

A General Integral Identity with Applications to a Reverse Serrin Problem

Rolando Magnanini¹ · Riccardo Molinarolo^{1,2} · Giorgio Poggesi³

Received: 21 February 2024 / Accepted: 9 May 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

We prove a new general differential identity and an associated integral identity, which entails a pair of solutions of the Poisson equation with constant source term. This generalizes a formula that the first and third authors previously proved and used to obtain quantitative estimates of spherical symmetry for the Serrin overdetermined boundary value problem. As an application, we prove a quantitative symmetry result for the *reverse Serrin problem*, which we introduce for the first time in this paper. In passing, we obtain a rigidity result for solutions of the aforementioned Poisson equation subject to a constant Neumann condition.

Keywords Integral identities · Serrin overdetermined problem · Rigidity results · Stability · Quantitative symmetry

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 35N25 · 35B35 · 35M12; Secondary 35A23

Rolando Magnanini rolando.magnanini@unifi.it https://people.dimai.unifi.it/magnanini/

> Riccardo Molinarolo riccardo.molinarolo@uniupo.it

Giorgio Poggesi giorgio.poggesi@uwa.edu.au

- ¹ Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica "U. Dini", Università di Firenze, viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy
- ² Present Address: Dipartimento per lo Sviluppo Sostenibile e la Transizione Ecologica (DiSSTE), Università degli Studi del Piemonte Orientale "A. Avogadro", Complesso San Giuseppe – Piazza Sant'Eusebio 5, 13100 Vercelli, Italy
- ³ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, Perth, WA 6009, Australia

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 2$, with sufficiently regular boundary Γ . We consider solutions of the Poisson equation:

$$\Delta u = N \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{1.1}$$

In [19, 20], the first and third author of this paper stated and then proved the following integral identity:

$$\int_{\Omega} (-u) \left\{ |\nabla^2 u|^2 - \frac{(\Delta u)^2}{N} \right\} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \left(u_{\nu}^2 - R^2 \right) (u_{\nu} - q_{\nu}) \, dS_x.$$
(1.2)

Here, u is a solution of (1.1) such that

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \tag{1.3}$$

and $q = q^z$ is a quadratic polynomial of the form

$$q^{z}(x) = \frac{1}{2} |x - z|^{2} + a \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},$$
(1.4)

for some $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, ν is the exterior unit normal on Γ and the constant *R* has the value

$$R = \frac{N |\Omega|}{|\Gamma|}.$$
(1.5)

Also, notice that it is easy to see that

$$|\nabla^2 u|^2 - \frac{(\Delta u)^2}{N} = \Delta P,$$

when we set:

$$P = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - u.$$

This is a standard *P*-function for (1.1).

The function ΔP is important. It is non-negative, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and vanishes if and only if u is a quadratic polynomial as in (1.4). Thus, it gives a *quadratic-radiality test* for u. By this important feature, (1.2) yields the Serrin Symmetry Theorem (see [30] for the celebrated proof by the method of moving planes and [31] for the first proof based on integral identities). This asserts that, if the solution u of (1.1) and (1.3) has constant normal derivative u_v on Γ , then Ω must be a ball. This is now easily seen from (1.2), since it turns out that the constant value of u_v on Γ must equal R, by the divergence theorem. Thus, (1.2) gives that $\Delta P \equiv 0$ (and hence radial symmetry), being as -u > 0 on Ω , by the strong maximum principle. The use of the volume integral in (1.2) to test the radiality of u was first noticed in [27].

Besides giving rigidity, (1.2) is even more important, because it allows to construct sharp quantitative estimates on how the relevant domain Ω deviates from being a ball (in the Hausdorff topology of domains) in terms of some norm of the deviation of u_{ν} from a constant. This theme was inaugurated in [1], by using a quantitative version of the method of moving planes. There, a quantitative symmetry estimate is obtained in terms of a logarithmic profile of a $C^1(\Gamma)$ -norm of the aforementioned deviation. It is worth noticing that this approach also works for positive solutions of quite general semilinear Poisson equations. That strategy was improved in [10] thus obtaining a quantitative symmetry estimate in terms of a polynomial profile of the Lipschitz seminorm of u_{ν} . (See also [6–8] for related research and [5, 11, 12] for recent generalizations to the fractional setting.)

An approach based on a quantitative version of the arguments contained in [31] was initiated in [3]. The method only works for the Poisson equation (1.1). However, the measure of the relevant deviation of u_{ν} from a constant is greatly relaxed by using a Lebesgue norm on Γ . (We refer the interested reader to [18, 23, 29] for a survey on the several stability results available in the literature; see also [9, 13] for related results in different settings.)

Identity (1.2) was used by the first and third author to obtain optimal (for low dimension) and allegedly¹ optimal (for large dimension) quantitative bounds. This was the theme of a series of papers [20, 21, 23] culminating with the proof of the inequality

$$\rho_e - \rho_i \le c \,\psi(\|u_v - R\|_{2,\Gamma}). \tag{1.6}$$

(See also [24, 26, 28] for recent extensions to mixed boundary value problems.) In (1.6), we mean that

$$\rho_e = \max_{x \in \Gamma} |x - z|, \quad \rho_i = \min_{x \in \Gamma} |x - z|, \tag{1.7}$$

for some point $z \in \Omega$. Hence, ρ_i and ρ_e are the radii of the largest ball contained in Ω and the smallest ball containing Ω , both centered at z.²

The profile ψ : $(0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ changes with the dimension. In fact, by [21] (and the improvement provided by [23] in the case N = 3), we have that

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } N = 2, \\ t \max\left[\log\left(1/t\right), 1\right] & \text{if } N = 3, \\ t^{2/(N-1)} & \text{if } N \ge 4, \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

for t > 0. The constant *c* in (1.6) only depends on the dimension *N*, the diameter d_{Ω} of Ω , and the radii r_i and r_e of the uniform interior and exterior sphere conditions

¹ Here, we mean that we conjecture that the bounds obtained in [23] are indeed optimal.

² In [23], it is also shown that, besides $\rho_e - \rho_i$, the L^2 -deviation of the Gauss map of Γ from that of a sphere can be estimated by the same profile ψ .

of Ω , as defined in Sect. 2. (We recall that those conditions are equivalent to the $C^{1,1}$ regularity of Γ as shown in [2, Corollary 3.14].) In [23] improvements of the profile are also provided for $N \ge 4$. In particular, if Γ is of class $C^{2,\alpha}$, then [23, Theorem 4.4] ensures that (1.6) holds true with

$$\psi(t) = t^{4/(N+1)}$$
 for $N > 4$, (1.9)

provided *c* depends on the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -regularity of Γ , instead of its $C^{1,1}$ -regularity.

In this paper, we derive an integral identity, which generalizes (1.2). It simply involves any two solutions u and v of class $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ of (1.1), and reads as:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \,\Delta P \,dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle (I - \nabla^2 v) \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \,dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,\langle \nabla^2 u \,\nabla u, v \rangle \,dS_x + \frac{1}{2} \,\int_{\Gamma} |\nabla u|^2 (u_v + v_v) \,dS_x \\ &- \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle \,u_v \,dS_x - \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,u_v \,dS_x + N \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) (u_v - v_v) \,dS_x. \end{split}$$
(1.10)

The proof is a simple application of the divergence theorem to an appropriate differential identity (see Theorem 3.1 for details).

In (1.10), we mean that

$$\overline{u} = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} u = \max_{\Gamma} u,$$

so that $\overline{u} - u > 0$ in Ω , by the strong maximum principle. Of course, if we require that *u* satisfies (1.3) or, more generally,

$$u = u_0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \tag{1.11}$$

for some $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, (1.10) will simply return (1.2) (in the latter case with -u replaced by $\overline{u} - u = u_0 - u$).

