

FLORE Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Biological invasions in inland waters: an overview.

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Biological invasions in inland waters: an overview / F. GHERARDI. - STAMPA. - (2007), pp. 3-25.

Availability: This version is available at: 2158/258021 since:

Publisher: Springer

Terms of use: Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

Biological invasions in inland waters: an overview

Francesca Gherardi

INTRODUCTION

The value of inland waters to humankind is obviously infinite and the induced changes in the goods and services they provide have a strong impact on human welfare. Lakes/rivers and wetlands currently contribute 20% to the estimated annual global value of the entire biosphere amounting to US\$33 trillion per year (Costanza *et al.* 1997). These elevated numbers may justify the present general concern about the increasing degradation of freshwater systems, associated with the rapid extinction rate of their biodiversity – in some cases even matching that of tropical forests (Ricciardi and Rasmussen 1999).

Together with other anthropogenic sources of disturbance, such as the impoundment of rivers (e.g. dams and weirs, water removal), water quality deterioration (e.g. pollution, eutrophication, acidification), habitat degradation and fragmentation (e.g. channelization and land use change), and overexploitation, the introduction of non-indigenous species (NIS) into fresh waters is today regarded as the main driver of biodiversity change (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005). The effects of such a driver has been estimated to be greater in freshwater than in terrestrial ecosystems (Sala *et al.* 2000). This is particularly apparent in lakes where biological invaders have been recognized as one of the greatest causes of species extinctions (Lodge 2001).

Francesca Gherardi, Biological invaders in inland waters: Profiles, distribution, and threats, 3–25. 2007 Springer.

THE VULNERABILITY OF INLAND WATERS TO INVASIONS

Inland waters have been the theatres of spectacular biological invasions. Wellknown cases are the introduction of the Nile perch Lates niloticus (Linnaeus) into Lake Victoria followed by the elimination of about 200 species of haplochromine cichlids (Craig 1992), the alteration of the Laurentian Great Lakes communities and ecosystems by sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus Linnaeus, zebra mussel Dreissena polymorpha (Pallas), and other invaders (MacIsaac et al. 2001), and the complete domination of lowland rivers in the western USA by non-indigenous fish and invertebrates (Moyle and Light 1996a). In several freshwater systems, other less celebrated dramas are however ongoing with the intervention of several, previously unsuspected actors, such as Lepomis gibbosus (Linnaeus) (Chapter 15) and Carassius auratus (Linnaeus) (Chapter 13) among fish, Dikerogammarus villosus (Sowinsky) (Chapters 12 and 27), Gmelinoides fasciatus (Stebbing) (Chapter 26), and Pontogammarus robustoides (Sars) (Chapter 25) among crustaceans, and Rana catesbeiana Shaw among amphibians (Chapters 7 and 38). This confirms that invasions by NIS are pervasive and highly diffused phenomena in fresh waters but also that our predictive ability may be weak. Meanwhile, other apparently harmless NIS are spreading (see potamid crabs in southern France, Chapter 3).

The reasons that freshwater systems are vulnerable to NIS are several, including the higher intrinsic dispersal ability of freshwater species compared with terrestrial organisms (Beisel 2001). Lakes and some streams are comparable to islands in that their geographic isolation has led to local adaptation with the evolution of many endemisms and sometimes to a low biodiversity (Lodge 1993). The extensive introduction of organisms in inland waters, either inadvertent (e.g. via ship ballast, artificial/natural canals, or estuarine salinebridges, Chapters 17, 21, and 22; as parasites of other introduced species, such as the oomycete Aphanomyces astaci, Chapter 6) or deliberate (e.g. stocking of fish and crayfish, Chapters 20 and 31; intentional releases of pets or farm organisms, Chapters 8 and 9), is a direct consequence of the intensity with which humans utilize these systems for recreation, food sources, and commerce (Rahel 2000, Ricciardi 2001). Human-mediated dispersal of crustacean zooplankton, for instance, might exceed the natural rate by up to 50,000-fold (Hebert and Cristescu 2002). And the frequency of species invasions in freshwater systems is likely to continue to grow commensurate with enhanced global commerce and human exploitation of these communities.

Finally, freshwater systems are subject, especially at higher latitudes, to altered seasonal temperature regimes due to global climatic warming and, especially in developed countries, to strong human disturbance. In fact, many NIS are migrating to new areas where the climate has warmed, such as some introduced warm-water fish [e.g. Micropterus salmoides (Lacepède), Lepomis macrochirus Rafinesque, and Lepomis cyanellus (Rafinesque)] that are spreading in North America into higher latitudes and altitudes (Eaton and Scheller 1996, Chapter 35). Disturbed ecosystems and communities attract biological invasions more than pristine systems; disturbance results in the resharing of space and energy resources that are available to indigenous and non-indigenous species and may open new vacant niches for the most adaptable and tolerant invaders (Ross et al. 2001).

The vulnerability of inland waters to biological invasions is a cause of the complete domination of vast waterscapes in certain regions by NIS, such as water hyacinth [Eichornia crassipes (Martius) Solms] in many tropical lakes and rivers (Chapter 10) and the red swamp crayfish [Procambarus clarkii (Girard)] in several waterbodies of southern Europe (Gherardi 2006, Chapter 2). Xenodiversity may be extraordinarily high in, for instance, large rivers of developed countries that usually host dozens to hundreds of NIS (Mills et al. 1996, Chapter 12). The Hudson River, for instance, contains more than 100 species of non-indigenous fish, vascular plants, and large invertebrates, a considerable fraction of which are ecologically important – such as Eurasian watermilfoil (Myriophyllum spicatum Linnaeus), purple loosestrife (Lythrum salicaria Linnaeus), zebra mussel (D. polymorpha), Atlantic rangia (Rangia cuneata Gray), and common carp (Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus) (Strayer et al. 2005). Some taxa are particularly affected by species introductions: the New Zealand fish fauna contains 30 NIS (53% of the total) (Vitousek et al. 1997); isolated islands often have more non-indigenous than indigenous fish species (Hawaii: 19 vs. 6), but also continental areas have relatively large numbers of non-indigenous fish species (California: 42 vs. 76, Brazil: 76 vs. 517; references in Vitousek et al. 1996); at least 76 fish species belonging to 21 families have been introduced into European fresh waters (Lehtonen 2002), of which 51 have become established.

Species originating from diverse biogeographical areas now coexist in several basins; in the Rhine, indigenous crustaceans [Gammarus pulex (Linnaeus)] occur with North American species [Gammarus tigrinus Sexton and Orconectes limosus (Rafinesque)], Mediterranean species (the freshwater shrimp Atyaephyra desmaresti Millet), and Ponto-Caspian species [Gammarus roeseli Gervais and Dikerogammarus villosus (Sowinsky)] (Beisel 2001). Biotic homogenization is constantly increasing; freshwater fish similarity among the States of the USA amounts today to 7% (Rahel 2000) and some of them, such as Arizona and Montana, which previously had no fish species in common, now share more than 30 species. National borders are obviously irrelevant and they provide no barrier to the natural dispersal of NIS: the Nile perch released in Tanzania spread to other countries bordering Lake Victoria (Welcomme 1988) and P. clarkii introduced into Spain invaded Portugal via the common hydrographic basins (Gherardi 2006).

