

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303952055>

Milk production and sustainability of the dairy livestock systems with a high calving concentrate pattern at the early spring

Article in *Revista Electronica de Veterinaria* · June 2016

READS

3

13 authors, including:



Martini Andrea

University of Florence

38 PUBLICATIONS 341 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Claudia Lotti

University of Florence

9 PUBLICATIONS 5 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Carlos Torres

University of Cuenca

11 PUBLICATIONS 0 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Milk production and sustainability of the dairy livestock systems with a high calving concentrate pattern at the early spring

Raúl V. Guevara Viera¹; Andrea Martini²; Claudia Lotti²; Lino M. Curbelo Rodríguez³; Guillermo E. Guevara Viera¹; Paola J. Lascano Armas⁴; Cristian N. Arcos Álvarez⁴; Maira N. Martínez Freire⁴; Carlos S. Torres Inga¹; Francisco Hernán Chancusig⁴; Jorge A. Armas Cajas⁴; Guillermo V. Serpa García¹; Hernán P. Bastidas Pacheco⁴

¹Professor, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Campus Yanuncay, University of Cuenca, Azuay Province, Ecuador. E-mail of correspondence author: rguevaraviera@yahoo.es

²Associate professor of Animal Science, Department of Plant, Soil and Environmental Science (DI.P.S.A.), Piazzale delle Cascine, 18 - 50144 Firenze. E-mail: andrea.martini@unifi.it

³CEDEPA, Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Universidad de Camagüey, Cuba.

⁴Unidad Académica de Ciencias Agropecuarias y Recursos Naturales (UA-CAREN). Carrera De Medicina Veterinaria. Universidad Técnica de Cotopaxi, Latacunga, Ecuador.

Resumen

Los resultados fueron obtenidos de la información de 210 fincas lecheras con alta concentración de partos al inicio de la primavera (60-80% de los partos anuales) y se encontraron efectos importantes en la producción de leche y menores volúmenes de combustible/1000 kg de leche producida que en los patrones menos concentrados de los restantes sistemas lecheros. Las respuestas en producción de leche fueron mayores en un rango de 21 506 kg a 46 250 kg respecto a los otros sistemas con un patrón más irregular. Los costos operacionales disminuyeron entre 29.7% a 35.1% en los sistemas más concentrados. Los resultados de diferentes indicadores de sostenibilidad de los sistemas más concentrados indican mayor eficiencia, con uso de menos suplementos, costos energéticos reducidos/ kg de leche/ vaca y por ha y menos calentamiento global por emisiones reducidas de metano entre 31-27% y una mejor relación concentrado-forrajes que en los restantes sistemas. Los balances de nitrógeno se encontraron en un rango de -7.2 kg/ha/año a 16.4 kg/ha/año y valores de 14-29 % y 11-36% de descarga de Azufre (S) y

Fósforo (P) al ambiente. Los patrones de parición concentrada al inicio de lluvias tuvieron mayor eficiencia bio-económica y sostenibilidad que los otros sistemas lecheros en el año.

Palabras clave: Vacas, estación del año, pastoreo, rendimiento lácteo, economía, ambiente,

Abstract

A research was development with the objective to evaluate the effects of different factors that affect the efficiency of the calving concentrate pattern at the early spring dairy systems in Cuban since 1988 until 2013. The results were obtained for the information of 210 dairy farms with high concentration of calving in early spring (60-80% of calving of annual) and find an important effects on more milk production and minor quantities of fuel oil consumed /1000 kg of milk produced than non concentrate calving pattern dairy systems. In theses cases the responses on milk production was great in the range of 21506kg to 46250kg respect to others. The operational costs were diminished in all time with pattern of early spring calving on 35.1% - 29.7%. The results reached, with low supplements, minor energy costs per kg of milk produced per/cow and ha with high calving concentration in spring respect to the others systems with disorder in calving pattern are superior. The global warming potential (GWP) in the systems with high concentration of calving in early spring was approximately 31-27 % minor the methane production based in more better Forage-Concentrate relation (81% forages-19% concentrates) in the feed than the others systems, and positives balances of Nitrogen with values of -7.2 kg./ha./year to 16.4 kg./ha./year and values of 14-29 % and 11-36% of minor discharge of the Sulfur (S) and Phosphorus (P) to the environment respectively than confirm the sustainability of the seasonal milk production systems in Cuban dairy farms conditions.