The significant generality of (1.10) opens the path to its application to old and new rigidity results for solutions of the Poisson equation (1.1). In this paper, we shall test the effectiveness of (1.10) by analysing the stability of what we shall call the *reverse Serrin problem*, as opposed to the *classical* Serrin problem. The former consists in considering a solution of (1.1) subject to the Neumann condition

$$u_{\nu} = R \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \tag{1.12}$$

and then imposing that u also satisfies (1.11).

Of course, the rigidity part of this problem gives the same (spherical) solution of the classical Serrin problem. The stability part is however not explored. We shall see in Sect. 6 that one can derive stability estimates for the reverse Serrin problem by exploiting those already obtained for the classical Serrin problem. However, this procedure leads to estimates which require a strong measure of the deviation of *u* from being constant on Γ . In fact, we need to use the norm $\|\overline{u} - u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Gamma)}$, as shown in Theorem 6.2.

Instead, the full power of (1.10) (in place of (1.2)) allows to weaken such a stringent deviation. In fact, in the two stability results we shall describe next, the deviation of the function *u* from being a constant on Γ will be measured in terms of its *oscillation*,

$$\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u = \max_{\Gamma} u - \min_{\Gamma} u,$$

and some suitable norm of its *tangential gradient* $\nabla_{\Gamma} u$ (see Sect. 2 for its definition). Our first stability result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (The reverse Serrin problem with uniform deviation) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 .

Suppose $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of (1.1), (1.12) and let z be any minimum point of u on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then it holds that

$$\rho_e - \rho_i \le c \,\psi\left(\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma} \right),$$

where ψ is given in (1.8). The constant c > 0 depends on N, d_{Ω} , r_i , and r_e .

We singled out this theorem since it is relatively easy to obtain from our new identity. Moreover, the dependence of the constant c on the mentioned parameters can be written explicitly.

In the next result, we show how the deviation of u from being a constant can be further weakened.

Theorem 1.2 (The reverse Serrin problem with weak deviation) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class $C^{2,\alpha}$, with $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Suppose $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of (1.1), (1.12) and let z be any minimum point of u on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then it holds that

$$\rho_e - \rho_i \le c \,\psi\left(\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u \,+\, \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{2,\Gamma} \right),$$

where ψ is given in (1.8). The constant c > 0 depends on N, d_{Ω} , r_i , and r_e .

Moreover, for $N \ge 4$ the profile ψ can be improved to (1.9). In this case, the dependence of c on r_i and r_e must be replaced with that on the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -regularity of Γ .

We shall now describe the key points of the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and highlight the differences with the corresponding results in classical Serrin problem.

The first step is to rewrite (1.10) conveniently, when *u* also satisfies (1.12). In fact, in this case we obtain the following identity:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) |\nabla^2 h|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 h_{\nu} dS_x + \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) [(N - 1) RH - N] h_{\nu} dS_x - \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \langle (\nabla \nu) \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle dS_x.$$
(1.13)

Here, h = q - u, where q is given in (1.4). Also, H is the mean curvature of Γ and ∇v is the Jacobian of a suitable extension to a neighborhood of Γ of the unit normal vector field v on Γ . Since up to suitable rotations ∇v depends on the principal curvatures of Γ , we realize that each surface integral at the right-hand side of (1.13) contains a quantity which is locally defined on Γ and can be made small in terms of the relevant deviations used in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

In order to obtain the new version (1.13) from (1.10), terms like u_{ν} or $u_{\nu\nu}$ should be replaced in (1.10). The latter term pops up when we consider the first surface integral in (1.10). In order to see that, we extend the vector field ν to a tubular neighborhood of Γ and observe that $\nabla(u_{\nu}) = \langle \nabla(u_{\nu}), \nu \rangle \nu$, since Γ is a level surface of u_{ν} . This procedure leads to discover that

$$\langle (\nabla^2 u) \nabla u, v \rangle = - \langle (\nabla v) \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle + u_v u_{vv}$$
 on Γ ,

since we also know that $(\nabla \nu) \nu = 0$ on Γ (see Sect. 2 for details).

Furthermore, the term $u_{\nu\nu}$ is treated by using the well-known formula for the Laplace operator of a smooth function v on a closed surface Σ :

$$\Delta v = v_{\nu\nu} + \Delta_{\Sigma} v + (N-1) H v_{\nu}.$$

Here, Δ_{Σ} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Σ and H is the mean curvature of Σ . (All these notations and computations are collected in Sect. 2.) Thus, since u satisfies (1.1) and (1.12), we obtain that

$$u_{\nu} u_{\nu\nu} = N R - (N-1) R^2 H - R \Delta_{\Gamma} u$$
 on Γ .

Finally, if we want obtain the desired dependence on $\nabla_{\Gamma} u$, we must use integration by parts on Γ , i.e. apply the formula:

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \, \Delta_{\Gamma} u \, dS_x = \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 dS_x.$$

This last step and the pointwise analysis on Γ are peculiar of the reverse Serrin problem and were not needed in the classical counterpart.

Since we assume that $u_{\nu} = R$ on Γ the remaining integrals in (1.10) are then easily treatable.

Now, we focus our attention on the left-hand side of (1.10). Notice that, if we choose v = q, with q as in (1.4), the second volume integral in (1.10) disappears (see also Theorem 3.2). Hence, the left-hand side of (1.13) is easily obtained by observing that $|\nabla^2 h|^2 = \Delta P$. The harmonic function h was also used in the treatment of (1.6) and

can be viewed as a deviation of u from q, thus measuring how far the solution (and the domain) is from a spherical configuration.

Therefore, in order to obtain the estimate in Theorem 1.2, the plan is to show that the volume integral in (1.13) is small provided the right-hand side of (1.13) becomes small in terms of some deviation of u on Γ from a constant.

Notice that if that integral is zero, then $|\nabla^2 h| = 0$, being as $\overline{u} - u > 0$ by the strong maximum principle. Thus, *h* is an affine function. As a consequence, we obtain in passing a fairly general rigidity result, which holds in the case of fairly general domains.

Theorem 1.3 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 . Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of (1.1), (1.12).

If the right-hand side of (1.13) is non-positive, then h is affine, and hence u is a quadratic polynomial. As a result, Ω is a ball.

A version of this theorem for the solution of (1.1), (1.3) was proved in [20]. Of course, the condition on the sign of the right-hand side of (1.13) in Theorem 1.3 is satisfied if u is also constant on Γ .

Finally, in order to treat the stability issue, one observes that the affine function h in Theorem 1.3 can be further normalized to a constant by an appropriate choice of the center z of the paraboloid q. With such a choice, if the volume integral (1.13) is small, then h is close to a constant. Heuristically, this means that the oscillation of h on Γ can be controlled by a weighted norm of $|\nabla^2 h|$. Now, since we have that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_e^2 - \rho_i^2\right) = \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} q \le \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} h + \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} u,$$

the desired estimate for $\rho_e - \rho_i$ can then be derived from one of the oscillation of *h* on Γ in terms of the aforementioned weighted integral, which can be derived from some inequalities proved in [23].

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, we collect some preliminary formulas and results for functions defined on surfaces. Section 3 contains our general identity and its corollaries, together with rigidity results related to the reverse Serrin problem, including the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Sect. 4, we gather some estimates for the Neumann problem, which are instrumental to trace the dependence of the constant c of Theorem 1.2 on the relevant parameters. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are contained in Sect. 5. Section 6 contains the alternative stability result for the Serrin reverse problem (Theorem 6.2) obtained via existing results for the classical Serrin problem.

2 Notations and Preliminary Formulas

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 and consider a function $v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$.

