



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Ciprofloxacin resistance in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Italian patients

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Ciprofloxacin resistance in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Italian patients / G. Corti; F. Paradisi; E. Giganti; G. Buffini; E. Tortoli; L. Martelli; P. Pecile; P. Nicoletti. - In: DRUGS. - ISSN 0012-6667. - STAMPA. - 49 (Suppl. 2):(1995), pp. 175-176.

Availability:

The webpage <https://hdl.handle.net/2158/326270> of the repository was last updated on

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

La data sopra indicata si riferisce all'ultimo aggiornamento della scheda del Repository FloRe - The above-mentioned date refers to the last update of the record in the Institutional Repository FloRe

(Article begins on next page)

Ciprofloxacin Resistance in Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Italian Patients

G. Corti,¹ F. Paradisi,¹ E. Giganti,² G. Buffini,¹ E. Tortoli,² L. Martelli,² P. Pecile² and P. Nicoletti²

1 Infectious Disease Clinic, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

2 Laboratory of Microbiology, Careggi Hospital, Florence, Italy

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an important cause of nosocomial infections, ranking as the foremost pathogen in lower respiratory tract infections, the third most common in surgical wound infections, and the fifth most frequent cause of urinary tract infections.^[1]

Acylureido-penicillins, some third generation cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, imipenem, and aztreonam are the drugs of choice in the therapy of *P. aeruginosa* infections, all administered by the parenteral route.^[2]

In the first *in vitro* studies, ciprofloxacin showed a broad antibacterial spectrum of action and, particularly, good efficacy against *P. aeruginosa*, as evidenced by minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) ≤ 0.5 mg/L.^[3,4] Because of its activity, oral administration, low toxicity, and good compliance, ciprofloxacin began to be successfully used in the therapy of many infections caused by *P. aeruginosa*.^[5,6]

Unfortunately, there have been reports of decreased efficacy of ciprofloxacin against this pathogen: early studies evidenced a rise in MIC values from 0.06 to 0.5 mg/L before treatment to 2 to 8 mg/L during or after therapy.^[7-9] Subsequent researchers confirmed these findings.^[10,11]

We performed this study to evaluate the frequency of ciprofloxacin resistance in nosocomial strains of *P. aeruginosa* isolated from different body sites of patients in the city of Florence during a 2-year period.

From 1 February 1990 to 31 January 1992 we isolated 505 *P. aeruginosa* strains: 111 from urine cultures containing $\geq 100\,000$ bacteria/ml of a single species, 138 from cultures of skin lesions, 51 from blood cultures, and 205 from cultures of bronchial secretions. Both identification and *in vitro* susceptibility of the isolates were determined by an automated method (VITEK®).

MIC breakpoints for ciprofloxacin were consid-

Table 1. Ciprofloxacin resistance in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on the basis of the site of isolation

| Body site (no. of isolates) | No. susceptible (%) | No. intermediate (%) | No. resistant (%) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Urinary tract (111) | 81 (73.0) | 4 (3.6) | 26 (23.4) |
| Skin (138) | 110 (79.7) | 6 (4.4) | 22 (15.9) |
| Bloodstream (51) | 46 (90.2) | 1 (2.0) | 4 (7.8) |
| Lower respiratory tract (205) | 187 (91.2) | 4 (2.0) | 14 (6.8) |
| Total (505) | 424 (84.0) | 15 (3.0) | 66 (13.0) |

ered to be ≤ 1 mg/L for susceptibility, 2 mg/L for intermediate susceptibility and ≥ 4 mg/L for resistance. Results are shown in table I.

Our data with regard to the overall ciprofloxacin resistance of *P. aeruginosa*, i.e. 13%, are in agreement with the most recent trends cited in the literature. A decrease in the ciprofloxacin susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* from 98.6% in 1985/6 to 86.3% in 1989 was noted;^[10] in Italy, a similar trend was recently observed, with ciprofloxacin resistance increasing from 3.2% in 1987/8 to 14% in 1992/3.^[11] Because of these results, ciprofloxacin may still be considered one of the drugs of choice in the therapy of infections caused by *P. aeruginosa*, and the only effective antipseudomonal oral agent.

In contrast to other authors, who suggested that ciprofloxacin resistance might emerge only infrequently in isolates from the urine,^[9,12] we found more resistant strains in the urinary tract than in the other body sites, probably reflecting inadequate dosage or local risk factors (presence of renal calculi, chronicity of infection, complicated anatomical anomalies, urinary catheters, sequestered infection).^[13]

References

1. Jarvis WR, Martone WJ. Predominant pathogens in hospital infections. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1992; 29 Suppl. A: 19-24
2. Paradisi F. *Terapia delle infezioni*, 2nd ed. Torino: UTET, 1993
3. Wise R, Andrews JM, Edwards LJ. *In vitro* activity of Bay-09867, a new quinolone derivative, compared with other antimicrobial agents. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1983; 23: 559-64
4. Chin N-X, Neu HC. Ciprofloxacin, a quinolone carboxylic acid compound active against aerobic and anaerobic bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1984; 25: 319-32
5. Sanders Jr WE. Efficacy, safety, and potential economic benefits of oral ciprofloxacin in the treatment of infections. *Rev Infect Dis* 1988; 10: 528-43
6. Schacht P, Arcieri G, Branolte J, et al. Worldwide clinical data on efficacy and safety of ciprofloxacin. *Infection* 1988; 16 Suppl. 1: S29-43
7. Crook SM, Selkon JB, McLardy Smith PD. Clinical resistance to long-term oral ciprofloxacin. *Lancet* 1985; 1: 1275
8. Chapman ST, Speller DCE, Reeves DS. Resistance to ciprofloxacin. *Lancet* 1985; 2: 39
9. Scully BE, Neu HC, Parry MF, et al. Oral ciprofloxacin therapy of infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Lancet* 1986; 1: 819-22
10. George RC, Ball LC, Norbury PB. Susceptibility to ciprofloxacin of nosocomial Gram-negative bacteria and staphylococci isolated in the UK. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1990; 26 Suppl. F: 145-56
11. Speciale A, Caccamo F, Nicolosi VM. Survey of antibiotic resistance in community and nosocomial respiratory pathogens from 1990 to 1993 [abstract no. 137]. *Proceedings of the 9th Mediterranean Congress of Chemotherapy*; 1994 Jun 12-17; Milan: 29
12. Giamarellou H, Efstratiou A, Tsagarakis J, et al. Experience with ciprofloxacin *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1984; 12: 1775-8
13. Ball P. Emergent resistance to ciprofloxacin among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus*: clinical significance and therapeutic approaches. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1990; 26 Suppl. F: 165-79

Correspondence and reprints: Dr *Giampaolo Corti*, Clinica Malattie Infettive, Università di Firenze, Via della Pergola 58, I-50121 Firenze, Italy.