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#### Abstract

The development of molecules able to target protein-protein interactions (PPIs) is of interest for the development of novel therapeutic agents. Since a high percentage of PPIs are mediated by $\alpha$-helical structure at the interacting surface, peptidomimetics that reproduce the essential conformational components of helices are useful templates for the development of PPIs inhibitors. In this work, the synthesis of a constrained dipeptide isostere and insertion in the short peptide epitope EDLFYQ of the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) $\alpha 1$ helix domain resulted in the identification of a molecule capable of inhibiting the SARS-CoV-2 ACE2/spike interaction in the micromolar range. Moreover, inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 3CLPro main protease activity was assessed as an additional inhibitory property of the synthesized peptidomimetics, taking advantage of the C-terminal Q amino acid present in both the ACE2 epitope and the Mpro recognizing motif (APSTVxLQ), thus paving the way to the development of multitarget therapeutics toward coronavirus infections.


## INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 ${ }^{1,2}$ pandemic disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been responsible for over 500 million infections and 6 million confirmed deaths worldwide, as of April 2022. ${ }^{3}$ Although the introduction of vaccines ${ }^{3}$ has made it possible to reduce serious and critical cases to $0.1 \%$ among actually infected people, ${ }^{4}$ immunosuppressed or unvaccinated subjects still represent a vulnerable target, and there is concern for new genetic variants of SARS-CoV-2 that continue to emerge. ${ }^{5}$ Thus, the implementation of therapeutic approaches is needed to complement vaccine development. To date, a series of therapies are being employed for the treatment of COVID-19 ${ }^{6}$ that include antivirals, inflammation inhibitors, antirheumatic drugs, plasma, and therapeutic antibodies. The greatest efforts toward blocking viral replication are focused on key events linked to the infection, specifically oriented to the blockade of the spike-angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) interaction ${ }^{7}$ and the inhibition of the viral proteins necessary for replication, such as the 3CLPro protease ${ }^{8,9}$ and the RNA-
dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp). ${ }^{10}$ Accordingly, several small-molecule inhibitors of both 3CLPro and RdRP proteins have been identified, and three direct-acting antivirals have been already approved, such as Paxlovid containing nirmatrelvir (PF-07321332) as a 3CLPro inhibitor, and both Molnupiravir and Remdesivir as RdRp inhibitors. ${ }^{6}$ The critical step during the infection of human cells is driven by the binding of the viral spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 to the human cell surface receptor ACE2, making such interaction a valid target to develop cell entry inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 infection. In the work by Zhou, ${ }^{11}$ the structure and characterization of the complex ACE2-fragment S1 of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, obtained with the cryo-EM
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Figure 1. (a) Interaction between host angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor and SARS-CoV-2 spike through its receptor-binding domains (RBDs). (b) Peptidomimetic of the 6-amino-acid ACE2 motif (37-EDLFYQ-42) identified as a key epitope for SARS-CoV-2 inhibition.
technique, was reported. This protein-protein interaction (PPI) is characterized by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein region binding domain (RBD) interacting with the exposed domain of ACE2 with the N - and C-terms of the $\alpha 1$ helix. From these data, it was possible to identify an epitope on which to lay the basis for the development of inhibitors of the ACE2-spike protein $S$ interaction of SARS-CoV-2, as similarly seen previously for anti-SARS-n-CoV epitopes. ${ }^{12}$ From the analysis of initial works as reported in the literature identifying short epitopes of the ACE2 $\alpha 1$ helix, ${ }^{13}$ it was decided to design a peptidomimetic of the sequence $\mathrm{H}-{ }^{37} E D L F Y Q^{42}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, as shown in Figure 1a. Specifically, Larue and collaborators ${ }^{13}$ rationally designed and tested a series of small peptide inhibitors of the spike-ACE2 interaction, based on the sequence of ACE $2 \alpha 1$ helix, resulting in the identification of this N-terminal epitope possessing inhibition potency toward SARS-CoV-2 infection in the millimolar range. With the aim to constraining this sequence and improving the druggability of such epitope, we selected the Leu-Phe dipeptide sequence for replacement with dipeptide isosteres, as this sequence was found not directly interacting with the RBD of spike S1 domain (Figure 1).

Over the last years, several dipeptide isosteres have been proposed as peptidomimetic building blocks, some of them being used also in a repetitive manner to reproduce the conformational components of helices. ${ }^{14-16}$ Starting from the
terphenyl scaffold developed by Hamilton, ${ }^{17}$ other scaffolds with rodlike structure have been developed to improve their water solubility and to reduce their synthetic complexity, including pyridazine derivatives, ${ }^{18}$ terpyridyls, ${ }^{19}$ benzoylureas, ${ }^{20}$ and piperazinones. ${ }^{21}$ The latter, first reported by Arora and co-workers, ${ }^{21}$ possess a highly structured rodlike arrangement able to reproduce the spatial orientation of $i, i+4$, and $i$ +7 key side chains on an $\alpha$-helix. Several synthetic approaches for the achievement of piperazinone scaffolds have been reported in the literature (Figure 2a), such as those involving the 2 -amino- N -(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)acetamides I through the use of cyclic iminium intermediates, followed by hydrogenation, ${ }^{22}$ including in a solid-phase synthetic strategy, ${ }^{23}$ the Mitsunobu alkylation between amide and alcohol functions of derivative II, ${ }^{24,25}$ Jocic-type reactions of enantiomerically enriched trichloromethyl-substituted alcohol III ( $95 \%$ enantiomeric excess (ee)) with unsymmetrical mixed-primarysecondary 1,2 -diamine IV, ${ }^{26}$ a reductive cyclization of cyanomethylamino pseudopeptide $\mathbf{V},{ }^{27}$ and reductive amination of allyl-containing peptide VI with ozone. ${ }^{21}$

Thus, starting from our expertise in the preparation of dipeptide isosteres, ${ }^{28-30}$ we envisioned to develop a short and versatile synthetic strategy for the formation of differently substituted piperazinones and diazepanones VIII starting from N -(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)acetamides VII (Figure 2b). These constrained dipeptide isosteres were then inserted in the
(a) previous synthetic strategies to piperazinones

(b) this work:


Figure 2. (a) Previously reported synthetic strategies for the achievement of piperazinones. (b) Synthesis of differently substituted piperazinones and diazepanones starting from $N$-(2,2dimethoxyethyl)acetamides.
selected ACE2 epitope EDLFYQ to evaluate their potential activity as inhibitor of the ACE2-spike S1 interaction and as inhibitor of the activity of 3CLPro viral enzyme, based on a terminal glutamine in the peptide sequence essential for molecular recognition. ${ }^{a}$

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a starting point of the synthesis, we reasoned to modulate the reaction conditions to drive the selectivity of the cyclization toward the piperazinone heterocycle. As a case study, we prepared the glycyl- N -(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-Lphenylalaninate 3a, containing both phenylalanine and glycine residues. The synthesis of compound 3a was achieved by a reductive amination of phenylalanine methyl ester (1a) with dimethoxyacetaldehyde using hydrogen and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ as a catalyst, followed by a coupling reaction of 2 a with Fmoc-Gly-OH, using 1 -[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5b] pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate (HATU) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) at room temperature (r.t.) (Scheme 1). The yield of the coupling step (48\%) could not be improved, even using high temperature and microwave irradiation, or other coupling agent, such as (1-cyano-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethylidenaminooxy)dimethylamino-morpholinocarbenium hexafluorophosphate (COMU) or diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC)-ethyl (hydroxyimino)cyanoacetate (Oxyma). Then, different reaction conditions for the cyclization of compound 3a were studied, to obtain in a single step the condensation of the newly released aldehyde with the $N$-Fmoc amine functionality, the rearrangement of the cyclic iminium intermediate, and the reduction of the internal double bond. Thus, various mixtures of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/ triethylsilane (TES) or triisopropylsilane (TIPS) $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were tested, under different times and temperatures, to maximize the formation of the target piperazinone $\mathbf{4 a}$, versus the

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Piperazinone Skeleton $4^{a}$
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3a, $R=M e, 48 \%$
3b, $R=t B u, 50 \%$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) dimethoxyacetaldehyde, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ $10 \%$, MeOH , r.t., 16 h ; (ii) Fmoc-Gly-OH, HATU, DIPEA, dry dimethylformamide (DMF), r.t., 16 h ; (iii) TFA, TIPS, 1,2-DCE, r.t., 16 h ; (iv) 5 M HCl solution in dioxane, reflux, 16 h .
dihydropirazinone or the nonrearranged amino alcohol (Table 1). The application of the same reaction conditions

Table 1. Study of the Cyclization Reaction of 3a for the Achievement of Piperazinone 4a

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry |  | reag |  | time <br> (h) | $\underset{(\%)}{\text { yield (4a) }}$ | $\underset{(\%)}{\text { yield (5a) }}$ |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TFA } \\ 50 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TES } \\ & \text { 10\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 50 | 19 |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TFA } \\ 50 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TIPS } \\ \text { 10\% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 66 | 17 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TFA } \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TIPS } \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 70 | 0 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TFA } \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TIPS } \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 16 | 75 | 0 |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TFA } \\ 50 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TIPS } \\ 40 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,2-DCE } \\ 10 \% \end{gathered}$ | 16 | 85 | 0 |

reported by Krchňák and co-workers, ${ }^{23}$ gave compound 4a in $50 \%$ yield, together with $19 \%$ of dihydropirazinone 5 a (entry 1), whereas the substitution of TES with TIPS resulted in a slight improvement of the selectivity toward compound 4a (entry 2). The increase of this reductive agent from 10 to $40 \%$ (entry 3) and of the reaction time from 4 to 16 h (entry 4) allowed us to obtain selectively compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ in 70 and $75 \%$ yields, respectively. Finally, the use of 1,2-dichloroethane in place of dichloromethane was selected for better solubilizing the intermediate 3a, increasing the yield from 75 to $85 \%$ (entry 5).

Compound 4a was then transformed into the corresponding acid using 5 M HCl in dioxane (Scheme 1), which was found to be applicable in solid-phase peptide synthesis. The variation of this short synthetic strategy starting from Fmoc-Phe-OtBu $\mathbf{1 b}$ allowed us to obtain directly the oxopiperazine skeleton $\mathbf{4 b}$ in just three synthetic steps (with an overall yield of $24 \%$ ), even though this compound was found to be difficult to purify from TIPS by-products and not sufficiently pure to be applied in solid-phase peptide synthesis.

With this protocol in our hands, we turned the attention on coupling different amino acids in place of glycine to compound 2, to obtain a piperazinone skeleton with a substituent in position 1. The high steric hindrance between the aromatic moiety of phenylalanine did not allow us to obtain any coupling product between 2 and different amino acids, including those with a short hydrophobic chain, such as Fmoc-Ala-OH, Fmoc-Val-OH, or Fmoc-Leu-OH. However, the reversal of the synthetic approach, such as combining ( 2,2 dimethoxyethyl)glycinate to phenylalanine, was found to be a successful route for the synthesis of 3 -substituted piperazin-2one skeleton. As shown in Scheme 2, compound 7a (prepared

Scheme 2. Synthesis of (S)-3-Benzylpiperazin-2-one Skeleton $9^{a}$


6a, $R=M e$
6b, $R=t B u$
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7b $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{tBu}, 99 \%$


8a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, 60 \%$
$\mathbf{8 b}, R=t B u, 73 \%$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) dimethoxyacetaldehyde, TEA, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} /$ C $10 \%$, MeOH , r.t., 16 h ; (ii) Fmoc-L-Phe-Cl, DIPEA, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 4 h ; (iii) TFA, TIPS, 1,2-DCE, r.t., 16 h ; (iv) 5 M HCl solution in dioxane, reflux, 16 h .
by reductive amination of glycine methyl ester 6a with dimethoxyacetaldehyde) was left reacting with $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Phe}-\mathrm{Cl}$ to give the intermediate 8a in $70 \%$ yield. Amide bond formation via acyl chloride proved to work better than the coupling reaction with Fmoc-Phe-OH using HATU as described above, which resulted in the corresponding product in only $16 \%$ yield. The application of the cyclization protocol and of the acidmediated methyl ester deprotection as described above gave the final ( $S$ )-3-benzylpiperazin-2-one skeleton $\mathbf{9 b}$ in $39 \%$ yield over two steps. The synthetic pathway for achieving (S)-3-benzylpiperazin-2-one 9 was also repeated starting from glycine tert-butyl ester $\mathbf{6 b}$. In this way, intermediate $\mathbf{8 b}$, obtained by acylation of intermediate $7 \mathbf{b}$ with Fmoc-Phe- Cl in $73 \%$ yield, was treated with TFA/TIPS mixture to give compound $9 \mathbf{~ b}$ in a single step in $48 \%$ yield (Scheme 2).

