3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The conduction mechanism of nitronyl-nitroxide molecular magnetic compounds

N. Dotti¹, E. Heintze¹, M. Slota¹, R. Hübner^{1,3}, F. Wang², J. Nuss³, M. Dressel¹, and L. Bogani^{1,4*}

1. Physikalisches Institut, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, D-70550, Stuttgart, Germany

²Polymer and Materials Chemistry, Lund University, Box 124, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden

³ Max Plank Institute für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstraße 1, D-70569, Stuttgart, Germany.

⁴Department of Materials, University of Oxford, 16 Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PH, UK.

(Dated: December 21, 2015)

We investigate the conduction mechanisms of nitronyl-nitroxide molecular radicals, as useful for the creation of nanoscopic molecular spintronic devices, finding that it does not correspond to standard Mott behaviour, as previously postulated. We provide a complete investigation using transport measurements, low-energy, sub-THz spectroscopy and introducing differently-substituted phenyl appendages. We show that a non-trivial surface-charge limited regime is present in addition to the standard low-voltage ohmic conductance. Scaling analysis allows determining all the main transport parameters for the compounds, and highlights the presence of charge trapping effects. Comparison among the different compounds shows the relevance of intermolecular stacking between the aromatic ring of the phenyl appendix and the NIT motif in the creation of useful electron transport channels. The importance of intermolecular pathways is further highlighted by electronic structure calculations, which clarify the nature of the electronic channels and their effect on the Mott character of the compounds.

55

56

PACS numbers: 75.50.Xx, 72.20.-i, 72.80.Le, 73., 78.

21

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular magnetic materials offer a particularly rich 22 experimental ground,¹ where sophisticated effects can 23 be observed with particular clarity and tuned by the 24 means of molecular synthetic chemistry.²⁻⁷ They have ⁵⁹ 25 allowed, for example the observation of magnetic quan- 60 26 tum tunnelling,⁸⁻¹¹ Berry phase interference,¹² and per-⁶¹ 27 mit controlling the spin degrees of freedom by light¹³⁻²⁰ 62 28 or via other external stimuli.²¹⁻²⁵ Recent work has high-⁶³ 29 lighted in particular the relevance of molecular magnetic ⁶⁴ 30 materials for spintronics.²⁶ A new area, described as ⁶⁵ molecular spintronics,^{27–34} has arisen, where the molec- ⁶⁶ 31 32 ular magnetic properties can be used to tune the trans- ⁶⁷ 33 port properties of electronic devices. This approach has 68 34 different advantages: the chemical tunability of the mag- 69 35 netic molecules allows precise manipulation of the prop-70 36 erties of spintronic systems, the electronic channels can ⁷¹ 37 be tuned by using rational chemical design, and the cre-⁷² 38 ated molecules can be integrated into nanoelectronic de-73 39 vices, such as those formed by graphene $^{35-38}$ and carbon 74 40 nanotubes, ${}^{39-42}$ or onto functional surfaces. ${}^{43-47}$ 75 41

In this picture stable organic radicals constitute $^{76}\,$ 42 an appealing class of compounds because they pos-43 sess unpaired electrons, while being completely organic, 44 and they are attracting rapidly-increasing attention for 78 45 molecular spintronics, $^{48-50}$ switchable devices $^{51-54}$ and 79 batteries. $^{55-62}$ For all these purposes radicals are now be- 80 46 47 ing integrated into functional nanostructures, ^{63–66} where ⁸¹ 48 their spin and electric properties can be exploited.⁶⁷ ⁸² 49 Moreover, organic radicals have attracted attention, 83 50 since the early days of molecular magnetism, as pos- 84 51 sible constituents for purely organic ferro-magnets,⁶⁸ ⁸⁵ 52 or for the creation of molecular magnetic coordination 86 53 compounds,⁶⁹⁻⁷⁴ including single molecule magnets and ⁸⁷

single chain magnets.⁶⁹ The study of the conduction mechanisms in bulk radicals is thus important as a comparison for nanostructured systems and for future investigations on radical-based molecular spintronics.

Electrically, organic radicals are usually classified as Mott insulators,⁷⁵ because of the strong on-site Coulomb repulsions that hinder charge transport, despite the presence of singly-occupied molecular orbitals. At the same time, in-depth investigations have shown the presence of several intermolecular interaction channels, sometimes strong enough to transmit very sizeable magnetic interactions.⁷⁶ As the magnetic interaction is mediated by the electronic states of the molecules, these channels could, in principle, also be used to facilitate electronic transport in the material. Other transport channels, such as space charge limited (SCL) currents,^{77–80} could become relevant for the conduction of such systems, and the possible presence of charge $traps^{81-84}$ also remain to be clarified. The identification of these elements and the possible rationalization of the structure-properties relation would be of fundamental importance for the design and development of spintronic nanodevices that rely on radicals.

Here we investigate the conduction properties of molecular radicals of the nitronyl nitroxide (NIT-R) family^{85,86} that contain an aromatic R appendage attached to the NIT group, by a combination of theoretical modeling, very low- energy (sub-THz) and high-voltage transport measurements, as never attempted before. We show that the systems do not follow the standard Mott behaviour considered so far, and, present characteristic channels that can be tuned chemically and can be exploited in perspective nanoscale devices.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

We chose to investigate a family of NIT-R radicals 2 containing different aromatic -R groups, with the NIT 3 moiety possessing also oxidized and reduced forms that 4 are stable at room temperature⁸⁵ (Figure 1a,b). NIT-5 R radicals contain a single spin centre delocalized over 6 two N-O groups and the carbon atom in between, which 7 also links to the peripheral -R functionality. They were 8 chosen because they are interesting for organic switches 9 and produced electronic devices with both p and n10 behaviours.^{87,88} Combined with tetrathiafulvalene moi-11 eties, they also showed conductive behaviour sensitive to 12 the external magnetic field.⁸⁹ The materials that we in-13 vestigate here have a more insulating character than the 14 tetrathiafulvalene-based compounds, and can thus be in-15 tegrated into memristive and neuromorphic logic devices. 16 We can then tune the packing of the molecules by us-17 ing different -R groups. In this way we obtain radicals 18 with different levels of bulkiness and aromaticity, and 19

all radicals investigated are shown in Figure 1b. This
is particularly appealing to create electronic channels in
the material, such as the one reported in Figure 1c for
NIT-PhOMe.

All syntheses were performed as described in the sup-24 plementary material.⁹⁰ Briefly, the NIT-R were prepared 25 according to the published method by Ullman^{91,92} and, 26 after purification via column chromatography and recrys-27 tallization, single crystals suitable for X-Ray diffraction 28 were obtained. The structural data are reported in the 29 supplementary material⁹⁰ and show the presence of a va-30 riety of intermolecular channels, as useful for the pur-31 poses of the present investigation. No incorporation of 32 solvents in the structure was ever observed. 38

The transport characteristics were measured using a 59 35 two-point-probe setup and were always repeated for sev- 60 36 eral crystals of each compound, so as to ensure the re-61 37 producibility and check the variability among different 62 38 crystal qualities and batches (see Table III and S.I.⁹⁰ 63 39 for details). The typical observed characteristics are 64 40 reported in Figure 2a for crystals of all NIT-R com- 65 41 pound. All curves clearly show a linear and a non-linear $_{66}$ 42 response at low and high voltages respectively. Both 67 43 regimes are roughly symmetrically placed around zero 68 44 voltage, with the residual asymmetries being attributable 69 45 to non-perfect contacts. The linear response observed at 70 46 low voltages in all curves can be assigned to ohmic be-71 47 haviour, with measured resistances that fall in the tens of 72 48 $T\Omega$ range, about six orders of magnitude higher than in ₇₃ 49 tetrathiafulvalene-based NIT-R derivatives.⁸⁹ At higher 74 50 voltages, in the non-linear region, resistances are in the 75 51 1-0.1 T Ω range, indicating the presence of different, less ₇₆ 52 conventional, transport channel. 53 77

The use of different sweep rates for the source-78 drain voltage leads to a hysteretic behaviour for the 79 fastest sweep rates, as often observed in organic 80 semiconductors,⁹³ that produce devices with high capac-81 itances. Such effects can be minimized by decreasing the 82



FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Schematic representation of the general structure of the nitronyl-nitroxyde (NIT-R) radicals, showing the different oxidation states, available at room temperature, and the reduction/oxidation processes from the pristine neutral form. (b) Schematic representation of the five radicals investigated, with the corresponding acronyms below. (c) Crystal structure of radical NIT-PhOMe, with the cylinders highlighting the presence of overlap between the electronic clouds of aromatic appendages and the NIT moiety. Carbon is represented in black, oxygen in red, nitrogen in blue and hydrogen atoms are omitted for the sake of clarity.

sweep rate, as shown in Figure 2b, where we plot the dependence of the I vs V_{sd} curves on the voltage sweep rate of V_{sd} . In all the following measurements such capacitive effects were minimized by sweeping V_{sd} slower than 0.2 V/s.

