

FLORE Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Metformin and cancer occurrence in insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients.

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Metformin and cancer occurrence in insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients / M. Monami; C. Colombi; D. Balzi; I. Dicembrini; S. Giannini; C. Melani; V. Vitale; D. Romano; A. Barchielli; N. Marchionni; C.M. Rotella; E. Mannucci. - In: DIABETES CARE. - ISSN 0149-5992. - ELETTRONICO. - 34:(2011), pp. 129-131. [10.2337/dc10-1287]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/606339 since: 2016-02-02T15:42:49Z

Published version:

DOI: 10.2337/dc10-1287

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

Metformin and Cancer Occurrence in Insulin-Treated Type 2 Diabetic Patients

MATTEO MONAMI, MD, PHD¹
CLAUDIA COLOMBI, MD²
DANIELA BALZI, MD³
ILARIA DICEMBRINI, MD⁴
STEFANO GIANNINI, MD, PHD⁴
CECILIA MELANI³

VALENTINA VITALE, MD¹
DESIDERIO ROMANO, MD⁴
ALESSANDRO BARCHIELLI, MD³
NICCOLÒ MARCHIONNI, MD²
CARLO MARIA ROTELLA, MD⁴
EDOARDO MANNUCCI, MD²

OBJECTIVE — Metformin is associated with reduced cancer-related morbidity and mortality. The aim of this study was to assess the effect of metformin on cancer incidence in a consecutive series of insulin-treated patients.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — A nested case-control study was performed in a cohort of 1,340 patients by sampling, for each case subject, age-, sex-, and BMI-matched control subjects from the same cohort.

RESULTS — During a median follow-up of 75.9 months, 112 case patients who developed incident cancer and were compared with 370 control subjects. A significantly lower proportion of case subjects were exposed to metformin and sulfonylureas. After adjustment for comorbidity, glargine, and total insulin doses, exposure to metformin, but not to sulfonylureas, was associated with reduced incidence of cancer (odds ratio 0.46 [95% CI 0.25–0.85], P = 0.014 and 0.75 [0.39–1.45], P = 0.40, respectively).

CONCLUSIONS — The reduction of cancer risk could be a further relevant reason for maintaining use of metformin in insulin-treated patients.

Diabetes Care 34:129-131, 2011

everal studies have shown that metformin is associated with reduced cancer-related morbidity and mortality (1–4), due to improvement in insulin sensitivity (5) or to the activation of AMP-activated protein kinase (6). In insulin-treated patients, the reduction in insulin doses determined by metformin (7) could theoretically produce a decrease in cancer incidence.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND

METHODS — We analyzed oral hypoglycemic treatments in patients included in a case-control study nested within a cohort of insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients, which had been designed for the assessment of the effect on

cancer incidence of different insulin analogs (8). In brief, 1,340 consecutive type 2 diabetic outpatients (746 women and 594 men, aged [mean \pm SD] 63.1 \pm 14.9 years) with no history or previous hospitalizations for malignancies, who were living in Florence, Italy, were referred to the University Diabetes Clinics, and started insulin therapy in 1998-2007, were enrolled in the study. Demographic and clinical information was obtained from clinical records, including anthropometric measures, A1C (measured every 3–4 months with high-performance liquid chromatography [Menarini Diagnostics, Florence, Italy]; upper normal limit 5.9%), and serum creatinine, part of routine follow-up. Comorbidity was assessed

From the ¹Section of Geriatric Cardiology and Medicine, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Florence and Careggi Teaching Hospital, Florence, Italy; the ²Diabetes Agency, Careggi Teaching Hospital, Florence, Italy; the ³Epidemiology Unit, Local Health Unit 10, Florence, Italy; and the ⁴Section of Endocrinology, Department of Clinical Pathophysiology, University of Florence and Careggi Teaching Hospital, Florence, Italy.

Corresponding author: Edoardo Mannucci, edoardo.mannucci@unifi.it.

Received 6 July 2010 and accepted 16 October 2010. Published ahead of print at http://care. diabetesjournals.org on 27 October 2010. DOI: 10.2337/dc10-1287.

© 2011 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

with the Charlson comorbidity score (CCS), which includes diabetes and its complications and other diseases (9).

Patients with incident cancer up to 31 December 2008 were identified at first hospital admission (from the Regional Hospital Discharge system) or death (from the Mortality Registry of Tuscany) with ICD-9 codes 140-209. A nested case-control study dataset was generated from the cohort study dataset by sampling control subjects from the risk sets. For each case subject, the control subjects (up to five) were chosen randomly from those members of the cohort at risk for the same follow-up time as the case subject. Age, sex, and BMI classes at insulin initiation were considered as additional categorical variables for matching, using Stata 9.0 and the procedure "sttocc." Exposure to hypoglycemic drugs was assessed from enrollment to incident cancer in case subjects and during the corresponding time from initiation of insulin therapy in matched control subjects, retrieving prescriptions from clinical records. If the last available visit had occurred >3 months before the event (or matching date), a telephone contact was attempted to collect further information on subsequent drug use; if the contact was unsuccessful, the patient was assumed to have continued the last reported therapy.