Key words: Cows, season, grazing, milk yields, economy, environment

Introduction.

The dairy livestock systems in the tropics are very important like way of life for many peoples and per milk and dairy products for human health. In this socio-economic and environment context is necessary increase the efficiency of the dairy systems and reduce the discharge of pollutants to the atmosphere, soil and vegetation (Holmes, 2006; Guevara et al., 2007).

A feasible alternative for dairy production with grazing cows in low inputs conditions in Cuba and others zones of tropics and subtropics is the seasonal milk production systems and obtain a low operational costs and sustainability

of the whole dairy systems since the farmers until dairy factory and consumers, in this sense the objective of article is evaluate the efficiency of the calving concentrate pattern at the early spring dairy systems in Cuban conditions measured like milk production, global warming potential, total energy balance and sustainability in the principal dairy basin of the country.

Materials and Methods.

For evaluate the effects of different factors that affect the efficiency of the calving concentrate pattern at the early spring dairy systems in Cuban conditions since 1988 until 2013 measured like milk production, global warming potential, total energy balance and sustainability in the principal dairy basin in Camagüey at the east of Cuba, was make a big research that is supported per many thesis on diploma, master and doctoral levels and were used four software.

The indicators of agro-environment sustainability were calculated for methane and others indicators like N, P, S, energy and land for milk production and GWP for the methods of Cederberg and Mattsson (2000) and Clark (2001). The emissions were estimated using a whole farm GHGs models, based on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2010) methodology with a yearly time-step.

Emissions of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O were summed based on their equivalence factor in terms of CO₂-equivalents (CO₂-e; 100-year time horizon): 1 for CO₂, 25 for CH₄ and 298 for N₂O. There was an coefficient of emission in kg CO₂-eq. per kg energy corrected milk (ECM) in the spring calving system (1.27) compared to conventional (1.20).

The results were obtained for the information and comparison for different indicators of 210 dairy farms with high concentration of calving in early spring with 60-80% of the annual calving with the use of some approaches like, forages and feeds budgets, partial budgets for many technological changes and statistical package SYSTAT 7.0

Results and Discussion.

Find important effects on more milk production and minor quantities of fuel oil consumed /1000 kg of milk produced than non-concentrate calving pattern dairy systems (Table 1). In theses cases the responses on milk production was great in the range of 21506kg - 46250kg respect to others non seasonal milk production dairy systems, and in some studies of cases on seven years the different responses reached 22 725 kg with 81% of calving occurred in the spring with 65.2% in the first eight weeks of this period. This results are coincident with many experiences in countries that seasonal calving systems

like New Zealand, South Australia, some regions of the USA, and Argentina and Uruguay, but the levels of milk productions in our case are lows (Holmes; 2006; Zotto et al., 2009; Kristensen et al., 2011; Flysjö et al. 2012; Guevara et al., 2012; Sarhan, 2013).

FAO (2013) show that emissions of methane and others polutants per kg of milk declined exponentially as annual milk production per cow increased based on this report Gerber et al.,(2013) concluded that increasing annual milk production per cow could lower emissions of GHGs in systems with a low milk yield per cow, such as non concentrate annual calving systems.

Table 1. Influence of calving concentration in spring season over the efficiency of milk production in dairy farms in Camagüey, Cuba.

Indicators of dairy farms	Seasonal calving pattern
Range of response in milk production (kg) respect to year around milk production systems	21506 - 46 250
Levels of fuel oil reduced / 1000 kg of milk produced (l)	104 - 213
Dairy operational costs reduction respect to year around milk production (%)	35.1-29.7
Returns to the operational capital (%)	26.2-15.8

The operational costs were diminished in all time with pattern of early spring calving on 35.1% - 29.7%, and it was an important factor because the low expenses in concentrate feeds. In many research on milk production from pastures with seasonal calving patterns, this variant reached low costs respect to non concentrate calving because minor expenses in concentrate for feeding (Phetteplace et al.,2001; Holmes, 2006; Peters et al., 2007; Capper et al., 2009; Guevara et al., 2012; Zehitmeier et al., 2012).

