



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Proposals for Revised International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet Criteria for Anemia Response in Myelofibrosis

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Proposals for Revised International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet Criteria for Anemia Response in Myelofibrosis / Tefferi, A., Barosi, G., Passamonti, F., Hernandez-Boluda, J.C., Bose, P., Döhner, K., Ellis, M., Gangat, N., Garcia, J.S., Gisslinger, H., Gotlib, J., Guglielmelli, P., Gupta, V., Harrison, C.N., Hexner, E.O., Hobbs, G.S., Kiladjan, J., Koschmieder, S., Kröger, N., Kuykendall, A.T., et al.. - In: BLOOD. - ISSN

Availability:

The webpage <https://hdl.handle.net/2158/1399361> of the repository was last updated on 2024-10-19T07:43:30Z

Published version:

DOI: 10.1182/blood.2024025802

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

Conformità alle politiche dell'editore / Compliance to publisher's policies

Questa versione della pubblicazione è conforme a quanto richiesto dalle politiche dell'editore in materia di copyright.

This version of the publication conforms to the publisher's copyright policies.

La data sopra indicata si riferisce all'ultimo aggiornamento della scheda del Repository FloRe - The above-mentioned date refers to the last update of the record in the Institutional Repository FloRe

(Article begins on next page)



American Society of Hematology
2021 L Street NW, Suite 900,
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202-776-0544 | Fax 202-776-0545
editorial@hematology.org

Proposals for Revised International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet Criteria for Anemia Response in Myelofibrosis

Tracking no: BLD-2024-025802R1

Ayalew Tefferi (Mayo Clinic, United States) Giovanni Barosi (IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo, Italy) Francesco Passamonti (Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Italy) Juan Carlos Hernandez-Boluda (Hospital Clínico Valencia, Spain) Prithviraj Bose (University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States) Konstanze Döhner (University Hospital of Ulm, Germany) Martin Ellis (Meir Medical Center, Israel) Naseema Gangat (Mayo Clinic, United States) Jacqueline Garcia (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, United States) Heinz Gisslinger (Medical University of Vienna, Austria) Jason Gotlib (Stanford Cancer Institute, United States) Paola Guglielmelli (Univ. of Florence and Azienda Ospedaliera Careggi, Italy) Vikas Gupta (The Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Canada) Claire Harrison (Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom) Elizabeth Hexner (University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, United States) Gabriela Hobbs (Massachusetts General Hospital, United States) Jean-Jacques Kiladjian (Hôpital Saint-Louis AP-HP & Université Paris Cité, France) Steffen Koschmieder (RWTH Aachen University, Germany) Nicolaus Kröger (University Medical Center Hamburg Eppendorf, Germany) Andrew Kuykendall (Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, United States) Giuseppe Gaetano Loscocco (University of Florence, Italy) John Mascarenhas (Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, United States) Lucia Masarova (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States) Ruben Mesa (Wake Forest University School of Medicine, United States) Barbara Mora (Division of Hematology, Fondazione IRCCS Cà Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy, Italy) Olatoyosi Odenike (The University of Chicago, United States) Stephen Oh (Washington University School of Medicine, United States) Animesh Pardanani (Mayo Clinic, United States) Anand Patel (University of Chicago, United States) Naveen Pemmaraju (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States) Alessandro Rambaldi (Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy) Raajit Rampal (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, United States) Shireen Sirhan (Jewish General Hospital- McGill University, Canada) Natasha Szuber (University of Montreal, Canada) Moshe Talpaz (University of Michigan, United States) Pankit Vachhani (O'Neal Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Alabama at Birmingham, United States) Alessandro Vannucchi (Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Careggi, Italy) Tiziano Barbui (FROM Research Foundation ASST Papa Giovanni XXIII Bergamo, Italy)

Abstract:

With emerging new drugs in myelofibrosis (MF), a robust and harmonized framework for defining the severity of anemia and response to treatment will enhance clinical investigation and facilitate inter-study comparisons. Accordingly, the lead authors on the 2013 edition of the International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet (IWG-ELN) response criteria in MF were summoned to revise their document with the intent to i) account for gender-specific differences in determining hemoglobin levels for eligibility criteria, ii) revise definition of transfusion-dependent anemia (TDA) based on current restrictive transfusion practices, and iii) provide a structurally simple and easy to apply response criteria that are sensitive enough to detect efficacy signals (minor response) and also account for major responses. The initial draft of the 2024 IWG-ELN proposed criteria was subsequently circulated around a wider group of international experts and their feedback incorporated. The proposed articles include new definitions for TDA (≥ 3 units in the 12 weeks prior to study enrollment) and hemoglobin thresholds for eligibility criteria (<10 g/dL for women and <11 g/dL for men). The revised document also provides separate (TDA vs. non-TDA) and graded (major vs. minor response) response criteria while preserving the requirement for a 12-week period of screening and observation on treatment.

Conflict of interest: COI declared - see note

COI notes: Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Ayalew Tefferi: Nothing to disclose Giovanni Barosi: Nothing to disclose Francesco Passamonti: Honoraria for lectures and advisory boards from Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Celgene, Sierra Oncology, AbbVie, Janssen, Roche, AOP Orphan, Karyopharm, Kyowa Kirin, and MEI. Juan Carlos Hernandez-Boluda: Fees for travel support, consultancies and participation in meetings sponsored by BMS, AOP Health, GSK, Pfizer, Novartis, and Incyte Prithviraj Bose: Research support: Incyte, BMS, CTI (now Sobi), Kartos, Telios, Sumitomo, Karyopharm, Ionis, Disc, Blueprint, Cogent, Morphosys, Geron, Janssen; Honoraria/consulting:

Incyte, GSK, CTI (now Sobi), BMS, Abbvie, Morphosys, Pharma Essentia, Ionis, Disc, Karyopharm, Sumitomo, Geron, Keros, Novartis, Jubilant, Morphic, Blueprint, Cogent. Konstanze Döhner: Advisory role for Abbvie, AOP, Amgen, BMS/Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo, GSK, Janssen, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, and Roche; and research funding from Agios, Astex, Astellas, BMS/Celgene, and Novartis. Martin Ellis: Speakers bureau-Novartis; Advisory board-GSK Naseema Gangat: Advisory Board for DISC Medicine and Agios Jacqueline Garcia: Advisory role for AbbVie, Astellas, Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech, Gilead and Servier. Institutional funding from AbbVie, Genentech, Newave, Prelude, and Pfizer Heinz Gisslinger: Research grants and support for attending meetings from AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals; consulting fees from AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, and BMS/Celgene; and speaker fees from AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, BMS/Celgene and GSK Jason Gotlib: Research funding (to institution for conduct of clinical trials): Blueprint Medicines, Cogent Biosciences, Telios, Incyte, Abbvie, Protagonist Therapeutics, Merck, BMS; Consulting/Honoraria: Blueprint Medicines, Cogent Biosciences, Incyte Paola Guglielmelli: Speakers bureau-ABBVIE, GSK, Novartis; Advisory board-GSK, Incyte, Novartis Vikas Gupta: Consulting fees or Honorarium: Novartis, BMS Celgene, GSK, AbbVie, Pfizer, Daiichi Sankyo; Participation on a Data Safety or Advisory board: BMS Celgene, GSK, AbbVie, Pfizer, Daiichi Sankyo Elizabeth O. Hexner: Advisory Board for AbbVie, Blueprint Medicines, DISC Medicine, and PharmaEssentia. Research funding from AbbVie, Blueprint Medicines, DISC Medicine Claire Harrison: Consulting/advisory boards for AOP, Celgene/BMS, Constellation Pharmaceuticals, CTI BioPharma Corp, Galecto, Geron, Gilead, Janssen, Keros, Promedior, Roche, Shire, Sierra Oncology and Novartis; speaker honoraria from AbbVie, BMS, CTI BioPharma Corp, Geron, Sierra Oncology, and Novartis; research funding from BMS, Constellation Pharmaceuticals, and Novartis Gabriela S. Hobbs: Abbvie, BMS, GSK, incyte, Novartis, Merck, cogent, pharmaessentia. Jean-Jaques Kiladjian: Consultant: Novartis, GSK, Abbvie; Advisory boards: AOP Health, BMS, Incyte Steffen Koschmieder: Research grant/funding from Geron, Janssen, AOP Pharma, and Novartis; consulting fees from Pfizer, Incyte, Ariad, Novartis, AOP Pharma, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Geron, Janssen, CTI BioPharma, Roche, Bayer, Imago Biosciences, Sierra Oncology, AbbVie, GSK, and PharmaEssentia; payment or honoraria from Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Pfizer, AbbVie, GSK, iOMEDICO, and MPN Hub; travel/accommodation support from Alexion, Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb, Incyte, AOP Pharma, CTI BioPharma, Pfizer, Celgene, Janssen, Geron, Roche, AbbVie, Sierra Oncology, GSK, iOMEDICO, and Karthos; had a patent issued for a BET inhibitor at RWTH Aachen University; and participated on advisory boards for Pfizer, Incyte, Ariad, Novartis, AOP Pharma, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Geron, Janssen, CTI BioPharma, Roche, Bayer, Sierra Oncology, GSK, AbbVie, and PharmaEssentia. Nicolaus Kroger: Honoraria for lectures from Kite-Gilead, Jazz, MSD, Neovii Biotech, Alexion, MSD, Takeda, Novartis, Riemsier, Pfizer, and BMS; and has received research support from Neovii, Riemsier, Novartis, and DKMS Andrew Kuykendall: Grants from Janssen, Geron, Protagonist, and Novartis; grants and personal fees from BMS, MorphoSys, and GSK; and personal fees from AbbVie, Incyte, CTI Biopharma, and Karyopharm. Giuseppe Loscocco: Nothing to disclose John Mascarenhas: Research funding from Incyte, Novartis, BMS, PharmaEssentia, CTI/SOBI, AbbVie, Geron, Kartos, Karyopharm and Ajax and consulting fees from Incyte, Novartis, BMS, Abbvie, Roche, Merck, CTI/SOBI, Geron, Kartos, Karyopharm, MorphoSys, Galecto, GSK, Pfizer, Keros, Sumitomo and DISC. Lucia Masarova: Advisory Board: MorphoSys. RM: Consultancy/Honoraria: Novartis, Sierra Oncology, Genentech, Sierra, Blueprint, Geron, Telios, CTI, Incyte, BMS, Abbvie, MorphoSys. Ruben Mesa: Sobi, Novartis, GSK, Incyte, Genentech, Pharmessentia, Abbvie, BMS, Morphosys, Geron Barbara Mora: Lectures-Novartis; Advisory board-GSK Olatoyosi Odenike: Grants or contracts from AbbVie, Agios, Aprea, Astex, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Calgene, CTI BioPharma, Daiichi, Incyte, Janssen, Kartos, Novartis, NS-Pharma, and Oncotherapy Sciences, and received honoraria for participation on a data safety monitoring board for Treadwell Therapeutics and on advisory boards for Bristol Myers Squibb, Calgene, Novartis, Rigell, Taiho, Kymera Therapeutics, and Blueprint Medicines. Animesh Pardanani: Nothing to disclose Anand Patel: Research funding from Kronos Bio and Pfizer and participated on the data safety monitoring board or served on an advisory board for AbbVie and Bristol Myers Squibb. Naveen Pemmaraju: Consultancy/Scientific Advisory Board/Speaking: Pacylex Pharmaceuticals, Astellas Pharma US, Aplastic Anaemia & MDS International Foundation, CareDx, ImmunoGen, Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Cimeio Therapeutics AG, EUSA Pharma, Menarini Group, Blueprint Medicines, CTI BioPharma, ClearView Healthcare Partners, Novartis Pharmaceutical, Neopharm, Celgene Corporation, AbbVie Pharmaceuticals, PharmaEssentia, Curio Science, DAVA Oncology, Imedex, Intellisphere, CancerNet, Harborside Press, Karyopharm, Aptitude Health, Medscape, Magdalen Medical Publishing, Morphsys, OncLive, CareDx, Patient Power, Physician Education Resource (PER), PeerView Institute for Medical Education; Research (grant): United States Department of Defence, National Institute of Health/National Cancer Institute (NIH/NCI); Membership on an entity's Board of Directors/Management: Dan's House of Hope; Leadership: ASH Committee on Communications, ASCO Cancer.Net Editorial Board; Licences: Karger Publishers; Uncompensated: HemOnc Times/Oncology Times. Alessandro Rambaldi: Fees for consultancies and participation in meetings, boards, and symposia sponsored by Amgen, Pfizer, Novartis, Kite-Gilead, Jazz, Astellas, Abbvie, Incyte, Omeros. Raajit Rampal: Consultant: AbbVie, Blueprint, Bristol Myers Squibb, Constellation Pharmaceuticals/Morphosys, CTI BioPharma/Sobi, Cogent, Disc Medicine, Galecto, Incyte, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, PharmaEssentia, Promedior, Sierra Oncology/GSK, Kartos, Karyopharm, Stemline, Zentalis, Sumitomo Dainippon; Research funding: Constellation Pharmaceuticals/Morphosys, Incyte, Stemline, Zentalis, Ryvu Shireen Sirhan: Fees for consultancy and participation in advisory boards: Novartis, GSK, DISC medicine, BMS, Incyte, Janssen, CTI-biopharma. Natasha Szuber: Nothing to disclose Moshe Talpaz: Sumitomo advisory board; BMS grant support Pankit Vachhani: Consulting or Advisory Role: Blueprint Medicines, Incyte, AbbVie, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, CTI BioPharma Corp, Novartis, Amgen, Pfizer, Genentech Speakers' Bureau: Incyte Research Funding: Seattle

Genetics (Inst), Amgen (Inst), Astex Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Incyte (Inst), Blueprint Medicines (Inst), Kartos Therapeutics (Inst), Gilead/Forty Seven (Inst), Constellation Pharmaceuticals (Inst), AbbVie (Inst), CTI BioPharma Corp (Inst), Takeda (Inst) Alessandro M. Vannuchi: Advisory board and speakers bureau from Novartis, GSK, Incyte, Sobi. Tiziano Barbui: Speaker honoraria from AOP Orphan, advisory role from IONIS, Institutional Research founding from AOP and GSK

Preprint server: No;

Author contributions and disclosures: All authors participated in the discussion and development of the study and approved the final draft of the manuscript. AT, GB, FP, and TB prepared the initial draft of the paper.

