



Seismic and aseismic deformation in the Danakil Depression, East Africa and Corinth Rift, Greece: Magma-rich vs magma-poor rift extension

Gareth L. Hurman^{a,*}, Derek Keir^{a,b}, Lisa C. McNeill^a, Carolina Pagli^c, Jonathan M. Bull^a, Georgios Michas^d

^a School of Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

^b Department of Earth Sciences, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

^c Department of Earth Sciences, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^d Institute of Geodynamics, National Observatory of Athens, Athens, Greece

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Geodetic moment rates
Seismic moment rates
Seismic and aseismic deformation
Magma-rich and magma-poor rifting
Danakil depression
Corinth Rift

ABSTRACT

The mechanisms accommodating extension in magma-rich and magma-poor rifts likely differ, with magmatic intrusion (largely aseismic) potentially dominating magma-rich rifts and faulting (seismic deformation) thought to accommodate most extension in magma-poor rifts. We compared the seismic and aseismic deformation occurring in the Danakil Depression, East Africa (magma-rich) and Corinth Rift, Greece (magma-poor) to test this hypothesis and improve understanding of extension in end-member rifts. The seismic moment release across both rifts was determined using NEIC catalogue earthquake data (from 1950 to 2023). In the Danakil Depression, seismic moment release is highest at the rift margins and sections of the rift axis with reduced magmatism. Seismic moment release is greatest across the eastern part of the Corinth Rift. Regional geodetic extension data were used to calculate the changing geodetic moment rates along both rifts. The ratio between the seismic and geodetic moment rates (S/G) was calculated for the entire rifts and overlapping zones along each rift. The horizontal component of the seismic moment was extracted to calculate the horizontal seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S_h/G). The Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift have S/G of 0.03 and 0.43, and S_h/G of 0.02 and 0.28, respectively, suggesting greater amounts of extension being accommodated by faulting in the Corinth Rift. S/G variations along the Danakil Depression are consistent with geological indicators of along-rift variations in faulting. Relatively high S/G (~ 0.1) in the north of the Depression indicates that brittle deformation accommodates a significant amount of extension here, despite being potentially in the final stages of magma-rich break-up. Relatively low S/G (~ 0.1 – 0.15) in the west of the Corinth Rift supports the interpretations that aseismic deformation may be significant, potentially on a low-angle detachment at depth. This study confirms our hypothesis that in magma-poor rifts, greater amounts of extension are accommodated by seismic deformation compared with magma-rich rifts.

1. Introduction

The Danakil Depression, located in Northern Ethiopia and Eritrea (Fig. 1), and the Corinth Rift, in Central Greece (Fig. 2), are two of the fastest opening (>15 mm/yr) active continental rifts in the world (Figs. 3 and 4) (e.g., Avallone et al., 2004; Clarke et al., 1998; McClusky et al., 2010; Viltres et al., 2020), with both extensional settings associated with significant seismicity (Figs. 1, 2 and 4) (e.g., Ayele et al., 2007; Bernard et al., 2006; Illsley-Kemp et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 1982). The extensive Recent magmatism in the Danakil Depression (e.g., Keir et al.,

2013; Pagli et al., 2012; Watts et al., 2020; Rime et al., 2023) means that it is defined as a magma-rich rift setting. In contrast, magmatism has been almost completely absent during the evolution of the Corinth Rift, and therefore is defined as a magma-poor rift (e.g., McNeill et al., 2019a). The western end of the active Aegean volcanic arc is located ~ 50 km SE of the Corinth Rift (e.g., Pe-Piper and Piper, 2005), however arc magmatism is not involved with the rifting processes across the Corinth Rift. Sitting at opposite ends of the magma-rich and magma-poor rift settings framework (e.g., Franke, 2013; Tugend et al., 2018) means that the mechanisms accommodating extensional strain in these

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: g.l.hurman@soton.ac.uk (G.L. Hurman).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2025.230918>

Received 26 May 2024; Received in revised form 3 September 2025; Accepted 8 September 2025

Available online 9 September 2025

0040-1951/© 2025 Published by Elsevier B.V.

two rift settings likely differ.

Our hypothesis is that seismic deformation (brittle faulting) contributes significantly towards extension in the magma-poor Corinth Rift (e.g., [Lavie and Manatschal, 2006](#); [Peron-Pinvidic et al., 2013](#); [Peron-Pinvidic and Manatschal, 2009](#); [Reston, 2009](#)). In contrast, since the Danakil Depression is magma-rich, aseismic magmatic intrusion is hypothesised to be the dominant mechanism accommodating extension ([Buck, 2006](#); [Hayward and Ebinger, 1996](#)). For example, over short time scales (days) of individual dyking events, seismic moment release accounts for <3 % of the total extension ([Belachew et al., 2011](#)). In contrast, in areas of relatively low magmatism in the Danakil Depression, ~30 % of extension is accommodated by axial faulting over 100 k. y. time scales ([Hurman et al., 2023](#)). This study aims to better

understand and compare the active deformation processes occurring in the two settings over the several decades sampled by global instrumental seismic records. As well as sitting at opposite ends of the magma-rich and magma-poor rift framework, the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift have been selected as our comparative study regions due to both having similarly high geodetic extension rates (>15 mm/yr) (Figs. 3 and 4) (e.g., [Avallone et al., 2004](#); [Clarke et al., 1998](#); [McClusky et al., 2010](#); [Viltres et al., 2020](#)), while both being clearly definable rift segments (Figs. 1 and 3). To analyse the active deformation occurring across both rifts we calculate the geodetic moment rates from regional Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) data ([Avallone et al., 2004](#); [Viltres et al., 2020](#)), as well as calculating seismic moment rates for both rifts from the Global National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC)




Fig. 1. a) Map of the Danakil Depression study area in red (180 km width, 360 km length). Nabro Volcanic Complex (NVC) is included in the study area to maintain a consistent study area width for the entire length of the Depression. The earthquake data within the study area in this map is the filtered NEIC catalogue data used to calculate the seismic moment rate values in this study. b) Map of the Afar Depression in East Africa, showing the tectonic setting. Vectors, derived from GNSS data of [Viltres et al. \(2020\)](#), show the tectonic extensional direction towards the NE. Base of each vector marks location of geodetic stations used in the [Viltres et al. \(2020\)](#) study. Solid black lines show Oligocene–Miocene border faults of the Red Sea, Gulf of Aden, and East African rift systems. c) Gutenberg-Richter plot of filtered NEIC catalogue data used for the Danakil Depression. EA = Erta-Ale, TA = Tat-Ali, HG = Harak Graben, EARS = East African Rift System, GT = Gulf of Tadjoura. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

catalogue. We will then determine the ratio between the seismic and geodetic moment rates (seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio – S/G) for the two rifts, quantifying the contribution of seismic deformation (co-seismic slip) towards releasing the time-averaged extensional strain accumulation. Geodetic moment rates represent a measure of the rate of interseismic strain accumulation across the rift, while seismic moment rates measure the co-seismic fault slip related response in the plate boundary zone.

By comparing the S/G for both the Corinth Rift and Danakil Depression, we will better understand contribution of brittle faulting towards extension in a magma-rich and magma-poor setting, and be able to compare the nature of deformation that is occurring at these two rift end-members. Furthermore, it will provide further insight into spatial variations of seismic (brittle faulting) and aseismic (e.g., magmatic intrusion, aseismic slip/creep) deformation. Previous studies comparing magma-poor and magma-rich extensional settings have typically focused on passive margins (e.g., Franke, 2013; Tugend et al., 2018), where comparative analysis of the dynamic geological deformation is not possible. Most rifts fall somewhere between the magma-rich and magma-poor rift settings end members, and/or show strong along rift variations in extension processes both temporally and spatially (e.g., Shillington et al., 2009; Sapin et al., 2021; Pérez-Gussinyé et al., 2023). Therefore, with the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift both actively rifting and siting at opposite ends of the magma-rich and magma-poor rift framework, they are ideal study locations. Despite the differences in extension mechanisms, there are also a number of similarities between the two rifts, such as high extension rates (e.g., Avallone et al.,

2004; Clarke et al., 1998; McClusky et al., 2010; Viltres et al., 2020) and an asymmetrical rift structure (e.g., Bastow et al., 2018; Hurman et al., 2023; Nixon et al., 2016), making them good candidates for a comparative study.

Our study can also be compared with previous work that analysed geodetic and seismic moment rates for each rift. For the Corinth Rift, previous studies tended to lack detail, as they were part of regional analysis across the entirety of Greece (Chousianitis et al., 2015) or the wider eastern Mediterranean (Jenny et al., 2004; Sparacino et al., 2022; Ward, 1998) rather than specifically the Corinth Rift. Previous localised studies along the East African Rift System have compared seismic and geodetic strain rates from both local and regional catalogues, with these studies showing that short-term strain patterns can be representative of long term patterns in both magma-rich and magma-poor areas (Weinstein et al., 2017; Musila et al., 2023; Lavayssière et al., 2019; Ebinger et al., 2019). Déprez et al. (2013) also investigated the S/G along the East African Rift System, analysing the varying contribution of different deformation mechanisms and their relationship to controls such as the stage of rift evolution and thermal state of the lithosphere. However, this study only extended as far north as the Main Ethiopian Rift, thus did not include Afar and the Danakil Depression. As a result, this will be first time that analysis has been conducted for the Danakil Depression. Although previous studies from the Danakil Depression (Bastow et al., 2018; Hurman et al., 2023) have indicated along-axis variations in the mechanisms accommodating extension over the last 100 ka, this study provides the opportunity for more insight over decade long-time scales.




Fig. 2. a) Map of the Corinth Rift study area in red (72.5 km width, 145 km length). The earthquake data within the study area shown in this map is the filtered NEIC catalogue data used to calculate the seismic moment rate values in this study. b) Regional map of the Corinth Rift. Vectors, from GNSS data of Avallone et al. (2004), show the tectonic extensional direction towards the NE. Base of each vector marks location of geodetic stations in the Avallone et al. (2004) study. c) Gutenberg-Richter plot of the filtered NEIC catalogue data used for the Corinth Rift. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

2. Tectonic settings

2.1. Danakil Depression

Located in Northern Afar, the Danakil Depression is undergoing the final stages of continental break-up with the locus of extension along a series of magmatic segments (e.g., Hayward and Ebinger, 1996; Pagli et al., 2014) (Fig. 1). The Afar region is the location of the triple junction between the Red Sea, Gulf of Aden and East African Rift systems (Fig. 1) (McKenzie et al., 1970). Rifting in Afar initiated around 30 Ma and was associated with significant plume volcanism (Hofmann et al., 1997; Wright et al., 2006; Yirgu et al., 2006). Red Sea extension initiated later at ca. 23 Ma (Szymanski et al., 2016), with extension in the southernmost part of the Red Sea rift jumping laterally on land in Northern Afar around 11 Ma, resulting in the Danakil Depression becoming the locus of extension (Eagles et al., 2002). The age of rifting is broadly consistent with the 8.5 Ma or younger age of the earliest syn-rift Red Bed sedimentary series (Brinckmann and Kürsten, 1971; Le Gall et al., 2018). The Danakil Depression extends from the Gulf of Zula in the north to the Harak Graben in the south, with the western margin bordered by the 3 km high Ethiopian Plateau, while the Danakil Block, that is 0.5–1 km in elevation, borders the eastern rift margin (Figs. 1 and 3). The thin crust beneath the Danakil Depression, 20–25 km in the south (south of 13°N) and reducing to <15 km in the north (north of 13.7°N), is indicative of the maturity of the rift and the amount of stretching that has occurred (Ahmed et al., 2022; Hammond et al., 2011; Hurman et al., 2023; Makris and Ginzburg, 1987). Crustal thickness is 40 km beneath the Ethiopian Plateau and 25–30 km beneath the Danakil Block (Ahmed et al., 2022; Hammond et al., 2011; Hurman et al., 2023). Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) measurements have shown that the Danakil Depression is opening by anticlockwise rotation, with the rate of extension

increasing southwards, with a rate of 7 mm/yr at 15°N and increasing to ~20 mm/yr at 13°N (McClusky et al., 2010; Viltres et al., 2020) (Figs. 1b and 3).