Very low energy sub-THz spectroscopy is a powerful tool to investigate the electronic properties of lowconducting materials, and affords an excellent way to extract the dielectric constant and information on the minimum mobility, μ_{min} (see section III). In this technique, depicted in Figure 3a the surfaces of the pellets act as the mirrors of a Fabry-Perot resonator, so that interference effects produced by multiple reflections at the boundaries lead to an alternating transmission that depends on the wavelength, the sample thickness and the complex refractive index of the compound itself.⁹⁴ In this low-energy range of the spectrum the real part of the optical dielectric constant, ϵ_1 , coincides with the DC relative permittivity of the material (i.e. ϵ_r in Equation 2 below) and valuable information on the conduction processes can be obtained.^{95,96} Assuming, as commonly done, that the frequency, ω , dependence of the dielectric constants is negligible, we obtain the ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 values shown in Table I.

TABLE I. Values of ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 as extracted from sub-THz measurements for the NIT-R radicals. ϵ_1 values are obtained by averaging between 2 and 300K, while the ϵ_2 ones refer to 250 K (see text).

NIT-R	ϵ_1	ϵ_2
NIT-PhBr	$2.9{\pm}0.2$	$6.7{\pm}0.2\cdot10^{-2}$
NIT-PhOMe	$2.8{\pm}0.1$	$2.9 {\pm} 0.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$
NIT-PhOtBu	$2.79 {\pm} 0.06$	$3.95 {\pm} 0.05 \cdot 10^{-2}$
NIT-Py	$2.61{\pm}0.07$	$8.18 \pm 0.05 \cdot 10^{-2}$

The transmission spectra for the analyzed compounds 1 (Figure 3b), as obtained at a temperature of 10K by di-2 viding the spectrum of the pellet by that of the sources 3 (acquired at exactly the same conditions), contain a su-4 perposition of two oscillations. The spectra are devoid 5 of absorptions, except for the NIT-Py radical that shows 6 a vibronic excitation at 20 cm^{-1} . Contrarily to expec-7 tations for a Mott insulator, the overall transmission de-8 creases with increasing wavenumber, owing to the non-9 vanishing value of ϵ_2 (Table I). This is another hint for 10 different transport channel within these compounds, and 11 this aspect will be further discussed in Section IV. The 12 different transmittivities observed are to be ascribed to 13 different ϵ_2 values and pellet thicknesses. 14

The temperature, T, and ω dependences of the re-15 sponse of the radicals always show two regions (see 3c 16 for the behaviour of NIT-PhOMe, taken as a typical ex-17 ample): a low-T region (from 5 to 50K), where no tem-18 perature evolution of the absorption is observed, and a 19 $T > 50 \mathrm{K}$ region, where the transmission signal decreases 20 with increasing T. We observe that ϵ_2 is always relatively 21 small, with a clear increase on increasing T due to the 22 higher out-of-phase response of thermally-generated free 23 charge carriers. On the contrary, ϵ_1 shows no T depen-24 dence within our experimental error and varies for the 25 different NIT-R radicals between 2.6 and 3 (Table I). All 26 NIT-R compounds show roughly the same ϵ_1 value, often 27 within our experimental error, and that this defines the 28 range of values to be typically expected for such materi-29 als. 30

III. EXTRACTION OF THE TRANSPORT PARAMETERS

For each system we can define a threshold voltage V_c , ⁴⁶ between the ohmic regime and non-linear one (Table II). ⁴⁷ Below V_c the density of thermally-generated free carriers ⁴⁸ inside the crystal is dominant with respect to the injected ⁴⁹ charge carriers. The behaviour is hence given by Ohm's ⁵⁰ law, which, neglecting diffusive contributions to the cur- ⁵¹ rent, provides the current density: ⁵²

$$J_{\Omega} = \frac{I_{\Omega}}{W} = \mathrm{e}n_{th}\mu_0 \frac{V_{\Omega}}{L} \tag{1}^{54}$$

41

42

43

44

45

53



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Room temperature $I-V_{sd}$ characteristic curves resulting from averaging on multiple sets of measurements collected on NIT-PhBr (green down triangles), NIT-PhOMe (blue circles), NIT-PhOtBu (red squares), NIT-PhOPh (black diamonds), and NIT-Py (violet up triangles). (b) Dependence of the I vs V_{sd} curves on the sweep rate of the bias voltage, as acquired for the NIT-PhOMe radical. Decreasing the sweep rate capacitive effects can be minimized as can be seen from the narrowing gap between forward and backward sweeps and decreased zero-voltage residual current.

where the subscript Ω denotes the ohmic regime, e is the electron charge, n_{th} is the thermal-equilibrium carrier density, W and L are the width and the length of the electronic channel, respectively, and μ_0 the charge carrier mobility at low electric fields. From the linear regime in the I vs V curves we can then evaluate the sheet resistance $R_S = V_{\Omega}I_{\Omega}^{-1}WL^{-1}$ where we take $W=20 \ \mu\text{m}$ as the tip diameter contacting the crystal and $L=400 \ \mu\text{m}$ is the distance between the tips for each crystal. For all substituents -R attached to the NIT-R radical, we obtain high R_S values (in the T Ω range, Table II) and this already provides important information on the transport mechanism present in the NIT-R family: the high sheet resistances indicate localized transport processes being dominant over delocalized ones. In order to corroborate



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Scheme of the THz spectrometer used for the measurements (left) and scheme of the multiple internal reflections within the sample, leading to Fabry-Perot interferences (right). (b) Transmission spectra in the $3-23 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ range, as measured for the NIT-R compounds on pellets at T=10 K. (c) Temperature and frequency dependence of the transmission spectra of NIT-PhOMe in the 3- 16 $23 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and 10-300 K ranges. A vertical logarithmic scale is 17 used for clarity.

20

21

this observation, the carrier mobility, which can be de- $_{22}$ 1 rived from the measurements as shown below, is a useful $_{23}$ 2 parameter to start distinguishing between the different $_{24}$ 3 possible transport mechanisms. The boundary between 25 4 delocalized and localized transport processes, i.e. be-26 5 tween band and hopping transport is commonly consid- $_{\scriptscriptstyle 27}$ 6 ered at charge carrier mobilities in the range of $0.1-1_{28}$ $cm^2V^{-1}s^{-1}$.^{81,84} For all NIT-Rs, extremely low mobil-8 ities in the order of 10^{-3} to 10^{-6} cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ are ob-9 tained, indicating that inter-molecular hopping transport $\frac{1}{31}$ 10 is dominant (Figure 1a). 13 32

¹⁴ The non-linear behaviour at high voltages is more in- ³³



Density of states

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Schematic representation of the different conduction regimes available for the NIT-R radicals. The ohmic regime, present at low voltages, gives way, at higher voltages, to surface charge limited transport with possible trap effects. The effects of exponentially-distributed (violet) and localized-energy (red) traps are also shown (b) Schematic depiction of the different types of traps available for NIT-R systems, with the localized traps (red lines) and energetically-distributed traps (violet curve) placed between the valence and conduction bands of the system.

triguing: insulating crystals, 97 organic semiconductors (both in crystalline 98 and $\mathrm{film}^{81,83}$ forms) and even semiconducting nanowires^{99–101} may display complex transport phenomena such as space-charge limited (SCL) currents.¹⁰² SCL transport arises when the contacts to the electron reservoirs are ohmic and the material is not a good conductor, so that at high voltages an excess of free carriers is injected into the material in the vicinity of the contact. In this situation, the current through the material is no longer limited by its resistance, but by the accumulated space charge, which clogs the conductance channel. As a result, SCL currents allow the investigation of many intrinsic properties of the material that are not easily available in the standard ohmic regime, such as charge carrier mobility, thermal-equilibrium carrier concentration and charge trap densities (Figure 4). For NIT-Rs, due to both the high resistance of the bulk material and the contact geometry, the systems will follow surface SCL currents, rather than bulk SCL.⁷⁷ The

main characteristic of SCL currents is the presence of a 1 non-injection-limited regime after the ohmic regime, i.e. 2 above the crossover voltage V_c , where I is proportional 3 to AV^2 , with a proportionality constant A that depends 4 on the contact geometry. In our case, where two electric 5 probing tips contact the molecular crystal at the same 6 crystal surface, the relationship for surface SCL currents is:77 8

$$I_{SCL} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\mu_{min} \epsilon_0 \epsilon_r W}{L^2} V^2 \tag{2}$$

where ϵ_r is the dielectric constant of the material and 9 μ_{min} is the minimum carrier mobility, which includes the 10 contact quality and the presence of traps in the electron 11 channel. 12

