



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Critical classes of power graphs and reconstruction of directed power graphs

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Critical classes of power graphs and reconstruction of directed power graphs / Daniela Bubboloni; Nicolas Pinzauti. - In: JOURNAL OF GROUP THEORY. - ISSN 1433-5883. - STAMPA. - ???:(2024), pp. ???-1-???-27. [10.1515/jgth-2023-0181]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1399986 since: 2024-12-18T19:28:24Z

Published version:

DOI: 10.1515/jgth-2023-0181

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

Conformità alle politiche dell'editore / Compliance to publisher's policies

Questa versione della pubblicazione è conforme a quanto richiesto dalle politiche dell'editore in materia di copyright.

This version of the publication conforms to the publisher's copyright policies.

(Article begins on next page)

Critical classes of power graphs and reconstruction of directed power graphs

Daniela Bubboloni

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica “Ulisse Dini”
 Università degli Studi di Firenze
 viale Morgagni, 67/a, 50134, Firenze, Italy
 e-mail: daniela.bubboloni@unifi.it
 tel: +39 055 2759667

Nicolas Pinzauti

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica “Ulisse Dini”
 Università degli Studi di Firenze
 viale Morgagni, 67/a, 50134, Firenze, Italy
 e-mail: nicolas.pinzauti@edu.unifi.it
 tel: 3345890261

October 15, 2024

Abstract

In a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, we consider the common closed neighbourhood of a subset of vertices and use this notion to introduce a Moore closure operator in V . We also consider the closed twin equivalence relation in which two vertices are equivalent if they have the same closed neighbourhood. Those notions are deeply explored when Γ is the power graph associated with a finite group G . In that case, among the corresponding closed twin equivalence classes, we introduce the concepts of plain, compound and critical classes. The study of critical classes, together with properties of the Moore closure operator, allow us to correct a mistake in the proof of [3, Theorem 2] and to deduce a simple algorithm to reconstruct the directed power graph of a finite group from its undirected counterpart, as asked in [5, Question 2].

Keywords: Moore closures, graphs, finite groups, power graphs, directed power graphs.

MSC classification: 05C25; 06A15.

1 Introduction

The interaction between group theory and graph theory has been known since 1878, when Cayley graphs were first defined. In the following years various graphs were associated with groups. In 1955, Brauer and Fowler introduced the commuting graph in [1], in early 2000 the directed power graphs have been introduced for semigroups in [13, 14], and in 2009 Chakrabarty, Ghosh and Sen in [7] defined its undirected version, called simply the power graph. Given a group G , the directed power graph $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ has vertex set G and arc set $\{(x, y) \in G^2 : x \neq y, y = x^m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The power graph $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is its underlying graph. The recent state of the art for the power graph is well summarized in [15].

Investigating a group G through a graph $\Gamma(G)$ associated with it allows us to focus on some specific properties of the group, reducing the complexity of the algebraic structure G to the more simple combinatorial object $\Gamma(G)$. Of course, if the reduction of information is dramatic, then the use of $\Gamma(G)$ for the study of G can be almost useless. Among the many graphs associated with groups, the power graph seems to be one of the best to reveal information about the group structure. A result among many justifying this opinion is surely [17, Theorem 15], which shows that if G is a finite simple group then any finite group having the same power graph as G must be isomorphic to G . However it is well-known that, given two groups G_1 and G_2 , $\mathcal{P}(G_1) \cong \mathcal{P}(G_2)$ does not imply $G_1 \cong G_2$. In other words, if a certain graph Γ is the power graph of some group, then Γ can be the power graph of many non-isomorphic groups. For further arguments distinguishing the power graph from other graphs associated with groups, the reader is referred to [2, Introduction].

In principle, the directed power graph should encode even more information than the power graph. Surprisingly this is not the case, at least for finite groups. In this paper we deal only with finite groups, and we call a graph Γ a *power graph* if there exists at least one finite group G such that $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}(G)$. As our Main Theorem, we show that, given a power graph $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}(G)$, for a certain finite group G , then we can always reconstruct $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ by purely arithmetical and graph theoretical considerations, without taking into account any group theoretical information about G (see Section 6.1 for formal details).

Main Theorem. *We can reconstruct the directed power graph from any power graph.*

We also emphasize that the question of the reconstruction of the directed power graph from the power graph makes sense also for infinite groups and there are, in the recent literature, important contributions for certain classes of infinite groups [22]. However, in this paper, we deal only with finite groups. The proof of the Main Theorem is entirely constructive and gives rise to a precise algorithm whose description and pseudo-code are available in the Appendix. That completely answers one of the questions recently set by P. J. Cameron [5, Question 2], which asks to find a simple algorithm for constructing the directed power graph from the power graph.

Evidence of emerging interest in such questions includes a very recent preprint [8], dealing with an algorithm for the reconstruction of the directed power graph from both the enhanced power graph and the power graph. Recall that the enhanced power graph of a group G has vertex set G and two vertices are adjacent if they generate a cyclic subgroup. That algorithm is completely independent from ours and based on maximal cyclic subgroups.

The main scope of our research is theoretical and is mainly inspired by one of the most cited papers about power graphs by P. J. Cameron [3]. We have found there many deep stimulating ideas and tried to exploit them to their maximum extent.

As a first step we generalize a construction in [3], based on closed neighbourhoods, to any graph giving rise to what we call the *neighbourhood closure operator*. Remarkably, this operator turns out to be a Moore closure operator (Section 3). It plays a central role in our paper and, to the best of our knowledge, it does not appear elsewhere in the literature. As in [3], we consider the equivalence relation \mathbb{N} which puts in relation two vertices of the power graph of a group G having the same closed neighbourhood. The study of the partition of the power graph into the corresponding equivalence classes was initiated in [3], but it is far from complete. As in [3], we split the \mathbb{N} -classes into two types (which we call *plain* and *compound*) according to their behaviour with respect to another equivalence relation \diamond , for which two vertices are equivalent if they generate the same subgroup of G . Then we introduce a further crucial type of \mathbb{N} -class, which we call a *critical class* (Section 5.2). The critical classes arise, in principle, from the necessity to rectify a serious mistake in one argument leading to the main result in [3].

We emphasize that the error in [3] is not rectifiable by modifying some reasoning (see Section 5.2 for details) and can be fixed only by developing radically new theoretical instruments. An intriguing question is to decide when a critical class is of plain or compound type. That task is completed

using a recent result by Feng, Ma and Wang in [10]. We observe that even when all the tools are ready, the proof of the Main Theorem (Section 6.1) remains non-obvious and some delicate parts benefit from information coming from general graph theory, such as those describing the influence of the \mathbb{N} -classes on the graph automorphisms (Proposition 1).

Finally we stress that the contribution of our paper goes beyond the reconstruction of the directed power graph. Our general analysis of the \mathbb{N} -classes can be used for other research on power graphs. Moreover, the creation of a significant Moore closure for graphs seems to be a promising step towards new general research on graphs. In particular, even though the neighbourhood closure operator is not usually a Kuratowski operator, the possibility remains open, for certain classes of graphs, of obtaining an interesting topological structure.

2 Notation and basic facts

We denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers and we set $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set $[k] := \{x \in \mathbb{N} : x \leq k\}$ and $[k]_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : x \leq k\}$. Let X be a finite set. We denote by 2^X its power set and by S_X the symmetric group on X . When $X = [k]$, we simplify the notation into S_k . If $\mathcal{K} = \{X_1, \dots, X_r\}$, with $r \in \mathbb{N}$, is a partition of X and $\psi \in S_X$, then we denote by $\psi(\mathcal{K})$ the partition of X given by $\{\psi(X_1), \dots, \psi(X_r)\}$. Given $A, B \subseteq X$, we write $X = A \cup B$ if $X = A \cup B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set $V \neq \emptyset$ and edge set $E \subseteq \{e \subseteq V : |e| = 2\}$. For $X \subseteq V$, we denote by Γ_X the induced subgraph of Γ with vertex set X . For $x \in V$, the closed neighbourhood of x in Γ is given by $N[x] = \{y \in V \mid \{y, x\} \in E\} \cup \{x\}$. Note that, for every $x, y \in V$, we have $y \in N[x]$ if and only if $x \in N[y]$. If $N[x] = V$, then x is called a *star vertex* of Γ . The set of star vertices of Γ is denoted by \mathcal{S} . For $x, y \in V$, we write $x \mathbb{N} y$ if $N[x] = N[y]$. The relation \mathbb{N} is an equivalence relation on V , called the *closed twin relation* of Γ . Note that $x \mathbb{N} y$, with $x \neq y$, implies $\{x, y\} \in E$. We denote the \mathbb{N} -class of $x \in V$ by $[x]_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 1. *Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph and \mathcal{K} be the partition of V into its \mathbb{N} -classes. Then $\times_{C \in \mathcal{K}} S_C \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $C \in \mathcal{K}$. For $\sigma \in S_C$ define $\tilde{\sigma} : V \rightarrow V$ by $\tilde{\sigma}(x) = x$ for all $x \in V \setminus C$, and $\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in C$. We show that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a graph automorphism of Γ . Clearly $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a bijection on V . We show that, for every $x, y \in V$ with $x \neq y$, we have $\{x, y\} \in E$ if and only if $\{\tilde{\sigma}(x), \tilde{\sigma}(y)\} \in E$. If both $x, y \in V \setminus C$, simply observe that $\{\tilde{\sigma}(x), \tilde{\sigma}(y)\} = \{x, y\}$. If both $x, y \in C$, we also have $\tilde{\sigma}(x), \tilde{\sigma}(y) \in C$ and thus both $\{x, y\} \in E$ and $\{\tilde{\sigma}(x), \tilde{\sigma}(y)\} \in E$ hold. Consider finally the case $x \in C$ and $y \in V \setminus C$. Then we have $\tilde{\sigma}(x) \in C$, $N[x] = N[\tilde{\sigma}(x)]$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(y) = y$. If $\{x, y\} \in E$, then $y \in N[x] = N[\tilde{\sigma}(x)]$, so that $\{\tilde{\sigma}(x), \tilde{\sigma}(y)\} = \{\tilde{\sigma}(x), y\} \in E$. Conversely, if $\{\tilde{\sigma}(x), \tilde{\sigma}(y)\} \in E$, then we have $\{\tilde{\sigma}(x), y\} \in E$ so that $y \in N[\tilde{\sigma}(x)] = N[x]$ and hence $\{x, y\} \in E$. Now, using the fact that \mathcal{K} is a partition of V , we immediately get $\times_{C \in \mathcal{K}} S_C \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. \square

Throughout the paper, when we desire to emphasize that a set is the vertex set of a graph Γ we indicate it by V_Γ . We do the same for the edge set or for other symbols related to the graph Γ . We now briefly recall the power graphs, that is, the graphs on which our paper focuses. All the groups considered in this paper are finite. Let G be a group with identity element 1. The *power graph* of G , denoted by $\mathcal{P}(G)$, has vertex set $V := G$ and $\{x, y\} \in E$ if $x \neq y$ and there exists a positive integer m such that $x = y^m$ or $y = x^m$. The *proper power graph* of G , denoted $\mathcal{P}^*(G)$, is the subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ induced by $G \setminus \{1\}$. It is easily observed that if H is a subgroup of G , then the subgraph of $\mathcal{P}(G)$ induced by H coincides with $\mathcal{P}(H)$. A graph Γ is said to be a power graph if there exists a group G such that $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}(G)$.

