



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Measurement of left ventricular mass: methodology and expertise

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Measurement of left ventricular mass: methodology and expertise / R.B. Devereux; R. Pini; G.P. Aurigemma; M.J. Roman. - In: JOURNAL OF HYPERTENSION. - ISSN 0263-6352. - STAMPA. - 15:(1997), pp. 801-809.

Availability:

The webpage <https://hdl.handle.net/2158/777458> of the repository was last updated on

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

La data sopra indicata si riferisce all'ultimo aggiornamento della scheda del Repository FloRe - The above-mentioned date refers to the last update of the record in the Institutional Repository FloRe

(Article begins on next page)

Measurement of left ventricular mass: methodology and expertise

Richard B. Devereux, Riccardo Pini*, Gerard P. Aurigemma† and Mary J. Roman

The strong relation between increased left ventricular mass and cardiovascular events makes accurate measurement of left ventricular mass a high priority, especially in patients with hypertension. M-mode echocardiography is used most widely to measure left ventricular mass because of its wide availability, moderate expense, anatomic and prognostic validation and lack of radiation or claustrophobia; however, this technique is expertise-dependent and may give erroneous results in distorted ventricles. Two-dimensional and especially three-dimensional echocardiography increase the precision with which left ventricular mass is measured but they are more time-consuming and difficult to perform on a large scale. Magnetic resonance imaging provides highly accurate left ventricular mass measurements and permits tissue imaging but its use is limited by expensive, fixed facilities and claustrophobia. Cine computed X-ray tomography also measures left ventricular mass accurately and permits perfusion assessment with contrast injection but it involves radiation and the use of fixed facilities of

limited availability. Understanding the strengths and limitations of available techniques can facilitate selection of the most appropriate method to measure left ventricular mass in a particular setting.

Journal of Hypertension 1997, 15:801–809

Keywords: echocardiography, left ventricular mass, magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, hypertension

From the Department of Medicine, The New York Hospital–Cornell Medical Center, New York, the *Institute of Gerontology and Geriatrics, University of Florence, Florence, Italy and the †Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts School of Medicine, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA.

Sponsorship: This study was supported in part by grant HL 47540 and cooperative agreement grant HL 41654 from the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.

Requests for reprints to Dr Richard B. Devereux, Division of Cardiology, Box 222, The New York Hospital–Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY 10021, USA.

Received 5 May 1997 Revised 3 June 1997
Accepted 3 June 1997

© Rapid Science Publishers ISSN 0263-6352

Introduction

During the past decade, substantial progress has been made in elucidating factors that mediate the increased risk of cardiovascular death and morbid events among patients with hypertension. In particular, a strong and consistent relation between an increased left ventricular mass at baseline examination and a heightened risk of subsequent cardiovascular events has been identified by many investigators for diverse populations. This relationship has been demonstrated for patients with hypertension [1–4], members of the general population [5,6], and groups of predominantly hypertensive patients with catheterization-proven coronary artery disease [7,8]. Results from additional studies have suggested that the change with time in left ventricular mass [9–11] or in electrocardiographic indices thereof [12] is related directly to the subsequent risk of complications. These observations have made accurate measurement of the left ventricular mass an important priority both for clinical practice and for research studies. It is the purpose of this editorial review to consider the present situation with regard to measurement of the left ventricular mass in unselected subjects and clinical patients, starting with criteria for an ideal method and then considering the strengths and limitations of methods that are currently available.

Criteria for an optimal method of left ventricular mass measurement

The starting point of this discussion is the pioneering method of clinical measurement of the left ventricular mass by contrast angiography. This method requires use of special devices for calibration, relies on paired biplane angiographic views to avoid foreshortening of the left ventricular major axis, and estimates the average left ventricular wall thickness by measuring it for one segment of the left ventricular free wall [13]. Results from an autopsy validation study showed that left ventricular mass estimates from clinical angiograms predict the post-mortem left ventricular weight with reasonable accuracy [14]. Despite the need for invasive instrumentation and exposure to radiation, quantitative angiography has proven useful for serial assessment of the left ventricular mass in members of special populations such as patients before and after valve replacement [15].

Noninvasive methods for left ventricular mass determination need to equal or exceed the standard set by contrast angiography with regard to the following features: verification of the accuracy of measurements by necropsy comparison for patients with a variety of diseases and body builds; feasibility of use with clinical patients without

any need for exceptional equipment, undue burden to the subject, and clinical risk; sufficient reproducibility to detect clinically important changes in serial studies; and the ability to assure correct orientation of imaging planes (if the left ventricular is assumed to have a particular geometric shape) or use of a three-dimensional method that is free of geometric assumptions.

Performance of methods that rely on assumptions about left ventricular geometry

Since most left ventricular mass and volume measurements have been performed by using methods that assume one or another model of left ventricular geometry, it is reasonable to start by asking whether the left ventricular shape is actually sufficiently similar among individuals to make it reasonable to attempt to estimate three-dimensional left ventricular volumes and masses from linear dimensions or two-dimensional areas of certain portions of the chamber. The most important fundamental data with regard to the ability to calculate left ventricular masses from linear dimensions were provided by Geiser and Bove [16], who compared left ventricular weights at necropsy with values calculated using several geometric models and a variety of linear left ventricular measurements performed at autopsy for 51 hearts that ranged from normal ones to those affected by congenital heart diseases. Those investigators found that the left ventricular weight was estimated most accurately by using a moderately complex truncated ellipsoidal model (95% confidence limits $\pm 2\%$) and that reasonable approximations thereof were provided by a simpler ellipsoidal model (95% confidence interval $\pm 22\%$) that approximates the cube-function formula used with M-mode echocardiography. Appleyard and Glantz [17] compared the change in cross-sectional area during systole computed using data from orthogonal pairs of sonomicrometers in six dogs with the change in three-dimensional left ventricular volume during load manipulation. Similarly to the results of the previous necropsy study with regard to the left ventricular mass, an extremely close relation ($r = 0.99$, $SEE = 0.9$ ml) between the two-dimensional and three-dimensional measures of the left ventricular ejection-phase function was observed.