We use the following decomposition for the gradient ∇v of v on Γ :

$$\nabla v = v_{\nu} \, \nu + \nabla_{\Gamma} v. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, v denotes the exterior unit normal vector field to Γ . This decomposition defines what we shall call the *tangential gradient* $\nabla_{\Gamma} v$ of v on Γ . It is clear that, if w is another function in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, then

$$\langle \nabla v, \nabla w \rangle = v_{\nu} w_{\nu} + \langle \nabla_{\Gamma} v, \nabla_{\Gamma} w \rangle.$$

We recall the well-known formula (see [15]):

$$\Delta v = v_{\nu\nu} + \Delta_{\Gamma} v + (N-1) H v_{\nu} \text{ on } \Gamma.$$
(2.2)

Here, Δ_{Γ} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Γ and H denotes the *mean curvature* of Γ defined by

$$H = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \kappa_j,$$

where $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_{N-1}$ are the principal curvatures of Γ with respect to the interior normal.

We also recall from [15] the following formula of integration by parts on Γ , which holds for any $v, w \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$:

$$\int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla_{\Gamma} v, \nabla_{\Gamma} w \rangle \, dS_x = -\int_{\Gamma} v \, \Delta_{\Gamma} w \, dS_x. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, we have that $\int_{\Gamma} \Delta_{\Gamma} w \, dS_x = 0$.

We can always extend the vector field ν smoothly to a tubular neighborhood of Γ in $\overline{\Omega}$. For instance, we can set $\nu = -\eta \nabla \delta_{\Gamma}$, where δ_{Γ} is the *distance function*, defined by

$$\delta_{\Gamma}(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \Gamma) \text{ for } x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

and η is a cut-off function which equals 1 in a neighborhood of Γ . In fact, we know from [16] that there is neighborhood \mathcal{U} of Γ in $\overline{\Omega}$ such that $\delta_{\Gamma} \in C^{2}(\mathcal{U})$ and we have that

$$|\nabla \delta_{\Gamma}| = 1$$
 in \mathcal{U} .

Hence, η is chosen as a smooth function with support contained in the set in \mathcal{U} and such that $\eta \equiv 1$ near Γ .

At any point in Γ , we can choose coordinates (see, e.g., [14, Appendix 14.6]) so that

$$\nabla \nu = -\nabla^2 \delta_{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_1 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \kappa_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Throughout the rest of the paper, unless explicitly indicated, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 2$, with boundary Γ of class C^2 . Under this assumption, Ω surely satisfies the *uniform interior and exterior sphere conditions*, whose respective radii will be denoted by r_i and r_e . Namely, there exists $r_i > 0$ (resp. $r_e > 0$) such that for each $p \in \Gamma$ it holds that $\overline{B} \cap \Gamma = \{p\}$ for some ball $B \subset \Omega$ (resp. $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$) of radius $r_i > 0$ (resp. $r_e > 0$). As already mentioned, the two properties are equivalent to the $C^{1,1}$ -regularity of Γ , as shown in [2]. We denote by d_{Ω} the diameter of Ω .

The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 2.1 Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of (1.1), and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. It holds that (i) if u = c on Γ , then

$$\langle \nabla^2 u \, \nabla u, v \rangle = u_v \left[N - (N-1) \, H \, u_v \right] \text{ on } \Gamma;$$

(*ii*) if $u_v = c$ on Γ , then

$$\langle \nabla^2 u \, \nabla u, v \rangle = -\langle \nabla v \, \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle + u_v \left[N - \Delta_{\Gamma} u - (N-1) H \, u_v \right] on \Gamma.$$

Proof (i) Since Γ is a level surface for u, by (2.1) we infer that $\nabla u = u_v v$, and hence

$$\left\langle \nabla^2 u \, \nabla u, v \right\rangle = u_v \, u_{vv}.$$

Moreover, (2.2) with v = u gives that

$$u_{\nu\nu} = N - \Delta_{\Gamma} u - (N - 1) H u_{\nu} \text{ on } \Gamma.$$
(2.4)

Since $\Delta_{\Gamma} u = 0$ on Γ , the desired identity ensues at once.

(ii) We proceed as already described by extending ν to a neighborhood \mathcal{U} . The ensuing computations are generally performed in \mathcal{U} , and hence evaluated on Γ .

Since Γ is a level surface of $\langle \nabla u, v \rangle$, we have that

$$\nabla \langle \nabla u, v \rangle = \langle \nabla \langle \nabla u, v \rangle, v \rangle v$$

on Γ . Next, we compute that

$$\nabla \langle \nabla u, v \rangle = (\nabla^2 u) v + \nabla v \nabla u$$

= $(\nabla^2 u) v + u_v (\nabla v) v + \nabla v \nabla_{\Gamma} u = (\nabla^2 u) v + \nabla v \nabla_{\Gamma} u.$

🖄 Springer

Here, we have used that $(\nabla v) v = 0$ in \mathcal{U} , since v is unitary.

Thus, we infer that

$$(\nabla^2 u) v + (\nabla v) \nabla_{\Gamma} u$$

= $[u_{\nu\nu} + \langle (\nabla v) \nabla_{\Gamma} u, v \rangle] v = [u_{\nu\nu} + \langle (\nabla v) v, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle] v = u_{\nu\nu} v.$

Hence, multiplying by ∇u gives that

$$\langle (\nabla^2 u) \, \nabla u, v \rangle = - \langle (\nabla v) \, \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla u \rangle + u_v \, u_{vv} = - \langle (\nabla v) \, \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle + u_v \, u_{vv}.$$

Here, we have again used (2.1) and $(\nabla v) v = 0$. Therefore, the desired identity follows by (2.4).

Remark 2.2 From the aforementioned properties of ∇v , we easily infer that

$$\underline{\kappa} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 \leq \langle (\nabla \nu) \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle \leq \overline{\kappa} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

where

$$\underline{\kappa} = \min[\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{N-1}] \text{ and } \overline{\kappa} = \max[\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{N-1}]$$

at each point of Γ . We also recall that

$$-\frac{1}{r_e} \leq \underline{\kappa} \leq \overline{\kappa} \leq \frac{1}{r_i} \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

where r_i and r_e are the radii of the uniform interior and exterior sphere conditions.

3 Integral Identities and Rigidity Results

In this section, we shall derive the identities (1.10) and (1.13). We will also prove Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 3.1 (General identity) Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, is a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 . Let $u, v \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be solutions of (1.1). Set

$$\overline{u} = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} u = \max_{\Gamma} u,$$

and

$$P = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + (\overline{u} - u) \quad on \quad \overline{\Omega}.$$

Deringer

Then the identity (1.10) holds, that is:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \,\Delta P \,dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle (I - \nabla^2 v) \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \,dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,\langle \nabla^2 u \,\nabla u, v \rangle \,dS_x + \frac{1}{2} \,\int_{\Gamma} |\nabla u|^2 (u_v + v_v) \,dS_x \\ &- \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle \,u_v \,dS_x - \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,u_v \,dS_x + N \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) (u_v - v_v) \,dS_x. \end{split}$$

Proof The proof proceeds by direct computations and an application of the divergence theorem. By simply taking derivatives and by straightforward algebraic manipulations, we first infer that the following key differential identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\overline{u}-u)\,\Delta P + \langle (I-\nabla^2 v)\nabla u,\,\nabla u\rangle \\ &= \operatorname{div}\left\{P\,\nabla u + (\overline{u}-u)\,\nabla P + \frac{1}{2}\,|\nabla u|^2\,\nabla v - \langle \nabla v,\,\nabla u\rangle\,\nabla u\right\} \\ &+ \operatorname{div}\left\{(N-1)\,(\overline{u}-u)\,\nabla u - N\,(\overline{u}-u)\,\nabla v\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an application of the divergence theorem yields:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \,\Delta P \,dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle (I - \nabla^2 v) \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \,dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \Big\{ P u_{\nu} + (\overline{u} - u) \,P_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \,|\nabla u|^2 \,v_{\nu} - \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle u_{\nu} \Big\} dS_x \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \big\{ (N - 1) \,(\overline{u} - u) u_{\nu} - N \,(\overline{u} - u) \,v_{\nu} \big\} dS_x. \end{split}$$

Hence, we infer that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \,\Delta P \,dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle (I - \nabla^2 v) \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \,dx = \int_{\Gamma} \Big\{ \frac{1}{2} \,|\nabla u|^2 + (\overline{u} - u) \Big\} u_{\nu} \,dS_x \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \Big\{ \langle \nabla^2 u \,\nabla u, v \rangle - u_{\nu} \Big\} (\overline{u} - u) \,dS_x + \int_{\Gamma} \Big\{ \frac{1}{2} \,|\nabla u|^2 \,v_{\nu} - \langle \nabla v, \nabla u \rangle \,u_{\nu} \Big\} dS_x \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \Big\{ (N - 1) \,(\overline{u} - u) u_{\nu} - N \,(\overline{u} - u) \,v_{\nu} \Big\} dS_x. \end{split}$$

Identity (1.10) then ensues by rearranging the terms.

