



FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Clinical significance of genetic aberrations in secondary acute myeloid leukemia

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Clinical significance of genetic aberrations in secondary acute myeloid leukemia / Milosevic JD; Puda A; Malcovati L; Berg T; Hofbauer M; Stukalov A; Klampf T; Harutyunyan AS; Gisslinger H; Gisslinger B; Burjanivova T; Rumi E; Pietra D; Elena C; Vannucchi AM; Doubek M; Dvorakova D; Robesova B; Wieser R; Koller E; Suvajdzic N; Tomin D; Tosic N; Colinge J; Racil Z; Steurer M; Pavlovic S; Cazzola M; Kralovics R. -In: AMERICAN JOURNAL OF HEMATOLOGY. - ISSN 1096-8652. - STAMPA. - 87:(2012), pp. 1010-1016.

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/733526 since: 2017-10-21T11:21:16Z

Published version: DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23309

Terms of use: Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

Clinical significance of genetic aberrations in secondary acute myeloid leukemia

Jelena D. Milosevic,¹ Ana Puda,¹ Luca Malcovati,² Tiina Berg,¹ Michael Hofbauer,¹ Alexey Stukalov,¹ Thorsten Klampfl,¹ Ashot S. Harutyunyan,¹ Heinz Gisslinger,³ Bettina Gisslinger,³ Tatiana Burjanivova,⁴ Elisa Rumi,² Daniela Pietra,² Chiara Elena,² Alessandro M. Vannucchi,⁵ Michael Doubek,^{6,7} Dana Dvorakova,⁶ Blanka Robesova,⁶ Rotraud Wieser,⁸ Elisabeth Koller,⁹ Nada Suvajdzic,¹⁰ Dragica Tomin,¹⁰ Natasa Tosic,¹¹ Jacques Colinge,¹ Zdenek Racil,^{6,7} Michael Steurer,¹² Sonja Pavlovic,¹¹ Mario Cazzola,² and Robert Kralovics^{1,3*}

The study aimed to identify genetic lesions associated with secondary acute myeloid leukemia (sAML) in comparison with AML arising de novo (dnAML) and assess their impact on patients' overall survival (OS). High-resolution genotyping and loss of heterozygosity mapping was performed on DNA samples from 86 sAML and 117 dnAML patients, using Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP 6.0 arrays. Genes TP53, RUNX1, CBL, IDH1/2, NRAS, NPM1, and FLT3 were analyzed for mutations in all patients. We identified 36 recurrent cytogenetic aberrations (more than five events). Mutations in TP53, 9pUPD, and del7q (targeting CUX1 locus) were significantly associated with sAML, while NPM1 and FLT3 mutations associated with dnAML. Patients with sAML carrying TP53 mutations demonstrated lower 1-year OS rate than those with wild-type TP53 (14.3% \pm 9.4% vs. 35.4% \pm 7.2%; P = 0.002), while complex karyotype, del7q (CUX1) and del7p (IKZF1) showed no significant effect on OS. Multivariate analysis confirmed that mutant TP53 was the only independent adverse prognostic factor for OS in sAML (hazard ratio 2.67; 95% CI: 1.33–5.37; P =0.006). Patients with dnAML and complex karyotype carried sAML-associated defects (TP53 defects in 54.5%, deletions targeting FOXP1 and ETV6 loci in 45.4% of the cases). We identified several co-occurring lesions associated with either sAML or dnAML diagnosis. Our data suggest that distinct genetic lesions drive leukemogenesis in sAML. High karyotype complexity of sAML patients does not influence OS. Somatic mutations in TP53 are the only independent adverse prognostic factor in sAML. Patients with dnAML and complex karyotype show genetic features associated with sAML and myeloproliferative neoplasms. Am. J. Hematol. 87:1010–1016, 2012. © 2012 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Introduction

The continuous production of terminally differentiated blood cells in the hematopoietic system is a tightly regulated process involving self-renewal, proliferation, and differentiation of stem and progenitor cells. Disruption of this process by acquired genetic lesions may cause the dominance of stem cell clones with variable output of myeloid cells. As a consequence, the production of terminally differentiated cells may be excessive resulting in myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) or deficient accompanied with dysplasia, with or without the presence of blasts, resulting in myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS).

The classic *BCR-ABL*-negative MPNs include three disease entities—polycythemia vera (PV), essential thrombocythemia (ET), and primary myelofibrosis (PMF) [1]. In 95% of PV and approximately half of ET and PMF cases the initiation of the clinical phenotype is hallmarked by somatic mutations in the *JAK2* gene [2–5], often amplified to homozygosity by uniparental disomy (UPD) of chromosome 9p [6]. MDS are a heterogeneous group of disorders and a

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

¹CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria; ²Department of Hematology, University of Pavia, Fondazione IRCCS Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy; ³Department of Internal Medicine I, Division of Hematology and Blood Coagulation, Medical University of Vienna, Austria; ⁴Department of Molecular Biology, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Martin, Martin, Slovakia; ⁵Section of Hematology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Brno, Masaryk University Brno, Czech Republic; ⁷CEITEC—Central European Institute of Technology, Masaryk University Brno, Brno, Czech Republic; ⁸Clinic of Medicine I, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ⁹Medical Department III, Center for Hematology and Oncology, Hanusch Hospital, Vienna, Austria; ¹⁰Clinic of Hematology, Clinical Center of Serbia, University of Belgrade, School of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia; ¹¹Institute of Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia; ¹²Division of Hematology and Oncology, Innsbruck University Hospital, Innsbruck, Austria