Variations in the contact and channel characteristics 13 can influence the absolute current values in this regime, 14 generating sensible crystal-to-crystal variability. Small 15 curve asymmetries for positive and negative voltages are 16 also consistent with these effects, and are usually ascribed 17 to different hole and electron trapping potentials. This 18 variability can be taken in account by performing a sta-19 tistical analysis on the slope of the I versus V curves, 20 which is related to the conduction mechanisms and is 21 independent on the absolute value of the resistivity. A 22 common way to identify the presence of SCL currents 23 is by plotting the linear conductance G=I/V vs V in a 24 log-log plot, which will yield a straight horizontal line for 25 the ohmic regime, and a sudden increase in conductivity 26 once the SCL regime triggers. All NIT-Rs show this be-27 haviour (Figure 5a), whatever R, clearly highlighting the 28 presence of SCL currents. 29

We can now combine the optical and transport mea-30 surements to obtain information on the minimum 31 mobility (μ_{min}) as, at the ω used, the extracted ϵ_1 co-32 incides with ϵ_r in Equation 2. It should be noticed that 33 all NIT-R show roughly the same ϵ_1 value, and that vari-34 ations much larger than those observed in the series do 35 not introduce important variations in the extracted μ_{min} . 36 Thus the ϵ_1 of NIT-PhOPh can be safely considered to 37 be, for our purposes, 2.8 \pm 0.2. We can thus extract μ_{min} 38 for all compounds, using $W=20 \ \mu m$ and $L=400 \ \mu m$ as 30 before (Table II) and can be seen that strongly depends 40 on the radical substituent, with NIT-PhBr exhibiting the 41 highest one, followed by NIT-PhOMe and NIT-PhOPh. 42 Eventually the lowest mobilities belong to NIT-PhOtBu 43 and NIT-Py, which show very similar conductivities, at 44 the limit of our detection range. Information on the 45 conduction mechanisms can be extracted from the voltage threshold, Vc, between the ohmic and SCL regimes, 47 where we have $I_{\Omega} = I_{SCL}$ and thus we can evaluate the 48 thermal-equilibrium carrier density at the crystal surface $_{53}$ 49 by: 50

$$n_{th} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_r}{\mathrm{e}} \frac{V_c}{L} \tag{3}$$

54

To extract the threshold voltages we can plot the cur- 58 51 rent in both the ohmic and the SCL regimes in a double 59 52



FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) Bi-logarithmic plot of the voltage dependence of the conductance for all NIT-R compounds, showing the constant value for lower voltages, connected to the ohmic regime, and the raising in conductance at higher voltages, where SCL effects become dominant. (b)Bi-logarithmic plots of the current vs source-drain voltage characteristic curves for the different NIT-R compounds. The dashed and continuous lines show the fitting for the Ohmic and SCL regimes, respectively (see Table II and Figure 6 for the results). The crossover voltages V_c , as obtained from the intersection of the two regimes, are also highlighted with vertical lines.

logarithmic plot (Figure 5b-f) and then fit the curves with the function $I = G_i V^{\eta}$, where the *i* index stands for the ohmic $(i=\Omega)$ or charge-limited (i=SCL) regions and the exponent η varies between the two regimes. V_c is then obtained from the intercept between the fits of the high and low voltage regions. In order to account for the variability among the different sample geometries and

TABLE II. Minimum mobility μ_{min} , surface thermal carrier density n_{th} , sheet resistance R_S , crossover voltage V_c , Ohmic conductance and fitting exponent (G_{Ω} and η_{Ω}), charge-limited parameters G_{SCL} and η_{SCL} and low-field mobility μ_0 for the different NIT-R radicals, as extracted from the optical and transport measurements.

NIT-R	μ_{min}	$n_{th}(2D)$	R_S	$V_c{}^{\mathrm{a}}$	$G_{\Omega}{}^{\mathrm{a}}$	$\eta_{\Omega}{}^{\mathrm{a}}$	$G_{SCL}^{\mathbf{a}}$	$\eta_{SCL}{}^{\mathrm{a}}$	μ_0
	$[\rm cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}]$	$[10^{13} \text{ m}^{-2}]$	$[T\Omega]$	[V]	[fS]		$[fSV^{\eta-1}]$		$[\rm cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}]$
NIT-PhBr	$3.0{\pm}0.5\cdot10^{-2}$	$1.0{\pm}0.5$	$0.9{\pm}0.3$	$40{\pm}20$	$55{\pm}10$	$0.9{\pm}0.3$	$6.3{\pm}0.9$	$1.5{\pm}0.1$	$6\pm4\cdot10^{-3}$
$\rm NIT-PhOMe^b$	$4\pm3\cdot10^{-3}$	$4.2{\pm}0.8$	$2.2{\pm}0.3$	$170{\pm}30$	23 ± 3	$1.2{\pm}0.1$	$0.9 \pm \ 0.6$	$1.7{\pm}0.1$	$7{\pm}1\cdot10^{-4}$
$\operatorname{NIT-PhO}^t\operatorname{Bu}$	$7 \pm 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$	17 ± 2	75 ± 4	$510{\pm}40$	$0.89{\pm}0.03$	$0.9{\pm}0.3$	$0.2 {\pm} 0.1$	$1.5{\pm}0.3$	$5.0{\pm}0.5\cdot10^{-6}$
NIT-PhOPh	$6\pm3\cdot10^{-3}$	3 ± 1	3 ± 1	$130{\pm}40$	18 ± 8	$1.1{\pm}0.2$	$1.2 {\pm} 0.7$	$2.0{\pm}0.3$	$7 \pm 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$
NIT-Py	$1.4 {\pm} 0.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$0.9{\pm}0.4$	5 ± 3	$60{\pm}30$	6 ± 3	$0.9{\pm}0.5$	$1 \pm 0.9 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.1{\pm}0.3$	$1.4 \pm 0.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$

^a Errors represent the widths of the statistical distributions

^b Values refer to $\epsilon_1 = 2.8 \pm 0.2$, see text



FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) Example of fitting of the different ¹⁷ regimes for a number of measurements performed on NIT- ¹⁸ PhOMe, allowing the statistical analysis of the conduction ¹⁹ regimes. Details about data sets in Table III. The result- ²⁰ ing distribution of the exponents, as obtained for the low- ²⁰ voltage (blue diamonds) and high-voltage (red dots) regimes ²¹ are shown in: b) for NIT-PhBr; c) for NIT-PhOMe; d) for ²² NIT-PhOtBu; e) for NIT-PhOPh; f) for NIT-Py. No-count ²³ points are shown for clarity and solid lines correspond to gaus- ²⁴ sian fits (values in Table II).

¹ measurements, a statistical analysis was performed by ac-

quiring several sets of measurements for each compound 26
on different crystals (see supplement information⁹⁰), and 27
performing the fitting procedures on all of them (Figure 28
6a shows a small subset of measurements). The result- 29
ing statistical analysis provided a gaussian distribution 30

⁷ for each exponent, as shown in Figure 6, from which the ³¹

TABLE III. Specifications on the number of measurements used for statistical analysis. Several I-V cycles were performed on the indicated number of crystals. It should be noticed that each curve contributed four points to our analysis, for forward and backward sweeps at positive and negative voltages.

NIT-R	Total I-V curves	Number of Crystals
NIT-PhBr	15	2
NIT-PhOMe	23	3
$\mathrm{NIT}\text{-}\mathrm{PhO}^{t}\mathrm{Bu}$	27	2
NIT-PhOPh	45	3
NIT-Py	5	1

values provided in Table II were extracted. All compounds with the higher mobilities show a value of the SCL exponent slightly below the predicted value of 2, while the two systems with the lowest conductance and the least efficient intermolecular channels provide exactly 2, within experimental error. These compounds also display a wider dispersion of behaviours, with a few cases showing exponents up to 3. This effect is actually to be linked to a more limited experimental accuracy, produced by the presence of other mechanisms, such as traps (see Section V) and the limited voltage range between V_C and the breakdown voltage of the crystals. All compounds show an effective thermal carrier density in the order of 10^{13} m⁻² at the crystal surface, with only a small dependence on the -R group observable, in perfect compatibility with the expected variability in the quality of the crystal-electrodes contacts.

