





Adherence to Oral Antidiabetic Drugs in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Eugenia Piragine ^{1,2,†}, Davide Petri ^{3,†}, Alma Martelli ¹, Vincenzo Calderone ^{1,*} and Ersilia Lucenteforte ⁴

- ¹ Department of Pharmacy, University of Pisa, 56126 Pisa, Italy
- ² Specialization School in Hospital Pharmacy, University of Pisa, 56126 Pisa, Italy
- ³ Department of Translational Research and New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, University of Pisa, 56123 Pisa, Italy
- ⁴ Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, 56126 Pisa, Italy
- * Correspondence: vincenzo.calderone@unipi.it
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Poor adherence to oral antidiabetic drugs (OADs) in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) can lead to therapy failure and risk of complications. The aim of this study was to produce an adherence proportion to OADs and estimate the association between good adherence and good glycemic control in patients with T2D. We searched in MEDLINE, Scopus, and CENTRAL databases to find observational studies on therapeutic adherence in OAD users. We calculated the proportion of adherent patients to the total number of participants for each study and pooled study-specific adherence proportions using random effect models with Freeman–Tukey transformation. We also calculated the odds ratio (OR) of having good glycemic control and good adherence and pooled study-specific OR with the generic inverse variance method. A total of 156 studies (10,041,928 patients) were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. The pooled proportion of adherent patients was 54% (95% confidence interval, CI: 51–58%). We observed a significant association between good glycemic control and good adherence (OR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.17–1.51). This study demonstrated that adherence to OADs in patients with T2D is sub-optimal. Improving therapeutic adherence through health-promoting programs and prescription of personalized therapies could be an effective strategy to reduce the risk of complications.

Keywords: type 2 diabetes; T2D; therapeutic adherence; compliance; oral antidiabetic drugs; systematic review; meta-analysis

1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is one of the most common age-related metabolic disorders, affecting about 540 million people worldwide. It is associated with high morbidity and mortality, contributing to 6.7 million deaths in 2021 [1]. Recent projections indicate that global prevalence of T2D will increase exponentially in the next few years [2,3], pointing out the need for novel strategies to prevent the onset and progression of this disease. Indeed, chronic hyperglycemia enhances the risk of vascular inflammation, serious micro- and macrovascular alterations, and multiorgan dysfunction that leads to a large variety of diabetes complications (i.e., cardiovascular disorders, retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy) [4,5]. These features make T2D a "multi-field" pathology, with a strong impact on public health and healthcare systems. In this regard, total costs for T2D management are projected to double in the next few years [6]. The increase in global prevalence and, in parallel, economic burden of T2D are impressive, and few definitions of T2D give a real idea of the "diabetes threat". In recent times, T2D has been appropriately described as a "global preventable pandemic" [1], as it is a widespread disorder that can be partially prevented through lifestyle modifications [1,7]. Indeed, smoking, overweight/obesity, consumption of processed foods, and sedentary lifestyle are well-recognized exogenous risk factors for the onset of insulin



Citation: Piragine, E.; Petri, D.; Martelli, A.; Calderone, V.; Lucenteforte, E. Adherence to Oral Antidiabetic Drugs in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J. Clin. Med.* **2023**, *12*, 1981. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcm12051981

Academic Editor: Timothy Davis

Received: 3 February 2023 Revised: 27 February 2023 Accepted: 28 February 2023 Published: 2 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). resistance and, subsequently, T2D [8–10]. On the contrary, diet and exercise are cost-effective measures to prevent T2D and reduce overall mortality risk in patients with T2D [1].

Besides lifestyle changes, an effective strategy to reduce blood glucose levels is represented by antidiabetic drugs, which largely differ in their mechanism of action. According to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines [11], the biguanide metformin (MET), an AMPK (adenosine 5'-monophosphate-activated protein kinase) activator [12], is the first-line therapy for T2D. Furthermore, the use of MET in high-risk patients is emerging as a preventive strategy to reduce the incidence of T2D [13,14]. When monotherapy with MET does not lead to a significant reduction in glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels, a combination therapy with other oral antidiabetic drugs (OADs) is recommended. If cost is a major issue, ADA guidelines indicate sulfonylureas (SULFs), glinides, and thiazolidinediones (TZDs) as second-line therapies [11]. SULFs and glinides are insulin secretagogues [15], while TZDs are the only OADs specifically treating insulin resistance by activating the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR- γ), but their use in clinical practice is limited due to skepticism about safety and tolerability [16,17]. α -glucosidase inhibitors (in monotherapy or in association with MET or SULFs) reduce intestinal absorption of dietary glucose, representing cost-effective strategies to improve glycemic control in newly diagnosed T2D patients [18]. Other commonly prescribed second-line therapies are glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RA) or the novel inhibitors of the renal sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2i) [11]. SGLT-2i are insulin-independent OADs that can be used in patients with T2D and heart failure or in people experiencing gastrointestinal adverse effects due to MET. Indeed, SGLT-2i exhibits cardiovascular and renal effects beyond glycemic control that suggest further therapeutic uses for these drugs [19]. Finally, inhibitors of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 enzyme (DPP-4i) are second-line drugs recently introduced in the pharmacotherapy of T2D [11]. DPP-4i preserve endogenous incretin hormones, such as GLP-1 (glucagon-like peptide-1) and GIP (gastric inhibitory peptide), from enzymatic hydrolysis, thus reducing blood glucose levels with a good tolerability profile [20]. However, when OADs fail to counteract serious β -cell dysfunction and/or insulin resistance, the add-on therapy with insulin or the replacement of OADs with insulin is necessary [11,21].

Despite the large number of OADs, adequate glycemic control (HbA1c < 7.0%) in patients with T2D is difficult to reach. A major cause of therapy failure is poor adherence (often referred to as "compliance") to OADs, which plays a crucial role in the progression of T2D and risk of diabetes complications. Indeed, poor medication adherence is one of the most common causes of emergency room visits, hospitalization, enhanced morbidity and mortality, and increased costs of care in patients with T2D [22]. The current definition of adherence (i.e., the degree to which a patient correctly follows medical advice) does not fully describe the dynamic relationship between patient and physician. While the patient is often reluctant to engage in chronic antidiabetic therapy, government prevention programs and adherence supporting programs are not effective in enhancing medication adherence [1]. Presently, data about adherence to OADs are conflicting, and an updated summary of the literature is missing. Moreover, previous meta-analyses did not include the newest glucose-lowering drugs (i.e., DPP-4i and SGLT-2i). The aims of this systematic review and meta-analysis were to produce an updated adherence proportion to OADs in patients with T2D, assess the role of various factors (i.e., age, therapeutic regimen complexity, and therapeutic class) in determining global adherence, and investigate the association between good adherence and good glycemic control.

2. Materials and Methods

The protocol for this systematic review and meta-analysis has been registered in the PROSPERO database (CRD42021293269). The systematic review has been conducted following the PRISMA guidelines [23].

2.1. Inclusion Criteria

We included studies on patients with T2D, regardless of age, gender, and presence of co-morbidities. Exposure was the use of OADs, including biguanides, insulin secretagogues (i.e., sulfonylureas and glinides), thiazolidinediones (TZDs), α -glucosidase inhibitors, sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT-2i), and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP-4i). The outcome was the measurement of therapeutic adherence as the number of adherent patients out of the total. We decided to focus on proportions of adherent patients to better describe the current problem of non-adherence, as previous meta-analyses on the same topic summarized data expressed as a percentage of medications taken or days covered by therapy without giving an immediate, easily interpretable picture of this real-world medical issue [24]. English-language observational studies (cohort and cross-sectional), published in the period 2011–2022, have been included.

2.2. Exclusion Criteria

We excluded studies that did not distinguish between injectable antidiabetic drugs and OADs, articles reporting outcomes other than adherence (i.e., persistence), and studies that did not measure adherence (or non-adherence) as number/proportion of adherent (or non-adherent) patients out of the total. We also excluded non-English-language studies, non-original (previously published) articles, abstracts, conference papers, short communications, reviews, and clinical trials.

2.3. Information Sources and Search Strategy

We searched MEDLINE (via Pubmed), SCOPUS, and CENTRAL (via the Cochrane library) for studies published from 2011 to 9 June 2022. The search strategy (Supplementary Material S1) was composed of three main terms. The first term was T2D, the second term was adherence or compliance, while the third term was OADs. The three terms were combined using the Boolean operator "AND".

2.4. Selection Process

Two authors (EP and DP) independently screened titles and abstracts of studies identified by search strategies. Any discrepancies were discussed with a third reviewer, EL. Then, potentially relevant full texts were retrieved or, when not available, requested from the authors. Two authors (EP and DP) selected eligible studies, according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, using the bibliographic management software Mendeley Desktop (version 1.19.6).

2.5. Data Extraction Process

The following information has been extracted: study design, data collection, aim of the study, and continent where the study was conducted; number and general characteristics of participants included age, gender, and definition of incident patients for studies reporting adherence in new users of OADs; period of inclusion and follow-up period; definition of adherent patients as reported in the study; number of adherent patients out of the total; reasons for non-adherence. Moreover, any data stratification (for age, gender, follow-up period for incident users, complexity of therapeutic regimen, and therapeutic class used) was retrieved. When available, the number of adherent (or non-adherent) patients with good glycemic control (HbA1c < 7.0%) or poor glycemic control (HbA1c \geq 7.0%) has been extracted. The data extraction process was carried out by two authors (EP and DP) independently. Any disagreement was resolved with a third author, EL. Data were collected with the spreadsheet software Microsoft Excel (version 2102 build 13801.20864).

2.6. Risk of Bias (RoB) Assessment

Two authors (EP and DP) independently assessed the methodological quality of the included studies. Any disagreement was resolved with a third author, EL. The RoB of the included studies was evaluated with a modified version of the tool for prevalence studies

developed by Hoy et al. [25], as previously reported [26]. The tool is composed of domains that consider the description of patient population, data collection method, total number of patients, and validity and reliability of the adherence calculation method employed. For each domain, a score of 0 (high risk of bias) or 1 (low risk of bias) was attributed. In total, domains were 9 for cross-sectional studies and 8 for cohort studies. Studies were classified at low risk of bias (total score: 8-9/9 or 7-8/8, respectively), moderate risk of bias (total score: 6-7/9 or 5-6/8, respectively), or high risk of bias (total score: 0-5/9 or 0-4/8, respectively).

2.7. Effect Measures

We assessed the study-specific proportions of adherence to OADs by calculating the proportion of adherent patients to the total number of participants for each study. Appropriate calculations were performed when the study provided non-adherence or percentage of adherent patients. The association between good adherence and good glycemic control has been calculated as the odds ratio (OR). Briefly, the OR has been calculated as the ratio of two sets of odds: the odds of having good glycemic control among adherent patients divided by the odds of having good glycemic control among non-adherent patients.

2.8. Synthesis Methods

Data have been analyzed with the "metabin" and "metaprop" routines within the META and meta.DSL routine in RMETA package in R (version 4.12) [27]. A random effect model and the generic inverse variance method have been used to pool study-specific proportions of adherence. A random effect model with Freeman–Tukey transformation has been used to pool study-specific adherence proportions. Odds ratios (ORs) were meta-analyzed with a random effect model (DerSimonian and Laird method).

To quantify the heterogeneity, the Higgins heterogeneity index (I^2) has been used. This index was categorized according to the Cochrane recommendations [28]. The heterogeneity was tested through Cochran's Q test.

Subgroup analyses were performed according to adherence calculation method (use of questionnaires/verbal interviews or administrative data/pill counting), patient age and gender, follow-up period for incident users, complexity of therapeutic regimen, and therapeutic class used. Heterogeneity between groups and within groups was considered statistically significant if *p*-value < 0.10.

3. Results

3.1. Systematic Review

Figure 1 represents the flow chart of search. We identified 3315 records through MEDLINE searching, 3532 records through Scopus searching, and 933 through CENTRAL searching. After the removal of duplicates, 5561 records were screened. Of them, 5073 were excluded based on information reported in titles and abstracts, and 488 were sought for retrieval. Then, the 456 available full texts were assessed for eligibility. In total, 156 studies (10,041,928 patients) met the inclusion criteria and were included in both qualitative and quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) [29–184].

