

FLORE Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Letter to Editor in response to "Detection of endometrial cancer via molecular analysis of DNA collected with vaginal tampons" by

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Letter to Editor in response to "Detection of endometrial cancer via molecular analysis of DNA collected with vaginal tampons" by Bakkum-Gamez et al. (Gynecol Oncol. 2015) / Fambrini, Massimiliano; Bussani, Cecilia; Sorbi, Flavia. - In: GYNECOLOGIC ONCOLOGY REPORT. - ISSN 2352-5789. - ELETTRONICO. - 14:(2015), pp. 42-43. [10.1016/j.gore.2015.04.003]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1053939 since: 2016-09-28T15:21:53Z

Published version:

DOI: 10.1016/j.gore.2015.04.003

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

Our reference: GORE 52 P-authorquery-v11

AUTHOR QUERY FORM

	Journal: GORE	Please e-mail your responses and any corrections to:
ELSEVIER	Article Number: 52	E-mail: Corrections.ESEO@elsevier.spitech.com

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

We were unable to process your file(s) full	y electronically and have proceeded by	
Scanning (parts of) your article	Rekeying (parts of) your article	Scanning the artwork

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

Location in article	Query / Remark: click on the Q link to go Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof
<u>Q1</u>	Please provide the volume number and page range for the bibliography in 'Bakkum-Gamez et al., 2015'. Please check this box if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file.

Thank you for your assistance.

Gynecologic Oncology Reports xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Gynecologic Oncology Reports

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/gore



Letter to the Editor

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

Letter to Editor in response to "Detection of endometrial cancer via molecular analysis of DNA collected with vaginal tampons" by Bakkum-Gamez *et al.* (Gynecol Oncol. 2015)

We read with great interest the article by Bakkum-Gamez et al. "Detection of endometrial cancer via molecular analysis of DNA collected with vaginal tampons" in the recent edition of Gynecologic Oncology (Bakkum-Gamez et al., 2015).

According to Authors, we believe that endometrial cancer (EC) will become an even more important public health issue in next years. In developed countries, the incidence of EC has raised only slightly over the past two decades although the number of annual estimated deaths from this disease has more than doubled since the 1980s. Recent findings suggest that the increase in mortality over the last 14 years may be related to an increased rate of advanced-stage cancers, higher tumor grade and non-endometrioid histology (Ueda et al., 2008). For these reasons scientists, doctors, and public health professionals are more concerned than ever before with identifying effective preventive measures for this condition, which has a readily available treatment with a potential for cure that increases with early detection. Along with primary prevention through modification of lifestyle and risk factors, we believe that next generation strategies should include periodical screening in high-risk subjects.

Interestingly Bakkum-Gamez et al. demonstrate the feasibility of detecting EC by combining minimally-invasive specimen collection techniques with sensitive molecular testing (Bakkum-Gamez et al., 2015). Intravaginal tampons and Tao brush were used respectively to collect vaginal pool and liquid-based endometrial cytology (LBEC) prior to hysterectomy for EC or benign conditions. Tampon and brush DNA underwent separately pyrosequencing assays showing a higher gene-level methylation of both well-studied and recently identified markers for EC. Although the higher methylation among the EC group compared to BE group, a subset of EC methylation overlaps with the distribution of BE methylation. Additional discovery efforts to identify other novel candidate biomarkers to improve test sensitivity and predictive value are warranted.

HOXA9, previously reported as methylated in normal endometrium of women presenting with ovarian cancer (Widschwendter et al., 2009), was included in the panel of genes demonstrating a methylation pattern comparable with RASSF1, a gene methylated in nearly 90% of ECs.

Homeobox (HOX) genes encode transcriptional factors involved in embryonic development. During development of the female reproductive system HOXA9, HOXA10, HOXA11, and HOXA13 are expressed uniformly along the Müllerian duct axis, although in adults their expression becomes spatially restricted to particular organs. HOXA9 becomes expressed in the fallopian tubes; HOXA10 is expressed in the developing uterus, HOXA11 in the lower uterine segment and cervix and HOXA13 in the upper vagina (Taylor et al., 1997).

Several studies have demonstrated that HOXA10 is cyclically expressed in endometrial glands and stroma throughout the menstrual

cycle and that aberrant expression of HOXA 10 by the epigenetic 53 mechanism of methylation is associated with infertility and endome-54 triosis (Taylor et al., 1998; Andersson et al., 2014; Fambrini et al., 55 2013a).