Extension since the Quaternary in the Danakil Depression has been localised to a ~20 km wide rift axis (Wolfenden et al., 2005), with along-rift variations in extension by magmatism and faulting observed (e.g., Bastow et al., 2018; Hurman et al., 2023; Keir et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). Magmatism is most clear in the central portions of the basin, where the Erta-Ale and Tat-Ali magmatic segments are arranged in an en-echelon manner (Figs. 1a and 3), and are the location of multiple volcanic centres (e.g., Barberi and Varet, 1970; Keir et al., 2013) (Figs. 1a and 3) with rift-aligned dike and fissure eruptions (e.g., Moore et al., 2019; Nobile et al., 2012; Pagli et al., 2012; Watts et al., 2023). In contrast, the lateral ends of the Depression are the location of axial grabens, which host sedimentary deposits, with the axial faults having significant fault slip rates (Bastow et al., 2018; Hurman et al., 2023). For example, in the north, seismic reflection data has shown that upper crustal axial faulting accommodates significant amounts of extension (30 %) over the last ~100 ka with slip rates of up to 3.8–5 mm/yr calculated for individual faults (Bastow et al., 2018; Hurman et al., 2023).

The distribution of earthquakes from global and local catalogues shows that seismicity is primarily located along the rift axis and the western rift margin (Figs. 1 and 4a; Belachew et al., 2011; Illsley-Kemp et al., 2018). Seismicity beneath the magmatic segments is mostly limited to $M < 3.5$ microseismicity observed only by local seismic networks (Figs. 1 and 4a; Belachew et al., 2011; Illsley-Kemp et al., 2018), whereas larger earthquakes ($M > 4$) occur at the rift ends and along the western rift margin (Figs. 1 and 4a; Belachew et al., 2011; Illsley-Kemp et al., 2018; La Rosa et al., 2021a). For example, InSAR analysis to the north of the Dallol region has shown significant fault slip and accompanying large earthquakes ($M_w > 5$) have occurred in the absence of




Fig. 3. Map showing the tectonic setting of the Danakil Depression. GNSS derived NE extension vectors from Viltres et al. (2020). Danakil Depression axis faults in red are from Hurman et al. (2023), regional faults in black are from Manighetti et al. (2001). Topography from SRTM data. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

magmatic intrusion (La Rosa et al., 2023). Significant large magnitude earthquakes have occurred away from the rift axis, including along the western rift margin (Fig. 1) (Ayele et al., 2007; Illsley-Kemp et al., 2018; Zwaan et al., 2020b; La Rosa et al., 2021b), where a M_w 5.6 event occurred as part of an earthquake swarm in 2002 near the town of Mekele (Ayele et al., 2007).

2.2. Corinth Rift

Located in Central Greece, the Corinth Rift is a narrow rift (~40 by 100 km) undergoing N-S orientated extension (Figs. 2 and 4). The rift started forming ~4–5 Ma, cutting across the N-S Hellenide Orogen in the western part of the rift (Bell et al., 2018; Gawthorpe et al., 2017). Various mechanisms have been suggested for the rift's formation, including gravitational collapse of overthickened crust (e.g., Le Pourhiet




Fig. 4. Map of the Corinth Rift with rates of N-S extension across rift. Earthquakes are from NEIC catalogue, with only events $M_w > 4.5$ plotted. Earthquakes with $M_w > 6$ include year of occurrence. Bathymetry data courtesy of the Hellenic Centre for Marine Research (Sakellariou et al., 2007). Inset shows tectonic setting of the Corinth Rift in the Aegean, relative to the North Anatolian Fault and Hellenic subduction zone. AF = Aigion Fault, WEL = West Eliki Fault, EEL = East Eliki Fault, DER = Derveni Fault, LYK = Lykoporia Fault, XYL = Xylokastro Fault, KIA = Kiato Fault, PER = Perachora Fault. Figure adapted from Nixon et al. (2024).

et al., 2003), extension due to rollback of the subducting African plate occurring along the Hellenic Trench (Fig. 4) (e.g., Doutsos et al., 1988; Jolivet et al., 1994) and propagation of the dextral North Anatolian Fault (Fig. 4) to the SW (e.g., Armijo et al., 1996, 1999). Evolution of the Corinth Rift occurred in three main phases of development, with the first phase occurring 5–3.6 to ~2.5–2 Ma. During this period the Corinth Rift was south of its current location, with a 20–30 km wide zone of

deformation that extended as far north as the current southern margin of the Gulf of Corinth (Figs. 2 and 4) (Gawthorpe et al., 2017). The second phase of rift evolution occurred ~2.5–2 to 0.8 Ma, with northward migration to the current location of the Corinth Rift (Figs. 2 and 4). Phase 3 represents the ~0.8 Ma to present and was marked by a further northward migration of deformation (McNeill et al., 2019b), development of the border fault system, progressive fault linkage and an




Fig. 5. Maps and histograms showing depth of the local seismicity catalogues used to constrain seismogenic depth of the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift. a) Map showing distribution and depth of local seismicity catalogue from the Danakil Depression, composed of events from Belachew et al. (2011) and ILLSLEY-KEMP et al. (2018). Earthquakes with an error > 5 km in longitude, latitude and/or depth removed from catalogue. b) Histogram showing depth distribution of the Danakil Depression local catalogue. Seismogenic depth defined as 15 km, with 90 % of earthquakes above this depth. c) Map showing distribution and depth of the local seismicity catalogue from the Corinth Rift, from the Hellenic Unified Seismic Network (HUSN). d) Histogram showing depth distribution of the Corinth Rift local catalogue events. Seismogenic depth defined as 19 km, with 90 % of earthquakes above this depth.

acceleration in fault slip rates (Nixon et al., 2016, 2024).

The Corinth Rift has been almost completely amagmatic during its evolution (e.g., McNeill et al., 2019a), with extension now focused along a network of major N-dipping faults that straddle the southern margin of the Gulf of Corinth in an en-echelon arrangement (Fig. 4). These faults, which range from 10 to 25 km in length, have become progressively linked over the last 340 kyr and are now kinematically coherent and linked at depth, forming a fault network totalling 130 km in length (e.g., Bell et al., 2009; Nixon et al., 2016, 2024). Significant slip has occurred along the southern margin border faults, with estimated Quaternary slip rates reaching $\sim 3\text{--}7$ mm/yr for the faults along the southern margin (e.g., Armijo et al., 1996; Bell et al., 2009; De Martini et al., 2004; McNeill and Collier, 2004; McNeill et al., 2005; Nixon et al., 2024), and increasing during the Holocene (e.g., Pirazzoli et al., 2004; Stewart, 1996; Stewart and Vita-Finzi, 1996). The current extensional regime in the Corinth Rift shows an increase in extension rate from <5 mm/year in the east to up to ~ 20 mm/year in the west of the rift (Figs. 2 and 4) (e.g., Avallone et al., 2004; Clarke et al., 1997; McClusky et al., 2000). In contrast, the highest extension rates on geological time scales are in the central rift, as indicated by long-term deformation patterns and whole-crust extension estimates (Bell et al., 2009, 2011; Nixon et al., 2016, 2024).

High extension and fault slip rates in the Corinth Rift have resulted in significant seismicity across the rift, including events with a $M_w > 6$ (e.g., Bernard et al., 1997; Jackson et al., 1982) (Figs. 2 and 4). The western end of the Corinth Rift is the most seismically active region (Figs. 2, 4 and 5c), with intense microseismicity and seismic swarms (e.g., Bernard et al., 2006; Duverger et al., 2015, 2018; Kaviris et al., 2017, 2021; Papadimitriou et al., 2022), such as the 2013 Eliki (e.g., Kapetanidis et al., 2015; Mesimeri et al., 2016) and the 2015 Malamata (De Barros et al., 2020) swarms. The seismicity in the western end of the Corinth Rift has been hypothesised to relate to a postulated low-angle north-dipping layer beneath the gulf (e.g., Duverger et al., 2018; Kapetanidis et al., 2021; Kaviris et al., 2021; Lambotte et al., 2014). Larger events have also been recorded at the western end of the rift, such as the 1995 Aigion earthquake (M_w 6.2) (Bernard et al., 1997) (Fig. 4). Although sparser than the west, significant seismicity is also occurring in the eastern Corinth Rift (Figs. 2; 4 and 5c), including the largest earthquake sequence of recent times which occurred in 1981 around the Alkyonides Gulf with the 3 main events ranging from M 6.3–6.7 (e.g., Jackson et al., 1982) (Fig. 4). In 2020, there was an earthquake sequence around the Perachora Peninsula at the eastern end of the Corinth Rift, with the largest event being a M_w 3.9 earthquake (Michas et al., 2022). Despite Quaternary fault slip rates being highest in the central region of the Corinth Rift (Bell et al., 2009; Nixon et al., 2024), this part of the rift has reduced seismic activity relative to the eastern and western ends of the rift, although there have still been major earthquakes such as the 1992 Galaxidi earthquake (M_s 5.9) on the northern margin of the rift (Hatzfeld et al., 1996) (Fig. 4).

3. Data and methods

3.1. Quantifying geodetic moment rates

To calculate the variation in geodetic strain along the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift, we used the GNSS velocity data from the two extensional settings (Avallone et al., 2004; Viltres et al., 2020) (Figs. 1b and 2b). The study area for the Danakil Depression is defined as from the Gulf of Zula in the north to the Harak Graben in the south, a length of 360 km (Fig. 1). The width of the study area is 180 km, encompassing the western margin border fault system, and stepping eastwards in the south to include the Nabro Volcanic Complex (NVC) (Fig. 1). The Corinth Rift study area has the same 2:1 ratio of length to width, with the study area extending from west of the Trizonia Basin to east of the Alkyonides Gulf, a distance of 145 km and a width of 72.5 km (Figs. 2 and 4).

Extensional velocities have then been resampled at equal distances along the rift to enable calculation of the geodetic moment rate for a series of overlapping rectangular zones. These zones have equal aspect ratios of 4.5:1 for both rift settings, for the Danakil Depression they are 180 km (l) wide and 40 km (L) in length (overlap of 20 km), and for the Corinth Rift they have the same relative dimensions of 72.5 km (l) wide and 16.1 km (L) in length (overlap of 8.05 km). It is assumed that the direction and magnitude of the extensional velocity have remained constant over the duration of the observational period. The geodetic strain rate (ϵ) is quantified as the ratio between the velocity (v) and the box width (l).

$$\epsilon = v/l \quad (1)$$

Then the geodetic moment rate is determined using the definition of the strain tensor rate as outlined by Kostrov (1974).

$$2\mu A Hs \epsilon = M_{\text{geodetic}} \quad (2)$$

Using μ the shear modulus as 3×10^{10} N m $^{-2}$ (typical shear modulus value for the crust) for both the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift, $A = l \times L$ the surface area of each study zone and Hs the seismogenic thickness.

To define the seismogenic thickness (Hs) of each rift we used local seismic catalogues (Fig. 5). For the Danakil Depression we used a catalogue of earthquakes compiled from Belachew et al. (2011) and Illsley-Kemp et al. (2018) that occurred between 2007 and 2013, with events with an error > 5 km in latitude, longitude and/or depth location removed. We have defined the seismogenic (Hs) thickness as the depth above which 90 % of events have occurred. Previous seismic-geodetic moment rate studies have also used a 90 % cut-off point to define the seismogenic thickness (e.g., Pancha et al., 2006; Sparacino et al., 2022). Applying this criterion results in the seismogenic thickness (Hs) being identified as 15 km (Fig. 5b), with 10 % of events occurring below this depth. The local catalogue used for the Corinth Rift was taken from the Hellenic Unified Seismic Network (HUSN), consisting of events recorded between 2012 and 2023. The earthquakes show a different depth pattern compared with the Danakil Depression catalogue, with fewer shallow (<5 km) events and the majority of earthquakes occurring between 7 and 15 km depth (Fig. 5d). Using the local seismicity catalogue, we have defined the seismogenic thickness (Hs) for the Corinth Rift as 19 km depth with 90 % of earthquakes occurring above this depth (Fig. 5d).

3.2. Danakil Depression geodetic data

The geodetic moment rate was calculated using GNSS data from Viltres et al. (2020), collected between 2001 and 2016 (Fig. 1). The survey sites used for this study were along the length of the Eritrean coastline, from the Gulf of Zula down to the Gulf of Tadjoura (Djibouti) southwards (Fig. 1b). Therefore, we have geodetic extension rate data for the entire length of the Danakil Depression. The extension rates are relative to a stable Nubia plate, and representative of the full extensional velocity of the Danakil plate relative to the Nubian plate due to the distance between the survey sites and the rift axis (Fig. 1b).

3.3. Corinth Rift geodetic data

For the Corinth Rift, GNSS derived extension rates from Avallone et al. (2004) have been used, with the velocity data collected between 1990 and 2001 (Fig. 2b). Although, there have been numerous geodetic studies across the Corinth Rift, this study was favoured due to the density of GNSS sites along the margins of the Corinth Rift, whilst also having a longer observational period than other studies (e.g., Briole et al., 2000). The calculated rates for the Corinth Rift used for this study are relative to the Peloponnese (Fig. 2b). Despite the density of sites, the number is reduced at the eastern end of the Corinth Rift study area (Fig. 2b), and we therefore have interpolated the extension rate for this

area of the rift when calculating the geodetic moment rate. The interpolated extension rates for the eastern end of the rift are <5 mm/yr, which is in agreement with other geodetic studies that have a denser network of GNSS sites in the east (e.g., [Clarke et al., 1998](#)).