The exposure of case subjects and control subjects to different drugs (proportion of patients exposed, time of exposure, and mean daily dose [MDD], units per kilogram per day) for each compound) was compared using χ^2 and Mann-Whitney tests whenever appropriate. Multivariate analyses were performed with conditional logistic regression, which takes into account the matching structure, using total insulin and glargine MDD and CCS as covariates. All analyses were carried out with SPSS 15.0 and Stata 9.0.

RESULTS — The 112 patients with incident cancer (gastrointestinal, 29; lung, 16; pancreatic, 14; and other, 53) during a median follow-up of 75.9 (range 27.4–133.7) months (case subjects) were compared with 370 control subjects. A significantly lower proportion of case subjects were exposed to metformin and sulfonylureas during follow-up. Among

Table 1—Characteristics of case and control subjects

	Case subjects	Control subjects	P value
n (male/female)	112 (60/52)	370 (189/181)	0.64
Age (years)	68.9 ± 9.9	68.0 ± 10.0	0.41
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.1 ± 5.3	28.2 ± 5.1	0.78
Duration of diabetes (years)	8.4 (0.3–20.9)	10.0 (0.6–21.0)	0.28
Current smokers	25 (22.5)	66 (17.8)	0.39
Exposure to drugs during follow-up			
Biguanides	20 (17.9)	158 (42.7)	< 0.001
Fenformin	0 (0.0)	8 (2.2)	0.12
Metformin	20 (17.9)	150 (40.5)	< 0.001
Insulin secretagogues	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	_
Glimepiride	0 (0.0)	28 (7.6)	0.003
Gliclazide	3 (2.7)	13 (3.5)	0.67
Glibenclamide	14 (12.5)	55 (14.9)	0.53
Chlorpropamide	1 (0.4)	6 (1.6)	0.56
Repaglinide	2 (1.8)	41 (11.1)	0.02
Acarbose	0 (0.0)	8 (2.2)	0.12
Length of exposure (months)			
Metformin	24.0 (9.0-44.0)	29.0 (20.0-75.0)	0.69
Sulfonylureas	27.5 (7.0-46.0)	23.0 (15.0-43.0)	0.56
Mean daily doses (mg/kg · day)			
Metformin	16.0 (11.8-21.4)	18.5 (10.3-31.0)	0.40
Glyburide	0.05 (0.03-0.10)	0.08 (0.04-0.10)	0.75

Data are means \pm SD, n (%), and median (range).

those exposed, the length of exposure to sulfonylureas was greater in case subjects than in control subjects, whereas no such difference was observed for metformin. MDD for metformin and glyburide did not differ between case subjects and control subjects (Table 1).

In a multivariate model, with adjustment for CCS, glargine MDD, and total MDD of insulin, exposure to metformin was associated with reduced incidence of cancer (OR 0.46 [95% CI 0.25-0.85], P = 0.014; 0.37 [0.15–0.92], P = 0.032, and 0.55 [0.23-1.32], P = 0.18, in men and women, respectively), whereas sulfonylurea treatment was not (0.75 [0.39-[1.45], P = 0.40). When cancer occurred within 12 months of follow-up of enrollment and matching control subjects were excluded, the ORs for cancer were 0.53 [0.26-1.06], P = 0.074 and 0.86 [0.42-1.06][1.79], P = 0.69, for any exposure to metformin and sulfonylureas, respectively; the corresponding figures for exposure >12 months during follow-up were 0.30 [0.14-0.66], P = 0.003 and 0.70 [0.34-1.41], P = 0.31, for metformin and sulfonylureas, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS — The present results confirm previous findings on the protective effect of metformin with respect to malignancies (1–3). Interest-

ingly, this effect was evident even after adjustment for insulin doses, suggesting that the protective action of metformin cannot be entirely attributed to its insulin-sparing effects. Although insulin has mitogenic properties (10) and metformin reduces insulin requirements in type 2 diabetic patients (7), the decrease in insulin doses determined by metformin does not explain the observed reduction of cancer incidence. This result supports the notion of other mechanisms, independent of insulin dose (6,11,12). It is possible that patients not receiving metformin have a greater incidence of cancer due to comorbidities; the adjustment for a comorbidity score does not eliminate completely the possibility of a prescription bias. Conversely, the protective effect of sulfonylureas did not retain significance in multivariate analysis, suggesting that the higher proportion of sulfonylurea-treated patients among control subjects could be either due to lower comorbidity or metformin cotreatment. The possibility of misdiagnosis of diabetes type in some case subjects should be considered.