Some freshwater systems function as ''hotspots'' where NIS accumulate. The Great Lakes system contains over 145 non-indigenous invertebrates, pathogens, algae, fish, and plants, with approximately 75% originated from Eurasia of which 57% are native to the Ponto-Caspian region (Mills et al. 1993, Ricciardi and Rasmussen 1998, MacIsaac et al. 2001). These species include a wide array of taxa, such as mussels [D. polymorpha, Dreissena bugensis (Andrusov)], amphipods (Echinogammarus ischnus Stebbing), cladocerans [Cercopagis pengoi (Ostroumov)], harpacticoid copepods [Nitocra incerta (Richard) and Schizopera borutzkyi (Monchenko)], and fish [Neogobius malanostomus (Pallas)], Proterorhinus marmoratus (Pallas), Gymnocephalus cernuus (Linnaeus)]. It has been ascertained that Ponto-Caspian species reach the Great Lakes in ballast along five shipping ''corridors'' (MacIsaac et al. 2001). Four of these corridors require the first transfer of species via rivers and canals to ports in the North and Baltic seas that, in their turn, function as ''hubs'', acting as the donor for other ships that transport these species in secondary invasions to ports in North America and, potentially, in East Asia, San Francisco Bay, and Australia (e.g. Cohen and Carlton 1998, Ruiz et al. 2000).

Finally, many freshwater invaders are moved among biogeographic regions within continents and are transported among continents in association with economic activity and trade globalization that benefit millions worldwide (Lodge and Shrader-Frechette 2003). The inevitable tension between two often competing goals – increasing economic activity and protecting the environment from invasive species – make it difficult to justify the need for decision-makers to contain the spread of these species and to mitigate the environmental risks they pose. For instance, a number of issues has been raised in favor of the outcomes of introducing crayfish (Gherardi 2006, Chapter 28). First, in the absence of indigenous species, invasive crayfish were claimed to occupy vacant niches, constituting the unique large macro-consumer within polluted or eutrophicated waters, where the native fauna has already been severely decimated (Gherardi et al. 2000). The second claim is that they constitute abundant prey for rare or threatened birds and mammals, like several Ardaeidae and the otter (e.g. Barbaresi and Gherardi 2000, Rodríguez et al. 2005). Third, from a socioeconomic perspective, introduced crayfish have contributed to: (1) the restoration of traditional habits, e.g. by crayfishing in Sweden and Finland (Kirjavainen and Sipponen 2004); (2) economic benefits for local crayfishermen, e.g. the Spanish netsmen; (3) diversification of agriculture to include astaciculture, e.g. by crayfish farmers in Britain and in Spain; and (4) increased trade between countries inside Europe as well as between European and extra-European countries (Ackefors 1999).

THE CURRENT STATE OF RESEARCH

Studies on the identity, distribution, and impact of freshwater NIS and on the dynamics of their invasion have increased exponentially since the 1990s, resulting in a flood of publications particularly abundant in the last decade (Fig. 1). This pattern of growth in the literature is a reflection of the rise in popularity that invasion biology has gained as an appealing area of research

Fig. 1 Cumulative number of publications dealing with non-indigenous species (total number $= 502$). Papers were identified via keywords from Biosis analyzed between 1967 and December 2005.

among ecologists (Kolar and Lodge 2001). The overall number of published articles is, however, significantly biased towards terrestrial invaders (Fig. 2). This is not surprising: terrestrial systems are the most visible and accessible habitats for humans and, as such, have received the preponderance of ecological attention.

The majority of studies of freshwater invaders has been conducted in North America, and mostly in the Great Lakes (Fig. 3A), and centered on animals (74%) more than on plants (20%), whereas a small fraction (6%) analyzed both kingdoms simultaneously. Of all the animal taxa, fish, particularly salmonids, have received the greatest scientific attention (Fig. 3B), as the result of their

Fig. 2 Frequency distribution of research articles published in the journal Biological *Invasions* (Springer) since 1999 distinguished among habitats (total number $=$ 354).

Fig. 3 Frequency distributions of research articles published in the journal Biological Invasions (Springer) since 1999 dealing with inland waters distinguished among continents (A) and animal taxa (B) (total number $= 69$).

perceived ecological role in aquatic food webs and their economic importance to humans. In the other taxa, dreissenids among mollusks and crayfish among crustaceans had been most often studied. Only recently has been the taxonomic coverage of freshwater invaders broadened. New privileged study animals have been Eleotridae and Poeciliidae among fish (e.g. Bedarf et al. 2001, Laha and Mattingly 2006, Pusey et al. 2006); the Asian clam [Corbicula fluminea (Muller)], the golden mussel [Limnoperna fortunei (Dunker)], and the golden apple snail [Pomacea canaliculata (Lamarck)] among mollusks (e.g. Darrigran 2002, Carlsson and Lacoursière 2005, Boltovskoy et al. 2006, Oliveira et al. 2006, Yusa et al. 2006); and the spiny waterflea (Bythotrephes longimanus Leydig) and cladocerans among crustaceans (e.g. Celik et al. 2002, Shurin and Havel 2002, Branstrator et al. 2006).

ARE GENERALIZATIONS POSSIBLE?

A consequence of the concentrated interest on terrestrial biomes and of the limited geographic and taxonomic breadth in fresh waters is that traditional

invasion paradigms have been mostly derived from terrestrial studies and have been rarely tested in aquatic organisms (Beisel 2001). However, a number of generalizations about freshwater invasion is emerging today. They derive from two main approaches (Moyle and Light 1996b): the first analyzes case studies of invaders and their distribution (e.g. P. clarkii, Chapter 4; mollusks, Chapter 5; amphibians and reptiles, Chapter 7; plants, Chapter 11), whereas the second approach aims to extend recent developments in ecological theory to freshwater invaders (e.g. Chapters 19 and 23), in which the focus has been mainly directed to the interaction between the invader and the target community and to the biological characteristics of both the invading species and the ecosystem being invaded. Based on the examples provided by the recent literature, a list of 15 general statements characterizing some of the known events of biological invasion in inland waters can be drawn, as follows.

(1) The establishment and spread success of freshwater NIS often exceeds the 10% value predicted by the ''tens rule'' (Williamson 1996).

This is seen in the results obtained by Jeschke and Strayer (2005), who analyzed the introductions of vertebrates between Europe and North America (USA and Canada). Using corrected data for unrecorded introductions, the authors showed that, of the 220 and 713 fish species native to Europe and North America respectively, 11% and 6% have been introduced into Europe and North America, respectively, and 36% and 49% have become established after slightly longer than a decade, while 56% and 63% of the established fish had spread and become invasive. On a more global scale, Ruesink (2005) used a database of 1,424 intentional international transfers of freshwater fish and found that up to 64% of the introduced fish became established and 22% of the established cases had exerted a documented impact (i.e. changes in food availability, habitat structure, nutrient dynamics, or top-down trophodynamics).

(2) Propagule pressure is often a major predictor of the establishment of freshwater organisms.

Recent findings showed that the large number of propagules present in an inoculating population, such as the thousands of zebra and quagga mussels carried in the ballast of cargo ships, and the frequencies of sequential inoculations, such as multiple introductions of ''desired'' species, are positively correlated with invasion success (Lonsdale 1999, Kolar and Lodge 2001, Mack et al. 2000, Ricciardi 2001). For instance, as showed by Ruesink (2005), introduced fish species were more likely to establish when humans intended their establishment (76%) rather than when fish were cultivated or used with no explicit desire for naturalization (57%).