On the basis of positive results in fundamental validation studies, echocardiographic methods that use M-mode or two-dimensional echocardiographic measurements and geometric formulas to calculate the left ventricular mass have been developed. M-mode methods based on the cube-function formula have been shown to predict the left ventricular mass at necropsy with reasonable accuracy (correlation coefficients generally in excess of 0.90) in numerous validation studies concerning humans [18–22], non-human primates [23], dogs [24], rabbits [25], rats [26–30], and mice [31,32]. Important evidence in favor of the fundamental validity of the cube function as a simple approximation of the complex left ventricular geometric

shape is the demonstration by Daniels *et al.* [33] that post-mortem measurements of left ventricular wall thicknesses and minor-axis diameters calculated using the Penn formula [23] resulted in accurate predictions of post-mortem left ventricular weights ($R = 0.89$) with a negligible mean difference between directly measured left ventricular masses and values calculated by using linear post-mortem left ventricular measurements and the Penn formula. One consistent feature of studies concerning humans [19–21] and monkeys [23] is that use of echocardiographic measurements by the leading-edge method of the American Society of Echocardiography [34] in the basic cube-function formula results in overestimation of anatomic left ventricular masses by about 15–20%, an error that can be offset by a published regression equation [21]. Further refinements of methodology for left ventricular mass determination have involved use of two-dimensional echocardiographic measurements in several geometric models that approximate the left ventricular shape as a prolate ellipsoid [35–37], a truncated ellipsoid [38,39], and as a complex shape that can be assessed by application of Simpson's rule [40]. Necropsy validation studies have found close correlations (with r values as high as 0.96) between left ventricular mass determinations by two-dimensional echocardiography and actual post-mortem left ventricular weights. Although some studies have found virtually identical mean left ventricular mass values by two-dimensional echocardiography and by necropsy, others have found an underestimation of anatomic left ventricular weights by two-dimensional techniques, probably owing to the known tendency to underestimate the true length of the left ventricular long axis [41].

The results reviewed above indicate that widely available, risk-free and generally well-tolerated echocardiographic methods yield left ventricular mass measurements that correlate closely to the reference standard of the anatomic left ventricular weight. An optimal method for noninvasive left ventricular mass determination also needs to feature close agreement between its estimates and the anatomic reference values [42], and to be sufficiently reproducible to be able to detect clinically important changes caused by progression of diseases and effects of treatment in individual patients. Extensive evidence indicates that both M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiographic methods give left ventricular mass values that on average closely parallel post-mortem ventricular weights. However, it is not known whether the reproducibility of left ventricular mass measurements by standard echocardiographic methods is sufficient to monitor changes of the magnitude expected with short-term treatment, accurately.

Several studies have examined the inter-reader, intra-reader and inter-study reproducibilities of left ventricular mass determination by M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiography. An early compilation of data both on

short-term and on long-term reproducibilities of left ventricular mass determinations in our laboratory [43] revealed similar inter-reading and inter-study differences of 28–29 g for paired measurements for an individual. Mean changes for groups were approximately zero, 95% confidence intervals for groups were ± 18 g for $n = 10$, ± 10 g for $n = 30$ and ± 5.6 g for $n = 100$. More recently, we examined the long-term variation in left ventricular mass over an average interval of about 5 years for 117 adults who remained normotensive and disease free [44], and found that more than one-half of the variability in left ventricular mass was associated with changes in body weight, blood pressure and sodium intake; after adjustment for changes in these biologic factors influencing the heart size, the left ventricular mass changed by < 6 g in two-thirds of the subjects, with 95% confidence intervals of < 23 g change. Gottdiener *et al.* [45] compared left ventricular masses determined from separate two-dimensionally guided M-mode echocardiograms recorded an average of 6 days apart for 96 hypertensive patients and found a between-study SD of about 30 g, yielding a 95% confidence interval of ± 59 g or about 30 g/m^2 , which is similar to our findings a decade earlier. In contrast, for 102 hypertensive patients studied in the PRESERVE trial [46], we found that the between-study SD of the left ventricular mass determined by two-dimensionally guided M-mode echocardiography or by using linear two-dimensional echo measurements according to the American Society of Echocardiography recommendations [47] for technically difficult patients was 6 g/m^2 , yielding a 95% confidence interval about one-half as wide as that found by Gottdiener *et al.* [45]. Echocardiographic left ventricular mass estimates have been shown to be stable despite short-term alterations in left ventricular geometry in response both to acute hypertension [48] and to relief of volume overload [48]. In small studies ($n = 8$ and $n = 13$) comparing M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiographic methods, Collins *et al.* [50] and Fast and Jacobs [51] found that the reproducibility of two-dimensional left ventricular mass methods was moderately higher. However, in large population studies, the proportion of subjects whose left ventricular masses can be determined by two-dimensional methods has been found to be lower than that obtained with M-mode echocardiography [52].

Geometry-based methods using magnetic resonance imaging and radionuclide techniques

In many respects, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is ideally suited to quantitate left ventricular mass and volume measurements. MRI permits true tomographic imaging throughout the entire cardiac volume with several unique advantages. Unlike ultra-fast computed tomography, magnetic resonance images are acquired without the need for contrast-agent administration and ionizing radiation. Moreover, with MRI the image plane

can be specified electronically without any restriction on the orientation. Thus the heart can be imaged not only in orientations which approximate those used in contrast ventriculography, but also in long- and short-axis planes that are familiar to the echocardiographer. Since MRI generally provides images with excellent contrast between the myocardium and the blood pool, area planimetry of individual slices is feasible and computerized volumetric quantitation can be automated [53]. Because of these attributes, MRI has increasingly been used as a reference standard for volumetric quantitation [54–56].

There are two general MRI techniques that have been used for left ventricular mass and volume quantitation in clinical and experimental studies. The majority of the validation studies performed to date have used the spin-echo technique, whereby images are obtained at each level of the heart (slice location) at a different phase of the cardiac cycle, typically at 100–150 ms intervals; this generally yields four to five images of the heart at each slice location. The second principal type of MRI imaging, so-called gradient reversal imaging (or cine MRI), is better suited for functional evaluation than is the spin-echo method since 16–32 slices per cardiac cycle may be obtained at each slice location. Most of the quantitative work using MRI has involved manual, computer-assisted planimetry of serial slices to compute the total left ventricular volume, using the Simpson's rule approach. There has, however, been validation work involving geometry-based methods. Cranney *et al.* [57] computed left ventricular volumes using biplanar long-axis cine MRI images (corresponding to echocardiographic apical two- and four-chamber planes) using the standard biplanar angiographic formula, finding a good correlation between end-diastolic (MRI = $0.95\text{angio} - 10$; $r = 0.93$, SEE = 31 ml) and end-systolic (MRI = $0.9\text{angio} - 1$; $r = 0.93$) volumes by catheterization and by MRI. For a series of patients, some of whom had suffered myocardial infarction, the results of volume determination from a more time-consuming series of short-axis slices encompassing the entire left ventricle did not improve the correlation with contrast angiography [57]. The same investigators [58] have shown that application of the biplanar area-length method to ventricles with regional wall motion abnormalities yields volumetric results similar to those from Simpson's rule summation of short-axis areas, with considerable time savings. To date, there has been no human necropsy validation of left ventricular mass determination using geometry-based MRI techniques similar to that which has been performed for echocardiography.