Then, with the aim of obtaining larger heterocycles as dipeptide isosteres, we combined compound 2a with Fmoc- $\beta$ alanine using HATU and DIPEA at room temperature (Scheme 3). Intermediate 10, obtained in $52 \%$ yield, was

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Diazepan-5-one Skeleton $11^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (i) Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-OH, HATU, DIPEA, dry DMF, r.t., 16 h ; (ii) TFA, TIPS, 1,2-DCE, r.t.; 16 h ; (iii) 5 M HCl solution in dioxane, reflux, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 28 \mathrm{~h}$.
then cyclized using the same optimized reaction conditions to give the diazepan-5-one 11a in $76 \%$ yield. This scaffold was then transformed into the corresponding carboxylic acid 11b using a 5 M HCl solution in dioxane in $44 \%$ yield. The synthetic strategy previously shown starting from tert-butyl ester $\mathbf{2 b}$ was found not to be applicable for the synthesis of this scaffold, as treatment with TFA/TIPS mixture gave 11b and 1,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-1,4-diazepin-5-one in an inseparable mixture.

With the aim of studying the existence of a preferred conformation adopted by the newly synthesized dipeptide isosteres when coupled to another amino acid at the Cterminus side, specifically investigating the possibility to form an internal hydrogen-bonding interaction between the carbonyl moiety of the scaffold and the NH group of the adjacent amino acid, we prepared three novel compounds as model tripeptide mimetics (Figure 3a). The carboxylic moieties of $\mathbf{4 b}$, $\mathbf{9 b}$, and 11b were coupled to Fmoc-Val-OH to obtain compounds 12-14 in 33-40\% yields. An aliquot of 1 mg each of these compounds was dissolved in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of deuterated chloroform to give diluted solutions, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded after sequential addition of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)- $d_{6}$, as a hydrogen-bond competitor. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals attributable to the NH , appearing in the region between 6.50 and 6.80 ppm , were found to be downfield shifted with every DMSO- $d_{6}$ addition (Figure 3b). These data suggested that amide protons of compounds 12-14 were solvent-exposed and did not show any tendency to form an internal hydrogen-bonding interaction with the carbonyl moiety. In particular, the amide proton of ( $S$ )-3-benzylpiperazin-2-one in 13 interacted more strongly with the competing solvent in the generation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds, thus resulting in a higher deshielding of their chemical shifts.

With these compounds in our hands, we reasoned to insert the two dipeptide isosteres mimicking the Gly-Phe sequence ( $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $11 \mathbf{b}$ ) in the sequence H -EDLFYQ- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, a key peptide epitope that was found capable of inhibiting the interaction between the binding region domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein S 1 and ACE2 receptor. ${ }^{13}$ The absence of leucine side chain in the peptidomimetics was not considered an issue, as in the ACE2 $\alpha 1$ helix, it was found pointing outward with respect to the protein interacting surface. Peptidomimetics 15 and 16 , containing $4 b$ and $11 b$, respectively, were obtained by solid-phase peptide synthesis in $24-30 \%$ yields (Figure 4).


Figure 3. (a) Synthesis of compounds 12-14 containing, respectively, the piperazin-2-one, the (S)-3-benzylpiperazin-2-one, and the diazepan-5-one skeleton coupled to L-valine. (b) Diagram of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts upon $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ addition to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution ( 4 mM ) of $\mathbf{1 2}$ (blue line), $\mathbf{1 3}$ (green line), and $\mathbf{1 4}$ (orange line).


Figure 4. Structure of peptidomimetics 15 and 16.

Finally, peptidomimetics 15 and 16, together with parent peptide epitope EDLFYQ, were assayed in an enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA)-like solid-phase assay for evaluating the ability of these compounds to interfere with the ACE2spike S1 RBD protein-protein interaction. The parent epitope and compound 15 showed limited activity in blocking with such interaction at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, whereas for compound 16, a significant effect was observed even at a lower concentration, possibly due to increased rigidity of the epitope induced by the constrained dipeptide isostere (Table 2). For this compound, a dose-response measurement using an inhibitor range of concentrations ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 1 mM ) was obtained, with a resulting $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $20 \pm 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
In view of evaluating a potential dual effect of the peptidomimetics toward ACE2-spike s1 RBD interaction and the viral 3CLPro protease, we also evaluated these compounds for their ability to inhibit 3CLPro enzyme, the main protease of SARS-CoV-2, through a fluorimetric assay

Table 2. Inhibitory Activity Data of Peptidomimetics 15 and 16 in Comparison of SAP-6 on the Interaction spike-ACE2 (Determined Using a Solid-Phase ELISA-like Assay) and on 3CLpro (Determined Using a Fluorogenic Peptide Substrate) ${ }^{a}$

| compound | In(spike-ACE2) at <br> $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\% \operatorname{In}(3 C L P r o)$ <br> $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EDLFYQ (SAP-6) | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| ED(4b)YQ 15 | $0 \%$ | $90-91 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=15 \pm 6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| ED(11b)YQ 16 | $66-76 \%$ | $45-57 \%$ |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=20 \pm 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=127 \pm 76 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Mean from three different assays, errors were in the range of $5-10 \%$ of the reported values; $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were retrieved from dose-response assays as the concentration of compound required for $50 \%$ inhibition, as estimated by nonlinear correlation using GraphPad Prism software.
using Hilyte Fluor-488-ESATLQSGLRKAK-(QXL-520) $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ as substrate. The rationale behind such a hypothesis was driven by the existence of structural analogies between the N -terminal $\alpha 1$ helix of ACE2 and protease substrate epitopes, and in particular the concomitant presence of a C-terminal $Q$ amino acid in both the key ACE2 epitope EDLFYQ and the Mpro recognizing motif APSTVxLQ. ${ }^{31}$ Accordingly, a significant inhibition activity was observed for the two peptidomimetics 15 and 16 , with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $15 \pm 6$ and $127 \pm 76 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, whereas no inhibition was observed for the parent peptide epitope, suggesting a key role of the constrained dipeptide isostere in promoting the inhibition activity.