(3) The often elevated propagule pressures may explain the several instances in which introduced populations appear to be immune from bottlenecks – usually depleting genetic variation (the ''genetic paradox'').

Aquatic organisms introduced in large numbers via ballast or subject to multiple introductions can carry a large fraction of the genetic variability of their source populations or bring genetic races from different parts of their native range (Stepien et al. 2002). Hence, many colonizers arrive with a high phenotypic and genetic diversity. This adds to other features that may favor their adaptability to the recipient areas, such as the fast acquisition of genetic variability after their arrival that results from such sources as hybridization with closely related organisms, epistasis (i.e. an interaction in which one gene influences the expression of another), or the potential for chromosomal restructuring by inversion, translocation, or duplication (Cox 2004).

(4) Failures of NIS to establish derive most often from their inability to meet the ''environmental resistance'' on the part of the recipient community – the different regimes of temperature, current, water chemistry, or abiotic resources.

Several examples from different taxa support this statement. Moyle and Light (1996a), for instance, showed that freshwater fish invading North American basins are likely to become established when abiotic conditions are appropriate, regardless of the biota already present. The narrow thermal tolerance of C. fluminea may explain its absence from most of the Great Lakes system (Ricciardi 2001), whereas salinity of that system was too low to allow for the successful reproduction of the Chinese mitten crab Eriocheir sinensis (Milne Edwards), notwithstanding the frequent introductions of this latter species over the past decades in ship ballast (MacIsaac 1999). The general harshness of the environment may reduce the ability of non-indigenous fish to invade. An example is Eagle Lake, California, which is a highly alkaline (pH: 8–9) terminal lake containing only four indigenous fish species (Moyle and Light 1996b). Any attempt to introduce fish failed in the long term, including the introduction in the early 20th century of the largemouth bass, M. salmoides.

(5) As a consequence of (4), success in the establishment of freshwater invaders may depend on a close match between their physiological requirements and the environmental characteristics of the system being invaded.

Species from nearby areas are more likely to be successful invaders than those from more distant locations, as found for fish species in North America that are most likely to be successful if they are adapted to the local, highly seasonal, hydrological regime of the recipient environment (Moyle and Light 1996b). For instance, two species of Cyprinidae introduced into the Pecos River, Texas, USA from nearby areas became established because the artificial flow regime of the recipient river closely resembled that of their native streams (Bestgen et al. 1989). Convergent salinity conditions in donor and recipient ecosystems played a key role in the success of invaders in the Great Lakes (MacIsaac et al. 2001). An additional prerequisite for successful invasion that allows a species to survive transportation (e.g. in ballast; Bailey *et al.* 2004) and to become established in a recipient area is its euryoeciousness, i.e. its ability to tolerate wide environmental conditions (Ricciardi and Rasmussen 1998). For instance, the range of salinity in which a species can live and reproduce provides a reliable basis for discrimination between invasive and non-invasive North American and East European gammarid amphipods (Devin and Beisel 2007). In general, areas with a wide salinity gradient, like the Baltic Sea, may offer a range of hospitable conditions for invaders, functioning as hot spots of xenodiversity (Leppäkoski et al. 2002). Finally, the increased ionic content of large European rivers as the result of pollution has allowed salt tolerant species to spread in new river basins in recent decades (Ketelaars et al. 1999).

(6) Demographic factors (sexual precocity, fecundity, and number of generations per year; Lodge 1993, Chapter 12), biological plasticity (Chapters 14 and 16), and/or the ability to overcome the biotic resistance posed by the recipient community (the complex of native predators, parasites, pathogens, and competitors, and previously introduced species) may be neither essential nor sufficient for freshwater species to become invasive.

Usually, r-selected crayfish (e.g. P. clarkii) rather than K-selected species [(e.g. Austropotamobius pallipes (Lereboullet)] have a high probability of spreading. But fecundity and number of generations per year often are not sufficient to explain why the amphipod G. *pulex* has invaded the streams in Northern Ireland where it outcompetes G. duebeni Liljeborg (Devin and Beisel 2007). Often, the magnitude of an invader's impact may be predicted by its ''taxonomic distinctiveness'' within the recipient community (Ricciardi and Atkinson 2004). Indeed, the lack of evolutionary experience with the invader – meaning the absence of competitors, predators, or parasites and the inability to respond to them with an appropriate behavior – may predispose communities to be altered by invasions (e.g. Diamond and Case 1986). For instance, eliminations of indigenous species by D. polymorpha have rarely been reported from the invaded European lakes whose native fauna was previously exposed to Dreissena during the Pleistocene era (Ricciardi et al. 1998). Similarly, the introduced tilapiine species, Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus), is one of the few fish species to persist in Lake Victoria in large numbers in face of the Nile perch invasion as the result of its past evolutionary experience with similar predators (Moyle and Light 1996b). Sometimes, the interaction between two species that do not share an evolutionary history leads to the positive outcome for one of the two in a form of ''evolutionary release'' (Schlaepfer et al. 2005). For instance, indigenous prey (e.g. anuran tadpoles and metamorphs) may be unable to recognize introduced predators (e.g. the introduced R. catesbeiana in the western USA; Rosen and Schwalbe 2002) and their style of preying. As a result, the naïve predators are released from the difficulties of finding a prey (Kiesecker and Blaustein 1997); they may dispose of a high availability of food during the establishment phase of their invasion, which is a precondition of their fast spread. The phenomenon of evolutionary release might explain the paradox of why invasive species sometimes enjoy a competitive advantage over locally adapted species, although there would be a priority effect for residents (e.g. Shea and Chesson 2002, Schlaepfer et al. 2005). Also a reduced attack from natural enemies (predators and parasites) encountered outside their natural range gives some species the ability to spread and to become invasive, as predicted by the ''enemy release hypothesis'' (ERH) (e.g. Torchin et al. 2003). However, generalizations about the role of the naïveté of introduced species and of their potential lack of enemies in the recipient community may not be possible in freshwater systems. Several examples, in fact, provide contrasting evidence. The sea lamprey, P. marinus, eliminated large fish from Lake Michigan even if some of these species [e.g. the lake trout Salvelinus namaycush (Walbaum)] coexisted with the lamprey in other lakes where the species have been together for thousands of years (Moyle 1986). In addition, the ERH has been verified in relatively few organisms and subject to limited criticism (Colautti et al. 2004), being only one of the several hypotheses that can explain the abundance and/or the impact of a given invader (Enemy Inversion Hypothesis, climatic variables, selection for ''invasive'' genotypes, human disturbance, etc.).

(7) In fresh waters, species-rich communities may be as vulnerable to invasion as less speciose, less biologically ''sophisticated'' communities.

This statement contrasts with one of the most well-established generalizations in the invasion literature since Elton (1958), i.e. that communities with high diversity and complexity are the least susceptible to invasion because of the strength of the community interactions (e.g. Lodge 1993, Levine and D'Antonio 1999, Kennedy et al. 2002, Shea and Chesson 2002). Numerous examples at both the global and local levels demonstrate that often the opposite occurs in freshwater systems (Moyle 1986, Ross et al. 2001). Jeschke and Strayer (2005), for instance, showed that there is no clear difference in the probability of fish species becoming established and spreading once introduced from Europe to North America and vice versa, suggesting that, at the global level, the biota of North America do not offer more resistance to invaders, notwithstanding that it is less disturbed by humans and species-richer than Europe. At a local level, Lake Victoria, which once contained the richest endemic fish communities on the planet, was devastated by a single invader, the Nile perch L. niloticus, because it encountered neither predation nor competition from indigenous fish (Goldschmidt et al. 1993). Mississipi River, once the most speciose of all temperate rivers, has been invaded by several non-indigenous fish, including common carp C. carpio, goldfish C. auratus, grass carp Ctenopharyngodon idella (Valenciennes), striped bass Morone saxatilis (Walbaum), rainbow smelt Osmerus mordax (Mitchill), rainbow trout Oncorhyncus mykiss Walbaum, and white catfish Ictalurus catus (Linnaeus) (Burr and Page 1986). Similarly, the zebra mussel has become established at high densities throughout the Mississippi River basin, which contains the world's richest endemic assemblage of freshwater mussels (Ricciardi et al. 1998).