Conflict of interest: The authors have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

*Correspondence to: Robert Kralovics, CeMM Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, Lazarettgasse 14, BT25.3, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: robert.kralovics@cemm.oeaw.ac.at

Contract grant sponsors: Austrian Science Fund and the MPN Research Foundation (to R.K.); Contract grant number: P23257-B12; Contract grant sponsor: Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC, Milano) "Special Program Molecular Clinical Oncology 5×1000" to AGIMM (AIRC-Gruppo Italiano Malattie Mieloproliferative—available at: http://www.progettoagimm.it) (to M.C. and A.M.V.); Contract grant number: 1005; Contract grant sponsor: Fondazione Cariplo (to M.C.); Contract grant sponsor: AIRC (to A.M.V.); Contract grant number: 1005; Contract grant sponsor: Italian Society of Experimental Hematology (SIES) and Italian Ministry of Health for young investigator (to E.R.); Contract grant sponsor: "Support of human resources development using the most modern methods and forms of education at JFM CU in Martin" (to T.B.); Contract grant number: ITMS 26110230031; Contract grant sponsor: Ministry of Education and Science of Republic of Serbia (MES RS) (to S.P., N.T., N.S., D.T.); Contract grant number: Project III 41004.

Received for publication 2 July 2012; Accepted 2 July 2012

Am. J. Hematol. 87:1010-1016, 2012.

Published online 9 July 2012 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23309

© 2012 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

number of genetic lesions have been implicated in their pathogenesis, including del5q [7], as well as mutations in the RNA splicing pathway [8], TET2 [9], EZH2 [10], and other genes. MPN and MDS are chronic disorders with an elevated risk of disease progression to secondary acute myeloid leukemia (sAML). The rate of leukemic transformation in BCR-ABL-negative MPN patients is 7% [11], while MDS patients transform in 30% of the cases [12]. Disease progression to sAML is characterized by the presence of >20% of blasts in the bone marrow and sequential acquisition of genetic aberrations [13,14]. Although several studies on limited patient cohorts showed association of gene mutations and cytogenetic aberrations with leukemic transformation of MPN and MDS, the leukemogenesis process remains poorly understood. Mutations in FLT3, NRAS [15], NPM1 [16], RUNX1 [17], DNMT3A [18], IDH1, IDH2 [19], TET2 [20,21], and TP53 [22] have been implicated in leukemic transformation, as well as several chromosomal aberrations, such as deletions of IKZF1 [23], JARID2, AEBP2 [24], and amplifications of MDM4 [22].

Studies of clonal hierarchy showed that sAML can arise on the background of the chronic phase founder clone or alternatively as an independent event, resembling dnAML [25–27]. Unlike dnAML patients who often achieve complete remission after treatment, patients who transform to sAML have very poor prognosis and die within a few months following AML diagnosis [28], suggesting that leukemogenesis must differ substantially between sAML and dnAML.

Since the genetic basis of sAML is mainly unknown, the aim of our study was to delineate genetic profiles of sAML patients using high-resolution genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays, as well as direct sequencing of genes known to be involved in AML pathogenesis. Furthermore, we aimed to compare the frequency of recurrent genetic lesions in sAML and dnAML, and analyze their prognostic significance in order to define which genetic aberrations account for the poor prognosis of sAML patients. We used a bioinformatic approach to study cooccurrence of genetic aberrations and their association with patient diagnosis, in order to identify new genes involved in leukemogenesis and define potential new markers that would allow better genetic stratification of AML patients.

Methods

Patient samples. A total of 203 patients were included in this study, 117 diagnosed with dnAML and 86 with sAML. Patients diagnosed with therapy-related AML were not included in the study. Patients were diagnosed as sAML according to the 2008 WHO classification, and all samples were collected at the time of sAML diagnosis. Time to leukemic transformation was measured from the time of MPN/MDS diagnosis to the date of sAML diagnosis. Clinical data were available for 184 patients (110 dnAML and 74 sAML). Classical cytogenetic analysis was performed according to routine cytogenetic procedures, using GTG-banding technique. Peripheral blood samples from patients were collected from institutions in Italy, Austria, Czech Republic, and Serbia, following the local ethical regulations. Genomic DNA was isolated from granulocytes, bone marrow, or whole blood samples, following standard protocols.

