


CHNT 20

Conference on
**Cultural Heritage and
New Technologies**
November 2-4, 2015

Proceedings





CHNT 20, 2015 – PROCEEDINGS

of the

20th International Conference on Cultural Heritage and New Technologies

held in Vienna, Austria November 2015 ISBN 978-3-200-04698-6

Cover [pdf 603 kB]

SESSIONS

Public Relations and Archaeology – Presenting Cultural Heritage in Urban Area

Chairs: Ingeborg GAISBAUER, Austria | Ann DEGRAEVE, Belgium

Morten Valdorff RASMUSSEN, Denmark: On-site communication, old bones and new audiences [pdf 382 kB]

Colleen MORGAN | Robert CARTER | Michal MICHALSKI, UK | Qatar : The Origins of Doha Project – Online Digital Heritage Remediation and Public Outreach in a Vanishing Pearling Town in the Arabian Gulf [pdf 699 kB]

Matthias PACHER, Austria: The museum of prehistory MAMUZ as a cultural touristic impulse for a rural area in Lower Austria [pdf 783 kB]

Carmen LOEW, Austria: Examples for Stakeholder Values in Hallstatt-Research [pdf 169 kB]

Elisabeth MONAMY, Austria: Experience archaeology or bringing archaeology to a wider public [pdf 141 kB]

Nadja DEBENJAK | Kaisa MÄKI-PETÄJÄ, Austria: Revealing hidden histories with modern technology – Archaeological Information Systems and geolocalisation [pdf 824 kB]

Conflict as Cultural Heritage: Cultural Heritage in Conflict

Chair: Stephen STEAD, UK

Max VAN DER SCHRIEK, The Netherlands: Dutch Military Landscapes: Heritage and Archaeology on WWII conflict sites [pdf 1,75 MB]

Marzia MERLONGHI, Italy: CAAD: Crisis Areas Archaeological Database [pdf 1,56 MB]

Saadet GUNER, Turkey: History of the Protection of the Cultural Heritage in Conflict [pdf 178 kB]

Cultural Heritage in Danger

Chairs: Benjamin DUCKE, Germany | Anita GACH | Friedrich SCHIPPER | Hubert SZEMETHY, Austria

P. PERAKIS | T. THEOHARIS, Norway: Simulating Erosion on Cultural Heritage Monuments [pdf 1,72 MB]

Ralf HESSE, Germany: Possibilities and challenges in the application of multi-temporal airborne lidar data sets for the monitoring of archaeological landscapes [pdf 991 kB]

Konstantinos PSARRAS | Nikolaos MYRIDIS | Ioannis TEGOS, Greece: Development of New Technologies for the Earthquake-Resistant Design of Churches Threatened by Tsunamis [pdf 1,13 MB]

2015

[Abstracts](#)

[Proceedings](#)

[Gallery](#)

Mohammad Esmaeil Esmaeili JELODAR | Mahsa VAHABI, Iran: The challenges and opportunities of archeology excavations of Urban Rescue in Tehran City based on emergency excavations in the region of Molavi [pdf 939 kB]

Public Appearance – Heritage researchers, stakeholders and public interaction

Chairs: R.J. VAN LANEN | J.E. ABRAHAMSE | B.J. GROENEWOUDT | M.C. KOSIAN, The Netherlands

Marion GROßMANN, Austria: Carnuntums Appearance and its Mediation of Roman Culture [pdf 1,5 MB]

Matteo SCAMPORRINO, Italy: A 3D model to safeguard and transform the port of Livorno – Toward a View management framework [pdf 642 kB]

Kerstin DOHR | Josef GSPURNING, Austria: The Appearance of Istanbul's World Heritage Site in Terms of Accessibility and Embedment into the Urban Environment [pdf 1,3 MB]

Cristina CORSI, Italy: Good practice in management of 'invisible' archaeological townscapes [pdf 1,34 MB]