IV. MODELING OF THE TRANSPORT

In a pure Mott insulator the main parameter that influences the conductance is the charging energy needed to add an electron to a neutral molecule. For the NIT-R systems the main parameter are the distance between the upper and lower Hubbard bands and the energy distances of the singly-occupied molecular orbital (SOMO



TABLE IV. Calculated energy distances of the SOMO and LUMO orbitals from the HOMO, crystallographic distances and tilting angles between the aromatic components of adjacent molecules, for the different NIT-R compounds.

NIT-R	SOMO [eV]	LUMO [eV]	r [Å]	angle [°]
NIT-PhBr	0.7	3.6	4.760	4.08
NIT-PhOMe	0.4	3.3	4.332	33.23
$\mathrm{NIT}\text{-}\mathrm{PhO}^{t}\mathrm{Bu}$	0.6	3.5	5.911	56.15
NIT-PhOPh	0.5	3.4	n.a.	n.a.
NIT-Py	0.3	3.3	5.895	43.13

FIG. 7. (Color Online) Left: Calculated DOS for NIT-PhOMe ³⁶ showing the different densities close to E_F and charge den-³⁷ sity maps obtained by projecting in real space the states in ³⁸ different energy intervals, as highlighted by the shaded areas. ³⁹ Right: Charge densitity maps obtained for NIT-PhOMe by ⁴⁰ projecting in real space the states around E_F (bottom panel) ⁴¹ and around 2 eV (upper panels). ⁴²

44

or α -HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular or- 45 1 bital (LUMO) from the highest occupied molecular or- 46 2 bital (HOMO).¹⁰³ To gain insight into these parameters, 47 3 we performed numerical calculations with full geome-48 try optimizations of the NIT-Rs carried out using the 49 5 density functional theory method (DFT) at the B3LYP $_{50}$ 6 level.¹⁰⁴ All atoms were assigned a $6-311++G^{**}$ basis ₅₁ 7 set and calculations were performed with Spartan14 pro- 52 8 gram packages.¹⁰⁵ The results (Table IV) show that the $_{53}$ 9 energy differences between the three orbitals are identi-54 10 cal for all compounds except for NIT-Py, which is only 55 11 a little higher. This is in good agreement with our es- $_{56}$ 12 timation of the number of carriers n_{th} (Table II) but is $\frac{1}{57}$ 13 not enough to explain the large conductivity differences, $_{58}$ 14 indicating that the main limiting factor is not the molec-15 ular charging energy itself, but the intermolecular barrier $_{60}$ 16 that has to be overcome for the transport process to oc- $_{61}$ 17 cur. As such barriers are much more sensitive to the $_{62}$ 18 molecular arrangement in the bulk and the steric of the $_{63}$ 19 -R substituents can thus become an important factor. 20 64

Insight on this intermolecular conduction path can 65 21 be obtained theoretically by DFT calculations on the $_{66}$ 22 crystal structure of the NIT-PhOMe system, which has 67 23 a smaller unit cell compared to the others, contain-68 24 ing only four molecular units, and can thus be treated 69 25 with reasonable computing power. For these electronic $_{70}$ 26 structure calculations we employed the Vienna ab ini-71 27 tio simulation package (VASP),^{106–109} using the projec-₇₂ 28 tor augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotentials¹¹⁰ and the ₇₃ 29 Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof generalized gradient approxi-74 30 mation (PBE-GGA).¹¹¹ 31 75

The energy cutoff for the plane wave basis set was put ⁷⁶ at 400 eV and the first Brillouin zone was sampled with ⁷⁷ a 7x7x7 Monkhorst-Pack k-points mesh.¹¹² The resulting ⁷⁸

orbitals, as depicted in real space (figure 7, right), show a fragmented structure, with no clear conduction path. On the contrary the orbitals above the Fermi energy show a delocalization of the states. Analysis as a function of the strength of the on-site interaction U in a DFT+U approach revealed that no bandgap is opened at the Fermi level even for U up to 6 eV. Such levels of interaction did not qualitatively change the density of states of the radicals at all, indicating that the charge transport does not follow the standard mechanisms of a Mott insulator. On the contrary, analysis of the charge density maps, as obtained by projecting in real space all states belonging to specific energy intervals shows the localized characteristic of the states around E_F and the delocalized conduction channels of the states between 1.5 and 2.5 eV. These numerical results thus support the idea that NIT-Rs do not completely behave as Mott insulators: instead they sport a minor density of states around the Fermi energy, which can be easily filled, so that conduction electrons must then be injected at much higher energies.

We can experimentally verify this hypothesis by varying the intermolecular channel via the different -R groups in the systems. π -stacking interactions between each aromatic phenyl group of the -R appendages and the NIT group of the neighbouring molecule, as depicted in Figure 1c for NIT-PhOMe, is found in all compounds, but with very considerable differences. Radicals with bulky -R substituents that hinder intermolecular interactions, lead to intermolecular distances along the channel and tilting angles (taken between the planes of the phenyl ring and of the five-membered heterocycle of the NIT group) that deviate considerably from the standard π stacking values (Table IV). We can observe that systems with the larger distances between the stacking aromatic groups display indeed lower conductances. The effect is particularly clearcut in NIT-PhOtBu and NIT-Py, where the large tilt between the two planes produces a disruption of the stacking pattern and extremely low conductances. These structural trends confirm that the conductance is not simply limited by a Mott mechanism, but is assisted by a developed intermolecular electron channel. By applying high voltages we can thus inject electrons into the material which can diffuse through it exploiting these overlapping channels. It should be noticed that the



FIG. 8. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the logarithm of the optical ϵ_2 value, for NIT-PhBr (green triangles), NIT-PhOMe (blue dots), NIT-PhOtBu (red squares) and NIT-Py (violet triangles), as determined from the fitting of the sub-THz spectra. Solid lines correspond to fits with the expected behavior for small polarons and low-temperature residual conductivity, as described in the text.

¹ orbital overlaps we consider here are between neighbour-

 $_{\rm 2}$ $\,$ ing molecules, and are not intramolecular ones (Figure 7, $_{\rm 3}$ $\,$ right). $\,$

These conclusions are also corroborated by the T de- ³² 4 pendence of ϵ_2 , whose logarithm is reported in Fig-³³ 5 ure 8. The optical ϵ_2 , at low photon frequencies ω , ³⁴ 6 is directly related to the mobility of the carriers μ by $^{\rm 35}$ 7 the relation $\epsilon_2 = A\mu$ (with the proportionality constant⁹⁴ ³⁶ 8 $A = \omega/(4e\pi n_{th})$). The data do not scale as expected for ³⁷ Mott-insulators⁹⁰, and can only be reproduced, for all ³⁸ q 10 NIT-R, by considering hopping of small polarons¹¹³ in 11 the Holstein model. The low-T minimum, clearly present 12 for all NIT-R, can be understood in the framework of 13 transport theories of electron hopping among molecules 14 assisted by vibrational effects modulating the electronic $^{\rm 39}$ 15 channel.^{84,113,114} According to these models the electron ⁴⁰ 16 mobility should first decrease, on lowering T, because of ⁴¹ 17 the progressive decrease of available vibrational modes ⁴² 18 that assist the hopping, as indeed observed (Figure 8)⁴³ 19 down to $T \approx 30$ K. The analytical form for the mobility: ⁴⁴ 20

$$\mu_{pol} = \frac{\pi^{1/2} e a^2 J^2 e^{-\frac{W_p}{2k_B T}}}{\hbar (2W_p)^{1/2} (k_B T)^{3/2}} \tag{4}_{48}^{46}$$

45

relies on the lattice constant a of the crystal in which 49 21 the small polaron occurs, the polaron interaction poten- ⁵⁰ 22 tial J and the thermal activation energy for hopping W_p , 23 given by half the small-polaron binding energy. All the 24 extracted polaron energies are in the order of 0.4 eV, ⁵¹ 25 about the magnitude of the HOMO-SOMO distance, and 26 comparable to the energies expected from the DFT cal- 52 27 culations to reach the delocalized electron channel, con- 53 28 firming, again, the relevance of this non Mott-like inter- 54 29 molecular channel. 55 30



FIG. 9. (Color online) (a) Example of the presence of distributed traps within the SOMO-LUMO gap, as observed on a bilogaritmic plot. The black lines represent the exponents that indicate the conductivity regime of each part of the curve. Over $V_t = 500$ V the effect of distributed traps is clearly visible as explained in the text. (b) Examples of localized traps for NIT-Py (top) and NIT-PhOMe (bottom).