The above examples, however, contrast with the results obtained by employing disturbance treatments in pond zooplankton communities (Smith and Shurin 2006). Shurin (2000) found that reducing the abundance of indigenous species allowed four times as many invaders to establish and to obtain 16 times greater total abundance, therefore showing that in some instances local interactions may be strong enough to exclude a large fraction of potential invaders.

(8) Often, freshwater NIS, instead of interfering with one another, facilitate each other's establishment and/or continued existence, and therefore increase the likelihood and the magnitude of their ecological impact, as predicted by the phenomenon of ''invasional meltdown'' (Simberloff and Von Holle 1999, Simberloff 2006).

Invasive species may facilitate further invasions by direct effects – providing benefits to another invader – and indirect effects – reducing an invader's enemies or enhancing its prey (Ricciardi 2001). Strong experimental evidence was provided by Adams et al. (2003), who showed that introduced fish facilitated invasion by the bullfrog (R. catesbeiana) in western North America by preying on native macroinvertebrates (such as dragonfly nymphs) that otherwise precluded establishment or severely limited the numbers of frogs. In the Great Lakes, mutualistic, commensal, and asymmetric exploitative interactions facilitated the survival and population growth of many invaders (Ricciardi 2001). Dreissena polymorpha facilitated colonization by several invertebrate NIS (Ricciardi et al. 1998) because it increased the surface area and spatial heterogeneity, creating settling sites, providing refuge, and trapping sediment and biodeposits. Additionally, Dreissena generates filtration currents that are exploited by other invertebrates (Stewart and Haynes 1994) and it is a food source for several introduced fish, i.e. white bass [Morone chrysops (Rafinesque)] and round goby [Neogobius melanostomus (Pallas)] (French 1993). Its invasion also increased the spread of Eurasian watermilfoil (MacIsaac 1996). The reduction of piscivores by the parasite sea lamprey was an indirect effect that paved the way for invasion by the planktivore alewife [Alosa pseudoharengus (Wilson)] followed by Oncorhynchus spp. (Moyle 1986). Finally, sequential invasions by Ponto-Caspian species completed the life cycle of parasitic organisms, such as the trematode Bucephalus polymorphus (Baer) of western Europe origin. The introduction of the first intermediate host (the zebra mussel) of the trematode and its definitive host [the pikeperch Stizostedion lucioperca (Linnaeus)] allowed it to spread, causing high mortality in its secondary intermediate hosts, the indigenous cyprinids (Combes and Le Brun 1990).

(9) There is still a poor state of knowledge of whether invasive species are the ''drivers'' of the extinction of indigenous populations or species, or merely the ''passengers'' along for the environmental ride (MacDougall and Turkington 2005).

Local and global extinctions frequently overlap invasions in space and time. For instance, the loss of genetically distinct populations of unionids in North America has been accelerated by a factor of 10 after the invasion of zebra mussels (Ricciardi et al. 1998). Of the 40 fish species known to have become extinct since 1890 in North America, 27 were negatively affected by the introduction of NIS (Wilcove and Bean 1994). However, the dominance of NIS might be an indirect consequence of habitat modifications that by themselves lead to both indigenous species loss and NIS invasion (Gurevitch and Padilla 2004, Didham et al. 2005). For instance, the unionid declines began before the introduction in the mid-1980s of zebra mussels and were caused by several stressors, such as habitat destruction and deterioration resulting from water diversion, erosion, an increase in eutrophication (which causes periods of anoxia), pesticides, loss of host fish for parasitic unionid larvae, historic harvesting for the button industry and harvesting for the pearl industry (reviewed in Gurevitch and Padilla 2004). In some instances, successful freshwater invaders have been integrated without eliminations of species from the communities being invaded. In Lake Malawi, East Africa, 12 species of bottomfeeding haplochromine cichlids from one part of the lake were introduced into another part of the lake in which they were absent without any apparent changes in the abundance of the local species (Trendall 1988). After the completion of the Panama Canal in 1914 and the consequent creation of a freshwater corridor between the Rio Chagres on the Caribbean slope and the Rio Grande on the Pacific slope of the Isthmus of Panama, the freshwater fish assemblages of previously isolated drainage basins were enabled to interchange. However, no cases of local extinctions were recorded but species richness increased by 10% in the Rio Chagres and 22% in the Rio Grande (Smith et al. 2004). And there are documented cases (see statement 15) of indigenous species that, given enough time, learn or evolve the ability to escape the "evolutionary trap" caused by an invasive species (Schlaepfer et al. 2005).

(10) Among the diverse ways that introduced species threaten the existence of indigenous species (e.g. predation, parasitism, vectoring of pathogens, and competition; Mack et al. 2000), the most underestimated is hybridization with indigenous species (Olden et al. 2004).

Hybridization is thought to alter the integrity of the endemic gene pools of unionids, crayfish, and fish; it produces hybrid swarms that eliminate indigenous taxa often in a very short time frame (Perry et al. 2002). Examples are several, especially in fish. Within a 4-year period following its introduction, the non-indigenous pupfish, *Cuprinodon variegates* Lacépède, was involved in a large-scale introgressive hybridization event with the endemic Cyprinodon pecosensis Echelle and Echelle in New Mexico, USA (Echelle and Connor 1989). Similarly, anadromous populations of wild brown trout (Salmo trutta Linnaeus) were highly introgressed by stocking with hatchery fish and eventually reduced their fitness (Hansen 2002).

(11) Introduced species have effects at multiple ecological levels in freshwater systems (Simon and Townsend 2003, Chapter 24), but a few studies, mostly focused on salmonids (Simon and Townsend 2003), have analyzed this multifaceted impact (Parker et al. 1999).

At the level of individual organisms, invaders may alter the behavior of native species, influencing habitat use and foraging. At the population and community levels, they may induce changes in the abundance or distribution of other species and affect both direct and indirect interactions among populations, respectively. Finally, at the ecosystem level, invaders may change the pathways and magnitude of movements of energy and nutrients.

(12) A first strong danger posed by freshwater invaders to native biota arises if they are either macro-enemies (predators or grazers) or micro-enemies (pathogens or parasites) (Williamson 1996).

Specifically, the NIS posed on the top of the food web or those that are generalized predators (Williamson 1996) are likely to produce marked effects on ecosystem processes (see the case of P. clarkii; Chapters 29 and 30) because their impact can ''cascade'' through the entire food web, altering both ecosystem processes and the behavior of the indigenous species (Townsend 1996). This is especially true in aquatic systems, in which trophic cascades appear to be more common than in terrestrial biomes (Strong 1992). For instance, in California the most successful fish invaders have been piscivores and omnivores (Moyle and Light 1996a), while detritivorous fish seemed to have little effect on indigenous fish assemblages (Power 1990).