3.4. Earthquake data and quantifying the seismic moment release and rate

To calculate the seismic moment release and rate of each setting, we have compiled the National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) earthquake catalogues for both the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift ([Figs. 1 and 2](#)). The earthquake data was not declustered to remove aftershocks or swarms associated with dike intrusions because we interpret them to be triggered in crust pre-stressed by the regional extension and close to failure. We also assume that earthquakes are a consequence of long-term plate boundary stress accumulation, and that the stress changes caused by mainshock earthquakes and magma intrusion are relatively minor in comparison. Further processing of the NEIC catalogue data for the two rifts was conducted to improve the comparability and quality of the data. Earthquake events that occurred prior to 1950 were removed, with both catalogues showing a significant degree of incompleteness prior to this date (particularly for the Danakil Depression). Additionally, having the seismicity data of the two rift settings covering the same time period (73 years) allows more comparable seismic moment rates to be calculated. We also removed earthquakes that occurred at a deeper depth than the maximum crustal thickness for each of the rifts. This was particularly important for the Corinth Rift due to the close proximity of the Hellenic Trench subduction zone and underlying plate ([Fig. 4](#)), with seismicity at a deeper depth related to plate subduction rather than extension of the upper plate. The Ethiopian Plateau has the thickest crust in the Danakil Depression study area ([Ahmed et al., 2022; Hammond et al., 2011; Hurman et al., 2023](#)), so a cut off depth of 40 km was used to filter the seismicity data. In the Corinth Rift, the deepest Moho depth is ~40 km at the western end of the rift (e.g., [Sachpazi et al., 2007; Tiberi et al., 2001](#)) therefore the same cut off point of 40 km was used for the Corinth Rift data. The average epicentre location errors quoted for the filtered NEIC catalogues used for this study are 9.2 km for the Danakil Depression and 4.9 km for the Corinth Rift (<https://earthquake.usgs.gov/>). Studies in East Africa, however, have compared locations from local networks with NEIC catalogues and found mislocations of up to 25 km ([Weinstein et al., 2017](#)).

Calculation of the seismic moment release also requires body wave magnitudes (mb) in the NEIC catalogue to first be converted to moment magnitudes (M_w). To do this we used the linear relationship defined by [Scordilis \(2006\)](#) using the conversion Eq. (3).

$$M_w = 0.85 (+/- 0.04)mb + 1.03 (+/- 0.23) \quad (3)$$

We assessed the magnitude of completeness (M_c) of the NEIC data by producing Gutenberg-Richter plots for both regions ([Figs. 1c and 2c](#)). M_c is 4.5 for the Danakil Depression and 4.2 for the Corinth Rift, likely due to the denser seismic station distribution in Europe compared to Africa.

We converted our moment magnitude (M_w) values to seismic moment release (M_0). [Kanamori \(1977\)](#) defined the relationship between seismic moment magnitude (M_w) and seismic moment release (M_0) using Eq. (4).

$$M_w = 2/3 \log_{10} (M_0) - 10.7 \quad (4)$$

To convert our M_w values to M_0 we rearranged Eq. (4) to Eq. (5).

$$M_0 = 10^{1.5(M_w + 10.7)} \quad (5)$$

The seismic moment release values were then divided by 10^7 to convert the values from dyne-cm to newton meters (Nm).

To help represent the spatial variation in seismic moment release across both the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift, we summed the seismic moment release in grid cells with dimensions of 20×20 km

([Figs. 6 and 7](#)). To calculate the seismic moment rate we normalized the seismic moment release values by 73, the number of years (1950–2023) that the filtered NEIC catalogues for each of the rifts cover.

We also used the Gutenberg-Richter relation to evaluate the seismic moment release that is missing below the cut off magnitude down to a magnitude of 0. To do this we calculated b-values of the seismic catalogue (1.1 for Danakil Depression and 1 for the Corinth Rift), from which we modelled the magnitude frequency distribution, and resultant seismic moment release that we did not record below the cut-off magnitude. The results show that we under-sample the seismic moment release by 35 % for the Danakil Depression, and 10 % for the Corinth Rift.

3.5. Seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio

To constrain the contribution of seismic deformation towards extension across both the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift, we calculate the ratio between the cumulative seismic moment rate and the geodetic moment rate, which is the seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G). For calculating S/G for each of the rifts, we used the total seismic moment rate for the entire study area and a geodetic moment rate value derived from the mean geodetic extension rate of the rift ([Table 1](#)). To understand spatial variations, we calculated the S/G in overlapping zones along rift using the total seismic moment rate of each of the zones and the geodetic moment rate calculated for the same zone ([Figs. 8, 9; Tables 2 and 3](#)). The calculation therefore takes into account the varying extension rates along both rifts.

3.6. Horizontal seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio

The geodetic moment rate represents the total crustal deformation occurring in a horizontal direction over the time period of observations, therefore extracting the horizontal component of the seismic moment rate improves the comparability of the moment rate types when calculating S/G. To calculate the horizontal component of the seismic moment rate we corrected for the dip of the faults along which the seismic deformation occurs. We assumed a fault dip range of 40–60°, typical for seismogenic normal faults (e.g., [Anderson, 1951](#)), to calculate the horizontal component of the seismic moment rate. Although many individual faults dips are resolved in both rift systems, there is some debate over fault dips at depths, hence we chose to use this global dip range, with the median horizontal component of the seismic moment rate calculated using a 50° fault dip. We then determined the ratio between the extracted horizontal component of the seismic moment rate and the geodetic moment rate (S_h/G) for the entire Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift ([Table 1](#)), as well as for overlapping zones along the rifts ([Figs. 8, 9; Tables 2 and 3](#)).

4. Results

4.1. Danakil Depression

4.1.1. Danakil Depression seismic moment release

The 20×20 km grid of total summed seismic moment release across the Danakil Depression highlights significant variation in the rift over the last 73 years. The total calculated seismic release across the Danakil Depression study area was 8.9×10^{18} Nm, with maxima located off-axis for the Nabro Volcanic Complex (NVC) situated in the centre of the Danakil Block, and along the western Afar margin ([Fig. 6](#)). In the north of the Depression, clusters of seismicity have resulted in elevated summed seismic moment values along or close to the rift axis, for example in the Dallol area and to the west of the Gulf of Zula. However, elsewhere along the rift axis the summed seismic moment values are low, including along the Erta-Ale and Tat-Ali magmatic segments in the central and southern part of the Danakil Depression ([Fig. 6](#)). Other large sections of the rift axis in the central and southern parts of the Danakil Depression




Fig. 6. Map of the Danakil Depression with the summed seismic moment release (M_0) in a grid of 20×20 km cells. Topography highlighted by hill shade applied to SRTM data. Erta-Ale (EA) and Tat-Ali (TA) magmatic segments marked by dashed black line.

appear to have no seismic activity ($M_w > 3.5$) at all (Figs. 1 and 6). The results highlight how seismicity in the north of the Depression is focused along or close to the rift axis (Fig. 6), whereas in the centre and southern part of the Depression, seismic moment values are greater at the sides of the rift, such as along the western marginal graben system and the NVC but reduced along the axial magmatic segments (Fig. 6).

4.1.2. Danakil Depression S/G and S_h/G

The seismic moment rate for the entire Danakil Depression ranges from 3.27×10^{16} – 4.60×10^{17} Nm/yr and has a median rate of 1.10×10^{17} Nm/yr (Table 1). Using the mean geodetic extension rate of 13 mm/yr, a geodetic moment rate value of 4.23×10^{18} Nm/yr was calculated (Table 1). Therefore, the S/G ratio for the entire Danakil Depression study area is 0.03. The horizontal seismic moment rate for the entire Danakil Depression ranges from 2.24×10^{16} Nm/yr to 3.62×10^{17} Nm/yr, with a median rate of 7.85×10^{16} (50° fault dip) (Table 1). With a geodetic moment rate of 4.23×10^{18} Nm/yr for the Danakil Depression, the median S_h/G ratio for the entire rift is calculated as 0.02 (Table 1). The seismic and geodetic moment rates were also calculated for 16 Zones with dimensions of 180 km across axis and 40 km along axis (20 km overlap) (Fig. 8a; Table 2), to provide measures of spatial variability in S/G and S_h/G . In the Danakil Depression, the geodetic moment rate increases from north to the south (Fig. 8b; Table 2) due to the southward increase in extension rates (Fig. 1) (up to 20 mm/yr) (McClusky et al., 2010; Viltres et al., 2020). The geodetic moment rate is $\sim 1.35 \times 10^{17}$ Nm/yr at 20 km (Zone 1) in the north, and progressively increases to a maximum value of $\sim 7.1 \times 10^{17}$ Nm/yr at 340 km (Zone 16) in the south (Fig. 8b; Table 2). The seismic moment rate along the rift axis of the Danakil Depression however shows more variability (Fig. 8c; Table 2). The highest seismic moment rates occur at 100–120 km (Zones 5–6) and at 300 km (Zone 14) reaching median values of up to $\sim 3.3 \times 10^{16}$ Nm/yr, caused by the significant seismicity across the Dallol area and the NVC (Figs. 1, 8c and Table 2). Other sections of the

rift axis show minor peaks (1.2 – 2.2×10^{16} Nm/yr) in seismic moment rate at 20–40 km (Zone 1–2), 180–200 km (Zone 9–10) and 240 km (Zone 12) along the rift axis, which coincide with the seismicity that has occurred west of the Gulf of Zula, the western margin graben system and NVC, respectively (Figs. 1, 7c and Table 2). The lowest seismic moment rates (~ 1.1 – 1.4×10^{15} Nm/yr) are at 60–80 km (Zone 3–4) and 340 km (Zone 16) (Fig. 8c and Table 2).

The S/G value does not go above 0.1 for any of the zones in the Danakil Depression (Fig. 8d and Table 2). The maximum S/G value of 0.095 occurs at 20 km (Zone 1) and 100–120 km (Zone 5–6) (Fig. 8 and Table 2), where the Gulf of Zula and Dallol region are located (Fig. 1). There are minor peaks in S/G that coincide with the increased seismic moment rates observed off axis, with a S/G value of ~ 0.045 at 180–200 km (Zones 9–10) (Fig. 8 and Table 2), where the western margin graben system is located (Fig. 1). For the NVC (Fig. 1) at 260–340 km (Zones 13–16), the S/G reaches a maximum value of ~ 0.05 (Fig. 8 and Table 2). Despite the highest median seismic moment rate value ($\sim 3.3 \times 10^{16}$ Nm/yr) being at the southern end of the Danakil Depression (260–340 km), the high extension rates (~ 20 mm/yr) in this area result in an S/G value half that observed in the Dallol area despite having similar seismic moment rates (Fig. 8 and Table 2).

Despite being lower in value, the horizontal seismic moment rates have the same along axis variation as the total seismic moment rates (Table 2). Therefore, the along axis S_h/G ratio values are lower than the S/G but show the same overall along axis pattern (Fig. 8). With the highest S_h/G ratios calculated along the Danakil Depression at 20 km (Zone 1) and 100–120 km (Zone 5–6), with median ratio values reaching ~ 0.06 (Fig. 8f; Table 2). There are minor peaks of ~ 0.03 S_h/G at 180 km (Zone 9) and 300 km (Zone 14), with the ratio remaining $\leq \sim 0.02$ for the remaining zones of the Danakil Depression (Fig. 8f; Table 2).




Fig. 7. Map of the Corinth Rift with the summed seismic moment release (M_0) in a grid of 20×20 km cells.

4.2. Corinth Rift

4.2.1. Corinth Rift seismic moment release


The total seismic moment release for the Corinth Rift is 5.2×10^{19} Nm. The summed seismic moment release for 20×20 km cells in the Corinth Rift shows increased values across the northern margin of the Gulf of Corinth compared with the southern margin (Fig. 7). The east of the Corinth Rift in particular shows elevated seismic moment release (around the Alkyonides Gulf), mainly due to the 3 large earthquakes (M 6.3–6.7) in 1981 (Fig. 4) (e.g., Jackson et al., 1982). Elevated summed seismic moment release is also observed to the northeast of the Tritonia Basin (Fig. 7), caused by the M_s 6.21995 Aigion Earthquake and related aftershocks (Fig. 4) (e.g., Bernard et al., 1997). The southern rift margin may have apparent low seismic moment release (Fig. 7), but this is partly a result of the seismicity associated with the N-dipping border fault system of the southern margin occurring at depth and thus being located beneath the Gulf or its northern margin. Other significant earthquake events along the northern margin, such as the 1992 Galaxidi earthquake ($M_s = 5.9$) (Hatzfeld et al., 1996), have also contributed to elevated seismic moment release (Fig. 7). The most intense seismicity in the Corinth Rift occurs at its western end (Figs. 2 and 4), however the seismic moment release is relatively low (Fig. 7) due to the typically lower magnitudes of these events (Figs. 2 and 4).