We are also interested in the directed version of the power graph. The *directed power graph* of G , denoted by $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$, has vertex set $V := G$ and arc set $A := \{(x, y) \in G^2 : x \neq y, y = x^m \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that $\{x, y\} \in E$ if and only if at least one of $(x, y) \in A$ and $(y, x) \in A$ holds. We say that

$x, y \in G$, with $x \neq y$, are joined in $\mathcal{P}(G)$ (or in $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$) if $\{x, y\} \in E$. Let $X, Y \subseteq G$. We say that X and Y are joined in $\mathcal{P}(G)$ (or in $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$) if there exist $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ that are joined. In that case, if it happens that $(x, y) \in A$, then we say that the arc joining X and Y is directed from X to Y .

We denote by ϕ the Euler's totient function. Throughout the paper we freely use the well-known fact that if $m \mid n$, then $\phi(m) \mid \phi(n)$ with equality if and only if $m = n$ or m is odd and $n = 2m$.

3 A Moore closure operator for graphs

We start our research with a section about closure operators for graphs. The results that we are going to obtain will be used later to deal with the power graphs. However, they seem to be of interest for graphs in general ([9], [20], [21]).

Recall that an operator c on a set V is a function $c : 2^V \rightarrow 2^V$, and that c is called a *Moore closure operator* on V (see [19, Section 4.5. a]) if c is isotone ($A \subseteq B$ implies $c(A) \subseteq c(B)$), extensive ($A \subseteq c(A)$) and idempotent ($c^2(A) = c(A)$); or a *Kuratowski closure operator* on V (see [19, Section 5.19]) if c preserves the empty set ($c(\emptyset) = \emptyset$), is extensive, idempotent and preserves the binary unions ($c(A \cup B) = c(A) \cup c(B)$). It is immediately checked that every Kuratowski closure operator is also a Moore closure operator. Note also that Moore closures always exist, because one can consider the trivial Moore closure given by $c(X) = V$, for all $X \subseteq V$. If c is a Moore closure operator, then one defines a subset X of V to be closed if $c(X) = X$. The Moore closure operators are interesting in pure and applied mathematics and computer science as very well described in [6]. Non-trivial examples naturally arise in algebra. For instance, if G is a group and $c : 2^G \rightarrow 2^G$ is defined, for every $X \subseteq G$, by $c(X) := \langle X \rangle$ then c is a Moore closure operator. Additionally, the Kuratowski closure operators are of huge importance, because the closed sets of such operators give a topological structure to V .

In [3], for a subset X of vertices in a power graph, the sets $N[X] = \bigcap_{x \in X} N[x]$ and $\hat{X} = N[N[X]]$ are defined and fruitfully used when X is an \mathbb{N} -class. They are also considered in [22], dealing with some subsets in power graphs of infinite groups. It seems important to recognize the role of operators of such objects, generalize them to any graph and explore their properties.

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph. For $X \subseteq V$ we define the *common closed neighbourhood* of X by

$$N[X] := \begin{cases} \bigcap_{x \in X} N[x] & \text{if } X \neq \emptyset \\ V & \text{if } X = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

For instance, one has $N[V] = \mathcal{S}$. The consideration of the common closed neighbourhoods in graph theory dates back at least to Lovász, in 1978, with the famous construction of the neighbour complex $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$, which allowed him to prove the Kneser's conjecture [16]. Recall that $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ has vertex set V and simplices given by those $X \subsetneq V$ having $N[X] \neq \emptyset$. We warn the reader that typically, in the literature, the symbol $N[X]$ stands for the union of the closed neighbourhoods of the vertices in X (see, for instance, [11, Section 2.1]), and not for their intersection.

We next define, for every $X \subseteq V$, the *neighbourhood closure* of X by $\hat{X} := N[N[X]]$. That gives rise to the *neighbourhood closure operator* on V , which plays a main role in our research. Note that $\hat{\emptyset} = \mathcal{S}$, $\hat{V} = V$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}$.

We present now some useful properties of the common closed neighbourhoods and of the neighbourhood closure operator.

Proposition 2. *Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a graph and \mathbb{N} be its closed twin relation. Then, for every $X \subseteq V$, the followings facts hold:*

- (i) $\hat{X} \supseteq X \cup \mathcal{S}$ and if $\hat{X} \neq \emptyset$, then \hat{X} is a union of \mathbb{N} -classes;

- (ii) If $A \subseteq B \subseteq V$ then $N[A] \supseteq N[B]$ and $\hat{A} \subseteq \hat{B}$;
- (iii) $N[X] = N[\hat{X}]$ and $\widehat{(\hat{X})} = \hat{X}$;
- (iv) If $A, B \subseteq V$ then $N[A \cup B] = N[A] \cap N[B]$ and $\widehat{A \cup B} \supseteq \hat{A} \cup \hat{B}$;
- (v) If C is an \mathbb{N} -class and $y \in C$, then $N[C] = N[y]$ and $\hat{C} = \bigcap_{z \in N[y]} N[z] \subseteq N[y]$.

Proof. (i) Let $X \subseteq V$. Assume first that $N[X] = \emptyset$. Then

$$\hat{X} = N[\emptyset] = V \supseteq X \cup \mathcal{S}.$$

Assume next that $N[X] \neq \emptyset$. Then $\hat{X} = \bigcap_{u \in N[X]} N[u]$. Pick $x \in X$ and let $u \in N[X]$. Then we have $u \in N[x]$ and thus also $x \in N[u]$. It follows that $x \in \hat{X}$ and hence $\hat{X} \supseteq X$. We finally note that

$$\hat{X} = \bigcap_{x \in N[X]} N[x] \supseteq \bigcap_{x \in V} N[x] = \mathcal{S}.$$

We now show that, when $\hat{X} \neq \emptyset$, \hat{X} is a union of \mathbb{N} -classes. Let $x \in \hat{X}$. We want to show that if $v \in V$ is such that $N[x] = N[v]$, then $v \in \hat{X}$. By definition of \hat{X} , we have that $x \in N[z]$, for all $z \in N[X]$. Thus, for every $z \in N[X]$, we have $z \in N[x] = N[v]$ and hence $v \in N[z]$, which gives $v \in \hat{X}$.

(ii) Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq V$. We first show that $N[A] \supseteq N[B]$. If $A = \emptyset$, then $N[A] = V \supseteq N[B]$ holds. If $A \neq \emptyset$, then also $B \neq \emptyset$, so that $N[A] = \bigcap_{x \in A} N[x] \supseteq \bigcap_{x \in B} N[x] = N[B]$. Applying the established inequality to the subsets $N[A] \supseteq N[B]$ we now obtain $\hat{A} = N[N[A]] \subseteq N[N[B]] = \hat{B}$.

(iii) We show first that $N[X] = N[\hat{X}]$. By (i), we have $\hat{X} \supseteq X$ and hence, by (ii), we get $N[X] \supseteq N[\hat{X}]$. Assume, by contradiction, that $N[X] \supsetneq N[\hat{X}]$. Then there exists $u \in N[X] \setminus N[\hat{X}]$. In particular, $N[X] \neq \emptyset$ and $N[\hat{X}] \neq V$. Thus $N[\hat{X}] = \bigcap_{x \in \hat{X}} N[x]$ and, by the fact that $u \notin N[\hat{X}]$, we deduce that there exists $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}$ such that $u \notin N[\hat{x}]$. On the other hand, since $N[X] \neq \emptyset$, we have that $\hat{X} = \bigcap_{x \in N[X]} N[x]$. As a consequence, by $u \in N[X]$, we obtain $\hat{X} \subseteq N[u]$. Thus $\hat{x} \in N[u]$, that is, $u \in N[\hat{x}]$, a contradiction.

Now, we immediately deduce also that $\widehat{(\hat{X})} = N[N[\hat{X}]] = N[N[X]] = \hat{X}$.

(iv) Let $A, B \subseteq V$. If one of A and B is empty, then the equality $N[A \cup B] = N[A] \cap N[B]$ trivially follows. Assume that they are both nonempty. Then also $A \cup B$ is nonempty and we have

$$N[A \cup B] = \bigcap_{x \in A \cup B} N[x] = \bigcap_{x \in A} N[x] \cap \bigcap_{x \in B} N[x] = N[A] \cap N[B].$$

As a consequence, by (ii), we have

$$\widehat{A \cup B} = N[N[A \cup B]] = N[N[A] \cap N[B]] \supseteq N[N[A]] \cup N[N[B]] = \hat{A} \cup \hat{B}.$$

(v) Let $y \in C$. Then $C = [y]_{\mathbb{N}} \neq \emptyset$ so that, by the definition of the relation \mathbb{N} , we deduce

$$N[C] = \bigcap_{x \in [y]_{\mathbb{N}}} N[x] = N[y] \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $y \in N[y]$, it follows that $\hat{C} = N[N[y]] = \bigcap_{z \in N[y]} N[z] \subseteq N[y]$. □

Observe that, as an easy consequence of Proposition 2, the neighbourhood closure of a set of vertices is empty if and only if that set is empty and the graph admits no star. We also observe that the inclusion $\widehat{A \cup B} \supseteq \hat{A} \cup \hat{B}$ in Proposition 2 (iv) is generally proper as the following example shows.