Luca GIORGI | Pietro MATRACCHI, Italy: Florence: knowledge and usability of the archaeological heritage [pdf 2,24 MB]

Newbies & Young Scientists

Chairs: Michael DONEUS | Benjamin STANGL, Austria

Pedro CABEZOS-BERNAL | Paolo FORMAGLINI | Filippo GIANANTI | Raana KAYNIA, Italy: From the suggestion of viewing to the understanding of photography: a case study over rephotography [pdf 714 kB]

Martina POLIG, Sweden: The original Holy Cross Church in Dalby – new interpretations through digital archaeology [pdf 1,94 MB]

Disclosing the Dead

Chairs: David BIBBY, Germany | Ann DEGRAEVE, Belgium | Raphael PANHUYSEN, The Netherlands | Karin WILTSCHKE-SCHROTTA, Austria

Maja D'HOLLOSY, The Netherlands: Facing the Past – The use of facial reconstructions in connecting people to the past [pdf 1,25 MB]

David BIBBY | Hildegard BIBBY | Joachim WAHL, Germany: The Life, Times and Death of "Grave 700" from the Holy Trinity Church Konstanz, Germany [pdf 1,35 MB]

Peep PILLAK, Estonia: Disclosing the Traumas of History: The Case of First Estonian President [pdf 2,11 MB]

Liisa SEPPÄNEN, Finland: Buried in secrecy, surrounded by mystery, saved as curiosity – Animal grave from the early 20th century in town of Lahti (Finland) [pdf 682 kB]

The Use of UAVs in Virtual Heritage

Chairs: Peter DORNINGER, Austria | Benjamin DUCKE, Germany | Bernhard FRISCHER, USA | Rebeka VITAL, Israel

Stefanie WEFERS | Philipp ATORF | Jörg KLONOWSKI, Germany: UAV photogrammetry and 3D analyses of CH sites [pdf 2,38 MB]

Benjamin GEHMLICH | Marco BLOCK-BERLITZ, Germany: Diversity of Flight Strategies in UAV Recording [pdf 1,78 MB]

Digital 3D Reconstruction – Methods, Strategies and Challenges

Chairs: Piotr KUROCZYŃSKI | Mieke PFARR-HARFST | Sander MÜNSTER, Germany | Benjamin STANGL, Austria

Fabrizio APOLLONIO, Italy: Classification schemes and model validation of 3D digital reconstruction process [pdf 461 kB]

Dmitry KARELIN | Yulia KLIMENKO | Serjey KLIMENKO, Russia: The methods and approaches to the visualisation of 3D reconstructions [pdf 584 kB]

Dominik LENGYEL | Catherine TOULOUSE, Germany: The consecution of uncertain knowledge, hypotheses and the design of abstraction [pdf 3,21 MB]

Giorgio VERDIANI, Italy: Reading the project and "reverse design": an architectural approach to digital reconstruction [pdf 1,47 MB]

New realities: Virtual, augmented reality and other techniques in Cultural Heritage for the general public

Chairs: Willem BEEB, The Netherlands | Peter FERSCHIN, Austria | Bernhard FRISCHER, USA | Giorgio VERDIANI, Italy

Dragoş GHEORGHIU | Livia ŞTEFAN, Romania: Augmenting Immersion: The Implementation of the Real World in Virtual Reality [pdf 4,98 MB]

Samanta GREGGIO | Giuseppe SALEMI, Italy: A mobile Augmented Reality system

integrating 3D model and radiance scaling to enhance epigraphical inscriptions

[pdf 846 kB]

Adele MAGNELLI | Matteo VENTRELLA, Italy: How the AR can help to appreciate the hidden value of pictorial masterpiece: Venice's Gallerie dell'Accademia case history

[pdf 542 kB]

Takehiko NAGAKURA | Daniel TSAI, USA | Diego PINOCHET, Chile: Viewing the impossible: Photogrammetric Models of Palladian Villas and Other Works [pdf 1,34 MB]

