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#### Abstract

Formyl peptide receptors (FPRs) play an essential role in the regulation of endogenous inflammation and immunity. In the present studies, a large series of pyridazin- $3(2 \mathrm{H})$-one derivatives bearing an arylacetamide chain at position 2 was synthesized and tested for FPR agonist activity. The pyridazin-3(2H)-one ring was confirmed to be an appropriate scaffold to support FPR agonist activity, and its modification at the 4 and 6 positions led to the identification of additional active agonists, which induced intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ flux in HL-60 cells transfected with either FPR1, FPR2, or FPR3. Seven formyl peptide receptor 1 (FPR1)-specific and several mixed FPR1/FPR2 dual agonists were identified with low micromolar EC $\mathrm{E}_{50}$ values. Furthermore, these agonists also activated human neutrophils, inducing intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ flux and chemotaxis. Finally, molecular docking studies indicated that the most potent pyridazin-3(2H)-ones overlapped in their best docking poses with fMLF and WKYMVM peptides in the FPR1 and FPR2 ligand binding sites, respectively. Thus, pyridazinone-based compounds represent potential lead compounds for further development of selective and/or potent FPR agonists.


© 2013 Elsevier Masson SAS. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

## 1. Introduction

Formyl peptide receptors (FPRs) are chemoattractant receptors belonging to the seven transmembrane domain G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family known to couple to pertussis toxin (PTX)sensitive $G_{i}$ proteins [1]. FPRs play an important role in the regulation of inflammatory reactions and cellular dysfunction and are expressed in the majority of white blood cells, such as neutrophils, monocytes and dendritic cells. In humans, there are three FPR isoforms [2], including FPR1 (formyl peptide receptor 1) and FPR2 (formyl peptide receptor 2 ), which exhibit $69 \%$ amino acidic homology to each other, and FPR3 (formyl peptide receptor 3) with $56 \%$ amino acid sequence identity to FPR1 and $83 \%$ to FPR2. FPR1 was first identified in the early 1970 s as a high-affinity binding site on the surface of neutrophils for N -formyl peptides, such as the prototypic fMLF (formyl-methionine-leucine-phenylalanine) [3]. In addition to FPR1, neutrophils also express the closely related FPR2,

[^0]which is a low-affinity receptor for fMLF, despite the relatively high level of sequence homology with FPR1. These two receptors have a similar distribution in a variety of different tissues and cells involved in inflammation, such as endothelial cells, platelets and dendritic cells. Moreover, FPR2 is also expressed in a range of other cell types, including phagocytic leukocytes, hepatocytes, epithelial cells, T lymphocytes, neuroblastoma cells, astrocytoma cells, and microvascular endothelial cells [4]. Unlike FPR1 and FPR2, FPR3 does not bind N -formyl peptides and is expressed in neutrophils, but it is specifically expressed on monocytes and dendritic cells [5]. FPRs interact with a large number of structurally distinct ligands, and their activation induces a variety of complicated downstream signaling responses involved in inflammatory processes, such as chemotaxis, degranulation, and superoxide generation [6]. FPR activation has also been associated with various diseases, including ischemia-reperfusion injury [7], prion disease [8], amyloidosis, Alzheimer's disease [9], HIV [10], stomach ulcer [11], some cancers [12], and nociception associated with inflammatory processes [13].

Numerous receptors modulate the host inflammatory response, and resolution of inflammation involves the formation


Fig. 1. Representative modifications performed on the pyridazin-3(2H)-one derivatives.
of endogenous anti-inflammatory mediators, which are essential for the proper functioning of host cells. FPRs interact with some resolving mediators (e.g., lipoxins) and have clearly emerged as crucial players in important endogenous anti-inflammation processes essential to ensure regulation and control of inflammation in order to avoid self-induced damage [14]. Thus, FPRs represent a promising new target for the discovery of novel antiinflammatory and pro-resolving drugs able to selectively stimulate the innate immune response or endogenous antiinflammation systems [6]. Indeed, there is an evidence that bioactive ligands acting as FPR agonists might play a role as useful therapeutics in host defense and as immunomodulatory activators that are able to enhance selective innate immune responses in order to reduce detrimental effects associated with inflammation and infectious diseases [15].

Based on our previous results demonstrating the suitability of pyridazin-3(2H)-one as an appropriate scaffold for FPR agonists [16], as well as evidence from the literature that both FPR-specific [17,18] and mixed FPR1/FPR2 agonists [19,20] are of potential interest for treatment of diseases involving neutrophilic inflammation, we performed further modifications on this chemotype in order to evaluate if these modifications would increase the potency and/or selectivity of the agonists. Since we already showed that an acetamide side chain as well as the presence of lipophilic and/or electronegative substituents in the aryl group at the end of the chain
were essential for activity at position 2 of the pyridazin- $3(2 \mathrm{H})$-one [16], we performed several modifications at the C-4, C-5, and C-6 positions of the ring, as these modifications have not been well studied previously (Fig. 1). For example, we eliminated the methyl group at position 6 and then increased the steric hindrance and/or modified the electronic characteristics of the substituents by introducing (substituted) aromatic and heteroaromatic systems. In addition, we evaluated the role of position 4 by changing or removing the substituents at the benzyl fragment and changing the phenyl ring with various heterocyclic rings. These new agonists were evaluated for their ability to activate intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization and chemotaxis in human neutrophils and their binding to FPR1 and FPR2 was evaluated by molecular docking studies.

## 2. Chemistry

As extensively reported in the literature, $\gamma$-keto acids are the common starting material to easily generate dihydropyridazinone scaffolds [21,22], which are the key building blocks for the synthesis of the pyridazinone class of compounds outlined in Schemes 1 and 2 . With the exception of compounds $1 \mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}$, which were synthesized following published procedures [23-25], all other $\gamma$-keto acids (1d-k) were purchased from commercial sources.

Condensation of the appropriate $\gamma$-keto acid with hydrazine hydrate resulted in the previously described C-6 substituted


Scheme 1. Synthesis of pyridazin-3(2H)-one derivatives 6a-w. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ( 1 equiv), $\mathrm{EtOH}, 1-3 \mathrm{~h}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) 3 or 4 -methoxybenzaldehyde ( 1 equiv), KOH $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH}, 1-3 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux; c) ethyl bromoacetate ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1-3 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux; d) $\mathrm{NaOH} 6 \mathrm{~N}, 1-2 \mathrm{~h}, 60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; e) ethyl chloroformate (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 3.5 equiv), substituted aniline (2 equiv), anhydrous THF, $12 \mathrm{~h},-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{rt}$; (f) $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of pyridazin-3(2H)-one derivatives 11a-q and 15a,b. Reagents and conditions: a) substituted benzaldehyde ( 1 equiv), $\mathrm{KOH} 5 \%$ ( $\mathbf{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) in anhydrous EtOH, $1-5 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux; b) ethyl bromoacetate ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 2-4 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux; c) $\mathrm{NaOH} 6 \mathrm{~N}, 1-5 \mathrm{~h}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; d) ethyl chloroformate ( 1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3.5$ equiv), substituted aniline ( 2 equiv), anhydrous THF, $12 \mathrm{~h},-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{rt}$; e) $N$-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide [38] (1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} 2-3 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux; f) $\mathrm{POCl} l_{3}$, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; g) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ (3 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH}, 5-7 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux.
dihydropyridazinones $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{h} \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ [21,26-32] and the new $\mathbf{2 i}$ in good yields (Scheme 1). A further synthetic step was required to obtain compound 21, which was generated by melting and spontaneous decarboxylation of the carboxylic acid 2k at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [33]. The C-6 modified pyridazinones $\mathbf{6 a - \mathbf { w }}$ (Scheme 1) were then prepared in four steps starting from the dihydropyridazinones $\mathbf{2 a - j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{1}$. The previously described intermediates $\mathbf{3 h}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{1}$ [34] and the new 3a$\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{m}-\mathbf{p}$ were obtained through a Knoevenagel condensation with the commercially available 3- or 4-methoxybenzaldehyde in the presence of KOH. Subsequent alkylation with ethyl bromoacetate gave esters $\mathbf{4 a - p}$, which were transformed into the corresponding carboxylic acids $\mathbf{5 a - p}$ by alkaline hydrolysis under standard conditions. Reaction of the intermediates $\mathbf{5 a - p}$ with ethyl chloroformate in the presence of triethylamine in THF resulted in the intermediate mixed anhydrides, which were transformed in good yields into the final amides $\mathbf{6 a - w}$ by treatment with the appropriate aryl amines (Scheme 1).