Example 3. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$, where $V = [5]$ and $E = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 1\}, \{3, 4\}\}$. If $A := \{1\}$ and $B := \{5\}$, then it is immediately checked that $\widehat{A \cup B} = V$ and $\hat{A} \cup \hat{B} = V \setminus \{4\} \subsetneq \widehat{A \cup B}$.

The next result is now an immediate consequence of Proposition 2 (i)-(iii) and of Example 3.

Corollary 4. *The neighbourhood closure operator is a Moore closure operator which is not, in general, a Kuratowski closure operator.*

Clearly there exist graphs for which the neighbourhood closure operator is a Kuratowski closure operator. For instance, this is the case for the totally disconnected graph on a set V . We set then the following problem.

Question 5. *For which families of graphs is the neighbourhood closure operator also a Kuratowski closure operator?*

Note that, in order to respect the preservation of the empty set, we need $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$. But this is not enough to guarantee that our operator is a Kuratowski closure operator. Indeed, as the Example 3 shows, even when the star set is empty the preservation of binary unions is not necessarily satisfied. A deep enquiry on those aspects is out of the scope of the present paper, but surely deserves future attention.

4 Preliminary results on power graphs

We now come back to the focus of our research, that is, to power graphs. Let G be a group and $x, y \in G$. We write $x \diamond y$ if $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$. Note that $x \diamond y$ if and only if $x = y$ or both (x, y) and (y, x) are arcs of $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$. The relation \diamond is clearly an equivalence relation in G . We denote the \diamond -class of $x \in G$ by $[x]_{\diamond}$. Note that $[x]_{\diamond}$ is the set of generators of $\langle x \rangle$. In particular, $|[x]_{\diamond}| = \phi(o(x))$. It is easily checked that, if \mathbb{N} is the closed twin relation of $\mathcal{P}(G)$, then $x \diamond y$ implies $x\mathbb{N}y$. In other words the relation \diamond is a refinement of the relation \mathbb{N} . As a consequence an \mathbb{N} -class is a union of \diamond -classes. Moreover, it is immediately observed that, for every $x \in G$, we have $[x]_{\diamond} \subseteq [x]_{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq N_{\mathcal{P}(G)}[x]$ and $\langle x \rangle \subseteq N_{\mathcal{P}(G)}[x]$. In particular, two distinct elements of G in the same \mathbb{N} -class are joined and $\mathcal{P}(G)_{[x]_{\mathbb{N}}}$ is a complete graph. Note that $[1]_{\mathbb{N}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$. For this reason, we call the \mathbb{N} -class of the identity element of G the *star class*. When the star class is not equal to $\{1\}$, there are well-known consequences for the structure of the group and the nature of the star class itself.

Proposition 6. [3, Proposition 4] *Let G be a group with $|G| = n$ such that $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}(G)}| > 1$. Then one of the following facts holds:*

- (a) G is a cyclic p -group and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}(G)} = G$;
- (b) G is cyclic, not a p -group, and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ consists of 1 and of the generators of G , so that $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}(G)}| = 1 + \phi(n)$;
- (c) G is a generalized quaternion 2-group and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$ contains 1 and the unique involution of G , so that $|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}(G)}| = 2$.

In particular, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ is a complete graph if and only if $G \cong C_{p^m}$ for some prime number p and some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We now present a useful auxiliary result. For simplicity, from now on, when a single group G is under consideration, we completely omit all the subscripts $\mathcal{P}(G)$ in our notation and assume that \mathbb{N} is the closed twin relation of $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

Lemma 7. *Let G be a group and $x, y \in G$ be elements having orders that are powers of the same prime number p , and such that $o(x) \leq o(y)$. Then $N[x] \supseteq N[y]$ if and only if x is a power of y .*

Proof. Assume that $N[x] \supseteq N[y]$. Then $y \in N[x]$, which implies $\langle x \rangle \leq \langle y \rangle$ or $\langle y \rangle \leq \langle x \rangle$. Since $o(x) \leq o(y)$, we then have $\langle x \rangle \leq \langle y \rangle$ so that x is a power of y . Conversely, assume that x is a power of y . Pick $z \in N[y]$. If y is a power of z , then x is a power of z too. If z is a power of y , then x and z belong to the cyclic p -group generated by y . Hence, since $\mathcal{P}(\langle y \rangle)$ is complete, we have $z \in N[x]$. \square

5 N-classes in power graphs

We have observed that an N-class in a power graph is a union of \diamond -classes. Hence we can sensibly distinguish two types of N-classes.

Definition 8. Let G be a group and C be an N-class. We say that C is a *class of plain type* if C is a single \diamond -class; C is a *class of compound type* if C is the union of at least two \diamond -classes.

Those classes are called, in [3], class of type (a) and (b) respectively. The classes of plain type are easily characterized by the order of their elements.

Lemma 9. *Let G be a group and C be an N-class. C is of plain type if and only if the elements in C have the same order.*

Proof. Let C be of plain type. If $x, y \in C$, then we have $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$ and thus $o(x) = o(y)$. Assume, conversely, that the elements in C have the same order. Let $C = [x]_N$, for some $x \in G$. Since we know that $[x]_\diamond \subseteq [x]_N$, we need only to show that $[x]_N \subseteq [x]_\diamond$. Let $y \in [x]_N$. Then $\{x, y\} \in E$ and $o(x) = o(y)$. Then we deduce $y \diamond x$. \square

We observe that a class of plain type does not have restriction on the order of its elements. Indeed, consider $G := \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $o(a) = 2$, $o(b) = k \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easily checked that $[b]_N$ is a class of plain type whose elements have order k .

Thanks to Proposition 6 and Lemma 9, we observe that \mathcal{S} is of compound type if and only if $\mathcal{S} \neq \{1\}$. We now characterize the N-classes of G different from \mathcal{S} and of compound type. Our result is very little more than [3, Proposition 5]. We give full details for two reasons. First, some unspecified conditions in [3] are presumably at the origin of a gap that we are going to correct later (see the comments to Proposition 14). Second, we need to clearly introduce some notation for the sequel.

Proposition 10. [3, Proposition 5] *Let G be a group and C be an N-class of G , with $C \neq \mathcal{S}$. The following facts are equivalent:*

- (i) C is a compound-class;
- (ii) *If y is an element of maximum order in C , then $o(y) = p^r$ for some prime number p and some integer $r \geq 2$. Moreover, there exists $s \in [r - 2]_0$ such that*

$$C = \{z \in \langle y \rangle \mid p^{s+1} \leq o(z) \leq p^r\}.$$

In particular the number of \diamond -classes into which a compound N-class C splits is $r - s \geq 2$. The orders of the elements in those \diamond -classes are given by $p^{s+1}, p^{s+2}, \dots, p^r$ and the sizes of those \diamond -classes are $\phi(p^{s+1}), \phi(p^{s+2}), \dots, \phi(p^r)$ respectively. The ordered list (p, r, s) is uniquely determined by C .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let C be a compound-class. We first claim that if $x, y \in C$ are two elements of distinct order, then those orders are suitable powers, with positive integer exponent, of the same prime. Let $x, y \in C$ with $o(x) \neq o(y)$. Then, renaming if necessary, we have $o(x) < o(y)$. In particular, $x \neq y$. Moreover, both x and y are different from 1 as $C \neq \mathcal{S} = [1]_N$. Since $N[x] = N[y]$,

x and y are joined and $o(x)$ is a proper divisor of $o(y)$. Let then $k := o(x)$ and $kl := o(y)$ for some $k, l \geq 2$. We show that k and l are power of the same prime. It suffices to show that if p is a prime dividing k , then the only prime dividing l is p itself. Assume, by contradiction, that we have a prime $p \mid k$ and a prime $q \neq p$ such that $q \mid l$. Then $(kq)/p = (k/p)q \mid kl = o(y)$ and thus there exists an element $z \in \langle y \rangle$ with $o(z) = (k/p)q$. Now $z \in N[y] = N[x]$. But $o(z) \nmid o(x)$ and $o(x) \nmid o(z)$. Indeed assume that $o(z) \mid o(x)$. Then $(k/p)q \mid k = p(k/p)$ which implies the contradiction $q \mid p$. Assume next $o(x) \mid o(z)$. Then $k \mid (k/p)q$ and thus $p(k/p) \mid q(k/p)$ which implies the contradiction $p \mid q$.

Choose now $x \in C$ such that $o(x)$ is minimum in C and $y \in C$ such that $o(y)$ is maximum in C . Since C is compound, by Lemma 9, those orders are distinct. Moreover, since $C \neq \mathcal{S}$, those orders are different from 1. Hence we have $o(x) = p^{s+1}$ for some integer $s \geq 0$ and $o(y) = p^r$ for some integer $r \geq s + 2$. Pick $z \in C$. Since $z, y \in C$, y and z are joined and, since $o(z) \leq o(y)$, we have that z is a power of y . Moreover $o(z) \geq p^{s+1}$. This shows that

$$C \subseteq \{z \in \langle y \rangle : p^{s+1} \leq o(z) \leq p^r\}.$$

Let next $z \in \langle y \rangle$ with $o(z) \geq p^{s+1} = o(x)$. Then $z \in N[y] = N[x]$ so that $z = x \in C$ or z and x are joined. In this last case, we necessarily have that x is power of z . Moreover, x and z are of prime power order so that, by Lemma 7, we obtain $N[x] \supseteq N[z] \supseteq N[y] = N[x]$ and thus $N[x] = N[z]$, so that $z \in C$. Thus $C = \{z \in \langle y \rangle : p^{s+1} \leq o(z) \leq p^r\}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) In C there exist elements with different order. Thus, by Lemma 9, C cannot be plain and hence it is compound. \square

Definition 11. If $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ is an \mathbb{N} -class of compound type for a group G , then we call an element $y \in C$ of maximum order a *root* of C . Moreover, we call the ordered list (p, r, s) , described in Proposition 10, the *parameters* of C .

Recall that p is a prime number, r and s are integer with $r \geq 2$ and $s \in [r - 2]_0$.

5.1 Examples of classes of compound type

In [4, Proposition 2], Cameron and Ghosh prove, translated within our language, that if C is a compound class in an abelian group G , then $C = \mathcal{S}$. This is not true, in general, for non-abelian groups, as shown by the following example.