Eiman ELGEWELY, Egypt | Willeke WENDRICH, USA: Reviving Karanis (Egypt): From Mud Bricks to Urban and Social Fabric [pdf 755 kB]

Giorgio VERDIANI | Mirco PUCCI | Mauro MARIOTTINI, Italy: The St. Donato Cathedral in Arezzo, digital reconstruction of a completely lost architecture [pdf 630 kB]

Anja WUTTE | Peter FERSCHIN | Georg SUTER, Austria: Excavation goes BIM. Building Analysis of an Egyptian Funerary Monument with Building Information Modeling Methods [pdf 556 kB]

Giulia DIONISIO | Anna Margherita JASINK, Italy: Teaching new technological methodologies applied to ancient history [pdf 570 kB]

Georg ZOTTI, Austria: Archaeoastronomical simulation in a desktop planetarium [pdf 1,06 MB]

Storytelling for Tourism in the Virtual Age. High Tech Travel for the 21st Century:

Chairs: Wolfgang H. BÖRNER, Austria | Eleni PANAGOY, Greece | Linda POTTER, USA

Claudiu SILVESTRU, Austria: Experiencing Medieval Vienna – New Approaches towards the Presentation and Interpretation of Invisible Cultural Heritage [pdf 1,4 MB]

F. GABELLONE | I. FERRARI | F. GIURI | M. CHIFFI, Italy: What communication for museums? Experiences and reflections within the project for the virtualization of the Museo Egizio in Turin [pdf 794 kB]

Nevena RADOJEVIC, Italy: Looking the Pazzi Chapel's umbrella vault from its oculus [pdf 982 kB]

Marino JAÉN ESPINOSA, Republic of Panama: Digital Storytelling of the Perote, a Traditional Festivity Held in Panama [pdf 235 kB]

Jochen SCHMID, Germany: The palace facade of Tell Halaf as part of the reconception of the Museum of the Ancient Near East – Material monumentality or virtual multiplicity [pdf 1,37 MB]

Davide PANTILE | Valerio ROSSI, Italy: Magna Carta Rediscovered: enhancing tourism through a travelling multimedia exhibition [pdf 412 kB]

Posterpresentation – NEW TECHNOLOGIES

Chair: Peter DORNINGER, Austria

Stefano BERTOCCI | Mattia VENTIMIGLIA, Italy: Augmented Reality for the documentation of Villa Adriana in Tivoli, Rome [pdf 853 kB]

Carmine CANALETTI | Alessandro CAMIZ, Italy: Reading and designing the area of Lambousa-Karavas, Cyprus: Acheiropoietos monastery [pdf 584 kB]

Francesco GABELLONE | Maria Teresa GIANNOTTA, Italy: New data from buried archives: the late Roman mosaic at Otranto [pdf 1,13 MB]

Iacopo GIANNINI | Angela MANCUSO | Mauro MARIOTTINI, Italy: The Church of St. Maria and St. Stefano on the Hill of Pionta, Arezzo – Italy. Studies, comparisons and hypothetical reconstruction [pdf 998 kB]

Irmela HERZOG, Germany | Alden YÉPEZ, Ecuador: The impact of the DEM on archaeological GIS studies [pdf 1,27 MB]

Serjey KLIMENKO | Dmitry KARELIN | Julia KLIMENKO, Russia: The methods and approaches of the scientific 3D-reconstructions of architectural monuments: the research experience in the Moscow Institute of Architecture [pdf 284 kB]

Angela MANCUSO | Andrea PASQUALI, Italy: Digital Micro-Photogrammetry: new ways to dialogue with future researchers (Winner of the 7th Poster-Award) [pdf 851 kB]

Jana MINAROVIECH | Margareta MUSILOVA, Slovakia: 3D Reconstructions of Roman buildings from 1st century B.C. on the Celtic Hillfort in Bratislava [pdf 2,02 MB]