Performance of 'geometry-free' methods of left ventricular mass determination

Despite the impressive performance of standard angiographic and echocardiographic methods of left ventricular mass determination, it is obvious that no geometric model using simple linear left ventricular dimensions or cross-

sectional areas can replicate the complex shape of the left ventricle precisely, with relatively thin myocardium at the apex, papillary muscles protruding into the mid-cavity and a lack of myocardium at the aortic and mitral orifices. It has thus long been theoretically attractive, and is gradually becoming practically feasible, to visualize the left ventricle in three dimensions by techniques based on MRI, X-ray computed tomography and three-dimensional echocardiography.

MRI

As noted above, this noninvasive method shares with echocardiography the attractive feature of not requiring ionizing radiation. Several validation studies have compared left ventricular masses determined by ante-mortem MRI with post-mortem left ventricular weights of experimental animals [59–64] and with angiographic left ventricular masses for patients [65] as well as by ex-vivo imaging of post-mortem human hearts [66]. These studies have in general found excellent correlations between MRI left ventricular mass estimates and actual post-mortem left ventricular weights, studies concerning humans and relatively large experimental animals showing correlation coefficients in the range 0.95–0.995 and SEE in the range 1–13 g. To date, virtually all mass and volume quantitation studies have used Simpson's rule reconstruction of planimetered spin-echo MRI images encompassing the volume of the left ventricle. As is to be expected, these studies represent a 'best-case' scenario and are associated with excellent correlation coefficients and SEE. In-vivo validation studies also have found close correlations between MRI-derived masses and actual heart weights; the SEE has been reported to be as low as 3 g [67], which compares favorably with the best results obtained with the cine computed tomography technique. As noted above, MRI techniques have the theoretical advantage, compared with ventriculography and conventional echocardiography, of permitting precise quantitation of masses and volumes of ventricles distorted by infarction [63].

MRI results appear to correlate closely to those of two-dimensional echocardiography. One study concerning children [68] found an extremely close correlation ($r = 0.98$, SEE = 5.7 g) between left ventricular mass estimates by MRI and by two-dimensional echocardiography. Nonetheless, none of these studies were performed under the same difficult conditions as the important human studies concerning ill patients on results from which the clinical use of echocardiographic left ventricular mass determination has rested.

Several studies have assessed the reproducibility of MRI left ventricular mass measurements, with generally promising results [60,69–71]. Correlation coefficients for the intra-observer reproducibility have been in the range 0.96–0.99 and those for the inter-observer reproducibility

in the range 0.97–0.99; the variability has been quantitated further with reported values for the inter-observer SEE of 5.4 g [60] and both inter- and intra-observer variabilities of 3.6% [60]. In one comparative study, the reproducibility of left ventricular mass measurements between paired studies was excellent for M-mode echocardiograms ($r = 0.89$, mean inter-study variability $11 \pm 6.4\%$) but was even better for MRI determinations ($r = 0.89$, mean inter-study variability $6.75 \pm 3.8\%$).

One limitation of MRI imaging has been the need for relatively long acquisition times using equipment that many patients find distressingly claustrophobic. Several approaches hold the promise of alleviating this problem. Aurigemma *et al.* [72] reduced the imaging time by taking different MRI slices at sequential times through the cardiac cycle, and found close agreement of 'single-phase' left ventricular mass values with those obtained at end-diastole ($r = 0.96$, mean underestimation by 5 g). Other approaches that allow MRI image sets to be obtained within 5–15 min include the use of cine-MRI techniques with breath-holding and spin-echo techniques have appeared promising in initial studies [73–75]. One method to reduce the claustrophobia associated with MRI imaging – the use of so-called 'open' scanners – necessitates an increased imaging time, and hence might not be especially helpful for obtaining gated cardiac images.

Faster MRI techniques that are variants of the technique used in cine MRI have been introduced recently. With the technique of fast gradient-recalled echo (GRE), high-resolution cine magnetic resonance images may be obtained with a single holding of breath; up to 14 cardiac phases may be acquired within 16 heart beats [76]. Thus, for mass and volume quantitation, the entire left ventricular volume may be imaged within several minutes. This represents a substantial time saving compared with conventional cine MRI methods designed to image the entire left ventricle, which generally require approximately 1 h to obtain a complete volumetric assessment. In a recent study [76], volumetric assessment was performed both by cine MRI and by breath-holding cine MRI; the total imaging time for conventional cine studies was 30–40 min, compared with 4–6 min for breath-holding cine studies. If these results are replicated by other investigators, this technique would constitute an important advance in the use of MRI for left ventricular mass and volume quantitation.

Computed X-ray tomography and other radiographic techniques

The high spatial resolution of X-rays and electron beams provides the basis for several methods of left ventricular mass determination. An initial approach using a custom-built high-repetition-rate X-ray computed tomographic scanner yielded excellent correlation between in-vivo and post-mortem left ventricular mass values ($r = 0.99$) [75],

but has been superseded by a commercially available system using electron-beam computed tomography [77,78]. Left ventricular mass values obtained by this technique have been found to be closely related to necropsy-weight R values up to 0.99 (SEE 6–19 g); assessments of intra- and inter-observer variability have shown similarly high correlations, with reported SEE ranging widely from less than 2 ml for the myocardial volume [79] to as much as 28 g for the left ventricular mass [78]. Similarly to MRI [63], this method has proven especially suitable for assessment of asymmetric changes in left ventricular mass and chamber volume after myocardial infarction [80]. In a three-way comparison of 20 patients [81], the left ventricular mass was significantly lower when measured by MRI and ultra-fast computed tomography without inclusion of the papillary muscles than when it was measured by biplanar contrast angiography, leading to the recommendation that the papillary muscle volume be included in left ventricular mass determinations using the newer techniques.