Scheme 2 shows the synthesis of compounds 11a-q, where the 3 - or 4-methoxybenzyl moiety at the C-4 position of the pyridazinone ring was eliminated or replaced by several heterocyclic and substituted benzyl groups. The dihydropyridazinone 7 [16] was converted into the previously described derivatives $\mathbf{8 h}-\mathbf{j}$ [35-37] and the new $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{1}$ by Knoevenagel condensation with the appropriate aromatic aldehyde in the presence of KOH. Subsequently, compounds $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{j}$ were firstly alkylated with ethyl bromoacetate to give the esters $\mathbf{9 a} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}$, of which $\mathbf{9 j}$ was previously reported [37], and then hydrolyzed under basic conditions to give the new carboxylic acid derivatives 10a-j. These intermediates were transformed into the final amides 11a-1 (Scheme 2) from the corresponding mixed anhydrides by performing the same reaction
as described above for intermediates $\mathbf{6 a - w}$. A different synthetic procedure was required to obtain compounds $\mathbf{1 1 m}-\mathbf{o}$ (Scheme 2). Intermediates $\mathbf{8 k}, \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{8 m}$, obtained from $\mathbf{8 1}$ by dehydration of the amide with phosphorus oxychloride, were unstable to strong base treatment and were directly converted into compounds $\mathbf{1 1 m} \mathbf{- o}$ by alkylation under standard condition with the previously described $N$-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide [38]. Furthermore, compounds 15a,b (Scheme 2) were obtained in good yields by carrying out the usual reaction sequence (alkylation/hydrolysis/amide bond formation) described above, starting alternatively with dihydropyridazinone $\mathbf{7}$ [16] and its pyridazinone derivative 12 [31,39], which was obtained by using selenium dioxide as the oxidizing agent. Moreover, the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{1 1 p}, \mathbf{q}$, which contain a 4 -iodophenylacetamide chain at position 2 , was carried out starting from 15a and using Knoevenagel condensation with the appropriate aromatic aldehyde (Scheme 2).

Scheme 3 shows the synthesis of the 4 -arylketone derivative 18, which was prepared from $\mathbf{1 6}$ [16] by oxidation of the benzylic


Scheme 3. Synthesis of pyridazin-3(2H)-one derivative 18. Reagents and conditions: a) CAN (3 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} 50 \%, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) N -(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide [38] (1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 6$ h, reflux.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of pyridazin-3(2H)-one derivatives 23, 27 and $\mathbf{2 8 a}$-c. Reagents and conditions: a) ethyl bromoacetate ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left(2\right.$ equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, 3 h , reflux; b) 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (2 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt} ; \mathrm{c}$ ) $\mathrm{NaOH} 6 \mathrm{~N}, 1-2 \mathrm{~h}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; d) ethyl chloroformate ( 1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3.5$ equiv), 4-bromoaniline (2 equiv), anhydrous THF, $12 \mathrm{~h},-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{rt}$; e) $\mathrm{HBr} 48 \%, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; f) for 28a: 4 -methoxyphenylboronic acid ( 1 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\left(1.5 \mathrm{equiv}^{2}\right), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2 \mathrm{equiv}), \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, rt; for 28b,c: substituted benzoyl chloride ( 2.4 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (catalytic), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{rt}$.
methylene (17) with cerium ammonium nitrate (CAN) and subsequent alkylation under standard conditions with the previously described intermediate N -(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide [38].

The synthetic pathway for compounds 23, 27 and 28a-c is shown in Scheme 4. The 4-amino-5-acetyl pyridazinone 19 [40] and its derivative 24 [41], obtained by deacetylation of 19 using $48 \%$ hydrobromic acid under pressure at high temperature ( $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) [42], were previously described. Starting from compound 19 and following alkylation with ethyl bromoacetate (20), coupling on the amine at $\mathrm{C}-4$ was performed using 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The intermediate $\mathbf{2 1}$ was then processed by standard alkaline hydrolysis and coupling with 4bromoaniline, through the intermediate mixed anhydride, to obtain the final amide 23 in good yield. Alternatively, compound 24 was directly processed by standard alkylation, hydrolysis, and amidation to generate the final 4-amino derivative 27 (Scheme 4). Subsequently, coupling of the amine group of 27 with 4methoxyphenylboronic acid in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{Ac})_{2}$ gave the C-4 arylamine 28a, while the C-4 arylamide analogs $\mathbf{2 8 b}, \mathbf{c}$ were obtained from 27 by treatment with the opportune arylchloride and triethylamine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The synthesis of compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ with a 4-methoxyphenyl group directly bonded to C-4 of the pyridazinone ring is shown in Scheme 5. Condensation of the known $\gamma$-keto ester 29 [43] with hydrazine hydrate resulted in intermediate $\mathbf{3 0}$, which was subjected to the usual alkylation/alkaline hydrolysis/amidation steps to obtain the final amide 33 in good yield (Tables 1-4).

## 3. Pharmacology

In the present study, we synthesized a library of 49 pyridazinone-based compounds, which were screened in order to identify novel small-molecule FPR agonists. The compounds were evaluated for their ability to induce intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ flux in human HL-60 cells transfected with FPR1, FPR2, or FPR3 [44,45]. Since undifferentiated HL-60 cells do not express any FPRs and do not respond to FPR agonists, we evaluated all compounds in nontransfected HL-60 cells to verify that the observed activity was specific for the individual FPRs expressed. Both $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values and relative efficacy were determined (Tables 5-7) and compared to the peptide agonists fMLF and WKYMVm, as well as to reference compounds A-D, which were previously designated as $\mathbf{1 4 m}, \mathbf{1 4 x}$, 14e, and 14a, respectively [16].


Scheme 5. Synthesis of pyridazin-3(2H)-one derivative 33. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (2 equiv), anhydrous toluene, 2 h, reflux; b) ethyl bromoacetate ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}{ }_{3}$ (2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux; c) $\mathrm{NaOH} 6 \mathrm{~N}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; d) ethyl chloroformate ( 1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 3.5 equiv), 4 -bromoaniline ( 2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{THF}, 12 \mathrm{~h},-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{rt}$.

Table 1
Legend for intermediates $\mathbf{1 , 2} \mathbf{2 a - k}$.

| Compds 1, 2 | R |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | $2-\mathrm{Thienyl}^{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(p)$ |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(p)$ |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(p)$ |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(p)$ |
| $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathrm{COOH}^{2}$ |

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1. Biological results

SAR analysis at position C-6 of the pyridazinone ring was used to investigate modification of the methyl group of the previously reported series of compounds [16] (Fig. 1), including (a) elimination or replacement with superior homologs; (b) introduction of the cyclohexyl group; (c) introduction of the 2-thienyl group; (d) introduction of (substituted) aryl groups; and (e) introduction of the benzyl group (Table 5). As shown in Table 5, elimination of the methyl group led to the active but relatively non-selective compound 6a, which was able to activate all three FPR subtypes. The ethyl analog (6b) displayed increased selectivity in comparison with reference compound $\mathbf{C}$ (Table 5) and exhibited very similar behavior to the reference bromo-derivative $\mathbf{B}$ (Table 5), whereas the isopropyl analog ( $\mathbf{6 c}$ ) resulted a mixed agonist for FPR1, FPR2 and FPR3. In contrast, introduction of a cyclohexyl group at position C-6 (6d) was associated with FPR1 selectivity ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=10.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Among compounds having a phenyl group at C-6 ( $\mathbf{6 e - g}$ ), only $\mathbf{6 e}$, which contains Br in the phenylacetamide chain, was active and was a mixed FPR1/FPR2 agonist. The 2-thienyl derivatives $\mathbf{6 h}-\mathbf{j}$ were completely inactive, as were the benzyl derivative $\mathbf{6 w}$ and all compounds containing $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(\mathbf{6 k}-\mathbf{m}), \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{6 n}-\mathbf{p}), \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathbf{6 q}-\mathbf{s})$, and F ( $\mathbf{5 0 t} \mathbf{- v}$ ) in the para position of the phenyl ring at $\mathrm{C}-6$ of the pyridazinone nucleus. It is worth noting that the complete inactivity of the 4-F analog $6 \mathbf{t}$, in comparison with compound $\mathbf{6 e}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=9.0 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ at FPR1 and $4.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at FPR2), suggests that for these derivatives, electronic features play a more important role than steric properties.