(13) A second strong danger posed by freshwater invaders to native biota is their role of ''ecosystem engineers'', i.e. species that ''directly or indirectly control the availability of resources to other organisms by causing physical state changes in biotic or abiotic materials'' (Jones et al. 1994).

Engineering organisms may cause physical modifications to the environment and influence the maintenance or creation of habitats. Their ecological effects on other species occur because of physical state changes caused, either directly or indirectly, by the engineer, and because, as engineers, they affect the control and use of resources by other species. Zebra mussels have all the properties of ecosystem engineers. They change the characteristics of biotic and abiotic environments by their presence and activities, especially their feeding and filtering. These changes are system-wide, affecting species composition, species interactions, community structure, and ecosystem properties (Karatayev et al. 2002, Chapters 32 and 33).

(14) In freshwater systems, as in other biomes, invaders may be subject to evolutionary changes that influence several life history characteristics (Cox 2004).

Once established, NIS are freed from the constraints of the gene flow from their parent population and from the biotic pressures of former enemies, they are subject to altered selection pressures, and they impose strong new evolutionary pressures on the indigenous species. Substantial evolution may take place over relatively short timescales (Carroll and Dingle 1996). For instance, following its introduction to Pacific rivers in North America, the American shad, Alosa sapidissima (Wilson), evolved geographic changes in its life history patterns in less than a century (summarized in Dingle 1980). In its native rivers in eastern North America, fecundity and the yearly number of spawns vary as a function of latitude, with reduced clutch size and increased repeat spawning more prevalent in northern versus southern rivers. Fish from Pacific rivers are 32–77% (vs. 20–40% from Atlantic rivers) repeat spawners; their age at maturity varies from 3.3 to 3.8 years for males (vs. 4 years) and from 4 to 4.5 years for females (vs. 4.6 years), and their mean lifetime fecundities range from 321,000 to 500,000 eggs (vs. 300,000–350,000). These variations are a function of latitude and water temperature, reflecting rapid post-invasion evolution under selection by local environmental conditions. The western mosquitofish [Gambusia affinis (Baird and Girard)] native to North America has shown rapid genetic changes in several locations into which it has been introduced (references in Cox 2004); a period of about 70 generations was sufficient to induce adaptive changes in the life history of the populations introduced into Hawaii, whereas, in the populations introduced into thermal springs in Nevada, changes in body fat content and size at maturity required about 110–165 generations.

(15) In freshwater systems there is growing evidence for adaptive evolutionary responses by indigenous species to NIS and for the influence of such responses on the community dynamics (Lambrinos 2004).

Declines in native populations may be ephemeral if indigenous species are genetically variable in their susceptibility to NIS and can evolve in response to invasion. Alternatively, lack of the ability to evolve in the face of strong selection from invaders can cause extinction (see statement 9). For instance, in 70 years Rana aurora Baird and Girard has acquired the ability to recognize the chemical cues emitted by its new predator, the introduced bullfrog R. catesbeiana, and behaves accordingly, by reducing their foraging activity and increasing their refuge use, whereas frogs from uninvaded ponds do not change their behavior when presented with bullfrogs (Kiesecker and Blaustein 1997). Evolutionary adaptation may also involve habitat and resource use, leading to the phenomenon of character displacement (i.e. increased difference in quantitative characters of two or more species in areas of syntopy compared to areas of allopatry) (Strauss et al. 2006). In the Great Lakes, the bloater [Coregonus hoyi (Milner)] is one of the indigenous fish that survived competition with the introduced alewife for zooplankton. Following the explosion of the alewife populations in the 1960s, the bloater shifted its diet from small zooplankton to larger benthic prey (Crowder and Binkowski 1983, Crowder and Crawford 1984). In less than 20 years, this shift was accompanied by an adaptive change of its feeding apparatus that showed a decrease by about 15% in the number of gill rakers (Crowder 1984). Similarly, in North American lakes where bluegill sunfish (L. macrochirus) have been absent, the pumpkinseed (L. gibbosus) exhibits pelagic and littoral ecotypes as adaptations to the diet of zooplankton (for pelagic forms) and of benthic arthropods and mollusks (for littoral forms). In the lakes where bluegills have been introduced, the pumpkinseed populations exhibited exclusively littoral ecotypes, thus restricting its feeding activity to littoral areas in response to the competition with the dominant newcomer (Robinson et al. 2000).

CONCLUSIONS

In recent years, a rising awareness of the economic and ecologic costs caused by invasions in fresh waters has encouraged more proactive research and this has increased our understanding of invasive processes in aquatic systems. Notwithstanding some obvious limitations derived from the relatively small taxonomic coverage of invasion studies and the prevailing focus on certain systems, some general issues regarding freshwater invaders can be raised. First and foremost, predicting the likelihood of the success of a freshwater invader or predicting the invasibility of an aquatic system depends on a detailed understanding of the characteristics of the invader and of the system that is being invaded (Moyle and Light 1996b). But both are likely to be idiosyncratic and complex at the local level, which makes it difficult to apply some generalized theories of invasion biology. As observed by Simberloff (2006), this is part of the larger problem that ''ecology is fundamentally an idiographic science'' (p. 917): we will need a large catalogue of case studies in order to generate the level of understanding required to deal with many of the environmental problems (Simberloff 2004).

The ''tens rule'' does not hold for invasion processes in fresh waters. Introduction is a critical step, so the most effective means of minimizing the adverse impact of freshwater invaders is to prevent species transport in the first place. Once introduced, several species have a high potential to establish, and, once established, eradication is often impossible and mitigation and control are difficult and expensive, if possible at all (Chapters 34, 36, 37, and 38). The successful establishment of a species is positively related to propagule pressure (Chapter 18). A consequence is that the probability of establishment might be lessened by reducing both the number of individuals accidentally released via commerce-related activities and the frequency of such releases. The importance of propagule pressure also alerts us about the need to construct effective legislative barriers against the introduction of ''desirable'' species that might turn out to be ''Frankensteins'' (Moyle et al. 1986).

The most likely ''monsters'' in fresh waters are those species whose physiological requirements closely match with the environmental characteristics of the recipient system or those species able to tolerate a wide range of environmental conditions. With some exceptions, the biotic resistance exerted by the recipient community, including its richness in species and complexity, seems to be less effective in countering the establishment of freshwater invaders, while an r-selected strategy is only in some cases a prerequisite for a species to become invasive. Similarly, the naïveté of introduced species and the assumed lack of enemies in the recipient community cannot explain per se freshwater invasions. Most freshwater communities are not saturated with species (Cornell and Lawton 1992), but instead are capable of supporting greater numbers of them if the pool of potential colonists and the rate of colonization from the pool is increased (Gido and Brown 1999). Introduced species, in fact, often facilitate each other's establishment and/or their continued existence, therefore increasing the likelihood and the magnitude of the global ecological impact inflicted by biological invasions.

NIS exert multiform effects on the recipient community, most often acting simultaneously at multiple ecological levels. They may pose threats to indigenous species, populations, and genes, and may induce changes to individuals, populations, communities, and ecosystems. The most dangerous species are parasites but also predators or omnivores that may produce trophic cascades in the recipient community, and ecosystem engineers that may cause physical modifications of the environment and may influence the maintenance or creation of habitats. All these recognized impacts of invaders represent, however, only the ''tip'' of an ecological and evolutionary iceberg (Palumbi 2001). In inland waters, as in the other biomes, the introduction of species may interact with habitat destruction and degradation, overexploitation of plants and animals, and global climate change to create an ''evolutionary revolution'' (Cox 2004). And empirical data and theories are urgently needed to enable prediction, understanding, and management of the acute and chronic effects of species invasions (Strayer et al. 2006).