Among the included studies, 87 were cohort studies (10,013,130 patients) [42–44,47–57, 59,62,65–74,77,79,83–85,87,89,90,93,95–97,101,105–108,110,112–114,118–126,128–130,133,137, 141–144,148–151,153,154,156–159,161,163–165,167,168,172,174,175,178,179,181], while 69 were cross-sectional studies (28,798 patients) [29–41,45,46,58,60,61,63,64,75,76,78,80–82,86,88,91, 92,94,98–100,102–104,109,111,115–117,127,131,132,134–136,138–140,145–147,152,155,160,162, 166,169–171,173,176,177,180,182–184] (Table S1). The mean age of participants was 59 years, and about 50.5% of patients were men. A total of 41 studies presented data on incident users [43,48–52,54–56,62,65–67,70,71,74,83–85,97,101,104–107,114,118,119,123–126,128,129, 133,150,153,154,161,165,167]. A total of 30 studies reported the number/proportion of adherent patients treated with biguanides [41,57,59,65,70,71,76,84,85,87,97,99,100,114,118,119,

123,128,130,133,136,142,143,146,149,153,159,161,171,172], 23 with insulin secretagogues [41, 43,52,59,65,66,70,71,76,84,97,99,123,128,133,136,142,146,149,159,165,171,172], 13 TZDs [41, 59,65,66,70,71,84,123,133,142,143,149,159], 19 with DPP-4i [41,50-52,65-67,84,99,101,121, 123,125,126,137,142,143,149,159], 8 with SGLT-2i [43,48-50,123,124,142,157], and 7 with α -glucosidase inhibitors [41,84,99,123,142,149,159]. All the studies (156) were included in the subgroup analysis by age and gender, 78 in the analysis by therapeutic regimen complexity [29-31,33,34,37,39,41,42,44,45,50,57,58,63,70,72,82,85-87,91,94,99-101,103-105, 107,114,115,117,123,128,129,133,142,146,151,156,160,163–165,167–169,178,181,182], 47 in the analysis by follow-up period for incident users [43,48–52,54–56,65–67,71,74,83–85,97,101, 104-107,114,119,123-126,128,129,133,150,154,161,165,167,173], and 16 studies were included in the analysis measuring the association between good adherence and blood glucose control [40,41,88,98,102,105,109,116,120,131,140,149,152,173,174,180]. As concerns the method of adherence measurement (Table 1), 65 studies used self-reported measurements (i.e., questionnaires and verbal interviews) [29-40,45,46,58,60,61,63,64,75,76,78,80,82,88,91-94,98,100, 102-104,109,111,115-117,127,131,132,134-136,138-140,145-147,152,160,162,165,166,169,170, 173,176,177,180,182–184], 3 studies used the pill-counting method [86,99,171], and 88 studies used administrative data [41-44,47-57,59,62,65-74,77,79,81,83-85,87,89,90,95-97,101, 105-108,110,112-114,118-126,128-130,133,137,141-144,148-151,153-159,161,163,164,167, 168,172,174,175,178,179,181].



Figure 1. Flow chart of search.

Table 1. Details of adherence calculation methods. List of abbreviations: MMAS-8: eight-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale; MMAS-4: four-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale; LMAS-14: Lebanese Medication Adherence Scale; MCQ: Medication Compliance Questionnaire Adherence; MARS: Medication Adherence Report Scale; ARMS: Adherence to Refills and Medications Scale; PDC: proportion of days covered; MPR: medication possession ratio. * Some studies reported more than one follow-up period.

Good/High Adherence Definition/Calculation	Number of Studies
Self-reported (65)	
$\begin{array}{l} MMAS-8 \ questionnaire\\ Score = 8 \ [30,35,64,76,91,103,104,111,115,135,160,169,180]\\ Score \geq 6 \ [39,58,145,173,176,183]\\ Score \geq 7 \ [78]\\ Score > 8 \ [30] \end{array}$	21 13 6 1 1
MMAS-4 questionnaire Score = 4 [63,75,94,138,146,184] Score > 3 [46]	7 6 1
LMAS-14 questionnaire Score \geq 38 [40,116] Score $>$ 11 [88]	3 2 1
MCQ questionnaire (score > 27) [29,34,37]	3
MARS questionnaire Score > 20 [60] Score = 25 [102]	2 1 1
ARMS questionnaire (score = 12) [38,98]	2
Verbal interview >80% of the prescribed antidiabetic medications taken [61,92,93,117] >90% of the prescribed antidiabetic medications taken [177] Compliance \geq 90% [165]	6 4 1 1
Other questionnaires ^a [31–33,36,37,45,80,82,100,109,127,131,132,134, 136,139,140,147,162,166,170]	21
Pill counting ^b (3) [86,99,171]	
Use of administrative data (88)	
$\begin{split} PDC &\geq 80\% \left[42-44,47-52,59,62,66-71,73,77,84,87,89,90,95-\\ 97,101,105,106,112,114,118,120,122-126,130,137,144,148-\\ 150,155,157,161,163,164,178,179 \right] \\ Follow-up period: * & & \\ <12 months (1-9 months) & \\ =12 months & \\ >12 months (24-48 months) & \\ Not specified & & \\ \end{split}$	51 5 35 13 4
$\begin{split} MPR &\geq 80\% \ [53-57,65,72,74,83,85,107,110,113,128,129,133,141,143, \\ 151,153,154,158,167,168,172,174] \\ Follow-up period: * \\ &<12 months (3-6 months) \\ &=2 months \\ &>12 months (18 months-7 years) \\ Not specified \end{split}$	26 4 15 9 3
Other calculations a [41,79,81,108,119,121,142,156,159,175,181]	11

^a More details can be found in Table S1. ^b 90–105% of the prescribed medication taken [86]; 90–100% of the prescribed medication taken [171]; prescription reduction ratio (PRR) < 0.2, calculated by measuring the number of drugs originally prescribed and the reduced amount after use of leftover drugs [99].

A total of 34 studies reported the most common reasons for non-adherence to OADs, which were mainly forgetfulness, experiencing side effects, and high costs (Table 2).

Table 2. Most reported reasons for non-adherence (barriers to adherence). ¹: also includes forgetfulness due to events that disrupt the routine of taking medicine as prescribed (i.e., being away for long time, having someone at home, being at restaurant, travelling). ²: problems in following the treatment plan, ignorance of life-long drug adherence, non-awareness of the chronic nature of diabetes, dislike of the taste of the medication, difficulty in swallowing due to the size of medication.

Reasons for Non-Adherence	Number of Studies
Forgetfulness ¹	28
Experiencing of side effects	15
High costs	12
Absence/disappearance of symptoms	9
Carelessness	8
Multiple medications	6
Procrastinating on refills or renewals of prescriptions	6
Feeling hassled or bored to take medication regularly	5
Worry about using medication regularly due to possible risk of unintended effects	5
Not accepting disease/feeling disease under control	4
Lack of confidence or poor communication with the physician/healthcare provider	4
Being too busy/time constraints	4
Social influence and environmental context	3
Complexity of treatment regimen	2
Inefficacy	2
Preference for herbal remedies	2
Others ²	5

3.2. Risk of Bias in Studies

Among cross-sectional studies (Table S2), 24 were considered at low risk of bias [29, 35,36,39,40,63,76,82,88,98,102,103,109,111,115,116,136,138,145,147,166,180,182,184], 28 were endowed with moderate risk of bias [30,31,33,34,37,38,45,46,58,60,75,78,92–94,104,117,127, 134,139,146,160,162,169,170,173,176,183], while 11 studies were at high risk of bias [32,64,80, 91,100,131,132,135,140,152,177]. The high risk of bias was mainly due to the low number of patients, use of non-validated questionnaires, and specific characteristics of the included population that may have influenced adherence to OADs (i.e., inclusion of only young or old patients, only female or male patients, etc.). Among cohort studies (Table S3), 45 were classified as being at low risk of bias [41,43,44,49,50,56,57,65–69,73,81,85,87,89,90,96,99,110,113,118, 121,122,124–126,128–130,133,137,142,149,151,154,157,158,161,163,164,172,174,178], 43 were considered at moderate risk of bias [42,48,51–53,55,59,62,70–72,74,77,79,83,84,95,97,101,105– 108,112,114,119,120,123,143,144,148,150,153,155,156,159,165,167,168,171,175,179,181], while 5 studies were at high risk of bias [47,54,61,86,141]. In the latter studies, the main risk of bias was in the length of the period for measuring adherence, in the unacceptable definition of adherence, or in a target population that was not a close representation of the real-world population for gender, age, or presence of co-morbidities.

3.3. Proportion of Adherent Patients to Therapy with OADs

The meta-analysis of 156 studies (10,041,928 patients) showed that the pooled proportion of adherent patients is 54% (95% confidence interval, CI: 51–58%), with considerable heterogeneity ($I^2 = 100.0\%$) (Figure S1). Therefore, we performed a sensitivity analysis according with risk of bias (Figure S2). The highest proportion of adherent patients was reported in studies at high risk of bias (63%; 95% CI: 48–77%; 499,464 patients), followed by studies at moderate risk of bias (57%; 95% CI: 52–61%; 2,484,177 patients) and low risk of bias (50%; 95% CI: 45–55%; 7,058,287 patients). The difference among subgroups was not significant (p-value = 0.10).

3.4. Stratified Analyses

The analysis stratified by patient gender revealed that there was no significant difference between men and women in adherence to OADs (Figure S3). Proportions of adherent patients were 53% (95% CI: 46–60%) and 52% (95% CI: 44–59%), respectively. For age-stratified analysis, the patients were separated in three different categories according to tertiles of distribution. Proportions of adherent patients were 49% (95% CI: 43–55%) in people aged 35–56 years, 53% (95% CI: 47–59%) in people aged 56–63 years, and 58% (95% CI: 52–65%) in people aged 63–77 years. There was a certain tendency, without significance (*p*-value for heterogeneity among groups = 0.14), for having good adherence in older patients compared with younger patients (Figure S4).

A total of 40 studies showed data about therapeutic adherence in new users of OADs (Figures 2 and S5) [43,48–52,54–56,65–67,70,71,74,83–85,97,101,104–107,114,118,119, 123–126,128,129,133,150,153,154,161,165,167]. These studies reported an overall adherence proportion of 58% (95% CI: 53–64%), which was measured in different follow-up periods. In particular, 34 study-arms calculated the number of adherent patients in a period less than or equal to 12 months and 11 study-arms in a period greater than 12 months (from 18 months to 7 years). The results of the stratified analysis by follow-up period showed there was no difference among subgroups (*p*-value = 0.43). The proportion of adherent patients was 59% (95% CI: 53–66%) in a follow-up period less than or equal to 12 months and 55% (95% CI: 48–63%) in a follow-up period greater than 12 months.





Figure 2. Pooled proportions of adherent incident patients stratified by length of follow-up. The width of boxes represents the 95% confidence intervals, while the whiskers extend for the 95% prediction intervals.

As concerns the therapeutic class of OADs used, the difference among groups was not significant (*p*-value = 0.37) (Figures 3 and S6). The highest adherence proportion has been found for TZDs (68%, 95% CI: 58–77%), followed by DPP-4i (66%, 95% CI: 56–75%), SGLT-2i (61%, 95% CI: 51–71%), insulin secretagogues (61%, 95% CI: 52–70%),

biguanides (55%, 95% CI: 47–64%), and α -glucosidase inhibitors (53%, 95% CI: 36–69%). Most studies investigated adherence to biguanides (30 study-arms) [41,57,59,65,70,71,76, 84,85,87,97,99,100,114,118,119,123,128,130,133,136,142,143,146,149,153,159,161,171,172], insulin secretagogues (23 study-arms) [41,43,52,59,65,66,70,71,76,84,97,99,123,128,133,136,142, 146,149,159,165,171,172], and DPP-4i (19 study-arms) [41,50–52,65–67,84,99,101,121,123,125, 126,137,142,143,149,159]. Conversely, few studies evaluated adherence to TZDs (13 study-arms) [41,59,65,66,70,71,84,123,133,142,143,149,159], SGLT-2i (8 study-arms) [43,48–50,123, 124,142,157], and α -glucosidase inhibitors (7 study-arms) [41,84,99,123,142,142,159].



Therapeutic class

Figure 3. Boxplot representing the pooled proportions of adherent patients (expressed as a percentage) stratified by therapeutic class. The boxes represent the 95% confidence intervals of the proportions, while the whiskers extend for the 95% prediction interval.

In the stratified analysis by complexity of the therapeutic regimen, we have not found a significant difference among patients in monotherapy or combination therapy (with or without insulin) (*p*-value for heterogeneity among groups = 0.28) (Figure S7).

Finally, we stratified the results by the method of adherence measurement, which ranged from questionnaires and verbal interviews (66 studies) to the use of administrative data and pill-counting methods (90 studies). This stratification was performed to evaluate whether data collection might have influenced the outcome of the study. We found that the proportion of adherent patients calculated by surveys was lower than that measured by means of administrative data (i.e., MPR and PDC) or pill counting (49%, CI: 43–55% vs. 58%, CI: 54–62%, respectively) (Figure S8). The difference among subgroups was significant (p-value = 0.01).

3.5. Association between Good Adherence and Blood Glucose Control

The meta-analysis evaluating the possible association between good adherence to OADs and good glycemic control (HbA1c < 7.0%) was performed on 16 studies [40,41,88,98, 102,105,109,116,120,131,140,149,152,173,174,180]. Results showed an interesting scenario, that is, a positive and significant association between therapeutic adherence and levels of HbA1c < 7.0% (OR = 1.55, CI: 1.11–2.17) (Figure 4). This result, although preliminary, suggests that adherence to OADs is associated with glycemic control.