SAR studies at position C-4 were performed by modifying the reference compounds $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{D}$ [16] using the following criteria: (a)

Table 2
Legend for intermediates $\mathbf{3 - 5 a}-\mathbf{p}$.

| Compds 3-5 | R | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | H | m |
| b | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $m$ |
| c | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | m |
| d | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $m$ |
| e | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $m$ |
| f | 2-Thienyl | $p$ |
| g | 2-Thienyl | m |
| h | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(p)$ | $p$ |
| , | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(p)$ | m |
| j | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(p)$ | $p$ |
| k | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(p)$ | m |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(p)$ | $p$ |
| m | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(p)$ | m |
| n | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(p)$ | $p$ |
| 0 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(p)$ | m |
| p | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $p$ |

Table 3
Legend for intermediates 8a-l.

| Compds $\mathbf{8}$ | R |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(m)$ |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(m)$ |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}(m)$ |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(m, m)$ |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}(p)$ |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | 3-Furyl |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | 3-Thienyl |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | 2-Thienyl |
| $\mathbf{j}$ | 1-Naphthyl |
| $\mathbf{k}$ | 3-Pyridyl |
| $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$-CONH $2(p)$ |

elimination of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ substituent on the benzyl group; (b) introduction of different substituents in the meta or para positions of the benzyl group; (c) replacement of the methoxybenzyl with heterocycles; (d) complete elimination of the C-4 substituent; (e) introduction of different functionalized linkers; and (f) elimination of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-spacer of the benzyl group (Tables 6 and 7). In comparison to reference compound $\mathbf{D}$ [16], when $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ group was eliminated from the benzyl fragment at position C-4 of the pyridazinone scaffold (11a), activity was decreased, particularly as an FPR2 agonist (Table 6). The concomitant presence of an unsubstituted benzyl at C-4 and F (11b) or methylenedioxy (11c) in the arylacetamide side chain was associated in the first case with complete loss of activity and in the latter case with selective FPR1 agonist activity ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=6.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) (Table 6). On the other hand, replacement of the 3-methoxy group on the benzyl at position C-4 with different substituents was beneficial. In fact, replacement of $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ with F or Cl (Table 6, reference compound D) in the meta position resulted in compounds 11 d and $11 \mathbf{e}$, which were selective FPR1 agonists ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=6.6$ and $10.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively). Conversely, the chloro analog (11q) of reference compound $\mathbf{C}$, which contains a 4 -iodophenyl in the acetamidic spacer of position 2 , had decreased activity and efficacy as a mixed FPR1/FPR2 agonist. Unexpectedly, introduction of a $\operatorname{Br}(\mathbf{1 1 f})$ in place of the $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ group on the benzyl at C-4 was associated with complete loss of activity. These data suggest that the presence of a substituent of limited hindrance in the meta position is an essential requirement for binding to FPR1 and FPR2. Moreover, insertion of an additional methoxy group in reference compound D was associated with complete loss of activity ( $\mathbf{1 1 g}$ ), whereas the 3,5-dimethoxybenzyl derivative $\mathbf{1 1 p}$, which contains a 4 iodophenyl in the acetamidic spacer at position 2, exhibited weak, but specific FPR1 activity (Table 6, reference compound C). Introduction of $\mathrm{CF}_{3}(\mathbf{1 1 h}), \mathrm{CONH}_{2}(\mathbf{1 1 n})$, and $\mathrm{CN}(\mathbf{1 1 0})$ in the para position was generally detrimental (Table 6).

Useful information originated by replacement of the methoxyphenyl group at C-4 with 5- and 6-membered heterocycles.

Table 4
Legend for intermediates $\mathbf{9 , 1 0 a}-\mathbf{j}$.

| Compds $\mathbf{9 , 1 0}$ | R |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(m)$ |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(m)$ |
| $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}(m)$ |
| $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(m, m)$ |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}(p)$ |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | 3-Furyl |
| $\mathbf{h}$ | 3-Thienyl |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | 2-Thienyl |
| $\mathbf{j}$ | 1-Naphthyl |

Table 5
Activity of compounds $\mathbf{6 a - w}$ (Scheme 1) in HL-60 cells expressing human FPR1, FPR2, or FPR3.


| Compd. | R | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization <br> $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ and efficacy (\%) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FPR1 | FPR2 | FPR3 | W.T. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $m$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | 2.3 (50) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| $\mathrm{B}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $p$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | 2.4 | N.A. | N.A. |
| $\mathrm{C}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{I}(p)$ | 2.8 (90) | 6.8 (40) | 13.0 (30) | N.A. |
| $\mathrm{D}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $m$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 3.4 (75) | 3.8 (70) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6a | H | $m$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | 6.1 (125) | 7.7 (60) | 14.6 (25) | N.A. |
| 6b | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{I}(p)$ | 4.2 (70) | 5.5 (65) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6c | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | 4.5 (135) | 7.2 (90) | 17.4 (30) | N.A. |
| 6d | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | 10.8 (80) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6e | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | 9.0 (110) | 4.3 (25) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6 f | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $m$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6 g | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{F}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6h | 2-Thienyl | $p$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 61 | 2-Thienyl | $m$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6j | 2-Thienyl | m | $\mathrm{F}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6k | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(p)$ | $p$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 61 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(p)$ | $m$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6m | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(p)$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{F}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6 n | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(p)$ | $p$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 60 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(p)$ | $m$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6p | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(p)$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{F}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6q | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(p)$ | $p$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6 r | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(p)$ | $m$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6s | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(p)$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{F}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| $6 t$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(p)$ | $p$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6u | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(p)$ | $m$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6v | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(p)$ | $m$ | $\mathrm{F}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 6w | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $p$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |

[^1]Indeed, the furyl derivative 11i exhibited reasonable potency but weak selectivity for FPR1, as did both the thienyl ( $\mathbf{1 1 j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k}$ ) and pyridyl (11m) analogs, which had similar activity profiles without receptor subtype-selectivity (Table 6). In contrast, insertion of a naphthylmethyl (111) in position C-4 was associated with decreased activity but increased selectivity for FPR1. The unsubstituted derivatives at $\mathrm{C}-4$ in the pyridazinone ring $\mathbf{1 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$, which contains 4 iodophenyl in the acetamidic spacer at position 2 , were completely devoid of activity (Table 6).

Introduction of a carbonyl group in the place of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of the benzyl group at position 4 of the pyridazinone ring resulted in compound 18, which was a potent mixed FPR1/FPR2 agonist (Table 7, reference compound $\mathbf{D}$ ). When $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ was replaced by NH in both compound 23 and the 5-acetyl analog 28a (reference compound B), agonist activity was found mainly at FPR2, whereas compound 27 was a less potent FPR2 agonist. Substitution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ with an amidic group in 28b was detrimental for FPR1, but not FPR2 activity, whereas analog 28 c was totally inactive. Lastly, elimination of the methylenic linker resulted in a selective FPR1 agonist (33).

It should be noted that all FPR1/FPR2/FPR3 agonists were inactive in wild-type non-transfected HL-60 cells (Tables 5-7), supporting the specificity of our assays.

Twenty compounds that showed the best agonist profiles in transfected cells were selected for evaluation as chemotactic agents
and for their ability to induce $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization in human neutrophils. All compounds had $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the micromolar range and an efficacy range of $70-130 \%$ (Table 8). The most active compounds were $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 e}$, which had $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 0.85 and $0.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for inducing $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ flux and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 0.70 and $0.60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for inducing chemotaxis, respectively. Representative kinetic curves of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization and dose-response for chemotactic activity for the phenyl derivative $\mathbf{6 e}$ are shown in Fig. 2. It is interesting to note that among the tested compounds, there were mixed FPR1/FPR2/ FPR3 agonists ( $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 c}, \mathbf{1 1 k}$ ), dual FPR1/FPR2 agonists ( $\mathbf{6 b}, \mathbf{6 e}, \mathbf{1 1 i}, \mathbf{1 1 j}$, $\mathbf{1 1 m}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 8 a}, \mathbf{2 8 b}$ ) and selective FPR1 agonists ( $\mathbf{6 d}, 11 \mathbf{c}-\mathbf{e}$, 11p, 33), suggesting that FPR1 is important for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization and chemotaxis in human neutrophils.

Although our functional assays suggested that all active compounds were relatively non-toxic, we evaluated the potential cytotoxic effects of the pyridazin-3(2H)-one derivatives (both active and inactive compounds) to determine if the results might be influenced by background toxicity. Using a cytotoxicity assay, we determined that none of the compounds significantly affected viability of wild-type HL-60 cells over a concentration range of 5$20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, verifying that these compounds were not toxic to these cells, at least during entire incubation period used in the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ flux and chemotaxis assays. As examples, effects of the 20 active compounds on cell viability are shown in Fig. 3.