Example 12. The \mathbb{N} -classes of the elements of order 4 in S_4 are of compound type with parameters $(p, r, s) = (2, 2, 0)$. For instance, $[(1234)]_{\mathbb{N}} = \{(1234), (1432), (13)(24)\}$ is the union of the two \diamond -classes $[(1234)]_{\diamond} = \{(1234), (1432)\}$ and $[(13)(24)]_{\diamond} = \{(13)(24)\}$.

The above example shows that $s = 0$ can occur as a parameter of a compound class. That possibility, wrongly denied in [3], is not sporadic at all. We can indeed easily construct an infinite family of groups admitting \mathbb{N} -classes of compound type with $s = 0$. Recall that the dihedral group D_{2n} of order $2n$, for $n \geq 2$, is defined by

$$D_{2n} := \langle a, b \mid a^n = 1 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Consider now the choice $n = p^r$ for p a prime and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geq 2$. It is easily checked that $\mathcal{P}(D_{2p^r})$ is composed by the complete graph K_{p^r} on the vertices in $\langle a \rangle$ and p^r further vertices given by the involutions in $D_{2n} \setminus \langle a \rangle$ joined only with 1. Therefore we have that $[a]_{\mathbb{N}} = \langle a \rangle \setminus \{1\}$ is of compound type, since it contains elements of different orders. Moreover, its parameters are $(p, r, 0)$.

The construction of an infinite family of groups admitting \mathbb{N} -classes of compound type with $s = 1$ is a bit more tricky. Consider, for $n \geq 4$, the quasidihedral group of order 2^n defined by

$$QD_{2^n} := \langle a, b \mid a^{2^{n-1}} = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1+2^{n-2}} \rangle$$




Figure 1: $\mathcal{P}^*(QD_{16})$: vertices with different colours are in distinct N-classes.

(see, for instance, [12, Satz I.14.9]). Then it is easily checked that its proper power graph $\mathcal{P}^*(QD_{2^n})$ is composed by a clique of order $2^{n-1} - 1$ given by the graph induced by $\langle a \rangle$, 2^{n-3} triangles having the vertex $a^{2^{n-2}}$ in common and all the 2^{n-2} remaining vertices isolated. Then the class $[a]_{\mathbb{N}}$ is compound with parameters $(2, n - 1, 1)$. In Figure 1 it is shown the case $n = 4$.

5.2 Critical classes

The Moore closure operator defined in Section 3 is a very useful tool for the study of N-classes. The proposition below is essentially extracted from [3]. We state it formally and prove it making use of the neighbourhood closure operator.

Proposition 13. *Let G be a group and $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ be an N-class of compound type, root $y \in G$ and parameters (p, r, s) . Then $|C| = p^r - p^s$ and $\hat{C} = \langle y \rangle$. In particular, $|\hat{C}| = p^r$.*

Proof. By Proposition 10, we have $C \subseteq \langle y \rangle =: Y$ and $|C| = |Y| - |\{z \in Y : o(z) \leq p^s\}| = p^r - p^s$. We first show that $Y \subseteq \hat{C}$. Let $a \in Y$. Then a is power of y and, by Lemma 7, we have $N[a] \supseteq N[y]$. By Proposition 2 (v), recalling that $y \in C$, we then deduce

$$N[C] = N[y] \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in Y} N[a] = N[Y].$$

Therefore, by Proposition 2 (i)-(ii), we get $Y \subseteq \hat{Y} = N[N[Y]] \subseteq N[N[C]] = \hat{C}$.

We next show that $Y = \hat{C}$. Suppose, by contradiction, that there exists $u \in \hat{C} \setminus Y$.

Assume first that the order of u is not a power of p . By Proposition 2 (v), we have $u \in \hat{C} \subseteq N[y]$. But $u \neq y$, because the order of y is a power of p . It follows that u and y are joined. Then $\langle u \rangle > \langle y \rangle$ since $u \notin Y$. Thus there exist $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2$ and $\gcd(m, p) = 1$, such that $o(u) = p^{r+t}m$. Now note that $o(u^{p^t m}) = o(y) = p^r$. Thus $u^{p^t m}$ and y are generators of the

unique subgroup of order p^r inside the cyclic group $\langle u \rangle$. As a consequence, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\gcd(k, p) = 1$, such that $u^{p^t m k} = y$. Thus $y^p = (u^{p^t m k})^p = u^{p^{t+1} m k}$. Now $o(y^p) = p^{r-1}$ and, since y is a root for C , we have $y^p \in C$, so that $yN[y^p]$ holds. It follows that $N[y] = N[y^p]$. We see that this is impossible considering $w := u^{p^{t+1}}$ and showing that $w \in N[y^p] \setminus N[y]$. Note first that $o(w) = p^{r-1} m \notin \{p^{r-1}, p^r\}$, so that $w \notin \{y^p, y\}$. Since $y^p = w^{mk}$, we have that $w \in N[y^p]$. On the other hand $w \notin N[y]$, because $o(y) = p^r \nmid o(w)$ and $o(w) \nmid o(y)$.

Assume next that the order of u is a power of p . By Proposition 2 (v), we have that $u \in \hat{C} \setminus Y \subseteq N[y] \setminus Y$. Thus $u \neq y$, u is joined to y and necessarily y is a power of u . Since $u \notin Y$, we have that $o(u) > o(y)$. Hence, by Lemma 7, we have $N[u] \subseteq N[y]$. Assume that $N[u] = N[y]$. Then $u \in C \subseteq Y$, a contradiction. Thus the inclusion is proper. As a consequence, there exists $w \in N[y]$ such that $w \notin N[u]$. Thus $u \notin N[w]$, that implies $u \notin \hat{C} = \bigcap_{z \in N[y]} N[z]$, a contradiction. \square

Remarkably, Proposition 13 points out that if $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ is an N-class of compound type, then some strong arithmetic restrictions on $|C|$ and $|\hat{C}|$ arise. As a consequence, an N-class different from \mathcal{S} that does not satisfy those restrictions is necessarily of plain type. However, as we show in the next proposition, those arithmetic restrictions can be satisfied also by an N-class of plain type in a very specific case that we can completely clarify.

Proposition 14. *Let G be a group and C be an N-class of plain type. Assume that there exist a prime number p and integers $r \geq 2$ and $s \in [r-2]_0$ such that $|C| = p^r - p^s$ and $|\hat{C}| = p^r$.*

Then $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$, $s = 0$ and $C = [y]_\diamond$ for some $y \in G$, with $o(y) > 1$ not a prime power and such that $\phi(o(y)) = p^r - 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 2 (i), we have $\hat{C} \supseteq C \cup \{1\}$ and \hat{C} is a union of N-classes and hence of \diamond -classes. Observe that $p^r < 2(p^r - p^s)$. Indeed that inequality is equivalent to $2 < p^{r-s}$ and, surely, $p^{r-s} \geq p^2 > 2$. It follows that $|\hat{C}| < 2|C|$. As a consequence every \diamond -class included in \hat{C} and distinct from C must have size smaller than $|C|$. Pick $y \in C$. Since C is of plain type we have $C = [y]_N = [y]_\diamond$. Note that, since $|C| = p^s(p^{r-s} - 1) \geq 3$ and $[1]_\diamond = \{1\}$, we have $y \neq 1$. Then, by Lemma 9, the elements in C have all order equal to $o(y) > 1$ and $1 \notin C$. In particular, we have $C \cup \{1\} = C \cup \{1\}$.

Claim 1. $\hat{C} \setminus [y]_\diamond$ cannot contain elements of order greater than or equal to $o(y)$.

Assume, by contradiction, that there exists $x \in \hat{C} \setminus [y]_\diamond$ such that $o(x) \geq o(y)$. Then $x \neq y$ and, by Proposition 2 (v), we have $\hat{C} \subseteq N[y]$, so that $x \in N[y] \setminus [y]_\diamond$. Hence, since $o(x) \geq o(y)$, we necessarily have $y \in \langle x \rangle$. Then $o(y) \mid o(x)$, which implies $\phi(o(y)) \mid \phi(o(x))$. In particular we have that $\phi(o(y)) \leq \phi(o(x))$. Since \hat{C} is a union of \diamond -classes, we have that $[x]_\diamond \subseteq \hat{C}$. It follows that $|[x]_\diamond| = \phi(o(x)) \geq \phi(o(y)) = |C|$, a contradiction.

Claim 2. $\hat{C} \subseteq \langle y \rangle$.

Let $x \in \hat{C}$. If $\langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$, then x is a power of y . If $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$, then $x \in \hat{C} \setminus [y]_\diamond$ so that, by Claim 1, we have $o(x) < o(y)$. Now, by Proposition 2 (v), we have $\hat{C} \subseteq N[y]$ so that $x \in N[y]$ and thus, again, x is a power of y .

Hence we have reached the following chain of inclusions:

$$C \cup \{1\} \subseteq \hat{C} \subseteq \langle y \rangle. \quad (2)$$

We now show that $o(y)$ cannot be a prime power. Assume, by contradiction, that $o(y) = q^t$, for some prime q and some integer $t \geq 1$.

We claim that $N[z] \supseteq \langle y \rangle$ holds true for all $z \in N[y]$. Let $z \in N[y]$. Then we have $z \in \langle y \rangle$ or $y \in \langle z \rangle$. Assume first that $o(z) \leq o(y)$. Then $z \in \langle y \rangle$. Now, by the fact that $o(y) = q^t$, it

follows that $\mathcal{P}(\langle y \rangle)$ is a complete graph and thus $\langle y \rangle \subseteq N[z]$. Assume next that $o(z) > o(y)$. Then necessarily $y \in \langle z \rangle$ and hence $\langle y \rangle \leq \langle z \rangle \subseteq N[z]$.

Now, by Proposition 2 (v), we know that $\hat{C} = \bigcap_{z \in N[y]} N[z]$. Thus $\hat{C} \supseteq \langle y \rangle$ and, by (2), we deduce $\hat{C} = \langle y \rangle$. As a consequence we have $p^r = |\hat{C}| = |\langle y \rangle| = q^t$. But then $q = p$, $t = r$, and hence $p^r - p^s = |C| = \phi(p^r) = p^r - p^{r-1}$ which implies $s = r - 1$, a contradiction.