Three-dimensional echocardiography

Because of the lack of radiation, potential portability and acceptability to patients of ultrasound, considerable effort has been devoted to developing methods to measure the left ventricular mass and volume by three-dimensional echocardiography. To date, most studies have investigated ways to trace outlines of the left ventricular endocardium and epicardium in multiple two-dimensional echocardiographic views and used the resultant ‘wire-frame’ diagrams to reconstruct left ventricular chamber and myocardial volumes in three dimensions [82]. In canine experiments, three-dimensional echocardiographic left ventricular mass estimates had small SEE compared with post-mortem left ventricular weights both for in-vitro and for in-vivo imaging ($r = 0.96$, SEE = 3 g and $r = 0.99$, SEE = 6 g, respectively) [83,84]. Somewhat larger in-vivo SEE compared with post-mortem left ventricular

weights (11–15 g) were obtained with two standard two-dimensional echocardiographic methods of left ventricular mass determination. Similarly, three-dimensional echocardiographic estimates of the extent of wall motion abnormalities agreed better with the percentages of infarcted myocardium detected by tetraphenyltetrazolium staining (SEE 3.6%) than did estimates by several two-dimensional echocardiographic methods (SEE 5.4–7.4%) [85]. In another study [86], left ventricular ejection fractions determined by three-dimensional echocardiography were found to agree closely with those measured by equilibrium radionuclide angiography ($r = 0.94–0.97$, SEE 3.6–5.4%). Other investigators [87] reported exceptionally accurate measurements of left ventricular masses by three-dimensional echocardiography in a canine experiment.

Despite these promising results, three-dimensional echocardiography using wire-frame techniques has not yet achieved widespread use because of the need to use cumbersome devices to locate the two-dimensional imaging planes in three-dimensional space and the time-consuming process of planimetry multiple two-dimensional images. As an alternative, methods to tilt or rotate a two-dimensional echocardiographic transducer from a fixed spot on the surface of the chest or in the esophagus and to use the known spatial relationship among the resultant two-dimensional echocardiographic images to reconstruct three-dimensional volume images of the heart have been developed [88–93]. For a series of 14 excised animal hearts, left ventricular volumes derived from three-dimensional volumetric images obtained using a transthoracic transducer rotating around its central axis exhibited a good linear correlation to the true volume $R = 0.97$, $P < 0.0001$, SEE 2.6 ml) without significant underestimation or overestimation; the inter-observer variability was 2.1 ml or 8% of the mean and the inter-observer variability was 1.3 ml or 5% of the mean

Table 1 Strengths and limitations of non-invasive methods of left ventricular mass determination

Technique	Advantages	Limitations
M-mode echocardiography	Extensive anatomic validation Known prognostic significance Widely available, suitable for large-scale use Relatively inexpensive No radiation or claustrophobia	Expertise-dependent Errors in distorted ventricles
Two dimensional echocardiography	Some anatomic validation Widely available No radiation or claustrophobia	Expertise-dependent Time-consuming, limits large-scale use
Three-dimensional echocardiography	High accuracy in limited anatomic validation Anatomic validation No radiation or claustrophobia	Very time-consuming Limited availability
Magnetic resonance imaging	High accuracy in limited anatomic validation Tissue imaging possible No radiation	Claustrophobia Facilities expensive and fixed Three-dimensional measurements time-consuming Limited availability
Cine computed tomography Fixed radiation	High accuracy in limited anatomic validation Perfusion assessment with contrast	Facilities expensive, Three-dimensional measurements time-consuming Limited availability

[89]. In the same study, MRI underestimated the true left ventricular volumes, the error increasing progressively for larger left ventricular cavities. Similarly accurate measurements of left ventricular volumes were derived from three-dimensional reconstructions obtained *in vitro* with transesophageal probes rotating around a fixed point [91] or translating linearly with 1 mm increments [92]; only 'fan-like' acquisitions exhibited larger SEE than those for the two previous imaging techniques [91]. Krebs *et al.* [93] reported recently that left ventricular volumes derived from three-dimensional reconstructions of silicon rubber models visualized with a multiplanar transesophageal probe correlated well to the true volumes ($R = 0.97$, SEE 8.7 ml) with a similar accuracy for cavities both with the regular shape and with aneurysmal deformities. Preliminary work to develop real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic imaging of the beating heart has been done [94], but the complex instrumentation and software needed for this have not yet been perfected sufficiently for initial validation studies of left ventricular mass and volume measurements to be performed.

Where do we stand now?

The information reviewed above indicates that several imaging methods can measure the left ventricular mass as accurately as can contrast left ventriculography, or even more so (Table 1). The oldest of these methods, M-mode echocardiography, relies on assumptions about the left ventricular geometry that limit its applicability to abnormally shaped left ventricles. Importantly, M-mode methods based on the simple cube-function formula have repeatedly been shown to give reasonably accurate left ventricular mass measurements in nearly two dozen necropsy validation studies concerning humans and animals ranging from baboons to mice [18–34,32–39]. The simplicity of the M-mode technique has facilitated its application to large-scale clinical and epidemiologic studies, including those that have documented the important relation between the left ventricular mass and its change with time, on the one hand, and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality on the other [1–11]. An often underemphasized aspect of left ventricular mass determination by M-mode echocardiography, however, is the need for considerable expertise in choosing the orientation of the 'ice-pick' beam and in selecting the correct left ventricular endocardial and epicardial interfaces [42,95]. A recent publication in the Journal illustrated the potential for serious error when M-mode echocardiography is used without the necessary attention to these technical aspects. A study by Missouriis *et al.* [96] used M-mode echocardiography and MRI imaging to measure the left ventricular masses of 24 patients with essential hypertension and came to the conclusion that the former technique substantially overestimated the left ventricular mass, a problem that the authors attributed to a fundamental error in the modeling of the left ventricular