We now present a corollary of the previous result, when v is a quadratic solution q of (1.1) as defined in (1.4).

Corollary 3.2 (Mother identity for the quadratic case) Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, is a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 .

Deringer

Let u, \overline{u} , and P be as in Theorem 3.1 and let q be a quadratic polynomial of the form (1.4). Then, the following identity holds:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \,\Delta P \,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla u|^2 \big(u_{\nu} + q_{\nu} \big) dS_x - \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla q, \,\nabla u \rangle \, u_{\nu} \,dS_x \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,\langle \nabla^2 u \,\nabla u, \,\nu \rangle \,dS_x + \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \big[(N - 1) \,u_{\nu} - N \,q_{\nu} \big] dS_x. \end{split}$$

$$(3.1)$$

An alternative formula is:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \,\Delta P \,dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} u_{\nu}^{2} (u_{\nu} - q_{\nu}) dS_{x}$$

+ $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^{2} (u_{\nu} + q_{\nu}) dS_{x} - \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla_{\Gamma} q, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle u_{\nu} dS_{x}$
+ $\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \langle \nabla^{2} u \,\nabla u, \nu \rangle dS_{x} + \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) [(N - 1) u_{\nu} - N q_{\nu}] dS_{x}.$ (3.2)

Proof We apply (1.10) with v = q, since q is clearly a solution of (1.1) on the whole \mathbb{R}^N . We have that $\nabla^2 q = I$. As a result, the second volume integral at the left-hand side of (1.10) vanishes, and hence (3.1) ensues. Formula (3.2) then simply follows by applying (2.1) and rearranging some terms.

Next, we consider the case in which u_{ν} is constant on Γ with no constraint on the values of u on Γ .

Corollary 3.3 (Constant Neumann condition) Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, is a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 .

Let q be as in (1.4) and set h = q - u, where $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of (1.1). Further, suppose that u satisfies (1.12). Then the identity (1.13) holds, that is:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \, |\nabla^2 h|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 h_{\nu} \, dS_x \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \big[(N - 1) \, RH - N \big] h_{\nu} \, dS_x - \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \, \langle (\nabla \nu) \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle \, dS_x. \end{split}$$

Proof We work on (3.2). As already observed, we compute that $\Delta P = |\nabla^2 h|^2$. Next, we compute the surface integrals at the right-hand side. We begin with

$$\int_{\Gamma} u_{\nu}^{2} (u_{\nu} - q_{\nu}) dS_{x} = R^{2} \int_{\Gamma} (u_{\nu} - q_{\nu}) dS_{x} = 0,$$

🖄 Springer

thanks to the divergence theorem. Next, we compute that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 (u_{\nu} + q_{\nu}) dS_x - \int_{\Gamma} \langle \nabla_{\Gamma} q, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle u_{\nu} dS_x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 (R + q_{\nu}) dS_x - R \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \Delta_{\Gamma} q dS_x.$$

Here, we used (1.12) and (2.3), in the second integral.

Moreover, we infer that

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,\Delta_{\Gamma} q \,dS_x = -(N-1) \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,H \,q_v \,dS_x + (N-1) \,\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \,dS_x.$$

This follows from the fact that taking v = q in (2.2) gives:

$$\Delta_{\Gamma} q = (N-1) \left(1 - H q_{\nu}\right) \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Now, we apply item (ii) of Lemma 2.1 and get:

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \langle \nabla^2 u \, \nabla u, v \rangle \, dS_x = -\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \langle (\nabla v) \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle \, dS_x$$
$$+ R \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \left[N - \Delta_{\Gamma} u - (N - 1) \, RH \right] dS_x.$$

Moreover, thanks to (2.3) we compute that

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \, \Delta_{\Gamma} u \, dS_x = \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 dS_x.$$

Thus, we can write that

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \langle \nabla^2 u \, \nabla u, v \rangle \, dS_x = -\int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \langle (\nabla v) \nabla_{\Gamma} u, \nabla_{\Gamma} u \rangle \, dS_x$$
$$-(N-1) \, R^2 \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \, H \, dS_x - R \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 dS_x + N \, R \int_{\Gamma} (\overline{u} - u) \, dS_x.$$

Summing up all these formulas then gives (1.13), after straightforward algebraic manipulations. $\hfill \Box$

We now present a quadratic-radial symmetry test. Its analogous for the solution of (1.1), (1.3) essentially provides the spherical detector used in [3, 19, 20, 27, 31] (see also [29, Lemma 1.9] for additional details). Here, we rather focus on solutions of (1.1), (1.12). For comparison, we also provide (in item (*i*)) a version of its analogous for the solution of (1.1), (1.11).

Lemma 3.4 (Radial symmetry test) Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with boundary Γ of class C^2 .

Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of (1.1) satisfying either (1.11) or (1.12). If $\Delta P \equiv 0$ in Ω , then u is a quadratic polynomial and Γ is a sphere of radius R given by (1.5).

Proof We know that

$$\Delta P = |\nabla^2 u|^2 - \frac{(\Delta u)^2}{N} = |\nabla^2 u|^2 - \frac{\langle \nabla^2 u, I \rangle^2}{N} \ge 0.$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in \mathbb{R}^{N^2} and hence the last inequality follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Thus, the fact that $\Delta P \equiv 0$ gives that the N^2 -vectors $\nabla^2 u$ and *I* coincide in Ω , being as (1.1) in force. Therefore, *u* is a quadratic polynomial of the form (1.4) for some $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ (see also [19, 20] and [29, Lemma 1.9]).

(i) If (1.11) holds then we have that $|x - z|^2 = 2(u_0 - a)$ for every $x \in \Gamma$. Hence, Γ must be a sphere of radius $\sqrt{2(u_0 - a)}$ and we have that $x - z = \sqrt{2(u_0 - a)} \nu(x)$. Thus, we obtain that

$$2(u_0 - a) |\Gamma| = \int_{\Gamma} |x - z|^2 dS_x$$

= $\sqrt{2|u_0 - a|} \int_{\Gamma} \langle x - z, v(x) \rangle dS_x = N |\Omega| \sqrt{2|u_0 - a|}$

Consequently, (1.5) gives that $2(u_0 - a) = R^2$,

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(|x - z|^2 - R^2 \right) + u_0,$$

and Γ is a sphere centered at z of radius R.

(ii) If (1.12) holds, since *u* is a quadratic polynomial as in (1.4), we infer that

$$\langle x - z, \nu(x) \rangle = u_{\nu}(x) = R \text{ for } x \in \Gamma.$$
 (3.3)

Next, let x^m and x^M be points in Γ such that

$$|x^{m} - z| = \min_{x \in \Gamma} |x - z|$$
 and $|x^{M} - z| = \max_{x \in \Gamma} |x - z|$.

It is clear that $x^m - z$ and $x^M - z$ are parallel to $v(x^m)$ and $v(x^M)$. Hence, (3.3) gives that $|x^m - z| = |x^M - z| = R$, i.e. |x - z| = R for every $x \in \Gamma$. Thus, again, Γ must be the sphere centered at z of radius R.