4.2.2. Corinth Rift S/G and S_H/G

Seismic moment rates for the entire Corinth Rift range from 2.93×10^{17} – 2.86×10^{18} Nm/yr, and have a median value of 7.12×10^{17} Nm/

Table 1
Minimum, median and maximum seismic moment rates for the entire Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift. Minimum horizontal seismic moment rate values are calculated using a fault dip of 60° , median using 50° , and maximum using 40° . Geodetic moment rates are calculated using the mean extension rate for the rifts (13 mm/yr for the Danakil Depression, 10 mm/yr for the Corinth Rift). The median seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) is calculated using the median seismic moment rate. The median horizontal seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S_H/G) is calculated using the median horizontal seismic moment rate (50° fault dip).

Rift	Minimum Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Maximum Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Minimum Horizontal Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Horizontal Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Maximum Horizontal Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Geodetic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Seismic/Geodetic Moment Rate Ratio (S/G)	Median Horizontal Seismic/Geodetic Moment Rate Ratio (S_H/G)
Danakil Depression	4.48×10^{16}	1.22×10^{17}	4.72×10^{17}	2.24×10^{16}	7.85×10^{16}	3.62×10^{17}	4.23×10^{18}	0.03	0.02
Corinth Rift	2.93×10^{17}	7.12×10^{17}	2.86×10^{18}	1.46×10^{17}	4.58×10^{17}	2.19×10^{18}	1.65×10^{18}	0.43	0.28



(caption on next column)

Fig. 8. a) Map of the seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) for the 16 zones (Zone 1 marked as Z-1 etc.) along the Danakil Depression axis. b) Along-axis strike-projected geodetic moment rate for each of the Zones (Z-1 to Z-16) for the Danakil Depression. c) Along-axis strike-projected median seismic moment rates for each of the Zones (Z-1 to Z-16) for the Danakil Depression with maximum and minimum values represented by error bars. d) Along-axis strike-projected locations of axial volcanic centres and magmatic segment end points. Volcanic centres marked by triangles and numbered (1 – Jallua, 2 – Alid, 3 – Dallol, 4 – Gada Ale, 5 – Alu, 6 – Dalafilla, 7 – Bora Ale, 8 – Erta Ale, 9 – Ale Bagu, 10 – Hayli Gubbi, 11 – Borawli, 12 – Tat-Ali, 13 – Afdera, 14 – Mat Ala). Erta-Ale magmatic segment end points (EA) marked by red lines, Tat-Ali magmatic end points marked by blue lines. e) Seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) along the length of the rift axis with a smoothed spline curve plotted. f) Ratio between the horizontal component of the seismic moment rate and the geodetic moment rate (S_h/G) along the length of the rift axis, with a smoothed spline curve plotted. Points represent the median S_h/G ratio (50° fault dip), with error bars representing the values calculated using a range of fault dip values (40–60°). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

yr (Table 1). The geodetic moment rate for the entire rift is 1.65×10^{18} Nm/yr, derived from the mean geodetic extension rate of 10 mm/yr (Table 1). Therefore, an S/G value of 0.43 was calculated for the entire Corinth Rift (Table 1). The horizontal seismic moment rate ranges from 1.46×10^{17} – 2.19×10^{18} Nm/yr, with a median value of 4.58×10^{17} Nm/yr (50° fault dip) (Table 1). With a geodetic moment rate of 1.65×10^{18} Nm/yr for the Corinth Rift, the median S_h/G ratio for the entire rift is calculated as 0.28 (Table 1). Seismic and geodetic moment rates were also calculated for 17 zones with dimensions of 72.5 km across axis and 16.1 km along axis (8.05 km overlap) (Fig. 9 and Table 3), which is the same ratio of width to length as the 180×40 km zones of the Danakil Depression. The geodetic rate in the Corinth Rift increases from the east to west because of the increasing extension rates, from <5 to 17 mm/yr (e.g., Avallone et al., 2004) (Figs. 2 and 9b, Table 3). At the western end of the Corinth Rift, the maximum geodetic moment rate is 3.27×10^{17} Nm/yr (Zone 1), which decreases to a value of 7.65×10^{16} Nm/yr at the eastern end of the rift (Zone 17) (Fig. 9b and Table 3). In the western and central parts of the Corinth Rift (~0–100 km; Zones 1–12) the median seismic moment rate does not exceed 6×10^{16} Nm/yr (Fig. 9c). An exception is Zones 6 and 7 (~40–60 km) in the centre of the rift, with a slightly elevated median seismic moment rate ($\sim 1.0 \times 10^{17}$ Nm/yr) (Fig. 9c and Table 3) due to the 1995 Aigion earthquake (Fig. 4). The highest seismic moment rates occur at the eastern end of the Corinth Rift, with median values reaching $\sim 1.9 \times 10^{17}$ Nm/yr for Zones 13–14 (~105–115 km) and Zone 17 (~135 km) (Fig. 9c and Table 3), as result of the 1981 earthquakes (Fig. 4).

The S/G along the Corinth Rift shows significant variation, with a ratio of ~0.1–0.15 in the west (Zones 1–5; 0–40 km) increasing to ~0.4 in the centre (Zones 5–11; 40–100 km), and is >1 for a number of zones (13–14, 16–17) in the east, with maximum S/G of ~2.2–2.4 for Zones 13–14 and 17 (~105–115, ~135 km) (Fig. 9d and Table 3). The horizontal seismic moment rates show the same along axis variation as the total seismic rates despite being lower in value (Table 3). Therefore, the along axis S_h/G ratio values in the Corinth Rift are lower than the S/G but show the same along axis pattern (Fig. 9). S_h/G ratios for the western end of the Corinth Rift (Zones 1–5; 0–40 km) are ≤ 0.1 , and the centre of the rift (Zones 5–11; 40–100 km) ranging from ~0.15–0.3 (Fig. 9e; Table 3). Despite using the horizontal component of the seismic moment rate to calculate S_h/G (reducing the seismic moment rate), the ratio is still >1 for zones 13–14 and 17 (~105–115, ~135 km) with values of ~1.4–1.6 (Fig. 9e; Table 3).

5. Discussion

Calculating the ratio between seismic moment rate and geodetic moment rate (S/G) across both the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift has produced values of 0.03 and 0.43, respectively (Table 1). With a




Fig. 9. a) Map of the seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) for the 17 zones (Zone 1 marked as Z-1 etc.) along the Corinth Rift axis. Note that the upper cut-off of the colour scheme is 1, even though Zones 13, 14, 16 and 17 have S/G values >1 . b) Along-axis strike-projected geodetic moment rates for each of the Zones (Z-1 to Z-17) for the Corinth Rift. c) Along-axis strike-projected median seismic moment rates for each of the Zones (Z-1 to Z-17) for the Corinth Rift with the minimum and maximum values represented by error bars. d) Seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) along the length of the rift axis with a smoothed spline curve plotted. e) Ratio between the horizontal component of the seismic moment rate and the geodetic moment rate (S_h/G) along the length of the rift axis, with a smoothed spline curve plotted. Points represent the median S_h/G ratio (50° fault dip), with error bars representing the values calculated using a range of fault dip values (40 – 60°).

horizontal seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S_h/G) of 0.02 for the Danakil Depression, and 0.28 for the Corinth Rift (Table 1). This shows that significantly greater amounts of seismic deformation occur in the magma-poor Corinth Rift compared with the magma-rich Danakil Depression. This suggests that brittle faulting is accommodating more extension in the Corinth Rift, whereas in the Danakil Depression aseismic deformation (such as magmatic extension) dominates, as might be expected. However, extrapolating the use of relatively short earthquake catalogues to understand rift behaviour over geological significant time periods requires careful consideration of the time and length scales of the observations. The ~ 70 -year period of the seismic catalogues is shorter than the seismic cycle of the faults in the rifts. For example, recurrence intervals of the order of ~ 200 – 600 years have been calculated for Corinth Rift border faults from paleoseismological fault trenching (e.g., Collier et al., 1998; Koukouvelas et al., 2001; McNeill et al., 2005; Pantosti et al., 2004), and therefore the catalogue may be under or over sampling the co-seismic slip compared to the long-term average patterns. However, given the length of our catalogue and that the scale of each study area includes a large number of individual faults / fault segments, it is reasonable to interpret the rift scale S/G values with some degree of confidence. S/G values for the individual zones within the rifts, which approach the length scale of single faults, should however be considered with more caution.

A major factor that must also be considered when calculating the S/G of the rifts is the seismogenic thickness value (H_s) used. We tested the sensitivity of the S/G regarding this parameter by applying a seismogenic thickness defined by the shallowest 70 % of the seismicity (instead of 90 %). This resulted in a seismogenic thickness of 6 km for the Danakil Depression and 13 km for the Corinth Rift. With these alternative seismogenic thickness values, the S/G increases to 0.07 and 0.63 for the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift, respectively. Therefore, the same pattern of a higher S/G in the magma-poor rift Corinth Rift is still observed, with a greater proportion of seismic deformation accommodating extension. However, it does highlight the importance of having an accurately constrained seismogenic depth, as it can impact the S/G ratio.

In this discussion section we mostly refer to S/G values rather than the S_h/G , to allow comparison with previous seismic/geodetic studies in both East Africa and Greece, as well as other rift settings, which have not extracted the horizontal component of the seismic moment rates. While the same spatial variations in S/G along axis for both the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift are observed in the S_h/G values, although lower in value.

5.1. Danakil Depression

Variations in the observed S/G correlate well with the changes in extensional style that occur along the length of the Danakil Depression (e.g., Bastow et al., 2018; Hurman et al., 2023; La Rosa et al., 2023). The maximum S/G ratio values of ~ 0.1 (~ 0.06 S_h/G) are observed at the northern end of the Depression (Zones 1, 5 and 6) (Fig. 8 and Table 2), suggesting this is the part of the rift with the highest contribution of seismic deformation (brittle faulting) towards extension. Sections of the Danakil Depression with reduced S/G (<0.04) coincide with the location of axial volcanic centres and magmatic segments (Fig. 7), indicating that magmatic intrusion accommodates a significant amount of extension along these parts of the rift axis. Minor increases in S/G (~ 0.04 – 0.05) in the central and southern parts are related to off-axis seismic deformation (e.g., western marginal faults and the NVC) (Hamlyn et al., 2014; Goitom et al., 2015) (Figs. 1, 5 and 7), indicating that border fault seismicity, and triggered seismicity from magmatism contribute little to total extension.

Previous studies have also identified the importance of crustal faulting in the northern part of the Danakil Depression (e.g., Bastow et al., 2018; Hurman et al., 2023), including InSAR observations which show evidence for tectonically-driven fault slip (La Rosa et al., 2023). In

Table 2

Minimum, median and maximum seismic moment rates for the 16 overlapping zones along the Danakil Depression, and median horizontal seismic moment rates for these zones. Median horizontal seismic moment rate values are calculated using a fault dip of 50°. Table contains the geodetic moment rates for the 16 overlapping zones. The median seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) is calculated using the median seismic moment rate. The median horizontal seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S_h/G) is calculated using the median horizontal seismic moment rate (50° fault dip).