Table 6
Activity of compounds $\mathbf{1 1 a} \mathbf{- q}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$ (Scheme 2) in HL-60 cells expressing human FPR1, FPR2, or FPR3.


| Compd. | R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \text { mobilization } \\ & \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \text { and efficacy }(\%)^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FPR1 | FPR2 | FPR3 | W.T. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | 2.3 (50) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| $\mathrm{B}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(p)$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | 2.4 | N.A. | N.A. |
| $\mathrm{C}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $\mathrm{I}(p)$ | 2.8 (90) | 6.8 (40) | 13.0 (30) | N.A. |
| $\mathrm{D}^{16}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(\mathrm{~m})$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 3.4 (75) | 3.8 (70) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11a | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 5.5 (50) | 11.6 (20) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11b | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | F (p) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11c | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 3,4-Methylenedioxy | 6.9 (55) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11d | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{Br}(p)$ | 6.6 (110) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11e | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 10.5 (100) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11f | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11g | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(m, m)$ | $\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{p})$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11h | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}(p)$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11i | 3-Furyl | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 5.8 (100) | 6.3 (75) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11j | 3-Thienyl | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 4.5 (100) | 14.1 (65) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11k | 2-Thienyl | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 8.1 (140) | 11.4 (60) | 10.2 (25) | N.A. |
| 111 | 1-Naphthyl | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 13.8 (20) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11m | 3-Pyridyl | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 9.3 (85) | 2.8 (90) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11n | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CONH}_{2}(p)$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | 29.3 (40) | 27.2 (80) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 110 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CN}(p)$ | $\operatorname{Br}(p)$ | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11p | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}(m, m)$ | $\mathrm{I}(p)$ | 7.6 (80) | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 11q | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{m})$ | $\mathrm{I}(p)$ | 9.5 (55) | 16.9 (35) | N.A. | N.A. |
| 15a | - | - | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |
| 15b | - | - | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | N.A. |



 expressed as \% of the response induced by 5 nM fMLF (FPR1) or 5 nM WKYMVm (FPR2 and FPR3).

### 4.2. Docking studies

To explore the possibility that the novel FPR1/FPR2 agonists could bind to the fMLF binding site of FPR1 and the WKYMVM binding site of FPR2, we used a previously published homology model of FPR1 $[46,47]$ and also constructed a model of FPR2 by homology modeling using the Phyre ${ }^{2}$ server, since the crystal structure models of FPR1 and FPR2 are still unavailable. Both models are based on bovine rhodopsin (see Experimental section). It should be noted that slightly higher sequence identity between a given target and template alone does not always justify the choice of GPCR structure for the optimal homology modeling template, and additional considerations are important [48]. In the present modeling studies, the rhodopsin-based model of FPR2 was selected as the most predictive structure from 18 other homology models, based on 8 dissolved crystal structures of GPCRs, including bovine and squid rhodopsins, human adenosine receptor $A_{2 A}$, turkey $\beta_{1}$ adrenoceptor, human $\beta_{2}$ adrenoceptor, human histamine receptor $H_{1}$, human dopamine $D_{3}$ receptor, and human chemokine receptor CXCR4. Although the CXCR4 structure has a higher sequence identity with FPR2 (28\%), this structure has lower resolution ( $3.2 \AA$ ) for the template, as compared to the rhodopsin structure ( $2.2 \AA$ ). Our recent modeling experiments showed that the geometric configuration of the FPR2 binding site in the rhodopsin-based model is in good agreement with the shape of the hydrophobic field obtained for the FPR2 agonist pharmacophore, which was obtained by independent modeling [49]. Importantly, the
rhodopsin-based model of FPR1 effectively differentiated agonists from inactive compounds [47], and the binding structure of fMLF to the model is not in conflict with experimental evidence reported before by Mills et al. [50] and has a high similarity with the binding mode of AC-QAWF [46]. Thus, we feel that these features justify use of this model as a template for the docking of new FPR1 agonists vs. the other known GPCR crystal structures currently available.

The best docking poses of selected FPR1 agonists 6d, 11d, and 18, as well as previously published reference compounds $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ [16], to key sub-areas of the FPR1 binding site [47] are shown in Fig. 4. Molecular skeletons within the binding site are located mainly along cavity $\mathbf{B}$ and directed to channels $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ or to cavity $\mathbf{E}$, in accordance with binding modes for 2-arylacetamide pyridazin$3(2 \mathrm{H})$-ones. Noticeably, bromine-substituted compounds, in their best poses, had $p$-bromophenyl groups located in the vicinity of channel $\mathbf{A}$ and directed outside the binding site. Such an orientation could be required by the large volume and high hydrophobicity of bromo-substituted phenyl ring. Additionally, H-bonding interactions of Thr265 with the pyridazine nitrogen atom are found in agonists $\mathbf{6 d}, \mathbf{1 8}$, and reference compound $\mathbf{D}$, or with the carbonyl group of the pyridazinone moiety in $\mathbf{1 1 m}$ (Fig. 4C). For compound 18, this carbonyl is also H-bonded to both Thr199 and Asn192. Reference compound $\mathbf{A}$, which contains a benzodioxolane moiety instead of a $p$-bromophenyl group, had the opposite binding mode, with the benzodioxolane protruding into channel $\mathbf{C}$. In its docking pose, this compound formed a H -bond between one of its endocyclic oxygen atoms and the hydroxyl groups in Thr199 and Asn192.

Table 7
Activity of compounds $\mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 8 a}-\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{3 3}$ (Schemes 3-5) in HL-60 cells expressing human FPR1, FPR2, or FPR3.


| Compd. R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{EC} \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ and efficacy (\%) |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ N.A., no activity (no response was observed during first 2 min after addition of compounds under investigation) considering the limits of efficacy $>20 \%$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}<50 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ W.T., wild-type non-transfected HL-60 cells. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are presented as the average mean of three independent experiments, in which $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the dose-response curves (5-6 points) generated using GraphPad Prism 5 with $95 \%$ confidential interval ( $p<0.05$ ). Efficacy (in brackets) is expressed as \% of the response induced by 5 nM fMLF (FPR1) or 5 nM WKYMVm (FPR2 and FPR3).

The ligand binding site of FPR2 has a non-symmetric dumb-bell shape with two cavities different in size, where the smaller cavity lies deeply in the binding site and is surrounded by residues Val105, Asp106, Leu109, Phe110, Arg201, Trp254, and Gln258, as described previously [51] (Fig. 5). The FPR2-specific peptide agonist WKYMVM in its best docking pose occupies this cavity with an indole moiety near the N -terminus of the peptide (Figs. 5 and 6A). A narrow channel connecting the two cavities is bordered by residues

## Table 8

$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization and chemotactic activity in human neutrophils treated with selected FPR1/FPRL1 agonists.

| Compd. | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ and efficacy $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ flux | Chemotaxis |  |
| $\mathbf{6 a}$ | $8.3(100)$ | 15.9 |  |
| $\mathbf{6 b}$ | $1.0(90)$ | 0.71 |  |
| $\mathbf{6 c}$ | $0.85(110)$ | 0.70 |  |
| $\mathbf{6 d}$ | $2.4(65)$ | 2.5 |  |
| $\mathbf{6 e}$ | $0.6(115)$ | 0.60 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 c}$ | $6.1(75)$ | 4.2 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 d}$ | $4.2(130)$ | 1.9 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 e}$ | $6.0(90)$ | 5.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 i}$ | $3.0(125)$ | 1.5 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 j}$ | $3.9(115)$ | 8.7 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 k}$ | $3.6(80)$ | 3.9 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 m}$ | $4.9(120)$ | 2.8 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 p}$ | $3.9(75)$ | 2.1 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 q}$ | $2.4(90)$ | 0.49 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $2.3(115)$ | 0.87 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $3.9(110)$ | 2.7 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $2.3(100)$ | 0.8 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 8 a}$ | $2.1(105)$ | 5.9 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ | $2.4(110)$ | 1.9 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $3.0(70)$ | 2.1 |  |

[^2]

Fig. 2. Analysis of chemotactic activity and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization in human neutrophils treated with compound $\mathbf{6 e}$. Panel A. Representative kinetics of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization after treatment with compound $\mathbf{6 e}$ or fMLF. Neutrophils were treated with the compound $\mathbf{6 e}$ (210 and 620 nM ), 5 nM fMLF (positive control), or DMSO vehicle (negative control), and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ flux was monitored for the indicated time. Panel B. Human neutrophil chemotaxis toward the indicated concentrations of compound $\mathbf{6 e}$ was determined, as described under Biological assays. The data are from one experiment that is representative of three independent experiments.

Phe257, Val260, Ala261, Thr177, Phe178, and Phe180. The larger cavity of the docking site opens outside the receptor and has a complex shape. Four residues (Tyr, Val, and both Met) of the docked WKYMVM are located in this cavity (Fig. 6A and Insert).