Microarray analysis. All patients' DNA samples were processed and hybridized to Genome-Wide Human SNP 6.0 arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Raw data were analyzed using Genotyping Console Version 3.0.1 software (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) for quality, identification of copy number alterations, and losses of heterozygosity. Detected chromosomal aberrations (gains, deletions, and UPDs) were annotated. The criteria for annotating UPD regions were terminal location on the chromosome and size of >1 Mb. UPDs found in patients with numerous interstitial runs of homozygosity (>10 Mb) were excluded from further analysis, as they infer parental consanguinity [29]. All aberrations mapping to known copy number variation loci according to the Database of Genomic Variants (DGV Version 5, human reference genome assembly hg18) were not annotated. *Mutational analysis.* Exon sequencing of all coding exons of *RUNX1*, *TP53*, as well as exon 1 of *NRAS*, exons 8 and 9 of *CBL*, exons 4 of *IDH1* and *IDH2* was performed using BigDye Terminator Version 3.1 cycle-sequencing kit and the 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequencher Version 4.9 software (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI) was used for sequence analysis. Screening of *FLT3* internal tandem duplications, *FLT3* mutations at position D835 and insertions in exon 12 of *NPM1* were performed as previously described [30,31]. All primer sequences are listed in Supporting Information Table I.

Statistical analysis. Patient characteristics, frequencies of mutations, and chromosomal aberrations were compared using the Fisher's exact test for categorical data and Mann-Whitney test for continuous data. OS was measured from the date of AML diagnosis to time of death from any cause. Patients were censored at the time of the last follow-up. In the sAML patient cohort 52 patients died during the followup, while 22 patients were censored. Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan-Meier method. The comparison of OS curves was performed using the log-rank test and multivariate analysis of OS using the Cox regression model. All calculated *P* values are two-tailed. SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) statistical software package was used for statistical analyses. The negative interaction information analysis was performed as described in the Supporting Information methods.

Results

Patient characteristics

The study included a total of 203 patient samples, diagnosed with sAML (N = 86) or de novo AML (N = 117). The sAML patient cohort consisted of 48 patients with a previous diagnosis of MPN, 36 with MDS, and 2 with MPN/MDS overlap. The median duration of chronic phase before transformation was 5 years (range 0.2–22.8). Clinical characteristics of the patient cohort are summarized in Supporting Information Table II. Patients with sAML were treated according to different therapeutic protocols (Supporting Information Table III), however, this did not influence the outcome since most sAML patients did not respond to therapy. Nine sAML patients received allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Frequencies of mutations in genes associated with AML differ between sAML and dnAML

To investigate whether the frequency of mutations in genes commonly affected in dnAML is the same in sAML we screened for mutations in FLT3, NPM1, TP53, CBL, IDH1, IDH2, RUNX1, and NRAS (Fig. 1A) in both patient groups. Within the sAML patient cohort we did not observe any significant difference in frequency of mutations with respect to the previous diagnosis (Supporting Information Fig. 2). At least one gene mutation was found in 56.41% of dnAML and 52.38% of sAML patients. In dnAML patients the most frequent were NPM1 mutations, present in 24.79% (29 of 17) of cases, followed by FLT3 mutations found in 23.93% (28 of 117) of patients. The frequency of these mutations was significantly lower in sAML patients, where NPM1 was mutated in 4.94% and *FLT3* in 7.23% of cases (P = 0.002 and P =0.016, respectively). In contrast, TP53 mutations were found in 16.67% of sAML and only 4.27% of dnAML cases (P =0.046). The frequencies of mutations in RUNX1, IDH1, IDH2, CBL, and NRAS were not significantly different in the two patient groups (Fig. 1A). TP53 and RUNX1 mutations mainly affected the DNA-binding domains of these proteins (Supporting Information Fig. 1). The list of all mutations in analyzed genes and their PolyPhen-2 prediction scores of functional effects are listed in Supporting Information Table IV. Furthermore, we observed that certain mutations cooccur, while others show mutual exclusivity (Fig. 1B-E). Cooccurrence of NPM1 and FLT3 mutations was observed in dnAML only, while RUNX1 and FLT3 mutations co-occurred in 4 dnAML and 2 sAML patients (Fig. 1B,C). In both patient groups, IDH1 and IDH2 mutations were mutually exclusive. Mutations in TP53 were found to be mutually exclusive with IDH1/2 in sAML (Fig. 1E).



Figure 1. Gene mutation profiles in secondary (sAML) and de novo acute myeloid leukemia (dnAML). (A) Comparison of mutational frequencies in genes affected in myeloid malignancies shows significant bias of *FLT3* and *NPM1* mutations toward dnAML and *TP53* toward sAML. All *P* values have been corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni correction. The co-occurrence of mutations in dnAML (B) and sAML (C) is shown with Circos diagrams [32]. The length of the arc corresponds to the frequency of mutations in the individual gene, while the width of the ribbons connecting two arcs corresponds to the number of patients carrying both mutations. Certain mutations show mutual exclusivity in both dnAML (D) and sAML (E). Each vertical line represents one patient with at least one mutation.