Zone Number	Minimum Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Maximum Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Horizontal Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Geodetic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Seismic/Geodetic Moment Rate Ratio (S/G)	Median Horizontal Seismic/Geodetic Moment Rate Ratio (S_h/G)
1	2.83×10^{15}	1.29×10^{16}	5.88×10^{16}	8.29×10^{15}	1.35×10^{17}	0.095	0.061
2	2.72×10^{15}	1.24×10^{16}	5.69×10^{16}	7.97×10^{15}	1.91×10^{17}	0.065	0.042
3	3.48×10^{14}	1.43×10^{15}	5.88×10^{15}	9.19×10^{14}	2.44×10^{17}	0.006	0.004
4	3.46×10^{14}	1.41×10^{15}	5.71×10^{15}	9.06×10^{14}	2.78×10^{17}	0.005	0.003
5	1.59×10^{16}	2.57×10^{16}	6.91×10^{16}	1.65×10^{16}	3.15×10^{17}	0.081	0.052
6	1.88×10^{16}	3.35×10^{16}	9.83×10^{16}	2.15×10^{16}	3.61×10^{17}	0.093	0.060
7	3.08×10^{15}	8.67×10^{15}	3.26×10^{16}	5.57×10^{15}	4.07×10^{17}	0.021	0.014
8	1.32×10^{15}	6.15×10^{15}	2.87×10^{16}	3.95×10^{15}	4.52×10^{17}	0.014	0.009
9	8.93×10^{15}	2.20×10^{16}	8.45×10^{16}	1.41×10^{16}	4.97×10^{17}	0.044	0.028
10	7.96×10^{15}	1.73×10^{16}	6.21×10^{16}	1.11×10^{16}	5.42×10^{17}	0.032	0.021
11	1.62×10^{15}	7.04×10^{15}	3.07×10^{16}	4.53×10^{15}	5.86×10^{17}	0.012	0.008
12	5.63×10^{15}	1.55×10^{16}	5.88×10^{16}	9.96×10^{15}	6.31×10^{17}	0.025	0.016
13	4.48×10^{15}	1.04×10^{16}	3.68×10^{16}	6.68×10^{15}	6.56×10^{17}	0.016	0.010
14	7.20×10^{15}	3.26×10^{16}	1.49×10^{17}	2.10×10^{16}	6.84×10^{17}	0.048	0.031
15	3.60×10^{15}	$1.6E \times 10^{16}$	7.18×10^{16}	1.03×10^{16}	6.97×10^{17}	0.023	0.015
16	2.79×10^{14}	1.12×10^{15}	4.53×10^{15}	7.20×10^{14}	7.10×10^{17}	0.002	0.001

Table 3

Minimum, median and maximum seismic moment rates for the 17 overlapping zones along the Corinth Rift, and median horizontal seismic moment rates for these zones. Median horizontal seismic moment rate values are calculated using a fault dip of 50°. Table contains the geodetic moment rates for the 16 overlapping zones. The median seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) is calculated using the median seismic moment rate. The median horizontal seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S_h/G) is calculated using the median horizontal seismic moment rate (50° fault dip).

Zone Number	Minimum Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Maximum Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Median Horizontal Seismic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Geodetic Moment Rate (Nm/yr)	Seismic/Geodetic Moment Rate Ratio (S/G)	Median Horizontal Seismic/Geodetic Moment Rate Ratio (S_h/G)
1	1.25×10^{16}	3.89×10^{16}	1.54×10^{17}	2.50×10^{16}	3.27×10^{17}	0.119	0.076
2	1.19×10^{16}	3.32×10^{16}	1.25×10^{17}	2.13×10^{16}	3.15×10^{17}	0.106	0.068
3	1.78×10^{16}	4.61×10^{16}	1.70×10^{17}	2.96×10^{16}	3.07×10^{17}	0.150	0.097
4	1.97×10^{16}	4.60×10^{16}	1.59×10^{17}	2.96×10^{16}	2.95×10^{17}	0.156	0.100
5	9.24×10^{15}	2.68×10^{16}	1.02×10^{17}	1.72×10^{16}	2.82×10^{17}	0.095	0.061
6	9.19×10^{16}	1.05×10^{17}	1.61×10^{17}	6.75×10^{16}	2.63×10^{17}	0.399	0.257
7	9.10×10^{16}	1.01×10^{17}	1.45×10^{17}	6.49×10^{16}	2.37×10^{17}	0.426	0.274
8	2.63×10^{16}	4.92×10^{16}	1.59×10^{17}	3.16×10^{16}	2.11×10^{17}	0.233	0.150
9	2.52×10^{16}	4.40×10^{16}	1.35×10^{17}	2.83×10^{16}	1.83×10^{17}	0.240	0.155
10	4.61×10^{16}	5.56×10^{16}	9.76×10^{16}	3.57×10^{16}	1.53×10^{17}	0.364	0.234
11	4.63×10^{16}	5.63×10^{16}	1.00×10^{17}	3.62×10^{16}	1.22×10^{17}	0.460	0.297
12	3.83×10^{15}	1.19×10^{16}	4.63×10^{16}	7.65×10^{15}	9.19×10^{16}	0.129	0.083
13	3.69×10^{16}	1.97×10^{17}	1.09×10^{18}	1.27×10^{17}	8.87×10^{16}	2.220	1.428
14	3.47×10^{16}	1.92×10^{17}	1.07×10^{18}	1.23×10^{17}	8.57×10^{16}	2.241	1.440
15	7.17×10^{15}	1.26×10^{16}	3.52×10^{16}	8.10×10^{15}	8.26×10^{16}	0.153	0.098
16	2.35×10^{16}	9.79×10^{16}	4.83×10^{17}	6.29×10^{16}	7.96×10^{16}	1.230	0.791
17	4.67×10^{16}	1.89×10^{17}	9.33×10^{17}	1.21×10^{17}	7.65×10^{16}	2.470	1.588

the Dallol area of Northern Afar, it has been calculated that axial faulting has accommodated ~30 % of extension in the last ~100 kyr (Hurman et al., 2023). This study indicates that in the shorter-term (last ~70 years) at least ~10 % ($S/G = \sim 0.1$) of the geodetic extension is accommodated by seismic deformation (Fig. 8 and Table 2).

Other than the issues considered at the start of the discussion relating to the use of an earthquake catalogue with length shorter than the seismic cycle, the seismogenic thickness value may be the potential reason for the discrepancy between short and longer term measurement of the contribution to extension from faulting. Estimates for the effective elastic plate thickness in the Danakil Depression from modelling gravity and topography data gives T_e of ~6 km (Hayward and Ebinger, 1996), lower than the 15 km thickness used in this study based on seismicity depths (Fig. 5). This means that 10 % extension being accommodated by seismic deformation in the north of the Danakil Depression is potentially an underestimation, since using a thinner elastic layer will yield lower geodetic moment rates. Using the lower elastic thickness (6 km) yields an S/G value for the Dallol area (Zone 6) of 0.23 which better matches the 30 % longer term contribution from faulting.

5.2. Corinth Rift

The Corinth Rift is an area of high seismic activity with a number of large faults accommodating extension across a narrow deformation zone. Therefore, the low S/G values of <0.5 ($<0.3 S_h/G$) in the western and central regions (Zones 1–12) of the Corinth Rift are surprising (Fig. 9 and Table 3), with seismic deformation expected to be the dominant mechanism accommodating extension. Chousianitis et al. (2015) also observed this discrepancy between the seismic moment and geodetic moment rates for the western versus the eastern region of the Corinth Rift. Their study divided the rift into eastern and western study areas, with the western area equivalent to our Zones 1–7, and eastern area equivalent to our Zones 7–16 (Fig. 9) (cutting off Zone 17), with geodetic to seismic moment rate ratios (G/S) of 3.19 (equivalent S/G value is 0.31) for the west and 2.48 (equivalent S/G value is 0.4) for the east respectively (Chousianitis et al., 2015). Their eastern study area does not include all 3 of the main 1981 earthquakes that occurred around the Alkyonides Gulf (Chousianitis et al., 2015) (Fig. 4), which is the likely reason, alongside the lower geodetic rates here, for the large

discrepancy between the seismic and geodetic moment rates in the east. The low S/G ratio we observe at the western end of the rift does appear to be an accurate representation of the deformation pattern however, as a similar S/G ratio was calculated for both studies despite Chousianitis et al. (2015) using a more localised seismic catalogue (SHARE European Earthquake Catalogue) and a different seismogenic thickness (15 km). The significant microseismicity in the western Corinth Rift (e.g., Duverger et al., 2018; Lambotte et al., 2014; Papadimitrou et al., 2022), which is not included in our catalogue due to them being below the cut-off magnitude, can only account for ~10 % of the discrepancy between the seismic moment and geodetic moment rates, thus there would still be a shortfall in the amount of extension. Therefore, there is also likely a significant contribution from aseismic deformation to explain the S/G values observed, perhaps due to long-term aseismic deformation or creep. This aseismic deformation or creep could occur along a low angle detachment which has been proposed at depth in the western rift (e.g., Bernard et al., 2006; Chousianitis et al., 2015; Duverger et al., 2018), although the presence of this structure is debated (e.g., Bell et al., 2018) and may be immature (Lambotte et al., 2014). Regional studies across the eastern Mediterranean have also calculated an intermediate (0.25–0.5) S/G ratio for the Corinth Rift (e.g., Sparacino et al., 2022) aligning with our 0.43 S/G across the overall rift (Table 1), further supporting a significant component of aseismic deformation occurring or catalogue incompleteness. In summary, the S/G values of the magma-poor Corinth Rift are still higher than those calculated for the magma-rich Danakil Depression, in spite of components of aseismic deformation, and appear to be representative of the style of deformation.

5.3. Magma-rich vs magma-poor rift deformation

The Corinth Rift has consistently higher S/G values than the Danakil Depression (Figs. 8, 9; Tables 2 and 3). This is consistent with the magma-poor nature of the Corinth Rift, with seismic deformation associated with brittle faulting dominating extension. For the Danakil Depression, the majority of deformation is aseismic in nature with magmatism interpreted to accommodate the majority of extension along axial magmatic segments. Although, the Corinth Rift has a far higher S/G than the Danakil Depression (Table 1), the S/G of the northern Danakil Depression and the western area of the Corinth Rift (~0.1–0.15) are similar (Figs. 8, 9; Tables 2 and 3), highlighting that brittle faulting is significant in parts of the Danakil Depression and that locally, faulting potentially accommodates a similar proportion of deformation in limited parts of the two rifts.

The comparative ages of the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift, as well as their current stages of rift evolution, should be considered when discussing their differences in the contribution of seismic and aseismic mechanisms to extension. Rifting in the Danakil Depression is thought to have initiated ~11 Ma as suggested by plate kinematic models of the Danakil Block rotation (Eagles et al., 2002; McClusky et al., 2010). This age is broadly consistent with the <8.5 Ma age of the *syn*-rift Red Bed sedimentary series, indicative of rift related subsidence (Brinckmann and Kürsten, 1971; Le Gall et al., 2018). The Corinth Rift started forming ~4–5 Ma (Bell et al., 2018; Gawthorpe et al., 2017), and therefore the two rifts are not drastically dissimilar in age. We do not therefore interpret rift age to be a primary control on the differences in rifting style in the Danakil Depression and Corinth. Instead, the thermal state of the mantle (hot beneath Afar and normal beneath Corinth) likely results in magma-rich and magma-poor extension throughout their respective rift histories.

The two rifts show slight differences in earthquake distributions with depth. The majority of earthquakes in the Danakil Depression occur in the upper 15 km (Fig. 5a–b), whereas in the Corinth Rift the majority of events occur above ~20 km (Fig. 5c–d). This corresponds to the differences in upper crustal thickness and thermal structure in each rift, with thinner crust and higher heat flow due to increased thinning and the presence of magmatism in Danakil, resulting in thinner elastic plate

thickness. Seismic and geodetic moment rates have been calculated south of Afar along other segments of the East African Rift System (EARS), with variations in S/G linked to the thermal structure of the lithosphere associated with the different stages of rift evolution (Déprez et al., 2013). Higher S/G values are calculated for the more immature rift segments towards the southern end of the EARS, such as the Western (~0.6–1.25) and Eastern (~0.1–0.35) branches of the Tanzanian Craton and the Malawi Rift (~0.1–0.25) (Déprez et al., 2013). Whereas, further north along the more mature Main Ethiopian Rift (MER), the S/G decreases to ≤0.04, attributed to increased magmatism (Déprez et al., 2013). The S/G we calculate for the Danakil Depression is similar to that of the MER (0.03) despite the differences in extension rate. These low S/G values therefore potentially represent typical values for magma-rich end member rifts.

Sawires et al. (2021) analysed the S/G across Egypt, including the northern Red Sea Rift, which is undergoing the final stages of continental break-up, with full seafloor spreading occurring in the southern Red Sea (e.g., Ehrhardt and Hübscher, 2015). The S/G for the northern Red Sea are <0.04 (Sawires et al., 2021), the same as the Danakil Depression (0.03) (Table 1), suggesting the two settings are at similar stages in maturity with dominantly aseismic extension occurring, likely through magmatic intrusion.

Other studies investigating the coupling between seismic and geodetic moment rates in extensional settings have also identified significant variations in S/G, implying the relative contribution of seismic deformation towards extension can vary within a single rift setting. For example, across the Southern Apennines, calculated S/G values vary considerably from <0.2 to >0.8 (Palano et al., 2011).

Overall, our study directly demonstrates that seismic deformation contributes more to extension at magma-poor rifts, compared with magma-rich rifts, with aseismic magmatic intrusion likely accommodating the majority of extension in late-stage magma-rich rifts. However, even in extensional settings where magma is absent, a significant proportion of extension may still be aseismic.