## - CONCLUSIONS

Following the occurrence of COVID-19 pandemic, the introduction of efficient vaccine strategies resulted in significant reduction in mortality and hospitalization. Nevertheless, implementing therapeutic approaches with directacting antivirals to complement vaccine development has become a medical need. In this view, the identification of small molecules able to target protein-protein interactions (PPIs) is of interest for the development of novel therapeutic agents, including the blockade of SARS-CoV-2 viral entry through ACE2-spike RBD interaction. Herein, the synthesis of a pool of Fmoc-protected constrained dipeptide isosteres was achieved in a few steps using iminium chemistry, and the successful insertion in the short peptide epitope EDLFYQ of the ACE $2 \alpha$-helix 1 domain proved to inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 ACE2/spike interaction in the micromolar range. Moreover, taking advantage of the C -terminal Q amino acid present in both the ACE2 epitope and the Mpro recognizing motif, the inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 3CLPro main protease activity was assessed as an additional inhibitory property of the synthesized peptidomimetics, thus paving the way to the development of multitarget therapeutics towards coronavirus infections.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis. General. Analytical-grade solvents and commercially available reagents were used without further purification. Reactions requiring an inert atmosphere were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury $400\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ or a Varian Mercury $200\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 200 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$. The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) and coupling constants ( $J$ ) are expressed in parts per million (ppm) and hertz ( Hz ), respectively. NMR spectra were collected on a Varian Inova 400 spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for 1 H . The spectra of

15 were obtained in $4 \mathrm{mM} 90 \% \mathrm{HDO}$ where aggregation was not significant. TOCSY-ES spectra were recorded with 80 ms mixing time, 2048 points in t1, 256 points in t2, and 32 scans per t2 increment. Two-dimensional (1D) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of compounds $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 4}$ for determining intramolecular hydrogen bond were obtained at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as around $3-4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution and adding increasing quantities of DMSO- $d_{6}$ until $10 \%$. Flash column chromatography (FCC) purifications were performed manually using glass columns with Merck silica gel $(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ or using the Biotage Isolera automatic system and SNAP silica cartridges. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analyses were performed on Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates. Optical rotations were measured with JASCO DIP-360 digital polarimeter. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific LCQ fleet ion-trap doublequadrupole mass spectrometer using electrospray (ES+) ionization techniques. Dry dichloromethane was obtained through distillation over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) was obtained through distillation over Na /benzophenone. Reactions conducted under microwave irradiation, including solid-phase peptide synthesis, were performed with an automatic single-mode Biotage Initiator Sixty microwave synthesizer equipped with temperature- and pressuremonitoring sensors, using sealed reaction tubes. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analyses and purifications were performed on synthesized peptides using Dionex Ultimate 3000 system.
General Procedure (A) for the Synthesis of Compounds $2 a, 2 b$ and $7 a, 7 b$. A methanolic solution of commercially available amino acid hydrochloride $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{6 a}$, or $\mathbf{6 b}$ (1 equiv) for $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{7 a}$, or $\mathbf{7 b}$, respectively, was filtered on Amberlist A21 dry ion-exchange resin, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Then, in a double-neck flask, the amino acid was dissolved in MeOH ( 3 mL / mmol ), and a $60 \%$ aqueous solution of dimethoxyacetaldehyde ( 1 equiv) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ were successively added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature under a hydrogen atmosphere. Next, the suspension was filtered over Celite, rinsed with MeOH , and the organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was used for the following step without further purification.

General Procedure (B) for the Synthesis of Compounds 3a, $3 b$ and 10a. To a solution of Fmoc-Gly-OH or Fmoc- $\beta$-Ala-OH (1.1 equiv) in dry DMF ( $2.67 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( 1.5 equiv), and DIPEA dry ( 2 equiv), a solution of compound $\mathbf{2 a}$ or $\mathbf{2 b}$ in dry DMF ( 2.67 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, the crude was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and washed with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(1 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure, then the crude product was purified by flash chromatography.

General Procedure (C) for the Synthesis of Compounds $8 a$ and $8 b$. To a solution of compound $7 \mathbf{a}$ or $7 \mathbf{b}$ (1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} /$ mmol ), DIPEA ( 2 equiv) was added, and the mixture was cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an ice bath. Then, a solution of Fmoc-L-phenylalanine hydrochloride ( 1 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 30 min . The ice bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was left under stirring for 4 h at room temperature. Then, the crude was washed with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(1 \times 25)$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography.

General Procedure (D) for the Synthesis of Compounds 4a, 9a, and 11a. The mixture of TFA, TIPS, and 1,2-DCE (50:40:10, 5.5 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to compounds $3 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{a}$, and 10 , and the resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The mixture was concentrated under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to obtain $4 \mathrm{a}, 9 \mathrm{a}$, and 11a.

General Procedure (E) for the Synthesis of Compounds 4b, 9b, and 11b. Compound 4a, 9a, or 11a (1 equiv) was dissolved in dioxane $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$, and $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction was refluxed for 16 h using an oil bath and then diluted
with $5 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{32}$ The resulting solution was washed with diethyl ether, then the aqueous layer was acidified to pH 1 with 3 M HCl , and the organic phase was extracted with EtOAc. The organic extracts were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was used in the following step without further purification.

General Procedure (F) for the Synthesis of Compounds 12-14. To a solution of $\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{9 b}$, or $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ ( 1 equiv) in dry DMF ( 20 mL / $\mathrm{mmol})$, COMU ( 1.5 equiv) and a solution of L -valine methyl ester hydrochloride ( 1 equiv) and dry DIPEA (6 equiv) in dry DMF (20 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. Successively, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and water, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then, the organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography.