Microarray-based karyotyping of sAML and dnAML

To get a deeper insight into the genetic complexity of sAML and dnAML, we performed high-resolution genomewide analysis of DNA copy number abnormalities and losses of heterozygosity on 200 samples (114 dnAML and 86 sAML) using Affymetrix Genome-Wide SNP 6.0 arrays. Comparing with only 11.4% of dnAML cases, 44.2% of sAML patients presented with a complex karyotype (P <0.0001), defined as more than or equal to three unrelated chromosomal aberrations not included in the WHO 2008 classification criteria [13]. In 17.4% (15 of 86) of the sAML cases we could not detect any chromosomal aberration, which was significantly lower comparing with 37.7% (43 of 114) of such dnAML patients (P = 0.0017). In the remaining samples we detected a total of 669 chromosomal aberrations, mainly deletions (59%, 395 of 669), but also gains (27.95%, 187 of 669) and UPDs (13%, 87 of 669) (Fig. 2). We found 36 recurrent chromosomal aberrations, present in more than five patients in our cohort (Table I). High number of deletions allowed the fine mapping of common deleted regions (CDR) to <1 Mb on specific chromosomal arms, pointing out the possible target genes, such as CUX1, IKZF1, TET2, JARID2, SUZ12, RUNX1, TET1, and NF1.

We compared the frequency of recurrent chromosomal aberrations in the two patient groups and found that 18 out of 36 recurrent cytogenetic aberrations show a bias toward sAML. However, after applying Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, only four chromosomal aberrations significantly associated with sAML (Table I). The strongest association was observed for 9pUPD, as a consequence of high prevalence of this aberration in chronic phase of MPN. The second strongest association was observed between sAML and del7q22.1 mapping to tumor suppressor *CUX1* locus. Interestingly, gains of chromosome 1q32.1, targeting the *MDM4* locus, were found exclusively in sAML patients (N = 6).

We also compared the frequency of recurrent chromosomal aberrations in sAML patients who developed AML following MPN or MDS chronic phase, and found that besides 9pUPD which showed association with previous MPN diagnosis, all other aberrations occurred in similar frequencies in both post-MPN and post-MDS AML (Supporting Information Table V).

All patients with 13qUPD (N = 7) and 17pUPD (N = 4) were carrying homozygous mutations in *FLT3* and *TP53*, respectively. Both *TP53* alleles were affected in 13 out of 19 patients with *TP53* mutations (Supporting Information Table VI). *CBL* mutations were amplified by 11qUPD in four cases, however, three sAML patients with 11qUPD did not carry mutations in *CBL*.

Association of recurrent genetic lesions

In order to analyze the association of recurrent genetic lesions, we performed Fisher's exact test on all pairs of an-



Figure 2. Whole-genome view of all chromosomal aberrations and gene mutations in 86 sAML and 117 dnAML patients. Each line represents the whole genome of one patient in which at least one genetic aberration was detected with Affymetrix Genome-Wide Human SNP 6.0 arrays. The size and physical position of each chromosomal aberration is represented with a colored bar. Deletions are represented in red, gains in green, and uniparental disomies in blue. Mutations in analyzed genes are shown as filled boxes. UPN, unique patient number.

alyzed gene mutations and recurrent cytogenetic aberrations (more than five events), provided they are located on different chromosomes. Supporting Information Table VII lists all significant aberration pairs (N = 16). Del5q was found to be associated with *TP53* mutations (P = 0.0004), del12p targeting *ETV6* locus (P = 0.0022), del17p (P =0.0159), and del7q (P = 0.0251). We also found association of del18q with gain of 20q (P = 0.0033) and del4q targeting *TET2* locus with del20q (P = 0.0153).

The negative interaction information analysis was performed to detect the pairs of aberrations that have different patterns of association (co-occurrence or mutual exclusivity) depending on the disease subtype. The top 100 hits obtained from this analysis are listed in Supporting Information Table VIII. We observed that del5q frequently occurs together with 9pUPD and *TP53* mutations in sAML patients, and that *TP53* mutations and del10q targeting *TET1* gene locus are present at the same time in four sAML patients, while these aberrations never co-occur in dnAML.

Prognostic significance of recurrent genetic lesions

In order to define which genetic feature had the most adverse effect on the survival of patients with sAML, we analyzed the influence of mutations in *TP53*, del7q (*CUX1*), del7p (*IKZF1*), and presence of complex karyotype. These features were chosen because they were found to associate with sAML and are rare in both dnAML and chronic phase of MPN and MDS [31]. We focused our analysis on overall survival since most of the sAML patients did not respond to therapy or died early following sAML diagnosis. Patients with sAML carrying *TP53* mutations showed a significantly shorter median OS (1.8 months, 95% CI, 0.8–2.8), compared with patients with wild-type *TP53* (5.6 months, 95% CI, 1.5–9.7; P = 0.002) (Fig. 3A). Karyotype complexity did not show a significant impact on OS of sAML patients. The