Below 30 K an increase of ϵ_2 is typically observed. This cannot be accounted by simple hopping, and such observations are typically ascribed to the effects of polaron tunneling and residual band-like conductivity, again in agreement with the aforementioned DFT calculations. To account for these effects we can add two terms to Equation 4, accounting for the tunneling and the bandlike conductance:

$$\mu_{LT} = \mu_{tun} + \mu_{BL} = \frac{ea^2}{\hbar\gamma k_B T} \left[\frac{\gamma}{\pi}\xi\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{(-2\gamma\xi)} + dT^{-n}$$
(5)

where $\xi = \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{W_p}{2\gamma k_B T}\right)$, γ is a measure the strength of the electron phonon coupling and n is an empirical exponential describing the band-like processes. The expression reproduces well all curves for all NIT-R radicals down to 2 K, and the γ term is always found to be between 2 and 3, which still indicates a relatively weak electron-phonon coupling, even though higher than many organic semiconductors. In all cases we could not reproduce the data with the tunneling term μ_{tun} alone, without including the power-law dependence of μ_{BL} . This confirms again the very important role of the intermolecular interaction channels.

V. TRAP EFFECTS

Trap states and additional conduction paths can become relevant at higher voltages.^{115,116} Figure 9 shows examples of the very appreciable deviations from the purely quadratic I vs V dependence that can be ob-

served. Both experiments⁸¹ and theory^{117,118} indicate ⁵² 1 that surface traps can strongly influence the SCL regime 53 2 of molecular crystals, with traps often created at the 54 3 crystal-contact interface and at defective molecular sites. 55 4 In the present case we can identify two main trapping 56 5 mechanism (Figure 9). The first type of traps pro- 57 6 duces an exponential distribution of trap states below the 58 7 LUMO, described by¹¹⁹ $\rho_t(E) = (\delta_t/E_t) exp(E/E_t)$ where 59 8 δ_t is the trap density, E_t the characteristic trap depth 60 9 and E the energy relative to the conduction or valence 10 band. These traps are gradually filled, leading to a cur-11 rent that increases faster than quadratic following the 12 expression:¹¹⁹ 13 61

$$I \propto V^{m+1}/d^{2m+1}$$
 (6)⁶²₆₃

where m is given by $m = E_t/k_B T > 1$ and d is the thick-14 ness of the sample. As the resulting current only depends $\frac{1}{66}$ 15 on the trap depth, but is independent of the device ge- $\frac{1}{67}$ 16 ometry, a power law dependence of the I vs V charac-17 teristics with such a behaviour can be regarded as indi-18 cation for trap-limited SCL processes. Exponentially-19 70 distributed traps can explain the deviations from the 20 quadratic I vs V behaviour wherever $\eta > 2$ is observed, 21 72 as, for example, in NIT-Py in Figure 9. Anyway, the 22 NIT-R systems show far better agreement with the ideal 23 SCL regime than most other molecular conductors, pos-24 sibly due to the low energy of the traps and the sur-25 face SCL mechanism: for example in AlQ₃, values of 26 $6{<}\ m{<}10$ have been found, with trap energies $E_t{=}0.15$ 27 eV.^{120,121} As the present theoretical background for dis-28 tributed traps considers neither hopping transport nor 29 surface conductance,¹²² the behaviour is best modeled by ⁷⁷ 30 introducing a field-dependent mobility, without consider-31 ing a theoretically-derived distribution of traps and char- $^{79}\,$ 32 acteristic temperatures, as already employed for AlQ_3 33 and PPV devices.^{123,124} We can then assume the mobil-34 ity to depend on the electric field E via the Poole-Frenkel 35 mechanism:¹¹⁷ 36 84

$$\mu(E) = \mu_0 \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{3/2}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}T} \sqrt{\frac{E}{\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_r}}\right) \tag{7}^{\frac{85}{26}}$$

where μ_0 is the low-field mobility.⁸¹ With the previously- ₈₉ 37 extracted thermal charge carrier density we can so cal- 90 38 culate μ_0 with Equation 1 and substitute $\mu_m in$ by the 91 30 Poole-Frenkel mobility $\mu(E)$ in Equation 7. The result- 92 40 ing I vs V dependence can be used to describe the super- $_{93}$ 41 quadratic behaviour at higher voltages that some of the 94 42 compounds show (see Figure 9a). Higher distributed trap 95 43 densities in the proximity of the electrodes are likely re- 96 44 sponsible for the underestimated currents close to Vc and 97 45 any remaining sweep rate-independent discrepancies be- 98 46 tween the forward and backward sweeps (Figure 2). 47 99

The second kind of traps that can be observed are lo-100 calized electron traps, energetically located deep in the101 band-gap of the material.⁸¹ In NIT-R systems these traps102 can take the form of locally-altered molecules, oxidized103 or reduced single molecules or chemically-defective regions, which can arise, for example, by the presence of the amino-nitroxide side product radical in the crystalline NIT-R. Two examples of such traps are shown in Figure 9b, with clear signatures of vertical jumps at the so-called trap-filling voltage V_{tfl} , above which transport is in the so-called trap-filled limit and continues to follow the quadratic voltage dependence. In the case of surface SCL currents, traps are filled by the injected charge at:

$$V_{TFL} = \frac{\pi}{4\epsilon_0\epsilon_r} eL\delta_d \tag{8}$$

from which the trap density per unit surface area, δ_d can be evaluated (the subscript d denotes deep-traps, located at several times k_BT from the valence band). This is also visible from the current jumps due to trap filling, which would be absent for a dense distribution of traps close to the conduction band. The presence of such deep traps, which are typically filled close to 0.4 eV, is typical of systems with very wide band-gaps, and would match with a gap width close to the 3 eV as previously discussed. The observed densities of localized traps are ca. $\delta_d=3.5\pm0.3\cdot10^{10}$ cm², which agrees with known densities in organic conductors^{125–129} and, in particular, to those of rubrene crystals.¹³⁰

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion we have shown that, contrarily to what conjectured up to now, the widespread compounds of the NIT-R class do not behave as typical Mott insulators when -R is aromatic, owing to interactions between the π electronic cloud of the phenyl appendix and the delocalized NIT motif. These results are fundamental for the creation of spintronic nanodevices based on NIT-R radicals and allow using chemical design to tune the conduction properties of these materials. The spacecharge limited currents and strong trap effects here evidenced for the first time will be fundamental for the design of any nanoscale devices based on such materials, and in particular for latch memory, field-effect and spintronic devices.^{131–138} The observed mobilities fall in the correct range for the creation of memristive devices, where charge-limiting effects can be desirable to create nanometer-scale logic units. Such effects, which have until now been ignored, will thus be of fundamental importance for proposed molecular switching devices¹³ at the nanoscale.

In this paper we have also set a methodological standard for the investigation of the conduction regimes of low-conductive magnetic compounds,⁹⁶ by providing a unique combination of optical measurements at sub-THz frequencies, transport data and numerical calculations. The results will constitute the experimental and theoretical backbone for the study of electron transport in NIT-R-based complexes,⁹⁶ which are attracting particular interest for their slow-relaxing behaviour⁶⁹

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support from the Baden Württemberg Stiftung (Kompetenznetz Funktionelle