REFERENCES

- Ackefors, H. 1999. The positive effects of established crayfish. Pages 49–61 in F. Gherardi and D. M. Holdich, editors. Crayfish in Europe as alien species: How to make the best of a bad situation? A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- Adams, M. J., C. A. Pearl, and R. B. Bury. 2003. Indirect facilitation of an anuran invasion by non-native fishes. Ecology Letters 6, 343–351.
- Barbaresi, S. and F. Gherardi. 2000. The invasion of the alien crayfish Procambarus clarkii in Europe, with particular reference to Italy. Biological Invasions 2, 259–264.
- Bailey, S. A., I. C. Duggan, C. D. A. Van Overdijk, T. H. Johengen, D. F. Reid, and H. J. MacIsaac. 2004. Salinity tolerance of diapausing eggs of freshwater zooplankton. Freshwater Biology 49, 286–295.
- Bedarf, A. T., K. R. McKaye, E. P. Van Den Berghe, L. J. L. Perez, and D. H. Secor. 2001. Initial six-year expansion of an introduced piscivorous fish in a tropical Central American lake. Biological Invasions 3, 391–404.
- Beisel, J.-N. 2001. The elusive model of a biological invasion process: time to take differences among aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems into account? Ethology, Ecology & Evolution 13, 193–195.
- Bestgen, K. R., S. P. Platania, J. E. Brooks, and D. L. Probst. 1989. Dispersal and life history traits of Notropis girardi (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae) introduced into the Pecos River, New Mexico. American Midland Naturalist 122, 228–235.
- Boltovskoy, D., N. Correa, D. Cataldo, and F. Sylvester. 2006. Dispersion and ecological impact of the invasive freshwater bivalve *Limnoperna fortunei* in the Rio de la Plata watershed and beyond. Biological Invasions 8, 947–963.
- Branstrator, D. K., M. E. Brown, L. J. Shannon, M. Thabes, and K. Heimgartner. 2006. Range expansion of Bythotrephes longimanusin North America: evaluating habitat characteristics in the spread of an exotic zooplankter. Biological Invasions 8, 1367–1379.
- Burr, B. M. and L. M. Page. 1986. Zoogeography of fishes in the lower Ohio-Upper Mississippi Basin. Pages 287–324 in C. H. Hocutt and E. O. Wiley, editors. The zoogeography of North American freshwater fishes. Wiley, New York, NY.
- Carlsson, N. O. L. and J. O. Lacoursière. 2005. Herbivory on aquatic vascular plants by the introduced golden apple snail (Pomacea canaliculata) in Lao PDR. Biological Invasions 7, 233–241.
- Carroll, S. P. and H. Dingle. 1996. The biology of post-invasion events. Biological Conservation 78, 207–214.
- Celik, K., J. E. Schindler, W. J. Foris, and J. C. Knight. 2002. Predator-mediated coexistence of exotic and native crustaceans in a freshwater lake? Biological Invasions 4, 451–454.
- Cohen, A. N. and J. T. Carlton. 1998. Accelerating invasion rate in a highly invaded estuary. Science 279, 555–558.
- Colautti, R. I., A. Ricciardi, I. A. Grigorovich, and H. J. MacIsaac. 2004. Is invasion success explained by the enemy release hypothesis? Ecology Letters 7, 721–733.
- Combes, C. and N. Le Brun. 1990. Invasions by parasites in continental Europe. Pages 285–296 in F. Di Castri, A. J. Hansen and M. Debussche, editors. Biological Invasions in Europe and the Mediterranean Basin. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Cornell, H. V. and J. H. Lawton. 1992. Species interactions, local and regional processes, and limits to the richness of ecological communities: a theoretical perspective. Journal of Animal Ecology 61, 1–12.
- Costanza, R., R. d'Arge, R. de Groot, S. Farber, M. Grasso, B. Hannon, K. Limburg, S. Naeem, R. V. O'Neill, J. Paruelo, R. G. Raskin, P. Sutton, and M. van den Belt. 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature 387, 253–260.
- Cox, G. W. 2004. Alien species and evolution. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Craig, J. F. 1992. Human induced changes in the composition of fish communities in the African Great Lakes. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 2, 93–124.
- Crowder, L. B. 1984. Character displacement and habitat shift in a native cisco in southeastern Lake Michigan: evidence for competition? Copeia 1984, 878–883.
- Crowder, L. B. and F. P. Binkowski. 1983. Foraging behaviors and the interaction of alewife, Alosa pseudoharengus, and bloater, Coregonus hoyi. Environmental Biology of Fishes 8, 105–113.
- Crowder, L. B. and H. L. Crawford. 1984. Ecological shifts in resource use by bloaters in Lake Michigan. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 113, 694–700.
- Darrigran, G. 2002. Potential impact of filter-feeding invaders on temperate inland freshwater environments. Biological Invasions 4, 145–156.
- Devin, S. and J.-N. Beisel. 2007. Biological and ecological characteristics of invasive species: a gammarid study. Biological Invasions 9, 13–24.
- Diamond, J. and T. J. Case. 1986. Overview: introductions, extinctions, exterminations, and invasions. Pages 65–79 in J. Diamond and T. J. Case, editors. Community Ecology. Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Didham, R. K., J. M. Tylianakis, M. A. Hutchison, R. M. Ewers, and N. J. Gemmell. 2005. Are invasive species the drivers of ecological change? Trends in Ecology and Evolution 20, 470–474.
- Dingle, H. 1980. The ecology and evolution of migration. Pages 1–101 in S. A. Gauthreaux Jr., editor. Animal migration, orientation, and navigation. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- Eaton, J. G. and R. M. Scheller. 1996. Effects of climatic warming on fish thermal habitat in streams of the United States. Limnology and Oceanography 41, 1110–1115.
- Echelle, A. A. and P. J. Connor. 1989. Rapid, geographically extensive genetic introgression after secondary contact between two pupfish species (Cyprinodon, Cyprinodontidae). Evolution 43, 717–727.
- Elton, C. S. 1958. The Ecology of invasions by animals and plants. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, Edition 2000.
- French, J. R. P. I. 1993. How well can fishes prey on zebra mussels in eastern North America? Fisheries 18, 13–19.
- Gherardi, F. 2006. Crayfish invading Europe: the case study of Procambarus clarkii. Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology 39, 175–191.
- Gherardi, F., A. Raddi, S. Barbaresi, and G. Salvi. 2000. Life history patterns of the red swamp crayfish, Procambarus clarkii, in an irrigation ditch in Tuscany, Italy. Crustacean Issues 12, 99–108.
- Gido, K. B. and J. H. Brown. 1999. Invasion of North American drainage by alien fish species. Freshwater Biology 42, 387–399.
- Goldschmidt, T., F. Witte, and J. Wanink. 1993. Cascading effects of the introduced Nile perch on the detritivorous/phytoplanktvorous species in the sublittoral areas of Lake Victoria. Conservation Biology 7, 686–700.
- Gurevitch, J. and D. K. Padilla. 2004. Are invasive species a major cause of extinctions? Trends in Ecology and Evolution 19, 470–474.
- Hansen, M. H. 2002. Estimating the long-term effects of stocking domesticated trout into wild brown trout *(Salmo trutta)* populations: an approach using microsatellite DNA analysis of historical and contemporary samples. Molecular Ecology 11, 1003–1015.
