



# FLORE

# Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

# On the discrimination of multiple phytoplankton groups from light absorption spectra of assemblages with mixed taxonomic

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

On the discrimination of multiple phytoplankton groups from light absorption spectra of assemblages with mixed taxonomic composition and variable light conditions / Organelli, Emanuele; Nuccio, Caterina; Lazzara, Luigi; Uitz, Julia; Bricaud, Annick; Massi, Luca. - In: APPLIED OPTICS. - ISSN 1559-128X. - STAMPA. - 56:(2017), pp. 3952-3968. [10.1364/AO.56.003952]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1080734 since: 2017-12-21T12:52:43Z

Published version: DOI: 10.1364/AO.56.003952

*Terms of use:* Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

# applied optics

## 1

On the discrimination of multiple phytoplankton groups from light absorption spectra 2

- of assemblages with mixed taxonomic 3 composition and variable light conditions 4
- EMANUELE ORGANELLI,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> CATERINA NUCCIO,<sup>1</sup> LUIGI LAZZARA,<sup>1</sup> JULIA UITZ,<sup>3</sup> ANNICK BRICAUD,<sup>3</sup> 5

#### AND LUCA MASSI<sup>1</sup> 6

7 <sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Biologia, Università degli Studi di Firenze, via Micheli 1, 50121 Florence, Italy

8 <sup>2</sup>Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, The Hoe, PL1 3DH Plymouth, UK

9 <sup>3</sup>Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, UMR 7093, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche (LOV), 181 Chemin du Lazaret,

10 06230 Villefranche-sur-mer, France

11 \*Corresponding author: emo@pml.ac.uk

12 Received 17 January 2017; revised 30 March 2017; accepted 30 March 2017; posted 7 April 2017 (Doc. ID 284979); published 0 MONTH 0000

13 According to recommendations of the international community of phytoplankton functional type algorithm devel-14 opers, a set of experiments on marine algal cultures was conducted to (1) investigate uncertainties and limits in phytoplankton group discrimination from hyperspectral light absorption properties of assemblages with mixed taxo-15 16 nomic composition, and (2) evaluate the extent to which modifications of the absorption spectral features due to variable light conditions affect the optical discrimination of phytoplankton. Results showed that spectral absorption 17 18 signatures of multiple species can be extracted from mixed assemblages, even at low relative contributions. Errors in 19 retrieved pigment abundances are, however, influenced by the co-occurrence of species with similar spectral features. 20 Plasticity of absorption spectra due to changes in light conditions weakly affects interspecific differences, with errors

21 <21% for retrievals of pigment concentrations from mixed assemblages. © 2017 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (010.0010) Atmospheric and oceanic optics; (010.4450) Oceanic optics; (010.1030) Absorption; (010.0280) Remote sensing and sensors.

https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.99.099999 23

#### **1. INTRODUCTION** 24

22

Large differences in taxonomic and size structures of algal com-25 26 munities influence many ecological and biogeochemical marine 27 processes. The various phytoplankton groups have different roles in the biogeochemical cycles of elements [1], and they 28 are responsible for different contributions to total primary pro-29 duction [2]. Diatoms can contribute to 40% of total marine 30 primary production [2,3] and together with dinoflagellates ex-31 port carbon to deep waters. Coccolithophores, such as the 32 bloom-forming species Emiliania huxleyi [4], sequester large 33 34 quantities of calcium carbonate to form their characteristic ex-35 ternal plates (coccoliths), thus reducing seawater alkalinity. Various phytoplankton types also release dimethyl sulphide 36 into the atmosphere [5–7], while others groups fix atmospheric 37 38 nitrogen [8]. Hence, analysis of temporal and spatial variations 39 of the phytoplankton community structure is of crucial importance to improve the understanding of biogeochemical fluxes in 40 41 marine ecosystems, for instance, for modelling primary produc-42 tion and analyzing its climatic implications [7].

community structure can be pursued by the analysis of apparent and inherent optical properties derived from multispectral remotesensing platforms [9-11]. Several bio-optical models were developed for the retrieval of products such as phytoplankton types, size classes, dominant size class, phytoplankton size distribution, or phytoplankton pigments [12,13]. In the perspective of the scheduled hyperspectral satellite missions (e.g., PACE and EnMAP missions), approaches based on in situ hyperspectral optical measurements were also successfully developed for the retrieval of pigment composition [14-16], size structure [17-20], or abundance of dominant species or groups [21-26]. Among the multispectral and hyperspectral approaches, the

The synoptic detection and monitoring of changes in algal

analysis of the spectral variations of the phytoplankton light absorption coefficients does not require any empirical relationship or assumption on the relationship between algal community composition and phytoplankton biomass [12,27]. The rationale is that the spectral characteristics of the phytoplankton light absorption coefficients are affected by pigment composition, concentration,

and packaging within the cell [17,28-30]. In particular, all algal 62 pigments have defined absorption bands in the visible region of 63 the electromagnetic spectrum [30-32], which influence the spec-64 tral shape of phytoplankton light absorption. Considering the fact 65 that various phytoplankton groups are characterized by different 66 pigment suites [33], the spectral signature of light absorption 67 tends to have a similar shape within the same taxonomic group 68 69 [29]. Despite these mechanistic considerations, there are sources 70 of uncertainties affecting the performances of these spectralresponse-based approaches which require investigation [12,27]. 71 Some phytoplankton groups share similar pigments [33], which 72 could yield similar optical signatures. Cell size influences the pig-73 ment packaging [28,30] and modifies the flattening of the light 74 absorption spectra [17]. In addition, intracellular pigment concen-75 tration, packaging, and thus absorption signatures vary as a func-76 77 tion of changes in growth factors such as light, temperature, and nutrient availability [34-42]. For example, high growth irradian-78 ces induce reduction of the cellular concentrations of chlorophyll 79 a, as well as of other photosynthetic pigments, while the relative 80 contribution of photoprotective pigments increases with respect to 81 chlorophyll a [42]. As a consequence, cellular pigment packaging 82 83 decreases while light absorption coefficients per unit of pigment 84 increase [41] and spectra become sharper.

As recently highlighted by international committees of ex-85 perts and algorithm developers [12,43,44], the extent to which 86 the uncertainties introduced by the plasticity and/or similarity of 87 spectral light absorption coefficients limit the optical detection of 88 phytoplankton still needs to be addressed. In particular, among 89 the various concerns raised by the dedicated international com-90 munity, the following questions are of primary interest: (1) what 91 is the effect of light-driven spectral modifications in the accuracy 92 93 of phytoplankton retrieval from light absorption coefficients, and (2) how many phytoplankton groups can be discriminated from 94

95

96

119

120

the bulk spectral light absorption properties of marine algal communities characterized by mixed taxonomic composition.

Hence, the main objective of this study is to investigate these 97 major questions in order to provide exploitable information and 98 limits for development and application of methods and algo-99 rithms for the optical retrieval of phytoplankton community 100 structure [12,43]. For this purpose, a set of laboratory experi-101 ments was carried out on marine algal cultures, representative 102 of different taxonomic groups and covering a broad size range, 103 grown in controlled conditions under various irradiance inten-104 sities. Considering the dataset of phytoplankton light absorption 105 spectra and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) 106 pigment concentrations provided by the experiments, we aimed 107 at (1) assessing the influence of light growth conditions on the 108 intra- and interspecific variability of the spectral shape of the 109 phytoplankton light absorption coefficients and analyzing the 110 effects on the optical classification; (2) extracting the absorption 111 signature of a given species from the bulk light absorption prop-112 erties of assemblages with mixed taxonomic composition and 113 quantifying the species abundance; and (3) evaluating the errors 114 in retrieving the abundance of a phytoplankton species within a 115 mixed assemblage using reference light absorption spectra from 116 populations adapted to different light regimes. No algorithm de-117 velopment and/or validation are here proposed. 118

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### A. Algal Cultures and Experimental Setup

Laboratory experiments were conducted on cultures of seven 121 marine algal species representative of different taxonomic 122 groups. The selected algal species covered a broad size range  $(0.6-23 \ \mu\text{m})$  and were characterized by different suites of auxiliary and taxonomically significant pigments (see Table 1 for 125

Table 1. Abbreviation, Names, Comments/Formulae for Phytoplankton Pigments and Pigment Sums: PS (Photosynthetic) and PP (Photoprotective) Pigments<sup>a</sup>

| Abbreviation    | Pigment  | Comment/formula   | Taxonomic affiliati           |
|-----------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Chl a           | Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (plus allomers and epimers) | Phytoplankton biomass index, except for Prochlorococcus sp.   |                               |
| Chl b           | Chlorophyll b                                    | PS in <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.  | <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.        |
| Chl $c_1 + c_2$ | Chlorophyll $c_1$ + Chlorophyll $c_2$            | PS in P. tricornutum, A. carterae, E. huxleyi, Cryptomonas sp.  |                               |
| Chl $c_3$       | Chlorophyll $c_3$                                | PS in <i>E. huxleyi</i>   |                               |
| Dv Chl a        | Divinyl-chlorophyll a                            | Biomass index for Prochlorococcus sp.   | Prochlorococcus sp.           |
| Dv Chl b        | Divinyl-chlorophyll b                            | PS in <i>Prochlorococcus</i> sp.  |                               |
| Allo            | Alloxanthin                                      | PP in Cryptomonas sp.   | Cryptomonas sp.               |
| 19'-BF          | 19'-Butanoyloxyfucoxanthin                       | PS in <i>E. huxleyi</i>   |                               |
| Diad            | Diadinoxanthin                                   | PP in P. tricornutum, A. carterae, E. huxleyi   |                               |
| Diato           | Diatoxanthin                                     | PP in P. tricornutum, A. carterae, E. huxleyi   |                               |
| Fuco            | Fucoxanthin                                      | PS in P. tricornutum, E. huxleyi  | P. tricornutum                |
| Lute            | Lutein   | PP in <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.  |                               |
| 19'-HF          | 19'-Hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin                       | PS in <i>E. huxleyi</i>   | E. huxleyi                    |
| Perid           | Peridinin  | PS in A. carterae   | A. carterae                   |
| Viola           | Violaxanthin                                     | PP in <i>Tetraselmis</i> sp.  |                               |
| Zea             | Zeaxanthin                                       | PP in Synechococcus sp., Prochlorococcus sp.  | Synechococcus sp.             |
|                 | Pigment sum                                      | Formula   |                               |
| TChl a          | Total chlorophyll <i>a</i>                       | $\operatorname{Chl} a + \operatorname{Dv} \operatorname{Chl} a$   |                               |
| TP              | Total pigments                                   | Allo + 19' - BF + Fuco + 19' - HF + Perid + Zea + $Chl b$ +<br>+ Dv $Chl a$ + $Chl c_1 + c_2$ + $Chl c_3$ + Diadino + Diato + Lut | Chl a + Dv Ch b<br>ce + Viola |

"Taxonomic affiliation of marker pigments is indicated for examined species.

details and symbols; [45,46]). The prymnesiophyte Emiliania 126 huxleyi (RCC 904) and the two cyanobacteria Synechococcus sp. 127 (Roscoff Culture Collection [RCC] 322) and Prochlorococcus sp. 128 (Med4, ecotype High Light 1; RCC 151) were obtained from 129 130 the Roscoff Culture Collection (France). The diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum was provided by the Stazione 131 Zoologica Anton Dorhn (Naples, Italy). The dinoflagellate 132 Amphidinium carterae and the cryptophyte Cryptomonas sp. were 133 isolated from Ligurian and Tyrrhenian waters (Mediterranean 134 Sea) and identified at the University of Florence (Italy) according 135 to Steidinger and Tangen [47] and Butcher [48], respectively. 136 The prasinophyte Tetraselmis sp. was isolated from a live food 137 pack used for aquaculture and then identified following the de-138 139 scription reported by Throndsen [49].