A consequence of item (ii) of this lemma and Corollary 3.3 is Theorem 1.3, the general rigidity result stated in the Introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 The assumption on the right-hand side of (1.13) forces the function $|\nabla^2 h|^2 = \Delta P$ to be identically zero, being as $\overline{u} - u > 0$ by the strong maximum principle. Our claim then follows from Lemma 3.4.

4 Estimates for Solutions of the Neumann Problem

In this section, we derive some geometric and spectral estimates for solutions of (1.1), (1.12), which will be useful to trace the dependence of the constant *c* in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

We begin with a geometric inequality that adapts [20, Lemma 3.1], obtained for the solution of (1.1), (1.11).

Lemma 4.1 Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of (1.1). *Then, it holds that*

$$\overline{u} - u(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\Gamma}(x)^2 \text{ for every } x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Moreover, if Γ is of class C^1 and satisfies the uniform interior sphere condition with radius r_i , then

$$\overline{u} - u(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} r_i \,\delta_{\Gamma}(x) \text{ for every } x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Proof Let us define by f the unique solution of

$$\Delta f = N$$
 in Ω , $f = 0$ on Γ .

Then, by comparison $\overline{u} - u \ge -f$ in $\overline{\Omega}$, and the conclusion follows by [20, Lemma 3.1].

We shall now derive a priori estimates on the harmonic function h = q - u and its derivatives, in terms of geometric, spectral, and regularity parameters of the domain Ω .

In what follows, we shall use the fact that a function u satisfying (1.1) and (1.12) minimizes the functional $\mathcal{E}: W^{1,2}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx + N \int_{\Omega} v \, dx - R \int_{\Gamma} v \, dS_x,$$

for any $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. In the following result, $\nu_2(\Omega)$, $\sigma_2(\Omega)$, denote the second (non-trivial) Neumann and Steklov eigenvalues. Respectively, they are defined by

$$\nu_2(\Omega) = \min\left\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx : v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx = 1, \int_{\Omega} v \, dx = 0\right\}.$$

and

$$\sigma_2(\Omega) = \min\left\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx : v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Gamma} v^2 dS_x = 1, \int_{\Gamma} v \, dS_x = 0\right\}.$$

🖄 Springer

Proposition 4.2 (L^2 bound for oscillation) Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with boundary Γ of class C^2 . Let $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution of (1.1), (1.12) and set h = q - u, with q given by (1.4). Then, it holds that

$$\|h - h_{\Omega}\|_{2,\Omega} \le \frac{2 \|R - q_{\nu}\|_{2,\Gamma}}{\sqrt{\nu_2(\Omega) \, \sigma_2(\Omega)}}.$$
(4.1)

Here, h_{Ω} *denotes the mean value of h on* Ω *.*

Proof Since *h* is harmonic in Ω and $h_{\nu} = q_{\nu} - R$ on Γ , it is clear that *h* minimizes in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ the functional defined by

$$W^{1,2}(\Omega) \ni v \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma} v (q_v - R) dS_x.$$

By taking $v \equiv 1$, the minimality of h then gives that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2 dx \leq 2 \int_{\Gamma} h \left(q_{\nu} - R \right) dS_x = 2 \int_{\Gamma} (h - h_{\Gamma}) \left(q_{\nu} - R \right) dS_x,$$

where h_{Γ} is the mean value of h on Γ . Here, we used that $q_v - R$ has a zero mean value on Γ . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the definition of $\sigma_2(\Omega)$ then give that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2 \, dx \le 2 \, \|q_{\nu} - R\|_{2,\Gamma} \|h - h_{\Gamma}\|_{2,\Gamma} \le \frac{2 \, \|q_{\nu} - R\|_{2,\Gamma}}{\sqrt{\sigma_2(\Omega)}} \, \|\nabla h\|_{2,\Omega},$$

and hence

$$\|h-h_{\Omega}\|_{2,\Omega}^{2} \leq \nu_{2}(\Omega)^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^{2} dx \leq \frac{4 \|q_{\nu}-R\|_{2,\Gamma}^{2}}{\nu_{2}(\Omega) \sigma_{2}(\Omega)},$$

by the Poincaré inequality. Thus, (4.1) ensues.

Remark 4.3 Thanks to [4, Theorems 1 and 2], we have that, within the class of uniformly bounded and uniformly Lipschitz domains, $\nu_2(\Omega)$ and $\sigma_2(\Omega)$ are bounded from below by a positive constant independent of Ω .

Theorem 4.4 (Uniform bound for the derivatives of *h*) Set m = 1 or m = 2. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N of class $C^{m,\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let *u* be a solution of (1.1), (1.12) and set h = q - u, with *q* given by (1.4). Then, there exists a constant *C* that depends on *N*, d_{Ω} , and the $C^{m,\alpha}$ regularity of Γ , such that

$$\|h-h_{\Omega}\|_{m,\alpha;\,\Omega}\leq C,$$

were $\|\cdot\|_{m,\alpha;\Omega}$ denotes the norm in $C^{m,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

Proof For simplicity of notation, in this proof by the same letter *c* we shall denote a generic constant, whose dependence will be specified if needed.

We have that *h* is harmonic in Ω and $h_{\nu} = q_{\nu} - R$ on Γ . We thus can apply a standard a priori estimate for the Neumann problem (see e.g., [17, Chapter 7]; see also [14, Theorem 6.30] for m = 2) to obtain that

$$\|h\|_{m,\alpha;\,\Omega} \le c \left(\max_{\overline{\Omega}} |h - h_{\Omega}| + \|q_{\nu} - R\|_{m-1,\alpha;\,\Gamma} \right).$$

$$(4.2)$$

Here, *c* depends on *N*, *m*, α , d_{Ω} , and the $C^{m,\alpha}$ regularity of Γ . By arguing as in [24, Lemma 4.9] (see also [25]) we can find a constant *c* such that

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega}} |h - h_{\Omega}| = \max_{\Gamma} |h - h_{\Omega}| \le c \left\{ \frac{\|h - h_{\Omega}\|_{2,\Omega}}{\sigma^{N/2}} + \sigma \|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega} \right\}, \quad (4.3)$$

for any $0 < \sigma < \sigma_0$. Here, *c* and σ_0 only depend on *N*, d_{Ω} , and the $C^{m,\alpha}$ -regularity of Γ .³

Now, if we choose $\sigma = \min\{1/(2c^2), \sigma_0\}$ (here, c is the maximum of the two constants appearing in (4.2) and (4.3)) and we plug (4.3) into (4.2), we easily find that

$$\|h\|_{m,\alpha;\,\Omega} \le c \left(\|h - h_{\Omega}\|_{2,\Omega} + \|q_{\nu} - R\|_{m-1,\alpha;\,\Gamma}\right),\,$$

by using the trivial inequality $\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega} \le \|h\|_{m,\alpha;\Omega}$. Here, *c* can be explicitly derived in terms of σ_0 and the constants in (4.2) and (4.3).

The term $||q_{\nu} - R||_{m-1,\alpha; \Gamma}$ can be easily bounded by a constant depending on the same relevant parameters. Finally, Proposition 4.2 and Remark 4.3 ensure that the norm $||h - h_{\Omega}||_{2,\Omega}$ can be bounded by a constant depending on the same relevant parameters. The desired conclusion then ensues.

5 Quantitative Symmetry Results

In this section, based on the identity (1.13), we shall provide our stability estimates for the radial symmetry in the reverse Serrin overdetermined problem (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2). To this aim, for a point $z \in \Omega$, we recall the definition (1.7):

$$\rho_i = \min_{x \in \Gamma} |x - z| \text{ and } \rho_e = \max_{x \in \Gamma} |x - z|.$$

It is clear that ρ_i is the radius of the largest ball contained in Ω and ρ_e is the radius of the smallest ball that contains Ω , both balls centered at *z*.