Posterpresentation – CULTURAL HERITAGE

Chair: Wolfgang BÖRNER, Austria

Giulia BALDI, Italy: The Romanesque Complex of SS. Trinita' of Saccargia in Sardinia [pdf 506 kB]

Silvia D'ANDREA | Gianluca BELLI, Italy: From Porta alla Croce to Piazza Beccaria –

The evolution of Florence from city to Capital [pdf 2,12 MB]

Stéphane GIRAUDEAU | Andrea LEONARDI, Italy | Teresa GIL PIQUERAS, Spain:

When an isolated building becomes a guideline for the urban pattern. The case of “Torrenostro” in Torreblanca, Castellón, Spain [pdf 402 kB]

Petar PETROV, Bulgaria: Walk Into Tranquility (Winner of the 7th Poster-Award) [pdf 601 kB]

Tatiana PIGNATALE | Ilenia TRAMENTOZZI, Italy: Anonymous heritage-Integration of technology and visitors' active involvement as a revaluation method of cultural assets [pdf 645 kB]

Pablo RODRÍGUEZ-NAVARRO, Spain | Roberto ATZENI | Valentina NALDINI, Italy : Coastal towers between Spain and Sardinia: proposals for a documentation strategy [pdf 354 kB]

On the occasion of the 20th edition of the Conference:

Giorgio VERDIANI | Willem BEEEX | Francesco ALGOSTINO, Italy | The Netherlands: The making of the “Golden Rathaus” – Story and methods of a very specific 3D printed model [pdf 654 kB]

Presented on CHNT 19 | 2014 in the Session

Rubble, Ruins and Reading, specific approaches in analysis – Trying to let the remains telling the story

Chairs: Stefano COLUMBU / Giorgio VERDIANI, Italy

Oliver HAUCK | Piotr KUROCZYŃSKI, Germany: Cultural Heritage Markup

Language. How to Record and Preserve 3D Assets of Digital Reconstruction [pdf 1,03 MB]



Scan for CHNT APP:



More information: Museen der Stadt Wien – Stadtarchäologie

CMS: WordPress | Template: Elegant Themes | Setup: 7reasons Medien GmbH

The making of the “Golden Rathaus”

Story and methods of a very specific 3D printed model

Giorgio VERDIANI¹ | Willem BEEEX² | Francesco ALGOSTINO¹

¹ Dipartimento di Architettura, Florence University, Italy | ² BEEEX Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract: The twentieth edition of a conference happens only every twenty years, so, for this event, a group of habitual participants to the activities of the “Cultural Heritage and New Technologies” conference, decided to organize a special surprise, preparing and realizing a “golden” version of the Wiener Rathaus building to show and to homage Wolfgang Börner as the main chairman of this well organized and pleasant yearly event. It has been a nice experience, both because of the various troubleshooting needed to pass from an existing model to a 3D print and because of the not easy golden material effect. So the situations were so articulated and various that we decided to write down a paper about all the realization phases, to enrich and to transmit the final result and complete this particular occasion with a full description about the “making of” of the “Golden Rathaus”.

Keywords: 3D printing; Rathaus; Wien; 3D Modeling; Celebration.

Introduction

The making of a 3D digital model has always a specific story, when the process is not simply finalized to visualization, this story may become even more articulated. The making of this particular version of the Rathaus asked a special collaboration and many tests. The group participating to the making of this surprise was composed by Willem Beex, Peter Ferschin (Vienna University of Technology), Giorgio Verdiani, Francesco Algostino, Arkadiusz Szadkowski (Vienna University of Technology) and Peter Dorninger (4D-IT GmbH, Austria).

Different ways to use 3D models require specific optimization processes. In this case we studied the possibility to pass from a simplified, “low poly”, model to a physical model, through a 3D printer¹.

The flexibility inherent in the nature of digital 3D models, sometimes threatens to lead to underestimate the processing necessary to make effective use of a model already available in a different context.