140Species were cultured in natural sterile seawater (Mediterranean141Sea) with the addition of nutrients. The enriched seawater media142were f/2 medium [50,51] for *P. tricornutum, Cryptomonas* sp.,143and *Tetraselmis* sp.; f/2-Si medium (modified from [51]) for144*A. carterae*; K medium [52] for *E. huxleyi*; and PCR-S11 medium145[53] for *Synechococcus* sp. and *Prochlorococcus* sp.

Prior to each experiment, species were precultured for at least 146 147 six generations in an exponential growth phase in order to ensure the acclimation to given irradiances. Population growth rates and 148 division times were measured daily according to Wood et al. [54], 149 using chlorophyll a in vivo fluorescence (Perkin-Elmer LS-5B; 150 SLIT 5/5; excitation/emission 440/685 nm). Inoculated cultures 151 of exponentially growing cells precultured at a given light inten-152 sity were gently stirred at regular intervals during the growth to 153 avoid cell sedimentation and to ensure a consistent level of light 154 155 inside the vessel until sampling.

In a first experiment (hereafter "Experiment 1"), the seven 156 species from different taxonomic classes were grown separately 157 in batch cultures (300 mL) at  $22 \pm 2$  °C under three different 158 irradiance conditions (10, 100, and 300  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; 159 160 1 12/12 h L/D cycle) classified respectively as low light (LL), medium light (ML), and high light (HL). Different growth 161 irradiances (10, 25, and 100  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for 162 Prochlorococcus sp. were chosen as a result of insufficient growth 163 rate (<0.1 div/day) at 300  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. 164

During a second experiment (hereafter "Experiment 2"), the 165 species P. tricornutum, A. carterae, E. huxleyi, Synechococcus sp., 166 and Prochlorococcus sp. were selected to simulate algal assemb-167 lages with mixed taxonomic composition. These species were 168 chosen because of the broad size range they represented and 169 because they are representative of major algal groups and phyto-170 plankton functional types (i.e., silicifiers, calcifiers and DMS 171 **2** 172 producers [1]) that can be encountered and coexist in various locations of the world's open oceans [55-57]. In order to avoid 173 interspecific competition for nutrients and light, the species 174 were grown separately in batch cultures (3 L) at  $22 \pm 2^{\circ}C$ 175 under a photon flux density of 100  $\mu mol\,photons\,m^{-2}\,s^{-1}$ 176 (12/12 h L/D cycle). Then, just before sampling, the cultures 177 were mixed to obtain 26 mixed assemblages (300 mL) with 178 exact taxonomic structure. Desired taxonomic structures 179 180 were achieved by varying the contribution to total chlorophyll a of each species, from 0% to 100% (increments of 20%), with 181 182 the contribution of the other species decreasing at the 183 same rate.

#### **B. Bio-optical Analyses**

Spectral light absorption coefficients (350-750 nm; resolution 185 of 1 nm) were measured on filters using the transmittance-186 reflectance (T-R) method [58]. Culture samples (2-12 mL) 187 of exponentially growing cells were filtered under low vacuum 188 on glass-fiber filters (Whatman GF/F; Ø25 mm) and immedi-189 ately stored at -80°C. Small volumes were sampled to avoid 190 high optical densities (>0.3), outside the range where the cor-191 rection for the path-length amplification factor ( $\beta$ ) (see later) 192 was established. Three replicates of each culture were analyzed 193 using a LI-COR LI1800 spectroradiometer equipped with a LI-194 COR LI1800-12S integrating sphere, a LICOR LI1800-10 195 quartz fiber optic probe, and a halogen light source [59] (regu-196 larly calibrated and maintained). T-R measurements were car-197 ried out outside the sphere, before and after pigment extraction 198 in methanol at 4°C for 24 h [60]. Optical densities were com-199 puted following Tassan and Ferrari [61]. Correction for the 200 path-length amplification factor ( $\beta$ ) was carried out according 201 to Bricaud and Stramski [62]. New protocols have been re-202 cently proposed to decrease the uncertainty related to the 203  $\beta$ -factor correction [63,64] by using a specific instrument con-204 figuration that was not available at the time of measurements. 205 However, the T-R method has been shown to address such an 206 issue [64]. Therefore, it can be used as an alternative despite 207 being a more laborious and time-consuming technique [64]. 208 Optical densities were then converted into total  $[a_p(\lambda)]$ 209 and nonpigmented particle  $[a_{NAP}(\lambda)]$  coefficients, and light ab-210 sorption spectra of phytoplankton  $[a_{\rm ph}(\lambda)]$  were finally deter-211 mined by subtraction of  $a_{\text{NAP}}(\lambda)$  from  $a_p(\lambda)$ . 212

HPLC analysis provided concentrations of 16 pigments in-213 cluding chlorophyll a, auxiliary chlorophylls, and carotenoids 214 (Table 1). Up to three samples (2-25 mL) of each culture were 215 filtered under low vacuum on glass-fiber Whatman GF/F filters 216 (Ø25 mm) and immediately stored at -80°C. Pigment extrac-217 tion was performed in 90% acetone at 4°C for 24 h. HPLC 218 analysis was performed by a Class VP system (SHIMAZDU) 219 equipped with a reverse-phase Shandon Hypersil MOS RP-C8 220 column, capable of resolving divinyl-chlorophyll a from chloro-221 phyll a. The analysis was performed according to Vidussi et al. 222 [65] and Barlow et al. [66] using the internal standard  $\beta$ 8 APO 223 CAROTENAL (Fluka). Pigment concentrations were computed 224 according to Mantoura and Repeta [67]. The sum chlorophyll 225 a + divinyl-chlorophyll a concentration is referred to as TChl a, 226 and total pigment (TP) is defined as the sum of all chlorophylls 227 and carotenoids (Table 1). 228

Cell counts were performed using a light microscope 229 Optiphot (Nikon) equipped with an Hg lamp for fluorescence. 230 Culture samples (50 mL) were collected in dark glass flasks and 231 immediately fixed with neutralized formalin to the final concen-232 tration of 1%. Cell numbers of micro- and nanoplanktonic spe-233 cies were counted using a Burker hemacytometer with a  $20 \times$ 234 objective, according to the manipulation, filling, and counting 235 practices described in Guillard and Sieracki [68]. Cell numbers 236 of Synechococcus sp. were counted by epifluorescence microscopy. 237 Culture samples (25–150  $\mu$ L) were filtered under low vacuum 238 on Nuclepore black polycarbonate filters (0.2 µm, Ø25 mm). 239 Details on sample preparation and counting (100 × objective) 240 are described in Guillard and Sieracki [68]. An average of three 241 counts was used to estimate cell abundance for each batch 242

culture. Cell biovolume was calculated for each species (at least 243 on 20 individuals) according to their geometrical shapes [69] and 244 used to calculate the diameter of a sphere equivalent to cell vol-245 ume. No count and biovolume calculation were performed for 246 247 Prochlorococcus sp. Cell counting was performed only in Experiment 1 and used for calculation of cellular pigment 248 content. 249

#### **C. Statistical Analysis** 250

The dataset produced with Experiment 1 was used to evaluate 251 the intra- and interspecific spectral variability of the phyto-252 plankton light absorption coefficients among the examined spe-253 cies as induced by different light growth conditions. Firstly, the 254 one-way ANOVA test (factor: light; levels: LL, ML, HL) was 255 **3** used to test the significance of intraspecific  $a_{\rm ph}(\lambda)$  variability at 256 selected wavelengths. Since a small number of samples (n = 3)257 was analyzed within each level of the examined factor, F values 258 of the ANOVA tests could be seriously affected by random var-259 iations; therefore, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test [70] 260 was used in parallel with the ANOVA. Levene's test (absolute 261 deviations;  $\alpha = 0.05$ ; [71]) of variance homogeneity was per-262 formed to test the assumptions of the ANOVA test. In very few 263 cases the data variance failed to satisfy the homogeneity cri-264 terion; therefore the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was 265 used instead of the one-way ANOVA. Then the application 266 of a hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) to spectral absorption 267 268 data (400-700 nm) was used to classify the light absorption spectra. The cluster trees (i.e., dendrograms) were obtained us-269 ing the unweighted pair-group average linkage algorithm [72], 270 which joined the clusters according to the average distance be-271 tween all members. The cosine distance was chosen as criterion 272 for evaluating the similarity level (from 0, i.e., no similarity, to 273 1, i.e., highest similarity) between each pair of objects following 274 Torrecilla et al. [15]. The cophenetic correlation coefficient 275 [73] was calculated to assess how faithfully the dendrogram pre-276 served the pairwise distances between the examined samples. 277 Cluster analysis was carried out by the free statistical software 278 279 PAST version 3.04 [74].

280 The dataset produced with Experiment 2 was used to assess 281 the feasibility to discriminate the contribution of a given species 282 from bulk light absorption properties of assemblages with mixed taxonomic composition. For this purpose, the spectral 283 similarity analysis introduced by Millie et al. [21] was used 284 to extract the spectral signature of a species from a mixed 285 assemblage. This method calculates the degree of similarity 286 between two absorption spectra (i.e., similarity index, SI) by 287 288 computing the cosine of the angle between two vectors such that [21] 289

$$SI = \frac{A_b \cdot A_c}{|A_b| \times |A_c|},$$
(1)

where  $A_{k}$  is the absorption spectrum of a mixed assemblage and 290  $A_c$  is the absorption spectrum of a given species used as a refer-291 ence. The cross operator (x) is the vector product. The SI cal-292 culation, performed within the range 400-700 nm, yielded a 293 294 number from 0 (i.e., no similarity between spectra) to 1 (i.e., highest similarity between spectra). Because the cosine distance 295 was chosen as a criterion of similarity in both hierarchical cluster 296 and spectral similarity analyses, the results and interpretation of 297

Experiment 1 can be extended to Experiment 2. Then, model I 298 regression type was used to relate SI values to the relative abundance of a given species and the respective concentrations of marker pigments (MP) within mixed assemblages. A Student's t-test was performed to check the significance of the regression models. Then, the error in quantifying the MP concentrations from a range of representative SI values obtained from regression 304 models was estimated using the percentage root mean square 305 error (RMSE%) such that [75] 306

RMSE% = 100 \* 
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{\tilde{x}_i - x_i}{x_i}\right)^2}{n}\right)^{1/2}$$
, (2)

where  $\bar{x}_i$  and  $x_i$  were the estimated and measured MP concentrations, respectively.

Before applying both hierarchical cluster and spectral similarity analyses, each phytoplankton absorption spectrum (400–700 nm) was first smoothed using a simple moving average filter ( $\Delta \lambda = 9 \text{ nm}$  [18]), then transformed by a normalized-ratio 312 method (i.e., each data pair was divided by the largest of the pair 313 [21]), and finally the corresponding fourth-derivative spectrum 314 was computed by a finite approximation method assessing 315 changes in curvature of a given spectrum over a sampling interval 316 of 7 nm. The rationale of using the normalized-ratio transforma-317 tion is twofold. First, it reduces the influence of broad peaks in the blue and red portions of the absorption spectra (due to chlorophyll a), which have similar traits in all algal species 320 [21]. Second, it improves the sensitivity and linearity of the sim-321 ilarity index [21,24]. The fourth-derivative estimation enables a 322 better separation of absorption bands and quantification of 323 pigments [76]. 324

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Intraspecific and Interspecific Variability of Light Absorption Spectra as Induced by Light Growth Conditions

In the following sections we present results and analysis for Experiment 1. Relationships between environmental factors (i.e., light, nutrients, and temperature) and bio-optical properties of various marine algal species and taxonomic groups have been reported and discussed by several studies both for natural (e.g., [36,77,78]) and controlled (e.g., [37-42]) conditions. Here we focus on the intracellular pigment contents and light absorption spectral characteristics of the seven marine algal species, useful to discuss the influence of different growth irradiances on their optical classification.