Thus, for a solution u of (1.1), (1.12), we want to bound the difference $\rho_e - \rho_i$ in terms of the sum of the oscillation of u on Γ and a suitable Lebegue norm of $|\nabla_{\Gamma} u|$ on Γ .

³ This argument gives a constant depending on *N* and the parameters related to a uniform interior cone condition. This condition is equivalent to a Lipschitz-type regularity of Γ (see [24] for details). Of course, the relevant parameters can be bounded in terms of the $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity of Γ .

Remark 5.1 Let *u* be a solution of (1.1), (1.12). A convenient choice for the point *z* in (1.7) is any minimum point of *u* in $\overline{\Omega}$. In fact, such a point must fall in Ω , since otherwise $u_{\nu} \leq 0$ at that point, contrary to (1.12).

We shall first adapt to our case some estimates on the oscillation of a harmonic function, which were derived in [23].

5.1 Interpolating Estimates for Sobolev Functions

We now recall three key inequalities for the oscillation of a harmonic function. These descend from some interpolation inequalities proved in [23, Theorem 4.1] (see also [24, Appendix]). Here, we present these inequalities for C^2 domains, as it is enough for the purposes of the present paper. Nevertheless, the original theorem was stated and proved for a bounded domain satisfying the (θ , a)-uniform cone condition (see [24, Appendix] for a definition).

Lemma 5.2 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 , and let u be solution of (1.1), (1.12).

Let $h = q^z - u$, where q^z is that in (1.4) and z is any global minimum point of u in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then, we have that

$$\underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} h \leq c \begin{cases} \|\sqrt{\delta_{\Gamma}} \nabla^{2} h\|_{2,\Omega} & \text{if } N = 2, \\ \|\sqrt{\delta_{\Gamma}} \nabla^{2} h\|_{2,\Omega} \max\left[\log\left(\frac{e\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}}{\|\sqrt{\delta_{\Gamma}} \nabla^{2} h\|_{2,\Omega}}\right), 1\right] & \text{if } N = 3, \\ \|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}^{\frac{N-3}{N-1}} \|\sqrt{\delta_{\Gamma}} \nabla^{2} h\|_{2,\Omega}^{\frac{2}{N-1}} & \text{if } N \geq 4, \end{cases}$$

where c is an explicit constant that only depends on N, d_{Ω} , r_i , and a lower bound for $\delta_{\Gamma}(z)$.

Proof By definition of *h* and the choice of *z*, we have that *h* is harmonic and that $\nabla h(z) = 0$ at the point $z \in \Omega$.

The desired result is essentially contained in [23, Theorem 4.1]. To be precise, that theorem gives an estimate for the difference $\rho_e - \rho_i$, which is there related to the oscillation of the particular harmonic function v = q - f, where f is the solution of the Dirichlet problem (1.1), (1.3) and q is given in (1.4). Indeed there holds that

$$\max_{\Gamma} v - \min_{\Gamma} v = \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho_e^2 - \rho_i^2 \right)$$

and

$$\rho_e^2 - \rho_i^2 \ge \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{|B|}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} (\rho_e - \rho_i).$$
(5.1)

Now, if *u* is a solution of the Neumann problem (1.1), (1.12), instead, *u* is no longer constant on Γ . Nevertheless, a careful inspection reveals that the inequalities contained in [23, Theorem 4.1] concern the oscillation on Γ of any harmonic function

in Ω , whose gradient vanishes at a given point z in Ω , and that the constant c only depends on N, d_{Ω} , r_i , and a lower bound of the distance $\delta_{\Gamma}(z)$ of z to Γ . Thus, the desired result follows.

Remark 5.3 By adapting the arguments of [21, Remark 2.9] (see also [22] for generalizations) to the present setting, we can choose $x \in \Omega$ such that $\delta_{\Gamma}(x) = r_i$, $y \in \Gamma$ such that $|y - z| = \delta_{\Gamma}(z)$ and, recalling Lemma 4.1, compute that

$$\begin{aligned} r_i^2 &\leq \overline{u} - u(x) \leq \overline{u} + \max_{\overline{\Omega}}(-u) = \overline{u} - u(z) \\ &= \overline{u} - u(y) + u(y) - u(z) \leq \operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla u\|_{\infty,\Omega} \,\delta_{\Gamma}(z), \end{aligned}$$

from which we get that

$$\delta_{\Gamma}(z) \geq \frac{r_i^2 - 2 \operatorname{osc} u}{2 \|\nabla u\|_{\infty,\Omega}}.$$

In the following lemma, we use the tangential norm defined by

$$\|\overline{u} - u\|_{1,2,\tau,\Gamma}^2 = \|\overline{u} - u\|_{2,\Gamma}^2 + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{2,\Gamma}^2.$$

Lemma 5.4 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class C^2 , and let u be a solution of (1.1), (1.12).

Let h = q - u, where q is that in (1.4) and z is any global minimum point of u in $\overline{\Omega}$. Then, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \left| \nabla^2 h \right|^2 dx \le c \Big[1 + \mathop{\mathrm{osc}}_{\Gamma} u \Big] \|\overline{u} - u\|_{1,2,\tau,\Gamma}^2,$$

where c is an explicit constant that only depends on N, d_{Ω} , r_i , r_e , and a lower bound for $\delta_{\Gamma}(z)$.

Proof For simplicity of notation, in this proof by the same letter *c* we shall denote a generic constant, whose dependence will be specified if needed.

We start working on the right-hand side of (1.13). By Remark 2.2 and the Holder inequality, we see that there is a constant *c*, only depending on *N*, d_{Ω} , r_e and r_i , such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) |\nabla^2 h|^2 dx$$

$$\leq c \bigg[\int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 dS_x + ||h_{\nu}||_{2,\Gamma} ||\overline{u} - u||_{2,\Gamma} + ||\overline{u} - u||_{\infty,\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla_{\Gamma} u|^2 dS_x \bigg].$$

Here, we have used the fact that $|h_{\nu}| \leq d_{\Omega} + R$ on Γ .

Deringer

Next, let us define by f the unique solution of

$$\Delta f = N$$
 in Ω , $f = 0$ on Γ .

By [21, Lemma 2.5] applied with v = h, we obtain that

$$\int_{\Gamma} h_{\nu}^2 dS_x \leq \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla h|^2 dS_x \leq c \int_{\Omega} (-f) |\nabla^2 h|^2 dx$$

where *c* is an explicit constant that only depends on *N*, d_{Ω} , r_i , and a lower bound for $\delta_{\Gamma}(z)$. Since, by comparison, $\overline{u} - u \ge -f$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, we then infer that

$$\int_{\Gamma} h_{\nu}^2 \, dS_x \leq c \int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) \, |\nabla^2 h|^2 \, dx.$$

Hence, this formula and the trivial inequalities

$$\|\overline{u} - u\|_{\infty,\Gamma} \leq \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} u, \quad \|\overline{u} - u\|_{2,\Gamma} \leq \|\overline{u} - u\|_{1,2,\tau,\Gamma}, \quad \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{2,\Gamma} \leq \|\overline{u} - u\|_{1,2,\tau,\Gamma}$$

give that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) |\nabla^2 h|^2 dx$$

$$\leq c \|\overline{u} - u\|_{1,2,\tau,\Gamma} \left[\left(1 + \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} u \right) \|\overline{u} - u\|_{1,2,\tau,\Gamma} + \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) |\nabla^2 h|^2 dx} \right].$$

This inequality easily gives the desired bound.