A first problem lies in the storage formats: conversion paths using various software with the risk of losing some information about the geometry or the texturing. For example the OBJ² file format can be written in more ways and with different indexing.

Another problem concerns the topology of the mesh. It is possible to represent the same form with different geometry, but some are more suitable for 3D printing and less for visualization and vice versa.

¹ With a 3D printer we mean a machine for additive manufacturing.

² <http://people.cs.clemson.edu/~dhouse/courses/405/docs/brief-obj-file-format.html>

A part of this paper focuses on the necessary processes in transforming a model previously developed with the unique purpose of viewing it online into a suitable one for 3D printing. In particular, we will describe the workflow needed to turn a mesh created in SketchUp³ for real-time display in Google Earth⁴ into a mesh printable on a fifth generation Makerbot 3D printer that uses FDM⁵ technology⁶.

FDM technology for 3D printing is a technology in which the pattern model is divided by horizontal stack of layers; each individual layer of the print head crosses the whole perimeter described by the geometry depositing a filament of material which melts at low temperatures, and it is made in ABS or PLA. The software that analyses the geometry and creates the print head pattern is called "slicers". If the geometry has inconsistencies, such as an edge shared by more than two faces, the software used for transforming the geometry path in the machine may not exactly compute the path.

Different needs for real-time visualization and for 3D printing

The Digital geometry from which we started represented the Vienna Rathaus (Friedrich von Schmidt, 1872-1883). The original model was developed by Arkadiusz Szadkowski student at the "Institute of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Vienna University of Technology" and then processed at the Models for Architecture Laboratory (LMA) of the Department of Architecture, Florence University.

The main requirement of a model that must be transmitted and displayed in real time is to keep the overall size, while providing the desired level of detail, frequently (as in this case) by using the texture too. To this end, the model of the Rathaus was made by "components" which were repeated several times. In SketchUp, in fact, a "group" is a mesh that can be changed into a "component", which is a set of elements that will be available for been instantiated. Copying a component to new positions keeps the connection with the original object. A change to the primary object is reflected on each instance⁷. This makes it possible to streamline the process of modeling, mapping and texturing, limiting the time needed to complete the modeling while limiting the final size of the file. This hierarchy with strong reuse of geometry and texture optimizes the digital transmission and may be used to display the model using real-time visualizations⁸.

³ Originally developed by @Last software, now Trimble - www.sketchup.com. About push/pull method: System and method for three-dimensional modeling US 6628279 B1, 22 nov 2000. Since 2004 is possible to export models for Google Earth in KMZ format.

⁴ Google Earth, originally developed by Keyhole, 2001, is a navigable virtual globe, with different kinds of geographical information (vectorial maps, satellite photos, etc...) and user generated content in the same cartographic system.

⁵ FDM fused deposition modelling, also called FFF fused filament fabrication by the RepRap project.

⁶ For a framework on rapid prototyping technologies will: Additive Manufacturing Technologies, I. Gibson, D. Rosen, B. Stucker, Springer, 978-1-4939-2112-6.

⁷ <https://www.sketchup.com/it/products/sketchup-pro/features/model-organization/>

⁸ Marini, Bertolo, Rizzi, 2001.

Moreover, for the purpose of visualization, a model can have non-watertight geometries and non-manifold⁹ errors are acceptable. In SketchUp normals¹⁰ are forced to be present on both sides of the triangle, while for 3D printing they must be aligned to the outside of the model. Faces without thickness or with a thickness too thin to be managed by 3D printers, as well as geometries that interpenetrate or auto-intersect do not represent a problem for model visualization, but they must be corrected for rapid prototyping¹¹

Modeling in SketchUp¹² is performed by using the "push/pull" technology, which accelerates the modeling procedure, in particular for objects with simple geometries. On the other hand, it sometimes entails the generation of two coincident faces. In this case too, model visualization is not affected, while the presence of redundant faces represents a problem during printing.