Methyl (2,2-Dimethoxyethyl)-L-phenylalaninate (2a). Compound 2a $(2.69 \mathrm{~g})$ was obtained following the general procedure $A$ as a yellow oil in $90 \%$ yield, using 1a ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), MeOH (28.9 $\mathrm{mL})$, a $60 \%$ aqueous solution of dimethoxyacetaldehyde $(1.69 \mathrm{~mL}$, $11.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.139 \mathrm{~g}) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-25.9\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 174.7, 137.2, 129.1, 128.4, 126.7, 103.7, 63.0, 53.9, 53.3, 51.6, 49.2, 39.6. ESI-MS $(m / z): 268.15\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 62.90; H, 7.92; N, 5.24. Found: C,63.04; H,8,00; N, 5.19.
tert-Butyl (2,2-Dimethoxyethyl)-L-phenylalaninate (2b). Compound $2 \mathbf{b}(1.26 \mathrm{~g})$ was obtained following the general procedure A as a yellow oil in $90 \%$ yield, using $\mathbf{1 b}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.51 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeOH}(11.6$ mL ), and a $60 \%$ aqueous solution of dimethoxyacetaldehyde ( 0.68 $\mathrm{mL}, 4.51 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.112 \mathrm{~g}) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-25.1$ (c 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=20.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.98 (bs, 1H), 1.32 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 173.5, 137.4, 129.3, 128.2, 126.5, 103.6, 81.1, 63.4, 54.0, 53.1, 49.0, 39.7, 27.9. ESI-MS $(m / z): 310.02\left(100,[M+H]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 65.99; H, 8.80; N, 4.53. Found: C, 66.10; H, 8.93; N, 4.48.

Methyl $N$-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)glycyl)-N-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-L-phenylalaninate (3a). Compound 3a was obtained following the general procedure B, using $\mathbf{2 a}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.74 \mathrm{mmol})$, Fmoc-Gly-OH ( $1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 4.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $2.13 \mathrm{~g}, 5.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dry DIPEA ( $1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dry DMF ( 20 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc $1: 1$ ), to give $3 \mathrm{a}(0.98 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white spongy solid in $48 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ -67.9 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1.8 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 0.20 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})$ and 3.42 $(\mathrm{s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.80 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.48(\mathrm{~s} .0 .20), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.40 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.60 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.60 H ) and $2.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.40 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 170.4,169.0,156.1,143.9,141.3$, 137.7, 129.0, 128.7, 127.7, 127.0, 126.8, 125.1, 119.9, 103.2, 67.1, 63.8, 55.3, 54.5, 53.6, 51.3, 47.2, 43.0, 34.6. ESI-MS $(m / z): 569.16$ (100, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 68.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.27 ; \mathrm{N}$, 5.12. Found: C, 68.28; H, 6.30; N, 5.08.
tert-Butyl $N$-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)glycyl)- $N$ -(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-L-phenylalaninate (3b). Compound 3b was obtained following the general procedure B, using $\mathbf{2 b}$ ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.23$ mmol ), Fmoc-Gly-OH ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 3.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 4.85$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, dry DIPEA ( $1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dry DMF $(17.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexaneEtOAc 2:1), to give $3 \mathbf{b}(0.95 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white oil in $50 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$
-68.4 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.45 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 0.55 \mathrm{H})$, $3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $3.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H})$ and $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 168.9,168.8,156.2,144.0,141.3,138.2,129.1,128.7,127.7,127.1$, 126.7, 125.2, 120.0, 103.2, 81.8, 67.2, 64.7, 54.8 and 54.6, 51.5, 47.2, 43.1, 34.8, 28.1 and 28.0. ESI-MS $(m / z): 611.22\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 69.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.76$. Found: 69.18; H, 7.01; N, 5.22.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (S)-4-(1-Methoxy-1-oxo-3-phenylpro-pan-2-yl)-3-oxopiperazine-1-carboxylate (4a). Compound 4a was obtained following the general procedure D , using 3 a ( $0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 1.28$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture of TFA ( 3.50 mL ), TIPS ( 2.80 mL ), and $1,2-$ DCE ( 0.70 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc 2:1) to give $4 \mathbf{a}(0.53 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white oil in $85 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-48.6\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers: $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (d, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.45-7.28 (m, 6H), $7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 170.6,165.7,154.4,143.7,141.3,136.3,129.3$, 128.7 and 128.6, 127.8, 127.1, 124.8, 120.0, 67.9, 58.0, 52.5, 47.3 and 47.1, 44.2, 40.6, 34.3. ESI-MS $(m / z): 507.19\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 71.88; H, 5.82; $\mathrm{N}, 5.78$. Found: C, 71.64; H, 6.01; N, 5.66.
(S)-2-(4-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-2-oxopiperazin-1-yl)-3-phenylpropanoic Acid (4b). Compound 4b was obtained, as a white spongy solid, following the general procedure D , using $3 \mathbf{b}$ ( 0.95 $\mathrm{g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture of TFA ( 4.47 mL ), TIPS ( 3.58 mL ), and 1,2-DCE $(0.89 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $53 \%$ yield ( 0.40 g ). Alternatively, following the general procedure E , using $4 \mathrm{a}(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, dioxane $(2.72 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2.72 \mathrm{~mL})$, compound $4 \mathbf{b}$ was obtained in $50 \%$ yield $(0.256 \mathrm{~g}) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-49.7\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.99$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 172.0$, 166.5, 154.6, 143.6, 141.1, 137.1, 129.2, 128.5 and 128.3, 127.5 and 127.4, 126.9 and 126.8, 126.5, 124.9 and 124.5, 119.6 and 119.5, 66.8, 59.2, 46.9, 46.6, 44.6, 41.8, 40.6, 33.6. ESI-MS $(m / z)$ : 493.16 ( 100, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 71.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.57$; $\mathrm{N}, 5.95$. Found: C, 71.60; H, 5.61; N, 5.83.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (S)-4-(1-Methoxy-1-oxo-3-phenylpro-pan-2-yl)-3-oxo-3,4-dihydropyrazine-1(2H)-carboxylate (5a). Compound 5a was obtained as a white oil in 19\% yield as a byproduct starting from 3a when the cyclization reaction was conducted with TES instead of TIPS. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-42.6\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$ and $6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.67-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.39 (dd, $J=14.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.0,167.6,154.6,143.3$, 141.3, 135.8, 129.8, 128.6, 127.9, 127.2, 124.8, 120.1, 110.0 and 109.4, 96.5, 68.5 and $68.3,56.4,52.5,47.1,47.0,46.8,35.5$. ESI-MS $(m / z)$ : $505.32\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 72.19 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.43; N, 5.81. Found: C, 72.44; H, 5.59; N, 5.69.