We are now in position to prove our quantitative estimates for the radial symmetry in the reverse Serrin problem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Set

$$\sigma = \min\left\{1, \frac{r_i^2}{4}\right\}.$$
(5.2)

We first notice that if

$$\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma} \geq \sigma,$$

then the conclusion trivially holds true. In fact, by recalling the definition of ψ in (1.8), we easily get that

$$\rho_e - \rho_i \le d_{\Omega} \le \begin{cases} \frac{d_{\Omega}}{\sigma} \psi \left(\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma} \right) & \text{if } N = 2, 3, \\ \frac{d_{\Omega}}{\sigma^{2/(N-1)}} \psi \left(\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma} \right) & \text{if } N \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

🖄 Springer

Thus, it only remains to prove the result when

$$\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma} < \sigma.$$

Under this assumption and recalling (1.1) and (1.12), it is clear that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{\infty,\Omega} = \|\nabla u\|_{\infty,\Gamma} = \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u + u_{\nu}\nu\|_{\infty,\Gamma} < \sigma + R \le \sigma + d_{\Omega}.$$
 (5.3)

The last inequality follows from (1.5) and by putting together the isoperimetric inequality $|\Gamma| \ge N|B|^{1/N}|\Omega|^{(N-1)/N}$ and the trivial bound $|\Omega| \le |B| d_{\Omega}^{N}$.

As usual, we consider the harmonic function h = q - u and choose the minimum point of q to coincide with the point z in our assumptions. Since z is a minimum point for both q and u, we have that $\nabla h(z) = 0$.

Thanks to Lemma 4.1, the left-hand side of identity (1.13) can be estimated from below as

$$\frac{1}{2}r_i\int_{\Omega}\delta_{\Gamma} |\nabla^2 h|^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} (\overline{u} - u) |\nabla^2 h|^2 dx.$$
(5.4)

Now, from (5.1) we infer that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{|B|} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} (\rho_e - \rho_i) \le \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} q \le \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} h + \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} u.$$
(5.5)

Combining Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.4, (5.4), and the trivial inequality $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \le |a| + |b|$, we infer that

$$\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} h \le c \,\psi\left(\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma}\right),\tag{5.6}$$

for some explicit constant *c* that only depends on *N*, d_{Ω} , r_e , and r_i . Here, we used two facts. Firstly, (5.3), (5.2) and the trivial bound $\|\nabla q\|_{\infty,\Omega} \leq d_{\Omega}$ give the explicit upper bound for $|\nabla h|$:

$$\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega} \le \|\nabla q\|_{\infty,\Omega} + \|\nabla u\|_{\infty,\Omega} \le \min\left\{1, \frac{r_i^2}{4}\right\} + 2d_{\Omega}$$

Secondly, combining Remark 5.3, (5.3), (5.2), and the current smallness assumption on the deviation of *u* from being constant on Γ , gives the explicit lower bound for $\delta_{\Gamma}(z)$:

$$\delta_{\Gamma}(z) \geq \frac{r_i^2}{2\left(\min\left\{1, \frac{r_i^2}{4}\right\} + d_{\Omega}\right)}.$$

Deringer

Finally, by the trivial inequality $\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u \leq \operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma}$ and the definition of ψ , we easily obtain that

$$\psi\left(\underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}}\,u+\|\nabla_{\Gamma}u\|_{\infty,\Gamma}\right)+\underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}}\,u\leq C\psi\left(\underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}}\,u+\|\nabla_{\Gamma}u\|_{\infty,\Gamma}\right),$$

with

$$C = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } N = 2, 3, \\ 1 + \sigma^{(N-3)/(N-1)} & \text{if } N \ge 4, \end{cases}$$

where σ is that defined in (5.2).

The conclusion easily follows by putting together the last inequality, (5.6), and (5.5).

Proof of Theorem 1.2 We shall adapt the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 to the setting with the current deviation.

Let σ be given by (5.2). As before, it is clear that it is enough to check the case in which

$$\underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{2,\Gamma} < \sigma.$$

This time, we observe that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{\infty,\Omega} \le \|\nabla q\|_{\infty,\Omega} + \|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega} \le d_{\Omega} + \|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}.$$
(5.7)

An explicit bound for $\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}$ will be obtained at the end of the proof.

Also, as before we can use (5.4). Similarly, by proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, with $\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma}$ replaced by $\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{2,\Gamma}$, we arrive at the inequalities

$$\psi\left(\underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}}\,u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma}u\|_{2,\Gamma}\right) + \underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}}\,u \le C\,\psi\left(\underset{\Gamma}{\operatorname{osc}}\,u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma}u\|_{2,\Gamma}\right)$$
(5.8)

and

$$\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} h \le c \,\psi\left(\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{2,\Gamma}\right). \tag{5.9}$$

Here, ψ is that in (1.8), *C* is the same constant appearing in the proof of Theorem 1.1, and *c* is an explicit constant that only depends on *N*, d_{Ω} , r_i , r_e , a lower bound for $\delta_{\Gamma}(z)$, and an upper bound for $\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}$.

The desired conclusion will easily follow from (5.5), (5.9), and (5.8), after obtaining suitable bounds for $\delta_{\Gamma}(z)$ and $\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}$.

By putting together (5.2), Remark 5.3, (5.7), and the current smallness assumption on the deviation of u from being constant on Γ , we easily get that

$$\delta_{\Gamma}(z) \ge \frac{r_i^2}{4(d_{\Omega} + \|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega})}$$

We are thus left to obtain an upper bound for $\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}$.

Thanks to Theorem 4.4 (with m = 1) and recalling [2, Corollary 3.14], $\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega}$ can be clearly bounded by a constant that depends on N, d_{Ω} , r_i , and r_e .

When Γ is of class $C^{2,\alpha}$, we can obtain an improvement of the profile ψ , by arguing as in [23, Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.3] (with $p = 2, q = +\infty$). In fact, we infer that

$$\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega} \le c \, \|\nabla^2 h\|_{\infty,\Omega}^{(N-1)/(N+1)} \|\delta_{\Gamma}^{1/2} \nabla^2 h\|_{2,\Omega}^{2/(N+1)},$$

for some *c* depending on *N*, d_{Ω} , r_i , r_e . Hence, by recalling Theorem 4.4 (with m = 2), we easily get that

$$\|\nabla h\|_{\infty,\Omega} \le c \|\delta_{\Gamma}^{1/2} \nabla^2 h\|_{2,\Omega}^{2/(N+1)}$$

where c depends on N, d_{Ω} , and the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -regularity of Γ .

Plugging the last inequality in Lemma 5.2 and using Lemma 5.4 and (5.4) as before, gives (5.9) with the improved profile shown in (1.9). Clearly, for this profile, (5.8) remains true with C given by

$$C = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } N = 2, 3, \\ 1 + \sigma^{(N-3)/(N+1)} & \text{if } N \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

Thus, combining (5.5), (5.9), and (5.8) gives the improved stability for $N \ge 4$. \Box

6 Stability Via the Classical Serrin Problem

We now present a quantitative bound for the reverse Serrin problem that can be obtained exploiting the existing stability results for the classical Serrin problem. The proof is based on a simple trick. As discussed in the Introduction, we get a poorer estimate than those obtained in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In fact, the main drawback is that the deviations $\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{\infty,\Gamma}$ and $\operatorname{osc}_{\Gamma} u + \|\nabla_{\Gamma} u\|_{2,\Gamma}$ used in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 must be replaced by the much more stringent deviation $\|\overline{u} - u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Gamma)}$.

We start with an estimate for an auxiliary function.

Proposition 6.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with boundary Γ of class $C^{1,\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let u be a solution of (1.1), (1.12).

Set f = u - w, where w is the solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\Delta w = 0$$
 in Ω , $w = u$ on Γ .

Then, we have that

$$\|R - f_{\nu}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Gamma)} \le c \|\overline{u} - u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Gamma)}.$$

Here, c only depends on N and the $C^{1,\alpha}$ *-regularity of* Γ *.*

Proof We notice that

$$\|R - f_{\nu}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Gamma)} = \|w_{\nu}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Gamma)} \le \|\overline{u} - w\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$$

The desired conclusion then follows from [14, Theorem 8.33].