Non-author contributions and disclosures: No;

Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement: Not applicable

Clinical trial registration information (if any):

Brief Report:

Proposals for Revised International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet Criteria for Anemia

Response in Myelofibrosis

Ayalew Tefferi,^{1*} Giovanni Barosi,² Francesco Passamonti,³ Juan-Carlos Hernandez-Boluda,⁴ Prithviraj Bose,⁵ Konstanze Döhner,⁶ Martin Ellis,⁷ Naseema Gangat,¹ Jacqueline S. Garcia,⁸ Heinz Gisslinger,⁹ Jason Gotlib,¹⁰ Paola Guglielmelli,¹¹ Vikas Gupta,¹² Claire Harrison,¹³ Elizabeth O. Hexner,¹⁴ Gabriela S. Hobbs,¹⁵ Jean-Jacques Kiladjian,¹⁶ Steffen Koschmieder,¹⁷ Nicolaus Kroger,¹⁸ Andrew T. Kuykendall,¹⁹ Giuseppe G. Loscocco,¹¹ John Mascarenhas,²⁰ Lucia Masarova,⁵ Ruben Mesa,²¹ Barbara Mora,³ Olatoyosi Odenike,²² Stephen T. Oh,²³ Animesh Pardanani,¹ Anand Patel,²² Naveen Pemmaraju,⁵ Alessandro Rambaldi,²⁴ Raajit Rampal,²⁵ Shireen Sirhan,²⁶ Natasha Szuber,²⁷ Moshe Talpaz,²⁸ Pankit J. Vachhani,²⁹ Alessandro M. Vannucchi,¹¹ Tiziano Barbui.³⁰

¹Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; ²Center for the Study of Myelofibrosis, IRCCS Policlinico S Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy; ³Dipartimento di Oncologia ed Onco-Ematologia, Università degli Studi di Milano; Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; ⁴Haematology Department, Hospital Clínico Universitario, INCLIVA, Valencia, Spain; ⁵Department of Leukemia, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine III, University Hospital of Ulm, Ulm, Germany; ⁷Hematology Institute, Meir Medical Center, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁸Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ⁹Department of Internal Medicine I, Division of Hematology and Blood Coagulation, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna Austria; ¹⁰Stanford Cancer Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA; ¹¹CRIMM, Center Research and Innovation of Myeloproliferative Neoplasms, University of Florence; AOU Careggi, Florence, Italy; ¹²Medical Oncology and Hematology, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, Canada; ¹³Department of Haematology, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; ¹⁴Cell Therapy and Transplant Program, Division of Hematology-Oncology, Department of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA; ¹⁵Division of Hematology and Oncology, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ¹⁶Centre d'Investigations Cliniques Hôpital Saint-Louis, Université Paris Cité Paris France; ¹⁷Department of Hematology, Oncology, Hemostaseology, and Stem Cell Transplantation, Faculty of Medicine, RWTH Aachen University and Center for Integrated Oncology Aachen Bonn Cologne Duesseldorf (CIO ABCD), Aachen, Germany; ¹⁸Department of Stem Cell Transplantation, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; ¹⁹H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA; ²⁰Division of Hematology & Oncology, Department of Internal Medicine, Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY; ²¹Levine Cancer Institute, Charlotte, NC, USA; ²²Leukemia Program, University of Chicago Medicine and University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center, Chicago, IL, USA; ²³Division of Hematology, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri, USA; ²⁴Department of Oncology and Hematology, University of Milan and Azienda Socio-Sanitaria Territoriale Papa Giovanni XXIII, Bergamo, Italy; ²⁵Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; ²⁶Jewish General Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada; ²⁷Maisonnette-Rosemont Hospital, University of Montreal, Quebec, QC, Canada; ²⁸Department of Hematology-Oncology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ²⁹Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology and Oncology, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL; ³⁰FROM Research Foundation, Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo, Italy

Running title: IWG-ELN criteria for anemia response in myelofibrosis

Key words: Myeloproliferative, Thrombocythemia, Polycythemia, *JAK2*, *CALR*

Abstract: 199 words

Text: 1,487 words

Tables: 3

References: 34

***Corresponding Author:** Ayalew Tefferi, MD, Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 5590 Tel- 507-284-2511; tefferi.ayalew@mayo.edu.

Key points:

1. An international panel of 38 experts and clinical trialists in myelofibrosis participated in the preparation of the current document.
2. New definitions of transfusion status, gender-specific hemoglobin thresholds, and criteria for major and minor responses are submitted.

Abstract

With emerging new drugs in myelofibrosis (MF), a robust and harmonized framework for defining the severity of anemia and response to treatment will enhance clinical investigation and facilitate inter-study comparisons. Accordingly, the lead authors on the 2013 edition of the International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet (IWG-ELN) response criteria in MF were summoned to revise their document with the intent to i) account for gender-specific differences in determining hemoglobin levels for eligibility criteria, ii) revise definition of transfusion-dependent anemia (TDA) based on current restrictive transfusion practices, and iii) provide a structurally simple and easy to apply response criteria that are sensitive enough to detect efficacy signals (minor response) and also account for major responses. The initial draft of the 2024 IWG-ELN proposed criteria was subsequently circulated around a wider group of international experts and their feedback incorporated. The proposed articles include new definitions for TDA (≥ 3 units in the 12 weeks prior to study enrollment) and hemoglobin thresholds for eligibility criteria (<10 g/dL for women and <11 g/dL for men). The revised document also provides separate (TDA vs. non-TDA) and graded (major vs. minor response) response criteria while preserving the requirement for a 12-week period of screening and observation on treatment.

Introduction

Anemia in myelofibrosis (MF) is frequent, mechanistically multifactorial, and molecularly aligned with certain mutations, including *U2AF1*. In one large study,¹ approximately 86% of patients with primary MF presented with anemia, ranging in severity from mild (35%) to severe (37%), with respective lower limit hemoglobin (Hgb) values of ≥ 10 g/dl (35%) and < 8 g/dL (37%).¹ Pathogenetic mechanisms include clone-intrinsic defects, splenic sequestration, and deregulated/iron-restricted erythropoiesis. Some of these abnormalities are mediated by an abnormal cytokine milieu involving inflammatory cytokines and hepcidin.² The prognostic relevance of anemia in MF is formally recognized by contemporary risk models.³ A correlation between anemia response in MF and improved quality-of-life (QoL) has previously been reported.⁴

Allogeneic cell transplantation is currently the only curative treatment in MF.⁵ Drug therapy is effective in alleviating disease-associated symptoms, including splenomegaly. JAK2 inhibitor (JAKi) therapy offers class-wide benefit in reducing spleen size and ameliorating constitutional symptoms.⁶⁻⁹ However, management strategies for MF-associated anemia have limited and short-term benefit. The first two FDA-approved JAKi, including ruxolitinib⁸ and fedratinib,⁷ are more likely to exacerbate rather than improve anemia. More recently introduced JAKi, including momelotinib⁶ and pacritinib,⁹ were less detrimental to erythropoiesis with documentation of anemia response in some patients, attributed to an off-target inhibition of activin A receptor, type 1 (ACVR1)/activin receptor-like kinase-2 (ALK2).¹⁰

The recognition that the transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β)-bone morphogenic protein (BMP)-SMAD signaling pathway participates in the pathogenesis of ineffective and iron-restricted erythropoiesis in MF has led to a flurry of recent clinical trials with drugs directed at different components of the BMP-SMAD signaling pathway. Candidate drugs, in this regard, include TGF- β ligand traps (e.g., luspatercept,¹¹ sotatercept,¹² elritercip¹³), ACVR1/ALK2 inhibitors (e.g., momelotinib,⁶ pacritinib,¹⁴ zilurgisertib¹⁵), and inhibitors of SMAD 1/5/8 signaling, including monoclonal antibody

treatment targeting hemojuvelin, a co-receptor in the BMP-signaling pathway.¹⁶ Another pathway of relevance involves the hypoxia-inducible factor-prolyl hydroxylase and its inhibition with roxadustat has also been reported to have potential value in the treatment of anemia associated with MDS.¹⁷

The above-outlined activities signaled the need to revisit anemia response criteria in MF, previously reported by members of an International Working Group and European LeukemiaNet (IWG-ELN) committee.¹⁸ The current project was further inspired by the lack of uniformity among current clinical trial and working group definitions of transfusion status and anemia response in MF (Table 1).