4. Discussion

Poor adherence to oral antidiabetic therapy represents a major barrier to successful management of T2D and strongly contributes to the impressive economic burden of diabetes. Indeed, the main consequence of sub-optimal adherence is the enhanced risk of diabetes complications, hospitalization for major disorders, and death [1,4,5], which impact on both health expenditure and efficiency of the healthcare systems [185]. In this scenario, an updated analysis of adherence proportions to OADs could guide clinicians in the development of new tools/strategies to improve therapeutic adherence in patients with T2D.

In this work, we systematically reviewed data from 156 studies including 10,041,928 patients with T2D. First, we reported that 54% (95% CI: 51–58%) of patients using OADs are adherent to the prescribed therapy. This result is perfectly in line with previous studies evaluating adherence to therapy in patients with other chronic pathological conditions, such as cardiovascular diseases [186,187].

The most commonly reported reasons for non-adherence are forgetfulness and experiencing side effects. In this regard, many patients intentionally decide not to adhere to their medication when they experience side effects, without informing the general practitioner. This behavior is alarming and stresses the need to strengthen the relationship between patients and healthcare providers to increase therapeutic adherence. A third barrier to adherence is the cost of treatment. Of note, the pricing and reimbursement policy of OADs largely differs among healthcare systems. The economic issue could influence patients' compliance with oral antidiabetic therapy and could have contributed to the high heterogeneity observed in our analysis [188,189]. Furthermore, the absence of clinical signs and symptoms in the progression of T2D contributes to the low perception of both risk of complications and long-term consequences of non-compliance by patients with T2D. Therefore, the identification of new tools for the management of chronicity, aimed at improving both patient awareness of the disease and collaboration among healthcare providers (i.e., diabetologists, general practitioners, pharmacists, and nurses), is essential to promote therapeutic adherence [190,191]. For instance, many clinical studies demonstrated that the counselling activity of pharmacists improves adherence to chronic therapies and reduces costs for the healthcare systems [192,193]. Furthermore, the results of a recent systematic review with meta-analysis showed that pharmacy-led interventions increase adherence to antidiabetic therapy and enhance blood glucose control in patients with T2D [194]. This confirms the central role of pharmacists in monitoring therapeutic adherence.

Given the wide heterogeneity observed in the global analysis, we performed subgroup analyses stratified by gender and age to investigate their potential role in determining poor therapeutic adherence. In previous studies, a certain tendency for having good therapeutic adherence has been associated with the male gender [110,195,196]. We showed that there is no significant difference in medication adherence between men and women. This was unexpected, as others have reported that women are less adherent than men to chronic therapies [110,195,196]. However, a common limitation of primary studies is the low number of patients, which does not allow the conclusive explanation of the role of gender in determining adherence to antidiabetic therapy. On the contrary, our work summarized data obtained from 10,041,928 patients and, even if with some limitations, it furnishes a more precise picture of the real-world population.

Interestingly, there were slight differences in adherence to OADs between patients with different ages. Indeed, older patients appeared quite more adherent than younger patients (53% vs. 49%). This tendency, although not statistically significant, might derive from two crucial aspects. On one hand, aged patients are more aware of the chronicity of T2D and risk of complications, due to personal experiences, and they usually have family support [197]. On the other hand, clinicians generally prescribe to aged patients OADs with a lower risk of adverse effects (i.e., hypoglycemia) [21]. Evidence about therapeutic adherence stratified by age is quite conflicting and further studies are required to better elucidate this aspect. In any case, the poor adherence to OADs in young patients is alarming, as T2D is becoming a widespread disease in people aged less than 35–40 years. This mainly results from the large consumption of Western diets and the sedentary lifestyle, as well as from genetic and environmental factors, which lead to the premature onset of obesity and other metabolic disorders, including T2D [198].

We also investigated the proportions of adherent incident patients (new users) stratified by length of follow-up period (\leq 12 months and >12 months). The results of this subgroup analysis showed that there is no significant difference in the therapeutic adherence between the two periods of follow-up. After the first year of therapy, the proportion of adherent incident patients is almost superimposable to that of the general population (i.e., 55%). This tendency is expected as, in parallel with time, both impairment in quality of life and the incidence of adverse events increase. Importantly, new users of OADs are generally young adult patients with an active social and working life who might have a distorted idea of the risk-benefit profile of OADs [199]. Therefore, in this vulnerable group of patients, the personalization of therapy and the introduction of programs aimed at increasing adherence to OADs is essential to prevent early discontinuations and reduce the risk of diabetic complications from the earliest stages of this "devious disease". In this regard, the use of dedicated smartphone apps may have high applicability in clinical practice among young adult people with T2D, but the usefulness of this tool is still unclear [200–202].

A recent meta-analysis showed that therapeutic adherence also differs between patients using different OADs [24]. In our analysis, a similar tendency has been reported, confirming the results by McGovern and colleagues [24]. α -glucosidase inhibitors showed the worst proportion of adherent patients (53%), followed by biguanides (55%), insulin secretagogues and SGLT-2i (both 61%), DPP-4i (66%), and TZDs (68%). A similar trend in adherence proportions has also been reported in the meta-analysis by McGovern et al. [24], who, however, did not investigate therapeutic adherence to the new antidiabetic drugs SGLT2-i. Therefore, our analysis confirms the previous results and adds something new in this dynamic and complex scenario. Such differences in adherence proportions could be attributed to the higher risk of adverse effects associated with the regular use of α glucosidase inhibitors, biguanides, and insulin secretagogues compared with the most recent OADs. For instance, prolonged therapy with α -glucosidase inhibitors can lead to mild gastrointestinal symptoms (such as flatulence and diarrhea) [18]. Similarly, therapeutic use of biguanides is associated with increased risk of gastrointestinal disorders (i.e., nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea) [203], which might account for the low adherence to biguanides. The most common side effects reported by users of insulin secretagogues, instead, are weight gain and hypoglycemic events, sometimes leading to hospitalization [204,205]. It is worth noting that insulin secretagogues are usually prescribed to young adult patients (i.e., patients with pre-diabetes or a new diagnosis of T2D) due to the enhanced risk of hypoglycemia in aged people. This could be a further reason of sub-optimal adherence to insulin secretagogues, as young patients usually lack awareness of the long-term consequences of non-compliance and intentionally decide to stop therapy when they experience side effects. On the contrary, SGLT2-i, TZDs, and DPP-4i are second- and third-line therapies usually prescribed in the advanced stages of T2D, when patients seem to have higher adherence to OADs. This is compatible with previous results on patients using long-term therapies, such as oral anticoagulants [206] and biological drugs [207]. Moreover, the newest antidiabetic drugs show good tolerability, as the incidence of adverse events associated with their regular use is quite low [208]. Among SGLT-2i users, the most commonly reported side effects are urinary tract infections and increased urination [209], while DPP-4i users can experience headache and hypersensitivity reactions without consistent risk of gastrointestinal disorders [210–212]. Finally, data on TZDs derive from a relatively low number of patients compared with users of other drugs (123, 301). This was expected, as TZDs are considered "forgotten" OADs, which are less frequently prescribed due to growing concerns about their safety profile. Indeed, prolonged use of TZDs seems to be associated with enhanced risk of fluid retention, heart failure, peripheral fractures, and weight gain [17]. The low number of TZD users represents a limitation of our analysis and does not allow us to directly compare the adherence proportion with that calculated for the other groups of OAD users, which are more numerous.

Our analysis suggests that adherence to oral antidiabetic therapy can also depend on the complexity of the therapeutic regimen. The proportion of adherent patients receiving polytherapy (i.e., combination of OADs or OADs plus insulin) is markedly reduced compared with patients receiving monotherapy (57% for monotherapy vs. 48% for combination therapy plus insulin). This could result from patients' reluctance towards the use of multiple drugs or, in severe cases, injection therapies. However, the increasing use of combination pills in clinical practice introduces a potential bias in our analysis [213]. Indeed, most of the included studies did not specify the characteristics of the combination therapy (i.e., use of one or more pills), thus limiting conclusive comparisons between patients receiving different therapeutic regimens.

Finally, we separately analyzed adherence proportions by method of adherence measurement. There are many indirect measurements of adherence, which include the use of research and administrative data (i.e., calculation of MPR and PDC values), as well as methods applied in patient care settings (i.e., self-reported questionnaires and verbal interviews) [214]. Results showed that the proportion of adherent patients obtained from administrative data and pill-counting methods was 58%, while the adherence proportion calculated with questionnaires and verbal interviews was 49%. This evidence indicates that the use of administrative data for calculating adherence to chronic therapy could lead to missing some crucial aspects. Indeed, it does not take in account changes in therapeutic regimens (i.e., dosages, personalization of therapy, etc.) deriving from medical decisions, as well as barriers associated with non-adherence. Conversely, the use of questionnaires and interviews might better reflect the patient's attitude towards antidiabetic therapy, but results can be partially distorted due to both non-response and selection bias [215]. Finally, pill counting is a low-cost measurement that does not give specific information about patterns of adherence or proper use of the drug. Moreover, as for administrative data, this method does not consider potential changes in the dosing regimen [214].

Blood glucose control (HbA1c < 7.0%) is a timely challenge for public health systems. Indeed, chronic hyperglycemia leads to diabetes complications, hospitalization, and increased costs [4,5]. In this study, we reported a significant direct association between good adherence to OADs and good glycemic control (OR = 1.55). Although the direction is still not clear, this association strengthens the hypothesis that good adherence to therapy might reduce hyperglycemia in patients with T2D and slow down the progression of disease [216,217]. A similar association between therapeutic adherence and clinical goals has been previously observed for other chronic diseases, such as hypertension [218] and chronic kidney disease [219].

5. Conclusions

Adherence to OADs in patients with T2D is sub-optimal and must be improved. Increasing evidence suggests that poor adherence is associated with chronic hyperglycemia, as well as enhanced risk of diabetes complications and hospitalization [1]. Therefore, health education programs aimed at enhancing therapeutic adherence among patients with T2D could represent effective strategies to slow down the progression of disease and reduce healthcare costs. However, healthcare providers are often reluctant to focus on prevention, and the tools proposed so far have not been applied in clinical practice.

In this study, we highlighted the complexity of the "therapeutic adherence challenge", which is the result of both medical factors and psychosocial determinants. Therefore, the old rule of a "stringent therapeutic scheme for all patients" must be updated in light of current medical need. Moreover, the definition of individualized glycemic targets is fundamental to obtain valid clinical goals. Therefore, the choice of therapy from physicians must consider individual characteristics, personal preferences, life expectancy, presence of co-morbidities, and the risk/benefit profile of OADs. For instance, biguanides should not be prescribed to people at risk of lactic acidosis (such as patients with kidney failure) or folate deficiency, and they should be introduced at low doses to improve patients' tolerance. Sulfonylureas, on the other hand, are contraindicated in patients with renal and hepatic diseases or at risk of hypoglycemia [220]. In general, adherence seems to be higher in patients using the newest drugs (i.e., DPP-4i and SGLT-2i) instead of the oldest OADs, as previously reported for other chronic therapies [221].

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review reporting the proportion of patients with "good adherence" rather than the percentage of medications taken in a defined period or the number of days covered by drug therapy [222], thus describing the medical problem of poor adherence from an alternative and more impactful perspective. Moreover, this is the first study exclusively focusing on OADs, partially overcoming the bias associated with the heterogeneous route of administration of antidiabetic drugs. Additional strengths are the numerosity of patient population (10,041,928 subjects), the high number of included studies (156), as well as the inclusion of the more recent OADs (i.e., SGLT-2i and DPP-4i). Stratified analysis by the adherence calculation method allowed us to reduce the heterogeneity of the global analysis, also leading to a better comprehension of both potentiality and limitations of the indirect methods for measuring medication adherence. The subgroup analyses (by gender, age, type of OAD, and complexity of the therapeutic regimen) provided an updated "picture" of determinants of good adherence in the general population, which is fundamental to promote personalized therapies and intervention programs aimed at improving adherence to antidiabetic therapy. Finally, the meta-analysis of association between good adherence and glycemic control further suggested the crucial role of patient compliance in the progression of T2D.

