Clinical Cancer Research

hERG Channels: From Antitargets to Novel Targets for Cancer Therapy

Annarosa Arcangeli[1] and Andrea Becchetti[2]

In this issue of *Clinical Cancer Research*, evidence is provided on how to avoid cardiotoxicity when targeting hERG K$^+$ channel for cancer therapy. hERG regulates different aspects of neoplastic progression. Although its blockade has effective anticancer effects in experimental models, it may lead to fatal arrhythmias in humans. *Clin Cancer Res; 23(1); 3–5.* ©2016 AACR.

See related article by Pointer et al., p. 73

In this issue of *Clinical Cancer Research*, Pointer and colleagues analyze the expression of *Ether-à-go-go*–related gene (*hERG*) K$^+$ channels, in cells derived from glioblastoma patients (GPDC), in GPDC-derived *in vivo* xenografts, and in a clinically annotated human glioblastoma tissue microarray (1). hERG expression in glioblastoma xenografts correlates with higher proliferative indices. Moreover, tissue microarray analysis shows that in patients with glioblastomas that displayed high hERG expression, survival was generally shorter. Consistent with these results, the GPDCs with high hERG expression showed a reduction in neurosphere formation when treated with hERG inhibitors. Finally, a retrospective analysis was carried out on patients who had received drugs known to exert off-target hERG blockade for the treatment of different comorbidities. These patients displayed a significantly better survival rate compared with the patients who were not treated with such drugs. Subgroup analysis shows that survival was prolonged in patients affected by glioblastomas with high hERG expression, whereas no such effect was produced by hERG blockers in patients affected by glioblastomas with low hERG expression. Overall, these data suggest that hERG is a potential marker of survival in glioblastoma. Moreover, commonly prescribed hERG inhibitors devoid of proarrhythmic activity could be used as adjuvant therapeutic agents for glioblastoma.

hERG channels are voltage-dependent K$^+$ channels that are physiologically expressed in cardiac myocytes, neurons, smooth muscle of different organs, and neuroendocrine cells (2). In cardiac cells, hERG is thought to be the molecular correlate of I_{Kr}, a K$^+$ current that contributes to action potential repolarization (3). However, evidence has been accumulating in the past two decades showing that hERG is often aberrantly expressed in neoplastic cell lines (4) and primary human cancers, such as glioma, neural crest–derived tumors (neuroblastoma and mela-noma), and a variety of carcinomas and leukemias (4). Cellular and molecular studies have demonstrated that hERG regulates different aspects of neoplastic progression (Fig. 1): cell proliferation and survival, secretion of proangiogenic factors, invasiveness, and metastasis (4, 5). Such pleiotropic effects are not necessarily the same, even in closely related cancers. For example, hERG mainly regulates cell survival in lymphoid leukemia, but transendothelial migration in myeloid leukemia. In solid tumors such as colorectal and gastric cancer, it was found to affect neoangiogenesis and the metastatic process (for review, see ref. 6).

What is particularly important in the present context is that growing evidence indicates that blocking hERG has antineoplastic effects also *in vivo*. Such preclinical evidence would strongly encourage the consideration of hERG as a possible target for antineoplastic therapy, if many hERG inhibitors did not produce cardiotoxic effects in humans. As is well-known, inhibiting hERG can cause serious cardiac arrhythmias by retarding cardiac repolarization. This is reflected in a longer electrocardiographic QT interval, which can give rise to torsade de pointes, a ventricular arrhythmia that may lead to ventricular fibrillation (7). In particular, fatal arrhythmias can be caused by class III antiarrhythmic drugs, which comprise many widely used hERG blockers, such as E4031; Way 123, 398; and dofetilide. Therefore, hERG is generally considered an undesirable pharmacologic target (8). However, the structural features of the channel pore make hERG rather promiscuous, in that it can interact with many structurally different compounds. A number of these molecules, commonly used in the clinical setting, effectively block hERG channels without facilitating arrhythmia (9). Among these "nontorsadogenic" hERG blockers are the antiepileptic phenytoin, the antipsychotics fluoxetine and sertindole, the antiestrogen tamoxifen, the antihypertensive verapamil, and the macrolide antibiotics (9). Some of these drugs were also considered in the clinical study by Pointer and colleagues (1). Because of the current mechanistic uncertainties about the pathophysiologic link between QT prolongation and arrhythmia, it is unclear what the likelihood would be of a given hERG inhibitor producing a torsadogenic effect. One possibility that is gaining increasing recognition is that blocking hERG alone is not sufficient to cause arrhythmia, and the torsadogenic potential of a given compound depends on the full spectrum of ion channels it is able to modulate (10).

In this light, one can hypothesize different possible pharmacologic approaches to exploit the anticancer effects of hERG

[1]Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy. [2]Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy.

Corresponding Author: Annarosa Arcangeli, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Viale GB Morgagni 50, Florence 50134, Italy. Phone: 3905-5275-1283; Fax: 3905-5255-1281; E-mail: annarosa.arcangeli@unifi.it

AACR   3

Figure 1.
Left, hERG is often overexpressed on the plasma membrane of different human cancer cells. It regulates tumor cell proliferation, survival, migration/invasiveness, and neoangiogenesis. Right, inhibiting hERG in different types of cancer cells (red lightning bolts) by using selective blockers that do not produce cardiac arrhythmia (as indicated by the black cross) is a possible strategy for anticancer therapy. The article by Pointer and colleagues (1) suggests that this is feasible in glioblastoma. Such a strategy may be effective in other cancers (shown in gray) in which hERG is overexpressed and has been shown to regulate neoplastic progression.