1. Influence of Light on the Intracellular Pigment Content 339 The algal pigment concentrations measured for the examined 340 species varied with the three chosen light growth conditions 341 (LL, ML, and HL; Table 2). According to previous studies 342 [41,42], analysis of pigment modifications evidenced a 343 common behavior among species, that is, the increase of the 344 cellular total pigment and chlorophyll a contents as a conse-345 quence of the long-term acclimation to low irradiances. 346 Recall also that all species were cultured under an excess of nu-347 trients and, in synergy with limiting growth irradiances, this 348 may cause an enhanced production of photosynthetic pigments 349

307

318 319

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

T2:1

|                 |      |                     | Chl         |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       |      |      |              |              |       |                   |
|-----------------|------|---------------------|-------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|---------|------|-------|------|------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------------------|
|                 |      | Chl                 | $c_1 + c_2$ | Perid | 19'-BF | Fuco  | 19'-HF | Viola | Diadino | Allo | Diato | Zea  | Lute | Chl          | Chl          |       |                   |
| Species         | Е    | c <sub>3</sub> (PS) | (PS)        | (PS)  | (PS)   | (PS)  | (PS)   | (PP)  | (PP)    | (PP) | (PP)  | (PP) | (PP) | $b^{b}$ (PS) | $a^{b}$ (PS) | ΤP    | q                 |
| P. tricornutum  | 10   |                     | 0.06        |       |        | 0.30  |        |       | 0.03    |      | 0     |      |      |              | 0.58         | 0.97  | $6.24 \pm 0.54$   |
|                 | 100  |                     | 0.05        |       |        | 0.25  |        |       | 0.06    |      | 0.002 |      |      |              | 0.27         | 0.64  | $6.50 \pm 0.41$   |
|                 | 300  |                     | 0.03        |       |        | 0.15  |        |       | 0.07    |      | 0.01  |      |      |              | 0.16         | 0.42  | $[5.26 \pm 1.13]$ |
| A. carterae     | 10   |                     | 2.69        | 6.00  |        |       |        |       | 1.34    |      | 0     |      |      |              | 8.19         | 18.22 | $9.94 \pm 0.80$   |
|                 | 100  |                     | 1.23        | 2.77  |        |       |        |       | 1.52    |      | 0.05  |      |      |              | 3.71         | 9.27  | $[12.5 \pm 0.70]$ |
|                 | 300  |                     | 0.66        | 1.37  |        |       |        |       | 1.22    |      | 0.07  |      |      |              | 2.09         | 5.42  | $9.48\pm0.65$     |
| E. huxleyi      | 10   | 0.10                | 0.08        |       | 0.007  | 0.005 | 0.41   |       | 0.02    |      | 0.007 |      |      |              | 0.41         | 1.05  | $3.44 \pm 0.15$   |
| •               | 100  | 0.04                | 0.05        |       | 0.007  | 0.01  | 0.22   |       | 0.03    |      | 0.01  |      |      |              | 0.26         | 0.62  | $3.51\pm0.11$     |
|                 | 300  | 0.04                | 0.05        |       | 0.004  | 0.02  | 0.22   |       | 0.09    |      | 0.02  |      |      |              | 0.26         | 0.71  | $[4.67 \pm 1.20]$ |
| Cryptomonas     | 10   |                     | 0.13        |       |        |       |        |       |         | 0.31 |       |      |      |              | 1.29         | 1.73  | $8.04 \pm 0.40$   |
| sp.             | 100  |                     | 0.05        |       |        |       |        |       |         | 0.25 |       |      |      |              | 0.77         | 1.07  | $7.99 \pm 0.51$   |
|                 | 300  |                     | 0.02        |       |        |       |        |       |         | 0.17 |       |      |      |              | 0.43         | 0.62  | $8.06\pm0.37$     |
| Tetraselmis sp. | 10   |                     |             |       |        |       |        | 0.32  |         |      |       |      | 0.23 | 3.14         | 4.81         | 8.92  | $8.16\pm0.51$     |
|                 | 100  |                     |             |       |        |       |        | 0.81  |         |      |       |      | 0.59 | 3.92         | 6.89         | 12.77 | $[9.44 \pm 0.87]$ |
|                 | 300  |                     |             |       |        |       |        | 0.27  |         |      |       |      | 0.42 | 1.76         | 2.86         | 5.65  | $8.39 \pm 0.77$   |
| Synechococcus   | 10   |                     |             |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       | 0.62 |      |              | 1.97         | 2.59  | $[1.04 \pm 0.11]$ |
| sp.             | 100  |                     |             |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       | 1.61 |      |              | 1.63         | 3.24  | $1.14 \pm 0.14$   |
|                 | 300  |                     |             |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       | 1.33 |      |              | 1.30         | 2.63  | $1.14 \pm 0.14$   |
| Prochlorococcus | 10   |                     |             |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       | 0.23 |      | 0.06         | 0.71         | 1     |                   |
| sp.             | 25   |                     |             |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       | 0.40 |      | 0.04         | 0.56         | 1     |                   |
| 1               | 100  |                     |             |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       | 0.52 |      | 0.02         | 0.46         | 1     |                   |
|                 | 22.4 |                     |             |       |        |       |        |       |         |      |       | 1    |      |              | 01.0         | •     |                   |

T2:12 T2:4 T2:5 T2:5 T2:5 T2:10 T2:10 T2:11 T2:12 T2:13 T2:14 T2:15 T2:12 T2:15 T2:12 T2:15 T2:1

[35,79]. TP cellular concentration of HL acclimated cultures 350 was 0.68 (in E. huxleyi) to 0.26 (in A. carterae) times the cellular 351 content observed in LL acclimated cultures (Table 2). Similarly, 352 the Chl a per cell content of the HL acclimated cultures was 353 354 0.66 (Synechococcus sp.) to 0.26 (A. carterae) times that of LL acclimated cells (Table 2). The cellular contents of auxiliary 355 chlorophylls and photosynthetic xanthophylls also decreased 356 at the highest irradiances (Table 2). Differences among species 357 were also observed. Chlorophylls  $c_2 + c_1$  were the main aux-358 iliary chlorophylls found in most studied species: a sharp reduc-359 tion in cellular content with increasing irradiances was observed 360 in Cryptomonas sp. and A. carterae; this was significantly smaller 361 in E. huxleyi (Table 2). Considering photosynthetic xantho-362 phylls, the cellular content of Peridinin in A. carterae varied 363 from 1.37 pg cell<sup>-1</sup> in HL to 6.00 pg cell<sup>-1</sup> in LL conditions. 364 The contents of Fucoxanthin in P. tricornutum and 19'-HF in 365 E. huxleyi for LL conditions were twice those observed in HL 366 conditions. In the case of photoprotective carotenoids, their cel-367 lular contents generally increased with increasing irradiances. 368 For instance, Diadinoxanthin cellular concentration in E. hux-369 levi varied from 0.02 pg cell<sup>-1</sup> in LL to 0.09 pg cell<sup>-1</sup> in HL 370 conditions, and Zeaxanthin in Synechococcus sp. increased from 371 0.62 to 1.61 fg cell<sup>-1</sup>. Alloxanthin in Cryptomonas sp. was the 372 only photoprotective pigment observed to decrease with in-373 creasing irradiances (Table 2), similarly to the results found 374 by Schlüter et al. [80] for the cryptophyte Plagioselmis prolonga. 375 Similar trends were also observed for pigment-to-TP ratios in 376 the case of Prochlorococcus sp., for which no cell counts were 377 available. Dv Chl a and Dv Chl b decreased with increasing 378 irradiances, while the proportion of Zeaxanthin to TP increased 379 380 from 23% in LL to 52% in HL conditions (Table 2).

### 381 2. Intraspecific Variability of Light Absorption Spectra

The phytoplankton light absorption spectra, normalized to 382 their mean value between 400 and 700 nm  $(a_{\rm ph}^n(\lambda); [17])$ , 383 of the seven species grown under three different light in-384 tensities are shown in Fig. 1. Each spectrum of a given light 385 regime is the average of three replicates from the same culture, 386 then normalized. Spectral coefficient of variation ( $CV(\lambda)$ , that 387 is, the standard deviation to mean ratio) for each group of rep-388 licates was generally <15%. Spectral variability occasionally in-389 creased up to 27% between 550 and 700 nm. Values up to 390 35% and 40% were observed at a few wavelengths for 391 392 Prochlorococcus sp. and Synechococcus sp., respectively, likely as a consequence of absent or less pronounced features of ab-393 sorbing pigments other than Chl a or DV Chl a. 394

The three irradiance treatments caused changes in the spec-395 tral shape of phytoplankton light absorption coefficients. The 396 first striking feature was a flattening of the absorption spectra 397 associated with a change in the irradiance conditions from HL 398 to LL. This was observed for all the studied species except 399 Cryptomonas sp. [Fig. 1(d)]. This spectral flattening represented 400 a stronger packaging of pigments within the cells [28,34]. In 401 402 the case of the experimental conditions (fixed irradiance and 403 excess of nutrients), the observed pigment packaging effect was mainly associated with the increase in the total intracellular 404 pigment contents (Table 2) instead of changes in the average 405 size [28]. Indeed, the one-way ANOVA test (p < 0.01) on the 406 diameter of a sphere equivalent to cell volume revealed that the 407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

42.0

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

small changes in cell size observed in the present dataset were significant only for some species or growth conditions (Table 2). A second observed feature is the variability in some spectral bands essentially associated to the absorption bands of carotenoids. The standard deviation spectrum highlighted the wavebands exhibiting maximum variability for each species, and the one-way ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests confirmed, at these bands, significant effects of the irradiance treatments (Fig. 1; Table 3). This was especially striking for Synechococcus sp., for which the shape of the light absorption spectrum showed a drastic change (not just spectral flattening) from HL to LL conditions [Fig. 1(f)]. Considering the pigment-absorption band associations proposed by Bidigare et al. [31] and Hoepffner and Sathyendranath [32], these significant intraspecific differences in the spectral absorption signatures of the examined species were also related to modifications in intracellular concentrations of those pigments useful for taxonomic identification (Table 3).

In order to evaluate how changes in irradiance growth conditions influenced the classification of a given species through the entire absorption spectrum, we applied a HCA on the fourth derivative of the absorption spectra of the seven species used in Experiment 1. Recent studies [15,18,19,24,81] stressed the use of hyperspectral measurements and the potential of spectral derivative analysis for retrieving information on the phytoplankton community structure in the natural environment. Among the various methods used for pursuing this aim, the classification of algal assemblages using derivative spectra of light absorption through HCA worked successfully [15,19,81].