Limitations of our analysis include the wide heterogeneity in adherence measurement periods, which ranged from a few weeks to 7 years, as well as in patient characteristics (i.e., duration of diabetes, presence of comorbidities, polytherapy, etc.). However, this

14 of 23

heterogeneity fully reflects the real-world population, giving a real picture of the ongoing problem of poor therapeutic adherence in patients with T2D. The lack of information on the role of ethnicity in determining therapeutic adherence is another limitation of our study. Very few articles included in our systematic review reported adherence data stratified by race/ethnicity, thus not allowing us to perform a meta-analysis. This is a common limitation of studies using administrative data, as electronic databases do not capture relevant sociodemographic details that may influence therapeutic adherence, including race/ethnicity. Finally, we limited our search to the period 2011–2022, thus excluding all previously published studies. However, contextualizing our research in a relatively short period has allowed us to produce an adherence proportion that fully reflects the current behavior of patients with T2D towards OADs, leading to conclusions that can be generalized to the real-world population, avoiding the risk of misinterpretation of the results.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jcm12051981/s1, Supplementary Material S1: Search strategy, Table S1: Characteristics of studies included in the systematic review; Table S2: Risk of bias of cross-sectional studies, Table S3: Risk of bias of cohort studies; Figure S1: Forest plot of proportions of adherent patients to OADs and relative confidence intervals; Figure S2: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, according with risk of bias; Figure S3: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for gender; Figure S4: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for age category; Figure S5: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for follow-up period; Figure S6: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for therapeutic class; Figure S7: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for therapeutic regimen complexity, Figure S8: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for therapeutic class; Figure S7: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for therapeutic regimen complexity, Figure S8: Forest plot of proportions, and relative confidence intervals, of adherent patients stratified for method of adherence measurement.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.P. and V.C.; methodology, E.P., D.P. and E.L.; software and formal analysis, E.P., D.P. and E.L.; investigation, E.P. and D.P.; resources, V.C. and E.L.; data curation, E.P., D.P. and E.L.; writing—original draft preparation, E.P. and D.P.; writing—review and editing, E.P., D.P., A.M., V.C. and E.L.; supervision, A.M., V.C. and E.L.; project administration and funding acquisition, V.C. and E.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Singer, M.E.; Dorrance, K.A.; Oxenreiter, M.M.; Yan, K.R.; Close, K.L. The type 2 diabetes 'modern preventable pandemic' and replicable lessons from the COVID-19 crisis. *Prev. Med. Rep.* **2022**, *25*, 101636. [CrossRef]
- Lin, J.; Thompson, T.J.; Cheng, Y.J.; Zhuo, X.; Zhang, P.; Gregg, E.; Rolka, D.B. Projection of the future diabetes burden in the United States through 2060. *Popul. Health Metr.* 2018, 16, 9. [CrossRef]
- Saeedi, P.; Petersohn, I.; Salpea, P.; Malanda, B.; Karuranga, S.; Unwin, N.; Colagiuri, S.; Guariguata, L.; Motala, A.A.; Ogurtsova, K.; et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2019, 157, 107843. [CrossRef]
- Dal Canto, E.; Ceriello, A.; Ryden, L.; Ferrini, M.; Hansen, T.B.; Schnell, O.; Standl, E.; Beulens, J.W. Diabetes as a cardiovascular risk factor: An overview of global trends of macro and micro vascular complications. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* 2019, 26, 25–32. [CrossRef]
- Harding, J.L.; Pavkov, M.E.; Magliano, D.J.; Shaw, J.E.; Gregg, E.W. Global trends in diabetes complications: A review of current evidence. *Diabetologia* 2019, 62, 3–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Bommer, C.; Sagalova, V.; Heesemann, E.; Manne-Goehler, J.; Atun, R.; Barnighausen, T.; Davies, J.; Vollmer, S. Global Economic Burden of Diabetes in Adults: Projections From 2015 to 2030. *Diabetes Care* 2018, 41, 963–970. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Srour, B.; Fezeu, L.K.; Kesse-Guyot, E.; Alles, B.; Debras, C.; Druesne-Pecollo, N.; Chazelas, E.; Deschasaux, M.; Hercberg, S.; Galan, P.; et al. Ultraprocessed Food Consumption and Risk of Type 2 diabetes Among Participants of the NutriNet-Sante Prospective Cohort. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 2020, 180, 283–291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wondmkun, Y.T. Obesity, Insulin Resistance, and Type 2 diabetes: Associations and Therapeutic Implications. *Diabetes Metab.* Syndr. Obes. 2020, 13, 3611–3616. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moradi, S.; Hojjati Kermani, M.A.; Bagheri, R.; Mohammadi, H.; Jayedi, A.; Lane, M.M.; Asbaghi, O.; Mehrabani, S.; Suzuki, K. Ultra-Processed Food Consumption and Adult Diabetes Risk: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* 2021, 13, 4410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hamburg, N.M.; McMackin, C.J.; Huang, A.L.; Shenouda, S.M.; Widlansky, M.E.; Schulz, E.; Gokce, N.; Ruderman, N.B.; Keaney, J.F., Jr.; Vita, J.A. Physical inactivity rapidly induces insulin resistance and microvascular dysfunction in healthy volunteers. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2007, 27, 2650–2656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. American Diabetes Association. 9. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. *Diabetes Care* 2021, 44, S111–S124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Zhou, G.; Myers, R.; Li, Y.; Chen, Y.; Shen, X.; Fenyk-Melody, J.; Wu, M.; Ventre, J.; Doebber, T.; Fujii, N.; et al. Role of AMP-activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2001**, *108*, 1167–1174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Moin, T.; Schmittdiel, J.A.; Flory, J.H.; Yeh, J.; Karter, A.J.; Kruge, L.E.; Schillinger, D.; Mangione, C.M.; Herman, W.H.; Walker, E.A. Review of Metformin Use for Type 2 diabetes Prevention. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2018**, *55*, 565–574. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Gebregergish, S.B.; Hashim, M.; Heeg, B.; Wilke, T.; Rauland, M.; Hostalek, U. The cost-effectiveness of metformin in pre-diabetics: A systematic literature review of health economic evaluations. *Expert Rev. Pharmacoecon. Outcomes Res.* **2020**, 20, 207–219. [CrossRef]
- 15. Lv, W.; Wang, X.; Xu, Q.; Lu, W. Mechanisms and Characteristics of Sulfonylureas and Glinides. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *20*, 37–56. [CrossRef]
- 16. Rizos, C.V.; Kei, A.; Elisaf, M.S. The current role of thiazolidinediones in diabetes management. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2016**, *90*, 1861–1881. [CrossRef]
- 17. Lebovitz, H.E. Thiazolidinediones: The Forgotten Diabetes Medications. Curr. Diabetes Rep. 2019, 19, 151. [CrossRef]
- Derosa, G.; Maffioli, P. alpha-Glucosidase inhibitors and their use in clinical practice. *Arch. Med. Sci.* 2012, *8*, 899–906. [CrossRef]
 Simes, B.C.; MacGregor, G.G. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) Inhibitors: A Clinician's Guide. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.*
- Obes. 2019, 12, 2125–2136. [CrossRef]
 Scheen A L DPP 4 inhibitors in the management of turns 2 diabates: A gritical review of head to head trials. Diabates: Metab. 2012.
- 20. Scheen, A.J. DPP-4 inhibitors in the management of type 2 diabetes: A critical review of head-to-head trials. *Diabetes Metab.* **2012**, 38, 89–101. [CrossRef]
- 21. Williams, D.M.; Jones, H.; Stephens, J.W. Personalized Type 2 diabetes Management: An Update on Recent Advances and Recommendations. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* **2022**, *15*, 281–295. [CrossRef]
- Polonsky, W.H.; Henry, R.R. Poor medication adherence in type 2 diabetes: Recognizing the scope of the problem and its key contributors. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2016, 10, 1299–1307. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021, 372, n71. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. McGovern, A.; Tippu, Z.; Hinton, W.; Munro, N.; Whyte, M.; de Lusignan, S. Comparison of medication adherence and persistence in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* **2018**, *20*, 1040–1043. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoy, D.; Brooks, P.; Woolf, A.; Blyth, F.; March, L.; Bain, C.; Baker, P.; Smith, E.; Buchbinder, R. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: Modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 2012, 65, 934–939. [CrossRef]
- 26. Piragine, E.; Petri, D.; Martelli, A.; Janowska, A.; Dini, V.; Romanelli, M.; Calderone, V.; Lucenteforte, E. Adherence and Persistence to Biological Drugs for Psoriasis: Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis. *J. Clin. Med.* **2022**, *11*, 1506. [CrossRef]
- Balduzzi, S.; Rucker, G.; Schwarzer, G. How to perform a meta-analysis with R: A practical tutorial. *Evid.-Based Ment. Health* 2019, 22, 153–160. [CrossRef]
- Deeks, J.J.; Higgins, J.P.T.; Altman, D.G. Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*, version 6.3 (updated February 2022); Higgins, J.P.T., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M.J., Welch, V.A., Eds.; Cochrane: London, UK, 2022; Available online: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook (accessed on 7 December 2022).
- Abdullah, N.F.; Khuan, L.; Theng, C.A.; Sowtali, S.N.; Juni, M.H. Effect of patient characteristics on medication adherence among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional survey. *Contemp. Nurse* 2019, 55, 27–37. [CrossRef]
- Acharya, A.S.; Gupta, E.; Prakash, A.; Singhal, N. Self-reported Adherence to Medication among Patients with Type II Diabetes Mellitus attending a Tertiary Care Hospital of Delhi. J. Assoc. Physicians India 2019, 67, 26–29.
- 31. Adisa, R.; Fakeye, T.O. Effect of number and type of antidiabetes medications on adherence and glycemia of ambulatory type 2 diabetes patients in southwestern Nigeria. *Pharm. Pract. (Granada)* **2013**, *11*, 156–165. [CrossRef]

- Aditama, L.; Athiyah, U.; Utami, W.; Rahem, A. Adherence behavior assessment of oral antidiabetic medication use: A study of patient decisions in long-term disease management in primary health care centers in Surabaya. *J. Basic Clin. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 2020, *30*, 20190257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Afaya, R.A.; Bam, V.; Azongo, T.B.; Afaya, A.; Kusi-Amponsah, A.; Ajusiyine, J.M.; Abdul Hamid, T. Medication adherence and self-care behaviours among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Ghana. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0237710. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Ahmad, N.S.; Ramli, A.; Islahudin, F.; Paraidathathu, T. Medication adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated at primary health clinics in Malaysia. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* **2013**, *7*, 525–530. [CrossRef]
- 35. Aloudah, N.M.; Scott, N.W.; Aljadhey, H.S.; Araujo-Soares, V.; Alrubeaan, K.A.; Watson, M.C. Medication adherence among patients with Type 2 diabetes: A mixed methods study. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0207583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. AlQarni, K.; AlQarni, E.A.; Naqvi, A.A.; AlShayban, D.M.; Ghori, S.A.; Haseeb, A.; Raafat, M.; Jamshed, S. Assessment of Medication Adherence in Saudi Patients With Type II Diabetes Mellitus in Khobar City, Saudi Arabia. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *10*, 1306. [CrossRef]
- Aminde, L.N.; Tindong, M.; Ngwasiri, C.A.; Aminde, J.A.; Njim, T.; Fondong, A.A.; Takah, N.F. Adherence to antidiabetic medication and factors associated with non-adherence among patients with type-2 diabetes mellitus in two regional hospitals in Cameroon. *BMC Endocr. Disord.* 2019, 19, 35. [CrossRef]
- Andanalusia, M.; Athiyah, U.; Nita, Y. Medication adherence in diabetes mellitus patients at Tanjung Karang Primary Health Care Center, Mataram. J. Basic Clin. Physiol. Pharmacol. 2019, 30, 20190287. [CrossRef]
- Ashur, S.T.; Shah, S.A.; Bosseri, S.; Morisky, D.E.; Shamsuddin, K. Illness perceptions of Libyans with T2DM and their influence on medication adherence: A study in a diabetes center in Tripoli. *Libyan. J. Med.* 2015, 10, 29797. [CrossRef]
- Ayoub, D.; Mroueh, L.; El-Hajj, M.; Awada, S.; Rachidi, S.; Zein, S.; Al-Hajje, A. Evaluation of antidiabetic medication adherence in the Lebanese population: Development of the Lebanese Diabetes Medication Adherence Scale. *Int. J. Pharm. Pract.* 2019, 27, 468–476. [CrossRef]
- Balkhi, B.; Alwhaibi, M.; Alqahtani, N.; Alhawassi, T.; Alshammari, T.M.; Mahmoud, M.; Almetwazi, M.; Ata, S.; Kamal, K.M. Oral antidiabetic medication adherence and glycaemic control among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional retrospective study in a tertiary hospital in Saudi Arabia. *BMJ Open* 2019, 9, e029280. [CrossRef]
- 42. Basak, R.; McCaffrey Iii, D.J.; Bentley, J.P.; Przybyla, S.M.; West-Strum, D.; Banahan, B.F. Adherence to multiple medications prescribed for a chronic disease: A methodological investigation. *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* **2014**, *20*, 815–823. [CrossRef]
- Bell, K.F.; Cappell, K.; Liang, M.; Kong, A.M. Comparing Medication Adherence and Persistence Among Patients with Type 2 diabetes Using Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors or Sulfonylureas. *Am. Health Drug Benefits* 2017, 10, 165–174. [PubMed]
- 44. Bloomgarden, Z.T.; Tunceli, K.; Liu, J.; Brodovicz, K.G.; Mavros, P.; Engel, S.S.; Radican, L.; Chen, Y.; Rajpathak, S.; Qiu, Y.; et al. Adherence, persistence, and treatment discontinuation with sitagliptin compared with sulfonylureas as add-ons to metformin: A retrospective cohort database study. *J. Diabetes* **2017**, *9*, 677–688. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Bonger, Z.; Shiferaw, S.; Tariku, E.Z. Adherence to diabetic self-care practices and its associated factors among patients with type 2 diabetes in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* **2018**, *12*, 963–970. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Bruce, S.P.; Acheampong, F.; Kretchy, I. Adherence to oral anti-diabetic drugs among patients attending a Ghanaian teaching hospital. *Pharm. Pract.* **2015**, *13*, 533. [CrossRef]
- 47. Bryson, C.L.; Au, D.H.; Maciejewski, M.L.; Piette, J.D.; Fihn, S.D.; Jackson, G.L.; Perkins, M.; Wong, E.S.; Yano, E.M.; Liu, C.F. Wide clinic-level variation in adherence to oral diabetes medications in the VA. J. Gen. Intern. Med. 2013, 28, 698–705. [CrossRef]
- 48. Buysman, E.K.; Anderson, A.; Bacchus, S.; Ingham, M. Retrospective Study on the Impact of Adherence in Achieving Glycemic Goals in Type 2 diabetes Mellitus Patients Receiving Canagliflozin. *Adv. Ther.* **2017**, *34*, 937–953. [CrossRef]
- 49. Cai, J.; Wang, Y.; Baser, O.; Xie, L.; Chow, W. Comparative persistence and adherence with newer anti-hyperglycemic agents to treat patients with type 2 diabetes in the United States. *J. Med. Econ.* **2016**, *19*, 1175–1186. [CrossRef]
- 50. Cai, J.; Divino, V.; Burudpakdee, C. Adherence and persistence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus newly initiating canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, dpp-4s, or glp-1s in the United States. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* **2017**, *33*, 1317–1328. [CrossRef]
- 51. Carls, G.S.; Tan, R.; Zhu, J.Y.; Tuttle, E.; Yee, J.; Edelman, S.V.; Polonsky, W.H. Real-world weight change among patients treated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor and sulfonylureas for type 2 diabetes and the influence of medication adherence. *Obes. Sci. Pract.* 2017, *3*, 342–351. [CrossRef]
- Carls, G.S.; Tuttle, E.; Tan, R.D.; Huynh, J.; Yee, J.; Edelman, S.V.; Polonsky, W.H. Understanding the Gap Between Efficacy in Randomized Controlled Trials and Effectiveness in Real-World Use of GLP-1 RA and DPP-4 Therapies in Patients With Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2017, 40, 1469–1478. [CrossRef]
- Chang, P.Y.; Chien, L.N.; Lin, Y.F.; Chiou, H.Y.; Chiu, W.T. Nonadherence of Oral Antihyperglycemic Medication Will Increase Risk of End-Stage Renal Disease. *Medicine* 2015, 94, e2051. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Chen, C.C.; Tseng, C.H.; Cheng, S.H. Continuity of care, medication adherence, and health care outcomes among patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: A longitudinal analysis. *Med. Care* 2013, *51*, 231–237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Chen, C.C.; Cheng, S.H. Continuity of care and changes in medication adherence among patients with newly diagnosed diabetes. *Am. J. Manag. Care* **2016**, *22*, 136–142. [PubMed]
- Cheng, S.H.; Chen, C.C.; Tseng, C.H. Does medication adherence lead to lower healthcare expenses for patients with diabetes? *Am. J. Manag. Care* 2013, 19, 662–670. [PubMed]

