



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
FIRENZE

FLORE

## Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

### **Publisher's Note: $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR study of the director distribution adopted by the modulated nematic phases formed by liquid-crystal**

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

*Original Citation:*

Publisher's Note:  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR study of the director distribution adopted by the modulated nematic phases formed by liquid-crystal dimers with odd numbers of atoms in their spacers [Phys. Rev. E 96, 062702 (2017)] / Emsley, J W; Lelli, M; Luckhurst, G R; Zimmermann, H. - In: PHYSICAL REVIEW. E. - ISSN 2470-0045. - STAMPA. - 97:(2018), pp. 029903-029903. [10.1103/PhysRevE.97.029903]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 2158/1150924 since: 2020-07-08T11:28:00Z

*Published version:*

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.97.029903

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

*Publisher copyright claim:*

(Article begins on next page)

**Publisher's Note:  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR study of the director distribution adopted by the modulated nematic phases formed by liquid-crystal dimers with odd numbers of atoms in their spacers**  
[Phys. Rev. E **96**, 062702 (2017)]

J. W. Emsley, M. Lelli, G. R. Luckhurst, and H. Zimmermann



(Received 7 February 2018; published 21 February 2018)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.97.029903](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.029903)

This paper was published online on 15 December 2017 with errors in the figure symbols of the caption to Fig. 17. The caption to Fig. 17 should read as “Comparison of  $S_{zz}(T)$  determined from the shift anisotropy of C45 ( $\bullet$ ) in biphenyl-C with values ( $\circ$ ) calculated for the Haller function of Eq. (5) with  $S_{aa}(0) = 0.783$  and  $\gamma = 0.132$ .” The caption has been corrected as of 7 February 2018. The caption is incorrect in the printed version of the journal.