- Hebert, P. D. N. and M. Cristescu. 2002. Genetic perspective on invasions: the case of the Cladocera. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 59, 1229–1234.
- Jeschke, J. M. and D. L. Strayer. 2005. Invasion success of vertebrates in Europe and North America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 102, 7198– 7202.
- Jones, C. G., J. H. Lawton, and M. Shachak, 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos 69, 373–386.
- Karatayev, A. Y., L. E. Burlakova, and D. K. Padilla. 2002. Impacts of zebra mussels on aquatic communities and their role as ecosystem engineers. Pages 433–446 in E. Leppäkoski, S. Gollasch and S. Olenin, editors. Invasive aquatic species of Europe: distribution, impacts and management. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Kennedy, T. A., S. Naeem, K. M. Howe, J. M. H. Knops, D. Tilman, and P. Relch. 2002. Biodiversity as a barrier to ecological invasion. Nature 417, 636–638.
- Ketelaars, H. A. M., F. E. Lambregts-van de Clundert, C. J. Carpentier, A. J. Wagenvoort, and W. Hoogenboezem. 1999. Ecological effects of the mass occurrence of the Ponto-Caspian invader, Hemimysis anomala G.O. Sars, 1907 (Crustacea: Mysidacea), in a freshwater storage reservoir in the Netherlands, with notes on autoecology and new records. Hydrobiologia 394, 233–248.
- Kiesecker, J. M. and A. R. Blaustein. 1997. Population differences in responses of redlegged frogs (Rana aurora) to introduced bullfrogs. Ecology 78, 1752–1760.
- Kirjavainen, J. and M. Sipponen. 2004. Environmental benefit of different crayfish management strategies in Finland. Fisheries Management and Ecology 11, 213–218.
- Kolar, C. S. and D. M. Lodge. 2001. Progress in invasion biology: predicting invaders. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 16, 199–204.
- Laha, M. and H. T. Mattingly. 2006. Identifying environmental conditions to promote species coexistence: an example with the native Barrens topminnow and invasive western mosquitofish. Biological Invasions 8, 719–725.
- Lambrinos, J. G. 2004. How interactions between ecology and evolution influence contemporary invasion dynamics? Ecology 85, 2061–2070.
- Lehtonen, H. 2002. Alien freshwater fishes of Europe. Pages $153-161$ in E. Leppäkoski, S. Gollasch and S. Olenin, editors. Invasive aquatic species of Europe: distribution, impact and management. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Leppäkoski, E., S. Gollasch, and S. Olenin. 2002. Alien species in European waters. Pages 1–6 in E. Leppäkoski, S. Gollasch and S. Olenin, editors. Invasive Aquatic Species of Europe: Distribution, impact and management. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Levine, J. M. and C. M. D'Antonio. 1999. Elton revisited: a review of evidence linking diversity and invisibility. Oikos 87, 15–26.
- Lodge, D. M. 1993. Biological invasions: lessons for ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 8, 133–137.
- Lodge, D. M. 2001. Lakes. Pages 277–313 in F. S. Chapin III, O. E. Sala and E. Huber-Sannwald, editors. Global biodiversity in a changing environment: scenarios for the 21st century. Springer, New York, NY.
- Lodge, D. M. and K. Shrader-Frechette. 2003. Nonindigenous species: ecological explanation, environmental ethics, and public policy. Conservation Biology 17, 31–37.
- Lonsdale, W. M. 1999. Global patterns of plant invasions and the concept of invasibility. Ecology 80, 1522–1536.
- MacDougall, A. S. and R. Turkington. 2005. Are invasive species the drivers or passengers of change in degraded ecosystems? Ecology 86, 42–55.
- MacIsaac, H. J. 1996. Potential abiotic and biotic impacts of zebra mussels on the inland waters of North America. American Zoologist 36, 287–299.
- MacIsaac, H. J. 1999. Biological invasions in Lake Erie: past, present, and future. In State of Lake Erie (SOLE) – past, present, and future. Pages 305–322 in M. Munawar and T. Edsall, editors. Ecovision World Monograph Series, Backhuys, Leiden, The Netherlands.
- MacIsaac, H. J., I. A. Grigorovich, and A. Ricciardi. 2001. Reassessment of species invasion concepts: the Great Lakes basin as a model. Biological Invasions 3, 405–416.
- Mack, R. N., D. Simberloff, W. M. Lonsdale, H. Evans, M. Clout, and F. A. Bazzaz. 2000. Biotic invasions: causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. Ecological Applications 10, 689–710.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. 2005. Ecosystem and human well-being: biodiversity synthesis. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC.
- Mills, E. L., R. M. Dermott, E. F. Roseman, D. Dustin, E. Mellina, D. B. Conn, and A. P. Spidle. 1993. Colonization, ecology, and population structure of the ''quagga'' mussel (Bivalvia: Dreissenidae) in the lower Great Lakes. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50, 2305–2314.
- Mills, E. L., D. L. Strayer, M. D. Scheuerell, and J. T. Carlton. 1996. Exotic species in the Hudson River basin –a history of invasions and introductions. Estuaries 19, 814–823.
- Moyle, P. B. 1986. Fish introductions into North America: patterns and ecological impact. Pages 27–43 in H. A. Mooney and J. A. Drake, editors. Ecology of biological invasions of North America and Hawaii. Springer, New York, NY.
- Moyle, P. B., H. W. Li, and B. A. Barton. 1986. The Frankenstein Effect: impact of introduced fishes on native fishes in North America. Pages 415–426 in R. H. Strond, editor. Fish culture in fisheries management. American Fisheries Societies, Bethesda, MD.
- Moyle, P. B. and T. Light. 1996a. Fish invasions in California: do abiotic factors determine success? Ecology **76**, 1666–1670.
- Moyle, P. B. and T. Light. 1996b. Biological invasions of fresh water: empirical rules and assembly theory. Biological Conservation 78, 149–161.
- Olden, J. D., N. L. Poff, M. R. Douglas, M. E. Douglas, and K. D. Fausch. 2004. Ecological and evolutionary consequences of biotic homogenization. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 19, 18–24.
- Oliveira, M. D. de, A. M. Takeda, L. F. de Barros, D. S. Barbosa, and E. K. de Resende. 2006. Invasion by Limnoperna fortunei (Dunker, 1857) (Bivalvia, Mytilidae) of the Pantanal wetland, Brazil. Biological Invasions 8, 97–104.
- Palumbi, S. 2001. Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force. Science 293, 1786–1790.
- Parker, I. M., D. Simberloff, W. M. Lonsdale, K. Goodell, M. Wonham, P. M. Kareiva, M. H. Williamson, B. Von Holle, P. B. Moyle, J. E. Byers, and L. Goldwasser 1999. Impact: toward a framework for understanding the ecological effects of invaders. Biological Invasions 1, 3–19.
- Perry, W. L., D. M. Lodge, and J. L. Feder. 2002. Importance of hybridization between indigenous and nonindigenous freshwater species: an overlooked threat to North American biodiversity. Systematic Biology 51, 255–275.
- Power, M. E. 1990. Effects of fish in river food webs. Science 250, 811–814.
- Pusey, B., D. Burrows, A. Arthington, and M. Kennard. 2006. Translocation and spread of piscivorous fishes in the Burdekin River, north-eastern Australia. Biological Invasions 8, 965–977.