TABLE I. Association of Recurrent (Mo	ore Than Five Events) (Chromosomal Aberrations with	Patient Diagnosis
---------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Chromosomal aberration	Start position	End position	Chromosomal band	% of sAML patients	% of dnAML patients	Total no. events	Target gene locus	Ρ	P value after Bonferroni correction	
9p UPD	1	9,000,000	9p24.3	17.4	0	15		<0.001	<0.01	
7q LOSS	101,350,000	102,000,000	7q22.1	20.9	4.4	23	CUX1	< 0.001	0.018	
7q LOSS	65,180,000	65,830,000	7q11.21	13.9	1.75	14		0.001	0.043	
7q LOSS	148,400,000	150,400,000	7q36.1	22.1	6.1	26		0.001	0.043	
20q LOSS	35,000,000	35,100,000	20q11.23	8.1	0	7		0.002	0.086	
4q LOSS	106,000,000	10,664,0000	4q24	10.5	0.9	10	TET2	0.005	0.191	
20g LOSS	36,000,000	36,170,000	20q11.23	9.3	0.9	9		0.005	0.198	
1q GAIN	201,000,000	204,496,000	1q32.1	7	0	6		0.006	0.205	
9q GAIN	78,900,000	79,650,000	9q21	7	0	6		0.006	0.205	
20q LOSS	33,500,000	34,000,000	20q11	7	0	6		0.006	0.205	
7p LOSS	11,000,000	18,000,000	7p21	11.6	2.6	13		0.017	0.63	
7p LOSS	50,080,000	50,600,000	7p12.2	13.9	4.4	17	IKZF1	0.021	0.752	
7p LOSS	38,200,000	38,390,000	7p14.1	12.8	3.5	15		0.027	0.968	
5q LOSS	130,900,000	139,400,000	5q31	18.6	7.9	25		0.030	1	
5q LOSS	89,489,000	95,350,000	5q14->q15	16.3	6.1	21		0.034	1	
Monosomy 7				8.1	1.7	9		0.040	1	
9p GAIN	1	38,761,000	9p24	7	0.9	7		0.044	1	
11q UPD	118,500,000	134,452,384	11q23.3->qter	7	0.9	7		0.044	1	
6p LOSS	5,150,000	5,460,000	6p25.1	5.8	0.9	6		0.086	1	
6p LOSS	14,130,000	15,800,000	6p23	5.8	0.9	6	JARID2	0.086	1	
6p LOSS	19,955,000	20,820,000	6p22.3	5.8	0.9	6		0.086	1	
18q LOSS	75,100,000	75,950,000	18q23	5.8	0.9	6		0.086	1	
12p LOSS	11,800,000	12,900,000	12p13	10.5	4.4	14	ETV6	0.159	1	
3p LOSS	71,600,000	73,000,000	3p13	1.2	5.3	7	FOXP1	0.242	1	
Trisomy 8				12.8	8	18		0.341	1	
20g GAIN	29,300,000	30,520,000	20q11.21	4.6	1.7	6		0.405	1	
17q LOSS	26,000,000	26,600,000	17q11.2	9.3	6.1	15	NF1	0.427	1	
21q GAIN	45,700,000	46,400,000	21q22.3	5.8	3.5	9		0.503	1	
21q GAIN	36,300,000	40,250,000	21q22	5.8	4.4	10		0.748	1	
17q LOSS	27,200,000	27,400,000	17q11.2	8.1	7	15	SUZ12	0.792	1	
9q LOSS	92,300,000	93,850,000	9q22	2.3	3.5	6		1	1	
13q UPD	106,100,000	114,142,980	13q33->qter	3.5	4.4	8		1	1	
21q GAIN	13,280,000	27,000,000	21q11->q21	4.6	4.4	9		1	1	
12q LOSS	92,900,000	93,400,000	12q22	3.5	2.6	6		1	1	
17p LOSS	4,850,000	5,170,000	17p13.2	4.6	4.4	9		1	1	
17p LOSS	7,080,000	8,080,000	17p13.1	4.6	5.3	10		1	1	

UPD, uniparental disomy; sAML, secondary acute myeloid leukemia; dnAML, de novo acute myeloid leukemia.



Figure 3. Impact of recurrent genetic lesions and karyotype complexity on overall survival (OS) of patients with secondary acute myeloid leukemia (sAML) represented by Kaplan-Meier curves. (A) OS in sAML patients with mutated (N = 14) or wild-type *TP53* (N = 59). (B) OS in sAML patients with complex (N = 31) or noncomplex (N = 43) karyotype. (C) OS of sAML patients according to presence (N = 17) or absence (N = 57) of del7q targeting *CUX1* locus. (D) OS of sAML patients according to presence (N = 9) or absence (N = 9) or absence (N = 65) of del7p targeting *IKZF1* locus.

research article

median survival of sAML patients with complex karyotype was 4 months (95% CI, 2.5–5.5), while the OS of patients with noncomplex karyotype was 8 months (95% CI, 3.0–13.0) (Fig. 3B). The effect of del7q (*CUX1*) and del7p (*IKZF1*) on OS of sAML patients was not significant (Fig. 3C,D). We included age, complex karyotype, mutations in *TP53*, del7p, and del7q in the model and performed multivariate analysis of OS of sAML patients. The multivariate analysis confirmed that mutated *TP53* was the only independent adverse prognostic factor for OS (hazard ratio 2.67; 95%CI, 1.33–5.37; P = 0.006) in sAML (Table II).