geometry by the cube-function formula. Unfortunately, Missouriis *et al.* made this assertion despite the direct demonstration by Daniels *et al.* [33] that the post-mortem left ventricular weight can be predicted accurately using post-mortem left ventricular minor axis chamber dimensions and wall thicknesses in a standard echocardiographic left ventricular mass formula. Similarly, Missouriis *et al.* used M-mode echocardiographic measurements made according to the leading-edge convention of the American Society of Echocardiography [34] in the uncorrected cube-function formula, although, as had been pointed out by Schillachi *et al.* [97], this method has been documented to overestimate left ventricular masses in humans and in baboons by 15–20% [19,21,23,98]. The decision by Missouriis *et al.* to ignore this fact appears to have been based on the finding that use of MRI left ventricular 'minor axis' and wall thickness measurements in the cube-function formula also resulted in substantial overestimation of the left ventricular mass. Data presented by Missouriis *et al.* [96] provide an explanation for this result: the mean echocardiographic and MRI end-systolic septal and posterior wall thicknesses of 1.9 and 1.8 cm and of 1.9 and 1.7 cm, respectively, are larger than those obtained by echocardiography for a larger series of asymptomatic hypertensive patients [99,100]. This is the expected result if false tendons on the left-hand side of the interventricular septum and trabeculae on the endocardium of the posterior left ventricular wall are included in wall thicknesses, a mistake that is easier to make at end-systole than it is at end-diastole. Despite these numerous errors, one result reported by Missouriis *et al.* [96] is correct and important: calculation of the left ventricular mass by three-dimensional methods using MRI cross-sections yielded plausible estimates despite the apparent problems in orientation of the primary images. Thus, an important advantage of three-dimensional methods is that they have the potential to compensate at least partially both for the vagaries of patient orientation and of thoracic anatomy that can affect the results of geometry-dependent catheterization, echocardiographic and radionuclide methods, and for a lack of expertise on the part of individuals attempting to calculate the left ventricular mass. At present, the cost and immobility of MRI and computed tomographic facilities, the use of ionizing radiation with the latter technique, and the relative cumbersomeness of available three-dimensional echocardiographic methods continue to prevent their widespread application for left ventricular mass determination. Continuing technologic progress is likely to gradually improve these methods and allow them to contribute to further understanding of left ventricular hypertrophy, particularly in settings in which the left ventricular geometry is distorted, and when complex and expensive interventions are performed in small numbers of subjects. In the meantime, as emphasized by Wikstrand [101] in this issue of the Journal, echocardiography continues to provide accurate and useful measurements of left ventricular masses in patients

with a symmetric left ventricular shape, which is the case for most individuals with hypertension and many other cardiovascular conditions.

References

- Casale PN, Devereux RB, Milner M, Zullo G, Harshfield GA, Pickering TG, *et al.*: Value of echocardiographic measurement of left ventricular mass in predicting cardiovascular morbid events in hypertensive men. *Ann Intern Med* 1986, **105**:173–178.
- Nestrova AL, Novikov ID, Yurenev AP: Prognostic significance of blood pressure and left ventricular hypertrophy in systematic and non-systematic treatment of essential hypertension. *Kardiologia* 1986, **8**:89–91.
- Koren MJ, Devereux RB, Casale PN, Savage DD, Laragh JH: Relation of left ventricular mass and geometry to morbidity and mortality in uncomplicated essential hypertension. *Ann Intern Med* 1991, **114**:345–352.
- Mensah GA, Pappas TW, Koren MJ, Ulin RJ, Laragh JH, Devereux RB: Comparison of classification of hypertension severity by blood pressure level and World Health Organization criteria for prediction of concurrent cardiac abnormalities and subsequent complications in essential hypertension. *J Hypertens* 1993, **11**:1433–1444.
- Levy D, Garrison RJ, Savage DD, Kannel WB, Castelli WP: Prognostic implications of echocardiographically determined left ventricular mass in the Framingham Heart Study. *N Engl J Med* 1990, **322**:1561–1566.
- Bikina M, Levy D, Evans JC, Larson MG, Benjamin EJ, Wolf PA, *et al.*: Left ventricular mass and the risk of stroke in an elderly cohort. The Framingham Heart Study. *JAMA* 1994, **272**:33–36.
- Bolognese L, Dellavese P, Rossi L, Sarasso G, Bongo AS, Scianaro MC: Prognostic value of left ventricular mass in uncomplicated acute myocardial infarction and one-vessel coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1994, **73**:1–5.
- Liao Y, Cooper RS, McGee DL, Mensah GA, Ghali JK: The relative effects of left ventricular hypertrophy, coronary artery disease, and ventricular dysfunction on survival among black adults. *JAMA* 1995, **273**:1592–1597.
- Koren MJ, Ulin RJ, Laragh JH, Devereux RB: Reduction of left ventricular mass during treatment of essential hypertension is associated with improved prognosis [abstract]. *Am J Hypertens* 1991, **4**:1A.
- Yurenev AP, Dyakonova HG, Novikov ID, Vitols A, Pahi L, Haynemann G, *et al.*: Management of essential hypertension in patients with different degrees of left ventricular hypertrophy. Multicenter trial. *Am J Hypertens* 1992, **5** (suppl):182s–189s.
- Muesan ML, Salvetti M, Rizzoni D, Castellano M, Donato F, Agabiti-Rosei E: Association of change in left ventricular mass with prognosis during long-term antihypertensive treatment. *J Hypertens* 1995, **13**:1091–1105.
- Levy D, Salomon M, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, Kannel WB: Prognostic implications of baseline electrocardiographic features and their serial changes in subjects with left ventricular hypertrophy. *Circulation* 1994, **90**:1786–1793.
