Plant neurobiology: from stimulus perception to adaptive behavior of plants, via integrated chemical and electrical signaling.

(Article begins on next page)

[Plant Signaling & Behavior 4:6, 475-476; June 2009]; ©2009 Landes Bioscience

Editor's Corner

Plant neurobiology

From stimulus perception to adaptive behavior of plants, via integrated chemical and electrical signaling

František Baluška[1,*] and Stefano Mancuso[2]

[1]University of Bonn, Institute of Cell and Molecular Botany; Bonn, Germany; [2]University of Florence; Florency, Italy

Key words: plant neurobiology, signaling, behavior

Motto:
"Most of us usually think of plants more as objects than as organisms."

This sentence from the stimulating and visionary review article by Jack C. Schultz entitled *Shared Signals and the Potential for Phylogenetic Espionage Between Plants and Animals*[1] is still very relevant. Although the sensory basis of plants, integrated signaling in plants, and the resulting adaptive behavior in plants, is accepted as legitimate areas for study in the plant sciences, these facts have not resulted in a general change of attitude towards plants, as evidenced by the recent letter signed by 36 plant scientists arguing that plant neurobiology inititative is not based on solid scientific grounds.[2] Our responses addressed this criticism[3-5] and made it clear that we do not take for granted animal brains and synapses in any dogmatic sense, but rather use terms such as 'brain-like plant command centre' and 'plant synapse' in plant-specific senses, in the context of plants and their organs solving their own plant-specific problems. It is quite obvious that plants are extremely sensitive and continually scan not any fewer environmental parameters than do animals and humans.[5-9] Moreover, it is also very obvious that plants communicate obtained information not only between the cells within the plant body but also, on an ecological scale, from plant-to-plant. In this latter context, they make use of a battery of volatile biomolecules, many of which are still not well characterized.[1,10] Plants also communicate with, and effectively manipulate, other organisms such as bacteria, fungi, insects, and animals.[9] Importantly, the intraorganismal communication is based on both chemical signals, such as auxin, exchanged between plant cells at F-actin and myosin-enriched cell-cell adhesion domains which we term plant synapses,[11] as well as electric signals which fullfil all the criteria that characterise action potentials.[12] The most active electric activity has been reported in the root apex zone[13] which shows also the most active polar auxin transport from cell-to-cell in vivo.[14,15] This so-called transition zone[16] shows numerous characteristics which indicate that it might act as a plant-specific, 'brain-like' command centre[17] which integrates and possibly memorizes numerous sensory experiences.[17,18] Because this specific root-apical zone initiates root tropisms,[17,18] it can be speculated that it also integrates the motor-like activity of growing root apices by which roots navigate through their complex environment.[19]

*Correspondence to: František Baluška; University of Bonn; Kirschallee 1; Bonn D-53115 Germany; Email: baluska@uni-bonn.de

Submitted: 04/29/09; Accepted: 04/29/09

Previously published online as a *Plant Signaling & Behavior* E-publication:
www.landesbioscience.com/journals/psb/article/8870

Recently, issue 6 of *Plant Cell & Environment* focused on the emerging topic of the plant behavior.[19-24] It is nice to see that our plant neurobiology initiative, supported by *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, as well as by the plant neurobiology society, has contributed to the current rehabilitation of the word 'behavior' in the plant sciences.

Five years after its inaugural meeting, the international symposium on the Plant Neurobiology is returning to its 'birthplace' in Florence, Italy. We can only hope that plant sciences will accept our new view of sensitive plants, and that the three pillars of plant neurobiology—namely the sensory basis of plants, integrated signaling (both chemical and electrical), as well as adaptive problem-solving behavior of plants and their organs, will continue to develop under the umbrella of this newly emerging branch of plant sciences.