Methods

Senior members of the 2013 IWG-ELN committee (TB, GB, AMV, FP, and AT) were summoned, with the objective to review current definitions of anemia and response criteria cited for MF and MDS (Tables 1 and 2) and prepare a set of proposals for a revised document. After securing 100% agreement among the 5 senior authors, the initial draft was circulated separately to i) authors of the 2013 edition of the IWG-ELN response criteria in MF (overseen by AT, who was the lead author of the project), ii) members of the ELN committee on myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN; overseen by TB, who is the chair of the ELN MPN committee), and iii) a broader international panel of experts and clinical trialists in MF (overseen by AT). A second draft was then prepared based on discussions and feedback from each group and subsequently circulated to the entire panel for additional review before the final draft was prepared and once again circulated to secure unanimous agreement on the articles proposed (Table 3).

Results and Discussion

Hemoglobin cutoffs used for study inclusion criteria

In principle, the authors find the commonly used Hgb level of <10 g/dL, as a key inclusion criterion for clinical trials targeting anemia in MF, to be reasonable and in line with what has often been

applied in previous studies in MF and MDS (Tables 1 and 2). However, the specific threshold overlooks physiological differences between men and women;¹⁹ in a Mayo Clinic study of anemia in MF,¹ the prognostic contribution of moderate or severe anemia (Hgb <10 g/dL) was apparent in both men and women whereas that of mild anemia (Hgb $\geq$ 10 g/dl and less than sex-adjusted lower limit of normal) was apparent only in men. In other words, the severity of anemia in men was under-estimated when using a Hgb cutoff level of 10 g/dL. The specific concern has since been addressed in the context of contemporary risk models in PMF³ and MDS,²⁰ where gender-specified Hgb levels are used for risk stratification. It is therefore reasonable to do the same in the setting of clinical trials and earlier intervention for Hgb levels below 11 g/dL might also provide protection from the risk of cardiac remodelling and QoL.²¹

Definitions of transfusion status

The 2013 IWG-ELN definition of transfusion-dependent anemia (TDA) and response criteria were purposefully stringent, in prospect of disease-modifying targeted therapies; other definitions were either similar or more lenient and often inconsistent (Table 1). A similar pattern is apparent regarding TDA definitions in MDS (Table 2). Transfusion practices in the US have significantly changed over the last few years with more restrictive transfusion trigger and limitation of units transfused per episode.²² The authors considered all of the above and agreed on ≥ 3 units/12 weeks to define TDA, in line with definitions used in pivotal studies leading to recent drug approvals in MDS;^{23,24} the 12-week observation period would also be consistent with the required time interval for response assessment (Table 3). Patients requiring ≥ 6 units, within the 12-week pre-enrolment period constitute a high transfusion burden subcategory (Table 3). The panel voted to dispense with the requirement for Hgb to be <8.5 g/dL in order to trigger transfusion considering the current state of restrictive transfusion practices and the requirement for a concomitant increase in hgb level from baseline, in order to qualify as a response in TDA (Table 3).

Response criteria specified by transfusion status

For TDA, the 2013 IWG-ELN response criteria included a 12-week transfusion-free period, capped by a Hgb level of ≥ 8.5 g/dL (Table 3).¹⁸ The authors agreed on preserving the 12-week transfusion-free period for “major” response (Table 1). In addition, in order to minimize overcalling responses stemming from variable transfusion practices, a concomitant and durable (12 weeks) increase in Hgb level, by an average of ≥ 1.5 g/dL, from pre-treatment baseline, is required to confirm major response (Table 3). Furthermore, the panel underscores the need for transfusion policy for the individual patient to remain the same before and after study enrollment. In non-TDA, major response requires a rolling 12-week average of ≥ 1.5 g/dL increase in Hgb from pre-treatment baseline; the latter is defined as the average of the lowest 3 Hgb levels in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment, including one reading collected in the 28 days prior to enrollment. The rationale for decreasing the required margin of Hgb increase from 2 g/dL to 1.5 g/dL, for major anemia response, includes increasing awareness on the existence of multiple causes for MF-associated anemia, which makes it difficult for a single anemia-targeting drug, by itself, to produce a robust response. Furthermore, anemia response criteria used in the most recent study in MDS that led to approval of luspatercept (Table 2) was consistent with the proposals herein set forth for MF (Table 1). Responses that do not meet the above-outlined criteria for major response are categorized as “minor” and include a $\geq 50\%$ reduction in transfusion burden or, in non-TDA, an increase in Hgb of ≥ 1 g/dL but < 1.5 g/dL (Table 3).

Definitions of response duration and loss of response

The 2013 IWG response criteria did not include details regarding loss of anemia response.¹⁸ In MDS, loss of anemia response is considered in patients who lose transfusion-free status or experience a ≥ 1.5 g/dL decline in Hgb level.²⁵ In the revised IWG-MDS proposal,²⁶ non-TD patients who no longer meet response criteria for anemia (i.e., ≥ 1.5 g/dL increase in Hgb level from baseline) but nevertheless maintain a ≥ 1.0 g/dL increase from baseline, would still count as a responder. The authors of the current project find these propositions reasonable and applicable to MF (Table 1). The current panel has also recommended definitions for “progressive” anemia, which include a $\geq 50\%$ increase in transfusion

requirement in TDA and, in non-TDA, either meeting criteria for TDA or a decrease in Hgb by >1.5 g/dL, from the baseline established at study entry, with a 12-week period of assessment required in both instances (Table 3).

Because of underlying pathogenetic heterogeneity, it is unlikely that drugs currently under investigation for the treatment of anemia in MF would result in complete correction of anemia; a more effective approach might require combination therapy using drugs with non-overlapping mechanisms of action. Drug trials that target anemia in MF should include QoL assessment and laboratory correlative studies, in order to identify suitable drug candidates. Our proposed changes in Hgb thresholds for eligibility criteria represent a paradigm shift in our perception of treatment-requiring anemia in men. This might influence current practice in the use of erythropoiesis stimulating agents and brings attention to QoL issues in men with Hgb levels between 10 and 11 g/dL, who might now be offered a chance to participate in clinical trials.