- Rackley CE, Dodge HT, Coble YD, Hay RE: A method for determining left ventricular mass in man. *Circulation* 1964, **29**:666–671.
- Kennedy JW, Reichenbach DD, Baxley WA, Dodge HT: Left ventricular mass. A comparison of angiographic measurements with autopsy weight. *Am J Cardiol* 1967, **19**:221–223.
- Krayenbuehl HP, Schneider K, Turina M, Senning A: Myocardial function and structure in aortic valve disease before and after surgery. *Eur Heart J* 1982, **3** (suppl A):149–153.
- Geiser EA, Bove KE: Calculation of left ventricular mass and relative wall thickness. *Arch Pathol* 1974, **97**:13–21.
- Appleyard RF, Glantz SA: Two dimensions describe left ventricular volume change during hemodynamic transients. *Am J Physiol* 1990, **258**:H277–H284.
- Devereux RB, Reichek N: Echocardiographic determination of left ventricular mass in man: anatomic validation of the method. *Circulation* 1977, **55**:613–618.
- Woythaler N, Singer SL, Kwan OL, Meltzer RS, Reubner B, Bommer W, *et al.*: Accuracy of echocardiography versus electrocardiography in detecting left ventricular hypertrophy: comparisons with post-mortem mass measurements. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1983, **2**:305–311.
- Yurenev AP, De Quattro V, Devereux RB: Hypertensive heart disease: relationship of silent ischemia to coronary artery disease and left ventricular hypertrophy. *Am Heart J* 1990, **120**:928–933.
- Devereux RB, Alonso DR, Lutas EM, Gottlieb GJ, Campo E, Sachs I, *et al.*: Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy: comparison to necropsy findings. *Am J Cardiol* 1986, **57**:450–458.
- Trivedi SK, Gupta OP, Jain AP, Jajoo UN, Kalantri SP, Bharambe MS: Left ventricular mass by M-mode echocardiography. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1992, **40**:150–152.
- Crawford MH, Walsh RA, Cragg D, Freeman GL, Miller J: Echocardiographic left ventricular mass and function in the hypertensive baboon. *Hypertension* 1987, **10**:339–345.
- Douglas PS, Berko B, Lesh M, Reichek N: Alterations in diastolic function in response to progressive left ventricular hypertrophy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1989, **13**:461–467.
- Young MS, Magid NM, Wallerson DC, Goldweit RS, Devereux RB, Carter JN, *et al.*: Echocardiographic left ventricular mass measurement in small animals: anatomic validation in normal and aortic regurgitant rabbits. *Am J Noninvasive Cardiol* 1990, **4**:145–153.
- de Simone G, Wallerson DC, Volpe M, Devereux RB: Echocardiographic measurement of left ventricular mass and volume in normal and hypertensive rats. Necropsy validation. *Am J Hypertens* 1990, **3**:688–696.
- Pawlusch DG, Moore RL, Musch TI, Davidson WR Jr: Echocardiographic evaluation of size, function and mass of normal and hypertrophied rat ventricles. *J Appl Physiol* 1993, **74**:2598–2605.
- Jones EF, Harrap SB, Calafiore P, Tonkin AM: Development and validation of echocardiographic methods for estimating left ventricular mass in rats. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* 1992, **19**:361–354.
- Litwin SE, Katz SE, Weinberg EO, Lorell BH, Aurigemma GP, Douglas PS: Serial echocardiographic-Doppler assessment of left ventricular geometry and function in rats with pressure-overload hypertrophy. Chronic angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition attenuates the transition to heart failure. *Circulation* 1995, **91**:2642–2654.
- de Simone G, Devereux RB, Wallerson DC: Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy in rats by a simplified method. *Am J Hypertens* 1994, **2**:555–558.
- Gardin JM, Siri FM, Kitsis RN, Edwards JG, Leinwand LA: Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular mass and systolic function in mice. *Circ Res* 1995, **76**:907–914.
- Manning WJ, Katz SE, Wei JY, Litwin SE, Douglas PS: *In vivo* assessment of LV mass in the adult mouse using high-frequency cardiac ultrasound: necropsy validation [abstract]. *Circulation* 1993, **88** (suppl I):168.
- Daniels SR, Meyer RA, Liang Y, Bove KE: Echocardiographically determined left ventricular mass index in normal children, adolescents and young adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1988, **12**:703–708.
- Sahn DJ, De Maria A, Kisslo J, Weyman A: The Committee on M-Mode Standardization of the American Society of Echocardiography: recommendations regarding quantitation in M-mode echocardiography: results of a survey of echocardiographic measurements. *Circulation* 1978, **58**:1072–1083.
- Wyatt HL, Heng MK, Meerbaum S, Hestenes JD, Cobo JM, Davidson RM, *et al.*: Cross-sectional echocardiography. I. Analysis of mathematic models for quantitating mass of the left ventricle in dogs. *Circulation* 1979, **60**:1104–1113.
- Reichek N, Helak J, Plappert T, St John Sutton M, Weber KT: Anatomic validation of left ventricular mass estimates from clinical two-dimensional echocardiography: initial results. *Circulation* 1983, **67**:348–352.
- Stack RS, Ramage JE, Bauman RP, Rembert JC, Phillips HR, Kisslo JA: Validation of *in vivo* two-dimensional dimension measurements using myocardial mass estimates in dogs. *Am Heart J* 1987, **113**:725–731.
- Schiller NB, Skioldebrand CG, Schiller EJ, Mavroudis CC, Silverman NH, Rahimtoola SH, *et al.*: Canine left ventricular mass estimation by two-dimensional echocardiography. *Circulation* 1983, **68**:210–216.
- Byrd BF III, Finkbeiner W, Bouchard A, Silverman MH, Schiller NB: Accuracy and reproducibility of clinically acquired two-dimensional echocardiographic mass measurements. *Am Heart J* 1989, **118**:133–137.
- Park SH, Shub C, Nobrega TP, Bailey KR, Seward JB: Two-dimensional echocardiographic calculation of left ventricular mass as recommended by the American Society of Echocardiography: correlation with autopsy and M-mode echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 1996, **9**:119–128.
- Erbel R, Sweizer P, Lambert H, Henn G, Meyer J, Krebs W, *et al.*: Echocardiography – a simultaneous analysis of two-dimensional echocardiography and cineventriculography. *Circulation* 1983, **67**:205–213.