The dendrogram resulting from HCA yielded well-identified 438 clusters, each comprising the three absorption spectra (LL, ML, 439 and HL) from a single species (Fig. 2). The cophenetic correla-440 tion coefficient of 0.89 indicated highly reliable results of the 441 cluster analysis. This suggests that even when different growth 442 conditions provoke significant changes in cellular pigment con-443 centrations and thus in the light absorption features as reported 444 previously, the spectral absorption signature of a given phyto-445 plankton species is still recognizable from that of other species. 446 However, the similarity level at which the spectra of a species 447 were identified as a cluster varied depending on the considered 448 species. Somehow expected from unequally spaced growth irra-449 diances, the distance between the spectra of the cultures accli-450 mated to ML and HL conditions was shorter than that 451 between the ML-acclimated and LL-acclimated spectra, except 452 for E. huxleyi (Fig. 2). The similarity between LL-acclimated 453 spectra and those for cultures acclimated to HL and ML con-454 ditions was, however, high for P. tricornutum, A. carterae, and 455 Cryptomonas sp. (0.81-0.90). This suggested low intraspecific 456 variability in the light absorption spectra for these species and 457 examined growth conditions. The level of spectral similarity 458 was instead lower than 0.68 for LL-acclimated spectra of 459 Tetraselmis sp., Synechococcus sp., and Prochlorococcus sp. with re-460 spect to ML- and HL-acclimated cultures. This highlighted 461 notable intraspecific differences, likely caused by the synergistic 462 effect of limited light and excess of nutrients that enhanced pig-463 ment production [35,79] and provoked more drastic changes in 464 the absorption spectral features. 465



F1:1 **Fig. 1.** In vivo light absorption spectra normalized to the mean between 400 and 700 nm  $[a_{ph}^n(\lambda)]$  for seven species grown at three irradiances.

F1:2 Each spectrum is the average of three replicates, then mean normalized. The standard deviation among the normalized spectra representing the three F1:3 growth irradiances is also shown.

| Table 3. | Wavebands ( $\lambda$ ; nm) of Standard Deviation Maxima Calculated between Mean-Normalized Absorption Spectra of |
|----------|---|
| Each Sp  | ecies Grown under Three Light Regimes (Fig. 1) <sup>ª</sup>   |

| T3:1 | Species                    | $\lambda_1$      | $\lambda_2$        | $\lambda_3$           | $\lambda_4$      | $\lambda_5$      | $\lambda_6$      |
|------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| T3:2 | P. tricornutum             | $427^{b}$        | 456°               | 485°                  | 534° (MP)        | 626 <sup>b</sup> | 683 <sup>b</sup> |
| T3:3 | A. carterae                | 455°             | 538° (MP)          | 654°                  | 685°             |                  |                  |
| T3:4 | E. huxleyi                 | 456 <sup>c</sup> | $492^{b}$          | 523 <sup>c</sup> (MP) | 594°             | 675°             |                  |
| T3:5 | Cryptomonas sp.            | 465 <sup>c</sup> | $498^{\circ}$ (MP) | 640°                  |                  |                  |                  |
| T3:6 | Tetraslemis sp.            | $438^{\circ}$    | $470^{b}$          | 643 <sup>c</sup> (MP) | 689 <sup>c</sup> |                  |                  |
| T3:7 | Synechococcus sp.          | 455° (MP)        | 545°               |                       |                  |                  |                  |
| T3:8 | <i>Prochlorococcus</i> sp. | 465°             | $496^{b}$          | 676 <sup>c</sup> (MP) |                  |                  |                  |

"One-way ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis tests:

<sup>*b*</sup>significant, p < 0.05;

highly significant, p < 0.01. MP, band associated to the corresponding marker pigment.

#### 466 3. Interspecific Variability of Light Absorption Spectra

467 The next step of Experiment 1 was to quantify the differences

468 between the shapes of the light absorption spectra among the

seven studied species (i.e., interspecific differences). For this 469 purpose, a cluster analysis was applied to the fourth derivative 470 of absorption spectra of each light growth condition (LL, ML, 471



F2:1 Fig. 2. Results of the hierarchical cluster analysis performed on the fourth-derivative of light absorption spectra (400-700 nm) of seven algal F2:2 species for three different light growth conditions (LL, ML, and HL): P. tricornutum (Pha), A. carterae (Amp), E. huxleyi (Emi), Cryptomonas sp. F2:3 (Cry), Tetraselmis sp. (Tet), Synechococcus sp. (Syn), Prochlorococcus sp. (Pro). The cophenetic correlation coefficient of the cluster analysis (Cophen. Corr.) is reported.

F2:4



F3:1 Fig. 3. In vivo light absorption spectra normalized to the mean F3:2 between 400 and 700 nm  $[a_{\rm ph}^n(\lambda)]$  computed as the average of the F3:3 absorption spectra measured under LL, ML, and HL growth conditions (i.e., AS spectrum), then mean normalized. F3:4

and HL). In addition, we computed the average of the three 472 absorption spectra obtained in the three different light condi-473 tions (Fig. 3) and applied a cluster analysis to the fourth deriva-474 tive of the average spectra (hereafter AS). 475

The classifications of ML, HL, and AS spectra were similar 476 with high cophenetic correlation coefficients (0.89–0.91). The 477 results of this application evidenced that the absorption spectra 478 of the examined species could be split into two major clusters 479 [Figs. 4(b)-4(d)]. The first one was composed by the spectra of 480 the cryptophyte Cryptomonas sp. and the cyanobacterium 481 Synechococcus sp., which were characterized by a similarity rang-482 483 ing from 0.54 to 0.60. The second group included all the other species [Figs. 4(b)-4(d)]. Note that *Prochlorococcus* sp. is not 484 displayed in Fig. 4(c) because of the insufficient growth rate 485 observed at 300  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Within this cluster, 486

the absorption spectrum of the prasinophyte Tetraselmis sp. 487 was the most different (similarity level between 0.39 and 488 0.55). The most similar spectra, indicating small interspecific 489 differences as also recently observed by Xi et al. [81], were those 490 of the diatom P. tricornutum and the dinoflagellate A. carterae 491 (similarity level >0.69). The classification of absorption spectra 492 obtained for the species grown in LL conditions (cophenetic 493 correlation coefficient of 0.68) evidenced instead a high simi-494 larity between the spectra of the diatom P. tricornutum and the 495 cryptophyte Cryptomonas sp. (similarity level of 0.63), and 496 between the dinoflagellate A. carterae and the coccolithophore 497 E. huxleyi within the other cluster [Fig. 4(a)]. 498

The clusters given by this analysis could actually be ex-499 plained by similarities and differences in pigment composition 500 that characterized the examined species grown under fixed 501 irradiance and nutrient-enriched conditions. Cryptomonas sp. 502 and Synechococcus sp. were the only two species containing phy-503 cobilins such as phycoerythrin, a pigment with outstanding 504 spectral signatures [82]. P. tricornutum, A. carterae, and E. hux-505 *leyi* had the same accessory chlorophylls (chlorophyll *c*, Table 2) 506 and photosynthetic xanthophylls (Fuco, Perid, and 19'-HF) 507 with very similar spectral absorption signatures [30,31]. 508 Tetraselmis sp. and Prochlorococcus sp. contained chlorophyll 509 b and divinyl-chlorophyll b, respectively, two pigments with 510 very similar light absorption features, and photoprotective pig-511 ments with optical properties close to those present in other 512 cluster members. Another result of the cluster application to 513 be emphasized is the low similarity observed between the 514 two zeaxanthin-containing species Prochlorococcus sp. and 515 Synechococcus sp. (Figs. 3, 4). Given the similar cell size of these 516 species (nominally 0.6 and 1 µm for Prochlorococcus sp. and 517 Synechococcus sp., respectively), previous size-based absorption 518 approaches detected these two species as a single group 519 [17,18,83]. The low similarity here observed is probably related 520 to the absorption bump at around 550 nm in Synechococcus sp., 521



F4:1 **Fig. 4.** Results of the HCA performed on the fourth derivative of light absorption spectra (400–700 nm) of seven algal species: (a) LL growth condition F4:2 (10  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); (b) ML growth conditions (100  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); (c) HL growth conditions (300  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); (d) absorption spectra representing the average of modifications induced by three different light growth conditions (AS spectra). In panel (c) *Prochlorococcus* sp. is not included because of the insufficient growth rate observed at 300  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. In each panel, the cophenetic correlation coefficient of cluster F4:5 analysis (Cophen. Corr.) is reported. Abbreviation of species name: *P. tricornutum* (Pha), *A. carterae* (Amp), *E. huxleyi* (Emi), *Cryptomonas* sp. (Cry), F4:6 *Tetraselmis* sp. (Tet), *Synechococcus* sp. (Syn), *Prochlorococcus* sp. (Pro).

which may be due to the absorption of phycoerythrin, a pigment missing in *Prochlorococcus* sp. Although phycoerythrin abundance may have been drastically enhanced by the experimental high nutrient concentrations [79], this outcome suggests the possibility of using their specific pigment absorption signatures to distinguish their presence when they co-occur in the algal community.

## B. Assessing the Contribution of a Given Species from Assemblages with Mixed Taxonomic

#### 531 Composition

532 In the following sections, the results obtained from Experiment 2 533 are presented. Discussion focuses on the feasibility to extract the 534 absorption spectrum of a given species from the bulk absorption 535 properties of an assemblage with mixed taxonomic composition and to quantify its contribution within it. Analysis is conducted 536 with the spectral light absorption reference of a given species 537 coming both from similar and different light growth conditions 538 to that of mixed assemblages. 539

## 540 1. Taxonomic Structure and Bio-optical Characteristics of541 Simulated Algal Assemblages

542 Taxonomic structure and bio-optical characteristics of algal as-543 semblages composed by varying proportions (in terms of TChl

a) of P. tricornutum, A. carterae, E. huxleyi, Synechococcus sp., 544 and Prochlorococcus sp. (Experiment 2) are here presented and 545 compared to those of natural assemblages in literature. It is ac-546 knowledged that the use of only one species to represent a taxo-547 nomic group cannot fully cover the intragroup variability and/ 548 or the intergroup similarities of light absorption spectral fea-549 tures that can be found in natural environments. The reduced 550 taxonomical complexity of mixed algal assemblages helped 551 minimize any change in cellular pigment content, cell number, 552 and thus optical properties during the execution of the experi-553 ment. In addition, as a consequence of controlled and nutrient-554 enriched conditions of growth, simulated algal mixtures were 555 characterized by total chlorophyll *a* concentrations higher than 556 those of natural assemblages [30]. In terms of varying contri-557 butions of each species with respect to total chlorophyll a, taxo-558 nomic and bio-optical characteristics of simulated algal 559 assemblages were however consistent with those observed in 560 natural conditions. 561

The contribution of each phytoplankton size class in the simulated mixed algal assemblages, calculated according to Uitz *et al.* [83], ranged from contributions <13% up to more than 77%, a range of variation consistent with that of natural phytoplankton communities observed at the global scale [30].