- 57. Chepulis, L.; Mayo, C.; Morison, B.; Keenan, R.; Lao, C.; Paul, R.; Lawrenson, R. Metformin adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes and its association with glycated haemoglobin levels. *J. Prim. Health Care* **2020**, *12*, 318–326. [CrossRef]
- Chew, B.H.; Hassan, N.H.; Sherina, M.S. Determinants of medication adherence among adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus in three Malaysian public health clinics: A cross-sectional study. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2015, 9, 639–648. [CrossRef]
- Chong, E.; Wang, H.; King-Shier, K.M.; Quan, H.; Rabi, D.M.; Khan, N.A. Prescribing patterns and adherence to medication among South-Asian, Chinese and white people with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A population-based cohort study. *Diabet. Med.* 2014, 31, 1586–1593. [CrossRef]
- de Vries, S.T.; Keers, J.C.; Visser, R.; de Zeeuw, D.; Haaijer-Ruskamp, F.M.; Voorham, J.; Denig, P. Medication beliefs, treatment complexity, and non-adherence to different drug classes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J. Psychosom. Res.* 2014, 76, 134–138. [CrossRef]
- 61. Degefa, G.; Wubshet, K.; Tesfaye, S.; Hirigo, A.T. Predictors of Adherence Toward Specific Domains of Diabetic Self-Care Among Type-2 diabetes Patients. *Clin. Med. Insights Endocrinol. Diabetes* **2020**, *13*, 1179551420981909. [CrossRef]
- Dossa, A.R.; Gregoire, J.P.; Lauzier, S.; Guenette, L.; Sirois, C.; Moisan, J. Association Between Loyalty to Community Pharmacy and Medication Persistence and Compliance, and the Use of Guidelines-Recommended Drugs in Type 2 diabetes: A Cohort Study. *Medicine* 2015, 94, e1082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Elsous, A.; Radwan, M.; Al-Sharif, H.; Abu Mustafa, A. Medications Adherence and Associated Factors among Patients with Type 2 diabetes Mellitus in the Gaza Strip, Palestine. *Front. Endocrinol.* **2017**, *8*, 100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Fai, E.K.; Anderson, C.; Ferreros, V. Role of attitudes and intentions in predicting adherence to oral diabetes medications. *Endocr. Connect.* **2017**, *6*, 63–70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Farmer, A.J.; Rodgers, L.R.; Lonergan, M.; Shields, B.; Weedon, M.N.; Donnelly, L.; Holman, R.R.; Pearson, E.R.; Hattersley, A.T. Adherence to Oral Glucose-Lowering Therapies and Associations With 1-Year HbA1c: A Retrospective Cohort Analysis in a Large Primary Care Database. *Diabetes Care* **2016**, *39*, 258–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Farr, A.M.; Sheehan, J.J.; Curkendall, S.M.; Smith, D.M.; Johnston, S.S.; Kalsekar, I. Retrospective analysis of long-term adherence to and persistence with DPP-4 inhibitors in US adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Adv. Ther.* 2014, *31*, 1287–1305. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Farr, A.M.; Sheehan, J.J.; Davis, B.M.; Smith, D.M. Comparison of adherence and persistence among adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus initiating saxagliptin or linagliptin. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* **2016**, *10*, 1471–1479. [CrossRef]
- Garcia, M.L.; Castaneda, S.F.; Allison, M.A.; Elder, J.P.; Talavera, G.A. Correlates of low-adherence to oral hypoglycemic medications among Hispanic/Latinos of Mexican heritage with Type 2 diabetes in the United States. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2019, 155, 107692. [CrossRef]
- 69. Gatwood, J.; Hohmeier, K.C.; Shuvo, S.; Mikaiel, D.; Kovesdy, C.P. Alignment of diagnosis and pharmacy claims data coding of medication adherence among patients with diabetes or hypertension. *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* **2021**, 27, 497–506. [CrossRef]
- Gatwood, J.D.; Chisholm-Burns, M.; Davis, R.; Thomas, F.; Potukuchi, P.; Hung, A.; Kovesdy, C.P. Differences in health outcomes associated with initial adherence to oral antidiabetes medications among veterans with uncomplicated Type 2 diabetes: A 5-year survival analysis. *Diabet. Med.* 2018, 35, 1571–1579. [CrossRef]
- Gatwood, J.D.; Chisholm-Burns, M.; Davis, R.; Thomas, F.; Potukuchi, P.; Hung, A.; Kovesdy, C.P. Disparities in Initial Oral Antidiabetic Medication Adherence Among Veterans with Incident Diabetes. *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* 2018, 24, 379–389. [CrossRef]
- 72. Gordon, J.; McEwan, P.; Idris, I.; Evans, M.; Puelles, J. Treatment choice, medication adherence and glycemic efficacy in people with type 2 diabetes: A UK clinical practice database study. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res. Care* **2018**, *6*, e000512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Graetz, I.; Huang, J.; Muelly, E.R.; Fireman, B.; Hsu, J.; Reed, M.E. Association of Mobile Patient Portal Access With Diabetes Medication Adherence and Glycemic Levels Among Adults With Diabetes. *JAMA Netw. Open* 2020, 3, e1921429. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Guenette, L.; Moisan, J.; Breton, M.C.; Sirois, C.; Gregoire, J.P. Difficulty adhering to antidiabetic treatment: Factors associated with persistence and compliance. *Diabetes Metab.* **2013**, *39*, 250–257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Guenette, L.; Lauzier, S.; Guillaumie, L.; Giguere, G.; Gregoire, J.P.; Moisan, J. Patients' beliefs about adherence to oral antidiabetic treatment: A qualitative study. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2015, *9*, 413–420. [CrossRef]
- 76. Guenette, L.; Breton, M.C.; Guillaumie, L.; Lauzier, S.; Gregoire, J.P.; Moisan, J. Psychosocial factors associated with adherence to non-insulin antidiabetes treatments. *J. Diabetes Complicat.* **2016**, *30*, 335–342. [CrossRef]
- 77. Hagen, S.E.; Wright, D.W.; Finch, R.; Talamonti, W.J.; Edington, D.W. Impact of compliance to oral hypoglycemic agents on short-term disability costs in an employer population. *Popul. Health Manag.* **2014**, *17*, 35–41. [CrossRef]
- Haines, L.; Coppa, N.; Harris, Y.; Wisnivesky, J.P.; Lin, J.J. The Impact of Partnership Status on Diabetes Control and Self-Management Behaviors. *Health Educ. Behav.* 2018, 45, 668–671. [CrossRef]
- 79. Hansen, R.A.; Voils, C.I.; Farley, J.F.; Powers, B.J.; Sanders, L.L.; Sleath, B.; Maciejewski, M.L. Prescriber continuity and medication adherence for complex patients. *Ann. Pharmacother.* **2015**, *49*, 293–302. [CrossRef]
- Hayashi, I.; Watanabe, N.; Nakata, S.; Komatsu, R.; Motoda, S.; Fujita, Y.; Ishibashi, C.; Yamaguchi Baden, M.; Kimura, T.; Takahara, M.; et al. Factors associated with treatment satisfaction in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus using oral glucose-lowering agents: A cross-sectional study in urban districts in Japan. *Endocr. J.* 2018, 65, 1001–1009. [CrossRef]