Table 1. Definition of red cell transfusion-dependence and anemia response criteria in myelofibrosis

Clinical trial	Transfusion-dependent anemia (TDA)	Transfusion-requiring anemia (TRA)	Non-TDA	Response criteria for TDA	Response criteria for non-TDA
SIMPLIFY-1 Phase 3 study Momelotinib vs Ruxolitinib in JAKi-naïve MF Mesa <i>JCO</i> , 2017 ²⁷	≥ 4 units or Hgb < 8 g/dL in the 8 weeks prior to randomization	Not defined	Not meeting criteria for TDA	TD rate at week 24 (Proportion of patients who were TD) Transfusion rate (average number of units per subject-month)	TI rate at week 24 (Proportion of patients who were TI) No transfusions and all Hgb ≥ 8 g/dL in the prior 12 weeks leading up to week 24
SIMPLIFY-2 Phase 3 study Momelotinib vs Best available therapy in JAKi-treated MF Harrison <i>Lancet Haematol</i> , 2018 ²⁸					
MOMENTUM Phase 3 study Momelotinib vs Danazol in JAKi-treated MF Verstovsek <i>Lancet</i> , 2023 ²⁹	≥ 4 units in the 8 weeks prior to randomization with each transfusion trigger of Hgb ≤ 9.5 g/dL	1–3 units in the 8 weeks prior to randomization	Hgb < 10 g/dl without transfusions in the 8 weeks prior to randomization	TI rate at week 24 No transfusion and all Hgb ≥ 8 g/dl in the prior 12 weeks Transfusion rate (average number of units per subject-month)	≥ 2 g/dL increase in Hgb over a rolling ≥ 12 consecutive weeks
PERSIST-2 Phase 3 study Pacritinib vs Best available therapy in JAKi-treated MF Mascarenhas <i>JAMA Oncology</i> , 2018 ³⁰	≥ 2 units/month in the 12 weeks prior to cycle 1 day 1	Not defined	Hgb < 10 g/dl without transfusions in the 12 weeks prior to cycle 1 day 1	TI rate at week 24 Absence of transfusions in the prior 12 weeks	≥ 2 g/dL increase in Hgb for ≥ 8 weeks prior to week 24 Applicable to patients with baseline Hgb < 10 g/dl

ACE-536-MF-001 Phase 2 study Luspatercept in anemia-related to MF Gerds <i>Blood Advances</i> , 2024 ¹¹	4 -12 units in 12 weeks prior to cycle 1 day 1 Transfusion threshold Symptomatic anemia (Hgb $\leq$ 9.5 g/dL)	Not defined	Hgb $\leq$ 9.5 g/dl on $\geq$ 3 different days and without transfusions in 12 weeks prior to cycle 1 day 1	Absence of transfusions for $\geq$ 12 weeks	$\geq$ 1.5 g/dL increase in baseline Hgb for $\geq$ 12 weeks without transfusion
Established anemia response criteria					
GALE Gale et al. <i>Leuk Res</i> , 2011 ³¹	$\geq$ 2 units /month in the prior 12 weeks	Not defined	No transfusions in the prior 12 weeks	No transfusions over any 12-week interval with no minimum Hgb requirement	No transfusions over any 12-week interval with no minimum Hgb requirement
IWG-MRT Tefferi et al. <i>Blood</i> , 2013 ¹⁸	$\geq$ 6 units for Hgb $<$ 8.5 g/dL in the 12 weeks prior to screening most recent transfusion within 28 days prior to screening	Not defined	Not meeting criteria for TDA	No transfusions over a rolling $\geq$ 12 consecutive weeks, + Hgb $\geq$ 8.5 g	$\geq$ 2 g/dL increase in baseline Hgb If transfused in prior month, pre-transfusion Hgb used as baseline Applicable to patients with baseline Hgb $<$ 10 g/dl

JAKi- JAK inhibitor, MF- myelofibrosis, IWG-MRT International Working Group for Myelofibrosis Research and Treatment

Table 2. Definitions of transfusion-dependence and anemia response criteria in myelodysplastic syndromes

Clinical trial	Transfusion-dependent anemia (TDA)	Transfusion-requiring anemia (TRA)	Non-TDA	Response criteria for TDA	Response criteria for non-TDA
Epoetin alpha Phase 3 study in low-risk MDS Fenaux <i>Leukemia</i> , 2018 ³²	1-4 units in 8 weeks prior to baseline visit	Not applicable	Hgb $\leq$ 10 g/dl without transfusions in the prior 8 weeks	TI per IWG-2006 No transfusions for $\geq$ 8 consecutive weeks + increase in Hgb by $\geq$ 1.5 g/dL Baseline Hgb value taken before the last transfusion preceding enrollment	IWG-2006 $\geq$ 1.5 g/dL increase in Hgb for $\geq$ 8 weeks Modified IWG-2006 Increase in Hgb $\geq$ 1.5 g/dL lasting $<$ 8 weeks was considered a response if epoetin- α was discontinued and when restarting at lower dose, Hgb increased by $\geq$ 1.5 g/dl
Darbepoetin alpha Phase 3 study in low-risk MDS Platzbecker <i>Leukemia</i> , 2017 ³³	1-4 units in each of two consecutive 8-week periods before randomization	Not applicable	Not applicable	TI per IWG-2006 No transfusions over $\geq$ 8 consecutive weeks + increase in Hgb by $\geq$ 1.5 g/dL	Not applicable
MEDALIST Phase 3 study Luspatercept vs Placebo in MDS with ring sideroblasts Fenaux <i>NEJM</i> , 2020 ²⁴	$\geq$ 2 units in 8 weeks during the 16 weeks before randomization	Not applicable	Not applicable	TI rate at week 24 No transfusions over $\geq$ 8 consecutive weeks	Not applicable

COMMANDS Phase 3 study Luspatercept vs Epoetin alpha in low-risk MDS Platzbecker <i>Lancet</i> , 2023 ²³	2-6 units in 8 weeks for ≥ 8 weeks before randomization	Not applicable	Not applicable	TI rate at week 24 No transfusions over ≥ 12 consecutive weeks + concurrent mean Hgb increase ≥ 1.5 g/dL	Not applicable
Established anemia response criteria	Definitions		Erythroid response		
IWG-2006 Cheson <i>Blood</i> , 2006 ²⁵	Screening period, 8 weeks for evaluation of transfusion burden and baseline Hgb Pre-treatment Hgb < 11 g/dl TDA (≥ 4 units in 8 weeks for Hgb < 9 g/dL) TI (< 4 units in 8 weeks for Hgb < 9 g/dL)		≥ 1.5 g/dL increase in Hgb for ≥ 8 weeks Reduction of ≥ 4 units transfusions/8 weeks compared with the pretreatment transfusion in the prior 8 weeks		
Revised IWG-2018 Platzbecker <i>Blood</i> , 2019 ²⁶	Screening period, 16 weeks for evaluation of transfusion burden and baseline Hgb Baseline Hgb: -Mean of all available Hgb values during 16-week screening period. -Values prior to transfusion should be used for TD patients and should be ≥ 7 days apart Pre-treatment Hgb < 10 g/dl NTD (0 units in 16 weeks) LTB (3-7 units in 16 weeks in at least two transfusion episodes, maximum three in 8 weeks) HTB (≥ 8 units in 16 weeks, ≥ 4 in 8 weeks)		NTD Hgb ≥ 1.5 g/dL for ≥ 8 weeks over 16-24 weeks compared with the lowest mean of two Hgb values within 16 weeks prior to treatment LTB TI, defined by the absence of transfusions for ≥ 8 weeks over 16-24 weeks HTB -Major response: TI, defined by the absence of transfusions for ≥ 8 weeks over 16-24 weeks -Minor response: Transfusion reduction by $\geq 50\%$ over a minimum of 16 weeks <i>Same transfusion policy should be applied compared with 16 weeks prior to treatment</i>		

MDS- myelodysplastic syndrome, TI- transfusion independent, IWG- International Working Group, NTD- non-transfusion dependent, LTB- low transfusion burden, HTB- high transfusion burden