Docking of FPR2 agonists $\mathbf{1 1 m}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 3}$, and 28b, as well as reference compounds $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ [16], to FPR2 showed that they adopt conformations that overlap well with the docking pose of WKYMVM. The bromo-substituted phenyl rings of $\mathbf{1 1 m}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 8 b}$, and reference agonists $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ lie within the smaller cavity found deep within the binding site (Fig. 6B). The narrow channel contains an amide fragment adjacent to the $p$-bromophenyl groups of the molecules. In addition, the pyridazinone moieties are oriented


Fig. 3. Evaluation of selected FPR agonist cytotoxicity. Wild-type, non-transfected human promyelocytic leukemia $\mathrm{HL}-60$ cells were incubated for 60 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (open bars), $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (hatched bars), or $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (solid bars) of the indicated compounds, and cell viability was determined using a luminescent cell viability assay kit, as described. Values are the mean $\pm$ S.D. of triplicate samples from one experiment, which is representative of two independent experiments.
similarly in the binding site with their carbonyl and methyl groups overlaid in the same positions. Such an orientation is conditioned by the possibility of H -bonding of the nitrogen atom in the pyridazine ring, as well as an oxygen or nitrogen atom in the amide moiety, with the hydroxyl group of Thr177. As an example, specific interactions of 18 with Thr177 and Ala261 are shown in Fig. 6C. In contrast, compound 23 binds to FPR2 in a different manner. This ligand is positioned entirely in the larger cavity, possibly because of the presence of an acetyl group, which prevents passage of the molecule through the narrow channel (data not shown). However, compound 23 is also H -bonded to Thr177 by an acetyl oxygen atom. Thus, the formation of H-bonds between ligands and Thr 177 seems to play an important role in positioning FPR agonists within the ligand binding site.

## 5. Conclusion

Our data confirm that pyridazinone-based compounds are a relevant chemotype to search for both selective and/or potent FPR agonists of human neutrophils. The biological results with C-4 and C-6 derivatives presented herein confirm our previously reported conclusion that an arylacetamide moiety at $N-2$ of the scaffold [16] is essential for agonist activity. Our SAR studies demonstrate that by manipulating the chemical structure of a series of pyridazinones (Fig. 1), it is possible to achieve varying potency and selectivity toward the different FPR subtypes (Tables 5-7). Position C-6 (Table 6) of the pyridazinone ring was not very tolerant to modification, and a methyl group is still the substituent that supports the best results regarding agonist activity, while its elimination or substitution with a more hindered moiety resulted in a substantial loss in activity. Conversely, position C-4 (Tables 6 and 7) was more amenable to chemical manipulation. Indeed, substituted benzyl groups and heterocycles (e.g., thienyl, piridyl, furyl), as well as functionalized spacers (e.g., $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), can be productively introduced at this level, retaining good agonist activity. Molecular docking experiments showed that the most potent pyridazin$3(2 \mathrm{H})$-ones overlap in their best docking poses with the docking poses for fMLF and WKYMVM in the FPR1 and FPR2 ligand binding sites, respectively. Overall, these pyridazin-3(2H)-ones appear to efficiently interact with the receptor through specific H -bonding with Thr265, Thr199 and Asn192 in FPR1 or Thr177 in FPR2.


Fig. 4. Homology model of the FPR1 binding site with docked peptide fMLF and selected non-peptide FPR1 agonists with a pyridazin-3(2H)-one scaffold. Panel A. Docking poses of fMLF (atoms are highlighted by green spheres) and pyridazin-3(2H)-one 11d (atoms are not highlighted). Key sub-areas of the FPR1 binding site are indicated with arrows and include two channels (A and C), two cavities (B and E), and the bottom (D), as described previously and [47]. Channel $\mathbf{A}$ is located in the vicinity of Asn192, Thr199, Thr265, Ile268, and Leu271. Channel C is bounded by Leu101, Val105, Tyr257, Ser287, and Phe291. Cavity B is a curved groove, located behind the hydrophobic ledge formed by the isobutyl group of Leu198, and is restricted by Val160, Leu198, Arg201, Gly202, and Arg205. Large cavity E is located near Trp91, Trp95, Cys98, and Lys99, between channel $\mathbf{C}$ and a larger ledge. The bottom $\mathbf{D}$ of the binding site is bounded by channels A and C and is associated with Ala261, Ala264, and Val283. Surface coloring was made according to electrostatic properties, whereby negatively and positively charged areas are shown in red and blue, respectively. Panel B. Overlapping docking poses of fMLF (blue) and pyridazin-3(2H)-ones $\mathbf{6 d}$ (red), 11d (green), 18 (magenta), and reference compound A [16] (brown). Panel C. Interactions between Thr265 and compound $\mathbf{1 1 m}$. H-bond is indicated with a dashed line. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)


Fig. 5. Potential areas of FPR2 where ligands of could be docked. A PDB file of the homology model for FPR2, based on the bovine rhodopsin template, was loaded into MVD software, and the "Detect cavity" feature was applied with probe size $1.2 \AA$ to identify potential areas of the receptor where ligands could be docked. Two cavities were found with volumes of $241 \AA^{3}$ and $25 \AA^{3}$ (green zones indicated by arrows). The binding pose of the FPR2 agonist WKYMVM is shown for comparison (red sticks). The search space with a sphere radius of $15 \AA$ encompassed the WKYMVM molecule, eight residues belonging to the binding site [51] (His102, Val105, Asp106, Leu109, Trp254, Phe257, Ser288, Phe292; atoms of the residues are shown as green balls), and most of the large cavity (dark green sphere). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

In conclusion, the majority of pyridazinone-based compounds presented herein, which were modified at C-4 and C-6 positions, showed good FPR agonist activity, and some had selectivity among the three FPR isoforms. Thus, we were able to identify seven FPR1selective agonists ( $\mathbf{6 d}, \mathbf{1 1 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{1 1 1}, \mathbf{3 3}$ ) and several potent mixed FPR1/FPR2 dual agonists with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the low micromolar range, including the 4-keto derivative $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=3.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at FPR1 and $1.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ at FPR2).

## 6. Experimental section

### 6.1. Chemistry

### 6.1.1. General

Reagents and starting materials were obtained from commercial sources. Extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. All reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using commercial plates precoated with Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}-254$. Visualization was performed by UV fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\max }=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) or by staining with iodine or potassium permanganate. Chromatographic separations were performed on a silica gel column by gravity chromatography (Kieselgel 40, 0.063-0.200 mm; Merck), flash chromatography (Kieselgel 40, 0.040-0.063 mm; Merck), silica gel preparative TLC (Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}, 20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), or a CombiFlash ${ }^{\circledR}$ Rf System (using RediSep ${ }^{\circledR}$ Rf Silica Columns, Teledyne Isco, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically pure compounds, unless otherwise stated. Compounds were named following IUPAC rules, as applied by Beilstein-Institut AutoNom 2000 (4.01.305) or CA Index Name. All melting points were determined on a microscope hot stage Büchi apparatus and are uncorrected. The identity and purity of intermediates and final compounds were ascertained through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and TLC chromatography. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded with Avance 400 instruments (Bruker Biospin Version 002 with SGU). Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm to the


Fig. 6. Homology model of FPR2 with docked WKYMVM peptide and selected pyr-idazin-3(2H)-ones. Panel A. Best docking pose of WKYMVM peptide (light-blue). The FPR2 model is shown in ribbon mode. The small docking cavity (green) lies deep within the binding site and is surrounded by residues Val105, Asp106, Leu109, Phe110, Arg201, Trp254, and Gln258. Insert: the larger cavity of the docking site showing the position of side chain tails of the tetrapeptide fragment (YMVM) of WKYMVM. Surface coloring was made according to electrostatic properties, whereby negatively and positively charged areas are shown in red and blue, respectively. Panel B. Overlapping docking poses of WKYMVM (atoms are indicated with green spheres) and pyridazin-
 idues within $6 \AA$ around the smaller cavity are shown. Panel C. Compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ docked into the FPR2 binding site. Residues lying within $3.5 \AA$ of the pose are shown. H-bonds are indicated with dashed lines. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
nearest 0.01 ppm using solvent as the internal standard. Coupling constants ( $J$ values) are given in Hz and were calculated using 'TopSpin 1.3' software rounded to the nearest 0.1 Hz . Mass spectra ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ) were recorded on an ESI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Micro TOF), and reported mass values are within the error limits of $\pm 5 \mathrm{ppm}$ mass units. Microanalyses indicated by the symbols of the elements or functions were performed with a Perkin-Elmer 260 elemental analyzer for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N , and they were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values.