- 42 Bland JM, Altman DG: **Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement.** *Lancet* 1986, **i**:307-310.
- 43 Wallerson DC, Devereux RB: **Reproducibility of echocardiographic left ventricular measurements.** *Hypertension* 1987, **9** (suppl 2):6-18.
- 44 de Simone G, Ganau A, Verdecchia P, Devereux RB: **Echocardiography in arterial hypertension: when, why and how?** *J Hypertens* 1994, **12**:1129-1136.
- 45 Gottdiener JS, Livengood SV, Meyer PS, Chase GA: **Should echocardiography be performed to assess effects of antihypertensive therapy? Test-retest reliability of echocardiography for measurement of left ventricular mass and function.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995, **25**:424-430.
- 46 Devereux RB, Dahlöf B, Levy D, Pfeffer MA: **Comparison of enalapril vs nifedipine to decrease left ventricular hypertrophy in systemic hypertension (The PRESERVE Trial).** *Am J Cardiol* 1996, **78**:61-65.
- 47 Schiller NB, Shah PM, Crawford M, DeMaria A, Devereux R, Feigenbaum H, et al.: **American Society of Echocardiography Committee on Standards, Subcommittee on Quantitation of Two-Dimensional Echocardiograms: recommendations for quantitation of the left ventricle by two-dimensional echocardiography.** *J Am Soc Echocardiography* 1989, **2**:358-367.
- 48 Matsubara BB, Bregagnollo EA, Tucci PJ: **Left ventricular mass estimated by M-mode echocardiogram is not altered by changes in cardiac shape and dimensions due to acute arterial hypertension.** *Braz J Med Biol Res* 1993, **26**:173-176.
- 49 Ditchey RV, Schuler G, Peterson KL: **Reliability of echocardiographic and electrocardiographic parameters in assessing serial changes in left ventricular mass.** *Am J Med* 1981, **70**:1042-1050.
- 50 Collins HW, Kronenberg MW, Byrd BF III: **Reproducibility of left ventricular mass measurements by two-dimensional and M-mode echocardiography.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1989, **14**:672-676.
- 51 Fast J, Jacobs S: **Limits of reproducibility of cross-sectional echocardiographic measurements of left ventricular muscle mass.** *Int J Cardiol* 1991, **31**:213-216.
- 52 Gardin JM, Siscovick D, Anton-Culver H, Lynch JC, Smith VE, Klopfenstein HS, et al.: **Sex, age, and disease affect left ventricular mass and systolic function in the free-living elderly: The Cardiovascular Health Study.** *Circulation* 1995, **91**:1739-1748.
- 53 Aurigemma GP, Reichek N, Venugopal R, et al.: **Automated left ventricular mass, volume and shape from 3D MRI.** *Am J Cardiac Imaging* 1991, **5**:257-263.
- 54 Gopal AS, Keller AM, Rigling R, King DL, King DL: **Left ventricular volume and endocardial surface area by three-dimensional echocardiography: comparison with two-dimensional echocardiography and nuclear magnetic resonance imaging.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993, **22**:258-270.
- 55 Stewart WJ, Rodkey SM, Gunawardena S, White RD, Luvusi R, Klein AL, et al.: **Left ventricular volume calculation with integrated backscatter from echocardiography.** *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 1993, **6**:553-563.
- 56 King DL, Gopal AS, Keller AM, Sapin PM, Schroeder KM: **Three-dimensional echocardiography: advances for measurement of LV volumes and mass.** *Hypertension* 1994, **23** (suppl I):172-179.
- 57 Cranney G, Lotan C, Dean L, Baxley W, Bouchard A, Pohost GM: **Left ventricular volume measurements using cardiac axis nuclear magnetic resonance imaging.** *Circulation* 1990, **82**:154-163.
- 58 Lawson MA, Blackwell CG, Davis ND, Roney M, Dell'Italia LI, Pohost GM: **Accuracy of biplane long-axis left ventricular volume determined by cine magnetic resonance imaging in patients with regional and global dysfunction.** *Am J Cardiol* 1996, **77**:1098-1104.
- 59 Florentine MS, Grosskreutz CL, Chang W, Hartnett JA, Dunn VD, Ehrhardt JC, et al.: **Measurement of left ventricular mass *in vivo* using gated nuclear magnetic resonance imaging.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1986, **8**:107-112.
- 60 Maddahi J, Crues J, Berman DS, Mericle J, Becerra A, Garcia EV, et al.: **Noninvasive quantification of left ventricular myocardial mass by gated proton nuclear magnetic resonance imaging.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1987, **10**:682-692.
- 61 Caputo GR, Tscholakoff D, Sechtem U, Higgins CB: **Measurement of canine left ventricular mass by using MR imaging.** *Am J Roentgenol* 1987, **148**:33-38.
- 62 Keller AM, Peshock RM, Malloy CR, Buja LM, Nunnally R, Parkey RW, et al.: ***In vivo* measurement of myocardial mass using nuclear magnetic resonance imaging.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1988, **8**:113-117.
- 63 Shapiro EP, Rogers WJ, Beyar R, Soulen RL, Zerhouni EA, Lima JA, et al.: **Determination of left ventricular mass by magnetic resonance imaging in hearts deformed by acute infarction.** *Circulation* 1989, **79**:706-711.
- 64 McDonald KM, Parrish T, Wennberg P, Stillman AE, Francis GS, Cohn JN, et al.: **Rapid, accurate and simultaneous noninvasive assessment of right and left ventricular mass with nuclear magnetic resonance imaging using the snapshot gradient method.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992, **19**:1601-1607.
- 65 Just H, Holubarsch C, Friedburg H: **Estimation of left ventricular volume and mass by magnetic resonance imaging: comparison with quantitative biplane angiocardiology [abstract].** *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 1987, **10**:1A.
- 66 Allison JD, Flickinger FW, Wright JC, Falls DG 3rd, Prisant LM, Von Dohlen TW, et al.: **Measurement of left ventricular mass in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy using MRI: comparison with echocardiography.** *Magn Reson Imaging* 1993, **11**:329-334.
- 67 Maddahi J, Crues J, Berman DS, Mericle J, Becerra A, Garcia EV, et al.: **Noninvasive quantification of left ventricular myocardial mass by gated proton nuclear magnetic resonance imaging.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1987, **10**:682-692.
- 68 Vogel M, Stern H, Bauer R, Buhlmeyer K: **Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging with cross-sectional echocardiography in the assessment of left ventricular mass in children without heart disease and in aortic isthmus coarctation.** *Am J Cardiol* 1992, **69**:941-944.
- 69 Mogelvang J, Lindvig K, Sondergaard L, Saunamaki K, Henriksen O: **Reproducibility of cardiac volume measurements including left ventricular mass determined by MRI.** *Clin Physiol* 1993, **13**:587-597.
- 70 Matheijssen NA, Baur LH, Reiber JH, van der Velde EA, van Dijkman PR, van des Geest RJ, et al.: **Assessment of left ventricular volume and mass by cine magnetic resonance imaging in patients with anterior myocardial infarction: intra-observer and inter-observer variability on contour detection.** *Int J Card Imaging* 1996, **12**:11-19.
- 71 Germain P, Roul G, Kastler B, Mossard JM, Bareiss P, Sacrez A: **Inter-study variability in left ventricular mass measurement. Comparison between M-mode echography and MRI.** *Eur Heart J* 1992, **13**:1011-1019.
- 72 Aurigemma G, Davidoff A, Silver K, Boehmer J: **Left ventricular mass quantitation using single-phase magnetic resonance imaging.** *Am J Cardiol* 1992, **70**:259-262.
- 73 Sakuma H, Fujita N, Foo TK, Caputo GR, Nelson SJ, Hartiala J, et al.: **Evaluation of left ventricular volume and mass with breath-hold cine MR imaging.** *Radiology* 1993, **188**:377-380.
- 74 Forbat SM, Karwatowski SP, Gatehouse PD, Firmin DN, Longmore DB, Underwood SR: **Rapid measurement of left ventricular mass by spin echo magnetic resonance imaging [technical note].** *Br J Radiol* 1994, **67**:86-90.
- 75 Iwasaki T, Sinak LI, Hoffman EA, Robb RA, Harris LD, Bahn RC, et al.: **Mass of left ventricular myocardium estimated with dynamic spatial reconstructor.** *Am J Physiol* 1984, **246**:H138-H142.
- 76 Sakuma H, Fujita N, Foo TK, Caputo GR, Nelson SJ, Hartiala J, et al.: **Evaluation of left ventricular volume and mass with breath-hold cine MR imaging.** *Radiology* 1993, **188**:377-380.
- 77 Feiring AJ, Rumberger JA, Reiter SJ, Skorton DJ, Collins SM, Lipton MJ, et al.: **Determination of left ventricular mass in dogs with rapid-acquisition cardiac computed tomographic scanning.** *Circulation* 1985, **72**:1355-1364.
- 78 Mousseaux E, Beyqui F, Fornes P, Chatellier G, Hagege A, Desnos M, et al.: **Determination of left ventricular mass with electron beam computed tomography in deformed, hypertrophic human hearts.** *Eur Heart J* 1994, **15**:832-841.
- 79 Roig E, Georgiou D, Chomka EV, Wolfkiel C, LoGalbo-Zak C, Rich S, et al.: **Reproducibility of left ventricular myocardial volume and mass measurements by ultrafast computed tomography.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991, **18**:990-996.
- 80 Rumberger JA, Behrenbeck T, Breen JR, Reed JE, Gersh BJ: **Nonparallel changes in global left ventricular chamber volume and muscle mass during the first year after transmural myocardial infarction in humans.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993, **21**:673-682.
- 81 Yamaoka O, Yabe T, Okada M, Endoh S, Nakamura Y, Mitsunami K, et al.: **Evaluation of left ventricular mass: comparison of ultrafast computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and contrast left ventriculography.** *Am Heart J* 1993, **126**:1372-1379.
- 82 Weiss JL, McGaughey M, Guier WH: **Geometric considerations in determination of left ventricular mass by two-dimensional echocardiography.** *Hypertension* 1987, **9** (suppl II):II85-II89.
- 83 Gopal AS, Keller AM, Shen Z, Sapin PM, Schroeder KM, King DL Jr, et al.: **Three-dimensional echocardiography: *in vitro* and *in vivo* validation of left ventricular mass and comparison with conventional echocardiographic methods.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994, **24**:504-513.