A characteristic of FDM printing is that you need to lay down the molten material on an already hardened layer. The presence of vaults, loggia or other embossed elements entails the creation of supports, which are removed at the end of the printing process.

The actual FDM 3D printer is not able to print colour information, it is just like erasing all the information stored in the textures, and see the model in a Blinn¹³ monochrome shader. The lack of information leads us to model the window's recesses.

3D printing and optimization: The adopted workflow

During a first printing test, while performing a preliminary control, the model developed in SketchUp was analyzed in Magics¹⁴, where many errors were detected, as stated above.

To avoid long manual correction procedures on the model, initially we tested two algorithms for automatic mesh repairing. The first, implemented in Magics, is a Shrinkwrap algorithm¹⁵. However, the result was not satisfying, because it requires a huge quantity of samples to produce a sufficiently accurate description of an object with sharp angles and complex concave areas, such as the Rathaus.

⁹ <http://www.cs.mtu.edu/~shene/COURSES/cs3621/SLIDES/Mesh.pdf>.

http://graphics.stanford.edu/courses/cs468-10-fall/LectureSlides/02_Basics.pdf

¹⁰ Foley, 1996.

¹¹ For a generic reference about a right geometry for 3D printing: Printing Things - Product Design Visions and Essentials for 3D Printing, C. Warnier, D. Verbruggen/ Unfold, S. Ehmann, R. Klanten, Gestalten, Berlino, 2014. <http://www.shapeways.com/tutorials/?li=nav>

<https://ultimaker.com/en/support/11721-general-questions>

¹² For a generic reference about topic: La Stampa 3D con SketchUp, F. Caraccia (a cura di), Janotek L'aquila, 2015.

¹³ Blinn, 1977.

¹⁴ Magics is a professional software for checking STL and preparing them for 3D printing.

¹⁵ Shrinkwrap: An efficient adaptive algorithm for triangulating an iso-surface, K. van Overveld, B. Wyvill. The Visual Computer, Volume 20, Issue 6, pp 362-379, Springer, August 2004.

The second algorithm adopted is the Poisson¹⁶, in the version implemented in MeshLab¹⁷. While the first was not truly fit for the purpose, the second algorithm is more efficient when used with high poly models rather than with low poly mesh. In both cases, the software used required a significant amount of ram, limiting the tests that we will try to repeat with more performing hardware.

Due to the substantial failure of the automatic procedures tested to optimize the model, we carried out the manual correction of geometry in Autodesk 3DS Max¹⁸. This step was then followed by the automatic correction of the remaining small errors in Magics and by the project of the model and the preparation of the file for printing with the same software.

The adopted strategy was to optimize and create portions of elements that were printable, in order to merge them in wider and wider meshes, up to obtaining a single correct mesh for 3D printing.

The following paragraphs describe the procedure adopted in detail.

Manual correction of geometry

The model developed in SU was exported in 3DS format and imported in 3DS Max.

The preliminary operations included:

- Determining the value of the unit of measurement of the original model (in this specific case $1u = 1$ mm),
- Moving the model close to the origin of the axes, to eliminate the problems linked to the accuracy of modeling software in managing entities that are too far from the origin,
- Rotating the model until the main face is parallel to the X axis, in order to simplify its management.

In 3DS we then divided the model into parts, selected according to some criteria linked to the type of changes to be made, and we broke the model up into its main architectural elements (macroblocks); for each of them a layer was defined: base, building, roof dormers, façade, façade of the central tower, and balustrades. The non-printable parts, such as flagpoles, were filed in a special layer.

We first considered the macroblocks "base", "building", "roof dormers", and "roof". The groups of meshes present in each layer were merged and the coincident vertices were welded through the "weld" command. The faces marking the macroblocks were defined with partly manual and partly automatic procedures. The unnecessary elements were cancelled. Finally, we made sure that the different macroblocks suitably interpenetrate to guarantee a positive output during the following Boolean operations. For example, while remodeling the base of the building (in light blue in the following image), the vertical walls were "sunk" into the

¹⁶ Poisson Surface Reconstruction. Michael Kazhdan, Matthew Bolitho and Hugues Hoppe, Eurographics Symposium on Geometry Processing Konrad Polthier, Alla Sheffer (2006).