562

563

564

565

The ratios of various groups of pigments (total chlorophylls *c*; 567 photosynthetic and photoprotective carotenoids) with respect to 568 TChl *a* also varied with trends and within ranges similar (0-0.38), 569 0-0.90, and 0.16-1.29, respectively) to those observed in open 570 571 ocean algal populations [30,57,84]. Only the ratios between pho-572 tosynthetic carotenoids to total chlorophyll a increased with TChl *a*, while no specific trends were observed in natural populations 573 [30,57,84]. Chlorophyll-specific phytoplankton light absorption 574 coefficients at 438 and 675 nm  $[a_{\rm ph}^*(\lambda)]$  of the simulated mixed 575 4 assemblages varied in the ranges 0.025-0.20 and 0.011-576 0.057 m<sup>2</sup> mg TChl  $a^{-1}$ , respectively, and decreased as a function 577 of TChl *a* according to a power law { $r^2 = 0.75$  for  $a_{\rm ph}^*(438)$  and 578  $r^2 = 0.57$  for  $a_{\rm ph}^*(675)$ ; [84]}. The observed coefficients were 579 consistent with those observed for various open ocean waters 580 581 [30,57,84-86], except the ultra-oligotrophic surface waters of the South Pacific Ocean [87]. However,  $a_{\rm ph}^*(675)$  values up 582 to 0.057 m<sup>2</sup> mg TChl  $a^{-1}$  instead of 0.038 m<sup>2</sup> mg TChl  $a^{-1}$ 583 [84,86] were observed in simulated mixed assemblages, which 584 585 suggested a weaker pigment packaging effect of TChl a within algal cells than that found in natural assemblages. 586

The light absorption spectra of simulated mixed assemblages 587 that will be used, in the following sections, to assess the capability 588 of discrimination of a given species from bulk light absorption 589 spectral properties are shown in Fig. 5. Each spectrum is the aver-590 age of three replicates from the same mixed culture, then mean-591 normalized. Analysis of coefficients of variation  $[CV(\lambda)]$  between 592 593 replicates (calculated as the ratio of the standard deviation to the average spectrum) showed spectral variability varying between 594 1% and 20%, except on a few occasions. Instead, when observing 595  $CV(\lambda)$  values resulting from a variety of mixed assemblages, re-596 gions of maximum spectral variability, that is, the wavebands of *in* 597 598 vivo absorption of auxiliary pigments (marker pigments included; [30-32]) were evidenced (Fig. 5). High CV values (up to 55%) 599 were generally observed around 550 nm (Fig. 5), a source of 600 variability that could be mainly ascribed to the varying propor-601 602 tions of phycoerythrin in Synechococcus sp., Fucoxanthin in P. tricornutum, Peridinin in A. carterae, and 19'-HF in E. huxleyi. 603 604 High variability (up to 27%) was also observed at 590 and 640 nm, as a result of the variable occurrence of chlorophylls *c*, 605 and within the range 400-500 nm (up to 16%), probably as a 606 607 consequence of the different spectral contributions of the various 608 photoprotective pigments.

#### 609 2. Discrimination of a Given Species from Assemblages Adapted to the Same Light Regime 610

Previous studies have demonstrated the potential of the spectral 611 similarity analysis [21] and use of SI (Eq. 1) for detecting and 612 quantifying a given phytoplankton species from light absorption 613 spectra, even in natural mixed assemblages [22]. SI values, as 614 derived from pairwise comparison between a reference spectrum 615 of a given species and that of an assemblage with unknown taxo-616 nomic structure, were observed to vary accordingly with the frac-617 618 tion of a species [21–23,25] or cell abundance [24]. SI was thus 619 promoted as a possible quantitative indicator of the presence of 620 given phytoplankton groups within assemblages [24]. Hence, in 621 order to investigate the possibility to detect the spectral signature of multiple species and quantify their abundances within mixed 622 assemblages, we applied here the spectral similarity analysis on 623 the fourth derivative of absorption spectra of the algal assemb-624



Fig. 5. In vivo light absorption spectra normalized to the mean be-F5:1 tween 400 and 700 nm  $[a_{\rm ph}^n(\lambda)]$  of 26 mixed assemblages obtained using five cultured species together with the spectral coefficient of variations (CV, in %). Each spectrum is the average of three replicates, then mean-normalized. Assemblages were obtained varying the contribution to TChl *a* of a species at a time from 0 to 100% (20% steps): (a) P. tricornutum, (b) A. carterae, (c) E. huxleyi, (d) Synechococcus sp., (e) Prochlorococcus sp. F5:8

lages simulated during Experiment 2. In this context, and differently from other algorithms (e.g., [18]), spectral similarity analysis can be applied regardless of any prior model training.

The index of spectral similarity, SI, was first computed 628 between the spectra measured for each simulated mixed assem-629 blage where the contribution of a given species varied from 0% 630 to 20% of TChl a, and the reference spectrum of the corre-631 sponding species. The absorption spectrum of a given species 632

625

626

### **Research Article**

641

642

643

644

cultured at a light intensity of 100  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 633 obtained from Experiment 1 was chosen as the reference spec-634 trum, as it represented the same experimental light conditions as 635 those of the mixed assemblages. Hence, this comparison allowed 636 637 investigating the discrimination among species regardless the influence of light-induced spectral modifications. The resulting SI 638 was then regressed against (1) the relative abundance (in term of 639 640 TChl *a*) of the considered species within the mixed assemblage and (2) the log10 concentration of the corresponding marker pigment (Fig. 6). Marker pigments were chosen as indicative of the abundance of a given species within the assemblage following Jeffrey and Vesk [45].

The resulting SI values were related to the fraction of a given 5 species within the assemblages ( $r^2 > 0.68$ , Table 4, Fig. 6 left 646 column) and to the concentration of the corresponding marker pigment ( $r^2 > 0.83$ , Table 4, Fig. 6 right column). These 648



F6:1 **Fig. 6.** Relationships between SI values, computed from the comparison between the fourth-derivative spectra of each assemblage and the spec-F6:2 trum of the species grown at 100  $\mu$ mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and the relative fraction to TChl *a* (left column) or the logarithm of MP concentrations F6:3 (right column) of a species within the mixed assemblages: (a) *P. tricornutum*, (b) *A. carterae*, (c) *E. huxleyi*, (d) *Synechococcus* sp., (e) *Prochlorococcus* sp. F6:4 Statistics of linear regressions are reported in Table 4.

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

| SI range  |
|-----------|
| 0.53-0.92 |
| 0.16-0.81 |
| 0.38-0.91 |
| 0.15-0.87 |
| 0.22-0.52 |
| SI Range  |
| 0.56-0.92 |
| 0.25-0.81 |
| 0.49-0.91 |
| 0.15-0.87 |
| 0.28-0.52 |
| -         |

Table 4. Parameters of Linear Regressions Displayed in Fig. 6: n = Number of Observations; b = Regression Slope; a = y-intercept;  $r^2 =$  Determination Coefficient<sup>a</sup>

"Student's *t*-test:

 ${}^{b}p < 0.05$ ; ns, not significant.

 $p^{c} < 0.01;$ 

results clearly indicated that the spectral signature of a given
species substantially influences the bulk absorption spectrum
of the assemblage. More importantly, results evidenced that
the contribution of each species to the assemblage structure
could be quantified using its absorption properties, also when
the relative abundances of all contributing species were similar
(i.e., 20% of TChl *a*).

However, the analysis of the variation ranges of the SI values 656 and regression parameters (Table 4) suggested that the overall 657 capability of discriminating a phytoplankton species using the 658 bulk absorption spectrum of the assemblage was more or less 659 660 robust depending on the considered species. For a null fraction (0%) of a given species (Fig. 6 left column), the SI values ap-661 peared to be always different from zero and were even high in 662 the case of P. tricornutum and E. huxleyi (0.53 and 0.38, re-663 spectively; Table 4). They were, however, low for A. carterae 664 (0.16), Synechococcus sp. (0.15), and Prochlorococcus sp. 665 (0.22). This suggested that all the various reference spectra 666 we studied shared some level of similarity in terms of shape. 667 In addition, the similarity between the reference spectrum 668 and the spectrum measured for an assemblage of 100% of a 669 given species never reached 1, although they were cultured 670 under the same controlled growth conditions. This may be be-671 cause it is impossible to reproduce exactly the same absorption 672 673 spectrum of a given species and for given growth conditions twice, as a consequence of multiple biological responses that 674 organisms may have with respect to the same environmental 675 factors. The impact of methodological errors cannot, however, 676 be excluded. SI values were close to 1 in the case of P. tricor-677 nutum and E. huxleyi (0.92 and 0.91, respectively; Table 4), 678 slightly lower for Synechococcus sp. (0.87) and A. carterae 679 (0.81), and surprisingly low in the case of *Prochlorococcus* sp. 680 (0.52). In particular, the case of Prochlorococcus sp. could be 681 related to a low signal-to-noise ratio in those parts of the spec-682 trum where there is no absorbing pigment [e.g., 550-650 nm 683 for Prochlorococcus sp.; Fig. 5(e)], which could possibly affect 684 the sensitivity of the fourth derivative method [18]. A compari-685 son among replicates of spectra for those assemblages with 686 100% of a given species further strengthened the possible oc-687 currence of methodological errors, as SI values no higher than 688

 $0.98 \pm 0.003$  (*E. huxleyi*) were observed. All regression slopes of linear models computed both with the relative abundance to TChl *a* (Fig. 6 left column) and MP concentrations (Fig. 6 right column) were significant, but high up to 0.81 only in the case of the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. [Table 4; Figs. 6(g)-6(h)]. The lower regression slopes especially for *P. tricornutum, A. carterae*, and *E. huxleyi* (Table 4) may be a consequence of the co-occurrence of similar pigment compositions and shared spectral shapes. In these cases, the level of similarity can lower performances in properly quantifying the presence of these algal groups from the bulk absorption spectrum of the assemblage.

### 3. Discrimination of a Given Species from Assemblages Adapted to Different Light Regimes

In this section, we evaluate the effects of light-induced spectral 703 changes in the absorption coefficients for the quantification of a 704 given species in assemblages with mixed taxonomic structure. 705 Similar to the analyses presented in Section 3.B.2, we calculated 706 the SI by pairwise comparison between each absorption spec-707 trum of a simulated mixed assemblage (Fig. 5) and the spec-708 trum of each given species when acclimated to different 709 light growth conditions from the mixed assemblage as a refer-710 ence, thus LL and HL (ML for Prochlorococcus sp.) spectra com-711 ing from Experiment 1 (Fig. 1). References obtained by 712 averaging absorption spectra measured under the three light 713 conditions (AS spectra, Fig. 3) of each given species were also 714 used. The resulting SI was then regressed against the log10 con-715 centration of the corresponding marker pigment within the 716 mixed assemblage (Fig. 7). 717

The analysis of the variation ranges of SI values and regres-718 sion parameters (Fig. 7, Table 5) revealed that the contribution 719 of a species was detected within the absorption spectrum of a 720 mixed assemblage, even when the reference spectra representing 721 different light growth conditions were used. However, different 722 behaviors were observed among species and according to the 723 reference used. In the cases of P. tricornutum, A. carterae, 724 and E. huxleyi, all SI values were significantly linearly correlated 725  $(r^2 > 0.79;$  Table 5) to the logarithm of concentrations of 726 Fuco, Perid, and 19'-HF, respectively. Nevertheless, SI values 727



F7:1 Fig. 7. As Fig. 6 (right column), for SI values obtained using reference spectra of species acclimated to LL, HL (ML for *Prochlorococcus* sp.)
F7:2 conditions and the AS spectra: (a) *P. tricornutum*, (b) *A. carterae*, (c) *E. huxleyi*, (d) *Synechococcus* sp., (e) *Prochlorococcus* sp. Statistics of linear
F7:3 regressions are reported in Table 5.