Recently, our group has reported the methylation profile of HOXA10 57 promoter gene in EC and normal endometrial tissues obtained in differ- 58 ent phases of menstrual cycle (Fambrini et al., 2013b). There were 59 statistically significant differences in mean methylation between EC 60 and normal endometrium, suggesting a possible role of epigenetic 61 changes in HOXA10 gene regulation in EC. This data are in agreement 62 with other studies (Yoshida et al., 2006) indicating that HOXA 10 is 63 aberrantly expressed in EC, and that its deregulation significantly con- 64 tributes to tumor progression. Therefore, we believe that HOXA10 65 should be included in the panel of genes selected by the Authors to 66 improve test performance.

Concerning DNA collection method, vaginal tampon can potentially 68 enable self-collection and delivery to a testing laboratory by mail, 69 extending access to EC early detection methods into setting with limited 70 resources. However the impact of previous biopsy on tumor cell and 71 cell-free DNA shedding in unknown and the interpretation of study is 72 limited by collection of samples following prior endometrial instrumen-73 tation. Specimens of the study included also endometrial brushing 74 collected via Tao brush and suspended in liquid-based solution. The 75 median amount of DNA collected from endometrial brushing was 76 higher respect to vaginal pool via tampon, even if both collection 77 techniques yielded sufficient DNA quantities for methylation analysis 78 and methylation levels between EC and BE were similar. However, an 79 utmost relevant point is that LBEC provides the opportunity to obtain 80 a morphological diagnosis according to accurate and standardized diag-81 nostic criteria. Since 2003, the use of LBEC has been described by several 82 authors in different study populations. Overall, cumulative literature on 83 nearly four thousand patients revealed sensitivity of 78–100%, a speci-84 ficity of 95–1000%, a positive predictive value (PPV) of 78–100%, and a 85 negative predictive value (NPV) of 96–100% (Buccoliero et al., 2007, 86 2008; Fambrini et al., 2008, 2012, 2014; Kipp et al., 2008).

We reported a very high diagnostic accuracy of LBEC in early detection of EC, even in troublesome subgroups of women such as tamoxifen 89 users (Buccoliero et al., 2008), post-menopausal subjects (Buccoliero 90 et al., 2007) or patients with low-risk endometrial polyps (Fambrini 91 et al., 2008). In speculating on the role of LBEC as first line investigation, 92 we combined LBEC and transvaginal ultrasounds in women with post-menopausal bleeding observing a 100% sensitivity with 100% NPV in 94 EC identification (Fambrini et al., 2012).

LBEC meets most of the ideal screening test requirements such as the 96 low rate of inadequate specimens, the reasonable cost (comparable to a 97 liquid-based pap test), the good acceptability and the ease of execution 98 in an office setting.

We thank Bakkum-Gamez et al. for giving the attention to early de- 100 tection of EC, supporting a screening policy in high-risk population. Fur- 101 ther studies evaluating cost-effectiveness are needed to assess the best 102 screening modalities in developed countries. However, we believe that 103 LBEC combined with molecular techniques, such as DNA methylation 104

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gore.2015.04.003

2352-5789/© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Letter to the Editor