Table 3: Proposals for revised International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet (IWG-ELN) response criteria for anemia in myelofibrosis

	2013 IWG-ELN criteria	2024 proposed IWG-ELN criteria
Definitions		
Hemoglobin (Hgb) cutoffs for clinical trial inclusion or response adjudication	<10 g/dL	Men <11 g/dL [∞] Women <10 g/dL [∞]
Transfusion-dependent anemia (TDA)	≥6 units in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment* (only transfusions for Hgb <8.5 g/dL are counted)	≥3 units in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment* ^t (High transfusion burden defined as ≥6 units in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment)
Baseline Hgb for TDA	Not clearly defined	Average of pre-transfusion Hgb levels in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment/first dose [£]
Non-TDA	Not meeting criteria for TDA	Not meeting criteria for TDA
Baseline Hgb for non-TDA	Hgb level at time of screening	Average of the lowest three Hgb levels in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment/first dose, including one obtained in the 28 days prior to enrollment/first dose ^{£¥}
Anemia Response Criteria		
Major response for TDA	No transfusions during any rolling 12-week period + a documented Hgb level of ≥8.5 g/dL	No transfusions x 12 weeks [†] and rolling 12-week average Hgb increase of ≥1.5 g/dL from pre-treatment baseline
Major response for non-TDA	Rolling 12-week average Hgb increase of ≥2.0 g/dL from pre-treatment baseline**	Rolling 12-week average Hgb increase of ≥1.5 g/dL from pre-treatment baseline (also requires no transfusions)
Minor response for TDA	Not included	A ≥50% reduction in transfusions [£] (and not meeting criteria for major response)
Minor response for non-TDA	Not included	Rolling 12-week average Hgb increase of ≥1.0 g/dL from pre-treatment baseline [£] (Also requires no transfusions and not meeting criteria for major response)
Loss of response	Loss of anemia response persisting for ≥1 month	No longer meeting criteria for even minor response [*]
Duration of anemia response	Not defined	Interval between first time point of response to first time point of loss of response
Progressive anemia	Not defined	TDA: ≥50% increase in transfusion requirement [£] Non-TDA: Hgb decrease of >1.5 g/dL from baseline or meeting criteria for TDA [£]
Stable anemia	Not defined	Not meeting criteria for response or progression

[∞]In the absence of nutritional anemia including iron or vitamin B12 deficiency. In patients receiving replacement therapy, an observation period of 3 months is required before establishing a baseline hemoglobin level.

[€]For clinical trial purposes, it is equally reasonable to use a hemoglobin threshold of <10 g/dL, in both men and women, as an inclusion criterion

*Most recent transfusion episode must have occurred in the 28 days prior to enrollment

[£]Transfusions or hemoglobin measurements considered are only those obtained after completion of drug washout period

[¥]If transfused in the 28 days before enrollment, baseline should include pre-transfusion but not post-transfusion hemoglobin levels

[€]12-week period of assessment required before and after enrollment

*Short periods of decline in hemoglobin level, which are attributed to a clear alternative cause, such as bleeding or surgery, should not qualify as “loss of response”

[†]Transfusion policy before enrollment should be the same as after enrollment

**Applicable only for patients with baseline hemoglobin of <10 g/dL

Acknowledgments:

None

Authorship Contributions:

All authors participated in the discussion and development of the study and approved the final draft of the manuscript. AT, GB, FP, and TB prepared the initial draft of the paper.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures:

Ayalew Tefferi: Nothing to disclose

Giovanni Barosi: Nothing to disclose

Francesco Passamonti: Honoraria for lectures and advisory boards from Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Celgene, Sierra Oncology, AbbVie, Janssen, Roche, AOP Orphan, Karyopharm, Kyowa Kirin, and MEI.

Juan Carlos Hernandez-Boluda: Fees for travel support, consultancies and participation in meetings sponsored by BMS, AOP Health, GSK, Pfizer, Novartis, and Incyte

Prithviraj Bose: Research support: Incyte, BMS, CTI (now Sobi), Kartos, Telios, Sumitomo, Karyopharm, Ionis, Disc, Blueprint, Cogent, Morphosys, Geron, Janssen; Honoraria/consulting: Incyte, GSK, CTI (now Sobi), BMS, Abbvie, Morphosys, Pharma Essentia, Ionis, Disc, Karyopharm, Sumitomo, Geron, Keros, Novartis, Jubilant, Morphic, Blueprint, Cogent.

Konstanze Döhner: Advisory role for Abbvie, AOP, Amgen, BMS/Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo, GSK, Janssen, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, and Roche; and research funding from Agios, Astex, Astellas, BMS/Celgene, and Novartis.

Martin Ellis: Speakers bureau-Novartis; Advisory board-GSK

Naseema Gangat: Advisory Board for DISC Medicine and Agios

Jacqueline Garcia: Advisory role for AbbVie, Astellas, Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech, Gilead and Servier. Institutional funding from AbbVie, Genentech, Newave, Prelude, and Pfizer

Heinz Gisslinger: Research grants and support for attending meetings from AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals; consulting fees from AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, and BMS/Celgene; and speaker fees from AOP Orphan Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, BMS/Celgene, and GSK

Jason Gotlib: Research funding (to institution for conduct of clinical trials): Blueprint Medicines, Cogent Biosciences, Telios, Incyte, Abbvie, Protagonist Therapeutics, Merck, BMS; Consulting/Honoraria: Blueprint Medicines, Cogent Biosciences, Incyte

Paola Guglielmelli: Speakers bureau-ABBVIE, GSK, Novartis; Advisory board-GSK, Incyte, Novartis

Vikas Gupta: Consulting fees or Honorarium: Novartis, BMS Celgene, GSK, AbbVie, Pfizer, Daiichi Sankyo; Participation on a Data Safety or Advisory board: BMS Celgene, GSK, AbbVie, Pfizer, Daiichi Sankyo

Elizabeth O. Hexner: Advisory Board for AbbVie, Blueprint Medicines, DISC Medicine, and PharmaEssentia.

Research funding from AbbVie, Blueprint Medicines, DISC Medicine

Claire Harrison: Consulting/advisory boards for AOP, Celgene/BMS, Constellation Pharmaceuticals, CTI BioPharma Corp, Galecto, Geron, Gilead, Janssen, Keros, Promedior, Roche, Shire, Sierra Oncology and Novartis; speaker honoraria from AbbVie, BMS, CTI BioPharma Corp, Geron, Sierra Oncology, and Novartis; research funding from BMS, Constellation Pharmaceuticals, and Novartis

Gabriela S. Hobbs: Abbvie, BMS, GSK, incyte, Novartis, Merck, cogent, pharmaessentia.

Jean-Jaques Kiladjian: Consultant: Novartis, GSK, Abbvie; Advisory boards: AOP Health, BMS, Incyte

Steffen Koschmieder: Research grant/funding from Geron, Janssen, AOP Pharma, and Novartis; consulting fees from Pfizer, Incyte, Ariad, Novartis, AOP Pharma, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Geron, Janssen, CTI BioPharma, Roche, Bayer, Imago Biosciences, Sierra Oncology, AbbVie, GSK, and PharmaEssentia; payment or honoraria from Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, Pfizer, AbbVie, GSK, iOMEDICO, and MPN Hub; travel/accommodation support from Alexion, Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb, Incyte, AOP Pharma, CTI BioPharma, Pfizer, Celgene, Janssen, Geron, Roche, AbbVie, Sierra Oncology, GSK, iOMEDICO, and Karthos; had a patent issued for a BET inhibitor at RWTH Aachen University; and participated on advisory boards for Pfizer, Incyte, Ariad, Novartis, AOP Pharma, Bristol Myers Squibb, Celgene, Geron, Janssen, CTI BioPharma, Roche, Bayer, Sierra Oncology, GSK, AbbVie, and PharmaEssentia.