- Hedna, K.; Hagg, S.; Andersson Sundell, K.; Petzold, M.; Hakkarainen, K.M. Refill adherence and self-reported adverse drug reactions and sub-therapeutic effects: A population-based study. *Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf.* 2013, 22, 1317–1325. [CrossRef]
- 82. Heissam, K.; Abuamer, Z.; El-Dahshan, N. Patterns and obstacles to oral antidiabetic medications adherence among type 2 diabetics in Ismailia, Egypt: A cross section study. *Pan Afr. Med. J.* **2015**, *20*, 177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hong, J.S.; Kang, H.C. Relationship between continuity of ambulatory care and medication adherence in adult patients with type 2 diabetes in Korea: A longitudinal analysis. *Med. Care* 2014, 52, 446–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Horii, T.; Momo, K.; Yasu, T.; Kabeya, Y.; Atsuda, K. Determination of factors affecting medication adherence in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients using a nationwide claim-based database in Japan. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0223431. [CrossRef]
- 85. Horsburgh, S.; Barson, D.; Zeng, J.; Sharples, K.; Parkin, L. Adherence to metformin monotherapy in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus in New Zealand. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2019**, *158*, 107902. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Horvat, O.; Poprzen, J.; Tomas, A.; Paut Kusturica, M.; Tomic, Z.; Sabo, A. Factors associated with non-adherence among type 2 diabetic patients in primary care setting in eastern Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Prim. Care Diabetes* 2018, 12, 147–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huber, C.A.; Rapold, R.; Brungger, B.; Reich, O.; Rosemann, T. One-year adherence to oral antihyperglycemic medication and risk prediction of patient outcomes for adults with diabetes mellitus: An observational study. *Medicine* 2016, 95, e3994. [CrossRef]
- 88. Ibrahim, L.; Ibrahim, L.; Hallit, S.; Salameh, P.; Sacre, H.; Akel, M.; Bou Serhal, R.; Saleh, N. Validation of the Lebanese Medication Adherence Scale among Lebanese diabetic patients. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2021**, *43*, 918–927. [CrossRef]
- 89. Iyengar, R.N.; Balagere, D.S.; Henderson, R.R.; LeFrancois, A.L.; Rabbitt, R.M.; Frazee, S.G. Association between dispensing channel and medication adherence among medicare beneficiaries taking medications to treat diabetes, high blood pressure, or high blood cholesterol. *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* **2014**, *20*, 851–861. [CrossRef]
- Iyengar, R.N.; LeFrancois, A.L.; Henderson, R.R.; Rabbitt, R.M. Medication Nonadherence Among Medicare Beneficiaries with Comorbid Chronic Conditions: Influence of Pharmacy Dispensing Channel. J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm. 2016, 22, 550–560. [CrossRef]
- 91. Jamous, R.M.; Sweileh, W.M.; Abu-Taha, A.S.; Sawalha, A.F.; Zyoud, S.H.; Morisky, D.E. Adherence and satisfaction with oral hypoglycemic medications: A pilot study in Palestine. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2011**, *33*, 942–948. [CrossRef]
- 92. Jannuzzi, F.F.; Rodrigues, R.C.; Cornelio, M.E.; Sao-Joao, T.M.; Gallani, M.C. Beliefs related to adherence to oral antidiabetic treatment according to the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Rev. Lat.-Am. Enferm.* **2014**, *22*, 529–537. [CrossRef]
- Jannuzzi, F.F.; Cornelio, M.E.; Sao-Joao, T.M.; Gallani, M.C.; Godin, G.; Rodrigues, R.C.M. Psychosocial determinants of adherence to oral antidiabetic medication among people with type 2 diabetes. J. Clin. Nurs. 2020, 29, 909–921. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Jarab, A.S.; Almrayat, R.; Alqudah, S.; Thehairat, E.; Mukattash, T.L.; Khdour, M.; Pinto, S. Predictors of non-adherence to pharmacotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2014**, *36*, 725–733. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiang, P.; Babazono, A.; Fujita, T. Health Inequalities Among Elderly Type 2 diabetes Mellitus Patients in Japan. *Popul. Health Manag.* 2020, 23, 264–270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kharjul, M.D.; Cameron, C.; Braund, R. Using the Pharmaceutical Collection Database to identify patient adherence to oral hypoglycaemic medicines. J. Prim. Health Care 2019, 11, 265–274. [CrossRef]
- Kim, Y.Y.; Lee, J.S.; Kang, H.J.; Park, S.M. Effect of medication adherence on long-term all-cause-mortality and hospitalization for cardiovascular disease in 65,067 newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes patients. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 12190. [CrossRef]
- Kim, C.J.; Park, E.; Schlenk, E.A.; Kim, M.; Kim, D.J. Psychometric Evaluation of a Korean Version of the Adherence to Refills and Medications Scale (ARMS) in Adults With Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Educ.* 2016, 42, 188–198. [CrossRef]
- Koyanagi, K.; Kubota, T.; Kobayashi, D.; Kihara, T.; Yoshida, T.; Miisho, T.; Miura, T.; Sakamoto, Y.; Takaki, J.; Seo, T.; et al. Prescription Factors Associated with Medication Non-adherence in Japan Assessed from Leftover Drugs in the SETSUYAKU-BAG Campaign: Focus on Oral Antidiabetic Drugs. Front. Pharmacol. 2016, 7, 212. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, K.M.P.; Chawla, M.; Sanghvi, A.; Sastry, N.G.; Kotla, C.; Phatak, S.; Choudhari, S. Adherence, satisfaction, and experience with metformin 500 mg prolonged release formulation in Indian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A postmarketing observational study. *Int. J. Gen. Med.* 2019, 12, 147–159. [CrossRef]
- 101. Kurtyka, K.; Nishikino, R.; Ito, C.; Brodovicz, K.; Chen, Y.; Tunceli, K. Adherence to dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor therapy among type 2 diabetes patients with employer-sponsored health insurance in Japan. *J. Diabetes Investig.* **2016**, *7*, 737–743. [CrossRef]
- 102. Lee, C.S.; Tan, J.H.M.; Sankari, U.; Koh, Y.L.E.; Tan, N.C. Assessing oral medication adherence among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with polytherapy in a developed Asian community: A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2017, 7, e016317. [CrossRef]
- 103. Lee, W.Y.; Ahn, J.; Kim, J.H.; Hong, Y.P.; Hong, S.K.; Kim, Y.T.; Lee, S.H.; Morisky, D.E. Reliability and validity of a self-reported measure of medication adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Korea. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 2013, 41, 1098–1110. [CrossRef]
- Leporini, C.; Piro, R.; Ursini, F.; Maida, F.; Palleria, C.; Arturi, F.; Pavia, M.; De Sarro, G.; Russo, E. Monitoring safety and use of old and new treatment options for type 2 diabetic patients: A two-year (2013–2016) analysis. *Expert. Opin. Drug Saf.* 2016, 15, 17–34. [CrossRef]

- 105. Lo-Ciganic, W.H.; Donohue, J.M.; Jones, B.L.; Perera, S.; Thorpe, J.M.; Thorpe, C.T.; Marcum, Z.A.; Gellad, W.F. Trajectories of Diabetes Medication Adherence and Hospitalization Risk: A Retrospective Cohort Study in a Large State Medicaid Program. J. Gen. Intern. Med. 2016, 31, 1052–1060. [CrossRef]
- 106. Lo-Ciganic, W.H.; Donohue, J.M.; Thorpe, J.M.; Perera, S.; Thorpe, C.T.; Marcum, Z.A.; Gellad, W.F. Using machine learning to examine medication adherence thresholds and risk of hospitalization. *Med. Care* 2015, *53*, 720–728. [CrossRef]
- 107. Lokhandwala, T.; Smith, N.; Sternhufvud, C.; Sorstadius, E.; Lee, W.C.; Mukherjee, J. A retrospective study of persistence, adherence, and health economic outcomes of fixed-dose combination vs. loose-dose combination of oral anti-diabetes drugs. *J. Med. Econ.* 2016, 19, 203–212. [CrossRef]
- Lopez-Simarro, F.; Brotons, C.; Moral, I.; Aguado-Jodar, A.; Cols-Sagarra, C.; Miravet-Jimenez, S. Concordance between two methods in measuring treatment adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2016, 10, 743–750. [CrossRef]
- 109. Mallah, Z.; Hammoud, Y.; Awada, S.; Rachidi, S.; Zein, S.; Ballout, H.; Al-Hajje, A. Validation of diabetes medication adherence scale in the Lebanese population. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2019**, *156*, 107837. [CrossRef]
- Manteuffel, M.; Williams, S.; Chen, W.; Verbrugge, R.R.; Pittman, D.G.; Steinkellner, A. Influence of patient sex and gender on medication use, adherence, and prescribing alignment with guidelines. J. Women's Health (Larchmt) 2014, 23, 112–119. [CrossRef]
- 111. Martinez-Perez, P.; Orozco-Beltran, D.; Pomares-Gomez, F.; Hernandez-Rizo, J.L.; Borras-Gallen, A.; Gil-Guillen, V.F.; Quesada, J.A.; Lopez-Pineda, A.; Carratala-Munuera, C. Validation and psychometric properties of the 8-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS-8) in type 2 diabetes patients in Spain. *Aten. Primaria.* 2021, 53, 101942. [CrossRef]
- Mhatre, S.K.; Serna, O.; Sansgiry, S.; Fleming, M.L.; Essien, E.J.; Sansgiry, S.S. Risk of Nondherence to Diabetes Medications Among Medicare Advantage Enrollees: Development of a Validated Risk Prediction Tool. *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* 2016, 22, 1293–1301. [CrossRef]
- 113. Miller, G.E.; Sarpong, E.M.; Hill, S.C. Does increased adherence to medications change health care financial burdens for adults with diabetes? *J. Diabetes* **2015**, *7*, 872–880. [CrossRef]
- 114. Min, J.Y.; Griffin, M.R.; Chipman, J.; Hackstadt, A.J.; Greevy, R.A.; Grijalva, C.G.; Hung, A.M.; Roumie, C.L. Recent metformin adherence and the risk of hypoglycaemia in the year following intensification with a sulfonylurea. *Diabet. Med.* 2019, 36, 482–490. [CrossRef]
- 115. Mirahmadizadeh, A.; Khorshidsavar, H.; Seif, M.; Sharifi, M.H. Adherence to Medication, Diet and Physical Activity and the Associated Factors Amongst Patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Ther.* **2020**, *11*, 479–494. [CrossRef]
- Mroueh, L.; Ayoub, D.; El-Hajj, M.; Awada, S.; Rachidi, S.; Zein, S.; Al-Hajje, A. Evaluation of medication adherence among Lebanese diabetic patients. *Pharm. Pract.* 2018, 16, 1291. [CrossRef]
- 117. Mukherjee, S.; Sharmasarkar, B.; Das, K.K.; Bhattacharyya, A.; Deb, A. Compliance to anti-diabetic drugs: Observations from the diabetic clinic of a medical college in kolkata, India. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* **2013**, *7*, 661–665. [CrossRef]
- 118. Naffaa, M.E.; Rosenberg, V.; Watad, A.; Tiosano, S.; Yavne, Y.; Chodick, G.; Amital, H.; Shalev, V. Adherence to metformin and the onset of rheumatoid arthritis: A population-based cohort study. *Scand. J. Rheumatol.* **2020**, *49*, 173–180. [CrossRef]
- Nichols, G.A.; Rosales, A.G.; Kimes, T.M.; Tunceli, K.; Kurtyka, K.; Mavros, P. The Change in HbA1c Associated with Initial Adherence and Subsequent Change in Adherence among Diabetes Patients Newly Initiating Metformin Therapy. J. Diabetes Res. 2016, 2016, 9687815. [CrossRef]
- Nichols, G.A.; Raebel, M.A.; Dyer, W.; Schmittdiel, J.A. The Effect of Age and Comorbidities on the Association Between the Medicare STAR Oral Antihyperglycemic Adherence Metric and Glycemic Control. *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* 2018, 24, 856–861. [CrossRef]
- 121. Nigam, S.; Virdi, N.S.; Daskiran, M.; Kozma, C.M.; Paris, A.; Dickson, W.M. Association between sitagliptin adherence and self-monitoring of blood glucose. *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *6*, 555–562. [CrossRef]
- Nishimura, R.; Kato, H.; Kisanuki, K.; Oh, A.; Onishi, Y.; Guelfucci, F.; Shimasaki, Y. Comparison of persistence and adherence between fixed-dose combinations and two-pill combinations in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* 2019, 35, 869–878. [CrossRef]
- 123. Nishimura, R.; Kato, H.; Kisanuki, K.; Oh, A.; Hiroi, S.; Onishi, Y.; Guelfucci, F.; Shimasaki, Y. Treatment patterns, persistence and adherence rates in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Japan: A claims-based cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2019, 9, e025806. [CrossRef]
- 124. Ofori-Asenso, R.; Liew, D.; Lalic, S.; Mazidi, M.; Magliano, D.J.; Ademi, Z.; Bell, J.S.; Ilomaki, J. Adherence, Persistence, and Switching Among People Prescribed Sodium Glucose Co-transporter 2 Inhibitors: A Nationwide Retrospective Cohort Study. *Adv. Ther.* **2019**, *36*, 3265–3278. [CrossRef]
- 125. Ofori-Asenso, R.; Ilomaki, J.; Chin, K.L.; Mazidi, M.; Zomer, E.; Bell, J.S.; Magliano, D.J.; Liew, D. Dynamics of switching, adherence, and persistence of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors use: A nationwide cohort study. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2019, 158, 107909. [CrossRef]
- 126. Oh, A.; Kisanuki, K.; Nishigaki, N.; Shimasaki, Y.; Sakaguchi, K.; Morimoto, T. Comparison of persistence and adherence between DPP-4 inhibitor administration frequencies in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Japan: A claims-based cohort study. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* 2020, *36*, 387–395. [CrossRef]
- 127. Olickal, J.J.; Chinnakali, P.; Suryanarayana, B.S.; Saya, G.K.; Ganapathy, K.; Subrahmanyam, D.K.S. Medication adherence and glycemic control status among people with diabetes seeking care from a tertiary care teaching hospital, south India. *Clin. Epidemiol. Glob. Health* 2021, 11, 100742. [CrossRef]

