



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
FIRENZE

# FLORE

## Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

### **A note on the continuous-stage Runge-Kutta(-Nyström) formulation of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs)**

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

*Original Citation:*

A note on the continuous-stage Runge-Kutta(-Nyström) formulation of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs) / Amodio, Pierluigi; Brugnano, Luigi; Iavernaro, Felice. - In: APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTATION. - ISSN 0096-3003. - STAMPA. - 363:(2019), pp. 1246341-1246348. [10.1016/j.amc.2019.124634]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 2158/1169395 since: 2019-10-09T10:01:47Z

*Published version:*

DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2019.124634

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

*Publisher copyright claim:*

(Article begins on next page)

# A note on the continuous-stage Runge-Kutta(-Nyström) formulation of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs) \*

Pierluigi Amodio<sup>1</sup>

Luigi Brugnano<sup>2</sup>

Felice Iavernaro<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bari, Italy, {pierluigi.amodio,felice.iavernaro}@uniba.it

<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica “U. Dini”, Università di Firenze, Italy, luigi.brugnano@unifi.it

## Abstract

In recent years, the class of energy-conserving methods named Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs) has been devised for numerically solving Hamiltonian problems. In this short note, we study their natural formulation as continuous-stage Runge-Kutta(-Nyström) methods, which allows a deeper insight in the methods.

**Keywords:** continuous-stage Runge-Kutta methods, Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods, Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods, HBVMs.

**MSC:** 65L05, 65P10.

## 1 Introduction

The numerical solution of Hamiltonian problems has been recently tackled by defining energy-conserving methods, which can be regarded as continuous-stage Runge-Kutta (RK, hereafter) methods (e.g., [34, 18, 30, 36]). In their simplest (and most effective) form,<sup>1</sup> continuous-stage RK methods are “methods” that, when applied for solving an initial value problem for ODEs (ODE-IVP, hereafter), which we assume without loss of generality in the form

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(y(t)), \quad t \in [0, h], \quad y(0) = y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (1)$$

with  $f$  analytical, define an approximating function  $u : [0, h] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$u(ch) = y_0 + h \int_0^1 a_{c\tau} f(u(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1], \quad (2)$$

---

\*cite as: P. Amodio, L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. A note on the continuous-stage Runge-Kutta(-Nyström) formulation of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs). *Applied Mathematics and Computations* (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2019.124634>

<sup>1</sup>For a more general form which, however, we shall not consider here, we refer, e.g., to [33].

with  $a_{c\tau} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and a corresponding approximation to  $y(h)$ ,

$$y_1 = y_0 + h \int_0^1 f(u(ch))dc. \quad (3)$$

As is usual, this procedure can be summarized by the following (generalized) Butcher tableau,

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & a_{c\tau} \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}.$$

We observe that (2)-(3) is not yet an actual numerical method, due to the fact that the involved integrals need to be conveniently approximated by means of quadrature rules. In so doing, one obtains “usual” RK methods.<sup>2</sup> Nevertheless, (2)-(3) can be useful for purposes of analysis [29, 20, 35, 36, 38, 37, 32] since, essentially, it allows to discuss all Runge-Kutta methods derived by using different quadratures for approximating the involved integrals. In particular, the papers [38, 37] have inspired the present note, where we provide the continuous-stage RK formulation of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs) [17, 16, 18, 21, 24, 12, 14], a class of energy-conserving methods for Hamiltonian problems, which have been developed along several directions [4, 13, 22, 23, 26, 8, 9], including Hamiltonian BVPs [1], highly-oscillatory problems [25, 2], Hamiltonian PDEs [7, 3, 15, 6, 10, 27, 11], and also considering their efficient implementation [19, 5]. Here, we shall also consider the continuous formulation of such methods when applied for solving special second-order problems [12], i.e., problems in the form

$$\ddot{q}(t) = f(q(t)), \quad t \in [0, h], \quad q(0) = q_0, \quad \dot{q}(0) = p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (4)$$

where, for the sake of brevity, we shall again assume  $f$  to be analytical.

With these premises, the structure of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we study the case of first order ODE problems; Section 3 is devoted to study the case where one solves special second-order problems; at last, a few concluding remarks are drawn in Section 4.