Nicolaus Kroger: Honoraria for lectures from Kite–Gilead, Jazz, MSD, Neovii Biotech, Alexion, MSD, Takeda, Novartis, Riemser, Pfizer, and BMS; and has received research support from Neovii, Riemser, Novartis, and DKMS

Andrew Kuykendall: Grants from Janssen, Geron, Protagonist, and Novartis; grants and personal fees from BMS, MorphoSys, and GSK; and personal fees from AbbVie, Incyte, CTI Biopharma, and Karyopharm.

Giuseppe Loscocco: Nothing to disclose

John Mascarenhas: Research funding from Incyte, Novartis, BMS, PharmaEssentia, CTI/SOBI, AbbVie, Geron, Kartos, Karyopharm and Ajax and consulting fees from Incyte, Novartis, BMS, Abbvie, Roche, Merck, CTI/SOBI, Geron, Kartos, Karyopharm, MorphoSys, Galecto, GSK, Pfizer, Keros, Sumitomo and DISC.

Lucia Masarova: Advisory Board: MorphoSys. RM: Consultancy/Honoraria: Novartis, Sierra Oncology, Genentech, Sierra, Blueprint, Geron, Telios, CTI, Incyte, BMS, Abbvie, MorphoSys.

Ruben Mesa: Sobi, Novartis, GSK, Incyte, Genentech, Pharmessentia, Abbvie, BMS, Morphosys, Geron

Barbara Mora: Lectures-Novartis; Advisory board-GSK

Olatoyosi Odenike: Grants or contracts from AbbVie, Agios, Aprea, Astex, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Calgene, CTI BioPharma, Daiichi, Incyte, Janssen, Kartos, Novartis, NS-Pharma, and Oncotherapy Sciences, and received honoraria for participation on a data safety monitoring board for Treadwell Therapeutics and on advisory boards for Bristol Myers Squibb, Calgene, Novartis, Rigel, Taiho, Kymera Therapeutics, and Blueprint Medicines.

Stephen Oh: Has consulted for AbbVie, Blueprint Medicines, Celgene/Bristol Myers Squibb (BMS), Constellation Pharmaceuticals, CTI BioPharma Corp., Disc Medicine, Geron, Incyte, Cogent, and Sierra Oncology; and has received research funding from Actuate Therapeutics, Blueprint Medicines, Celgene/BMS, Constellation Pharmaceuticals, CTI BioPharma Corp, Incyte, Kartos Therapeutics, Sierra Oncology, and Takeda.

Animesh Pardanani: Nothing to disclose

Anand Patel: Research funding from Kronos Bio and Pfizer and participated on the data safety monitoring board or served on an advisory board for AbbVie and Bristol Myers Squibb.

Naveen Pemmaraju: Consultancy/Scientific Advisory Board/Speaking: Pacylex Pharmaceuticals, Astellas Pharma US, Aplastic Anaemia & MDS International Foundation, CareDx, ImmunoGen, Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Cimeio Therapeutics AG, EUSA Pharma, Menarini Group, Blueprint Medicines, CTI BioPharma, ClearView Healthcare Partners, Novartis Pharmaceutical, Neopharm, Celgene Corporation, AbbVie Pharmaceuticals, PharmaEssentia, Curio Science, DAVA Oncology, Imedex, Intellisphera, CancerNet, Harborside Press, Karyopharm, Aptitude Health, Medscape, Magdalen Medical Publishing, Morphsys, OncLive, CareDx, Patient Power, Physician Education Resource (PER), PeerView Institute for Medical Education; Research (grant): United States Department of Defence, National Institute of Health/National Cancer Institute (NIH/NCI); Membership on an entity's Board of Directors/Management: Dan's House of Hope; Leadership: ASH Committee on Communications, ASCO Cancer.Net Editorial Board; Licences: Karger Publishers; Uncompensated: HemOnc Times/Oncology Times.

Alessandro Rambaldi: Fees for consultancies and participation in meetings, boards, and symposia sponsored by Amgen, Pfizer, Novartis, Kite-Gilead, Jazz, Astellas, Abbvie, Incyte, Omeros.

Raajit Rampal: Consultant: AbbVie, Blueprint, Bristol Myers Squibb, Constellation Pharmaceuticals/Morphosys, CTI BioPharma/Sobi, Cogent/Disc Medicine, Galecto, Incyte, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, PharmaEssentia, Promedior, Sierra Oncology/GSK, Kartos, Karyopharm, Stemline, Zentalis, Sumitomo Dainippon; Research funding: Constellation Pharmaceuticals/Morphosys, Incyte, Stemline, Zentalis, Ryvu

Shireen Sirhan: Fees for consultancy and participation in advisory boards: Novartis, GSK, DISC medicine, BMS, Incyte, Janssen, CTI-biopharma.

Natasha Szuber: Nothing to disclose

Moshe Talpaz: Sumitomo advisory board; BMS grant support

Pankit Vachhani: Consulting or Advisory Role: Blueprint Medicines, Incyte, AbbVie, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, CTI BioPharma Corp, Novartis, Amgen, Pfizer, GenentechSpeakers' Bureau: IncyteResearch Funding: Seattle Genetics (Inst), Amgen (Inst), Astex Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Incyte (Inst), Blueprint Medicines (Inst), Kartos Therapeutics (Inst), Gilead/Forty Seven (Inst), Constellation Pharmaceuticals (Inst), AbbVie (Inst), CTI BioPharma Corp (Inst), Takeda (Inst)

Alessandro M. Vannucchi: Advisory board and speakers bureau from Novartis, GSK, Incyte, Sobi.

Tiziano Barbui: Speaker honoraria from AOP Orphan, advisory role from IONIS, Institutional Research founding from AOP and GSK