Hence we have $o(y) = m$, for some integer $m > 1$, not a prime power.

Claim 3. $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$.

By (2), we just need to show that $\hat{C} \subseteq C \cup \{1\}$. Let $x \in \hat{C}$. By (2), we have that $x = y^k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume, by contradiction, that $y^k \notin C \cup \{1\}$. Then y^k is neither a generator nor the identity of the cyclic group $\langle y \rangle$ of order m . By Proposition 6 applied to $\mathcal{P}(\langle y \rangle)$, there exists $w \in \langle y \rangle$ such that $w \neq y^k$ and $\{y^k, w\} \notin E_{\mathcal{P}(\langle y \rangle)}$. Then we also have that $\{y^k, w\} \notin E_{\mathcal{P}(G)}$. It follows that $w \in N[y]$ while $y^k \notin N[w]$. Since by Proposition 2 (v) we have $\hat{C} = \bigcap_{z \in N[y]} N[z]$, we deduce that $x = y^k \notin \hat{C}$, a contradiction. By $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$, we now deduce $|\hat{C}| = |C| + 1$ and thus $p^r - p^s = |C| = |\hat{C}| - 1 = p^r - 1$ which implies $s = 0$. As a consequence, we also have $\phi(o(y)) = |C| = p^r - 1$. \square

In [3, pages 782–783], it is claimed that there is no \mathbb{N} -class C of plain type satisfying $|C| = p^r - p^s$ and $|\hat{C}| = p^r$, for some prime number p and some r, s integers with $r \geq 2$ and $s \in [r - 2]_0$. That is a mistake, because it is instead easy to exhibit examples of \mathbb{N} -classes of plain type satisfying those arithmetical restrictions.

Example 15. Consider $G = D_{30}$ with the notation in (1). Let $C := [a]_{\mathbb{N}}$. C contains the element a of order 15 and 15 is not a prime power. We remark that, by Proposition 10, if an \mathbb{N} -class $C = [x]_{\mathbb{N}} \neq \mathcal{S}$ is compound, then $o(x) > 1$ is a prime power. Thus we deduce that C is of plain type. As a consequence, we have $C = [a]_{\diamond}$ and $|C| = \phi(15) = 8 = 3^2 - 1$. Defining now $p := 3$, $r := 2$, $s := 0$ we see that $|C| = p^r - p^s$. In order to show that $|\hat{C}| = p^r$, we prove that $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$. Since the elements in C have order 15, we clearly have $1 \notin C$, so that $C \cup \{1\} = C \cup \{1\}$. By Proposition 2 (i), we have $\hat{C} \supseteq C \cup \{1\}$. Assume, by contradiction, that there exists $x \in \hat{C} \setminus (C \cup \{1\})$. Then we have $o(x) \notin \{1, 15\}$. In particular, $x \neq a$. Moreover, by Proposition 2 (v), we have $\hat{C} \subseteq N[a]$ and therefore $x \in \langle a \rangle$. Then, by Proposition 6, there exists $y \in \langle a \rangle \setminus \{x\}$ such that y is not joined to x . It follows that $y \in N[a]$ and $x \notin N[y]$, so that $x \notin \hat{C} = \bigcap_{z \in N[a]} N[z]$, a contradiction.

We are now in position to give birth to a crucial and original definition, the main player of our research.

Definition 16. Let Γ be a power graph. A *critical class* is an \mathbb{N} -class C such that $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$ and there exist a prime number p and an integer $r \geq 2$, with $|\hat{C}| = p^r$.

We emphasize that, in order to check if an \mathbb{N} -class is critical, one has to make only arithmetical or graph theoretical considerations. No group theoretical consideration is involved. We also want to emphasize that both critical classes of plain type and of compound type can arise in a power graph as shown in the Examples 12 and 15. Note that \mathcal{S} is never critical since $1 \in \mathcal{S}$.

It is interesting to note that a compound class C is critical if and only if $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ and C has parameters $(p, r, 0)$. This can easily be proved as follows. Assume that C is critical. Then $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ because \mathcal{S} is never critical. Moreover $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$ and $|\hat{C}| = p^r$ for some prime p and integer $r \geq 2$. Hence we have $|C| = p^r - 1$. Then, by Proposition 13, the parameters of C are $(p, r, 0)$. Conversely, assume that $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ and the parameters of C are $(p, r, 0)$. By Proposition 13, we have $|\hat{C}| = p^r$ and $|C| = p^r - 1$. Now clearly $1 \notin C$, otherwise $C = \mathcal{S}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2 (i), we have $\hat{C} \supseteq C \cup \{1\}$. It follows that $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$. Hence C is critical.

We stress that a critical class C is an \mathcal{N} -class, different from \mathcal{S} , which we cannot immediately recognize as plain or compound by arithmetical considerations of its size and the size of its closure. On the other hand, if we exclude those classes the recognition is easy.

Proposition 17. *Let G be a group and $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ be a non-critical \mathcal{N} -class. Then C is compound if and only if there exist a prime number p , an integer $r \geq 2$ and an integer $s \in [r - 2]_0$ such that $|C| = p^r - p^s$ and $|\hat{C}| = p^r$.*

Proof. If C is compound, by Proposition 13, there exist a prime p , an integer $r \geq 2$ and an integer $s \in [r - 2]_0$ such that $|C| = p^r - p^s$ and $|\hat{C}| = p^r$. Conversely, Proposition 14 shows that if such p , r and s exist and C is plain, then C is critical, a contradiction. \square

For a better final insight on \mathcal{N} -classes we need the following result by Feng, Ma and Wang [10].

Proposition 18. [10, Lemma 3.5] *Let G be a group and $x, y \in G$. Let $[x]_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $[y]_{\mathcal{N}}$ be two distinct \mathcal{N} -classes different from \mathcal{S} . If $\langle x \rangle < \langle y \rangle$, then $|[x]_{\mathcal{N}}| \leq |[y]_{\mathcal{N}}|$, with equality if and only if both the following two conditions hold:*

- (i) Both $[x]_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $[y]_{\mathcal{N}}$ are of plain type;
- (ii) $o(y) = 2o(x)$ and $o(x) \geq 3$ is odd.

We can now state and prove a result that allows to recognize if a critical class is plain or compound, by purely graph theoretical considerations, when the star class is trivial. Such a result will be crucial for the proof of the Main Theorem.

Proposition 19. *Let G be a group with $\mathcal{S} = \{1\}$ and $C = [y]_{\mathcal{N}}$ be a critical class. Then C is of plain type if and only if there exists $x \in G \setminus \hat{C}$ such that $|[x]_{\mathcal{N}}| \leq |C|$ and $\{x, y\} \in E$.*

Proof. Note that we have $C \neq \mathcal{S}$, because \mathcal{S} is never critical. Assume first that C is of plain type. Then \hat{C} is formed by the generators of $\langle y \rangle$ and by 1. By Proposition 14, we have $o(y) = m > 1$ not a prime power. In particular, m is not a prime and thus $m > \phi(m) + 1$. As a consequence, $\langle y \rangle \setminus \hat{C} \neq \emptyset$. Pick $x \in \langle y \rangle \setminus \hat{C}$. Then we have $\langle x \rangle < \langle y \rangle$ and then also $\{x, y\} \in E$. Note that, since $x \neq 1$, we have $[x]_{\mathcal{N}} \neq \mathcal{S} = \{1\}$. Moreover, since x does not generate $\langle y \rangle$, we also have $[x]_{\mathcal{N}} \neq [y]_{\mathcal{N}} = [y]_{\diamond}$. Hence, by Proposition 18, we deduce $|[x]_{\mathcal{N}}| \leq |C|$.

Assume next that there exists $x \in G \setminus \hat{C}$ such that $|[x]_{\mathcal{N}}| \leq |C| = |[y]_{\mathcal{N}}|$ and $\{x, y\} \in E$. Note that $x \neq 1$ since $x \notin \hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$. As a consequence $[x]_{\mathcal{N}} \neq \mathcal{S} = \{1\}$. Observe next that $[x]_{\mathcal{N}} \neq [y]_{\mathcal{N}}$ holds, otherwise we would have $x \in C \subseteq \hat{C}$. In particular, we have $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$. Since $\{x, y\} \in E$, it follows that $\langle y \rangle < \langle x \rangle$ or $\langle x \rangle < \langle y \rangle$. If $\langle y \rangle < \langle x \rangle$, then, by Proposition 18, we deduce $|[y]_{\mathcal{N}}| \leq |[x]_{\mathcal{N}}|$ and hence $|[x]_{\mathcal{N}}| = |[y]_{\mathcal{N}}|$. Thus, by Proposition 18, $C = [y]_{\mathcal{N}}$ is of plain type. If $\langle x \rangle < \langle y \rangle$, then $x \in \langle y \rangle$. Suppose, by contradiction, that C is of compound type. Let z be a root of C . Then, by Propositions 10 and 13, we get $x \in \langle y \rangle \leq \langle z \rangle = \hat{C}$, a contradiction. \square

6 The reconstruction of the directed power graph

We now describe the \diamond -classes inside the directed power graph, exploiting some facts observed in [3]. The following lemma, together with other previous results, paves the road for the effective reconstruction of the directed power graph from its undirected counterpart.

Lemma 20. *Let G be a group and let X, Y be two distinct \diamond -classes. In $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ the following facts hold:*

- (i) *The subdigraph induced by a \diamond -class is a complete digraph.*

- (ii) If there is at least one arc directed from X to Y , then (x, y) is an arc for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Moreover, there is no arc directed from Y to X .
- (iii) Let X and Y be joined and $|X| > |Y|$. Then there is an arc directed from X to Y .
- (iv) Let X and Y be joined and $1 \neq |X| = |Y|$. There is an arc directed from X to Y , if and only if there exists an involution $\tau \in G$ such that $[\tau]_\diamond$ is joined with X .
- (v) Let X and Y be joined and $1 = |X| = |Y|$. Then one of them is $[1]_\diamond$, the other is $[\tau]_\diamond$, with $\tau \in G$ an involution, and $(\tau, 1)$ is the only arc between the two \diamond -classes.

Proof. Recall that A denotes the arc set of $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$.

