



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

M540 bodies interfere with TUNEL analyses in human semen samples

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

M540 bodies interfere with TUNEL analyses in human semen samples / M. Muratori; G. Forti; E. Baldi. - In: HUMAN REPRODUCTION. - ISSN 0268-1161. - STAMPA. - 26:(2011), pp. 729-729.

Availability:

The webpage <https://hdl.handle.net/2158/629099> of the repository was last updated on 2020-05-27T14:33:27Z

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

La data sopra indicata si riferisce all'ultimo aggiornamento della scheda del Repository FloRe - The above-mentioned date refers to the last update of the record in the Institutional Repository FloRe

(Article begins on next page)

M540 bodies interfere with TUNEL analyses in human semen samples

Sir,

We read with interest the paper by [Aitken et al. \(2010\)](#). In their study, the percentages of TUNEL were compared in selected [with density-gradient centrifugation (DGC)] versus unselected and in live versus total sperm. A further aim of the study was to define a diagnostic threshold value by comparing donors and patients. The study was conducted by flow cytometry without any strategy to exclude M540 bodies. M540 bodies are non-sperm elements that occur in semen, especially from subfertile men ([Muratori et al., 2004](#); [Marchiani et al., 2007](#)). Indeed, M540 bodies are located in the same FSC/SSC (Forward/Side Scatter) region as sperm ([Muratori et al., 2004, 2005](#)). Hence, the gate on FSC/SSC does not imply that 'only spermatozoa were assessed' ([Aitken et al., 2010](#)). In our opinion, omitting to exclude M540 bodies will have significantly affected the results of the paper, as following:

- (1) The percentages of TUNEL in unselected semen are expected to be greater than those reported by [Aitken et al. \(2010\)](#), as previously demonstrated ([Muratori et al., 2008a,b](#)).
- (2) M540 bodies in semen samples are reduced by selection with DGC ([Muratori et al., 2008a](#)). Thus, the percentages of TUNEL in selected samples are less affected by them. Consequently (see also Point 1), the differences in the percentages of TUNEL in total sperm between selected and unselected samples are expected to be greater than those reported by [Aitken et al. \(2010\)](#).
- (3) The LIVE/DEAD Fixable Dead Cell Stain also labels M540 bodies ([Marchiani et al., 2010](#)). Therefore, M540 bodies are scored as 'dead cells' and the percentages of live sperm appear decreased with respect to the real amount. Hence, the percentage of live and TUNEL positive sperm in unselected samples may be underestimated. On the other hand, since the M540 bodies amount decreases with DGC ([Muratori et al., 2008a](#)), the reported percentages of live sperm labeled by TUNEL in selected samples are expected to be closer to the real values.

In light of this, a difference in occurrence of M540 bodies in selected versus unselected samples, rather than the loss of dead cells during selection ([Aitken et al., 2010](#)) might explain why DNA fragmentation in live sperm is greater after selection in the patient group. This issue appears relevant in light of the use

of DGC in assisted reproductive techniques and deserves further investigation.

- (4) Donors show better semen quality ([Aitken et al., 2010](#)) thus the amount of M540 bodies is expected to be smaller, and less affecting the measures, than in patient group ([Muratori et al., 2004](#); [Marchiani et al., 2007](#)). This might explain the reported lack of increase of live TUNEL positive sperm after selection in donors at variance with the patient group. In addition, the exclusion of M540 bodies might help to achieve a threshold that more sharply separates fertile donors from infertile men.

References

- Aitken RJ, De Iulius GN, Finnie JM, Hedges A, McLachlan RI. Analysis of the relationships between oxidative stress, DNA damage and sperm vitality in a patient population: development of diagnostic criteria. *Hum Reprod* 2010;**25**:2415–2426.
- Marchiani S, Tamburrino L, Moggi A, Vannelli GB, Forti G, Baldi E, Muratori M. Characterization of M540 bodies in human semen: evidence that they are apoptotic bodies. *Mol Hum Reprod* 2007;**13**:621–631.
- Marchiani S, Tamburrino L, Giuliano L, Nosi D, Sarli V, Gandini L, Piomboni P, Belmonte G, Forti G, Baldi E et al. Sumo1-ylation of human sperm and its relation with semen quality. *Int J Androl* 2010, in press.
- Muratori M, Porazzi I, Luconi M, Marchiani S, Forti G, Baldi E. AnnexinV binding and merocyanine staining fail to detect human sperm capacitation. *J Androl* 2004;**25**:797–810.
- Muratori M, Marchiani S, Forti G, Baldi E. Sperm ubiquitination positively correlates to normal morphology in human semen. *Hum Reprod* 2005;**20**:1035–1043.
- Muratori M, Marchiani S, Tamburrino L, Tocci V, Failli P, Forti G, Baldi E. Nuclear staining identifies two populations of human sperm with different DNA fragmentation extent and relationship with semen parameters. *Hum Reprod* 2008a;**23**:1035–1043.
- Muratori M, Forti G, Baldi E. Comparing flow cytometry and fluorescence microscopy for analyzing human sperm DNA fragmentation by TUNEL labeling. *Cytometry A* 2008b;**73**:785–787.

Monica Muratori*, Gianni Forti and Elisabetta Baldi
Department of Clinical Physiopathology, Andrology Unit and Center for Research,
Transfer and High Education DeNothe, University of Florence, Viale Pieraccini, 6,
I-50139 Firenze, Italy

*Correspondence address. E-mail: m.muratori@dfc.unifi.it

doi:10.1093/humrep/deq370