References

1. Nicolosi M, Mudireddy M, Lasho TL, et al: Sex and degree of severity influence the prognostic impact of anemia in primary myelofibrosis: analysis based on 1109 consecutive patients. *Leukemia* 32:1254-1258, 2018
2. Pardanani A, Finke C, Abdelrahman RA, et al: Associations and prognostic interactions between circulating levels of hepcidin, ferritin and inflammatory cytokines in primary myelofibrosis. *Am J Hematol* 88:312-6, 2013
3. Tefferi A, Guglielmelli P, Lasho TL, et al: MIPSS70+ Version 2.0: Mutation and Karyotype-Enhanced International Prognostic Scoring System for Primary Myelofibrosis. *J Clin Oncol* 36:1769-1770, 2018
4. Tefferi A, Hudgens S, Mesa R, et al: Use of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy--anemia in persons with myeloproliferative neoplasm-associated myelofibrosis and anemia. *Clin Ther* 36:560-6, 2014
5. Kroger N, Bacigalupo A, Barbui T, et al: Indication and management of allogeneic haematopoietic stem-cell transplantation in myelofibrosis: updated recommendations by the EBMT/ELN International Working Group. *Lancet Haematol* 11:e62-e74, 2024
6. Pardanani A, Gotlib J, Roberts AW, et al: Long-term efficacy and safety of momelotinib, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, for the treatment of myelofibrosis. *Leukemia* 32:1035-1038, 2018
7. Pardanani A, Harrison C, Cortes JE, et al: Safety and Efficacy of Fedratinib in Patients With Primary or Secondary Myelofibrosis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol* 1:643-51, 2015
8. Verstovsek S, Kantarjian H, Mesa RA, et al: Safety and efficacy of INCB018424, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, in myelofibrosis. *N Engl J Med* 363:1117-27, 2010
9. Mesa RA, Vannucchi AM, Mead A, et al: Pacritinib versus best available therapy for the treatment of myelofibrosis irrespective of baseline cytopenias (PERSIST-1): an international, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Haematol* 4:e225-e236, 2017
10. Asshoff M, Petzer V, Warr MR, et al: Momelotinib inhibits ACVR1/ALK2, decreases hepcidin production, and ameliorates anemia of chronic disease in rodents. *Blood* 129:1823-1830, 2017
11. Gerds AT, Harrison CN, Kiladjian JJ, et al: Safety and efficacy of luspatercept for the treatment of anemia in patients with myelofibrosis. *Blood Adv*, 2024
12. Bose P, Masarova L, Pemmaraju N, et al: Sotatercept for anemia of myelofibrosis: a phase II investigator-initiated study. *Haematologica* DOI: 10.3324/haematol.2023.284078, 2024
13. Harrison CN, Ross DM, Chee LCY, et al: Modulation of TGF- β Superfamily Signaling By Ker-050 Demonstrated Potential to Treat Myelofibrosis and Mitigate Ruxolitinib-Associated Cytopenia. *Blood* 142, 2023
14. Oh ST, Mesa RA, Harrison CN, et al: Pacritinib is a potent ACVR1 inhibitor with significant anemia benefit in patients with myelofibrosis. *Blood Adv* 7:5835-5842, 2023
15. Bose P, Mohan S, Oh S, et al: Phase 1/2 study of the activin receptor-like kinase (ALK)-2 inhibitor zilurgisertib (INCB000928, LIMBER-104) as monotherapy or with ruxolitinib (RUX) in patients (pts) with anemia due to myelofibrosis (MF). *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 41, 2023
16. Gangat N, Foran JM, Halpern AB, et al: A Phase 1b Trial of DISC-0974, an Anti-Hemojuvelin Antibody, in Patients with Myelofibrosis and Anemia. *Blood* 142, 2023
17. Henry DH, Glaspy J, Harrup R, et al: Roxadustat for the treatment of anemia in patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndrome: Open-label, dose-selection, lead-in stage of a phase 3 study. *Am J Hematol* 97:174-184, 2022

18. Tefferi A, Cervantes F, Mesa R, et al: Revised response criteria for myelofibrosis: International Working Group-Myeloproliferative Neoplasms Research and Treatment (IWG-MRT) and European LeukemiaNet (ELN) consensus report. *Blood* 122:1395-8, 2013
19. Braat S, Fielding KL, Han J, et al: Haemoglobin thresholds to define anaemia from age 6 months to 65 years: estimates from international data sources. *Lancet Haematol* 11:e253-e264, 2024
20. Della Porta MG, Tuechler H, Malcovati L, et al: Validation of WHO classification-based Prognostic Scoring System (WPSS) for myelodysplastic syndromes and comparison with the revised International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS-R). A study of the International Working Group for Prognosis in Myelodysplasia (IWG-PM). *Leukemia* 29:1502-13, 2015
21. Oliva EN, Dimitrov BD, Benedetto F, et al: Hemoglobin level threshold for cardiac remodeling and quality of life in myelodysplastic syndrome. *Leuk Res* 29:1217-9, 2005
22. Carson JL, Stanworth SJ, Roubinian N, et al: Transfusion thresholds and other strategies for guiding allogeneic red blood cell transfusion. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 10:CD002042, 2016
23. Platzbecker U, Della Porta MG, Santini V, et al: Efficacy and safety of luspatercept versus epoetin alfa in erythropoiesis-stimulating agent-naïve, transfusion-dependent, lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (COMMANDS): interim analysis of a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 402:373-385, 2023
24. Fenaux P, Platzbecker U, Mufti GJ, et al: Luspatercept in Patients with Lower-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 382:140-151, 2020
25. Cheson BD, Greenberg PL, Bennett JM, et al: Clinical application and proposal for modification of the International Working Group (IWG) response criteria in myelodysplasia. *Blood* 108:419-25, 2006
26. Platzbecker U, Fenaux P, Adès L, et al: Proposals for revised IWG 2018 hematological response criteria in patients with MDS included in clinical trials. *Blood* 133:1020-1030, 2019
27. Mesa RA, Kiladjian JJ, Catalano JV, et al: SIMPLIFY-1: A Phase III Randomized Trial of Momelotinib Versus Ruxolitinib in Janus Kinase Inhibitor-Naïve Patients With Myelofibrosis. *J Clin Oncol* 35:3844-3850, 2017
28. Harrison CN, Vannucchi AM, Platzbecker U, et al: Momelotinib versus best available therapy in patients with myelofibrosis previously treated with ruxolitinib (SIMPLIFY 2): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Haematol* 5:e73-e81, 2018
29. Verstovsek S, Gerds AT, Vannucchi AM, et al: Momelotinib versus danazol in symptomatic patients with anaemia and myelofibrosis (MOMENTUM): results from an international, double-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 401:269-280, 2023
30. Mascarenhas J, Hoffman R, Talpaz M, et al: Pacritinib vs Best Available Therapy, Including Ruxolitinib, in Patients With Myelofibrosis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol* 4:652-659, 2018
31. Gale RP, Barosi G, Barbui T, et al: What are RBC-transfusion-dependence and -independence? *Leuk Res* 35:8-11, 2011
32. Fenaux P, Santini V, Spiriti MAA, et al: A phase 3 randomized, placebo-controlled study assessing the efficacy and safety of epoetin-alpha in anemic patients with low-risk MDS. *Leukemia* 32:2648-2658, 2018
33. Platzbecker U, Symeonidis A, Oliva EN, et al: A phase 3 randomized placebo-controlled trial of darbepoetin alfa in patients with anemia and lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes. *Leukemia* 31:1944-1950, 2017

International Working Group-European LeukemiaNet (IWG-ELN) Response Criteria for Anemia in Myelofibrosis: 2024 Edition

Transfusion-dependent (≥ 3 units in the 12 weeks prior to enrollment)	Non-transfusion-dependent (Not meeting criteria for transfusion-dependent)
 Baseline for response assessment 12-week average of pre-transfusion hemoglobin levels Major response  - No transfusions x 12 weeks <u>and</u> - Rolling 12-week average hemoglobin increase by ≥ 1.5 g/dL Minor response  - A >50% reduction in transfusions	Baseline for response assessment 12-week average of the 3 lowest hemoglobin levels, including one obtained in the 28 days before enrollment Major response  - Rolling 12-week average hemoglobin increase by ≥ 1.5 g/dL Minor response  - Rolling 12-week average hemoglobin increase by ≥ 1.0 g/dL

Conclusion: The 2024 IWG-ELN response criteria provide a uniform framework for defining the severity of anemia, as well as criteria for major and minor responses in transfusion-dependent and –independent patients with myelofibrosis.