De novo AML patients with complex karyotype exhibit genetic features of secondary AML

There were 11 dnAML patients with complex karyotype in our patient cohort with available clinical data. The median OS of these patients was 3 months (95% CI, 2.0-4.0), and all patients died during the follow-up. To gain better understanding of poor OS of patients with complex karyotype within the dnAML group we looked at genetic profiles of each of these patients (Table III) and found that TP53 was affected in 54.5% (N = 6 of 11) of these patients. TP53 mutations were present in three patients, and additional three patients carried a deletion of TP53 locus on chromosome 17p. TP53 mutations were only present in this subtype of dnAML. We also found del7p (IKZF1) and del7q (CUX1) in four and three patients, respectively. In addition, these patients carried deletions mapping to the transcription factors FOXP1 (45.4%, N = 5 of 11) and ETV6 (45.4%, N = 5 of 11) (Table III). Taken together we observed a number of genetic features present in dnAML with complex karyotype typical for either MPN or sAML.

Discussion

The objective of this study was to examine to what extent the genetic basis of leukemogenesis in post-MPN and post-MDS AML differs from dnAML, by systematic analysis of genetic profiles of sAML and dnAML patients. We grouped the patients who developed sAML after MPN or MDS chronic phase, since we did not observe any genetic difference between the two groups in the leukemic stage. The comparison of mutational frequency in genes known to be affected in myeloid malignancies showed that *TP53* mutations significantly associated with sAML, whereas *NPM1* and *FLT3* were prominently involved in

TABLE II. Multivariate Analysis of Overall Survival Duration of Patients with Secondary Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Variables	Hazard ratio	95% CI	Р
TP53 mutation	2.67	1.33–5.37	0.006
Complex karyotype	1.31	0.69-2.49	0.411
Del7q (CUX1)	0.76	0.33-1.75	0.527
Del7p (IKZF1)	1.27	0.43-3.78	0.659
Age	2.18	0.97-4.90	0.059

dnAML. *IDH1/2*, *NRAS*, *RUNX1*, and *CBL* mutations seem to be universally contributing to dnAML and sAML leukemogenesis. The mutual exclusivity of certain mutations such as *IDH1/2* with *CBL*, *TP53* and with *NRAS* confirms that distinct leukemogenic pathways are involved in sAML [33].

We extended the genetic marker analysis by karyotyping patients with high-resolution SNP arrays. The high number of chromosomal aberrations observed enabled us to fine map CDRs to several putative tumor suppressor gene loci (Table I). Compared with dnAML, we observed higher karvotype complexity in sAML and identified a number of cytogenetic lesions differentially distributed in both AML groups. Previous attempts to identify karyotype differences between sAML and dnAML were limited with the use of classical cytogenetic methods, although the association of del7q with sAML was previously reported [34]. Association of del7q was recently confirmed by SNP array karyotyping and the 7q CDR was mapped to the CUX1 locus [31]. Cux1 deficiency negatively affects the expression of ATM/p53 pathway members [35]. CUX1 deletions in sAML must have a weaker effect on DNA damage response pathways compared with mutated TP53 as we did not observe influence of CUX1 deletions on OS of patients, whereas mutated TP53 negatively impacts OS. Our study convincingly showed for the first time that mutated TP53 in sAML is a strong independent prognostic factor of poor survival. Previous reports showed worse survival of TP53 mutated dnAML patients with complex karyotype [36,37]. The importance of p53 in the leukemogenesis of sAML is further supported by our finding that gains of 1g32.1 harboring the MDM4 (known inhibitor of p53) were found exclusively in secondary AML. They often co-occurred with del7q (CUX1) (N = 4 cases), suggesting that DNA damage response pathway defects play a crucial role in sAML pathogenesis.

A recent report of complex clonal architecture in sAML, showing various rare mutations in each patient [14] highlights the need for identification of robust genetic markers with relatively high frequency. Since monoallelic *TP53* mutations are detectable in chronic phase MPN [22] and MDS [38] (3.5% and 7.5% of the cases, respectively), screening for *TP53* mutations in chronic myeloid malignancies could be a useful marker predicting leukemic transformation.

The analysis of pair-wise association of genetic aberrations identified several new collaborating defects, such as del5q and del12p (*ETV6*), which require further functional validation. We confirmed previous reports that *TP53* mutations are often found together with 5q deletions [39]. It is unclear at this point to what extent the poor prognosis associated with mutated *TP53* is influenced by 5q deletions.

The high rate of karyotype complexity and its nonsignificant effect on OS in sAML suggests that many chromo-

	Olimiani and Constin	Observation of Dal	Maria Asuka Musala	del Laudranda Detlanta -	while O a manufactor Manufactor and
IABLE III.	Clinical and Genetic	Characteristics of De I	inovo acute iviveio	d Leukemia Patients v	NITH COMPLEX Karvotype