Table 5. Parameters of Linear Regressions Displayed in Fig. 7: n = Number of Observations; b = Regression Slope; a = y-intercept;  $r^2 =$  Determination Coefficient<sup>a</sup>

| Equation             | Marker Pigment | Reference Spectrum | n | b    | a     | $r^2$             | SI range  |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|---|------|-------|-------------------|-----------|
| SI = b * Log[MP] + a | Fuco           | LL                 | 5 | 0.22 | 0.49  | 0.91 <sup>b</sup> | 0.64-0.92 |
|                      |                | HL                 | 5 | 0.26 | 0.33  | $0.79^{b}$        | 0.53-0.90 |
|                      |                | AS                 | 5 | 0.26 | 0.39  | $0.85^{b}$        | 0.58-0.93 |
|                      | Perid          | LL                 | 5 | 0.42 | -0.13 | $0.90^{b}$        | 0.25-0.79 |
|                      |                | HL                 | 5 | 0.41 | 0.03  | $0.88^{b}$        | 0.41-0.94 |
|                      |                | AS                 | 5 | 0.44 | -0.07 | $0.89^{b}$        | 0.34-0.90 |
|                      | 19'-HF         | LL                 | 5 | 0.32 | 0.16  | $0.81^{b}$        | 0.48-0.95 |
|                      |                | HL                 | 5 | 0.22 | 0.28  | $0.94^{\circ}$    | 0.48-0.77 |
|                      |                | AS                 | 5 | 0.30 | 0.21  | $0.86^{b}$        | 0.51-0.93 |
|                      | Zea            | LL                 | 6 | 0.31 | -0.06 | 0.60 ns           | 0.23-0.59 |
|                      |                | HL                 | 6 | 0.59 | -0.19 | $0.82^{b}$        | 0.33-0.84 |
|                      |                | AS                 | 6 | 0.72 | -0.41 | 0.86              | 0.26-0.89 |
|                      | DV Chl a       | LL                 | 5 | 0.57 | -0.21 | 0.77 ns           | 0.22-0.50 |
|                      |                | ML                 | 5 | 1.06 | -0.72 | $0.90^{b}$        | 0.11-0.56 |
|                      |                | AS                 | 5 | 0.68 | -0.27 | $0.80^{b}$        | 0.26-0.58 |

"Student's *t*-test:

 ${}^{b}p < 0.05$ , ns not significant.

p < 0.01;

in *P. tricornutum* [Fig. 7(a)] were generally higher when the
spectrum measured under the LL conditions was used as a
reference instead of the HL or AS spectra (Table 5). The exact
opposite situation occurred in *A. carterae*, for which the SI

values were maximum when the HL spectrum was used as the reference [Fig. 7(b), Table 5]. In the case of the two cyanobacteria *Synechococcus* sp. and *Prochlorococcus* sp., no significant relationships were found between SI and MPs when 735

738

739

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

absorption spectra of mixed assemblages were compared with the 736 reference spectrum of the low irradiance condition [Table 5; Figs. 7(d)-7(e)]. This was probably a consequence of the high intraspecific spectral variability observed for these two species 740 at the given experimental conditions.

The results of this experiment have implications in the con-741 text of operational application of algorithms used for the optical 742 discrimination of phytoplankton groups. Frequently, in order to 743 discriminate phytoplankton groups from spectra of assemblages 744 with unknown taxonomic structure, absorption spectra of cul-745 tured or monospecific algal communities are used as a refer-746 ence [17,23-25,75]. Evidently, this is made by assuming that 747 similar growth conditions, and thus a similar level of photoac-748 749 climation, exist between the reference and the studied absorption spectrum. This can be a source of uncertainty affecting the per-750 formances of the retrievals. The next step was, therefore, to at-751 tempt to predict the concentration of the five marker pigments 752 (and assess the errors) by applying the linear models shown in 753 Table 5 to a range of SI values. The SI ranges, falling within the 754 ranges observed from linear models (Table 5) and including SI 755 values corresponding to increments of 0.05, were 0.65-0.90 756 for P. tricornutum, 0.45-0.75 for A. carterae, 0.55-0.75 for 757 E. huxleyi, 0.40-0.80 for Synechococcus sp., and 0.30-0.50 for 758 759 Prochlorococcus sp. Then we evaluated the predictive skills of 760 the models by comparing the predicted MPs to the measured MPs in the different cultures. Because the five species used to 761 762 obtain mixed algal assemblages were cultured at a light intensity of 100 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the MPs concentration obtained 763 from linear models in Table 4 (i.e., comparison with ML-764 acclimated reference spectrum) were used as the measured 765 MPs concentrations. RMSE% values (Eq. 2) were calculated 766 for each statistically significant relationship of Table 5. RMSE 767 768 % values varied from about 2% to 21% (Fig. 8). The HLregression model generally produced RMSE% values higher 769 than those resulting from the LL- and AS-regression models, 770 except for P. tricornutum. MPs concentrations predicted from 771



F8:1 Fig. 8. RMSE% computed between the logarithm of MP concen-F8:2 trations estimated by LL, HL (ML for Prochlorococcus sp.), and AS F8:3 regressions (Fig. 7) and those obtained from regressions in Fig. 6. MP concentrations were retrieved for a range of SI values representa-F8:4 tive of each species (see text). RMSE% values were calculated only for F8:5 statistically significant regressions of Fig. 7 (see also Table 5). F8:6

AS-regression models were generally the lowest and ranged from 3% to 12% (Fig. 8). These results evidenced that the error in quantifying the abundance of different marker pigments representative of different taxonomic groups was generally low and slightly affected by changes in light growth conditions. In particular, these investigations showed that the average spectrum of three light conditions (AS spectrum) could actually reduce the error in quantifying the abundance of a given species within assemblages characterized by a mixed taxonomic composition.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Following the recommendations of the international community of phytoplankton functional type algorithm developers [12,43,44], two experiments on marine algal cultures representing different taxonomic groups were dedicated to investigate the extent to which the plasticity and/or similarity of spectral light absorption coefficients may affect the accuracy in optically detecting phytoplankton taxonomic composition. In particular, the datasets of pigments and light absorption spectra provided by the two presented experiments were exploited to specifically assess (i) what is the effect of light-driven spectral modifications in the accuracy of phytoplankton taxonomic composition retrievals by light absorption coefficients, and (ii) how many phytoplankton groups can be discriminated from the bulk spectral light absorption properties of marine algal communities characterized by mixed taxonomic composition. The presented experiments were not intended for any algorithm development and/or validation.

Results of the two experiments showed encouraging directions to follow for improving current spectral absorption-based algorithms and/or exploring new approaches for the retrieval of multiple phytoplankton groups. In particular,

• The spectral signature of a given species substantially influences the bulk phytoplankton light absorption spectrum of the assemblage. Spectral signatures of five taxonomically different groups can be extracted and used for quantifying their relative contributions in terms of TChl a and marker pigment concentrations.

 Intraspecific plasticity of phytoplankton light absorption spectra due to changes in light conditions does not significantly affect optical classification and discrimination of five phytoplankton groups from assemblages with mixed taxonomic composition (RMSE < 21%).

• The use of a reference spectrum coming from the average of various light regimes actually reduces the error in quantifying the abundance of a given species from bulk light absorption properties of mixed assemblages (RMSE < 12%).

• The cyanobacteria, Synechococcus sp. and Prochlorococcus sp., can be discriminated as two separated groups within the same assemblage.

The analysis of the experiments also highlighted some limitations that might be taken in account when new algorithm development is planned and/or retrieval accuracy of the current approaches has to be evaluated. In particular,

• All light absorption spectra of the examined algal groups share some level of similarity in term of shape, which limits the accuracy of retrievals.

885

890

891

#### REFERENCES

(email to E. Organelli).

 C. S. Le Quéré, P. Harrison, I. C. Prentice, E. T. Buitenhuis, O. Aumont, L. Bopp, H. Claustre, L. Cotrim da Cunha, R. Geider, X. Giraud, C. Klaas, K. E. Kohfeld, L. Legendre, M. Manizza, T. Platt, R. B. Rivkin, S. Sathyendranath, J. Uitz, A. J. Watson, and D. Wolf-Gladrow, "Ecosystem dynamics based on plankton functional types for global ocean biogeochemistry models," Glob. Change Biol. **11**, 2016–2040 (2005).

Mannuccio Mannucci for HPLC analysis; Giovanna Mori,

Fabiola Fani, Francesca Polonelli, and Sara Masetti for helping

during the execution of experiments. Robert Brewin (Plymouth

Marine Laboratory, United Kingdom) is acknowledged for his

useful comments and discussion on results. Data presented in

this manuscript are available for distribution upon request

- J. Uitz, H. Claustre, B. Gentili, and D. Stramski, "Phytoplankton class specific primary production in the world's oceans: seasonal and interannual variability from satellite observations," Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle 24, GB3016 (2010).
- G. Sarthou, K. R. Timmermans, S. Blain, and P. Tréguer, "Growth physiology and fate of diatoms in the ocean: a review," J. Sea Res. 53, 25–42 (2005).
- W. M. Balch, P. M. Holligan, S. G. Ackleson, and K. J. Voss, "Biological and optical properties of mesoscale coccolithophore blooms in the Gulf of Maine," Limnol. Oceanogr. 36, 629–643 (1991).
- 5. W. Sunda, D. J. Kleber, R. P. Klene, and S. Huntsman, "An antioxidant function for DMSP and DMS in marine algae," Nature **418**, 317–320 (2002).
- S. Becagli, L. Lazzara, F. Fani, C. Marchese, R. Traversi, M. Severi, A. di Sarra, D. Sferlazzo, S. Piacentino, C. Bommarito, U. Dayan, and R. Udisti, "Relationship between methanesulphonate (MS-) in atmospheric particulate and remotely sensed phytoplankton activity in oligo-mesotrophic central Mediterranean Sea," Atmos. Environ. **79**, 681–688 (2013).
- S. Becagli, L. Lazzara, C. Marchese, U. Dayan, S. E. Ascanius, M. Cacciani, L. Caiazzo, C. Di Biagio, T. Di Iorio, A. di Sarra, P. Eriksen, F. Fani, F. Giardi, D. Meloni, G. Muscari, G. Pace, M. Severi, R. Traversi, and R. Udisti, "Relationships linking primary production, sea ice melting, and biogenic aerosol in the Arctic," Atmos. Environ. **136**, 1–15 (2016).
- 8. C. S. Reynolds, *The Ecology of Phytoplankton* (Cambridge University, 2006).
- J. J. Cullen, A. M. Ciotti, R. F. Davis, and M. R. Lewis, "Optical detection and assessment of algal blooms," Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 1223– 1239 (1997).
- O. Schofield, J. Grzymski, W. P. Bisset, J. G. Kirkpatrick, D. F. Millie, M. Moline, and C. F. Roesler, "Optical monitoring and forecasting system for harmful algal blooms: possibility or pipe dream?" J. Phycology 35, 1477–1496 (1999).
- 11. T. Platt, S. Sathyendranath, and V. Stuart, "Why study biological oceanography?" Aquabiology **28**, 542–557 (2006).
- IOCCG, "Phytoplankton functional types from space," Reports of the International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Groups no. 15 (IOCCG, 2014).
- C. B. Mouw, N. J. Hardman-Mountford, S. Alvain, A. Bracher, R. J. W. Brewin, A. Bricaud, A. M. Ciotti, E. Devred, A. Fujiwara, T. Hirata, T. Hirawake, T. S. Kostadinov, S. Roy, and J. Uitz, "A consumer's guide to satellite remote sensing of multiple phytoplankton groups in the global ocean," Front. Mar. Sci. 4, 41 (2017).
- J. R. Moisan, T. A. Moisan, and M. A. Linkswiler, "An inverse modeling approach to estimating phytoplankton pigment concentrations from phytoplankton absorption spectra," J. Geophys. Res. **116**, C09018 (2011).
- E. Torrecilla, D. Stramski, R. A. Reynolds, E. Millan-Nunez, and J. Piera, "Cluster analysis of hyperspectral optical data for discriminating phytoplankton pigment assemblages in the open ocean," Remote Sens. Environ. **115**, 2578–2593 (2011).

The high spectral similarity observed between diatoms
and dinoflagellates further reduces their discrimination capability when co-occurring within the same assemblage.
Contributions <20% of a given group to TChl *a* within a

• Contributions <20% of a given group to TChl *a* within a mixed assemblage are hard to detect.

Detection of the full dominance (i.e., 100%) of a given
group using phytoplankton light absorption spectra is also
affected by errors, which vary according to the group.