¹⁷ Meshlab: <http://meshlab.sourceforge.net/> . It is an opensource system for the processing and editing of unstructured 3D triangular meshes.

¹⁸ 3DS Max is a "digital content creation" software created by Yost Group in 1990, and published from Autodesk.

ground. The macroblock "balustrades" included geometries without thickness; besides the above mentioned operations we also applied a "shell" modifier, whose thickness was calculated according to the minimum thickness required by the FDM technology, that is approx. 1 mm in the final size of the model.

The remaining macroblocks required more demanding work. After having merged the meshes and their vertices, as described above, we closed open geometries and removed internal geometries to make every macroblock watertight.

In the loggia there were different non-manifold geometries. By carefully cutting, removing and remodelling portions of faces, we created suitable geometries for printing. We made corrections on a single module, which was then instanced.

The Rathaus is the perfect building to be modelled through a modular structure. As we said before, in SU we can manage instances in a convenient way, as a change made applies automatically to all of them. The export format adopted (.3DS) does not store this kind of information and therefore in some cases we needed to repeat the same correction for all modules. The .FBX format should instead allow maintaining the structure of the model, thus fixing this kind of problem.

This part of the work required almost half of the total amount of time necessary to prepare the model, that is approx. 18 man-hours.

Some examples of point corrections applied manually are:

- Inside the arches we removed coplanar faces, due to Sketchup push-pull modeling, and then we closed the remaining hole.
- In the towers we performed accurate manual operations;
- The cornices of the towers have been entirely remodelled due to the excessive presence of automatically interpenetrating meshes.

Automatic geometry refinement

After having made the manual corrections, further refinement of the model became necessary, by means of specific software such as Magics by Materialize, Netfab or Meshmixer, both by Autodesk, just to mention a few. This software controls geometry, closes holes, merges coincident vertices and checks normals. Some errors are linked to the export format from 3DS, OBJ in this specific case. The control algorithms applied to this step are stricter and firmer than those present in 3DS.

Every single macroblock was imported into Magics, checked and individually corrected; then we merged them into a single mesh through a Boolean union that defined a final model made of 400,000 polygons.

Materials and testing: Project of the model and preparation of the file for printing

The final mesh was checked once again and some noise shells were detected and removed. The mesh was scaled to the size planned for printing and we analysed the thickness and overhang angles of the different elements to verify whether printing supports were necessary.

The first printing attempt was made with a FDM printer Makerbot Z18 by means of the PLA Makerbot. The result on façades was not satisfying due to the lack of details that in the original model had been given by the texture. Then in 3DS Max we modelled all the missing windows.

After that we tested the PLA colorFabb Pale Gold¹⁹. This material gave the best results on the 2nd generation Makerbot printer, which however allows printing only volumes smaller than the printer previously used. We therefore needed to partition the model.

Printing and post-production

The file for printing is saved in the STL format and opened in the program that stores the machine path in a file sent to the printer. Makerbot printers offer specific software with a proprietary algorithm to calculate the path of the print head.

Printing on Makerbot 2nd required approximately a total of 26 hours. The different pieces were then cleaned to remove residues, sanded and glued together. Finally they were painted with an acrylic colour in spray can and fixed on a wooden base.

Conclusions

The making of the Golden Rathaus has been an interesting experience that gave us the opportunity of optimizing a workflow partly already used in laboratory and testing new materials.

Excellent knowledge of the strong and weak points of 3D printing and modeling, as well as of the tools used to make corrections, which simplify and accelerate the workflow.

This workflow adapts to different types of models with different topological characteristics and problems and it can also adapt to different types of outputs by integrating texture management too.