6. Conclusions

We calculated the seismic and geodetic seismic moment rates using the global NEIC catalogue and local GNSS data for both the magma-poor Corinth Rift and magma-rich Danakil Depression. Using determined moment rates we calculated the seismic/geodetic moment rate ratios (S/G) for the entire rifts and for overlapping zones along each rift to quantify the proportion of extension that is accommodated by seismic deformation. We find:

- The seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S/G) is greater at the Corinth Rift (0.43) compared with the Danakil Depression (0.03), indicating that greater amounts of extension are being accommodated by faulting in the Corinth Rift. This is consistent with the hypothesis that in magma-poor rifts, a greater proportion of deformation is seismic (brittle faulting), while in magma-rich rifts aseismic magmatic intrusion is dominant.
- Extracting the horizontal component of the seismic moment rates, which improves accuracy of comparison with the geodetic moment rates, produces values for the horizontal seismic/geodetic moment rate ratio (S_h/G) of 0.02 for the Danakil Depression and 0.28 for the Corinth Rift.
- Along rift variations in the S/G values in the Danakil Depression are consistent with geological indicators of the varying contribution of faulting along the rift, e.g., axial grabens and magmatic segments. In the north, a relatively high S/G of ~0.1 (~0.06 S_h/G), and likely an underestimation, indicates that brittle deformation accommodates a significant amount of extension in this region despite being a magma-rich rift in the final stages of break-up. In contrast, in the centre of the Danakil Depression, where the magmatic segments are located, the S/G is <0.05 (<0.03 S_h/G).

- There is significant discrepancy between the geodetic and seismic moment rates in the west of the Corinth Rift, with $S/G \sim 0.1\text{--}0.15$ ($\sim 0.07\text{--}0.1 S_h/G$), and this likely cannot be fully accounted for by earthquake events missing from the seismicity catalogue. Therefore, this may be an indication of aseismic deformation occurring in the west of the Corinth Rift, potentially along a previously postulated immature low-angle detachment at depth.
- S/G values in the north of the Danakil Depression and the west of the Corinth Rift are similar ($\sim 0.1\text{--}0.15$), therefore despite being magma-rich/magma-poor rift end members, regions within each of the rifts have similar amounts of seismic deformation (i.e., brittle faulting).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Gareth L. Hurman: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Methodology, Investigation. **Derek Keir:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Methodology, Conceptualization. **Lisa C. McNeill:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization. **Carolina Pagli:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. **Jonathan M. Bull:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization. **Georgios Michas:** Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

GH is supported by the Natural Environmental Research Council (NERC) through NERC Grant NE/S00721/1. CP and DK are partly supported by the Italian Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MIUR) through PRIN Grant 2017P9AT72. CP is partially funded by Space It Up project funded by ASI and the MIUR under contract n.2024-5-E.O CUP n.153D2400006005. Many thanks to the two anonymous reviewers and editors for their constructive feedback.

Data availability

The National Earthquake Information Centre (NEIC) catalogue for the Danakil Depression and Corinth Rift was sourced from <https://earthquake.usgs.gov/> and last accessed on 20/11/2023. The local seismicity catalogues for the Danakil Depression were sourced from the studies of Belachew et al. (2011) and Illsley-Kemp et al. (2018), with the catalogues available in an Open Access Publication (Zwaan et al., 2020a) available at <https://doi.org/10.5880/fidgeo.2020.017>. The local seismicity catalogue for the Corinth Rift was sourced from Hellenic Unified Seismic Network (HUSN) and available at <https://bbnet.gein.noa.gr/HL/databases/database> and was last accessed on 30/06/2023. GNSS data from the Afar region is attributed to Viltres et al. (2020). GNSS data for the Corinth Rift is from Avallone et al. (2004).

References

- Ahmed, A., Doubre, C., Leroy, S., Keir, D., Pagli, C., Hammond, J.O.S., Ayele, A., de Berc, M.B., Grunberg, M., Vergne, J., Pestourie, R., Mamo, D., Kibret, B., Cubas, N., Lavyssière, A., Janowski, M., Lengliné, O., La Rosa, A., Chambers, E.L., Illsley-Kemp, F., 2022. Across and along-strike crustal structure variations of the Western Afar margin and adjacent plateau: Insights from receiver functions analysis. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 192, 104570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2022.104570>.
- Anderson, A.M., 1951. *The Dynamics of Faulting and Dike Formation with Application to Britain*, 2nd ed. Oliver and Boyd.
- Armijo, R., Meyer, B., King, G.C.P., Rigo, A., Papanastassiou, D., 1996. Quaternary evolution of the Corinth Rift and its implications for the late Cenozoic evolution of the Aegean. *Geophys. J. Int.* 126 (1), 11–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb05264.x>.

- Armijo, R., Meyer, B., Hubert, A., Barka, A., 1999. Westward propagation of the North Anatolian fault into the northern Aegean: timing and kinematics. *Geology* 27 (3), 267–270. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1999\)027<0267:WPOTNA>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1999)027<0267:WPOTNA>2.3.CO;2).
- Avallone, A., Briole, P., Agatza-Balodimou, A.M., Billiris, H., Charade, O., Mitsakaki, C., Nercessian, A., Papazissi, L., Paradissis, D., Veis, G., 2004. Analysis of eleven years of deformation measured by GPS in the Corinth Rift Laboratory area. *Compt. Rendus Geosci.* 336, 301–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2003.12.007>.
- Ayele, A., Stuart, G., Bastow, I., Keir, D., 2007. The August 2002 earthquake sequence in North Afar: Insights into the neotectonics of the Danakil microplate. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.* 48, 70–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2006.06.011>.
- Barberi, F., Varet, J., 1970. The Erta-Ale volcanic range (Danakil Depression, northern Afar, Ethiopia). *Bull. Volcanol.* 34 (4), 848–917. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02596805>.
- Bastow, I.D., Booth, A.D., Corti, G., Keir, D., Magee, C., Jackson, C.A.-L., Warren, J., Wilkinson, J., Lascialfari, M., 2018. The development of late-stage continental breakup: Seismic reflection and borehole evidence from the Danakil Depression, Ethiopia. *Tectonics* 37 (9), 2848–2862. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017TC004798>.
- Belachew, M., Ebinger, C., Coté, D., Keir, D., Rowland, J.V., Hammond, J.O.S., Ayele, A., 2011. Comparison of dike intrusions in an incipient seafloor-spreading segment in Afar, Ethiopia: Seismicity perspectives. *J. Geophys. Res.* 116 (B6), B06405. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JB007908>.
- Bell, R.E., McNeill, L.C., Bull, J.M., Henstock, T., Collier, R.E.L., Leeder, M.R., 2009. Fault architecture, basin structure and evolution of the Gulf of Corinth Rift, Central Greece. *Basin Res.* 21, 824–855. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2009.00401.x>.
- Bell, R.E., McNeill, L.C., Henstock, T., Bull, J.M., 2011. Comparing extension on multiple time and depth scales in the Corinth Rift, Central Greece. *Geophys. J. Int.* 186, 463–470. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05077.x>.
- Bell, R.E., Duclaux, G., Nixon, C.W., Gawthorpe, R.L., McNeill, L.C., 2018. High angle, not low-angle, normal faults dominate early rift extension in the Corinth Rift, Central Greece. *Geology* 46, 115–118. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G39560.1>.
- Bernard, P., Briole, P., Meyer, B., Lyon-Caen, H., Gomez, J.-M., Tiberi, C., Berge, C., Cattin, R., Hatzfeld, D., Lachet, C., Lebrun, B., Deschamps, A., Courboux, F., Larroque, C., Rigo, A., Massonnet, D., Papadimitriou, P., Kassaras, J., Diagourtas, D., Makropoulos, K., Veis, G., Papazisi, E., Mitsakaki, C., Karakostas, V., Papadimitriou, E., Papanastassiou, D., Chouliaras, M., Stavrakakis, G., 1997. The Ms = 6.2, June 15 1995 Aigion earthquake (Greece): evidence for low angle normal faulting in the Corinth Rift. *J. Seismol.* 1 (2), 131–150. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009795618839>.
- Bernard, P., Lyon-Caen, H., Briole, P., Deschamps, A., Boudin, F., Makropoulos, K., Papadimitriou, P., Lemeille, F., Patau, F., Billiris, H., Paradissis, D., Papazissi, K., Castarède, H., Charade, O., Nercessian, A., Avallone, A., Pacchiani, F., Zahradnik, J., Sacks, S., Linde, A., 2006. Seismicity, deformation and seismic hazard in the western rift of Corinth: new insights from the Corinth Rift Laboratory (CRL). *Tectonophysics* 426 (1–2), 7–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2006.02.012>.
- Brinckmann, J., Kürsten, M., 1971. *Stratigraphie und Tektonik der Danakil-Senk (NE-Ätiopien)*. *Beihfte Geol. Jahrb.* 116, 5–87.
- Briole, P., Rigo, A., Lyon-Caen, H., Ruegg, J.C., Papazissi, K., Mitsakaki, C., Balodimou, A., Veis, G., Hatzfeld, D., Deschamps, A., 2000. Active deformation of the Corinth rift, Greece: results from repeated Global Positioning System surveys between 1990 and 1996. *J. Geophys. Res.* 105 (B11), 25605–25625. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900148>.
- Buck, W., 2006. The role of magma in the development of the Afro-Arabian Rift System. In: Yirgu, G., Ebinger, C.J., Maguire, P.K.H. (Eds.), *The Afar Volcanic Province within the East African Rift System*, vol. 259. Geological Society of London, Special Publication, pp. 43–54. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.259.01.05>.
- Chousianitis, K., Ganas, A., Evangelidis, C.P., 2015. Strain and rotation rate patterns of mainland Greece from continuous GPS data and comparison between seismic and geodetic moment release. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 120, 3909–3931. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011762>.
- Clarke, P.J., Davies, R.R., England, P.C., Parsons, B.E., Billiris, H., Paradissis, D., Veis, G., Denys, P.H., Cross, P.A., Ashkenazi, V., Bingley, R., 1997. Geodetic estimate of seismic hazard in the Gulf of Korinthos. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 24, 1303–1306. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL01042>.
- Clarke, P.J., Davies, R.R., England, P.C., Parsons, B., Billiris, H., Paradissis, D., Veis, G., Cross, P.A., Denys, P.H., Ashkenazi, V., Bingley, R., Kahle, H.G., Muller, M.V., Briole, P., 1998. Crustal strain in Central Greece from repeated GPS measurements in the interval 1989–1997. *Geophys. J. Int.* 135, 195–214. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.00633.x>.
- De Barros, L., Cappa, F., Deschamps, A., Dublanche, P., 2020. Imbricated aseismic slip and fluid diffusion drive a seismic swarm in the Corinth Gulf, Greece. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 47, e2020GL087142. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087142>.
- De Martini, P.M., Pantosti, D., Palyvos, N., Lemeille, F., McNeill, L.C., Collier, R., 2004. Slip rates of the Aigion and Eliki Faults from uplifted marine terraces, Corinth Gulf, Greece. *Tectonics* 336, 325–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2003.12.006>.
- Déprez, A., Doubre, C., Masson, F., Ulrich, P., 2013. Seismic and aseismic deformation along the East African Rift System from a reanalysis of the GPS velocity field of Africa. *Geophys. J. Int.* 193, 153–169. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggt085>.
- Doutsos, T., Kontopoulos, N., Poulimenos, G., 1988. The Corinth-Patras rift as the initial stage of continental fragmentation behind an active island arc (Greece). *Basin Res.* 1 (3), 177–190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.1988.tb00014.x>.
- Duverger, C., Godano, M., Bernard, P., Lyon-Caen, H., Lambotte, S., 2015. The 2003–2004 seismic swarm in the western Corinth rift: evidence for a multiscale pore pressure diffusion process along a permeable fault system. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 7374–7382. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065298>.

