

# **50 anni dalla legge sul divorzio**

**cause e conseguenze dell'instabilità coniugale in Italia**

---

**a cura di  
Raffaele Guetto e Roberto Impicciatore**



ISBN 978-88-32003-02-4

Realizzazione grafica a cura di  
**the freak studio**



**50 anni  
dalla legge  
sul divorzio**  
cause e conseguenze dell'instabilità coniugale in Italia

**a cura di  
Raffaele Guetto e Roberto Impicciatore**

Associazione Neodemos 2021

---

# INDICE

<b>Premessa</b> .....	p 7
 <b>CAPITOLO 1</b>	
<b>Mezzo secolo di divorzio</b> .....	p 8
 Vittorio Filippi	
<b>L'instabilità coniugale prima della legge sul divorzio</b> .....	p 13
 Roberto Impicciatore, Raffaele Guetto	
<b>50 anni di divorzio in Italia</b> .....	p 17
 Antonella Guarneri, Francesca Rinesi, Giulia Rivellini	
<b>Nuovi modi di sciogliere il vincolo coniugale alla luce dei più recenti cambiamenti normativi</b> .....	p 21
 Giammarco Alderotti, Cecilia Tomassini, Daniele Vignoli	
<b>I “divorzi grigi” in Europa</b> .....	p 25
 Cinzia Conti, Antonella Guarneri	
<b>Divorziare da stranieri (e non solo) in Italia</b> .....	p 28
 Laura Arosio	
<b>La diffusione del divorzio e i cambiamenti delle seconde nozze in Italia</b> .....	p 32
 Patrizia Farina	
<b>La diffusione del divorzio nel mondo</b> .....	p 36

**CAPITOLO 2**

**Relazioni di coppia** ..... p 41

Daniela Bellani, Gøsta Esping-Andersen  
**Gender-asymmetric time allocation and divorce. A US-West  
 Germany comparison** ..... p 45

Daniela Bellani  
**Divisione del lavoro nella coppia e divorzio nel contesto  
 internazionale.** ..... p 49

Daniela Bellani, Daniele Vignoli  
**Coppie in cattività. La relazione tiene?**..... p 53

Elena Bastianelli, Daniele Vignoli  
**La complicata relazione tra instabilità lavorativa e divorzio**..... p 58

Diederik Boertien, Philipp M. Lersch  
**Dividing household wealth after breaking up:  
 Are there gender differences?** ..... p 62

**CAPITOLO 3**

**Fecondità, figli e divorzialità**..... p 68

Valentina Tocchioni, Eleonora Meli  
**Quando i figli non bastano a tenere insieme una coppia.  
 Divorzi per rito di celebrazione delle nozze e presenza di figli**..... p 71

Zuzana Zilincikova  
**Stability of cohabiting unions in Europe**..... p 77

Katherine Michelmore, Kelly Musick  
**Stability of U.S. couples with children  
 in a comparative perspective** ..... p 81

Jan Saarela  
**Child loss in Finland: does it induce divorce  
 or additional fertility?** ..... p 87

## CAPITOLO 4

**Conseguenze socioeconomiche del divorzio sui figli** ..... p 91

Juho Härkönen, Raffaele Grotti

**Le conseguenze del divorzio per il benessere dei figli**..... p 95

Raffaele Guetto, Nazareno Panichella

**La diffusione di nuovi modelli familiari:  
quali conseguenze per le disuguaglianze  
di istruzione secondo l'origine sociale?** ..... p 99

Stefano Cantalini, Nazareno Panichella,

Raffaele Guetto, Gabriele Ballarino

**Divorzi e separazioni:  
quale effetto sulle disuguaglianze sociali?** ..... p 104

Raffaele Guetto, Fabrizio Bernardi, Francesca Zanasi

**Divorzio dei genitori e istruzione dei figli:  
un'analisi comparativa** ..... p 109

Marco Tosi

**Tra mamma e papà:  
I rapporti tra figli adulti e genitori separati** ..... p 113

# Gender-asymmetric time allocation and divorce. A US-West Germany comparison

*DANIELA BELLANI, GÖSTA ESPING-ANDERSEN*

## BACKGROUND

There was a time when the prevailing opinion about family relations was that couple specialization (men working for pay, women staying at home) was optimal for both welfare maximization and partnership stability (Becker, 1981). One would accordingly predict rising divorce rates as women move into paid employment and pursue lifelong careers. The link between women's new roles and divorce has fueled a large number of empirical studies, but as Özcan and Breen's (2012) overview concludes, the causal link is far from clear. There is, however, evidence that the conventional male breadwinner model is losing its stabilizing influence on partnerships (Pampel, 2011). And studies implementing a dyadic approach suggest that partner similarity in terms of attitudes, preferences, and behavior increases relationship satisfaction. This, in turn, should positively influence partnership stability (Hohmann-Marriott, 2006).

In this article we focus on two countries, western Germany and the US, from the mid-1980s to the end of the 2000s. Over this period the crude divorce rate in western Germany increased from 2.1% to 2.3% while in the US it decreased from 5% to 3.7% (United Nations, various years).

## NORMS AND THE MULTIPLE EQUILIBRIUM APPROACH

How partners evaluate the quality of their relationship in terms of task allocation is largely determined by prevailing gender role norms, but these are not stable over time. As long as the traditional male breadwinner model was the dominant norm, it was associated with a high degree of marital stability. The revolution of women's roles has eroded this normative equilibrium, however. What are the consequences of this cultural change in terms of marital instability?