The analyses here presented are only the first step to under-837 stand the limits and to untangle the effects of growth light 838 839 (photoacclimation/adaptation) in the detection of phytoplankton groups from bulk light absorption properties of assemblages 840 with mixed taxonomic composition such those characterizing 841 842 most oceanic environments. We acknowledge that there are some limitations to working with cultures and differences from 843 natural populations (in terms of proportions among groups, 844 total chlorophyll concentration of assemblages, and nutrient/ 845 light availability), but cultures represent the best way to indi-846 vidually assess the role of environmental factors acting in natu-847 ral systems and the detection limits for a given algal group. 848 A comparison of pigment distribution and bio-optical proper-849 ties between simulated and natural algal assemblages suggested, 850 however, that considerations resulting from these experiments 851 852 could be extended also to open ocean waters and thus be rel-853 evant for improving methods of detection of phytoplankton from in situ and remote sensing platforms and for ecological 854 855 and biogeochemical studies (e.g., primary production modeling [88]). It appears clear, however, that other aspects should be 856 studied in depth in order to better simulate environmental con-857 ditions such as the analysis of the synergic effects of nutrient 858 depletion and light limitation in modifying the spectral absorp-859 tion coefficients and/or adding complexity to simulated taxo-860 nomic structures in terms of number of species and taxa. It is 861 also envisaged to perform such experiments and analyses for 862 spectral light backscattering coefficients in order to provide dedi-863 cated phytoplankton functional type algorithms [12,13] with 864 similar information and to complement and/or enhance light ab-865 sorption discrimination capabilities. Finally, since a hyperspectral 866 resolution of ocean color sensors is planned for scheduled satellite 867 missions [89], further efforts should be directed also to the in-868 vestigation of the minimal spectral resolution required for achiev-869 ing a comprehensive taxonomic knowledge of the phytoplankton 870 871 community structure, in addition to specific groups [90], and at the same time make use of the technological and measurement 872 maturity of hyperspectral sensors. 873

874 5 Funding. Università degli Studi di Firenze (Fondi di Ateneo 2008, 2009, 2010).

**Acknowledgment.** We acknowledge the Roscoff Culture 876 Collection (http://roscoff-culture-collection.org/, France) for 877 providing starting cultures of Prochlorococcus sp. (RCC 151), 878 Synechococcus sp. (RCC 322), and Emiliania huxleyi (RCC 879 904). We warmly thank Marina Montresor of the Stazione 880 Zoologica Anton Dohrn (Naples, Italy), who provided the algal 881 culture of Phaeodactylum tricornutum, and the following col-882 883 leagues of the Ecology and Plant Physiology Laboratory of the University of Florence (Italy): Chiara Melillo and 884

892 893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939 940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

**Research Article** 

- 952 16. A. Chase, E. Boss, R. Zaneveld, A. Bricaud, H. Claustre, J. Ras, G. 953 Dall'Olmo, and T. Westberry, "Decomposition of in situ particulate ab-954 sorption spectra," Methods. Oceanogr. 7, 110-124 (2013).
- 955 17. A. M. Ciotti, M. R. Lewis, and J. J. Cullen, "Assessment of the relation-956 ships between dominant cell size in natural phytoplankton commun-957 ities and the spectral shape of the absorption coefficient," Limnol. 958 Oceanogr. 47, 404-417 (2002).
- 18. E. Organelli, A. Bricaud, D. Antoine, and J. Uitz, "Multivariate ap-959 960 proach for the retrieval of phytoplankton size structure from measured light absorption spectra in the Mediterranean Sea (BOUSSOLE site)," 961 962 Appl. Opt. 52, 2257-2273 (2013).
- 19. J. Uitz, D. Stramski, R. A. Revnolds, and J. Dubranna, "Assessing 963 964 phytoplankton community composition from hyperspectral measure-965 ments of phytoplankton absorption coefficient and remote-sensing reflectance in open-ocean environments," Remote Sens. Environ. 966 967 171, 58-74 (2015).
- 968 20. S. Wang, J. Ishizaka, T. Hirawake, Y. Watanabe, Y. Zhu, M. Hayashi, 969 and S. Yoo, "Remote estimation of phytoplankton size fractions using 970 the spectral shape of light absorption," Opt. Express 23, 10301–10318 971 (2015).
- 972 21. D. F. Millie, O. M. Schofield, G. J. Kirkpatrick, G. Johnsen, P. A. 973 Tester, and B. T. Vinyard, "Detection of harmful algal blooms using 974 photopigments and absorption signatures: a case study of the 975 Florida red tide dinoflagellate, Gymnodinium breve," Limnol. 976 Oceanogr. 42, 1240-1251 (1997).
- 977 22. G. J. Kirkpatrick, D. F. Millie, M. A. Moline, and O. Schofield, "Optical 978 discrimination of a phytoplankton species in natural mixed popula-979 tions," Limnol. Oceanogr. 45, 467-471 (2000).
- 980 23. P. A. Stæhr and J. J. Cullen, "Detection of Karenia mikomotoi by spec-981 tral absorption signatures," J. Plankton Res. 25, 1237-1249 (2003).
- 982 24. S. E. Craig, S. E. Lohrenz, Z. Lee, K. L. Mahoney, G. J. Kirkpatrick, O. M. Schofield, and R. G. Steward, "Use of hyperspectral remote 983 984 sensing reflectance for detection and assessment of the harmful alga. 985 Karenia brevis," Appl. Opt. 45, 5414-5425 (2006).
- 986 25. B. Lubac, H. Loisel, N. Guiselin, R. Astoreca, L. F. Artigas, and X. 987 Mériaux, "Hyperspectral and multispectral ocean color inversions to 988 detect Phaeocystis globosa blooms in coastal waters," J. Geophys. 989 Res. 113, C06026 (2008).
- 990 26. T. Isada, T. Hirawake, T. Kobayashi, Y. Nosaka, M. Natsuike, I. Imai, 991 K. Suzuki, and S. Saitoh, "Hyperspectral optical discrimination of 992 phytoplankton community structure in Funka Bay and its implications 993 for ocean color remote sensing of diatoms," Remote Sens. Environ. 994 159. 134-151 (2015).
- 995 27. R. J. W. Brewin, N. J. Hardman-Mountford, S. J. Lavender, D. E. 996 Raitsos, T. Hirata, J. Uitz, E. Devred, A. Bricaud, A. Ciotti, and B. 997 Gentili, "An intercomparison of bio-optical techniques for detecting 998 dominant phytoplankton size class from satellite remote sensing," 999 Remote Sens. Environ. 115, 325-339 (2011).
- 1000 28. A. Morel and A. Bricaud, "Theoretical results concerning light absorp-1001 tion in a discrete medium, and application to specific absorption of 1002 phytoplankton," Deep-Sea Res. 28, 1375-1393 (1981).
- 1003 29. S. Sathyendranath, L. Lazzara, and L. Prieur, "Variations in the spec-1004 tral values of specific absorption of phytoplankton," Limnol. Oceanogr. 1005 32, 403-415 (1987).
- 30. A. Bricaud, H. Claustre, J. Ras, and K. Oubelkheir, "Natural variability 1006 1007 of phytoplanktonic absorption in oceanic waters: influence of the size 1008 structure of algal populations," J. Geophys. Res. 109, C11010 (2004).
- 1009 31. R. Bidigare, M. E. Ondrusak, J. H. Morrow, and D. A. Kiefer, "In vivo 1010 absorption properties of algal pigments," Ocean Opt. X 1302, 290-302 1011 (1990).
- 1012 32. N. Hoepffner and S. Sathyendranath, "Effect of pigment composition on absorption properties of phytoplankton," Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 73, 1013 1014 11-23 (1991).
- 33. S. W. Jeffrey, R. F. C. Mantoura, and T. Bjørnland, "Data for the iden-1015 1016 tification of 47 key phytoplankton pigments," in Phytoplankton 1017 Pigments in Oceanography, S. W. Jeffrey, R. F. C. Mantoura, and 1018 S. W. Wright, eds. (UNESCO, 1997), pp 449-559.
- 1019 34. A. Morel, L. Lazzara, and J. Gostan, "Growth rate and guantum yield 1020 time response for a diatom to changing irradiances (energy and 1021 color)," Limnol. Oceanogr. 32, 1066-1084 (1987).

- 35. H. M. Sosik and B. G. Mitchell, "Effects of temperature on growth, 1022light absorption, and quantum yield in Dunaliella tertiolecta 1023 (Chlorophyceae)," J. Phycol. 30, 833-840 (1994). 1024 1025
- 36. H. M. Sosik and B. G. Mitchell, "Light absorption by phytoplankton, photosynthetic pigments and detritus in the California current system," Deep-Sea Res. I 42, 1717-1748 (1995).
- 37. A. Bricaud, K. Allali, A. Morel, D. Marie, M. J. W. Veldhuis, F. Partensky, and D. Vaulot, "Divinyl chlorophyll a-specific absorption coefficients and absorption efficiency factors for Prochlorococcus marinus: kinetics of photoacclimation," Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 188, 21-32 (1999).
- 38. T. A. Moisan and B. G. Mitchell, "Photophysiological acclimation of Phaeocystis antarctica Karsten under light limitation." Limnol. Oceanogr. 44, 247-258 (1999).
- 39. A. Sciandra, L. Lazzara, H. Claustre, and M. Babin, "Responses of growth rate, pigment composition and optical properties of Cryptomonas sp. to light and nitrogen stresses," Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 201, 107-120 (2000).
- 40. V. Lutz, S. Sathvendranath, E. J. H. Head, and W. K. W. Li, "Changes in the in vivo absorption and fluorescence excitation spectra with growth irradiance in three species of phytoplankton," J. Plankton Res. 23, 555-569 (2001).
- 41. P. A. Stæhr, P. Henriksen, and S. Markager, "Photoacclimation of four marine phytoplankton species to irradiance and nutrient availability," Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 238, 47-59 (2002).
- 42. M. Laviale and J. Neveux, "Relationships between pigment ratios and growth irradiance in 11 marine phytoplankton species," Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 425, 63-77 (2011).
- 43. A. Bracher, N. J. Hardman-Mountford, T. Hirata, S. Bernard, E. Boss, R. Brewin, A. Bricaud, V. Brotas, A. Chase, A. M. Ciotti, J. K. Choi, L. Clementson, E. Devred, P. Di Giacomo, C. Dupouy, T. Hirawake, W. Kim, T. Kostadinov, E. Kwiatkowska, S. Lavender, T. Moisan, C. Mouw, S. Son, H. Sosik, J. Uitz, J. Werdell, and G. Zheng, "Phytoplankton composition from space: towards a validation strategy for satellite algorithms," NASA/TM-2015-217528, 46 pp. (2015).
- 44. A. Bracher, H. A. Bouman, R. J. W. Brewin, A. Bricaud, V. Brotas, A. M. Ciotti, L. Clementson, E. Devred, A. Di Cicco, S. Dutkiewicz, N. Hardman-Mountford, A. E. Hickman, M. Hieronymi, T. Hirata, S. N. Loza, C. B. Mouw, E. Organelli, D. Raitsos, J. Uitz, M. Vogt, and A. Wolanin, "Obtaining phytoplankton diversity from ocean color: a scientific roadmap for future development," Front. Mar. Sci. 4, 55 6 (2017).
- 45. S. W. Jeffrey and M. Vesk, "Introduction to marine phytoplankton and their pigment signatures," in Phytoplankton Pigments in Oceanography, S. W. Jeffrey, R. F. C. Mantoura, and S. W. Wright, eds. (UNESCO, 1997), pp. 37-84.
- 46. M. Latasa, R. Scharek, F. Le Gall, and L. Guillou, "Pigment suites and taxonomic groups in prasinophyceae," J. Phycology 40, 1149-1155 (2004)
- 47. K. A. Steidinger and K. Tangen, "Dinoflagellates," in Identifying Marine Phytoplankton, C. R. Tomas, ed. (Academic, 1997), pp. 387-584.
- 48. R. W. Butcher, "An introductory account of the smaller algae of British coastal waters. Part IV. Cryptophyceae," Fish. Invest. 4, 54 (1967). 7 1074
- 49. J. Throndsen, "The planktonic marine flagellates," in Identifying Marine Phytoplankton, C. R. Tomas, ed. (Academic, 1997), pp. 591-729.
- 50. R. R. L. Guillard and J. H. Ryther, "Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I. Cyclotella nana Hustedt and Detonula confervacea Cleve," Can. J. Microbiol. 8, 229-239 (1962).
- 51. R. R. L. Guillard, "Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates," in Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals, W. L. Smith and M. H. Chanley, eds. (Plenum, 1975), pp. 26-60.
- 52. M. D. Keller, R. C. Selvin, W. Claus, and R. R. L. Guillard, "Media for the culture of oceanic ultraphytoplankton," J. Phycology 23, 633-638 (1987).
- 53. R. Rippka, T. Coursin, W. Hess, C. Lichtlé, D. J. Scanlan, K. A. 1087 Palinska, I. Iteman, F. Partensky, J. Houmard, and M. Herdman, 1088 "Prochlorococcus marinus Chisholm et al. 1992 subsp. pastoris 1089 1090 subsp. nov. strain PCC 9511, the first axenic chlorophyll a<sub>2</sub>/b<sub>2</sub> -containing cyanobacterium (Oxyphotobacteria)," Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 50, 1091 1092 1833-1847 (2000).