### 6.1.2. General procedures for $2 \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{k}$

To a stirred solution of the suitable $\gamma$-keto acid $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{k}$ $(1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH ( 2 mL ), hydrazine hydrate ( 1.00 mmol ) was added dropwise. The mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-3 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling, the precipitate was collected by suction and purified by recrystallization from either toluene or ethanol.
6.1.2.1. 6-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4,5-dihydropyridazin-3(2H)-one
[2i].
Yield $=95 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=192-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.64$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.00\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.13(\mathrm{qd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72-$ 7.76 (qd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.51 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.3. General procedures for $3 \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{p}$

To a solution of KOH in absolute $\mathrm{EtOH}(8 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \%$, w/v), the appropriate compound $2 \mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{1}(1.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3 - or 4 methoxybenzaldehyde ( 1.39 mmol ) were added. The mixture was refluxed under stirring for $1-3 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with cold water ( $10-15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and acidified with 2 N HCl . For compounds $\mathbf{3 a - e}, \mathbf{p}$, the suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. Removal of the solvent resulted in the final compounds, which were purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:3 (for 3a,p), 1:1 (for $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{e}$ ) and 2:1 (for $\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{d}$ ) as eluents. After 1 h stirring in an ice-bath, compounds $\mathbf{3 f}-\mathbf{o}$ were filtered off by suction from the acidic solutions and recrystallized from ethanol.
6.1.3.1. 4-(3-Methoxybenzyl)pyridazin-3(2H)-one
[3a].
Yield $=27 \%$; colorless oil (purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 3$ as eluent); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.81-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.29(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.4. General procedure for $\mathbf{4 a - p}$

A mixture of the appropriate intermediate $\mathbf{3 a - p}(1.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.68 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethyl bromoacetate ( 2.01 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed under stirring for $1-3 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, diluted with cold water, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and compounds $\mathbf{4 a - p}$ were purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ as eluent.
6.1.4.1. Ethyl-2-[5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetate [4a]. Yield $=47 \%$; oil (purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ as eluent); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.31\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $6.79-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.5. General procedures for $5 \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{p}$

A suspension of the appropriate intermediate $\mathbf{4 a - p}(1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt up to $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$. The
mixture was diluted with cold water and acidified with 6 N HCl . After 1 h stirring in an ice-bath, the products $\mathbf{5 a - p}$ were filtered off by suction and recrystallized from ethanol.
6.1.5.1. 2-[5-(3-Methoxybenzyl)-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetic acid [5a]. Yield $=99.9 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=149-51{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.49$ (exch br s, 1H, OH), $6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.81-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.6. General procedures for $\mathbf{6 a - w}$

To a cooled $\left(-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred solution of compound $5 \mathbf{5}-\mathbf{p}$ $(0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 6 mL ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After 30 min , the mixture was allowed to warm up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ethyl chloroformate ( 0.66 mmol ) was added. After 1 h , the commercially available substituted arylamine ( 1.20 mmol ) was added. The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 12 h , then the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with cold water ( $20-30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was evaporated to obtain final compounds $\mathbf{6 a -}-\mathbf{w}$, which were purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ for compounds $\mathbf{6 b}, \mathbf{g}$, cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 3:1 for compounds $\mathbf{6 c}, \mathbf{e}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} 96: 4: 0.4$ for compound 6d, cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate $1: 1$ for compounds $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{w}$, and $n$-hexane/ethyl acetate 3:2 for compound $\mathbf{6 h}, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{v}$ as eluents.
6.1.6.1. N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(3-methoxybenzyl)-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide [6a]. Yield $=70 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=138-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.83(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.23(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$, $J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 10.47 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 428.28; found: $m / z 429.09[M+H]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.7. General procedures for $\mathbf{8 a}-\boldsymbol{l}$

To 12 mL of KOH in absolute $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \%$, w/v), compound 7 [16] ( 4.46 mmol ) and the appropriate substituted aromatic aldehyde ( 4.46 mmol ) were added (4-cyanobenzaldehyde was used to obtain compound 81). The reaction was refluxed under stirring for $3-5 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with ice-cold water ( $20-25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and acidified with 2 N HCl . The suspension was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Removal of the solvent resulted in compounds $\mathbf{8 a}-\mathbf{l}$, which were purified by crystallization in ethanol. For compounds $\mathbf{8 e}, \mathbf{k}$, an additional purification step was performed by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ (for $8 \mathbf{8}$ ) or $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{EtOH} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ petroleum ether 4:25:150:50 (for $\mathbf{8 k}$ ) as eluents.
6.1.7.1. 4-Benzyl-6-methylpyridazin-3(2H)-one [8a].

Yield $=99.9 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=113-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 6.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.26-7.33$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.8. 4-[(6-Methyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyridazin-4-yl)methyl] benzonitrile [ $\mathbf{8 m}$ ]

A suspension of compound $\mathbf{8 1}(0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After cooling, the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with ice-cold water ( 10 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to obtain a yellow solid, which was purified by flash column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} 7: 3$ as eluent. Yield $=60 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=213-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}\right), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.41$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 10.61 (exch br s, 1 H , $\mathrm{NH})$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.9. General procedures for $9 \boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{j}$ and 13a,b

A mixture of the suitable intermediate $\mathbf{8 a - j}, \mathbf{7}[16]$ or $\mathbf{1 2}[31,39]$ ( 4.50 mmol ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(9.00 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethyl bromoacetate ( 6.75 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed while stirring for 24 h . The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, diluted with cold water, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and compounds $\mathbf{9 a - j}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were purified by crystallization from ethanol (compounds $\mathbf{9 b}-\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{g}$ ) or by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 (for $\mathbf{9 a}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}$ and 13a,b) and cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $2: 1$ (for $\mathbf{9 h}-\mathbf{j}$ ) as eluents.
6.1.9.1. Ethyl-2-[5-benzyl-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetate [9a]. Yield $=98 \%$; oil (purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 1$ as eluent); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.31(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}\right), 4.24$ ( $\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.23-$ 7.28 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.33-7.37 (m, 2H, Ar). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.10. General procedures for 10a-j and 14a,b

A suspension of the suitable intermediate $\mathbf{9 a - j}$ or 13a,b ( 4.4 mmol ) in $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt up to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-$ 5 h . The mixture was diluted with ice-cold water and then acidified with 6 N HCl . Products $\mathbf{1 0 a}-\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 a , b}$ were filtered off by suction and recrystallized from ethanol.
6.1.10.1. 2-[5-Benzyl-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetic acid [10a]. Yield $=92 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=120-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.25$ (s, 3H, $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}$ ), 4.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 5.50 (exch br s, 1H, OH), $6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.24-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.35-7.39$ (m, 2H, Ar). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.11. General procedures for 11a-l and 15a,b

To a cooled $\left(-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred solution of the appropriate compound 10a-j or 14a,b ( 2.06 mmol ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 6 mL ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(7.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 30 min , the mixture was allowed to warm up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ethyl chloroformate ( 2.27 mmol ) was added. After 1 h , the appropriately substituted arylamine ( 4.12 mmol ) was added. The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 12 h . The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, diluted with cold water ( $20-30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was evaporated to obtain final compounds 11a-l and 15a,b, which were purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 (for 11a,b,d$\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{l}$ ), cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 (for 11c,g,ij), cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:2 (for $\mathbf{1 1 h}$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} 9.8: 0.2$ (for $\mathbf{1 1 k}$ ), cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate $1: 3$ (for $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ 9.9:0.1 (for compound 15b) as eluents.
6.1.11.1. 2-[5-Benzyl-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]-N-(4bromophenyl)acetamide [11a]. Yield $=47 \%$; colorless oil (purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 as eluent); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}\right)$, 4.94 (s, 2H, NCH2CO), 6.82 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.24-7.28 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.30$7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 9.18$ (exch br s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 412.28; found: m/z $413.06[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.12. General procedures for 11m-o

A mixture of the appropriate compound $\mathbf{8 k}-\mathbf{m}$ ( 0.79 mmol ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.58 mmol ) and N -(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide [38] ( 1.19 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, was refluxed under stirring for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and diluted with cold water. After 1 h stirring in an ice-bath, the precipitate was filtered off by suction and purified by flash column chromatography
using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ 9.5:0.5:0.05 (for 11m), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ 9.5:05 (for 11n) or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ 9.9:0.1 (for 110) as eluents.
6.1.12.1. N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[3-methyl-6-oxo-5-(pyridin-3-ylmethyl)-pyridazin-1(6H)-yl] acetamide [11m]. Yield $=61 \%$; $\mathrm{mp}=216-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.92 (s, 2H, CHCCH $), 4.93$ (s, 2H, NCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 6.86 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.277.33 (m, 5H, Ar), $7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.54(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 9.29 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 413.27; found: $m / z 414.05[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.13. General procedures for 11p,q

To 5 mL of KOH in absolute $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \%$, w/v), 15a ( 0.13 mmol ) and the appropriate substituted aromatic aldehyde ( 0.13 mmol ) were added. The mixture was refluxed under stirring for $1-5 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling, the suspension was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with ice-cold water ( $5-10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), acidified with 2 N HCl , and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. Removal of the solvent resulted in compounds $\mathbf{1 1 p , q}$, which were purified by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 1$ as eluent.
6.1.13.1. N-(4-Iodophenyl)-2-[5-(3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1 $(6 \mathrm{H})$-yl]acetamide $[11 p]$. Yield $=30 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=63-$ $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}$ ), 4.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 6.40 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 9.01 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 519.33$; found: $m / z 520.07[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{IN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.13.2. $N$-(4-Iodophenyl)-2-[5-(3-chlorobenzyl)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1 $(6 \mathrm{H})$-yl]acetamide [11q]. Yield $=25 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=58-$ $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCCH}_{2}\right), 4.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.13-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 9.14 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 493.73$; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 494.05[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.14. 4-(3-Methoxybenzoyl)-6-methylpyridazin-3(2H)-one [17]