- Duverger, C., Lambotte, S., Bernard, P., Lyon-Caen, H., Deschamps, A., Nercissian, A., 2018. Dynamics of micro-seismicity and its relationship with the active structures in the western Corinth Rift (Greece). *Geophys. J. Int.* 215 (1), 196–221. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy264>.
- Eagles, G., Gloaguen, R., Ebinger, C., 2002. Kinematics of the Danakil microplate. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 203 (2), 607–620. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(02\)00916-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00916-0).
- Ebinger, C.J., Oliva, S.J., Pham, T.Q., Peterson, K., Chindandali, P., Illsley-Kemp, F., Drooff, C., Shillington, D.J., Accardo, N.J., Gallacher, R.J., Gaherty, J., Nyblade, A. A., Mulibo, G., 2019. Kinematics of active deformation in the Malawi Rift and Rungwe Volcanic Province, Africa. *Geochim. Geophys. Geosyst.* 20, 3928–3951. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008354>.
- Ehrhardt, A., Hübner, C., 2015. The Northern Red Sea in transition from rifting to drifting—lessons learned from ocean deeps. In: Rasul, N.M.A., Stewart, I.C.F. (Eds.), *The Red Sea: The Formation, Morphology, Oceanography and Environment of a Young Ocean Basin*. Springer Earth System Sciences, Heidelberg, pp. 99–121. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45201-1_5.
- Franke, D., 2013. Rifting, lithosphere breakup and volcanism: Comparison of magma-poor and volcanic rifted margins. *Mar. Pet. Geol.* 43, 63–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2012.11.003>.
- Gawthorpe, R., Leeder, M.R., Kranis, H., Skourtsos, E., Andrews, J.E., Henstra, G.A., Mack, G.H., Muravchik, M., Turner, J.A., Stamatakis, M., 2017. Tectono-sedimentary evolution of the Plio-Pleistocene Corinth rift, Greece. *Basin Res.* 30 (3), 448–479. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bre.12260>.
- Goitom, B., Oppenheimer, C., Hammond, J.O.S., Grandin, R., Barnie, T., Donovan, A., Ogubazghi, G., Yohannes, E., Kibrom, G., Kendall, J.M., Carn, S.A., Free, D., Sealing, C., Keir, D., Ayele, A., Blundy, J., Hamlyn, J., Wright, T., Berhe, S., 2015. First recorded eruption of Nabro volcano, Eritrea, 2011. *Bull. Volcanol.* 77 (10), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-015-0966-3>.
- Hamlyn, J.E., Keir, D., Wright, T.J., Neuberg, J.W., Goitom, B., Hammond, J.O.S., Pagli, C., Oppenheimer, C., Kendall, J.M., Grandin, R., 2014. Seismicity and subsidence following the 2011 Nabro eruption, Eritrea: Insights into the plumbing system of an off-rift volcano. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 119, 8267–8282. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011395>.
- Hammond, J.O.S., Kendall, J.-M., Stuart, G.W., Keir, D., Ebinger, C., Ayele, A., Belachew, M., 2011. The nature of the crust beneath the Afar triple junction: evidence from receiver functions. *Geochim. Geophys. Geosyst.* 12 (12). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GC003738>.
- Hatzfeld, D., Kementzetzidou, D., Karakostas, V., Ziazia, M., Notherd, S., Diagourtas, D., Deschamps, A., Karakaisis, G., Papadimitriou, P., Scordilis, M., Smith, R., Voulgaris, N., Kiratzi, S., Makropoulos, K., Bouin, M.P., Bernard, P., 1996. The Galaxidi earthquake of 18 November 1992: a possible asperity within the normal fault system of the Gulf of Corinth (Greece). *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 86 (6), 1987–1991. <https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0860061987>.
- Hayward, N.J., Ebinger, C.J., 1996. Variations in the along-axis segmentation of the Afar Rift system. *Tectonics* 15 (2), 244–257. <https://doi.org/10.1029/95TC02292>.
- Hofmann, C., Courtillot, V., Féraud, G., Rochette, P., Yirgu, G., Ketefo, E., Pik, R., 1997. Timing of the Ethiopian flood basalt event and implications for plume birth and global change. *Nature* 389 (6653), 838–841. <https://doi.org/10.1038/39853>.
- Hurman, G.L., Keir, D., Bull, J.M., McNeill, L.C., Booth, A.D., Bastow, I.D., 2023. Quantitative analysis of faulting in the Danakil Depression Rift of Afar: the importance of faulting in the final stages of magma-rich rifting. *Tectonics* 42 (6), e2022TC007607. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022TC007607>.
- Illsley-Kemp, F., Keir, D., Bull, J., Gernon, T., Ebinger, C., Ayele, A., Hammond, J.O.S., Kendall, J.M., Goitom, B., Belachew, M., 2018. Seismicity during continental breakup in the Red Sea rift of Northern Afar. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 123 (3), 2345–2362. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JB014902>.
- Jackson, J.A., Gagnepain, J., Houseman, G., King, G.C.P., Papadimitriou, P., Soufleris, C., Virieux, J., 1982. Seismicity, normal faulting, and the geomorphological development of the Gulf of Corinth (Greece): the Corinth earthquakes of February & March 1981. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 57, 377–397. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X\(82\)90158-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(82)90158-3).
- Jenny, S., Goes, S., Giardini, D., Kahle, H.G., 2004. Earthquake recurrence parameters from seismic and geodetic strain rates in eastern Mediterranean. *Geophys. J. Int.* 157, 1331–1347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02261.x>.
- Jolivet, L., Daniel, J.M., Truffert, C., Goffe, B., 1994. Exhumation of deep crustal metamorphic rocks and crustal extension in arc and back-arc regions. *Lithos* 33 (1–3), 3–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-4937\(94\)90051-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-4937(94)90051-5).
- Kanamori, H., 1977. The energy release in great earthquakes. *J. Geophys. Res.* 82, 1981–1987. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB082i020p02981>.
- Kapetanidis, V., Deschamps, A., Papadimitriou, P., Matrullo, E., Karakonstantis, A., Bozionelos, G., Kaviris, G., Serpetsidaki, A., Lyon-Caen, H., Voulgaris, N., Bernard, P., Sokos, E., Makropoulos, K., 2015. The 2013 earthquake swarm in Helike, Greece: seismic activity at the root of old normal faults. *Geophys. J. Int.* 202 (3), 2044–2073. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggv249>.
- Kapetanidis, V., Michas, G., Kaviris, G., Vallianatos, F., 2021. Spatiotemporal Properties of Seismicity and Variations of Shear-Wave Splitting Parameters in the Western Gulf of Corinth (Greece). *Appl. Sci.* 11 (14), 6573. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11146573>.
- Kaviris, G., Spingos, I., Kapetanidis, V., Papadimitriou, P., Voulgaris, N., Makropoulos, K., 2017. Upper crust seismic anisotropy study and temporal variations of shear-wave splitting parameters in the western Gulf of Corinth (Greece) during 2013. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 269, 148–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2017.06.006>.
- Kaviris, G., Elias, P., Kapetanidis, V., Serpetsidaki, A., Karakonstantis, A., Vladimír Plicka, V., De Barros, L., Sokos, E., Kassaras, I., Sakkas, V., Spingos, I., Lambotte, S., Duverger, C., Lengliné, O., Evangelidis, C.P., Fountoulakis, I., Ktenidou, O.J., Gallovič, F., Buffé, S., Klein, E., Aissaoui, E.M., Scotti, O., Lyon-Caen, H., Rigo, A., Papadimitriou, P., Voulgaris, N., Zahradník, J., Deschamps, A., Briole, P., Bernard, P., 2021. The Western Gulf of Corinth (Greece) 2002–2021 Seismic Crisis and Cascading events: first results from the Corinth Rift Laboratory Network. *Seismic Record* 1 (2), 85–95. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0320210021>.
- Keir, D., Bastow, I.D., Pagli, C., Chambers, E.L., 2013. The development of extension and magmatism in the Red Sea rift of Afar. *Tectonophysics* 607, 98–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2012.10.015>.
- Keir, D., Bastow, I.D., Corti, G., Mazzarini, F., Rooney, T.O., 2015. The origin of along-rift variations in faulting and magmatism in the Ethiopian Rift. *Tectonics* 34 (3), 464–477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014TC003698>.
- Kostrov, B., 1974. Seismic moment and energy of earthquakes and seismic flow of rock. *Izvestiya, Academy of Sciences. USSR Phys. Solid Earth* 1, 23–40.
- Koukouvelas, I.K., Stamatopoulos, L., Katsonopoulou, D., Pavlides, S., 2001. A palaeoseismological and geochronological investigation of the Elikli fault, Gulf of Corinth, Greece. *J. Struct. Geol.* 23, 531–543. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(00\)00124-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(00)00124-3).
- La Rosa, A., Pagli, C., Wang, H., Doubré, C., Leroy, S., Sani, F., Corti, G., Ayele, A., Keir, D., 2021a. Plate-boundary kinematics of the Afrera linkage zone (Afar) from InSAR and seismicity. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 126, e2020JB021387. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JB021387>.
- La Rosa, A., Keir, D., Doubré, C., Sani, F., Corti, G., Leroy, S., Ayele, A., Pagli, C., 2021b. Lower crustal earthquakes in the March 2018 sequence along the Western Margin of Afar. *Geochim. Geophys. Geosyst.* 22, e2020GC009614. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GC009614>.
- La Rosa, A., Raggiunti, M., Pagli, C., Keir, D., Wang, H., Ayele, A., 2023. Extensional Earthquakes in the absence of Magma in Northern Afar: insights from InSAR. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 50, e2023GL102826. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL102826>.
- Lambotte, S., Lyon-Caen, H., Bernard, P., Deschamps, A., Patau, G., Nercissian, A., Pacchiani, F., Bourouis, S., Drilleau, M., Adamova, P., 2014. Reassessment of the rifting process in the Western Corinth Rift from relocated seismicity. *Geophys. J. Int.* 197 (3), 1822–1844. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggu096>.
- Lavayssière, A., Drooff, C., Ebinger, C., Gallacher, R., Illsley-Kemp, F., Oliva, S.J., Keir, D., 2019. Depth Extent and Kinematics of Faulting in the Southern Tanganyika Rift, Africa. *Tectonics* 38, 842–862. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005379>.
- Lavier, L.L., Manatschal, G., 2006. A mechanism to thin the continental lithosphere at magma-poor margins. *Nature* 440 (7082), 324–328. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04608>.
- Le Gall, B., Leleu, S., Pik, R., Jourdan, F., Chazot, G., Ayalew, D., Yirgu, G., Cloquet, C., Chauvet, F., 2018. The Red Beds Series in the Erta Ale segment, North Afar. Evidence for a 6 Ma-old post-rift basin prior to continental rupturing. *Tectonophysics* 747–748, 373–389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.10.000>.
- Le Pourhiet, L., Burrov, E., Moretti, I., 2003. Initial crustal thickness geometry controls on the extension in a back arc domain: Case of the Gulf of Corinth. *Tectonics* 22 (4), 1032. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002TC001433>.
- Makris, J., Ginzburg, A., 1987. The Afar depression: transition between continental rifting and sea-floor spreading. *Tectonophysics* 141 (1–3), 199–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951\(87\)90186-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90186-7).
- Manighetti, I., Tapponnier, P., Courtillot, V., Gallet, Y., Jacques, E., Gillot, P.Y., 2001. Strain transfer between disconnected, propagating rifts in Afar. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 106 (B7), 13613–13665. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900454>.
- McClusky, S., Balassanian, S., Barka, A., Demir, C., Ergintav, S., Georgiev, I., Gurkan, O., Hamburger, M., Hurst, K., Kahle, H., Kastens, K., Kekelidze, G., King, R., Kotzev, V., Lenk, O., Mahmoud, S., Mishin, A., Nadariya, M., Ouzounis, A., Paradissis, D., Peter, Y., Prilepin, M., Reilinger, R., Sanli, I., Seeger, H., Tealeb, A., Toksoz, M.N., Veis, G., 2000. Global Positioning System constraints on plate kinematics and dynamics in the eastern Mediterranean and Caucasus. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 105 (B3), 5695–5719. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JB900351>.
- McClusky, S., Reilinger, R., Ogubazghi, G., Amleson, A., Heale, B., Vernant, P., Sholan, J., Fisseha, S., Asfaw, L., Bendick, R., Kogan, L., 2010. Kinematics of the southern Red Sea–Afar Triple Junction and implications for plate dynamics. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37 (5). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL041127>.
- McKenzie, D., Davies, D., Molnar, P., 1970. Plate tectonics of the Red Sea and East Africa. *Nature* 226 (5242), 243–248. <https://doi.org/10.1038/226243a0>.
- McNeill, L.C., Collier, R.E.L., 2004. Uplift and slip rates of the eastern Elikli fault segment, Gulf of Corinth, Greece, inferred from Holocene and Pleistocene terraces. *J. Geol. Soc. Lond.* 161 (1), 81–92. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0016-764903-029>.
- McNeill, L.C., Collier, R.E., De Martini, P.M., Pantosti, D., D'Addezio, G., 2005. Recent history of the Eastern Elikli Fault, Gulf of Corinth: geomorphology, palaeoseismology and impact on palaeoenvironments. *Geophys. J. Int.* 161, 154–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2005.02559.x>.
- McNeill, L.C., Shillington, D.J., Carter, G.D.O., Everest, J.D., Gawthorpe, R.L., Miller, C., Phillips, M.P., Collier, R.E., Cvetkoska, A., De Gelder, G., Diz, P., Doan, M.-L., Ford, M., Geraga, M., Gillespie, J., Hemelsdaël, R., Herrero-Bervera, E., Ismael, M., Janikian, L., Kouli, K., Le Ber, E., Li, S., Maffione, M., Mahoney, C., Machlus, M.L., Michas, G., Nixon, C.W., Oflaz, S.A., Omale, A.P., Panagiotopoulos, K., Pechlivanidou, S., Sauer, S., Seguin, J., Sergius, S., Zakharova, N.V., Green, S., 2019a. High-resolution record reveals climate-driven environmental and sedimentary changes in an active rift. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 3116. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40022-w>.
- McNeill, L.C., Shillington, D.J., Carter, G.D.O., the Expedition 381 Participants, 2019b. Corinth Active Rift Development. Proceedings of the International Ocean Discovery Program, 381. International Ocean Discovery Program, College Station, TX. <https://doi.org/10.14379/iodp.proc.381.2019>.