- (i) This is obvious by the definition of the relation \diamond .
- (ii) Note first that, if $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, then we cannot have in A both the arcs (x, y) and (y, x) , otherwise we would have $x \diamond y$ and then $X = Y$. Suppose now that there exist $\bar{x} \in X$ and $\bar{y} \in Y$ such that $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in A$. Pick $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then y is a power of \bar{y} , which is power of \bar{x} , which in turn is a power of x . Hence $(x, y) \in A$.
- (iii) Since the classes are joined there exist joined vertices $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Since $\phi(o(x)) = |X| > |Y| = \phi(o(y))$, we deduce that $o(y) \mid o(x)$ and so y is a power of x .
- (iv) Let $X = [x]_\diamond$ and $Y = [y]_\diamond$ for some $x, y \in G$. Assume first that $(x, y) \in A$. Then $y \in \langle x \rangle$ and $o(y) \mid o(x)$. Now $|X| = |Y|$ implies $\phi(o(x)) = \phi(o(y))$. This implies that $o(x) = 2o(y)$, with $o(y)$ odd, since otherwise we would have $o(x) = o(y)$ and then also the contradiction $X = Y$. Observe that $\tau := x^{o(y)}$ is an involution and that $x \neq \tau$ because $||[\tau]_\diamond| = 1 \neq |[x]_\diamond|$. It follows that $(x, \tau) \in A$ and thus $[\tau]_\diamond$ and X are joined. Conversely assume that there exists an involution $\tau \in G$ such that $[\tau]_\diamond$ and X are joined. Then we have $|X| > |[\tau]_\diamond| = 1$ and thus, by (iii), $(x, \tau) \in A$. We show that $(x, y) \in A$. Assume, by contradiction, that $(y, x) \in A$. As before one obtains $o(y) = 2o(x)$, with $o(x)$ odd, against the fact that $2 = o(\tau) \mid o(x)$.
- (v) A \diamond -class of size one contains either 1 or an involution, and involutions are never joined. The result therefore follows from the definition of $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$.

□

6.1 The Main Theorem

We now pass to prove our main theorem. First we need to be precise about our terminology. It seems that, in the literature, a clear definition of reconstruction was missing.

We say that we can reconstruct the directed power graph from a power graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ if we are able, by purely arithmetical or graph theoretical considerations, without taking into account any group theoretical information, to do one of the following:

- prove that there exists a unique group G such that $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}(G)$ and exhibit such G ;
- exhibit a digraph $\vec{\Gamma}$ isomorphic to $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ for all those G such that $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}(G)$.

Note that in the first case, G is uniquely determined and exhibited and thus we can clearly also exhibit its directed power graph. In the second case there could be many groups G realizing $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}(G)$, and usually one is not able to explicitly exhibit them. The point is that, whatever those groups are, we require to be able to show a directed graph which, up to isomorphisms of directed

graphs, equals the directed power graphs of all those groups. In particular, the directed power graphs of all those G will be isomorphic.

For the proof, we are going to use some methods from the proof of [3, Theorem 2], correcting the mistake about critical classes and filling in some missing details. Of course, since we are proving a stronger result, the architecture of the proof and some parts of it are completely original.

Main Theorem. *We can reconstruct the directed power graph from any power graph.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a power graph and let $n := |V|$. Since Γ is a power graph, there exists a group G such that $\Gamma = \mathcal{P}(G)$ and $V = G$. If $n = 1$, then $G = 1$; if $n = 2$ then $G \cong C_2$. Hence in those cases G is uniquely determined and exhibited. Assume then that $n \geq 3$.

We consider the size of the set \mathcal{S} of the star vertices in Γ . By Proposition 6, if $|\mathcal{S}| > 1$ the following three possibilities arise, each of them leading to a unique and exhibited group G :

- $|\mathcal{S}| = n$. In this case the only possibility is $G \cong C_n$ with n a prime power.
- $|\mathcal{S}| = 1 + \phi(n) \neq n$. Here we have the only possibility $G \cong C_n$, with n not a prime power.
- $|\mathcal{S}| = 2$. Note that we are not in one of the previous cases because $n \geq 3$ implies $2 \neq n$, and $2 \neq 1 + \phi(n)$. Here, G is the generalized quaternion group of order n .

We now study the case $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$. Then $\mathcal{S} = \{1\}$, and we recognize which vertex of Γ is the identity element 1 of the group G . This is the genuine interesting case to deal with and it needs the whole machinery of the paper.

Let \mathcal{K} be the partition of $V \setminus \{1\}$ into \mathbb{N} -classes. We show that, given a class in \mathcal{K} , we can decide if it is of plain or compound type by arithmetical or graph theoretical considerations, without taking into account any group theoretical information.

Pick then $C = [y]_{\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume first that C is critical. Then, by Proposition 19, C is plain if and only if there exists $x \in V \setminus \hat{C}$ such that $|[x]_{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |C|$ and $\{x, y\} \in E$. Assume next that C is not critical. Then, by Proposition 17, C is compound if and only if there exist a prime p and integers $r \geq 2$ and $s \in [r - 2]_0$ such that $|C| = p^r - p^s$ and $|\hat{C}| = p^r$.

In \mathcal{K} , denote by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ the set of plain classes and by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ the set of compound classes. Of course, we have $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{P}} \cup \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let $C \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. By Proposition 13, we have parameters (p, r, s) associated with C , where p is a prime, $r \geq 2$ and $s \in [r - 2]_0$. Recall that $|C| = p^r - p^s$ and $|\hat{C}| = p^r$ and note that

$$p^r - p^s = \sum_{i=s+1}^r (p^i - p^{i-1}) = \sum_{i=s+1}^r \phi(p^i).$$

We now partition C , arbitrarily, into $r - s \geq 2$ subsets $X_i(C)$ of sizes $\phi(p^i)$, for $s + 1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\mathcal{K}_C := \{X_i(C) : s + 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ be the obtained partition of C .

By Proposition 10, we know that $C \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ also admits the partition \diamond_C given by the $r - s$ \diamond -classes of C , and that the sizes of those \diamond -classes are $\phi(p^i)$, for $s + 1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus \mathcal{K}_C and \diamond_C are two partitions of C formed by the same number of subsets of the same sizes. As a consequence, there clearly exists $\psi_C \in S_C$ such that $\psi_C(X_i(C))$ is a \diamond -class of C of size $\phi(p^i)$, for all $s + 1 \leq i \leq r$, and $\diamond_C = \{\psi_C(X) : X \in \mathcal{K}_C\} = \psi_C(\mathcal{K}_C)$.

Define next the partition \mathcal{K}_{\diamond} of $V \setminus \{1\}$ given by $\mathcal{K}_{\diamond} := \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{P}} \cup \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathcal{K}_C$. By what was shown above, we have that there exists $\psi_{\mathfrak{C}} \in \times_{C \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}} S_C$ such that $\psi_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathcal{K}_C)$ is the partition of the set $\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{C}}} C$ into its \diamond -classes. Completing the permutation $\psi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ to a permutation of V which fixes the \diamond -classes in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ and 1, we obtain $\psi \in \times_{C \in \mathcal{K} \cup \{1\}} S_C$ such that $\psi(\mathcal{K}_{\diamond})$ is the partition of $V \setminus \{1\}$ in \diamond -classes.

Now, by Proposition 1, the group $\times_{C \in \mathcal{K} \cup \{S\}} S_C$ is a group of automorphisms for the power graph Γ . Hence, what shown above, proves that \mathcal{K}_\diamond is, up to the graph isomorphism ψ , the partition of $V \setminus \{1\}$ in \diamond -classes.

We are now ready to define a set of arcs $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \subseteq V \times V$. For every $\{x, y\} \in E$ we are going to set $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ or $(y, x) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ (or both). Let $\{x, y\} \in E$. Assume first that $1 \in \{x, y\}$, say $y = 1$. Then we set $(x, 1) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$. Assume next that $1 \notin \{x, y\}$. If there exists $C \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$ such that $x, y \in C$, then we set both $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ and $(y, x) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$. If $x \in X, y \in Y$, with $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$ and $X \neq Y$, then we take our decision through the computation of $|X|$ and $|Y|$. If $|X| > |Y|$, then we set $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$. Assume next that $|X| = |Y| \neq 1$. Then we also have $|\psi(X)| = |\psi(Y)| \neq 1$ and, by definition of ψ , $\psi(X)$ and $\psi(Y)$ are \diamond -classes. Thus Lemma 20 implies that there exists $Z \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$ such that $\psi(Z) = [\tau]_\diamond$, with $\tau \in G$ an involution and $\psi(Z)$ is joined with exactly one of $\psi(X)$ or $\psi(Y)$. Hence $|Z| = 1$ and, since ψ is a graph isomorphism, Z is joined with exactly one of X or Y . We set $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ if X is joined with Z , and we set $(y, x) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ if Y is joined with Z . Finally we suppose, by contradiction, that $|X| = |Y| = 1$. Then, by Lemma 20, one of the \diamond -classes $\psi(X)$ and $\psi(Y)$ must be $S = \{1\}$, against the fact that $\psi(X), \psi(Y) \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$, a partition of $V \setminus \{1\}$.

We define now $\vec{\Gamma} := (V, A_{\vec{\Gamma}})$. We claim that ψ is a digraph isomorphism between $\vec{\Gamma}$ and the directed power graph $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G) = (G, A)$. First observe that ψ is, by definition, a bijection between the vertex sets $V = G$ of the two digraphs. We show that $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ if and only if $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in A$. Assume first that x, y belong to the same $C \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$. This happens if and only if $\psi(x)$ and $\psi(y)$ belong to the same \diamond -class $\psi(C)$. Hence $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ if and only if $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in A$, by the construction above and by Lemma 20 (i). Assume next that $x \in X, y \in Y$, with $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$ and $X \neq Y$. This happens if and only if $\psi(x) \in \psi(X)$ and $\psi(y) \in \psi(Y)$ with $\psi(X) \neq \psi(Y)$ \diamond -classes.

Then, by the definition of $A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ and by Lemma 20 (iii)-(iv), one of the following holds:

- $|X| > |Y|$ if and only if $|\psi(X)| > |\psi(Y)|$ and hence $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ if and only if $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in A$.
- $|X| = |Y| \neq 1$ and there exists $Z \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$ such that $|Z| = 1$ and Z is joined to X if and only if the same is true changing X, Y and Z with, respectively $\psi(X), \psi(Y)$ and $\psi(Z)$. Thus $(x, y) \in A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ if and only if $(\psi(x), \psi(y)) \in A$.