Methyl (2,2-Dimethoxyethyl)glycinate (7a). Compound 7a was obtained as a yellow oil in $99 \%$ yield, following the general procedure A using glycine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(24 \mathrm{~mL})$, triethylamine ( $1.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), a $60 \%$ aqueous solution of dimethoxyacetaldehyde ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.11 \mathrm{~g})$. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{33}$
tert-Butyl (2,2-Dimethoxyethyl)glycinate (7b). Compound 7b $(1.30 \mathrm{~g})$ was obtained as a pale white oil in $99 \%$ yield, following the general procedure A using glycine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride ( 1.00 g, 5.97 mmol ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$, triethylamine ( $0.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.97 \mathrm{mmol})$, a $60 \%$ aqueous solution of dimethoxyacetaldehyde ( $0.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.97$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.083 \mathrm{~g})$. Spectroscopic data are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{34}$

Methyl N -((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-L-phenylalan-yl)-N-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)glycinate (8a). Compound 8a was obtained following the general procedure C using $7 \mathrm{a}(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82$ mmol ), Fmoc-L-phenylalanine hydrochloride ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 2.82$ ), DIPEA $(0.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1$ ) to give 8a $(0.92 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white spongy solid in $60 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-23.4$ (c 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.34-7.28$ (m, 4H), 7.26-7.23 (m, 2H), 7.20 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H})$ and 4.67 (dd, $J=15.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, J=10.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1.20 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.29-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 0.80 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}) 4.21-$ $4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=21.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.4 H ), 3.72 (d, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.54 (dd, $J=14.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.44-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1.20 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $172.6,169.4,155.5$, 143.8, 141.2, 136.2, 129.6 and 129.4, 128.6, 128.5, 127.7, 127.0, 125.2 and 125.1, 119.9, 103.7 and 103.4, 67.0, 55.2 and 54.9, 52.5 and 52.0, 52.2 and $52.1,51.0$ and $50.5,49.2,47.1,39.5$. ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 569.26$ (100, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 68.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.27 ; \mathrm{N}$, 5.12. Found: C, $68.29 ;$ H, 6.31 ; N, 5.02 .
tert-Butyl N -((()9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-ı-phenyla-lanyl)- $N$-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)glycinate (8b). Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ was obtained following the general procedure C using $7 \mathrm{~b}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.28$ mmol ), Fmoc-L-phenylalanine hydrochloride ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 2.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DIPEA ( $0.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(22.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 1: 1$ ) to give $\mathbf{8 b}(0.98 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white spongy solid in $73 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-8.72$ (c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta$ $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $0.6 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.69-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1.20 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.27-$ $4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 0.80 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $1.2 \mathrm{H}) 3.58-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.00-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 172.6,169.7,155.5,143.8,141.2,136.3,129.6$ and 129.4, 128.5 and 128.4, 127.7, 127.0 and 126.9, 125.2 and 125.1, 120.1, 103.9 and 103.5, 81.7, 67.0, 55.2 and 55.0, 52.1 and 51.9, 51.4, 50.0 and 49.9, 47.1, 39.3, 28.1 and 28.0. ESI-MS $(m / z): 611.32$ ( 100 , $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 69.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.76$. Found: C, 69.52; H, 6.91; N, 4.81 .
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (S)-2-Benzyl-4-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)-3-oxopiperazine-1-carboxylate (9a). Compound 9a was obtained following the general procedure D using $8 \mathrm{a}(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a mixture of TFA ( 1.0 mL ), TIPS $(0.80 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $1,2-$ DCE $(0.20$ mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc 2:1) to give $9 \mathrm{a}(0.13 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white oil in $75 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-47.6\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers: $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.70 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.6 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.17-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.72-2.64 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 168.9,167.7,154.6,143.9,141.3,136.9,129.8,128.4$ and 126.8, 127.8, 127.1, 124.8, 124.6, 120.1, 67.2, 58.5 and 58.1, 52.3, 48.6 and 48.4, 47.6 and 47.5, 47.2, 39.1 and 38.1. ESI-MS $(m / z): 507.20$
(100, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 71.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.82 ; \mathrm{N}$, 5.78. Found: C, 71.52; H, 6.26, N, 5.56.
(S)-2-(4-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-3-benzyl-2-oxopi-perazin-1-yl)acetic Acid (9b). Compound 9 b was obtained, as a white spongy solid, following the general procedure $D$, using $\mathbf{8 b}$ $(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$, and a mixture of TFA $(2.36 \mathrm{~mL})$, TIPS ( 1.11 $\mathrm{mL})$, and $1,2-\mathrm{DCE}(0.47 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $48 \%$ yield $(0.67 \mathrm{~g})$. Alternatively, following the general procedure $E$, compound $9 b$ was obtained from 9 a $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$, dioxane $(0.68 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(0.68 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $52 \%$ yield $(0.066 \mathrm{~g}) \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-42.9\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ (dd, $J=32.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 0.30 \mathrm{H})$ and 4.55 $(\mathrm{s}, 0.70 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 0.40 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.49-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 0.60 \mathrm{H})$, $3.29-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.55(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 171.9$, 169.8 and 168.6, 154.8 and 154.3, 143.8 and 143.3, 141.3, 136.6, $129.8,128.4$ and $126.9,127.8,127.2,127.1,124.8,124.6,120.1,67.5$, 58.4, 48.7, 47.7, 47.2, 38.9 and 38.0, 37.1 and 36.84. ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / z)$ : $503.56\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 71.48 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.57; N, 5.95. Found: C, 71.61; H, 5.61; N, 5.84.