- Mesimeri, M., Karakostas, V., Papadimitriou, E., Schaff, D., Tsaklidis, G., 2016. Spatio-temporal properties and evolution of the 2013 Aigion earthquake swarm (Corinth Gulf, Greece). *J. Seismol.* 20, 595–614. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-015-9546-4>.
- Michas, G., Kapetanidis, V., Spingos, I., Kaviris, G., Vallianatos, F., 2022. The 2020 Perachora peninsula earthquake sequence (East Corinth Rift, Greece): spatiotemporal evolution and implications for the triggering mechanism. *Acta Geophys.* 70, 2581–2601. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-022-00864-x>.
- Moore, C., Wright, T., Hooper, A., Biggs, J., 2019. The 2017 eruption of Erta Ale volcano, Ethiopia: insights into the shallow axial plumbing system of an incipient mid-ocean ridge. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 20 (12), 5727–5743. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008692>.
- Musila, M., Ebinger, C.J., Bastow, I.D., Sullivan, G., Oliva, S.J., Knappe, E., Perry, M., Kounoudis, R., Ogden, C.S., Bendick, R., Mwangi, S., Mariita, N., Kianji, G., Kraus, E., Illsley-Kemp, F., 2023. Active deformation constraints on the Nubia-Somalia Plate boundary through Heterogeneous Lithosphere of the Turkana depression. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 24, e2023GC010982. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GC010982>.
- Nixon, C.W., McNeill, L.C., Bull, J.M., Bell, R.E., Gawthorpe, R.L., Henstock, T.J., Christodoulou, D., Ford, M., Taylor, B., Sakellariou, D., Ferentinos, G., Papatheodorou, G., Leeder, M.R., Collier, R.E., Goodliffe, A.M., Sachpazi, M., Kranis, H., 2016. Rapid spatiotemporal variations in rift structure during development of the Corinth Rift, Central Greece. *Tectonics* 35 (5), 1225–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015TC004026>.
- Nixon, C.W., McNeill, L.C., Gawthorpe, R.L., Shillington, D.J., Michas, G., Bell, R.E., Moyles, A., Ford, M., Zakharova, N.V., Bull, J.M., de Gelder, G., 2024. Increasing fault slip rates within the Corinth Rift, Greece: a rapidly localising active rift fault network. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 636, 118716. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2024.118716>.
- Nobile, A., Pagli, C., Keir, D., Wright, T.J., Ayele, A., Ruch, J., Acocella, V., 2012. Dike-fault interaction during the 2004 Dailoi intrusion at the northern edge of the Erta Ale Ridge (Afar, Ethiopia). *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 39 (19). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL053152>.
- Pagli, C., Wright, T.J., Ebinger, C.J., Yun, S.-H., Cann, J.R., Barnie, T., Atalay, A., 2012. Shallow axial magma chamber at the slow spreading Erta Ale Ridge. *Nat. Geosci.* 5 (4), 284–288. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1414>.
- Pagli, C., Wang, H., Wright, T.J., Calais, E., Lewi, E., 2014. Current plate boundary deformation of the Afar rift from a 3-D velocity field inversion of InSAR and GPS. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 119 (11), 8562–8575. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011391>.
- Palano, M., Cannavò, F., Ferranti, L., Mattia, M., Mazzella, M.E., 2011. Strain and stress fields in the Southern Apennines (Italy) constrained by geodetic, seismological and borehole data. *Geophys. J. Int.* 197 (3), 1270–1282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05234.x>.
- Pancha, A., Anderson, J.G., Kreemer, C., 2006. Comparison of seismic and geodetic scalar moment rates across the Basin and Range Province. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 96, 11–32. <https://doi.org/10.1785/0120040166>.
- Pantosti, D., De Martini, P.M., Koukouvelas, L., Stamatopoulos, L., Palyvos, N., Pucci, S., Lemaître, F., Pavlides, S., 2004. Palaeoseismological investigations of the Aigion Fault (Gulf of Corinth, Greece). *Compt. Rendus Geosci.* 336 (4–5), 335–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2003.12.005>.
- Papadimitriou, E., Bonatis, P., Bountzis, P., Kostoglou, A., Kourouklas, C.H., Karakostas, V., 2022. The intense 2020–2021 Earthquake Swarm in Corinth Gulf: cluster analysis and seismotectonic implications from high resolution microseismicity. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 179, 3121–3155. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-022-03135-4>.
- Pe-Piper, G., Piper, D.J.W., 2005. The South Aegean active volcanic arc: relationships between magmatism and tectonics. *Develop. Volcanol.* 7, 113–133. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1871-644X\(05\)80034-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1871-644X(05)80034-8).
- Pérez-Gussinyé, M., Collier, J.S., Armitage, J.J., Hopper, J.R., Sun, Z., Ranero, C.R., 2023. Towards a process-based understanding of rifted continental margins. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* 4, 166–184. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00380-y>.
- Peron-Pinvidic, G., Manatschal, G., 2009. The final rifting evolution at deep magma-poor passive margins from Iberia-Newfoundland: a new point of view. *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 98 (7), 1581–1597. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-008-0337-9>.
- Peron-Pinvidic, G., Manatschal, G., Osmundsen, P.T., 2013. Structural comparison of archetypal Atlantic rifted margins: a review of observations and concepts. *Mar. Pet. Geol.* 43, 21–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.02.002>.
- Pirazzoli, P.A., Stiros, S.C., Fontugne, M., Arnold, M., 2004. Holocene and Quaternary uplift in the central part of the southern coast of the Corinth Gulf (Greece). *Mar. Geol.* 212 (1–4), 35–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2004.09.006>.
- Reston, T.J., 2009. The extension discrepancy and syn-rift subsidence deficit at rifted margins. *Pet. Geosci.* 15 (3), 217–237. <https://doi.org/10.1144/1354-079309-845>.
- Rime, V., Foubert, A., Ruch, J., Kidane, T., 2023. Tectonostratigraphic evolution and significance of the Afar Depression. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 244, 104519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2023.104519>.
- Sachpazi, M., Galvé, A., Laigle, M., Hirn, A., Sokos, E., Serpetsidaki, A., Marthelot, J.M., Pi Aperin, J.M., Zelt, B., Taylor, B., 2007. Moho topography under Central Greece and its compensation by Pn time-terms for the accurate location of hypocenters: the example of the Gulf of Corinth 1995 Aigion earthquake. *Tectonophysics* 440, 53–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2007.01.009>.
- Sakellariou, D., Lykousis, V., Alexandri, S., Kaberi, H., Rousakis, G., Nomikou, P., Georgiou, P., Ballas, D., 2007. Faulting, seismic-stratigraphic architecture and late Quaternary evolution of the Gulf of Alkyonides Basin-East Gulf of Corinth, Central Greece. *Basin Res.* 19 (2), 273–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2007.00322.x>.
- Sapin, F., Rigenback, J., Clerc, C., 2021. Rifted margins classification and forcing parameters. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 8199. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87648-3>.
- Sawires, R., Peláez, J.A., Sparacino, F., Radwan, A.M., Rashwan, M., Palano, M., 2021. Seismic and geodetic crustal moment-rates comparison: new insights on the Seismic Hazard of Egypt. *Appl. Sci.* 11 (17), 7836. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11177836>.
- Scordilis, E.M., 2006. Empirical global relations converting MS and mb to moment magnitude. *J. Seismol.* 10, 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-006-9012-4>.
- Shillington, D.J., Scott, C.L., Minshall, T.A., Edwards, R.A., Brown, P.J., White, N., 2009. Abrupt transition from magma-starved to magma-rich rifting in the eastern Black Sea. *Geology* 37 (1), 7–10. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G25302A.1>.
- Sparacino, F., Galuzzi, B.G., Palano, M., Segou, M., Chiarabba, C., 2022. Seismic coupling of the Aegean – Anatolian region. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 228, 103993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.103993>.
- Stewart, I., 1996. Holocene uplift and palaeoseismicity on the Eliki fault, Western Gulf of Corinth, Greece. *Ann. Geophys.* 39 (3). <https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-3993>.
- Stewart, I., Vita-Finzi, C., 1996. Coastal uplift on active normal faults: the Eliki Fault, Greece. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23 (14), 1853–1856. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL01595>.
- Szymanski, E., Stockli, D.F., Johnson, P.R., Hager, C., 2016. Thermochronometric evidence for diffuse extension and two-phase rifting within the Central Arabian Margin of the Red Sea Rift. *Tectonics* 35 (12), 2863–2895. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016TC004336>.
- Tiberi, C., Diamant, M., Lyon-Caen, H., King, T., 2001. Moho topography beneath the Corinth Rift Area (Greece) from inversion gravity data. *Geophys. J. Int.* 145, 797–808. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246x.2001.01441.x>.
- Tugend, J., Gillard, M., Manatschal, G., Nierengarten, M., Harkin, C., Epin, M.-E., Sauter, D., Autin, J., Kusznir, N., McDermott, K., 2018. Reappraisal of the magma-rich versus magma-poor rifted margin archetypes. In: McClay, K.R., Hammerstein, J. A. (Eds.), *Passive Margins: Tectonic, Sedimentation and Magmatism*, vol. 476. Geological Society of London, Special Publication, pp. 23–47. <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP476.9>.
- Viltres, R., Jónsson, S., Ruch, J., Doubre, C., Reilinger, R., Floyd, M., Ogbuzghi, G., 2020. Kinematics and deformation of the southern Red Sea region from GPS observations. *Geophys. J. Int.* 221 (3), 2143–2154. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggaa109>.
- Ward, S.N., 1998. On the consistency of earthquake moment release and space geodetic strain rates: Europe. *Geophys. J. Int.* 135, 1011–1018. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.1998.t01-2-00658.x>.
- Watts, E.J., Gernon, T.M., Taylor, R.N., Keir, D., Siegburg, M., Jarman, J., Pagli, C., Gioncada, A., 2020. Evolution of the Alu-Dalafilla and Borale volcanoes, Afar, Ethiopia. *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* 408, 107094. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2020.107094>.
- Watts, E.J., Gernon, T.M., Taylor, R.N., Keir, D., Pagli, C., 2023. Magmatic evolution during proto-oceanic rifting at Alu, Dalafilla and Borale Volcanoes (Afar) determined by trace element and Sr-Nd-Pb isotope geochemistry. *Lithos* 456–457, 107311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2023.107311>.
- Weinstein, A., Oliva, S.J., Ebinger, C.J., Roecker, S., Tiberi, C., Aman, M., Lambert, C., Witkin, E., Albaric, J., Gautier, S., Peyrat, S., Muirhead, J.D., Muzuka, A.N.N., Mulibo, G., Kianji, G., Ferdinand-Wambura, R., Msabi, M., Rodzianko, A., Hadfield, R., Illsley-Kemp, F., Fischer, T.P., 2017. Fault-magma interactions during early continental rifting: seismicity of the Magadi-Natron-Manyara basin, Africa. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 18, 3662–3686. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC007027>.
- Wolfenden, E., Ebinger, C., Yirgu, G., Renne, P.R., Kelley, S.P., 2005. Evolution of a volcanic rifted margin: Southern Red Sea, Ethiopia. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 117 (7–8), 846–864. <https://doi.org/10.1130/B25516.1>.
- Yirgu, G., Ebinger, C.J., Maguire, P.K.H., 2006. The Afar volcanic province within the East African Rift System: introduction. In: Yirgu, G., Ebinger, C.J., Maguire, P.K.H. (Eds.), *The Afar Volcanic Province within the East African Rift System*, 259, p. 106. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ.* 259, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.259.01.01>.
- Zwaan, F., Corti, G., Keir, D., Sani, F., Muluneh, A., Illsley-Kemp, F., Papini, M., 2020a. Geological Data from the Western Afar Margin [dataset]. GFZ Data Services, East Africa. <https://doi.org/10.5880/fidgeo.2020.017>.
- Zwaan, F., Corti, G., Sani, F., Keir, D., Muluneh, A.A., Illsley-Kemp, F., Papini, M., 2020b. Structural analysis of the Western Afar margin, East Africa: evidence for multiphase rotational rifting. *Tectonics* 39 (7), e2019TC006043. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019TC006043>.