				Overall survival	Complex									del7a	del3p	del7p	del12p
UPN	Sex	Age	FAB	(months)	karyotype	NPM1	FLT3	IDH1/2	RUNX1	NRAS	CBL	TP53	del17p	(CUX1)	(FOXP1)	(IKZF1)	(ETV6)
4	F	30	MO	0.5	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	_	_	_	Yes	-
11	Μ	41	M5	3	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	-	_	-	-	-
42	F	30	M2	5	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	G13D	Wt	Wt	-	_	-	-	-
44	F	61	M2	3	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Yes	-	Yes	Yes	Yes
51	F	63	M6	0.5	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	S418Pfs	Wt	Wt	Y220C	Yes	Yes	_	-	-
67	Μ	55	M2	3	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Yes	_	-	-	-
68	F	66	M2	1	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	G12C	Wt	S215G/R337C	-	Yes	-	yes	Yes
72	F	82	M4	2	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	G12D	Wt	Wt	-	Yes	Yes	yes	Yes
86	Μ	50	M1	3.4	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes
104	Μ	60	M4	10.6	Yes	Wt	Wt	R132C	Wt	Wt	Wt	G279E	Yes	_	Yes	-	-
121	М	70	M0	4.3	Yes	Wt	Wt	Wt	Wt	G12D	R420V	Wt	-	-	Yes	-	Yes

research article

somal lesions represent functionally irrelevant aberrations and that chromosomal instability is a hallmark of sAML pathogenesis. The karyotype complexity in sAML is likely due to longer clonal evolution before leukemic transformation. A recent study showed that therapies administered during chronic phase of MPN do not associate with increased risk of AML transformation, and that 25% of therapy-naive patients develop AML [40]. However, a different study with longer observation time reported increased transformation rates in patients treated with pipobroman or hydroxyurea [41]. It is likely that certain patient-specific adaptations to therapies might utilize pathways promoting leukemic transformation, such as the DNA damage response pathway. In contrast to sAML, complex karyotype significantly contributed to poor OS in the dnAML patients as previously reported [42]. Interestingly, the majority of dnAML patients with complex karyotype exhibited genetic features typical for either chronic phase MPN or sAML. These lesions included mutated TP53, presence of CUX1 deletions, and deletions of FOXP1 and ETV6 previously shown to be associated with chronic MPN [31]. This observation suggests that a number of patients diagnosed as dnAML with complex karyotype might in fact be sAML patients with a previously undiagnosed MPN or MDS either due to masked chronic phase phenotypes or absence of hematological examination preceding leukemic transformation.

We have shown that the genetic features of AML arising de novo substantially differ from post-MPN and post-MDS AML. Our data reinforce the fact that dnAML and sAML should be treated as separate AML subtypes. Furthermore, genetic resemblance of dnAML with complex karyotype and sAML indicate that these patients might constitute a relatively homogenous group for therapeutic intervention. This study demonstrates the use of genetic stratification of AML patients and suggests that despite the immense genetic heterogeneity among AML patients, certain markers have strong influence on patients' survival.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Paola Guglielmelli, Lisa Pieri, Klaudia Bagienski, and Martin Schalling for their technical assistance and valuable contributions.

References

- 1. Campbell PJ, Green AR. The myeloproliferative disorders. N Engl J Med 2006;355:2452-2466.
- Baxter EJ, Scott LM, Campbell PJ, et al. Acquired mutation of the tyrosine kinase JAK2 in human myeloproliferative disorders. Lancet 2005;365:1054–1061.
- James C, Ugo V, Le Couedic JP, et al. A unique clonal JAK2 mutation leading to constitutive signalling causes polycythaemia vera. Nature 2005;434:1144–1148.
- Kralovics R, Passamonti F, Buser AS, et al. A gain-of-function mutation of JAK2 in myeloproliferative disorders. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1779–1790.
- Levine RL, Wadleigh M, Cools J, et al. Activating mutation in the tyrosine kinase JAK2 in polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia, and myeloid metaplasia with myelofibrosis. Cancer Cell 2005;7:387–397.
- Kralovics R, Guan Y, Prchal JT. Acquired uniparental disomy of chromosome 9p is a frequent stem cell defect in polycythemia vera. Exp Hematol 2002;30:229–236.
- Bejar R, Levine R, Ebert BL. Unraveling the molecular pathophysiology of myelodysplastic syndromes. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:504–515.
- Yoshida K, Sanada M, Shiraishi Y, et al. Frequent pathway mutations of splicing machinery in myelodysplasia. Nature 2011;478:64–69.
- 9. Langemeijer SM, Kuiper RP, Berends M, et al. Acquired mutations in TET2 are common in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat Genet 2009;41:838–842.
- Nikoloski G, Langemeijer SM, Kuiper RP, et al. Somatic mutations of the histone methyltransferase gene EZH2 in myelodysplastic syndromes. Nat Genet 2010;42:665–667.
- Abdulkarim K, Girodon F, Johansson P, et al. AML transformation in 56 patients with Ph-MPD in two well defined populations. Eur J Haematol 2009;82:106–111.
- Greenberg P, Cox C, LeBeau MM, et al. International scoring system for evaluating prognosis in myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood 1997;89:2079–2088.
- Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: Rationale and important changes. Blood 2009;114:937–951.