Kipp, B.R., Medeiros, F., Campion, M.B., Distad, T.I., Peterson, L.M., Keeney, G.L., et al., 2008. 138 analysis, could be used as an outpatient testing for early detection of EC 105 Direct uterine sampling with the Tao brush sampler using a liquid-based preparation 139 106 in groups of women with a higher overall incidence of disease. method for the detection of endometrial cancer and atypical hyperplasia: a feasibility 140 study Cancer 114 (4) 228-235 Taylor, H.S., Vanden Heuvel, G.B., Igarashi, P., 1997. A conserved Hox axis in the mouse 142 107 Conflicts of interest and human female reproductive system: late establishment and persistent adult 143 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. 108 expression of the Hoxa cluster genes. Biol. Reprod. 57 (6), 1338–1345. 144 Taylor, H.S., Arici, A., Olive, D., Igarashi, P., 1998. HOXA10 is expressed in response to sex 145 steroids at the time of implantation in the human endometrium. J. Clin. Invest. 101 146 109 (7), 1379-1384. Ueda, S.M., Kapp, D.S., Cheung, M.K., Shin, J.Y., Osann, K., Husain, A., et al., 2008. Trends in 148 Andersson, K.L., Bussani, C., Fambrini, M., Polverino, V., Taddei, G.L., Gemzell-Danielsson, 110 demographic and clinical characteristics in women diagnosed with corpus cancer and 149 111 K., et al., 2014. DNA methylation of HOXA10 in eutopic and ectopic endometrium. their potential impact on the increasing number of deaths, Am. J. Obstet, Gynecol, 198 150 112 Hum, Reprod. 29 (9), 1906-1911. (2) (218.e1-6) Bakkum-Gamez, J.N., Wentzensen, N., Maurer, M.J., Hawthorne, K.M., Voss, J.S., Kroneman, 113 Widschwendter, M., Apostolidou, S., Jones, A.A., Fourkala, E.O., Arora, R., Pearce, C.L., et al., 152 T.N., et al., 2015. Detection of endometrial cancer via molecular analysis of DNA col-114 2009, HOXA methylation in normal endometrium from premenopausal women is 153 lected with vaginal tampons. Gynecol. Oncol. (Feb 10. pii: S0090-8258(15)00604-6). 115 associated with the presence of ovarian cancer; a proof of principle study. Int. 154 Buccoliero, A.M., Gheri, C.F., Castiglione, F., Garbini, F., Barbetti, A., Fambrini, M., et al., 116 2007. Liquid-based endometrial cytology: cyto-histological correlation in a popula-I. Cancer 125 (9), 2214-2218. 117 Yoshida, H., Broaddus, R., Cheng, W., Xie, S., Naora, H., 2006. Deregulation of the HOXA10 156 tion of 917 women. Cytopathology 18 (4), 241–249. 118 homeobox gene in endometrial carcinoma: role in epithelial-mesenchymal transition. 157 Buccoliero, A.M., Fambrini, M., Gheri, C.F., Castiglione, F., Garbini, F., Barbetti, A., et al., 119 Cancer Res. 66 (2), 889-897. 2008. Surveillance for endometrial cancer in women on tamoxifen: the role of 120 liquid-based endometrial cytology—cytohistological correlation in a population of 168 women. Gynecol. Obstet. Investig. 65 (4), 240–246. 121 122 Massimiliano Fambrini* 159 Fambrini, M., Buccoliero, A.M., Bargelli, G., Cioni, R., Piciocchi, L., Pieralli, A., et al., 2008. 123 Cecilia Bussani 160 Clinical utility of liquid-based cytology for the characterization and management of 124 Flavia Sorbi 161 endometrial polyps in postmenopausal age. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer 18 (2), 306-311. 125 Fambrini, M., Buccoliero, A.M., Pieralli, A., Taddei, G.L., 2012. A pilot study evaluating 126 Department of Biomedical, Clinical and Experimental Sciences, 162 liquid-based endometrial cytology and transvaginal ultrasonography in women 127 University of Florence, Florence, Italy 163 with postmenopausal bleeding. Cytopathology. http://dx.doi.org/10.111/cyt.12027.
Fambrini, M., Sorbi, F., Bussani, C., Cioni, R., Sisti, G., Andersson, K.L., 2013a. Hypermethylation of HOXA10 gene in mid-luteal endometrium from women with 128 *Corresponding author at: Department of Biomedical, Clinical and 164 129 130 Experimental Sciences, University of Florence, Viale Morgagni, 85, 165 ovarian endometriomas. Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand. 92, 1331–1334. 131

Fambrini, M., Sorbi, F., Sisti, G., Cioni, R., Turrini, I., Taddei, G., et al., 2014. Endometrial carcinoma in high-risk populations: is it time to consider a screening policy? Cytopathology 25 (2), 71-77.

Fambrini, M., Bussani, C., Sorbi, F., Pieralli, A., Cioni, R., 2013b, Methylation of the HOXA10

J. Obstet. Gynaecol. 33 (5), 519-520.

homeobox gene promoter is associated with endometrial cancer: a pilot study.

132

133 134

135

136

137

31 March 2015 169 Available online xxxx 170

50134 Florence, Italy. Fax: +39 055 430056. 166

E-mail address: maxfambrini@libero.it (M. Fambrini). 167