, depending only upon $n$ and $U$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a\|_{L^{q}} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^{q}}+1\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. 1. Let us first define

$$
f_{j}:=f * \eta_{1 / j}
$$

the convolution of $f$ with a standard mollifier. For each integer $j$, we then solve

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma_{k, j} D u_{k, j}\right) & =f_{j} \quad \text { in } U  \tag{41}\\
u_{k, j} & =0 \quad \text { on } \partial U,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k, j}:=e^{\frac{k}{2}\left(\left|D u_{k, j}\right|^{2}-1\right)} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. According to (22), we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U} \sigma_{k, j}^{q}\left|D u_{k, j}\right|^{q} d x \leq C\left(\int_{U}\left|f_{j}\right|^{q} d x+1\right) \leq C\left(\int_{U}|f|^{q} d x+1\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k$ greater than or equal to some constant $K=k(j)$, depending only on the $L^{\infty}$ norm of $f_{j}$. Now define

$$
\sigma_{j}:=\sigma_{k(j), j}, \quad u_{j}:=u_{k(j), j}, \quad \mathbf{G}_{j}:=\sigma_{j} D u_{j} .
$$

Clearly $f_{j} \rightarrow f$ in $L^{q}$. Furthermore, (43) implies that $\mathbf{G}_{j}$ is bounded in $L^{q}$. We may therefore assume upon reindexing that

$$
\mathbf{G}_{j} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{G} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{q}\left(U ; \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) .
$$

Finally we may pass as necessary to a further subsequence to ensure $u_{j}$ converges uniformly to a limit $u$. Apply Theorem 2.3.
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