- 84 Sapin PM, Gopal AS, Clarke GB, Smith MD, King DL: **Three-dimensional echocardiography compared to two-dimensional echocardiography for measurement of left ventricular mass: anatomic validation in an open-chest canine model.** *Am J Hypertens* 1996, **9**:467–474.
- 85 Sapin PM, Clarke GB, Gopal AS, Smith MD, King DL: **Validation of three-dimensional echocardiography for quantifying the extent of dyssynergy in canine acute myocardial infarction: comparison with two-dimensional echocardiography.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1996, **27**:1761–1770.
- 86 Gopal AS, Shen Z, Sapin PM, Keller AM, Schnellbaecher MJ, Leibowitz DW, *et al.*: **Assessment of cardiac function by three-dimensional echocardiography compared with conventional noninvasive methods.** *Circulation* 1995, **92**:842–853.
- 87 Mele D, Jiang L, Handschumacher MD, Siu SC, Vandervoort PM, Vlahakes GJ, *et al.*: **Three-dimensional echocardiography can accurately measure left ventricular mass: *in vivo* and *in vitro* validation [abstract].** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993, **21**:332A.
- 88 Pini R, Monnini E, Masotti L, Novins KL, Greenberg DP, Greppi B, *et al.*: **Echocardiographic three-dimensional visualization of the heart.** In *3D Imaging in Medicine*. Edited by Holme KH, Fuchs H, Pizer SM. Berlin: Springer Verlag; 1990:263–274.
- 89 Pini R, Gianazzo G, Di Bari M, Innocenti F, Rega L, Casolo G, *et al.*: **Transthoracic three-dimensional echocardiographic reconstruction of left and right ventricles: *in vitro* validation and comparison with magnetic resonance imaging.** *Am Heart J* 1997, **133**:221–229.
- 90 Katz AS, Wallerson DC, Pini R, Devereux RB: **Visually-determined long- and short-axis parasternal views and four- and two-chamber apical echocardiographic views do not consistently represent paired orthogonal projections.** *Am J Noninvasive Cardiol* 1993, **7**:65–70.
- 91 Gerber TC, Foley DA, Belohlavek M, Seward JB, Greenleaf JF: **Three-dimensional echocardiographic determination of ventricular volume: comparison of three imaging techniques.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993, **21**:180A.
- 92 Azevedo J, Cao QL, Pandian N, Schwartz S, Klas B: **Quantification of left ventricular volumes by automated three-dimensional echocardiography using a computer-controlled tomographic probe: *in vitro* validation [abstract].** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1993, **21**:180A.
- 93 Krebs W, Klues HG, Steiner S, Sivarajan M, Job FP, Flaschkamp FA, *et al.*: **Left ventricular volume calculations using a multiplanar transesophageal echoprobe: *in vitro* validation and comparison with biplane angiography.** *Eur Heart J* 1996, **17**:1279–1288.
- 94 Sheikh KH, Smith SW, Von Ramm O, Kisslo J: **Real-time, three-dimensional echocardiography: feasibility and initial use.** *Echocardiography* 1991, **8**:118–125.
- 95 Devereux RB, Roman MJ: **Evaluation of cardiac and vascular structure and function by echocardiography and other noninvasive techniques.** In *Hypertension: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Management*. Second Edition. Edited by Laragh JH, Brenner BM. New York: Raven Press; 1995:1969–1985.
- 96 Missouriis CG, Forbat SM, Singer DR, Markandu ND, Underwood R, MacGregor GA: **Echocardiography overestimates left ventricular mass: a comparative study with magnetic resonance imaging in patients with hypertension.** *J Hypertens* 1996, **14**:1005–1010.
- 97 Schillaci G, Verdecchia P, Porcellati C: **Does echocardiography overestimate left ventricular mass?** *J Hypertens* 1997, **15**:213–214.
- 98 Yurenev A, de Quattro V, Devereux RB: **Hypertensive heart disease: relationship of silent ischemia to coronary artery disease and left ventricular hypertrophy.** *Am Heart J* 1990, **120**:928–933.
- 99 de Simone G, Devereux RB, Roman MJ, Ganau A, Saba PS, Alderman MH, *et al.*: **Assessment of left ventricular function by the mid-wall fractional shortening–end-systolic stress relation in human hypertension.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1994, **23**:1444–1451.
- 100 Roman MJ, Pickering TG, Schwartz JE, Pini R, Devereux RB: **Relation of arterial structure and function to left ventricular geometric patterns in hypertensive adults.** *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1996, **28**:751–756.
- 101 Wikstrand J: **Calculation of left ventricular mass – a comment.** *J Hypertens* 1997, **15**:811–813.