- * corresponding author: lapo.bogani@materials.ox.ac.uk
 ¹ D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli and J. Villain, Molecular Nanomagnets, Oxford University Press (2006).
 - magnets, Oxford University Press (2006).
 ⁶³
 ² G. E. Kostakis, I. J. Hewitt, A. M. Ako, V. Mereacre and ⁶⁴
 A. K. Powell, Philos. Trans. A. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **368**, ⁶⁵
 1509-36 (2010).
 - ³ R. W. Saalfrank, H. Maid and A. Scheurer, Angew. Chem. 67 Int. Ed. Engl. 47, 8794-824 (2008).
- ⁴ A. Mandel, W. Schmitt, T. G. Womack, R. Bhalla, R. K. 69 Henderson, S. L. Heath and A. K. Powell, Coord. Chem. 70 Rev. **190-192**, 1067-1083 (1999).
- ⁵ D. N. Woodruff, R. E. P. Winpenny and R. A. Layfield, ⁷² Chem. Rev. **113**, 5110-48 (2013).
- ⁶ K. S. Pedersen, J. Bendix and R. Clrac, Chem. Comm. 74 50, 4396-415 (2014). 75
- ⁷ R. Winpenny, Ed., Single-Molecule Magnets and Re- 76 lated Phenomena, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 77 112 (2006).
- ⁸ D. Gatteschi and R. Sessoli, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 79
 42, 26897 (2003).
- ⁹ B. Barbara, L. Thomas, F. Lionti, I. Chiorescu and A. ⁸¹ Sulpice, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **200**, 167181 (1999). ⁸²
- ¹⁰ L. Gunther and B. Barbara, Quantum Tunneling of ⁸³ Magnetization-QTM94: Proceedings of the NATO Ad- ⁸⁴ vanced Research Workshop, Grenoble and Chichilianne, ⁸⁵ France, June 27-July 2 1994, Kluwer Academic Pub ⁸⁶ (1995). ⁸⁷
- ¹¹ J. R. Friedman, M. P. Sarachik, J. Tejada and R. Ziolo, 88 Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3830-3833 (1996). 89
- ¹² W. Wernsdorfer and R. Sessoli, Science **284**, 133-135 ⁹⁰ (1999). ⁹¹
- ¹³ E. Heintze, F. El Hallak, C. Clauß, A. Rettori, M. G. Pini, 92
 F. Totti, M. Dressel and L. Bogani, Nat. Mater. **12**, 202-6 93 (2013).
- ¹⁴ M. Slota, M. Blankenhorn, E. Heintze, M. Vu, R. Hbner 95 and L. Bogani, Faraday Discussions 185, 347-359 (2015). 96
- ¹⁵ O. Sato, J. Tao and Y.-Z. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 97 Engl. **46**, 2152-87 (2007).
- ¹⁶ D. Pinkowicz, M. Ren, L.-M. Zheng, S. Sato, M. ⁹⁹ Hasegawa, M. Morimoto, M. Irie, B. K. Breedlove, G.¹⁰⁰ Cosquer, K. Katoh and M. Yamashita, Chemistry, **20**,¹⁰¹ 12502-13 (2014).
- ¹⁷ M. Verdaguer, Science **272**, 698-699 (1996).
- ¹⁸ O. Sato, T. Iyoda, A. Fujishima and K. Hashimoto, Sci-104 ence **272**, 704-705 (1996). 105
- ¹⁹ T. Liu, H. Zheng, S. Kang, Y. Shiota, S. Hayami, M. Mito,¹⁰⁶
 O. Sato, K. Yoshizawa, S. Kanegawa and C. Duan, Nat.¹⁰⁷
 ⁵⁸ Commun. 4, (2013).
- S. Ohkoshi, S. Takano, K. Imoto, M. Yoshikiyo, A. Namai¹⁰⁹
 and H. Tokoro, Nat. Photonics, 8, 65-71 (2013).

⁶ Nanostrukturen); the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung

- (Sofja Kovalevskaja Award), the European Research
- ⁸ Council (ERC-StG 338258 "OptoQMol") and the Royal
- Society via the University Research Fellowship and URF Grant.

9

10

103

- ²¹ A. S. Zyazin, J. W. G. van den Berg, E. A. Osorio, H. S. J. van der Zant, N. P. Konstantinidis, M. Leijnse, M. R. Wegewijs, F. May, W. Hofstetter, C. Danieli and A. Cornia, Nano Lett., **10**, 3307-11 (2010).
- ²² M. Trif, F. Troiani, D. Stepanenko and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 217201 (2008).
- ²³ O. Sato, T. Kawakami, M. Kimura, S. Hishiya, S. Kubo and Y. Einaga, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **126**, 13176-7 (2004).
- ²⁴ S.-I. Ohkoshi, K.-I. Arai, Y. Sato and K. Hashimoto, Nat. Mater. **3**, 857-61 (2004).
- ²⁵ S. Margadonna, K. Prassides and A. N. Fitch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 43, 6316-9 (2004).
- ²⁶ S. Maekawa, Concepts in spin electronics, Oxford University Press, **13** (2006).
- ²⁷ J. Park, A. N. Pasupathy, J. I. Goldsmith, C. Chang, Y. Yaish, J. R. Petta, M. Rinkoski, J. P. Sethna, H. D. Abruña, P. L. McEuen and D. C. Ralph, Nature **417**, 722-725 (2002).
- ²⁸ D. Rugar, R. Budakian, H. J. Mamin and B. W. Chui, Nature **430**, 329-32 (2004).
- ²⁹ R. Vincent, S. Klyatskaya, M. Ruben, W. Wernsdorfer and F. Balestro, Nature **488**, 357-60 (2012).
- ³⁰ A. Saraiva-Souza, M. Smeu, L. Zhang, A. G. Souza Filho, H. Guo and M. A. Ratner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **136**, 15065-71 (2014).
- ³¹ S. Sanvito, Chem. Soc. Rev. **40**, 3336-55 (2011).
- ³² A. R. Rocha, V. M. Garca-Surez, S. W. Bailey, C. J. Lambert, J. Ferrer and S. Sanvito, Nat. Mater. 4, 335-9 (2005).
- ³³ L. Bogani and W. Wernsdorfer, Nat. Mater. 7, 179-86 (2008).
- ³⁴ S. Jiang, K. Go, C. Cervetti and L. Bogani, in Science China Chemistry 55, 867-882 (2012).
- ³⁵ J. Choi, H. Lee, K. J. Kim, B. Kim and S. Kim, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 1, 505-509 (2010).
- ³⁶ S. Bhandary, S. Ghosh, H. Herper, H. Wende, O. Eriksson and B. Sanval, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 257202 (2011).
- ³⁷ A. Candini, S. Klyatskaya, M. Ruben, W. Wernsdorfer and M. Affronte, Nano Lett. **11**, 2634-9 (2011).
- ³⁸ H. G. Zhang, J. T. Sun, T. Low, L. Z. Zhang, Y. Pan, Q. Liu, J. H. Mao, H. T. Zhou, H. M. Guo, S. X. Du, F. Guinea and H.-J. Gao, Phys. Rev. B 84, 245436 (2011).
- ³⁹ Y. Ying, R. K. Saini, F. Liang, A. K. Sadana and W. E. Billups, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 14713.
- ⁴⁰ S. Kyatskaya, J. R. G. Mascars, L. Bogani, F. Hennrich, M. Kappes, W. Wernsdorfer and M. Ruben, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **131**, 15143-51 (2009).
- ⁴¹ L. Bogani, C. Danieli, E. Biavardi, N. Bendiab, A.-L. Barra, E. Dalcanale, W. Wernsdorfer and A. Cornia, Angew. Chemie, **121**, 760-764 (2009).

- ⁴² L. Bogani and W. Wernsdorfer, Inorganica Chim. Acta, 64
 2008, 361, 38073819.
- ⁴³ H. P. Boehm, Carbon **32**, 759-769 (1994).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