It remains to consider the arcs in $A_{\vec{\Gamma}}$ incident to 1. By the construction of $\vec{\Gamma}$, those arcs are the $(x, 1)$ for $x \in G \setminus \{1\}$, and obviously $(\psi(x), \psi(1)) = (\psi(x), 1) \in A$ for all $x \in G \setminus \{1\}$. □

As a corollary we immediately deduce the following result. It appeared in [3], as the main theorem, with a step of the proof affected by a mistake, as explained in Section 5.2. Thanks to the Main Theorem, we can completely confirm its validity.

Corollary 21. [3, Theorem 2] *If G_1 and G_2 are finite groups whose power graphs are isomorphic, then their directed power graphs are also isomorphic.*

We emphasize that the Main Theorem expresses a stronger result with respect to Corollary 21. In particular, it allows us to give a clear answer to the request, posed in 2022 by P. J. Cameron [5, Question 2], for a simple algorithm able to reconstruct the directed power graph of a group from its power graph. Indeed its proof is constructive and can be explicitly converted into an algorithm. The readers interested into the description of the algorithm and its pseudo-code are referred to the Appendix. Here, we illustrate how the algorithm works on some enlightening examples and in the framework of a game. This approach should make clear that the Main Theorem expresses quite a surprising result: we can reconstruct the directed version of a power graph, having no knowledge about the possible groups of which it is the power graph.

6.2 Examples of reconstruction of the directed power graph

Imagine we play mathematics with a friend. She has a finite group G in hands and computes the power graph $\Gamma := \mathcal{P}(G)$. Then she hides G inside an inaccessible black-box and let us see only Γ . She challenges us to guess the shape of $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(G)$.

The game is quite boring if the graph shown has a star set with more than one vertex. In that case we can easily guess what the group G in the black-box is, by Proposition 6, and then obtain its directed power graph.

So suppose she opts for something like $G = D_{30}$. The graph that she shows us is that in Figure 2, but without colours. We put colours to recognize the different nature of the vertices. The white vertex is clearly the only star vertex, hence it is the identity of the group. The orange vertices are joined only with the identity, so they are involutions. We split the remaining vertices into \mathbb{N} -classes just examining the edges in the graph. We end up with three \mathbb{N} -classes, each containing only vertices of one colour: magenta, blue or yellow. We now want to understand if those classes are of plain or of compound type. Let x and z be, respectively, a blue and a yellow vertex. Let also y be one of the magenta vertices. We start with the easy ones. Observing the graph we are able to compute the neighbourhood closure of $[x]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[z]_{\mathbb{N}}$. We obtain $\widehat{[x]_{\mathbb{N}}} = [x]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup [y]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \{1\}$ and $\widehat{[z]_{\mathbb{N}}} = [z]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup [y]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \{1\}$, hence $[x]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $[z]_{\mathbb{N}}$ are not critical classes. Since we have $|[x]_{\mathbb{N}}| = 4$, $|\widehat{[x]_{\mathbb{N}}}| = 12$, $|[z]_{\mathbb{N}}| = 2$, $|\widehat{[z]_{\mathbb{N}}}| = 10$, then neither $[x]_{\mathbb{N}}$ nor $[z]_{\mathbb{N}}$ are of compound type, by Proposition 13. Now let us focus on the class $[y]_{\mathbb{N}}$ of magenta vertices. As before we compute the neighbourhood closure obtaining $\widehat{[y]_{\mathbb{N}}} = [y]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \{1\}$. Evaluating also $|\widehat{[y]_{\mathbb{N}}}| = 9 = 3^2$, we see that $[y]_{\mathbb{N}}$ is a critical class, just recalling Definition 16. In order to understand the nature of $[y]_{\mathbb{N}}$ we seek outside $\widehat{[y]_{\mathbb{N}}}$. It is straightforward to check the following facts for x , one of the blue vertices:

1. $x \in G \setminus \widehat{[y]_{\mathbb{N}}}$;
2. $4 = |[x]_{\mathbb{N}}| \leq |[y]_{\mathbb{N}}| = 8$;
3. $\{x, y\} \in E$.

Therefore, by Proposition 19, we deduce that $[y]_{\mathbb{N}}$ is of plain type. Summarizing, we have $[y]_{\mathbb{N}} = [y]_{\diamond}$, $[x]_{\mathbb{N}} = [x]_{\diamond}$ and $[z]_{\mathbb{N}} = [z]_{\diamond}$.

We now have the partition composed by $[y]_{\diamond}$, $[x]_{\diamond}$, $[z]_{\diamond}$ and other sixteen \diamond -classes each containing the identity or an involution. That is the partition of V in \diamond -classes.

The game is not over yet, because we need to exhibit a digraph, but we are in the homestretch. We replace all the edges joining a vertex with the identity with arcs of the type $(v, 1)$, for $v \in V \setminus \{1\}$. For all the other edges we follow Lemma 20. In particular we use (i) and (iii). Edges between vertices of the same \diamond -class are replaced by two arcs, one for both the directions. The remaining edges are the one between the magenta vertices and the blue or yellow vertices. Without hesitating we replaced all those edges with arcs starting in the magenta vertices and ending in the blue or yellow vertices, because $|[y]_{\mathbb{N}}| = 8$, $|[x]_{\mathbb{N}}| = 4$ and $|[z]_{\mathbb{N}}| = 2$ hold.

Our friend asks for a rematch. This time she prepares for us the graph $\mathcal{P}(D_{18})$ in Figure 3 (a). As before imagine it without colours. This time a delicate situation arises. We did not face that in the previous case. The white and orange vertices are, as before, the identity and the involutions. For the remaining vertices it is easily checked, by the study of the closed neighbourhoods, that they belong to a unique \mathbb{N} -class C . Since $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$ and $|\hat{C}| = 9$, we have that C is a critical class. Note that we have $|C| = 8 = 3^2 - 1$ and $|\hat{C}| = 9 = 3^2$ as in the previous case. Without too much effort it is checked that there exists no $x \in G \setminus \hat{C}$ joined with a vertex of C and hence, by Proposition 19, C is of compound type.

As a consequence, there exists $y \in C$ with $o(y) = 3^2$ such that $C = \{z \in \langle y \rangle \mid 3 \leq o(z) \leq 3^2\}$. Here we face the delicate situation. We have seen that knowing the partition in \diamond -classes allows us




Figure 2: $\mathcal{P}(D_{30})$

to use Lemma 20. But whenever a compound class appears, we cannot directly see the \diamond -partition. However, we can find it up to a graph isomorphism. We arbitrarily partition the vertices of C in two sets, one formed by 6 elements and one by 2 elements. In Figure 3 (a) such a partition is revealed by the two colours magenta and blue. In C the partition in \diamond -classes has exactly two sets with the same sizes as those in our partition. By an argument in the proof of the Main Theorem, our arbitrary partition of the vertices in C is, up to a graph isomorphism, just the partition of C into \diamond -classes.

We now have in hands, up to an isomorphism, the partition of G into \diamond -classes. It is composed by the sets of the partition above and the singletons containing each an involution or 1. Mimicking the instructions in Lemma 20, we now assign the directions on the edges obtaining the digraph shown in Figure 3 (b). By the Main Theorem, that directed graph is just $\vec{\mathcal{P}}(D_{18})$.




Figure 3: Example of reconstruction of the directed power graph from a power graph: elements of the same order have the same colour.

Acknowledgments We wish to thank an anonymous referee for the care in dealing with our paper and the many suggestions for improving clarity and readability. We also wish to thank Peter Cameron for his encouragement to deepen the topics of his paper [3], Dikran Dikranjan, José Cáceres and Josef Šlapal for illuminating conversations about the Moore closure operators for graphs. Daniela Bubboloni is partially supported by GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy), and local funding from the Università degli Studi di Firenze. This work is also funded by the European Union - Next Generation EU, Missione 4 Componente 1, CUP B53D23009410006, PRIN 2022- 2022PSTWLB - Group Theory and Applications.

References

- [1] R. Brauer, K. A. Fowler, *On groups of even order*, Annals of Mathematics, **62** (1955), 565–583.
- [2] D. Bubboloni, M. A. Iranmanesh, S. M. Shaker, *On some graphs associated with the finite alternating groups*, Communications in Algebra, **45**, no. 12 (2017), 5355–5373.

- [3] P. J. Cameron, *The power graph of a finite group, II*, Journal of Group Theory **13** (2010), 779–783.
- [4] P. J. Cameron, S. Ghosh, *The power graph of a finite group*, Discrete Mathematics **311** (2011), 1220–1222.
- [5] P. J. Cameron, *Graphs defined on groups*, International Journal of Group Theory, vol. **11** No. 2 (2022), 53–107.
- [6] N. Caspard, B. Monjardet, *The lattices of Moore families and closure operators on a finite set: A survey*, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics **2** (1999), 25–50.
- [7] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, M. K. Sen, *Undirected power graphs of semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **78** (2009), 410–426.
- [8] B. Das, J. Ghosh, A. Kumar, *The isomorphism problem of power graphs and a question of Cameron*, arXiv:2305.18936v2.
- [9] D. Dikranjan, W. Tholen, *Categorical structure of closure operators, with applications to topology, algebra and discrete mathematics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995.
- [10] M. Feng, X. Ma, K. Wang, *The full automorphism group of the power (di)graph of a finite group*, Discrete Mathematics **52** (2016), 197–206.
- [11] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, M. A. Henning, *Topics in dominations in graphs*, Developments in Mathematics, vol. **64**, Springer, 2020.
- [12] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. **134**, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1983.
- [13] A.V. Kelarev, S.J. Quinn, *A combinatorial property and power graphs of groups*, (Proc. of the Vienna Conference, Vienna, 1999), Contributions to General Algebra **12** (2000), 229–235.
- [14] A.V. Kelarev, S.J. Quinn, *Directed graphs and combinatorial properties of semigroups*, Journal of Algebra **251** (2002), 16–26.
- [15] A. Kumar, L. Selvaganesh, P. J. Cameron, T. T. Chelvam, *Recent developments on the power graph of finite groups - a survey*, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics, **18**, no. 2 (2021), 65–94.
- [16] L. Lovász, *Kneser’s conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **25** (1978), 319–324.
- [17] M. Mirzargar, A. R. Ashrafi, M. J. Nadjafi-Arani, *On the power graph of a finite group*, Filomat **26** (2012), 1201–1208.
- [18] A. R. Moghaddamfar, S. Rahbariyan, W. J. Shi, *Certain properties of the power graph associated with a finite group*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications **13** (2014), DOI: 10.1142/S0219498814500406.
- [19] E. Schechter, *Handbook of analysis and its foundations*, Academic Press, San Diego London Boston New York Sydney Tokyo Toronto, 1997.
- [20] J. Šlapal, *Galois connections between sets of paths and closure operators in simple graphs*, Open Mathematics **16** (2018), 1573–1581.