Acknowledgements

The group participating to the making of the "Golden Rathaus" was composed by Willem Beex, Peter Ferschin (Vienna University of Technology), Giorgio Verdiani, Francesco Algostino, Arkadiusz Szadkowski (Vienna University of Technology) and Peter Dorninger (4D-IT GmbH, Austria).

The original model was made by the "surveying department of the city of Vienna" (MA 41 - Stadt Vermessung) and Arkadiusz Szadkowski, Erasmus-Student at the "Institute of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, Vienna University of Technology". The following 3D editing and optimizations were operated by Francesco Algostino. All the model processing was conducted at the Models for Architecture Laboratory (LMA) DiDALabs System of the Department of Architecture, Florence University.

References

BARNATT, C. (2014), 3D Printing: Second Edition, CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

CANO, J.L. C. (2011), The Cambrian Explosion of Popular 3D Printing, International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Multimedia, Vol. 1, N°4, IMAI.

¹⁹ <http://colorfabb.com/>

CZEIKE, F. (1995), Rathaus, Neues. In: Historisches Lexikon Wien. Band 4, Kremayr & Scheriau, Wien.

DERAKHSHANI R. L. , DERAKHSHANI D. (2014), Autodesk 3ds Max 2015 Essentials: Autodesk Official Press 1st Edition, Sybex.

GIANNATIS, J. DEDOISSIS, V. KARALEKAS D. (2002), Architectural scale modelling using stereolithography, in Rapid Prototyping Journal, Vol. 8 Iss: 3, pp.200 - 207, MCB UP Ltd.

GIBSON, I. ROSEN, D.W. STUCKER, B. (2009), Additive Manufacturing Technologies: Rapid Prototyping to Direct Digital Manufacturing, Springer.

LIPSON, H. KURMAN, M. (2013), Fabricated: The New world of 3D Printing, Wiley.

RITLAND, M. (2014), 3D Printing with SketchUp, Packt Publishing.

SMYTH, C. (2015) Functional Design for 3D Printing 2nd edition: Designing 3D printed things for everyday use, CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

WARNIER, C. VERBRUGGEN D. EHMANN, S. KLANTEN, R. (2014), Printing Things - Product DesignVisions and Essentials for 3D Printing, Gestalten, Berlino.

WIESER, W. BECK L. (2011): Das Wiener Rathaus, Geschichte & Gesellschaft, Architektur & Anekdoten. Bohmann Verlag, Wien.

Figures




Fig. 1 – The model of the Rathaus in Sketchup.




Fig. 2 – Above left: Handmade cap in brown, ready to be finished by software. Above right: The green mesh is not useful for 3D printing. Below: Remodelling of the base.




Fig. 3 – Left: Some balustrade with thickness. Right: The red edge is a non-manifold error.




Fig. 4 – Above left: Mesh open mesh. Above center: Before and after the correction of the mesh. Above right: Non-manifold errors. Below left: Double surface. Below right: Missing elements.



Fig. 5 – Above left: Compositing errors. Above right: self-crossing mesh.




Fig. 6 – Left: Analysis of the thickness. Right: Subdivision in elements for the Makerbot 2nd generation.




Fig. 7 – Printed results, on the left: Makerbot 2nd, on the right: Makerbot z18.



Fig. 8 – Completed models and colour testing.



Fig. 9 – The finished model, coloured and mounted on a wooden base.



Fig. 10 – The homage of the “Golden Rathaus”, during the 20th CHNT gala dinner (pictures by Anna Frascari)

Imprint:

Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Cultural Heritage and New Technologies 2015 (CHNT 20, 2015)

Vienna 2016

<http://www.chnt.at/proceedings-chnt-20/>

ISBN 978-3-200-04698-6

Editor/Publisher: Museen der Stadt Wien – Stadtarchäologie

Editorial Team: Wolfgang Börner, Susanne Uhlirz

The editor's office is not responsible for the linguistic correctness of the manuscripts.

Authors are responsible for the contents and copyrights of the illustrations/photographs.