1031

1032 1033 1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1094

1095

1156

1157

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1210

1213

1214

1215

- 54. A. M. Wood, R. C. Everroad, and L. M. Wingard, "Measuring growth rates in microalgal cultures," in Algal Culturing Techniques, R. A. Andersen, ed. (Elsevier Academic, 2005), pp. 269-286.
- 1096 55. J. Ras, H. Claustre, and J. Uitz, "Spatial variability of phytoplankton pigment distributions in the subtropical South Pacific Ocean: compari-1097 1098 son between in situ and predicted data," Biogeosciences 5, 353-369 1099 (2008)
- 1100 56. I. Siokou-Frangou, U. Christaki, M. G. Mazzocchi, M. Montresor, M. 1101 Ribera d'Alcalà, D. Vagué, and A. Zingone, "Plankton in the open 1102 Mediterranean Sea: a review," Biogeosciences 7, 1543-1586 (2010).
- 1103 57. E. Organelli, C. Nuccio, C. Melillo, and L. Massi, "Relationships 1104 between phytoplankton light absorption, pigment composition and 1105 size structure in offshore areas of the Mediterranean Sea," Adv. 1106 Oceanogr. Limnol. 2, 107-123 (2011).
- 58. S. Tassan and G. M. Ferrari, "An alternative approach to absorption 1107 1108 measurements of aquatic particle retained on filters," Limnol. 1109 Oceanogr. 40, 1358-1368 (1995).
- 1110 59. LI-COR, "LI-1800UW underwater spectroradiometer instruction 1111 manual,", 152 (1989).
- 1112 60. M. Kishino, M. Takahashi, N. Okami, and S. Ichimura, "Estimation of the spectral absorption coefficients of phytoplankton in the sea," Bull. 1113 1114 Mar. Sci. 37, 634-642 (1985).
- 1115 61. S. Tassan and G. M. Ferrari, "A sensitivity analysis of the 'transmittance-reflectance' method for measuring light absorption by aquatic 1116 1117 particles," J. Plankton Res. 24, 757-774 (2002).
- 1118 62. A. Bricaud and D. Stramski, "Spectral absorption coefficients of living 1119 phytoplankton and nonalgal biogenous matter: a comparison between Peru upwelling area and Sargasso Sea," Limnol. Oceanogr. 35, 562-1120 1121 582 (1990).
- 1122 63. R. Röttgers and S. Gehnke, "Measurement of light absorption by aquatic particles: improvement of the quantitative filter technique 1123 1124 by use of an integrating sphere approach," Appl. Opt. 51, 1336-1125 1351 (2012).
- 1126 64. D. Stramski, R. A. Reynolds, S. Kaczmarek, J. Uitz, and G. Zheng, 1127 "Correction of pathlength amplification in the filter-pad technique for 1128 measurements of particulate absorption coefficient in the visible spec-1129 tral region," Appl. Opt. 54, 6763-6782 (2015).
- 65. F. Vidussi, H. Claustre, J. Bustillos-Gunzman, C. Cailliau, and J. C. 1130 1131 Marty, "Determination of chlorophylls and carotenoids of marine 1132 phytoplankton: separation of chlorophyll a from divinyl-chlorophyll a 1133 and zeaxanthin from lutein," J. Plankton Res. 18, 2377-2382 (1996).
- 1134 66. R. G. Barlow, D. G. Cummings, and S. W. Gibb, "Improved resolution 1135 of mono- and divinyl chlorophylls a and b and zeaxanthin and lutein 1136 in phytoplankton extracts using reverse phase C-8 HPLC," Mar. 1137 Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 161, 303-307 (1997).
- 1138 67. R. F. C. Mantoura and D. J. Repeta, "Calibration methods for HPLC," 1139 in Phytoplankton Pigments in Oceanography, S. W. Jeffrey, R. F. C. 1140 Mantoura, and S. W. Wright, eds. (UNESCO, 1997), pp. 407-428.
- 1141 68. R. R. L. Guillard and M. S. Sieracki, "Counting cells in cultures with the 1142 light microscope," in Algal Culturing Techniques, R. A. Andersen, ed. (Elsevier Academic, 2005), pp. 239-252. 1143
- 1144 69. H. Hillebrand, C. D. Dürselen, D. Kirschtel, U. Pollingher, and T. Zohary, "Biovolume calculation for pelagic and benthic microalgae," 1145 1146 J. Phycology 35, 403-424 (1999).
- 70. W. H. Kruskal and W. A. Wallis, "Use of ranks in one criterion variance 1147 1148 analysis," Am. Statist. Ass. 47, 583-621 (1952).
- 1149 71. H. Levene, "Robust tests for equality of variances," in Contributions to 1150 Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of Harold Hotelling, I. Olkin 1151 and H. Hotelling, et al., eds. (Stanford University, 1960), pp. 278-292.
- 1152 72. I. H. A. Sneath and R. R. Sokal, Numerical Taxonomy (Freeman WH and Company, 1973). 1153
- 73. R. R. Sokal and F. J. Rohlf, "The comparison of dendrograms by ob-1154 1155 jective methods," Taxon 11, 33-40 (1962).

- 74. Ø. Hammer, D. A. T. Harper, and P. D. Ryan, "PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis," **8** 1158 Palaeontologia Electronica 4, 9 (2001) [software].
- 75. A. M. Ciotti and A. Bricaud, "Retrievals of a size parameter for phytoplankton and spectral light absorption by colored detrital matter from water leaving radiances at SeaWiFS channels in a continental shelf region off Brazil," Limnol. Oceanogr.-Meth. 4, 237-253 (2006).
- 76. R. Bidigare, J. Morrow, and D. Kiefer, "Derivative analysis of spectra absorption by photosynthetic pigments in the western Sargasso Sea," J. Mar. Res. 47, 323-341 (1989).
- 77. L. Lazzara, A. Bricaud, and H. Claustre, "Spectral absorption and fluorescence excitation properties of phytoplankton populations at a mesotrophic and an oligotrophic site in the tropical North Atlantic (EUMELI program)," Deep-Sea Res. Part I 43, 1215-1240 (1996).
- 78. H. A. Bouman, T. Platt, S. Sathyendranath, W. K. W. Li, V. Stuart, C. Fuentes-Yaco, H. Maass, E. P. W. Horne, O. Ulloa, V. Lutz, and M. Kyewalyanga, "Temperature as indicator of optical properties and community structure of marine phytoplankton: implications for remote sensing," Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 258, 19-30 (2003).
- 79. M. Davey, G. A. Tarran, M. M. Mills, C. Ridame, R. J. Geider, and J. LaRoche, "Nutrient limitation of picophytoplankton photosynthesis and growth in the tropical North Atlantic," Limnol. Oceanogr. 53, 1722-1733 (2008).
- 80. L. M. Schlüter, F. Møhlenberg, H. Havskum, and S. Larsen, "The use 1179 of phytoplankton pigments for identifying and quantifying phytoplank-1180 ton groups in coastal areas: testing the influence of light and nutrients 1181 on pigment/chlorophyll a ratios," Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser. 192, 49-63 1182 (2000). 1183
- 81. H. Xi, M. Hieronymi, R. Röttgers, H. Krasemann, and Z. Qiu, "Hyperspectral differentiation of phytoplankton taxonomic groups: a comparison between using remote sensing reflectance and absorption spectra," Remote Sens. 7, 14781-14805 (2015).
- 82. A. Morel, "Consequences of a Synechococcus bloom upon the optical properties of oceanic (case 1) waters," Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 1746-1754 (1997).
- 83. J. Uitz, Y. Huot, F. Bruyant, M. Babin, and H. Claustre, "Relating phytoplankton photophysiological properties to community structure on large scales," Limnol. Oceanogr. 53, 614-630 (2008).
- 84. A. Bricaud, M. Babin, A. Morel, and H. Claustre, "Variability in the chlorophyll-specific absorption coefficients of natural phytoplankton: analysis and parameterization," J. Geophys. Res. 100, 13321-13332 (1995).
- 85. A. Bricaud, A. Morel, M. Babin, K. Allali, and H. Claustre, "Variations of light absorption by suspended particles with chlorophyll a concentration in oceanic (case 1) waters: analysis and implications for biooptical models," J. Geophys. Res. 103, 31033-31044 (1998). 1201
- 86. E. Organelli, A. Bricaud, B. Gentili, D. Antoine, and V. Vellucci, 1202 "Retrieval of colored detrital matter (CDM) light absorption coefficients in the Mediterranean Sea using field and satellite ocean color radiometry: evaluation of bio-optical inversion models," Remote Sens. Environ. 186, 297-310 (2016).
- 87. A. Bricaud, M. Babin, H. Claustre, J. Ras, and F. Tièche, "Light absorption properties and absorption budget of Southeast Pacific **9** 1209 waters," J. Geophys. Res. 115 (2010).
- 88. J. Uitz, D. Stramski, B. Gentili, F. D'Ortenzio, and H. Claustre, 1211 "Estimates of phytoplankton class-specific and total primary production in the Mediterranean Sea from satellite ocean color observations," 1212 Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle 26 (2012).
- 89. IOCCG, "Mission requirements for future ocean-colour sensors," Reports of the International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Groups no. 13 (IOCCG, 2012).
- 90. A. Wolanin, M. A. Soppa, and A. Bracher, "Investigation of spectral 1217 band requirements for improving retrievals of phytoplankton functional 1218 types," Remote Sens. 8, 871 (2016). 1219

## Queries

- 1. AU: Please define "L/D" at first occurrence.
- 2. AU: Please spell out the full term for "DMS" as this acronym does not recur.
- 3. AU: Please define "ANOVA" at the acronym's first occurrence.
- 4. AU: Please check the edit "ph" throughout the article.
- 5. AU: The funding information for this article has been generated using the information you provided to OSA at the time of article submission. Please check it carefully. If any information needs to be corrected or added, please provide the full name of the funding organization/institution as provided in the CrossRef Open Funder Registry (http://www.crossref.org/fundingdata/registry.html).
- 6. AU: Please provide complete page range for [44].
- 7. AU: Please provide complete page range for [48].
- 8. AU: Please provide complete page range for [74] if applicable.
- 9. AU: Please provide page ranges for [87, 88, 90].