- ⁴⁴ L. Routaboul, P. Braunstein, J. Xiao, Z. Zhang, P. A. 67
 Dowben, G. Dalmas, V. Da Costa, O. Flix, G. Decher, L. 68
 G. Rosa and B. Doudin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **134**, 8494-506 69
 (2012). 70
- ⁴⁵ M. Mannini, F. Pineider, P. Sainctavit, C. Danieli, E. 71 Otero, C. Sciancalepore, A. M. Talarico, M.-A. Arrio, A. 72 Cornia, D. Gatteschi and R. Sessoli, Nat. Mater. 8, 194-7 73 (2009).
- ⁴⁶ M. Mannini, F. Pineider, C. Danieli, F. Totti, L. Sorace, 75
 P. Sainctavit, M.-A. Arrio, E. Otero, L. Joly, J. C. Cezar, 76
 A. Cornia and R. Sessoli, Nature 468, 417-21 (2010). 77
- ⁴⁷ C. F. Hirjibehedin, C.-Y. Lin, A. F. Otte, M. Ternes, C. 78
 P. Lutz, B. A. Jones and A. J. Heinrich, Science **317**, 79
 1199-203 (2007).
- ⁴⁸ A. Rajca, A. Olankitwanit, Y. Wang, P. J. Boratyski, M. ⁸¹ Pink and S. Rajca, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **135**, 18205-15 ⁸² (2013).
- ⁴⁹ Y. Zhang, S. Kahle, T. Herden, C. Stroh, M. Mayor, U. ⁸⁴ Schlickum, M. Ternes, P. Wahl and K. Kern, Nat. Com- ⁸⁵ mun. 4, 2110 (2013).
- ⁵⁰ C. Herrmann, G. C. Solomon and M. A. Ratner, J. Chem. 87 Phys., 2011, 134, 224306.
- ⁵¹ O. Kahn, Science **279**, 44-48 (1998).
- ⁵² M. Maesato, T. Kawashima, Y. Furushima, G. Saito, H. 90 Kitagawa, T. Shirahata, M. Kibune and T. Imakubo, J. 91 Am. Chem. Soc. **134**, 17452-5 (2012).
- ⁵³ M. Mas-Torrent, N. Crivillers, V. Mugnaini, I. Ratera, C. 93
 Rovira and J. Veciana, J. Mater. Chem. **19**, 1691 (2009). 94
- ⁵⁴ K. Matsuda and M. Irie, Chemistry **7**, 3466-73 (2001).
- ⁵⁵ H. Nishide, S. Iwasa, Y.-J. Pu, T. Suga, K. Nakahara and ⁹⁶
 M. Satoh, Electrochim. Acta 50, 827-831 (2004).
- ⁵⁶ W. Choi, D. Harada, K. Oyaizu and H. Nishide, J. Am. 98
 Chem. Soc. 133, 19839-43(2011).
- ⁵⁷ K. Nakahara, S. Iwasa, M. Satoh, Y. Morioka, J. Iriyama,¹⁰⁰
 M. Suguro and E. Hasegawa, Chem. Phys. Lett. **359**, 351-101
 354 (2002).
- ⁵⁸ T. Suga, H. Ohshiro, S. Sugita, K. Oyaizu and H. Nishide,¹⁰³
 Adv. Mater. **21**, 1627-1630 (2009).
- ⁵⁹ K. Oyaizu and H. Nishide, Adv. Mater. **21**, 2339-2344₁₀₅ (2009). ¹⁰⁶
- ⁶⁰ T. Suga, H. Konishi and H. Nishide, Chem. Comm. 17302₁₀₇ (2007).
- ⁶¹ L. Bugnon, C. J. H. Morton, P. Novak, J. Vetter and P.¹⁰⁹ Nesvadba, Chem. Mater. **19**, 2910-2914 (2007). ¹¹⁰
- ⁶² C. Simão, M. Mas-Torrent, N. Crivillers, V. Lloveras, J.¹¹¹
 M. Arts, P. Gorostiza, J. Veciana and C. Rovira, Nat.¹¹²
 Chem. 3, 359364 (2011).
- ⁶³ J. Lee, E. Lee, S. Kim, G. S. Bang, D. A. Shultz, R. D.¹¹⁴
 Schmidt, M. D. E. Forbes and H. Lee, Angew. Chemie -¹¹⁵
 Int. Ed. **50**, 4414-4418 (2011).
- ⁶⁴ I. Ratera and J. Veciana, Chem. Soc. Rev. **41**, 303-49₁₁₇ (2012).
- ⁶⁵ A. Datcu, N. Roques, V. Jubera, D. Maspoch, X.¹¹⁹
 ⁵⁷ Fontrodona, K. Wurst, I. Imaz, G. Mouchaham, J.-P.¹²⁰
 ⁵⁸ Sutter, C. Rovira and J. Veciana, Chemistry, **18**, 152-62₁₂₁
 ⁵⁹ (2012). 122
- ⁶⁶ C. Simão, M. Mas-Torrent, J. Veciana and C. Rovira,¹²³
 Nano Lett. **11**, 4382-4385 (2011).
- ⁶⁷ C. Herrmann, G. C. Solomon and M. A. Ratner, J. Am.¹²⁵
 ⁶³ Chem. Soc. **132**, 3682-4 (2010). ¹²⁶

- ⁶⁸ K. Sato, M. Yano, M. Furuichi, D. Shiomi, T. Takui, K. Abe, K. Itoh, A. Higuchi, K. Katsuma and Y. Shirota, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **119**, 6607-6613 (1997).
- ⁶⁹ K. Bernot, L. Bogani, A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi and R. Sessoli, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **128**, 7947-56 (2006).
- ⁷⁰ K. Bernot, J. Luzon, L. Bogani, M. Etienne, C. Sangregorio, M. Shanmugam, A. Caneschi, R. Sessoli and D. Gatteschi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 5573-9 (2009).
- ⁷¹ K. E. Vostrikova, Coord. Chem. Rev. **252**, 1409-1419 (2008).
- ⁷² C. Train, L. Norel and M. Baumgarten, Coord. Chem. Rev. **253**, 2342-2351 (2009).
- ⁷³ S. Haas, E. Heintze, S. Zapf, B. Gorshunov, M. Dressel and L. Bogani, Phys. Rev. B 89, 174409 (2014).
- ⁷⁴ L. Bogani, J. Appl. Phys. **109**, 07B115 (2011).
- ⁷⁵ M. Imada, A. Fujimori and Y. Tokura, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 1039-1263 (1998).
- ⁷⁶ K. Awaga and Y. Maruyama, J. Chem. Phys. **91**, 2743 (1989).
- ⁷⁷ A. Rose, Phys. Rev. **97**, 1538-1544 (1955).

89

95

127

- ⁷⁸ M. Lampert, Phys. Rev. **103**, 1648-1656 (1956).
- ⁷⁹ A. A. A. Grinberg, S. Luryi, M. R. Pinto and N. L. Schryer, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices **36**, 1162-1170 (1989).
 ⁸⁰ I. A. Courat. Dhug. status colid: **15**, 107, 118 (1066).
- ⁸⁰ J. A. Geurst, Phys. status solidi **15**, 107-118 (1966).
- ⁸¹ A. Ioannidis, E. Forsythe, Y. Gao, M. W. Wu and E. M. Conwell, Appl. Phys. Lett. **72**, 3038 (1998).
- ⁸² R. W. I. de Boer and A. F. Morpurgo, Phys. Rev. B 72, 073207 (2005).
- ⁸³ M. Stossel, J. Staudigel, F. Steuber, J. Blassing, J. Simmerer and A. Winnacker, Appl Phys Lett **76**, 115-117 (2000).
- ⁸⁴ G. Horowitz, Adv. Mater. **10**, 365-377 (1998).
- ⁸⁵ E. F. Ullman, J. H. Osiecki, D. G. B. Boocock and R. Darcy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **94**, 7049-7059 (1972).
- ⁸⁶ A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli and P. Rey, Acc. Chem. Res. **22**, 392-398 (1989).
- ⁸⁷ E. T. Chernick, Q. Mi, R. F. Kelley, E. A. Weiss, B. A. Jones, T. J. Marks, M. A. Ratner and M. R. Wasielewski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **128**, 4356-64 (2006).
- ⁸⁸ K. Matsuda and M. Irie, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **122**, 7195-7201 (2000).
- ⁸⁹ H. Komatsu, M. M. Matsushita, S. Yamamura, Y. Sugawara, K. Suzuki and T. Sugawara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 4528-9 (2010).
- ⁹⁰ See Supplemental Material at [URL] for synthesis and structural data of the nitronyl-nitroxide radicals, further information on the statistical analysis on the transport measurements and a Mott-insulator scaling comparison with our data.
- ⁹¹ J. H. Osiecki and E. F. Ullman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **90**, 1078-1079 (1968).
- ⁹² E. F. Ullman, L. Call and J. H. Osiecki, J. Org. Chem. 35, 3623-3631 (1970).
- ⁹³ W. Brtting, Phys. status solidi **201**, 1035-1035 (2004).
- ⁹⁴ M. Dressel and G. Grüner, Electrodynamics of Solids: Optical Properties of Electrons in Matter, Cambridge University Press (2002).
- ⁹⁵ C. Cervetti, E. Heintze, B. Gorshunov, E. Zhukova, S. Lobanov, A. Hoyer, M. Burghard, K. Kern, M. Dressel, and L. Bogani, Adv. Mat. **27**, 2676 (2015).
- ⁹⁶ A. Baniodeh, Y. Liang, C. E. Anson, N. Magnani, A. K. Powell, A.-N. Unterreiner, S. Seyfferle, M. Slota, M. Dressel, L. Bogani and K. Goß, Adv. Funct. Mater. 24,