- Rahel, F. J. 2000. Homogenization of fish faunas across the United States. Science 288, 854–856.
- Ricciardi, A. 2001. Facilitative interactions among aquatic invaders: is an ''invasional meltdown'' occurring in the Great Lakes? Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 58, 2513–2525.
- Ricciardi, A. and S. K. Atkinson. 2004. Distinctiveness magnifies the impact of biological invaders in aquatic ecosystems. Ecology Letters 7, 781–784.
- Ricciardi, A., R. J. Neves, and J. B. Rasmussen. 1998. Impending extinctions of North American freshwater mussels (Unionida) following the zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha) invasion. Journal of Animal Ecology 67, 613–619.
- Ricciardi, A. and J. B. Rasmussen. 1998. Predicting the identity and impact of future biological invaders: a priority for aquatic resource managers. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55, 1759–1765.
- Ricciardi, A. and J. B. Rasmussen. 1999. Extinction rates of North American freshwater fauna. Conservation Biology 13, 1220–1222.
- Robinson, B. W., D. S. Wilson, and A. S. Margosian. 2000. A pluralistic analysis of character release in pumpkinseed sunfish (Lepomis gibbosus). Ecology 81, 2799–2812.
- Rodríguez, C. F., E. Bécares, M. Fernández-Aláez, and C. Fernández-Aláez. 2005. Loss of diversity and degradation of wetlands as a result of introducing exotic crayfish. Biological Invasions 7, 75–85.
- Rosen, P. C. and C. R. Schwalbe. 2002. Widespread effects of introduced species on reptiles and amphibians in the Sonoran Desert region. Pages 220–240 in B. Tellman, editor. Invasive exotic species in the Sonoran region. University of Arizona Press and the Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum, Tucson, AR.
- Ross, R. M., W. A. Lellis, R. M. Bennett, and C. S. Johnson. 2001. Landscape determinants of nonindigenous fish invasions. Biological Invasions 3, 347–361.
- Ruesink, J. L. 2005. Global analysis of factors affecting the outcome of freshwater fish introductions. Conservation Biology 19, 1883–1893.
- Ruiz, G. M., P. W. Fofonoff, J. T. Carlton, M. J. Wonham, and A. H. Hines. 2000. Invasion of coastal marine communities in North America: apparent patterns, processes, and biases. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 31, 481–531.
- Sala, O. E., F. S. Chapin III, J. J. Armesto, R. Berlow, J. Bloomfield, R. Dirzo, E. Huber-Sanwald, L. F. Huenneke, R. B. Jackson, A. Kinzig, R. Leemans, D. Lodge, H. A. Mooney, M. Oesterheld, N. L. Poff, M. T. Sykes, B. H. Walker, M. Walker, and D. H. Wall. 2000. Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. Science 287, 1770–1774.
- Schlaepfer, M. A., P. W. Sherman, B. Blossey, and M. C. Runge. 2005. Introduced species as evolutionary traps. Ecology Letters 8, 241–246.
- Shea, K. and P. Chesson. 2002. Community ecology theory as a framework for biological invasions. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 17, 170–176.
- Shurin, J. B. 2000. Dispersal limitation, invasion resistance, and the structure of pond zooplankton communities. Ecology 81, 3074–3086.
- Shurin, J. B. and J. E. Havel. 2002. Hydrologic connections and overland dispersal in an exotic freshwater crustacean. Biological Invasions 4, 431–439.
- Simberloff, D. 2004. Community ecology: is it time to move on? American Naturalist 163, 787–799.
- Simberloff, D. 2006. Invasional meltdown 6 years later: important phenomenon, unfortunate metaphor, or both? Ecology Letters 9, 912–919.
- Simberloff, D. and B. Von Holle. 1999. Positive interactions of nonindigenous species: invasional meltdown? Biological Invasions 1, 21–32.
- Simon, K. S. and C. R. Townsend. 2003. Impacts of freshwater invaders at different levels of ecological organization, with emphasis on salmonids and ecosystem consequences. Freshwater Biology 48, 982–994.
- Smith, S. A., G. Bell, and E. Bermingham. 2004. Cross-Cordillera exchange mediated by the Panama Canal increased the species richness of local freshwater fish assemblages. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 271, 1889–1896.
- Smith, S. A. and J. B. Shurin. 2006. Room for one more? Evidence for invasibility and saturation in ecological communities. Pages 423–447 in M. W. Cadotte, S. M. McMahon and T. Fukami, editors. Conceptual ecology invasion biology: reciprocal approaches to nature. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Stepien, C. A., C. D. Taylor, and K. A. Dabrowska. 2002. Genetic variability and phylogeographical patterns of a nonindigenous species invasion: a comparison of exotic vs. native zebra and quagga mussel populations. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 15, 314328.
- Stewart, T. W. and J. M. Haynes. 1994. Benthic macroinvertebrate communities of southwestern Lake Ontario following invasion of Dreissena. Journal of Great Lakes Research 20, 479–493.
- Strauss, S. Y., J. A. Lau, and S. P. Carroll. 2006. Evolutionary responses of natives to introduced species: what do introductions tell us about natural communities? Ecology Letters 9, 357–374.
- Strayer, D. L., E. A. Blair, N. F. Caraco, J. J. Cole, S. Findlay, W. C. Nieder, and M. L. Pace. 2005. Interactions between alien species and restoration of large-river ecosystems. Archiv für Hydrobiologie, Supplement 155/1–4, 133–145.
- Strayer, D. L., V. T. Eviner, J. M. Jeschke, and M. L. Pace. 2006. Understanding the longterm effects of species invasions. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 21, 645–651.
- Strong, D. R. 1992. Are trophic cascades all wet? Differentiation and donor-control in speciose ecosystems. Ecology **73**, 747-754.
- Torchin, M. E., K. D. Lafferty, A. P. Dobson, V. J. McKenzie, and A. M. Kuris. 2003. Introduced species and their missing parasites. Nature 421, 628–630.
- Townsend, C. R. 1996. Invasion biology and ecological impacts of brown trout Salmo trutta in New Zealand. Biological Conservation 78, 13–22.
- Trendall, J. 1988. The distribution and dispersal of introduced fish at Thumbi West Island in Lake Malawi, Africa. Journal of Fish Biology 33, 357–369.
- Vitousek, P. M., C. M. D'Antonio, L. D. Loope, M. Rejma´nek, and R. Westbrooks. 1997. Introduced species: A significant component of human-caused global change. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 21 , 1–16.
- Vitousek, P. M., C. M. D'Antonio, L. D. Loope, and R. Westbrooks. 1996. Biological invasions as global environmental change. American Scientist 84, 468–478.
- Welcomme, R. I. 1988. International introductions of inland aquatic species. Fisheries technical paper 294. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome, Italy.
- Wilcove, D. S. and M. J. Bean. 1994. The big kill: declining biodiversity in America's lakes and rivers. Environmental Defense Fund, Washington, DC.
- Williamson, M. 1996. Biological invasions. Chapman & Hall, London, UK.
- Yusa, Y., N. Sugiura, and T. Wada. 2006. Predatory potential of freshwater animals on an invasive agricultural pest, the apple snail Pomacea canaliculata (Gastropoda: Ampullariidae), in southern Japan. Biological Invasions 8, 137–147.