

FLORE Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

A comparison between different oxalic acid treatments in overwintering honeybee colonies affected by Varroa destructor

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

A comparison between different oxalic acid treatments in overwintering honeybee colonies affected by Varroa destructor Anderson & Trueman / A. NANETTI; A. MARTINI; M.P. TAMPIERI; A. BESANA; A. ROVIDA; A. RASCHI. - STAMPA. - 122:(2005), pp. 58-58. (Intervento presentato al convegno 39th Apimondia Apicultural Congress tenutosi a Dublin Ireland nel 21 -26 Agosto).

Apicultural Congress tenutosi a Dublin Ireland nel 21 -26 Agosto).
Availability: This version is available at: 2158/240184 since: 2018-11-21T13:00:48Z

Publisher: Apimondia

Terms of use: Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

Title:	A comparison between different oxalic acid treatments in overwintering honey bee colonies affected by <i>Varroa destructor</i> Anderson & Trueman
Authors & affiliations:	Nanetti Antonio*, Martini Andrea**, Tampieri Maria Paola***, Besana Andrea***, Rovida Angela**, Raschi Antonio**** * C.R.A., Istituto Nazionale di Apicoltura, Italy ** Zoo-technical Sc. Dept., Agric. Faculty, Florence University *** Public Health and Animal Pathology, Vet. Faculty, Bologna University **** IBIMET-CNR, Florence, Italy
Abstract: (Your abstract must use Normal style and must fit in this space)	Four oxalic acid (OA) administrations were compared under winter continental (Modena, Emilia-Romagna) and Mediterranean (Siena, Tuscany) conditions in Italy. Each of two apiaries of 50 broodless colonies were randomly split into 5 groups. Sucrose (S) was added to solutions intended for trickling and the Varrox device was used to sublimate OA within the hives. Groups A, B and C received respectively 4.2%OA/60%S, 3.2%OA/60%S and 4.4OA%/30%S solutions by trickling (5ml/comb) and group D was treated by sublimation (1,4g of OA). Group E was left untreated and served as a control. The tolerability was assessed by comparing initial and final number of adults and brood cells. At the time of administration, the colonies sized 8840 and 10530 adults in Modena and Siena, respectively, and had an average decrease of 20-35% and 8-27% by the end of the winter. At this age, the brood averaged 1454 and 10391 cells, respectively. The above population parameters did not significantly differ between groups and no evidence for a lacking tolerability was attained. In Siena, a low mite load did not allow the evaluation of efficacy. This could be made in Modena, where the natural mite mortality reached 13.6% and the efficacy was 87.7, 87.1, 70.3 and 91.0% in groups A-D respectively. This was somewhat lower than previous recordings and may be due to the low environmental and internal RH. The significantly lower mortality in group C stresses the need of a high sugar concentration in solutions intended for trickling. No significant differences occurred between the other groups, whose treatments seemed alternative on the practical point of view. The sublimation was time expensive and required heavier protections than the trickling. The statistical analysis pointed out that, under the examined conditions, the natural mite mortality does not allow a reliable estimate the overall colony infestation (Squared R=0,501). Similarly, the number of populated combs depends on the actual number of bees to a limited extent (Squared R=0.507), althoug

Generic template 1.1 16/7/2003