Table 2. Influence of calving concentration in spring season in some indicators of agro-environment sustainability of dairy farms in Camagüey, Cuba.

Indicators of dairy farms	Seasonal calving pattern
Reduction in the use of concentrates (%)	15 - 33
Reduction in the use of energy/kg of milk production (%)	26 - 39
Reduction in global warming potentials calculated like methane emission(%)	27- 31
Range of nitrogen balance (kg/ha/y)	7.2-16.4
Reduction in S output discharged (%)	14-29
Reduction in P output discharged (%)	11-36

The results for indicators of agro-environment sustainability on pattern of major efficiency reached, with low supplements, minor energy costs per kg of milk produced per/cow and ha in the systems with high calving concentration in

spring respect to the others systems with disorder in calving pattern, where is required more land/kg.

The global warming potential (GWP) in the systems with high concentration of calving in early spring was approximately 31-27 % minor the CO₂-Methano production based in more better Forage-Concentrate relation (81% forages-19% concentrates) in the feed than the others systems, and positives balances of Nitrogen with values of -7.2 kg./ha./year to 16.4 kg./ha./year and values of 14-29 % and 11-36% of minor discharge of the Sulfur (S) and Phosphorus (P) to the environment respectively. The necessary energy in whole systems with high concentration of calving in early spring patterns was 26-39 % minor than the others dairy systems and confirm the sustainability of the seasonal milk production systems in Cuban dairy farms conditions.

In coincidence with the study, Peters et al., (2007) and Kristensen et al (2011) report an schematic overview of the hotspots of the LCA analysis and Sarhan (2013) studies describing emissions from dairy calf to beef production systems which are generally much lower than those in no pattern calving cow systems as a result of cow GHG emissions being mostly allocated to milk production for dairy systems.

In accordance with these findings (Flysjö et al. 2012) in the study of the link between milk and beef production in LCA and CF studies of milk it is assumed that the meat from dairy cow and the raised dairy calf replaces are less efficient. Cederberg and Mattson, (2000) and Cederberg and Stadig (2003) and Kilelu et al.,(2013) reports that the production of from a cow-calf system in Europe emits 0.14 kg CO₂-eq/0,05 kg of animal protein, which is more than the difference between of the two production systems evaluated (1.18 kg CO₂-eq for the conventional system and 1.03 for the spring calving concentration systems).

The spring calving pattern dairy systems analyzed in this study emit fewer greenhouse gasses per unit milk than predicted by Phetteplace et al. (2001), which predicted emissions minors of 1.09 kg CO₂-eq/kg milk, in others environments Capper et al. (2009) calculated emissions of the U.S. Dairy industry to be 1.35 kg CO₂-eq per kg milk, focusing on conventional production. The spring calving grazing system in this study emits less net greenhouse gas with lower climate change potential per kg energy corrected milk (ECM) than the conventional system by percent, and the combination system emits 0.7 percent less than the conventional system, it was coincident with finds of Herringshaw (2007) in some dairy systems different for intensity and inputs in USA and Zotto et al., (2009) in New Zealand.

CONCLUSIONS:

The influence of the calving concentration at the start of spring in Cuban dairy farm was great in the principal indicators of milk production and high than the around year milk production systems and additionally have a good impacts in others items like use of land, energy and the N, P and S balance and can reduce the methane emissions in the time, with great possibilities of conservation of natural resources.

Acknowledgments

This work is financially supported by the Study Center for Animal Production Development in the University of Camaguey in Cuba (CEDEPA) and its work research integrated with the University of Firenze on Italy for economic and milk productions analysis system and to the University Cotopaxi and University of Cuenca in Ecuador for the work in LCA process applied for data base of milk productions farms.