To a stirred and heated $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ suspension of compound 16 [16] $(2.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of acetic acid $(50 \%, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6}$ ( 7.17 mmol ) was slowly added over 0.5 h , and the reaction is carried out at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 1 h . The mixture was then diluted with ice-cold water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After washing with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was purified by CombyFlash ${ }^{\circledR}$ (eluent: cyclohexane/ethyl acetate, gradient $1: 1$ to $1: 3$ ). Yield $=17 \%$; oil (purified by CombyFlash ${ }^{\circledR}$ using a gradient $1: 1$ to 1:3 of cyclohexane/ethyl acetate as eluent); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.25$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.74-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 11.32 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.15. N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(3-methoxybenzoyl)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide [18]

A mixture of intermediate $17(0.41 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $N$-(4-bromophenyl)-2-chloroacetamide [38] ( 0.61 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was refluxed under stirring for 6 h . The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. After removal of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography using $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / petroleum ether 4:25:150:269 as eluent. Yield $=10 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=176-$ $177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 6.62 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}\right), 6.69(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 9.10$
(exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 456.29$; found: $m / z 457.05[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.16. General procedure for $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 5}$

A mixture of the appropriate compound 19 [40] or 24 [41] ( 0.80 mmol ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethyl bromoacetate $(1.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed with stirring for 3 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum, then the crude mixture was diluted with cold water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo. Compound $\mathbf{2 0}$ was purified by recrystallization from ethanol, whereas compound 25 was purified by column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} 95: 5$ as eluent.
6.1.16.1. Ethyl-2-[4-acetyl-5-amino-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)yl]acetate [20]. Yield $=44 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=145-46{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.32\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, 7.75 (exch br s, 1H, NH). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.16.2. Ethyl-2-[5-amino-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetate [25]. Yield $=71 \%$; oil (purified by column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} 95: 5$ as eluent). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.30(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.25\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}$, H, N).
6.1.17. Ethyl-2-[4-acetyl-5-(4-methoxyphenylamino)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetate [21]

To the suspension of $20(0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$, copper acetate ( 1.36 mmol ), and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( 1.82 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The suspension was extracted with $15 \%$ aqueous ammonia ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the organic layer was washed with water ( 10 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 3$ as eluent. Yield $=61 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=115-17{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.34(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.62$ (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.18. General procedure for 22 and 26

A suspension of the suitable intermediate $\mathbf{2 1}$ or $\mathbf{2 5}$ $(0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at rt up to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was diluted with cold water and acidified with 6 N HCl . After 1 h stirring in an ice-bath, product 22 was filtered off by suction and recrystallized from ethanol. For compound 26, the aqueous phase was evaporated in vacuo. The crude residue was dissolved in ethanol, and the precipitate was filtered off. Finally, evaporation of the solvent resulted in crude compound 26, which was purified by crystallization from cyclohexane.
6.1.18.1. 2-[4-Acetyl-5-(4-methoxyphenylamino)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetic acid [22]. Yield $=76 \%$; $\mathrm{mp}=104-$ $06{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.10$ (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 2.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.62$ (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.18.2. 2-[5-Amino-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetic acid [26]. Yield $=99.9 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=234-36{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$,
6.37 (exch br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.55 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (C, H, N).

### 6.1.19. General procedures for $\mathbf{2 3}$ and 27

To a cooled $\left(-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred solution of 22 or $\mathbf{2 6}(0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 3 mL ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After 30 min , the mixture was allowed to warm up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ethyl chloroformate ( 0.33 mmol ) was added. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, 4$-bromoaniline ( 0.60 mmol ) was added, and the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 12 h . The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, diluted with cold water ( 10 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated to obtain final compounds 23 or 27 respectively, which were purified by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 1$ as eluent.
6.1.19.1. N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[4-acetyl-5-(4-methoxyphenylamino)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide [23]. Yield $=62 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=210-11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.88$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 6.87 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44$ (td, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.65 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.64 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 485.33$; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $486.11[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.19.2. $N$-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-amino-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide [27]. Yield $=50 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=244-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.83 (exch br s, 1H, NH), 8.64 (exch br s, 1H, NH). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, 337.17; found: m/z 338.02 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.20. $N$-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(4-methoxyphenylamino)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl] acetamide [28a]

To a suspension of $\mathbf{2 7}$ ( 0.36 mmol ), copper acetate ( 0.53 mmol ) and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid ( 0.36 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The suspension was extracted with $15 \%$ aqueous ammonia ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the organic layer was washed with 10 mL of water and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} 9.5: 0.5$ as eluent. The analytical sample of compound 28a was obtained from further purification through silica gel preparative TLC (eluent: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} 9.5: 0.5$ ). Yield $=10 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=249-51{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $6.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.35 (exch br s, 1H, NH), 7.44 (dd, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.77 (exch br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 443.29$; found: $m / z 444.10[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.21. General procedures for 28b,c

A solution of compound 27 ( 0.21 mmol$), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 5 drops) and the appropriate substituted benzoyl chloride ( 0.25 mmol ), in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Extra benzoyl chloride ( 0.25 mmol ) was added. The reaction was carried out at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 3 h , then at room temperature for 16 h . The mixture was extracted with $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layer was washed with water ( 10 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, crude compound $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ was purified by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:3 as eluent. In the case of compound 28c, purification was performed by two consecutive silica gel preparative TLC steps using in both cases cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:2 as eluent.
6.1.21.1. 3-Metoxy-N-\{2-[(4-bromophenylcarbamoyl)methyl]-6-methyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydro pyridazin-4-ylfbenzamide [28b]. Yield $=13 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=226-28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 4.98$ (exch br s, 1H, NH), 6.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.16-7.19$ (m, 1H, Ar), 7.39-7.45 (m, 5H, Ar), $7.63(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.83$ (exch br s, 1H, Ar). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 471.30$; found: $m / z 472.08[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.21.2. 4-Bromo-N-\{2-[2-(4-bromophenylcarbamoyl)methyl]-6-methyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydro pyridazin-4-ylfbenzamide [28c]. Yield $=10 \%$; colorless oil (purified by silica gel preparative TLC using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 2$ as eluent); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.82 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$. ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 520.17; found: $m / z 521.00[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.22. 4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-6-methylpyridazin-3(2H)-one [30]

To an ice-cold solution of ( $E$ )-methyl 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-oxopent-2-enoate 29 [43] ( 0.34 mmol ) in dry toluene ( 3 mL ), hydrazine hydrate ( 0.68 mmol ) was added drop-wise. The solution was stirred at reflux temperature for 2 h . The solvent was evaporated, and ice-cold water ( 5 mL ) was added to the residue. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluent: cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:3) to yield $\mathbf{3 0}$ as an amorphous white solid. Yield $=55 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=155-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH} 3), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.51$ (exch br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.23. Ethyl-2-[5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetate [31]

A mixture of the intermediate $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( 0.18 mmol ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 0.36 mmol ), and ethyl bromoacetate ( 0.28 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, was refluxed under stirring for 1.5 h . The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After removal of the solvent under vacuo, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1 as eluent. Yield $=99.9 \%$; colorless oil (purified by flash column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1: 1$ as eluent); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.30\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.24. 2-[5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-6-oxopyridazin-1(6H)-

 yl]acetic acid [32]A suspension of the intermediate $31(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 6 N NaOH $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . The mixture was diluted with ice-cold water ( 1 mL ), acidified with 6 N HCl , and the final product 32 was then filtered off by suction and recrystallized from ethanol. Yield $=99.9 \% ; \mathrm{mp}=202-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.26 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.82 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.25. N-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-[5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-6-

 oxo-pyridazin-1(6H)-yl]acetamide [33]To a cooled $\left(-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred solution of compound 32 ( 0.20 mmol ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 2 mL ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 0.70 mmol ) was added. After 30 min , the mixture was allowed to warm up to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ethyl chloroformate ( 0.22 mmol ) was added.

After $1 \mathrm{~h}, 4$-bromoaniline ( 0.40 mmol ) was added, and the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 12 h . The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo, diluted with cold water ( 5 mL ), and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography using cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 as eluent. Yield $=35 \%$; $\mathrm{mp}=251-253^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 5.00 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 7.00 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.27 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.40$ (q, 4H, Ar, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.80 (d, 2H, Ar, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 9.07 (exch br s, 1 H , NH). ESI-MS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 428.28$; found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 429.05$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.2. Biological assays

### 6.2.1. Cell culture

Human promyelocytic leukemia HL-60 cells stably transfected with FPR1 (HL-60-FPR1), FPR2 (HL-60-FPR2), or FPR3 (HL-60-FPR3) were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ heatinactivated fetal calf serum, 10 mM HEPES, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin, and $\mathrm{G} 418(1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$, as described previously [16,19,47,49]. Specificity of FPR expression on these cells was demonstrated previously, and it has been shown in a number of studies that these cell lines respond only to receptor subtypespecific agonists [44,45,52]. Wild-type HL-60 cells were cultured under the same conditions, but without G418.