blockade while avoiding cardiotoxicity (Fig. 1). The simplest possibility is using nontorsadogenic hERG blockers in clinical trials. The article by Pointer and colleagues (1) is the first demonstration that such a strategy can be effective in humans. Moreover, one has the option of choosing a treatment for tumor comorbidities, which also targets hERG. As suggested by the authors, the hERG blocker fluoxetine (Prozac; Eli Lilly) could be preferentially used to treat the cancer-related depression. We point out that another class of nontorsadogenic hERG blockers is constituted by macrolide antibiotics, which were found to have hERG-dependent antileukemic effects in preclinical studies (11). These could be included as standard antimicrobial agents in acute leukemia induction schedules. In fact, ongoing clinical trials are testing clarithromycin for the treatment of multiple myeloma and lymphoma (https://ClinicalTrials.gov/). In general, we believe it would be extremely fruitful to extend the pharmacologic studies on nontorsadogenic hERG blockers to better define the concentration ranges that may allow effective treatment of both neoplasia and the comorbidity.

Another possible therapeutic strategy is seeking to target the tumor-specific hERG features. Three *hERG* genes have been identified to date: *hERG1*, *hERG2*, and *hERG3* (2). In turn, *hERG1* has two isoforms: *hERG1a* and *hERG1b*. The vast majority of non-neuronal tissues and cancers tend to express hERG1A. However, leukemias preferentially express the other isoform, that is, hERG1B. On the basis of this observation, Gasparoli and colleagues (12) developed a pyrimidoindole derivative that shows more

selective inhibition of hERG1B. This drug could represent a first-in-class compound to develop isoform-specific hERG blockers.

A further tumor-specific feature of hERG channels is that, in neoplastic tissue, they tend to associate with membrane proteins different from the classical accessory β subunits, which are the typical hERG partners in cardiac cells (7). A common molecular partner of hERG1 in tumor cells is the β1 subunit of integrin receptors (4). Hence, specific molecular tools, such as bifunctional antibodies, could be produced to disrupt the hERG/β1 complex in cancer cells, thus sparing the cardiac hERG channels.

These possible approaches are encouraged by the retrospective analysis carried out by Pointer and colleagues (1), which gives, for the first time, clinical potential to the possibility of targeting hERG channels for anticancer therapy.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: A. Arcangeli
Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: A. Arcangeli, A. Becchetti

References

1. Pointer KB, Clark PA, Eliceiri KW, Salamat MS, Robertson GA, Kuo JS. Administration of non-torsadogenic human Ether-à-go-go-related gene inhibitors is associated with better survival for high hERG–expressing glioblastoma patients. Clin Cancer Res 2017;23:73–80.

2. Mitcheson J, Arcangeli A. The therapeutic potential of hERG1 K^+ channels for treating cancer and cardiac arrhythmias. In: Cox B, Gosling M, editors. Ion channel drug discovery. Cambridge (UK): Royal Society of Chemistry; 2015. p. 258–96.

3. Sanguinetti MC, Jiang C, Curran ME, Keating MT. A mechanistic link between an inherited and an acquired cardiac arrhythmia: HERG encodes the IKr potassium channel. Cell 1995;81:299–307.

4. Arcangeli A, Crociani O, Lastraioli E, Masi A, Pillozzi S, Becchetti A. Targeting ion channels in cancer: a novel frontier in antineoplastic therapy. Curr Med Chem 2009;16:66–93.

5. Jehle J, Schweizer PA, Katus HA, Thomas D. Novel roles for hERG K(+) channels in cell proliferation and apoptosis. Cell Death Dis 2011;2: e193.

6. Arcangeli A, Becchetti A. Novel perspectives in cancer therapy: targeting ion channels. Drug Resist Updat 2015;21–22:11–9.

7. Vandenberg JI, Perry MD, Perrin MJ, Mann SA, Ke Y, Hill AP. hERG K(+) channels: structure, function, and clinical significance. Physiol Rev 2012; 92:1393–478.

8. Raschi E, Vasina V, Poluzzi E, De Ponti F. The hERG K^+ channel: target and antitarget strategies in drug development. Pharmacol Res 2008;57:181–95.

9. Redfern WS, Carlsson L, Davis AS, Lynch WG, MacKenzie I, Palethorpe S, et al. Relationships between preclinical cardiac electrophysiology, clinical QT interval prolongation and torsade de pointes for a broad range of drugs: evidence for a provisional safety margin in drug development. Cardiovasc Res 2003;58:32–45.

10. Kramer JL, Obejero-Paz CA, Myatt G, Kuryshev YA, Bruening-Wright A, Verducci JS, et al. MICE models: superior to the HERG model in predicting Torsade de Pointes. Sci Rep 2013;3:2100.

11. Pillozzi S, Masselli M, Gasparoli L, D'Amico L, Polletta L, Veltroni M, et al. Macrolide antibiotics have an antileukemic effect by modulating the autophagic flux through the involvement of hERG1 potassium channels. Blood Cancer J 2016;6:e423.

12. Gasparoli L, D'Amico M, Masselli M, Pillozzi S, Caves R, Khuwaileh R, et al. The new pyrimido-indole compound CD-160130 preferentially inhibits the KV11.1B isoform and produces antileukemic effects without cardiotoxicity. Mol Pharmacol 2015;87:183–96.

AACR American Association for Cancer Research

Clinical Cancer Research

hERG Channels: From Antitargets to Novel Targets for Cancer Therapy

Annarosa Arcangeli and Andrea Becchetti

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-2322

Cited articles	This article cites 11 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/23/1/3.full.html#ref-list-1

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.