References

- Capper, J.L., Cady, R.A., Bauman, D.E. 2009. The environmental impact of dairy production: 1944 compared with 2007. *Journal of Animal Science* 87, 2160-2167.
- Cederberg, C and Mattsson, B., (2000) Life cycle assessment of milk production a comparison of conventional and organic farming. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 8, 49-60.
- Cederberg, C. and Stadig, M. 2003. System expansion and allocation in life cycle assessment of milk and beef production. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 8, 350-356.
- Clark, H., (2001) Ruminant methane emissions: a review of the methodology used for national inventory estimations. Report for Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Wellington, New Zealand.
- Gerber PJ, Steinfeld H, Henderson B, Mottet A, Opio C, Dijkman J, Falcucci A, Tempio G. 2013. Tackling Climate Change Through Livestock. A Global Assessment of Emissions and Mitigation Opportunities. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Guevara, R., del Risco, G. S., Guevara, G., Curbelo, L. y Soto, S. (2007). Evaluación del comportamiento productivo de vaquerías comerciales en relación con el patrón de pariciones anuales. II. Estudio de caso. *Revista de Producción Animal*, 19(2), 93-97.
- Holmes, C. W. 2006. Seminario de trabajo sobre el sistema de producción de leche pastoril en Nueva Zelanda. . Buenos Aires, Argentina, noviembre 11-18. *Boletín de Industria Animal*, 3-5.

- Kristensen, T , Mogensen, L., Knudsen, M.T. 2011. Effect of production system and farming strategy on greenhouse gas emissions from commercial dairy farms in a life cycle approach. Livestock Science, Volume 140, Issues 1–3, September, Pages 136–148.
- IPCC. 2010. Intergovernmental panel on climate change guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories - Ch. 10: Emissions from livestock and manure management.
- Peters, C., Wilkins, J., Fick, G. 2007. Testing a complete-diet model for estimating the land resource requirements of food consumption and agricultural carrying capacity: the New York state example. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 22, 145–153.
- Phetteplace, H., Johnson, D., Seidl, A. 2001. Greenhouse gas emissions from simulated beef and dairy livestock systems in the United States. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 60, 99–102.
- Zotto, R.D., Penasa, M., De Marchi, M., Cassandro, M., López-Villalobos, N., Bittante, G. 2009. Use of crossbreeding with beef bulls in dairy herds: Effect on age, body weight, price, and market value of calves sold at livestock auctions. Journal of Animal Science 87, 3053-3059.
- FAO. 2010. Greenhouse Gas Emissions from the Dairy Sector. A Life Cycle Assessment. Rome. Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Flysjo A, Cederberg C, Henriksson M, Ledgard, S. 2011. How does co-product handling affect the carbon footprint of milk? Case study of milk production in New Zealand and Sweden. Int J Life Cyc Assessm, 16:420-430.
- Zehetmeier M, Baudracco J, Hoffmann H, Heißenhuber A: Does increasing milk yield per cow reduce greenhouse gas emissions? A system approach. Animal 2012, 6:154-166.
- Herringshaw, A. L. Evaluation of greenhouse gas emissions from three dairy production systems in Iowa—conventional grazing and combination conventional/grazing Iowa State University. 2007.
- Kilelu CW, Klerkx L, Leeuwis C: Untravelling the role of innovation platforms in supporting co-evolution of innovation: contributions and tensions in a smallholder dairy development programme. Agric Syst 2013, 118:65-77.

REDVET: 2016, Vol. 17 N° 5

Este artículo Ref. 051603 está disponible en <http://www.veterinaria.org/revistas/redvet/n50516.html>
concretamente en <http://www.veterinaria.org/revistas/redvet/n050516/051603.pdf>

REDVET® Revista Electrónica de Veterinaria está editada por Veterinaria Organización®.

Se autoriza la difusión y reenvío siempre que enlace con Veterinaria.org® <http://www.veterinaria.org> y con REDVET®- <http://www.veterinaria.org/revistas/redvet>