### 6.2.2. Isolation of human neutrophils

Blood was collected from healthy donors in accordance with a protocol approved by the Institutional Review Board at Montana State University. Neutrophils were purified from the blood using dextran sedimentation, followed by Histopaque 1077 gradient separation and hypotonic lysis of red blood cells, as previously described [53]. Isolated neutrophils were washed twice and resuspended in HBSS without $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ( $\mathrm{HBSS}^{-}$). Neutrophil preparations were routinely $>95 \%$ pure, as determined by light microscopy, and $>98 \%$ viable, as determined by trypan blue exclusion.

### 6.2.3. $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilization assay

Changes in intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ were measured with a FlexStation II scanning fluorometer using a FLIPR 3 calcium assay kit (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) for human neutrophils and HL-60 cells. All active compounds were evaluated in parent (wild-type) HL-60 cells for supporting that the agonists are inactive in non-transfected cells. Human neutrophils or HL-60 cells, suspended in HBSS ${ }^{-}$ containing 10 mM HEPES, were loaded with Fluo-4 AM dye (Invitrogen) ( $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ final concentration) and incubated for 30 min in the dark at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After dye loading, the cells were washed with HBSS ${ }^{-}$containing 10 mM HEPES, resuspended in HBSS containing 10 mM HEPES and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\left(\right.$ HBSS $\left.^{+}\right)$, and aliquotted into the wells of a flat-bottomed, half-area-well black microtiter plates ( $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well). The compound source plate contained dilutions of test compounds in $\mathrm{HBSS}^{+}$. Changes in fluorescence were monitored ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=485 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=538 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) every 5 s for 240 s at room temperature after automated addition of compounds. Maximum change in fluorescence, expressed in arbitrary units over baseline, was used to determine agonist response. Responses were normalized to the response induced by 5 nM fMLF (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) for HL-60-FPR1 and neutrophils, or 5 nM WKYMVm (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) for HL-60-FPR2 and HL-60-FPR3 cells, which were assigned a value of $100 \%$. Curve fitting ( $5-6$ points) and calculation of median effective concentration values $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ were performed by nonlinear regression analysis of the dose-response curves generated using Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA).

### 6.2.4. Chemotaxis assay

Neutrophils were suspended in $\mathrm{HBSS}^{+}$containing $2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ fetal bovine serum (FBS) $\left(2 \times 10^{6}\right.$ cells $\left./ \mathrm{mL}\right)$, and chemotaxis was analyzed in 96 -well ChemoTx chemotaxis chambers (Neuroprobe, Gaithersburg, MD), as previously described [53]. Briefly, lower wells were loaded with $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{HBSS}^{+}$containing $2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ FBS and the indicated concentrations of test compound, DMSO (negative control), or 1 nM fMLF as a positive control. Neutrophils were added to the upper wells and allowed to migrate through the $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore polycarbonate membrane filter for 60 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The number of migrated cells was determined by measuring ATP in lysates of transmigrated cells using a luminescence-based assay (CellTiter-Glo; Promega, Madison, WI), and luminescence measurements were converted to absolute cell numbers by comparison of the values with standard curves obtained with known numbers of neutrophils. The results are expressed as percentage of negative control and were calculated as follows: (number of cells migrating in response to test compounds/spontaneous cell migration in response to control medium) $\times 100 . \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were determined by nonlinear regression analysis of the doseresponse curves generated using Prism 5 software.

### 6.2.5. Cytotoxicity assay

Cytotoxicity was analyzed with a CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay Kit (Promega), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, wild-type non-transfected human promyelocytic leukemia HL-60 cells were cultured at a density of $3 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well with tested compounds for 60 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Following treatment, the cells were allowed to equilibrate to room temperature for 30 min , substrate was added, and the samples were analyzed with a Fluoroscan Ascent FL.

### 6.3. Molecular docking analysis

The FPR1 homology model was created using the crystal structure of the bovine rhodopsin receptor, which has a sequence identity of $20 \%$ for 348 aligned residues that correspond to the seven transmembrane domains, as reported previously [46]. It should be noted, that the crystal structure of bovine rhodopsin was successfully applied previously to homology modeling of FPR1 [12,54]. The position of the ligand binding site in these models, based on cross-linking and mutagenesis studies, was found to be located in the upper region of a helical bundle comprising transmembrane domains (TM) $2,5,6$, and $7[50,55]$ and was recently supported by docking studies of FPR1 agonists, including peptide Ac-QAWF [46] and series of non-peptide 2-(benzimidazol-2-ylthio)- $N$-phenylacetamides [47].

A PDB file of the homology model for FPR1 was loaded into the Molegro Virtual Docker (MVD) program (MVD 2010.4.2, Molegro ApS ). Docking search space was defined as a sphere centered at the carbonyl carbon of the Ala residue in Ac-QAWF [46]. The radius of the sphere was adopted to be $11 \AA$. This search space encompassed the whole Ac-QAWF molecule and included, at least partially, the following 36 residues of FPR1: Trp91, Trp95, Cys98, Lys99, Leu101, Phe102, Thr103, Val105, Asp106, Phe110, Leu156, Thr157, Leu158, Pro159, Val160, Ile161, Ile162, Asn192, Val193, Ala196, Met197, Leu198, Thr199, Val200, Arg201, Gly202, Arg205, Phe206, Tyr257, Ala261, Ala264, Thr265, Ile268, Arg269, Glu270, and Val283.

For homology modeling of FPR2, the primary amino acid sequence of FPR2 was submitted to the Phyre ${ }^{2}$ (Protein Homology/ analogy Recognition Engine V2.0) protein fold recognition server (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2) [56]. The server used one template with known crystal structure for homology modeling per protein sequence. We obtained 18 predicted protein structure models with the highest level of confidence, based on dissolved crystal structures of several GPCRs, including bovine and squid
rhodopsins, human adenosine receptor $A_{2 A}$, turkey $\beta_{1}$ adrenoceptor, human $\beta_{2}$ adrenoceptor, human histamine receptor $H_{1}$, human dopamine $D_{3}$ receptor, and human chemokine receptor CXCR4. Two FPR2 homology models were pre-selected from the set of predicted models. One model, based on the CXCR4 structure, had a maximal sequence identity of $28 \%$, but with a low crystal structure resolution of $3.2 \AA$ for the template. The second model has a template with sequence identity of $16 \%$, but the highest resolution crystal structure ( $2.2 \AA$ ) known to date for a GPCR. Side chain conformations of eight residues in FPR2 (His102, Val105, Asp106, Leu109, Trp254, Phe257, Ser288, Phe292), which were previously identified as belonging to the binding site [51], were optimized in both models using the corresponding module of Molegro software. Since our pre-docking studies indicated that the rhodopsin-based model gave the best docking positions for FPR2 agonists that were used previously for pharmacophore modeling [49,57], we propose that these data justify use of the bovine rhodopsin structure as a template for the FPR2 homology model vs. the CXCR4 template. Thus, further modeling was based on the rhodopsin-based model of the FPR2.

Taking into account the lack of structural information about any ligand-receptor complex with FPR2, we sought to locate cavities in the macromolecule obtained by homology modeling in order to identify the search space for docking. Use of the MVD "Detect cavity" module with probe size 1.2 A gave two cavities with volumes of 241 and $25 \AA^{3}$ in the region of the ligand binding site. Positions of these two cavities obviously reflect the dumb-bell shape of the binding site. Hence, for FPR2, we also chose a spherical search space with a default radius of $15 \AA$ centered at the terminus of the larger cavity directed to the smaller one (Fig. 5).

Before docking, structures of the compounds were preoptimized using HyperChem software with MM+ force field and saved in Tripos MOL2 format. The ligand structures were then imported into the MVD with the options "Create explicit hydrogens", "Assign charges (calculated by MVD)", and "Detect flexible torsions in ligands" enabled. Selected molecules were docked into FPR1 and FPR2 using the search spaces indicated above with a rigid receptor structure. Ligand flexibility was accounted for with respect to torsion angles auto-detected in MVD. MolDock score functions were used with a 0.3 Å grid resolution. The "Internal HBond" option was activated in the "Ligand evaluation" menu of Docking Wizard. Thirty docking runs were performed for each molecule, while 60 docking runs were performed for the peptide. The option "Return multiple poses for each run" was enabled, and the post-processing options "Energy minimization" and "Optimize H-bonds" were applied after docking. Similar poses were clustered at a RMSD threshold of $1 \AA$.
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