6280-6290 (2014).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- ⁹⁷ R. Smith and A. Rose, Phys. Rev. **97**, 1531-1537 (1995). ⁵⁶
- ⁹⁸ R. W. I. de Boer, J. Appl. Phys. **95**, 1196 (2004). 57
- ⁹⁹ A. D. Schricker, F. M. Davidson, R. J. Wiacek and B. A. 58 Korgel, Nanotechnology **17**, 2681-2688 (2006). 59
- ¹⁰⁰ A. A. Talin, F. Léonard, B. S. Swartzentruber, X. Wang 60 and S. D. Hersee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 076802 (2008). 61
- ¹⁰¹ A. M. Katzenmeyer, F. Leonard, A. A. Talin, M. E. ⁶²
 ⁹ Toimil-Molares, J. G. Cederberg, J. Y. Huang and J. L. ⁶³
 ¹⁰ Lensch-Falk, IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol. **10**, 9295 (1961). ⁶⁴
- ¹¹ ¹⁰² G. T. Wright, Solid. State. Electron. **2**, 165189 (1961). ⁶⁵
- ¹⁰³ J. L. Brédas, J. P. Calbert, D. A. da Silva Filho and J. ⁶⁶
 ¹³ Cornil, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **99**, 5804-5809 ⁶⁷
 ¹⁴ (2002).
- ¹⁰⁴ W. Kohn, A. D. Becke and R. G. Parr, J. Phys. Chem., 69
 1996, 100, 1297412980.
- ¹⁰⁵ Y. Shao, L. F. Molnar, Y. Jung, J. Kussmann, C. Ochsen- 71
 ¹⁰⁶ feld, S. T. Brown, A. T. B. Gilbert, L. V Slipchenko, S. V 72
- Levchenko, D. P. ONeill, R. a DiStasio, R. C. Lochan, T. 73
- Wang, G. J. O. Beran, N. a Besley, J. M. Herbert, C. Y. 74
 Lin, T. Van Voorhis, S. H. Chien, A. Sodt, R. P. Steele, V. 75
- Lin, T. Van Voorhis, S. H. Chien, A. Sodt, R. P. Steele, V. 75
 a Rassolov, P. E. Maslen, P. P. Korambath, R. D. Adam-76
- son, B. Austin, J. Baker, E. F. C. Byrd, H. Dachsel, R. 77
- J. Doerksen, A. Dreuw, B. D. Dunietz, A. D. Dutoi, T. 78
- R. Furlani, S. R. Gwaltney, A. Heyden, S. Hirata, C.-P. 79
- ²⁶ Hsu, G. Kedziora, R. Z. Khalliulin, P. Klunzinger, A. M. ⁸⁰
- 27 Lee, M. S. Lee, W. Liang, I. Lotan, N. Nair, B. Peters, 81
- E. I. Proynov, P. a Pieniazek, Y. M. Rhee, J. Ritchie, E. 82
- 29 Rosta, C. D. Sherrill, A. C. Simmonett, J. E. Subotnik, H. 83
- L. Woodcock, W. Zhang, A. T. Bell, A. K. Chakraborty, 84
- D. M. Chipman, F. J. Keil, A. Warshel, W. J. Hehre, H. 85 F. Schaefer, J. Kong, A. I. Krylov, P. M. W. Gill and 86
- M. Head-Gordon, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 8, 31723191 87 (2006).
- ¹⁰⁶ G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 558-561 (1993). ⁸⁹
- ³⁶ ¹⁰⁷ G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 14251-14269 ⁹⁰ ³⁷ (1994). ⁹¹
- ¹⁰⁸ G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci. 6, 92
 ³⁹ 15-50 (1996).
- ⁴⁰ ¹⁰⁹ G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11169- ⁹⁴ ⁴¹ 11186 (1996). ⁹⁵
- 42 ¹¹⁰ G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1758 (1999). 96
- ⁴³ ¹¹¹ J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. 97
 Lett. 77, 3865 (1996). 98
- ⁴⁵
 ¹¹² H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188-99
 ⁴⁶
 ⁵¹⁹² (1976). 100
- ⁴⁷¹¹³ V. Coropceanu, J. Cornil, D. A. da Silva Filho, Y. Olivier,¹⁰¹
 ⁴⁸ R. Silbey and J.-L. L. Brèdas, Chem. Rev. **107**, 926-952¹⁰²
 ⁴⁹ (2007).
- ⁵⁰ ¹¹⁴ I. G. Austin and N. F. Mott, Adv. Phys. **18**, 41-102(1969).¹⁰⁴
- ⁵¹¹¹⁵ N. N. Dinh, L. H. Chi, T. T. Chung Thuy, T. Q. Trung¹⁰⁵ and V.-V. Truong, J. Appl. Phys. **105**, 093518 (2009). ¹⁰⁶ ¹¹⁶ H. S. Woo, Y. B. Kim, B. Czerw, D. L. Carroll, J. Bal
- ¹¹⁶ H.-S. Woo, Y.-B. Kim, R. Czerw, D. L. Carroll, J. Bal lato and P. M. Ajayan, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 45, 507-511

(2004).

55

- ¹¹⁷ S. M. Sze and K. K. Ng, Physics of Semiconductor Devices, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, 3 (2006).
- ¹¹⁸ N. Karl, Synthetic Metals **133**, 649-657 (2003).
- P. Mark and W. Helfrich, J. Appl. Phys. 33, 205 (1962).
 P. E. Burrows, Z. Shen, V. Bulovic, D. M. McCarty, S. R. Forrest, J. A. Cronin and M. E. Thompson, J. Appl. Phys. 79, 7991 (1997).
- ¹²¹ A. J. Campbell, D. D. C. Bradley and D. G. Lidzey, J. Appl. Phys. 82, 6326 (1997).
- ¹²² M. A. Lampert and P. Mark, Current injection in solids, Academic Press, (1970).
- ¹²³ W. Brtting, S. Berleb and A. G. Mückl, Org. Electron. 2, 136 (2001).
- ¹²⁴ P. W. M. Blom, M. J. M. de Jong and J. J. M. Vleggaar, Appl. Phys. Lett.,**68**, 3308 (1996).
- ¹²⁵ J. E. Anthony, Chem. Rev. **106**, 5028-48 (2006).
- ¹²⁶ Y. Shirota and H. Kageyama, Chem. Rev. **107**, 953-1010 (2007).
- ¹²⁷ B. Purushothaman, M. Bruzek, S. R. Parkin, A.-F. Miller and J. E. Anthony, Angew. Chemie, **123**, 7151-7155 (2011).
- ¹²⁸ M. E. Gershenson and V. Podzorov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 973-989 (2006).
- ¹²⁹ S. A. DiBenedetto, A. Facchetti, M. A. Ratner and T. J. Marks, Adv. Mater. **21**, 1407-1433 (2009).
- ¹³⁰ V. Podzorov, E. Menard, A. Borissov, V. Kiryukhin, J. A. Rogers and M. E. Gershenson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 086602 (2004).
- ¹³¹ V. A. Dediu, L. E. Hueso, I. Bergenti and C. Taliani, Nat. Mater. 8, 707-716 (2009).
- ³² V. Dediu, M. Murgia, F. C. C. Matacotta, C. Taliani and S. Barbanera, Solid State Commun. **122**, 181-184 (2002).
- ¹³³ F. J. J. Wang, Z. H. H. Xiong, D. Wu, J. Shi and Z. V. V Vardeny, Synth. Met. **155**, 172-175 (2005).
- ¹³⁴ C. Barraud, P. Seneor, R. Mattana, S. Fusil, K. Bouzehouane, C. Deranlot, P. Graziosi, L. Hueso, I. Bergenti, V. Dediu, F. Petroff and A. Fert, Nat. Phys. 6, 615-620 (2010).
- ¹³⁵ Z. H. Xiong, D. Wu, Z. V. Vardeny and J. Shi, Nature 427, 821-4 (2004).
- ¹³⁶ C. Cervetti, A. Rettori, M. G. Pini, A. Cornia, A. Repolls, F. Luis, M. Dressel, S. Rauschenbach, K. Kern, M. Burghard and L. Bogani, Nature materials doi:10.1038/nmat4490.
- ¹³⁷ L. Bogani, R. Maurand, L. Marty, C. Sangregorio, C. Altavilla, and W. Wernsdorfer, J. Mat. Chem. **20**, 2099-2107 (2010).
- ¹³⁸ L. Bogani, Experiments on Molecular Magnets for Molecular Spintronics, Structure and Bonding, Springer, Berlin, Germany, **164**, 331-381 (2014).