Methyl $N$-(3-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-propanoyl)- N -(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-L-phenylalaninate (10). Compound 10a was obtained following the general procedure $\mathbf{B}$ using $\mathbf{2 a}$ $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.74 \mathrm{mmol})$, Fmoc- $\beta$-ala-OH ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 4.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU $(2.13 \mathrm{~g}, 5.61 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry DIPEA $(0.130 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.48 \mathrm{mmol})$, and dry DMF ( 20 mL ). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc 1:1), to give $10(1.10 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white oil in $52 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-76.4\left(c\right.$ 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.70 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.30 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.23-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-$ $3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.58-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1.70 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 174.4,170.8,156.4,144.0,141.3$, 137.9, 129.1, 128.6, 127.6, 127.0, 126.7, 125.1, 119.9, 103.6, 66.7, 63.4, 55.2 and 54.6, 52.3, 52.3 and 52.1, 47.2, 36.7, 34.7. ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} /$ $z): 583.24\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 68.56$; H, 6.47; N, 5.00. Found: C, 68.72; H, 6.59; N, 5.04.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (S)-4-(1-Methoxy-1-oxo-3-phenylpro-pan-2-yl)-5-oxo-1,4-diazepane-1-carboxylate (11a). Compound 11a was obtained following the general procedure $\mathbf{D}$ using 10 (1.10 $\mathrm{g}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a mixture of TFA $(5.40 \mathrm{~mL})$, TIPS $(4.35 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $1,2-\mathrm{DCE}(1.08 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc $1: 1$ ) to give 11a ( 0.750 g ) as a white oil in $76 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-58.4\left(c\right.$ 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 174.4,171.3,155,143.8,141.4,136.8,128.8,128.7$, $127.8,127.1,127.0,124.8,120.0,67.0,60.2,52.4,48.9,47.4,46.8$, 41.6, 39.1, 35.1. ESI-MS $(m / z)$ : 521.31 (100, $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 72.27; H, 6.07; N, 5.62. Found: C, 72.41; H, 6.13; N, 5.54.
(S)-2-(4-(((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)-7-oxo-1,4-diaze-pan-1-yl)-3-phenylpropanoic Acid (11b). Compound 11b (0.320 g) was obtained following the general procedure $E$ using 11a ( 0.750 g , $1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, dioxane $(3.75 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ as a spongy white solid in $44 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-59.4\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
6.6 Hz, 5H), $7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta$ 174.0, 170.0, 155.0, 143.7, 141.4, 136.9, 128.9, 128.7, 127.8, 127.1, 124.7, 120.0, 67.1, 61.8, 50.0, 47.4, 46.6, 41.5, 39.0, 34.8. ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} /$ $z): 507.22\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 71.88$; H, 5.82; N, 5.78. Found: C, 71.99; H, 5.96; N, 5.68.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl 4-((S)-1-(((S)-1-Methoxy-3-methyl-1-ox-obutan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-3-oxopiperazine-1-carboxylate (12). Compound 12 was obtained following the general procedure F , using $\mathbf{4 b}(0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$, COMU ( 0.342 $\mathrm{g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, L-valine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $0.090 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.53$ mmol), dry DIPEA ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dry DMF $(21.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexaneEtOAc 1:1) to give $12(0.103 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white oil in $40 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ -62.3 ( c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76$ (d, $J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ (dd, $J=14.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=23.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1.30 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 0.70), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 0.30 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.46-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4.70 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.3,169.2,166.7,154.7$, 143.6, 141.3, 136.1, 128.8, 128.7, 127.8, 127.1, 127.0, 120.1, 68.0, 57.1, 52.2, 47.6, 47.1, 42.8, 38.6, 33.4, 31.0, 19.0, 17.6. ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $606.23\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 69.96 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.39; N, 7.20. Found: C, 70.08; H, 6.45; N, 7.05.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (S)-2-Benzyl-4-(2-(((S)-1-methoxy-3-methyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-3-oxopiperazine-1carboxylate (13). Compound 13 was obtained following the general procedure F , using $\mathbf{9 b}(0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$, COMU ( $0.342 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5$ mmol ), L-valine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $0.090 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dry DIPEA ( $0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dry DMF $(21.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc 1:2) to give 13 as a white spongy solid $(0.117 \mathrm{~g})$ in $38 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=$ -53.7 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.6,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.70 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 172.1$, 170.3, 166.1, 154.6, 146.4, 141.3, 138.5, 129.6, 128.4, 127.7, 127.1, 124.8, 120.0, 67.3, 58.8, 57.2, 52.2, 51.5, 47.9, 47.2, 36.9, 30.9, 19.0, 17.7.ESI-MS $(m / z): 606.24\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 69.96; H, 6.39; N, 7.20. Found: C, 69.56; H, 6.61; N, 6.96.
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl 4-((S)-1-(((S)-1-Methoxy-3-methyl-1-ox-obutan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-5-oxo-1,4-diaze-pane-1-carboxylate (14). Compound 14 was obtained following the general procedure F , using $\mathbf{1 1 b}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$, L-valine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), dry DIPEA ( 0.22 $\mathrm{mL}, 1,24 \mathrm{mmol})$, and dry DMF $(8.24 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane-EtOAc 1:2) to give 14 $(0.103 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white spongy solid in $33 \%$ yield. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}=-49.5$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) mixture of rotamers $\delta 7.73$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.45-5.26 (m, 1H), 4.54-4.49 (m, 2H), $4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 171.7, 170.1, 158.1, 154.9, 143.7, 141.3, 136.3, 128.8, 128.7, 127.7, 127.0, 127.0, 124.7, 120.0, 67.0, 57.1, 52.2, 47.4, 47.1, 41.6, 39.1, 34.1, 30.3, 19.1, 17.7. ESI-MS $(m / z): 620.33\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 70.33; H, 6.58; N, 7.03. Found: C, 70.58; H, 6.65; N, 7.00.