- 128. O'Shea, M.P.; Teeling, M.; Bennett, K. An observational study examining the effect of comorbidity on the rates of persistence and adherence to newly initiated oral anti-hyperglycaemic agents. *Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf.* 2013, 22, 1336–1344. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- O'Shea, M.P.; Teeling, M.; Bennett, K. Regional variation in medication-taking behaviour of new users of oral anti-hyperglycaemic therapy in Ireland. *Ir. J. Med. Sci.* 2015, 184, 403–410. [CrossRef]
- Oung, A.B.; Kosirog, E.; Chavez, B.; Brunner, J.; Saseen, J.J. Evaluation of medication adherence in chronic disease at a federally qualified health center. *Ther. Adv. Chronic Dis.* 2017, *8*, 113–120. [CrossRef]
- Pascal, I.G.; Ofoedu, J.N.; Uchenna, N.P.; Nkwa, A.A.; Uchamma, G.U. Blood Glucose Control and Medication Adherence Among Adult Type 2 Diabetic Nigerians Attending A Primary Care Clinic in Under-resourced Environment of Eastern Nigeria. N. Am. J. Med. Sci. 2012, 4, 310–315. [CrossRef]
- Peeters, B.; Van Tongelen, I.; Duran, Z.; Yuksel, G.; Mehuys, E.; Willems, S.; Remon, J.P.; Boussery, K. Understanding medication adherence among patients of Turkish descent with type 2 diabetes: A qualitative study. *Ethn. Health* 2015, 20, 87–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Quilliam, B.J.; Ozbay, A.B.; Sill, B.E.; Kogut, S.J. The association between adherence to oral anti-diabetic drugs and hypoglycaemia in persons with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet. Med.* **2013**, *30*, 1305–1313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rahmatullah; Qutubuddin, M.; Abdul Rahman, R.; Ghafoor, E.; Riaz, M. Assessment of Factors Associated with Non-Compliance to Self-Management Practices in People with Type 2 diabetes. *Cureus* 2021, 13, e18918. [CrossRef]
- Rana, B.; Bukhsh, A.; Khan, T.M.; Sarwar, A.; Omer, M.O.; Jamshed, S.Q. Evaluation of Therapeutic Effectiveness of Prescribed Medications in Patients with Type 2 diabetes Mellitus: Findings from a Tertiary Care Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. J. Pharm. Bioallied Sci. 2017, 9, 121–125. [CrossRef]
- Ranasinghe, P.; Jayawardena, R.; Katulanda, P.; Constantine, G.R.; Ramanayake, V.; Galappatthy, P. Translation and Validation of the Sinhalese Version of the Brief Medication Questionnaire in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus. J. Diabetes Res. 2018, 2018, 7519462. [CrossRef]
- 137. Rascati, K.L.; Worley, K.; Meah, Y.; Everhart, D. Adherence, Persistence, and Health Care Costs for Patients Receiving Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 Inhibitors. *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* **2017**, *23*, 299–306. [CrossRef]
- 138. Rathish, D.; Hemachandra, R.; Premadasa, T.; Ramanayake, S.; Rasangika, C.; Roshiban, R.; Jayasumana, C. Comparison of medication adherence between type 2 diabetes mellitus patients who pay for their medications and those who receive it free: A rural Asian experience. *J. Health Popul. Nutr.* **2019**, *38*, 4. [CrossRef]
- 139. Reach, G.; Pellan, M.; Crine, A.; Touboul, C.; Ciocca, A.; Djoudi, Y. Holistic psychosocial determinants of adherence to medication in people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab.* **2018**, *44*, 500–507. [CrossRef]
- 140. Reach, G.; Michault, A.; Bihan, H.; Paulino, C.; Cohen, R.; Le Clesiau, H. Patients' impatience is an independent determinant of poor diabetes control. *Diabetes Metab.* **2011**, *37*, 497–504. [CrossRef]
- 141. Rinne, S.T.; Wong, E.S.; Lemon, J.M.; Perkins, M.; Bryson, C.L.; Liu, C.F. Survey nonresponders incurred higher medical utilization and lower medication adherence. *Am. J. Manag. Care* 2015, 21, e1–e8.
- 142. Romagnoli, A.; Santoleri, F.; Costantini, A. Drug utilisation pattern over 3 years in the real-world treatment of type II diabetes. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* 2021, 75, e14120. [CrossRef]
- 143. Sacks, N.C.; Burgess, J.F., Jr.; Cabral, H.J.; Pizer, S.D.; McDonnell, M.E. Cost sharing and decreased branded oral anti-diabetic medication adherence among elderly Part D Medicare beneficiaries. J. Gen. Intern. Med. 2013, 28, 876–885. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Sacks, N.C.; Burgess, J.F.; Cabral, H.J.; McDonnell, M.E.; Pizer, S.D. The Effects of Cost Sharing on Adherence to Medications Prescribed for Concurrent Use: Do Definitions Matter? *J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm.* **2015**, *21*, 678–687. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 145. Sankar, U.V.; Lipska, K.; Mini, G.K.; Sarma, P.S.; Thankappan, K.R. The adherence to medications in diabetic patients in rural Kerala, India. *Asia Pac. J. Public Health* **2015**, 27, NP513–NP523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Saraiva, E.M.S.; Coelho, J.L.G.; Dos Santos Figueiredo, F.W.; do Souto, R.P. Medication non-adherence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with full access to medicines. *J. Diabetes Metab. Disord.* **2020**, *19*, 1105–1113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Satirapoj, B.; Pratipanawatr, T.; Ongphiphadhanakul, B.; Suwanwalaikorn, S.; Benjasuratwong, Y.; Nitiyanant, W. Real-world Evaluation of glycemic control and hypoglycemic Events among type 2 diabetes mellitus study (REEDS): A multicentre, crosssectional study in Thailand. *BMJ Open* 2020, *10*, e031612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Sattler, E.L.; Lee, J.S.; Bhargava, V. Food insecurity and medication adherence in low-income older Medicare beneficiaries with type 2 diabetes. *J. Nutr. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 2014, 33, 401–417. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. Saundankar, V.; Peng, X.; Fu, H.; Ascher-Svanum, H.; Rodriguez, A.; Ali, A.; Slabaugh, L.; Young, P.; Louder, A. Predictors of Change in Adherence Status from 1 Year to the Next Among Patients with Type 2 diabetes Mellitus on Oral Antidiabetes Drugs. J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm. 2016, 22, 467–482. [CrossRef]
- Schwab, P.; Racsa, P.; Rascati, K.; Mourer, M.; Meah, Y.; Worley, K. A Retrospective Database Study Comparing Diabetes-Related Medication Adherence and Health Outcomes for Mail-Order Versus Community Pharmacy. J. Manag. Care Spec. Pharm. 2019, 25, 332–340. [CrossRef]
- Shields, B.M.; Hattersley, A.T.; Farmer, A.J. Identifying routine clinical predictors of non-adherence to second-line therapies in type 2 diabetes: A retrospective cohort analysis in a large primary care database. *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* 2020, 22, 59–65. [CrossRef]

- 152. Shrestha, S.S.; Shakya, R.; Karmacharya, B.M.; Thapa, P. Medication adherence to oral hypoglycemic agents among type II diabetic patients and their clinical outcomes with special reference to fasting blood glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin levels. *Kathmandu Univ. Med. J. (KUMJ)* 2013, 11, 226–232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 153. Simard, P.; Presse, N.; Roy, L.; Dorais, M.; White-Guay, B.; Rakel, A.; Perreault, S. Association Between Metformin Adherence and All-Cause Mortality Among New Users of Metformin: A Nested Case-Control Study. *Ann. Pharmacother.* 2018, 52, 305–313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. Simard, P.; Presse, N.; Roy, L.; Dorais, M.; White-Guay, B.; Rakel, A.; Perreault, S. Persistence and adherence to oral antidiabetics: A population-based cohort study. *Acta Diabetol.* **2015**, *52*, 547–556. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Simon-Tuval, T.; Shmueli, A.; Harman-Boehm, I. Adherence of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus to medications: The role of risk preferences. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* **2018**, *34*, 345–351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Sicras-Mainar, A.; Navarro-Artieda, R. Use of metformin and vildagliptin for treatment of type 2 diabetes in the elderly. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* **2014**, *8*, 811–818. [CrossRef]
- 157. Singhal, M.; Tan, H.; Coleman, C.I.; Han, M.; Nguyen, C.; Ingham, M. Effectiveness, treatment durability, and treatment costs of canagliflozin and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes in the USA. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res. Care* 2019, 7, e000704. [CrossRef]
- 158. Taira, D.A.; Seto, B.K.; Davis, J.W.; Seto, T.B.; Landsittel, D.; Sumida, W.K. Examining Factors Associated With Nonadherence And Identifying Providers Caring For Nonadherent Subgroups. *J. Pharm. Health Serv. Res.* 2017, *8*, 247–253. [CrossRef]
- Tanabe, M.; Motonaga, R.; Terawaki, Y.; Nomiyama, T.; Yanase, T. Prescription of oral hypoglycemic agents for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A retrospective cohort study using a Japanese hospital database. *J. Diabetes Investig.* 2017, *8*, 227–234. [CrossRef]
- Tandon, S.; Chew, M.; Eklu-Gadegbeku, C.K.; Shermock, K.M.; Morisky, D.E. Validation and psychometric properties of the 8-item Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS-8) in Type 2 diabetes patients in sub-Saharan Africa. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2015, 110, 129–136. [CrossRef]
- 161. Tang, Y.; Weiss, T.; Liu, J.; Rajpathak, S.; Khunti, K. Metformin adherence and discontinuation among patients with type 2 diabetes: A retrospective cohort study. *J. Clin. Transl. Endocrinol.* **2020**, *20*, 100225. [CrossRef]
- 162. Tiv, M.; Viel, J.F.; Mauny, F.; Eschwege, E.; Weill, A.; Fournier, C.; Fagot-Campagna, A.; Penfornis, A. Medication adherence in type 2 diabetes: The ENTRED study 2007, a French Population-Based Study. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e32412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tunceli, K.; Zhao, C.; Davies, M.J.; Brodovicz, K.G.; Alexander, C.M.; Iglay, K.; Radican, L. Factors associated with adherence to oral antihyperglycemic monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2015, *9*, 191–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Tunceli, K.; Iglay, K.; Zhao, C.; Brodovicz, K.G.; Radican, L. Factors associated with adherence to oral antihyperglycemic monotherapy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the United Kingdom. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2015, 109, e27–e31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 165. Vichayanrat, A.; Matawaran, B.J.; Wibudi, A.; Ferdous, H.S.; Aamir, A.H.; Aggarwal, S.K.; Bajpai, S. Assessment of baseline characteristics, glycemic control and oral antidiabetic treatment in Asian patients with diabetes: The Registry for Assessing OAD Usage in Diabetes Management (REASON) Asia study. J. Diabetes 2013, 5, 309–318. [CrossRef]
- 166. Vietri, J.T.; Wlodarczyk, C.S.; Lorenzo, R.; Rajpathak, S. Missed doses of oral antihyperglycemic medications in US adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: Prevalence and self-reported reasons. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* **2016**, *32*, 1519–1527. [CrossRef]
- 167. Vittorino Gaddi, A.; Benedetto, D.; Capello, F.; Di Pietro, C.; Cinconze, E.; Rossi, E.; De Sando, V.; Cevenini, M.; D'Alo, G. Oral antidiabetic therapy in a large Italian sample: Drug supply and compliance for different therapeutic regimens. *Public Health* **2014**, *128*, 70–76. [CrossRef]
- 168. Vlacho, B.; Mata-Cases, M.; Mundet-Tuduri, X.; Valles-Callol, J.A.; Real, J.; Farre, M.; Cos, X.; Khunti, K.; Mauricio, D.; Franch-Nadal, J. Analysis of the Adherence and Safety of Second Oral Glucose-Lowering Therapy in Routine Practice From the Mediterranean Area: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2021, 12, 708372. [CrossRef]
- 169. Waari, G.; Mutai, J.; Gikunju, J. Medication adherence and factors associated with poor adherence among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients on follow-up at Kenyatta National Hospital, Kenya. *Pan Afr. Med. J.* **2018**, *29*, 82. [CrossRef]
- 170. Walz, L.; Pettersson, B.; Rosenqvist, U.; Deleskog, A.; Journath, G.; Wandell, P. Impact of symptomatic hypoglycemia on medication adherence, patient satisfaction with treatment, and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* **2014**, *8*, 593–601. [CrossRef]
- 171. White, A.J.; Kellar, I.; Prevost, A.T.; Kinmonth, A.L.; Sutton, S.; Canny, M.; Griffin, S.J. Adherence to hypoglycaemic medication among people with type 2 diabetes in primary care. *Prim. Care Diabetes* **2012**, *6*, 27–33. [CrossRef]
- 172. Wong, M.C.; Kong, A.P.; So, W.Y.; Jiang, J.Y.; Chan, J.C.; Griffiths, S.M. Adherence to oral hypoglycemic agents in 26,782 Chinese patients: A cohort study. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2011, 51, 1474–1482. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 173. Wong, M.C.; Wu, C.H.; Wang, H.H.; Li, H.W.; Hui, E.M.; Lam, A.T.; Chung, R.Y.; Yip, B.H.; Morisky, D.E. Association between the 8-item Morisky medication adherence scale (MMAS-8) score and glycaemic control among Chinese diabetes patients. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2015, 55, 279–287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 174. Wong, E.S.; Bryson, C.L.; Hebert, P.L.; Liu, C.F. Estimating the Impact of Oral Diabetes Medication Adherence on Medical Costs in VA. Ann. Pharmacother. 2014, 48, 978–985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 175. Wong, E.S.; Piette, J.D.; Liu, C.F.; Perkins, M.; Maciejewski, M.L.; Jackson, G.L.; Blough, D.K.; Fihn, S.D.; Au, D.H.; Bryson, C.L. Measures of adherence to oral hypoglycemic agents at the primary care clinic level: The role of risk adjustment. *Med. Care* 2012, 50, 591–598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Wu, P.; Liu, N. Association between patients' beliefs and oral antidiabetic medication adherence in a Chinese type 2 diabetic population. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2016, 10, 1161–1167. [CrossRef]
- 177. Zhou, Y.; Liao, L.; Sun, M.; He, G. Self-care practices of Chinese individuals with diabetes. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **2013**, *5*, 1137–1142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhu, V.J.; Tu, W.; Rosenman, M.B.; Overhage, J.M. Nonadherence to Oral Antihyperglycemic Agents: Subsequent Hospitalization and Mortality among Patients with Type 2 diabetes in Clinical Practice. *Stud. Health Technol. Inform.* 2015, 216, 60–63. [PubMed]
- 179. Zomahoun, H.T.V.; Moisan, J.; Lauzier, S.; Guillaumie, L.; Gregoire, J.P.; Guenette, L. Predicting Noninsulin Antidiabetic Drug Adherence Using a Theoretical Framework Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior in Adults With Type 2 diabetes: A Prospective Study. *Medicine* 2016, *95*, e2954. [CrossRef]
- Zongo, A.; Guenette, L.; Moisan, J.; Gregoire, J.P. Predictive Validity of Self-Reported Measures of Adherence to Noninsulin Antidiabetes Medication against Control of Glycated Hemoglobin Levels. *Can. J. Diabetes* 2016, 40, 58–65. [CrossRef]
- 181. An, S.-Y.; Kim, H.J.; Chun, K.H.; Kim, T.H.; Jeon, J.Y.; Kim, D.J.; Han, S.J.; Kim, Y.S.; Woo, J.T.; Ahn, K.J.; et al. Clinical and Economic Outcomes in Medication-Adherent and -Nonadherent Patients with Type 2 diabetes Mellitus in the Republic of Korea. *Clin. Ther.* 2014, *36*, 245–254. [CrossRef]
- 182. Arulmozhi, S.; Mahalakshmy, T. Self Care and Medication Adherence among Type 2 Diabetics in Puducherry, Southern India: A Hospital Based Study. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* **2014**, *8*, UC01–UC03.
- 183. Benrazavy, L.; Khalooei, A. Medication Adherence and its Predictors in Type 2 Diabetic Patients Referring to Urban Primary Health Care Centers in Kerman City, Southeastern Iran. *Shiraz E-Med. J.* **2019**, *20*, e84746. [CrossRef]
- 184. Khan, G.H.; Aqil, M.; Pillai, K.K.; Ahmad, M.A.; Kapur, P.; Ain, M.R.; Al-Ghamdi, S.S.; Shahzad, N. Therapeutic adherence: A prospective drug utilization study of oral hypoglycemic in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Dis.* 2014, 4, S347–S352. [CrossRef]
- 185. Iuga, A.O.; McGuire, M.J. Adherence and health care costs. Risk Manag. Healthc. Policy 2014, 7, 35–44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 186. Chowdhury, R.; Khan, H.; Heydon, E.; Shroufi, A.; Fahimi, S.; Moore, C.; Stricker, B.; Mendis, S.; Hofman, A.; Mant, J.; et al. Adherence to cardiovascular therapy: A meta-analysis of prevalence and clinical consequences. *Eur. Heart J.* 2013, 34, 2940–2948. [CrossRef]
- 187. Abegaz, T.M.; Shehab, A.; Gebreyohannes, E.A.; Bhagavathula, A.S.; Elnour, A.A. Nonadherence to antihypertensive drugs: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* **2017**, *96*, e5641. [CrossRef]
- Suviranta, T.; Timonen, J.; Martikainen, J.; Aarnio, E. The effects of reimbursement reform of antidiabetic medicines from the patients' perspective—A survey among patients with type 2 diabetes in Finland. BMC Health Serv. Res. 2019, 19, 769. [CrossRef]
- Luo, J.; Feldman, R.; Rothenberger, S.D.; Hernandez, I.; Gellad, W.F. Coverage, Formulary Restrictions, and Out-of-Pocket Costs for Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors and Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists in the Medicare Part D Program. JAMA Netw. Open 2020, 3, e2020969. [CrossRef]
- Carratala-Munuera, C.; Cortes-Castell, E.; Marquez-Contreras, E.; Castellano, J.M.; Perez-Paramo, M.; Lopez-Pineda, A.; Gil-Guillen, V.F. Barriers and Solutions to Improve Therapeutic Adherence from the Perspective of Primary Care and Hospital-Based Physicians. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2022, *16*, 697–707. [CrossRef]
- 191. Atreja, A.; Bellam, N.; Levy, S.R. Strategies to enhance patient adherence: Making it simple. Medscape Gen. Med. 2005, 7, 4.
- 192. Cutler, R.L.; Torres-Robles, A.; Wiecek, E.; Drake, B.; Van der Linden, N.; Benrimoj, S.I.C.; Garcia-Cardenas, V. Pharmacist-led medication non-adherence intervention: Reducing the economic burden placed on the Australian health care system. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2019, 13, 853–862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 193. Torres-Robles, A.; Benrimoj, S.I.; Gastelurrutia, M.A.; Martinez-Martinez, F.; Peiro, T.; Perez-Escamilla, B.; Rogers, K.; Valverde-Merino, I.; Varas-Doval, R.; Garcia-Cardenas, V. Effectiveness of a medication adherence management intervention in a community pharmacy setting: A cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Qual. Saf.* 2022, *31*, 105–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Presley, B.; Groot, W.; Pavlova, M. Pharmacy-led interventions to improve medication adherence among adults with diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Res. Soc. Adm. Pharm.* 2019, *15*, 1057–1067. [CrossRef]
- 195. Chen, S.L.; Lee, W.L.; Liang, T.; Liao, I.C. Factors associated with gender differences in medication adherence: A longitudinal study. *J. Adv. Nurs.* **2014**, *70*, 2031–2040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Billimek, J.; Malik, S.; Sorkin, D.H.; Schmalbach, P.; Ngo-Metzger, Q.; Greenfield, S.; Kaplan, S.H. Understanding disparities in lipid management among patients with type 2 diabetes: Gender differences in medication nonadherence after treatment intensification. Women's Health Issues 2015, 25, 6–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 197. Olagbemide, O.J.; Omosanya, O.E.; Ayodapo, A.O.; Agboola, S.M.; Adeagbo, A.O.; Olukokun, T.A. Family support and medication adherence among adult type 2 diabetes: Any meeting point? *Ann. Afr. Med.* 2021, 20, 282–287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 198. Kopp, W. How Western Diet And Lifestyle Drive The Pandemic Of Obesity And Civilization Diseases. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* **2019**, *12*, 2221–2236. [CrossRef]
- Trief, P.M.; Kalichman, S.C.; Wang, D.; Drews, K.L.; Anderson, B.J.; Bulger, J.D.; Weinstock, R.S. Medication adherence in young adults with youth-onset type 2 diabetes: iCount, an observational study. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2022, 184, 109216. [CrossRef]