We started by mentioning the review article by Jack C. Schultz, and we would like to close with the first sentence of the last paragraph of Schultz's article which contains another important message:

It is time to stop dividing plants from animals in thinking about how organisms respond to their environments.[1]

References

1. Schultz JC. Shared signals and the potential for phylogenetic espionage between plants and animals. Integ Comp Biol 2002; 42:454-62.
2. Alpi A, Amrhein N, Bertl A, Blatt MR, Blumwald E, Cervone F, et al. Plant neurobiology: no brain, no gain? Trends Plant Sci 2007; 12:135-6.
3. Brenner ED, Stahlberg R, Mancuso S, Baluška F, Van Volkenburgh E. Plant neurobiology: The gain is more than the name. Trends Plant Sci 2007; 12:285-6.
4. Trewavas T. Response to Alpi et al.: Plant neurobiology—all metaphors have value. Trends Plant Sci 2007; 12:231-3.
5. Barlow PW. Reflections on 'plant neurobiology'. BioSystems 2008; 92:132-47.
6. Trewavas A. Plant intelligence. Naturwissenschaften 2005; 92:401-13.
7. Weiler WE. Sensory principles of higher plants. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003; 42:392-411.
8. Baluška F, Mancuso S (ed). Signalling in Plants. 2009; Springer Verlag

9. Baluška F (ed). Plant-Environment Interactions: From Sensory Plant Biology to Active Plant Behavior. 2009; Springer Verlag.
10. Heil M. Airborne induction and priming of defenses. In Plant-Environment Interactions: From Sensory Plant Biology to Active Plant Behavior. 2009; pp 137-152, Springer Verlag.
11. Baluška F, Volkmann D, Menzel D. Plant synapses: actin-based adhesion domains for cell-to-cell communication. Trends Plant Sci 2005; 10:106-11.
12. Volkov A (ed). Plant Electrophysiology. 2006; Springer Verlag.
13. Masi E, Ciszak M, Stefano G, Renna L, Azzarello E, Pandolfi C, et al. Spatio-temporal dynamics of the electrical network activity in the root apex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009; 106:4048-53.
14. Mancuso S, Marras AM, Volker M, Baluška F. Non-invasive and continuous recordings of auxin fluxes in intact root apex with a carbon-nanotube-modified and self-referencing microelectrode. Anal Biochem 2005; 341:344-51.
15. Mancuso S, Marras AM, Mugnai S, Schlicht M, Zarsky V, Li G, et al. Phospholipase Dζ2 drives vesicular secretion of auxin for its polar cell-cell transport in the transition zone of the root apex. Plant Signal Behav 2007; 2:240-4.
16. Verbelen J-P, De Cnodder T, Le J, Vissenberg K, Baluška F. The root apex of *Arabidopsis thaliana* consists of four distinct zones of cellular activities: meristematic zone, transition zone, fast elongation zone, and growth terminating zone. Plant Signal Behav 2006; 1:296-304.
17. Baluška F, Mancuso S, Volkmann D, Barlow PW. Root apices as plant command centres: the unique 'brain-like' status of the root apex transition zone. Biologia 2004; 59:9-17.
18. Baluška F, Schlicht M, Wan Y-L, Burbach C, Volkmann D. Intracellular domains and polarity in root apices: from synaptic domains to plant neurobiology. Acta Bot Leopold 2009; In press.
19. Hodge A. Root decisions. Plant Cell Environm 2009; 32:628-40.
20. Trewavas A. What is plant behaviour? Plant Cell Environm 2009; 32:606-16.
21. Ballare C. Illuminated behaviour: phytochrome as a key regulator of light foraging and plant anti-herbivore defence. Plant Cell Environm 2009; 32:713-25.
22. Dicke M. Behavioural and community ecology of plants that cry for help. Plant Cell Environm 2009; 32: 654-65.
23. Metlen KL, Aschehoug ET, Callaway RM. Plant behavioural ecology: dynamic plasticity in secondary metabolites. Plant Cell Environm 2009; 32: 641-53.
24. Novoplansky A. Picking battles wisely: Plant behaviour under competition. Plant Cell Environm 2009; 32:726-41.