- Walter MJ, Shen D, Ding L, et al. Clonal architecture of secondary acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1090–1098.
- Shih LY, Huang CF, Wang PN, et al. Acquisition of FLT3 or N-ras mutations is frequently associated with progression of myelodysplastic syndrome to acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2004;18:466–475.
- Schnittger S, Bacher U, Haferlach C, et al. Characterization of NPM1-mutated AML with a history of myelodysplastic syndromes or myeloproliferative neoplasms. Leukemia 2011;25:615–621.
- Dicker F, Haferlach C, Sundermann J, et al. Mutation analysis for RUNX1, MLL-PTD, FLT3-ITD, NPM1 and NRAS in 269 patients with MDS or secondary AML. Leukemia 2010;24:1528–1532.
- Walter MJ, Ding L, Shen D, et al. Recurrent DNMT3A mutations in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Leukemia 2011;25:1153–1158.
- Tefferi A, Jimma T, Sulai NH, et al. IDH mutations in primary myelofibrosis predict leukemic transformation and shortened survival: clinical evidence for leukemogenic collaboration with JAK2V617F. Leukemia 2012;26: 475–480.
- Delhommeau F, Dupont S, Della Valle V, et al. Mutation in TET2 in myeloid cancers. N Engl J Med 2009;360:2289–2301.
- Tefferi A. Novel mutations and their functional and clinical relevance in myeloproliferative neoplasms: JAK2, MPL, TET2, ASXL1, CBL, IDH and IKZF1. Leukemia 2010;24:1128–1138.
- Harutyunyan A, Klampfl T, Cazzola M, et al. p53 lesions in leukemic transformation. New Engl J Med 2011;364:488–490.
- Jager R, Gisslinger H, Passamonti F, et al. Deletions of the transcription factor Ikaros in myeloproliferative neoplasms. Leukemia 2010;24:1290–1298.
- Puda A, Milosevic JD, Berg T, et al. Frequent deletions of JARID2 in leukemic transformation of chronic myeloid malignancies. Am J Hematol 2012;87: 245–250.
- Beer PA, Delhommeau F, LeCouedic JP, et al. Two routes to leukemic transformation after a JAK2 mutation-positive myeloproliferative neoplasm. Blood 2010;115:2891–2900.
- Roug AS, Nyvold CG, Juhl-Christensen C, et al. A patient with a 20-year lag phase between JAK2-V617F+ myeloproliferation and NPM1-mutated AML arguing against a common origin of disease. Eur J Haematol 2011;87: 461–463.
- Green A, Beer P. Somatic mutations of IDH1 and IDH2 in the leukemic transformation of myeloproliferative neoplasms. N Engl J Med 2010;362:369–370.
- Sekeres MA, Elson P, Kalaycio ME, et al. Time from diagnosis to treatment initiation predicts survival in younger, but not older, acute myeloid leukemia patients. Blood 2009;113:28–36.
- McQuillan R, Leutenegger AL, Abdel-Rahman R, et al. Runs of homozygosity in European populations. Am J Hum Genet 2008;83:359–372.
- Murphy KM, Levis M, Hafez MJ, et al. Detection of FLT3 internal tandem duplication and D835 mutations by a multiplex polymerase chain reaction and capillary electrophoresis assay. J Mol Diagn 2003;5:96–102.
- Klampfl T, Harutyunyan A, Berg T, et al. Genome integrity of myeloproliferative neoplasms in chronic phase and during disease progression. Blood 2011;118:167–176.
- Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, et al. Circos: An information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res 2009;19:1639–1645.
- Figueroa ME, Abdel-Wahab O, Lu C, et al. Leukemic IDH1 and IDH2 mutations result in a hypermethylation phenotype, disrupt TET2 function, and impair hematopoietic differentiation. Cancer Cell 2010;18:553–567.
- Preiss BS, Bergmann OJ, Friis LS, et al. Cytogenetic findings in adult secondary acute myeloid leukemia (AML): Frequency of favorable and adverse chromosomal aberrations do not differ from adult de novo AML. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2010;202:108–122.
- Vadnais C, Davoudi S, Afshin M, et al. CUX1 transcription factor is required for optimal ATM/ATR-mediated responses to DNA damage. Nucleic Acids Res 2012;40:4483–4495.
- Rucker FG, Schlenk RF, Bullinger L, et al. TP53 alterations in acute myeloid leukemia with complex karyotype correlate with specific copy number alterations, monosomal karyotype, and dismal outcome. Blood 2012;119: 2114–2121.
- Seifert H, Mohr B, Thiede C, et al. The prognostic impact of 17p (p53) deletion in 2272 adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2009;23:656–663.
- Bejar R, Stevenson K, Abdel-Wahab O, et al. Clinical effect of point mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes. N Engl J Med 2011;364:2496–2506.
- 39. Christiansen DH, Andersen MK, Pedersen-Bjergaard J. Mutations with loss of heterozygosity of p53 are common in therapy-related myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukemia after exposure to alkylating agents and significantly associated with deletion or loss of 5q, a complex karyotype, and a poor prognosis. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:1405–1413.
- Bjorkholm M, Derolf AR, Hultcrantz M, et al. Treatment-related risk factors for transformation to acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes in myeloproliferative neoplasms. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2410–2415.
- Kiladjian JJ, Chevret S, Dosquet C, et al. Treatment of polycythemia vera with hydroxyurea and pipobroman: Final results of a randomized trial initiated in 1980. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:3907–3913.
- Schoch C, Haferlach T, Haase D, et al. Patients with de novo acute myeloid leukaemia and complex karyotype aberrations show a poor prognosis despite intensive treatment: A study of 90 patients. Br J Haematol 2001;112:118–126.