- 200. Shrivastava, T.P.; Goswami, S.; Gupta, R.; Goyal, R.K. Mobile App Interventions to Improve Medication Adherence Among Type 2 diabetes Mellitus Patients: A Systematic Review of Clinical Trials. J. Diabetes Sci. Technol. 2021; online first. [CrossRef]
- Enricho Nkhoma, D.; Jenya Soko, C.; Joseph Banda, K.; Greenfield, D.; Li, Y.J.; Iqbal, U. Impact of DSMES app interventions on medication adherence in type 2 diabetes mellitus: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Health Care Inform.* 2021, 28, e100291. [CrossRef]
- Islam, S.M.S.; Mishra, V.; Siddiqui, M.U.; Moses, J.C.; Adibi, S.; Nguyen, L.; Wickramasinghe, N. Smartphone Apps for Diabetes Medication Adherence: Systematic Review. *JMIR Diabetes* 2022, 7, e33264. [CrossRef]
- 203. Wang, G.S.; Hoyte, C. Review of Biguanide (Metformin) Toxicity. J. Intensive Care Med. 2019, 34, 863–876. [CrossRef]
- Hirst, J.A.; Farmer, A.J.; Dyar, A.; Lung, T.W.; Stevens, R.J. Estimating the effect of sulfonylurea on HbA1c in diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 2013, 56, 973–984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 205. Sola, D.; Rossi, L.; Schianca, G.P.; Maffioli, P.; Bigliocca, M.; Mella, R.; Corliano, F.; Fra, G.P.; Bartoli, E.; Derosa, G. Sulfonylureas and their use in clinical practice. Arch. Med. Sci. 2015, 11, 840–848. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 206. Salmasi, S.; De Vera, M.A.; Safari, A.; Lynd, L.D.; Koehoorn, M.; Barry, A.R.; Andrade, J.G.; Deyell, M.W.; Rush, K.; Zhao, Y.; et al. Longitudinal Oral Anticoagulant Adherence Trajectories in Patients With Atrial Fibrillation. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2021, 78, 2395–2404. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 207. Convertino, I.; Giometto, S.; Gini, R.; Cazzato, M.; Fornili, M.; Valdiserra, G.; Cappello, E.; Ferraro, S.; Bartolini, C.; Paoletti, O.; et al. Trajectories of Adherence to Biologic Disease-Modifying Anti-Rheumatic Drugs in Tuscan Administrative Databases: The Pathfinder Study. J. Clin. Med. 2021, 10, 5743. [CrossRef]
- 208. Gallwitz, B. Clinical Use of DPP-4 Inhibitors. Front. Endocrinol. 2019, 10, 389. [CrossRef]
- 209. Halimi, S.; Verges, B. Adverse effects and safety of SGLT-2 inhibitors. Diabetes Metab. 2014, 40, S28–S34. [CrossRef]
- 210. Filippatos, T.D.; Athyros, V.G.; Elisaf, M.S. The pharmacokinetic considerations and adverse effects of DPP-4 inhibitors [corrected]. *Expert. Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 2014, 10, 787–812. [CrossRef]
- Richard, K.R.; Shelburne, J.S.; Kirk, J.K. Tolerability of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: A review. *Clin. Ther.* 2011, 33, 1609–1629. [CrossRef]
- 212. Wu, S.; Chai, S.; Yang, J.; Cai, T.; Xu, Y.; Yang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Ji, L.; Sun, F.; Zhan, S. Gastrointestinal Adverse Events of Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Inhibitors in Type 2 diabetes: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Clin. Ther.* 2017, 39, 1780–1789.e33. [CrossRef]
- 213. Parati, G.; Kjeldsen, S.; Coca, A.; Cushman, W.C.; Wang, J. Adherence to Single-Pill Versus Free-Equivalent Combination Therapy in Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Hypertension* **2021**, 77, 692–705. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 214. Anghel, L.A.; Farcas, A.M.; Oprean, R.N. An overview of the common methods used to measure treatment adherence. *Med. Pharm. Rep.* **2019**, *92*, 117–122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Compton, J.; Glass, N.; Fowler, T. Evidence of Selection Bias and Non-Response Bias in Patient Satisfaction Surveys. *Iowa Orthop. J.* 2019, 39, 195–201. [PubMed]
- Kaaffah, S.; Soewondo, P.; Riyadina, W.; Renaldi, F.S.; Sauriasari, R. Adherence to Treatment and Glycemic Control in Patients with Type 2 diabetes Mellitus: A 4-Year Follow-up PTM Bogor Cohort Study, Indonesia. *Patient Prefer. Adherence* 2021, 15, 2467–2477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 217. Aikens, J.E.; Piette, J.D. Longitudinal association between medication adherence and glycaemic control in Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet. Med.* **2013**, *30*, 338–344. [CrossRef]
- 218. Choudhry, N.K.; Kronish, I.M.; Vongpatanasin, W.; Ferdinand, K.C.; Pavlik, V.N.; Egan, B.M.; Schoenthaler, A.; Houston Miller, N.; Hyman, D.J.; American Heart Association Council on Hypertension; et al. Medication Adherence and Blood Pressure Control: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Hypertension* 2022, 79, e1–e14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cedillo-Couvert, E.A.; Ricardo, A.C.; Chen, J.; Cohan, J.; Fischer, M.J.; Krousel-Wood, M.; Kusek, J.W.; Lederer, S.; Lustigova, E.; Ojo, A.; et al. Self-reported Medication Adherence and CKD Progression. *Kidney Int. Rep.* 2018, *3*, 645–651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chaudhury, A.; Duvoor, C.; Reddy Dendi, V.S.; Kraleti, S.; Chada, A.; Ravilla, R.; Marco, A.; Shekhawat, N.S.; Montales, M.T.; Kuriakose, K.; et al. Clinical Review of Antidiabetic Drugs: Implications for Type 2 diabetes Mellitus Management. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2017, 8, 6. [CrossRef]
- 221. Blankart, K.E.; Lichtenberg, F.R. Are patients more adherent to newer drugs? Health Care Manag. Sci. 2020, 23, 605–618. [CrossRef]
- 222. Krass, I.; Schieback, P.; Dhippayom, T. Adherence to diabetes medication: A systematic review. *Diabet. Med.* 2015, 32, 725–737. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.