Current recommendations suggest a trial of metformin, unless contraindicated, in all insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients (13). This recommendation is motivated by the beneficial effects of metformin on insulin sensitivity, insulin

doses, and glucose control. Beyond all those effects, the reduction of cancer risk could be a further relevant reason for maintaining use of metformin in insulintreated patients.

Acknowledgments — M.M. has received speaking fees from Eli Lilly and sanofi-aventis. N.M. has received speaking fees from Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, and sanofi-aventis, and research grants from Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, and sanofi-aventis. C.R. has received consultancy fees from Eli Lilly, research grants from Eli Lilly, and speaking fees from Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, and sanofi-aventis. E.M. has received consultancy fees from Eli Lilly and Novo Nordisk, speaking fees from Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, and sanofi-aventis, and research grants from Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, and sanofi-aventis. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

M.M. organized the collection of clinical data, performed data analysis, wrote the manuscript, and revised/edited the manuscript. C.C. collected clinical data. D.B. collected administrative data and assisted in study design and data analysis. I.D., S.G., V.V., D.R., C.L., and I.B. collected clinical data. C.M. collected administrative data. A.B. assisted in study design and data analysis. N.M. reviewed/edited manuscript. C.M.R. contributed to discussion and reviewed/edited manuscript. E.M. designed the study, performed data analysis, wrote the manuscript, and reviewed/edited the manuscript.

We thank the following individuals who were involved in the organization of the study, and in the collection and management of data: Alessandro Antenore, Rossella Del Bianco, Mauro Di Bari, Iacopo Iacomelli, Giulio Ippolito, Cristina Marchi, Alberto Marsilii, Daniele Martelli, and Maria Vivarelli, Section of Geriatric Cardiology and Medicine, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Florence and Careggi Teaching Hospital, Florence, Italy; and Michela Bigiarini, Barbara Cresci, and Laura Pala, Section of Endocrinology, Department of Clinical Pathophysiology, University of Florence and Careggi Teaching Hospital, Florence, Italy.

References

- 1. Bowker SL, Majumdar SR, Veugelers P, Johnson JA. Increased cancer-related mortality for patients with type 2 diabetes who use sulfonylureas or insulin. Diabetes Care 2006;29:254–258
- 2. Evans JM, Donnelly LA, Emslie-Smith AM, Alessi DR, Morris AD. Metformin and reduced risk of cancer in diabetic patients. BMJ 2005;330:1304–1305
- 3. Libby G, Donnelly LA, Donnan PT, Alessi DR, Morris AD, Evans JM. New users of metformin are at low risk of incident can-

- cer: a cohort study among people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32: 1620–1625
- 4. Giovannucci E, Harlan DM, Archer MC, Bergenstal RM, Gapstur SM, Habel LA, Pollak M, Regensteiner JG, Yee D. Diabetes and cancer: a consensus report. Diabetes Care 2010;33:1674–1685
- Jiralerspong S, Palla SL, Giordano SH, Meric-Bernstam F, Liedtke C, Barnett CM, Hsu L, Hung MC, Hortobagyi GN, Gonzalez-Angulo AM. Metformin and pathologic complete responses to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in diabetic patients with breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27:3297–3302
- 6. Kisfalvi K, Eibl G, Sinnett-Smith J, Rozengurt E. Metformin disrupts crosstalk between G protein-coupled receptor and insulin receptor signaling systems and in-

- hibits pancreatic cancer growth. Cancer Res 2009;69:6539-6545
- 7. Wulffelé MG, Kooy A, Lehert P, Bets D, Ogterop JC, Borger van der Burg B, Donker AJ, Stehouwer CD. Combination of insulin and metformin in the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2002;25: 2133–2140
- 8. Mannucci E, Monami M, Balzi D, Cresci B, Pala L, Melani C, Lamanna C, Bracali I, Bigiarini M, Barchielli A, Marchionni N, Rotella CM: Doses of insulin and its analogues and cancer occurrence in insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care 2010;33:1997–2003
- Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. J Clin Epidemiol 1994;47: 1245–1251
- 10. Vigneri P, Frasca F, Sciacca L, Pandini

- G, Vigneri R. Diabetes and cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 2009;16:1103–1123
- Zakikhani M, Dowling R, Fantus IG, Sonenberg N, Pollak M. Metformin is an AMP kinase-dependent growth inhibitor for breast cancer cells. Cancer Res 2006;66:10269–10273
- Grenader T, Goldberg A, Shavit L. Metformin as an addition to conventional chemotherapy in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2009:27:e259
- 13. Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB, Ferrannini E, Holman RR, Sherwin R, Zinman B. Medical management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy: a consensus statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2009;32:193–203