- [21] J. Šlapal, *Path-induced closure operators on graphs for defining digital Jordan surfaces*, Open Mathematics **17**, no. 1 (2019), 1374–1380.
- [22] S. Zahirović, *The power graph of a torsion-free group determines the directed power graph*, Discrete Mathematics **301** (2021), 109–118.

Conflict of interest

Declarations of conflict of interest in the manuscript: none.

7 Appendix

7.1 The algorithm for the reconstruction

The proof of the Main Theorem is a constructive proof that can be explicitly converted into an algorithm. We call this algorithm Reconstruction Algorithm of Directed Power Graphs (see Algorithm 4). We now briefly analyze and comment the main steps of the Reconstruction Algorithm of Directed Power Graphs. Such algorithm is divided into three sub-algorithms (see Algorithms 1, 2, 3). The blue texts appearing in the pseudo-code represent some helpful comments.

The input of the Reconstruction Algorithm of Directed Power Graphs is a graph known to be the power graph of a finite group G . We think G inside a black box with no access. In other words, the group G is unknown and, usually, it will remain undisclosed till the end of the algorithm. This reflects the fact non-isomorphic groups may have isomorphic power graphs. Note also that, in order to deal with the graph vertices, we need to label them. A different labelling may give a different output, but the digraphs obtained are isomorphic.

We start evaluating the cardinality $|\mathcal{S}|$ of the star class. Such information breaks the algorithm into two possible roads to follow. If $|\mathcal{S}| > 1$, using Proposition 6, we recognize the group in play and hence we trivially reconstruct the directed power graph. The case of true interest is then when $|\mathcal{S}| = 1$. Since the star class has only one element, that element is necessarily the identity $1 \in G$. We partition the vertex set in \mathcal{N} -classes. Then, for each \mathcal{N} -class $C \neq \mathcal{S}$, we determine the type with Algorithm 1. In this part we use the information from Proposition 13, 14 and 19 in order to distinguish the classes of plain type from the ones of compound type.

Knowing the type of every \mathcal{N} -class allows us to create a partition of the vertex set that, up to a graph isomorphism, is the one in \diamond -classes using Algorithm 2. In this step we only have to partition all the compound classes in subset of given cardinalities.

Once we have the partition of the vertex set in \diamond -classes, from the knowledge of the edge set of the graph we reconstruct the directed power graph as shown in Algorithm 3. Lemma 20 is the main player here.

Algorithm 1 ClassTypeDistinctionAlgorithm

Require: A power graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, $C \neq \mathcal{S}$ an N-class of Γ

Ensure: The type of C

```
1:  $\hat{C} \leftarrow N[N[C]]$ 
2:  $\hat{c} \leftarrow |\hat{C}|$ 
3:  $c \leftarrow |C|$ 
4: if  $\hat{c}$  it is not a prime power then
5:   return  $C$  is of plain type
6: else
7:   Let  $p, r$  be such that  $\hat{c} = p^r$ 
8:   if  $r < 2$  then
9:     return  $C$  is of plain type ▷ By Propositions 10 and 13
10:  end if
11:   $s \leftarrow 0$  ▷ From now on  $r \geq 2$ 
12:   $FIND \leftarrow 0$ 
13:  while  $s \leq r - 2$  and  $FIND = 0$  do
14:    if  $c = p^r - p^s$  then
15:       $FIND \leftarrow 1$ 
16:    else
17:       $s \leftarrow s + 1$ 
18:    end if
19:  end while
20:  if  $FIND = 0$  then
21:    return  $C$  is of plain type ▷ By Propositions 10 and 13
22:  end if
23:  if  $s \neq 0$  then
24:    return  $C$  is of compound type ▷ By Proposition 14
25:  end if
26:  ▷ If we reach this point, then we have  $|\hat{C}| = p^r$  and  $\hat{C} = C \cup \{1\}$ . Hence  $C$  is critical
27:  for all  $x \in G \setminus \hat{C}$  do
28:     $|X| \leftarrow |[x]_{\mathbb{N}}|$ 
29:    if  $|X| \leq c$  and  $\{x, y\} \in E$  then
30:      return  $C$  is of plain type ▷ By Proposition 19
31:    end if
32:  end for
33:  return  $C$  is of compound type ▷ By Proposition 19
34: end if
```

Algorithm 2 CompoundClassPartitioningAlgorithm

Require: C a class of compound type, (p, r, s) the parameters of C

Ensure: The partition of C in \diamond -classes, up to graph isomorphism

- 1: $C = \{a_j : j \in [|C|]\}$ ▷ We name the elements of C as shown
 - 2: $m \leftarrow 0$
 - 3: **for** $i = s + 1$ to r **do**
 - 4: $n \leftarrow \phi(p^i)$
 - 5: $X_i(C) \leftarrow \{a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+n}\}$
 - 6: $m \leftarrow m + n$
 - 7: **end for**
 - 8: $\mathcal{K}_C \leftarrow \{X_i(C) : s + 1 \leq i \leq r\}$
 - 9: **return** \mathcal{K}_C
-

Algorithm 3 ArcsDirectionsChoiceAlgorithm

Require: The set V of all vertices of a power graph and the partition \mathcal{K}_\diamond of $V \setminus \{1\}$ in \diamond -classes

Ensure: The directed power graph

```
1:  $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2:  $K \leftarrow \emptyset$  ▷ It is needed to avoid useless checks
3: for all  $X \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond$  do ▷ We now give directions to the edges between elements in  $X$ 
4:    $H \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
5:   for all  $x \in H$  do
6:      $H \leftarrow H \cup \{x\}$ 
7:     for all  $y \in X \setminus H$  do
8:        $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \leftarrow A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \cup \{(x, y), (y, x)\}$ 
9:     end for
10:  end for
11:   $K \leftarrow K \cup \{X\}$ 
12:   $n_X \leftarrow |X|$ 
13:  for all  $Y \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond \setminus K$  do
14:    ▷ Here we take care of the edges between the vertices in  $X$  and the vertices in the other
15:    ▷  $\diamond$ -classes different from the star class
16:    if  $X$  is joined to  $Y$  then
17:       $n_Y \leftarrow |Y|$ 
18:      if  $n_X > n_Y$  then
19:         $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \leftarrow A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \cup \{(x, y) \mid x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}$ 
20:      else if  $n_Y > n_X$  then
21:         $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \leftarrow A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \cup \{(y, x) \mid x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}$ 
22:      else if  $n_X = n_Y$  then
23:        if  $X$  is joined with an involution then
24:          ▷ Note that we recognise the involutions as the vertices in  $\diamond$ -classes distinct from  $S$  with
25:          ▷ cardinality equals to 1
26:           $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \leftarrow A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \cup \{(x, y) \mid x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}$ 
27:        else
28:           $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \leftarrow A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \cup \{(y, x) \mid x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}$ 
29:        end if
30:      end if
31:    end if
32:  end for
33: for all  $x \in V \setminus \{1\}$  do ▷ Here take care of all the edges with the identity as an end vertex
34:    $A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \leftarrow A_{\vec{\Gamma}} \cup \{(x, 1)\}$ 
35: end for
36: return  $\vec{\Gamma} = (V, A_{\vec{\Gamma}})$ 
```

Algorithm 4 Reconstruction Algorithm of Directed Power Graphs

Require: $\Gamma = (V, E)$ a power graph

Ensure: $\vec{\Gamma} = (V, A)$ the directed power graph

```
1:  $s \leftarrow |\mathcal{S}|$ 
2: if  $s > 1$  then
3:    $n \leftarrow |V|$ 
4:   if  $s = n$  then
5:     return  $\vec{\Gamma}$  as the directed power graph of the cyclic group of order  $n$ 
6:   end if
7:   if  $s = 1 + \phi(n)$  then
8:     return  $\vec{\Gamma}$  be the directed power graph of the cyclic group of order  $n$ 
9:   end if
10:  if  $s = 2$  then
11:    return  $\vec{\Gamma}$  as the directed power graph of the generalised quaternion group of order  $n$ 
12:  end if
13: end if ▷ From now on  $s = 1$ 
14:  $\mathcal{K}_\diamond \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
15:  $U \leftarrow \{1\}$ 
16: while  $U \neq V$  do
17:   Take a  $x \in V \setminus U$ 
18:    $C \leftarrow [x]_{\mathbb{N}}$ 
19:   Type  $\leftarrow$  ClassTypeDistinctAlgorithm( $\Gamma, C$ ) ▷ Algorithm 1
20:   if Type = plain then
21:      $\mathcal{K}_\diamond \leftarrow \mathcal{K}_\diamond \cup C$ 
22:      $U \leftarrow \bigcup \{w \mid w \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond\} \cup \{1\}$ 
23:   else
24:      $(p, r, s) \leftarrow$  parameters of  $C$ 
25:      $\mathcal{K}_C \leftarrow$  CompoundClassPartitioningAlgorithm( $C, (p, r, s)$ ) ▷ Algorithm 2
26:      $\mathcal{K}_\diamond \leftarrow \mathcal{K}_\diamond \cup \mathcal{K}_C$ 
27:      $U \leftarrow \bigcup \{w \mid w \in \mathcal{K}_\diamond\} \cup \{1\}$ 
28:   end if
29: end while
30:  $\vec{\Gamma} \leftarrow$  ArcsDirectionsChoiceAlgorithm( $V, \mathcal{K}_\diamond$ ) ▷ Algorithm 3
31: return  $\vec{\Gamma}$ 
```