Theorem 6.2 (The reverse Serrin problem with strong deviation) Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 2$, with boundary Γ of class $C^{1,1}$.

Let u be solution of (1.1), (1.12), and let z be any global minimum point of u on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then, we have that

$$\rho_e - \rho_i \le c \,\psi \left(\|\overline{u} - u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\Gamma)} \right),$$

where ψ is the profile defined in (1.8). The constant *c* only depends on *N*, d_{Ω} , r_i , and r_e .

For $N \ge 4$ the profile ψ can be improved to obtain (1.9), at the cost of replacing the dependence of *c* on the r_i and r_e with that on the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -regularity of Γ .

Proof It is clear that the function f defined in Proposition 6.1 satisfies:

$$\Delta f = N$$
 in Ω , $f = 0$ on Γ .

By [21, Theorem 3.1] (for $N \neq 3$) and [23, Theorem 4.4] (for N = 3), we have that

$$\rho_e - \rho_i \le c \, \psi \left(\| f_{\nu} - R \|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right),$$

where c only depends on N, d_{Ω} , r_i , and r_e . Here, ψ is as in (1.8).

Furthermore, the improvement of the profile ψ to (1.9) for $N \ge 4$ is obtained from [23, Theorem 4.4], at the aforementioned cost.

The conclusion then follows from Proposition 6.1 and by noting that

$$\|f_{\nu} - R\|_{L^{2}(\Gamma)} \leq |\Gamma|^{1/2} \|f_{\nu} - R\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} \leq |\Gamma|^{1/2} \|f_{\nu} - R\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Gamma)}.$$

As usual, $|\Gamma|$ can be estimated in terms of the desired parameters by recalling [23, Remark 3.1].

Remark 6.3 The argument may be adjusted to work also in the semilinear case, at the cost of replacing the application of [21, Theorem 3.1] and [23, Theorem 4.4] by the results in [1, 10], and hence obtaining a more general but poorer stability estimate.

Acknowledgements The authors wish to thank S. Dipierro, M. Onodera, and E. Valdinoci for useful discussions on Sect. 6. R. Magnanini is partially supported by the PRIN grant n. 201758MTR2 of the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MUR). R. Molinarolo is partially supported by the SPIN Project "DOMain perturbation problems and INteractions Of scales - DOMINO" of the Ca' Foscari University of Venice. G. Poggesi is supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DECRA) DE230100954 "Partial Differential Equations: geometric aspects and applications" and the 2023 J G Russell Award from the Australian Academy of Science. He is member of the Australian Mathematical Society (AustMS). The authors are also partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale Analisi Matematica Probabilità e Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Firenze within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Data Availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Aftalion, A., Busca, J., Reichel, W.: Approximate radial symmetry for overdetermined boundary value problems. Adv. Differ. Equ. 4, 907–932 (1999)
- Alvarado, R., Brigham, D., Maz'ya, V., Mitrea, M., Ziadé, E.: On the regularity of domains satisfying a uniform hour-glass condition and a sharp version of the Hopf-Oleinik boundary point principle, Problems in mathematical analysis. (57). J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 176(3), 281–360 (2011)
- Brandolini, B., Nitsch, C., Salani, P., Trombetti, C.: On the stability of the Serrin problem. J. Differ. Equ. 245, 1566–1583 (2008)
- Boulkhemair, A., Chakib, A.: On the uniform Poincaré inequality. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 32(7– 9), 1439–1447 (2007)
- Ciraolo, G., Dipierro, S., Poggesi, G., Pollastro, L., Valdinoci, E.: Symmetry and quantitative stability for the parallel surface fractional torsion problem. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 376(5), 3515–3540 (2023)
- Ciraolo, G., Magnanini, R., Sakaguchi, S.: Symmetry of minimizers with a level surface parallel to the boundary. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 17, 2789–2804 (2015)
- Ciraolo, G., Magnanini, R., Sakaguchi, S.: Solutions of elliptic equations with a level surface parallel to the boundary: stability of the radial configuration. J. Anal. Math. 128, 337–353 (2016)
- Ciraolo, G., Magnanini, R.: A note on Serrin's overdetermined problem. Kodai Math. J. 37, 728–736 (2014)
- Cavallina, L., Poggesi, G., Yachimura, T.: Quantitative stability estimates for a two-phase Serrin-type overdetermined problem. Nonlinear Anal. 222, 112919 (2022)
- Ciraolo, G., Magnanini, R., Vespri, V.: Hölder stability for Serrin's overdetermined problem. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 195, 1333–1345 (2016)
- Dipierro, S., Poggesi, G., Thompson, J., Valdinoci E.: Quantitative stability for overdetermined nonlocal problems with parallel surfaces and investigation of the stability exponents, to appear in J. Math. Pures Appl., preprint (2023) arXiv:2308.11203
- 12. Dipierro, S., Poggesi, G., Thompson, J., Valdinoci, E.: Quantitative stability for the nonlocal overdetermined Serrin problem. Preprint (2023) arXiv:2309.17119
- Dipierro, S., Poggesi, G., Valdinoci, E.: A Serrin-type problem with partial knowledge of the domain. Nonlinear Anal. 208, 112330 (2021)

- Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N.S.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Springer-Varlag, Berlin (1983)
- Henrot, A., Pierre, M.: Shape Variation and Optimization. EMS Press European Mathematical Society, Zurich (2018)
- 16. Krantz, S.G., Parks, H.R.: Distance to C^k hypersurfaces. J. Differ. Equ. 40, 116–120 (1981)
- Lieberman, G.M.: Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc, River Edge, NJ (1996)
- Magnanini, R., Alexandrov, S., Weinberger, R.: Symmetry and stability by integral identities. Bruno Pini Mathematical Seminar, pp. 121–141 (2017)
- Magnanini, R., Poggesi, G.: On the stability for Alexandrov's Soap Bubble theorem. J. Anal. Math. 139, 179–205 (2019)
- Magnanini, R., Poggesi, G.: Serrin's problem and Alexandrov's Soap Bubble Theorem: enhanced stability via integral identities. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 69, 1181–1205 (2020)
- Magnanini, R., Poggesi, G.: Nearly optimal stability for Serrin's problem and the soap bubble theorem. Calc. Var. 59, 35 (2020)
- Magnanini, R., Poggesi, G.: The location of hot spots and other extremal points. Math. Ann. 384, 1–39 (2022)
- 23. Magnanini, R., Poggesi, G.: Interpolating estimates with applications to some quantitative symmetry results. Math. Eng. 5, 1–21 (2023)
- 24. Magnanini, R., Poggesi, G.: Quantitative symmetry in a mixed Serrin-type problem for a constrained torsional rigidity. Calc. Var. 63, 23 (2024)
- Magnanini, R., Poggesi, G.: An interpolating inequality for solutions of uniformly elliptic equations, Geometric properties for parabolic and elliptic PDEs, 233-245. Springer INdAM Ser., 47 Springer, Cham, [2021], © (2021)
- Pacella, F., Poggesi, G., Roncoroni, A.: Optimal quantitative stability for a Serrin-type problem in convex cones, preprint (2023) arXiv:2309.02128
- Payne, L.E., Schaefer, P.W.: Duality theorems in some overdetermined boundary value problems. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 11, 805–819 (1989)
- Poggesi, G.: Soap bubbles and convex cones: optimal quantitative rigidity, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., preprint (2022) arXiv:2211.09429
- Poggesi, G.: The Soap Bubble Theorem and Serrin's problem: quantitative symmetry, PhD Thesis, Università di Firenze, defended on February (2019), preprint arxiv:1902.08584
- 30. Serrin, J.: A symmetry problem in potential theory. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 43, 304-318 (1971)
- Weinberger, H.F.: Remark on the preceding paper of Serrin. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 43, 319–320 (1971)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.