General Procedure (G) for Peptide Synthesis and HPLC Purification and Analysis. The peptides were synthesized on a

ChemMatrix Rink Amide resin, with a $0.5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ loading capacity and bead size of 100-200 mesh. Peptide cleavage from the resin was achieved under acidic conditions. The resin was swelled in dichloromethane for 20 min , under magnetic stirring prior to peptide synthesis. Then, the solution was filtered and washed twice with DMF. Peptide coupling was carried out using 5 equiv Fmoc-amino acids as 0.2 M DMF solution and 10 equiv DIC/Oxyma, both 1 M in DMF as the activating mixture by heating at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min under microwave irradiation, followed by DMF washings ( $3 \times$ ). 20\% Morpholine was used as Fmoc deblocking agent by heating at 90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \min (2 \times)$, each cycle followed by DMF washings ( $3 \times$ ). Final resin washing before acidic cleavage was carried out with DMF ( $3 \times$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times)$. A mixture of $2.5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $2.5 \%$ TIPS in TFA was added into the peptidyl resin, and the suspension was shaken for 2 h followed by filtration. Cold diethyl ether ( 10 mL ) was added to the filtrate, and the peptide was precipitated. The mixture was centrifuged for 3 min at 2500 rpm , and the ether layer was separated. The procedure was repeated three times, then a second treatment with TFA containing water and TIPS was repeated for 15 min , followed by peptide precipitation with cold ether. Then, the peptide was dried under vacuum overnight to give the crude peptide. Peptides were analyzed and purified using Dionex Ultimate 3000 system equipped with a reversed-phase analytical column Synergi $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fusion-RP $80 \AA$ ( $150 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ ) or semipreparative column Synergi $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ FusionRP $80 \AA\left(250 \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$ and using acetonitrile ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.1 \%$ TFA) at room temperature, $5-95 \%$ linear gradient over 20 min for analytical and semipreparative runs. Flow rates of 1 and 5 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ were used for analytical and semipreparative runs, respectively, and peak detection was achieved at 223 nm . All crude peptides were obtained in $>95 \%$ purity. The molecular weight of all peptides was confirmed by electrospray mass spectrometry. Analytical samples were prepared as $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ conc. by dissolving the dry peptides in $0.1 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{HCOOH}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$.

H-ED(4b)YQ-NH2 (15). Peptide 15 was obtained as a white amorphous solid following general procedure G, using Fmoc-$\mathrm{Gln}(\mathrm{Trt})-\mathrm{OH}(0.153 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, Fmoc- $\mathrm{Tyr}(\mathrm{tBu})-\mathrm{OH}(0.115 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $0.118 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Fmoc-Asp(OtBu)-OH ( 0.103 $\mathrm{g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Glu}(\mathrm{O} t \mathrm{Bu})-\mathrm{OH}(0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $24 \%$ yield, after HPLC purification using the semipreparative column Synergi $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fusion-RP 80 Å. ESI-MS ( $m / z$ ): 783.12 ( $100,[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$). HPLC (Synergi $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fusion-RP, water/acetonitrile $=90 / 10$ to $10 / 90,25 \mathrm{~min}$, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=223 \mathrm{~nm}) t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.31 \mathrm{~min}$ (purity: 100\%).
$\mathrm{H}-E D(11 b) Y Q-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (16). Peptide 16 was obtained as a white amorphous solid following general procedure G, Fmoc-Gln(Trt)-OH $(0.153 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, Fmoc-Tyr( $t \mathrm{Bu}$ )-OH ( $0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, 11b ( $0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Fmoc-Asp(OtBu)-OH ( $0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and Fmoc- $\mathrm{Glu}(\mathrm{OtBu})-\mathrm{OH}(0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $30 \%$ yield, after HPLC purification using the semipreparative column Synergi $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fusion-RP 80 Å. ESI-MS $(m / z)$ : $797.12\left(100,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. HPLC (Synergi $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fusion-RP, water/acetonitrile $=90 / 10$ to $10 / 90,25$ $\min$, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \lambda=223 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.30 \mathrm{~min}$ (purity: $100 \%$ ).

## ■ SARS-COV-2 spike/ACE2 INHIBITOR SCREENING ASSAYS

The synthesized peptides were screened for inhibition of the SARS-CoV-2 spike/ACE2 complex formation using a commercially available SARS-CoV-2 spike inhibitor screening assay kit (AdipoGen Life Sciences, Inc., San Diego) based on the colorimetric ELISA assay, which measures the binding of the RBD of the Spike $S$ protein from SARS-CoV-2 to its human receptor ACE2. All of the measurements were performed in triplicate in 96 -well plates following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the wells were coated by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well of diluted spike $(1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ to the 96 -well ELISA microplate. After leaving the covered plate overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the liquid was removed by the wells by inverting the plate
and blotting it against clean absorbent paper. Then, the plate was blocked by adding $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of blocking buffer for 2 h at room temperature. Following liquid removal and washing of coated wells, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well of diluted compounds to be tested were added to the wells and the plate was covered and incubated for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Inhibitory control ACE2 (human) $\mathrm{mAb}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well $)$ was used as a positive control. Then, 100 $\mu \mathrm{L} /$ well of diluted horseradish peroxidase (HRP) labeled streptavidin was added and the plate was covered and incubated again for 1 h at room temperature. After liquid removal and subsequent washings, substrate development was conducted by addition of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ready-to-use TMB to each well for 5 min at room temperature, then the reaction was stopped by adding $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of stop solution, and the OD values were measured at 450 nm using a BMG Labtech Fluostar Optima microplate reader and the collected data were analyzed using GraphPad 5.0 Software Package (GraphPad Prism, San Diego, CA). All of the compounds were screened for inhibition at a single concentration $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of the active compounds was obtained by dose-response measurements using inhibitor range of concentration $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 1 mM .

## ■ 3CLPRO SARS-COV-2 INHIBITOR SCREENING ASSAYS

The synthesized peptides were assayed through a fluorometric assay using the fluorogenic substrate Hilyte Fluor-488-ESATLQSGLRKAK-(QXL-520)- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (Anaspec). All of the measurements were performed in 96 -well plates with a Fluostar Optima microplate reader (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). Excitation and emission wavelengths were 490 and 520 nm , respectively. All incubations were performed at 30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 25 mmol of $N$-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), $0.2 \%$ Tween-20 at pH 7.0. The inhibitors were preincubated with enzymes ( 60 nM ) for 10 $\min$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the reaction was started by the addition of the fluorogenic substrate $(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The decrease of fluorescence was monitored over $30 \mathrm{~min}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=490 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=520 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The percentages of inhibition for the test compounds were determined through the equation $\left(1-V_{s} / V_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \times 100$, where $V_{s}$ is the initial velocity in the presence of the inhibitor and $V_{0}$ is the initial velocity of the uninhibited reaction. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were obtained by dose-response measurements using an inhibitor range of concentrations $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 1 mM . All of the experiments were performed in triplicate, and data collected were analyzed using GraphPad 5.0 Software Package (GraphPad Prism, Inc., San Diego, CA).
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