Our study aims to answer to this question. While most studies focus primarily on women's employment status, we focus on couple (dis)similari-

ties in time allocation to work, i.e. whether they are more or less egalitarian in the division of paid work and unpaid domestic labor. It is, of course, of key importance that we can identify variations in the predominance of traditional or gender-egalitarian norms. To this end, we consider how gender norms vary across countries and over time. As to the first dimension, we compare two countries: one, western Germany, with more traditional gender values, and the other, the United States, with greater gender-egalitarianism (Pampel, 2011). As to time trends, in the period from the mid-1980s until the end of the 2000s, we see a common trend toward gender egalitarianism (Schober & Stahl, 2014; Schwartz, 2010).

## **MAIN FINDINGS**


Using data from the German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP) and the US Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID), we distinguish three time periods between the second half of the 1980s and the end of the 2000s to proxy shifts in gender role attitudes. We measure the level of dissimilarity in (un)paid work as the (absolute) difference in the number of weekly hours spent by the husband in (un)paid work minus time spent by the wife.

Disregarding period change for the moment, a simple U.S. – western German comparison brings out clear differences in the link between partners' (dis)similarities and divorce risks (see also Bellani et al, 2018). In Germany, between the end of the 1980s and the end of the 2000s, we observe a stabilizing effect of adopting a dissimilar division of unpaid domestic labor. Put differently, German partners who adopted more equal division of unpaid work were also at greater risk of divorce. This was not the case in the U.S., where we observe a stability premium for couples who share unpaid work more equally.

Turning to the influence of changing gender role norms across time (from the mid-1980s to 2010), we find clear evidence of rising divorce risks among American couples who adopt a more unequal division of work, unpaid domestic labor especially (Figure 1). In other words, in tandem with period shifts in favor of more gender-egalitarian norms (Pampel, 2011), U.S. couples who adopt more gender-symmetric arrangements reap a statistically significant stability dividend.



**Figure 1:** Average marginal effects of dissimilarity in paid (first panel) and unpaid work (second panel) in the United States (US) and West Germany (DE) on the long-odds of divorce.



**Source:** Bellani and Esping-Andersen (2020), on data taken from SOEP (West Germany) and the PSID (US).

**Note:** For the U.S. the three periods are 1986–1992, 1993–2000, and 2001–2010, and for West Germany they are 1986–1994, 1995–2001, and 2002–2009. The predicted marginal effects are net of all the control variables. Confidence intervals at 95%. To understand the order of magnitude of these effects, please read the text.

The results for the United States confirm the prediction that gender egalitarianism will foster greater partnership stability. No so much in the earliest period (late 1980s), when we observe no significant divorce effect associated with the degree of dissimilarity in the division of labor. In recent decades, however, higher levels of gender dissimilarity in paid and in unpaid work have been associated with higher divorce risks. For instance, in the latest period considered, if the difference between the partners' weekly hours in paid work increases by 10 hours, the probability of divorce increases by about 0.20 percentage points, while an equivalent increase in dissimilarity of time spent on domestic tasks raises the risk by about 0.60 percentage points. In short, couples who move towards greater gender equality also enjoy more stable relationships.

The patterns that we observe for western Germany are different, although the trend is the same. In the earliest period, couples with a more unequal gender division of domestic tasks tend to be more stable. This 'traditionalism premium' is now weakening, however, and in the most recent period (2002–2009) gender inequality in domestic work is no longer

associated with greater couple stability. For western Germany, in the first period, a 10-hour increase in dissimilarity of time spent in unpaid domestic work was associated with about a 0.45 percentage point decrease in the probability of divorce, whereas in the most recent period the level of dissimilarity is no longer associated with a difference in marital stability.

To conclude, our analyses seem to provide support for the prediction that when social norms move towards greater gender egalitarianism they also appear to produce greater couple stability.

#### **A FINAL NOTE**

The meaning of nonmarital cohabitation differs in the two countries. Western Germany has a modest level of cohabitation, and fertility is strongly associated with marriage (Le Goff, 2002). In the United States, nonmarital cohabitation has become common, but it tends to be short-lived and is clearly not an equivalent to marriage (Heuveline & Timberlake, 2004). For this reason, we do not believe that our results are significantly affected by potential bias in the selection into marriage.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Becker, G. S. (1981). *A Treatise on the Family*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bellani, D., Esping Andersen, G., & Pessin, L. (2018). When equity matters for marital stability: Comparing German and US couples. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 35(9), 1273-1298.
- Bellani, D., & Esping-Andersen, G. (2020). Gendered Time Allocation and Divorce: A Longitudinal Analysis of German and American Couples. *Family Relations*, 69, 207-226.
- Heuveline, P., & Timberlake, J. M. (2004). The role of cohabitation in family formation: The United States in comparative perspective. *Journal of marriage and family*, 66(5), 1214-1230.
- Hohmann-Marriott, B. E. (2006). Shared beliefs and the union stability of married and cohabiting couples. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 68, 1015-1028.
- Le Goff, J. M. (2002). Cohabiting unions in France and West Germany: Transitions to first birth and first marriage. *Demographic research*, 7, 593-624.
- Özcan, B., & Breen, R. (2012). Marital instability and female labor supply. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 38, 463-481.
- Pampel, F. (2011). Cohort changes in the socio-demographic determinants of gender egalitarianism. *Social Forces*, 89, 961-982.
- Schober, P. S., & Stahl, J. F. (2014). Childcare trends in Germany: increasing socio-economic disparities in East and West. *DIW Economic Bulletin*, 4(11), 51-58.
- Schwartz, C. R. (2010). Earnings inequality and the changing association between spouses' earnings. *American journal of sociology*, 115(5), 1524-1557.