## 2 The framework

Generalizing the arguments in [20], let us consider the orthonormal Legendre polynomial basis  $\{P_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  on the interval  $[0, 1]$ :

$$P_j \in \Pi_j, \quad \int_0^1 P_i(c)P_j(c)dc = \delta_{ij}, \quad \forall i, j \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $\Pi_j$  is the set of polynomials of degree  $j$ . Then, the ODE-IVP (1) can be written, by expanding the right-hand side along the Legendre basis, as

$$\dot{y}(ch) = \sum_{j \geq 0} P_j(c)\gamma_j(y), \quad c \in [0, 1], \quad \gamma_j(y) = \int_0^1 P_j(\tau)f(y(\tau h))d\tau, \quad j \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>I.e., having discrete stages.

from which, integrating side by side, one obtains the following formal expression for the solution of (1):

$$y(ch) = y_0 + h \sum_{j \geq 0} \int_0^c P_j(x) dx \gamma_j(y), \quad c \in [0, 1]. \quad (7)$$

The above equations can be cast in vector form by introducing the infinite vectors

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty(c) := \begin{pmatrix} P_0(c) \\ P_1(c) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I}_\infty(c) := \int_0^c \mathcal{P}_\infty(x) dx \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^c P_0(x) dx \\ \int_0^c P_1(x) dx \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(y) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0(y) \\ \gamma_1(y) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

respectively as:

$$\dot{y}(ch) = \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top \otimes I_m \gamma(y), \quad c \in [0, 1], \quad \gamma(y) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m f(y(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad (9)$$

and

$$y(ch) = y_0 + h \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \otimes I_m \gamma(y), \quad c \in [0, 1]. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, by considering that

$$\mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top = \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty, \quad \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(c) \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top dc = I, \quad (11)$$

with  $I$  the identity operator and

$$X_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 & -\xi_1 & & & \\ \xi_1 & 0 & -\xi_2 & & \\ & \xi_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi_i = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{|4i^2 - 1|}}, \quad i \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

one also obtains that

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(c) \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top dc = X_\infty. \quad (13)$$

Setting  $y_1 \equiv y(h)$ , we can cast (10) as:

$$\begin{aligned} y(ch) &= y_0 + h \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) f(y(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1], \\ y_1 &= y_0 + h \int_0^1 f(y(ch)) dc, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which, by virtue of (11)–(13), can be also written as

$$\begin{aligned} y(ch) &= y_0 + h \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) f(y(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1], \\ y_1 &= y_0 + h \int_0^1 f(y(ch)) dc. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In other words, we are speaking about the application of the following continuous-stage RK method to problem (1) :

$$\frac{c \mid \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau)}{\mid 1} \equiv \frac{c \mid \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau)}{\mid 1} =: \frac{c \mid a_{c\tau}^{(\infty)}}{\mid 1}. \quad (16)$$

As is clear, by virtue of (11)-(12), the coefficients of this “continuous-stage RK method”, providing the exact solution of (1), are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{c\tau}^{(\infty)} &:= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^c P_j(x) dx P_j(\tau) \\ &\equiv c + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [\xi_{j+1} P_{j+1}(c) - \xi_j P_{j-1}(c)] P_j(\tau), \quad c, \tau \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

## 2.1 Polynomial approximation

In order to obtain a polynomial approximation  $\sigma \in \Pi_s$  to  $y$ , let us now introduce the truncated vectors

$$\mathcal{P}_s(c) := \begin{pmatrix} P_0(c) \\ \vdots \\ P_{s-1}(c) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I}_s(c) := \int_0^c \mathcal{P}_s(x) dx \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^c P_0(x) dx \\ \vdots \\ \int_0^c P_{s-1}(x) dx \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

in place of the corresponding infinite ones in (8). In so doing, we replace (16) with the continuous-stage RK method

$$\frac{c \mid \mathcal{I}_s(c)^\top \mathcal{P}_s(\tau)}{\mid 1} =: \frac{c \mid a_{c\tau}^{(s)}}{\mid 1}, \quad (19)$$

whose coefficients are now polynomials of degree  $s$ . Consequently, by setting now  $y_1 \equiv \sigma(h)$  the approximation to  $y(h)$ , one obtains:

$$\sigma(ch) = y_0 + h \int_0^1 a_{c\tau}^{(s)} f(\sigma(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1], \quad y_1 = y_0 + h \int_0^1 f(\sigma(ch)) dc. \quad (20)$$

The following straightforward result holds true.

**Theorem 1** *The continuous-stage RK method (19)-(20) coincides with the HBVM( $\infty, s$ ) method in [18].<sup>3</sup>*

Proof In fact, from (18), one has that (20) is equivalent to

$$\sigma(ch) = y_0 + h \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \int_0^c P_j(x) dx \int_0^1 P_j(\tau) f(\sigma(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1], \quad (21)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>In particular when  $s = 1$  one retrieves the AVF method in [34].



appearing in (21) by means of a Gauss-Legendre formula of order  $2k$ , one obtains a HBVM( $k, s$ ) method, which retains the order  $2s$  of the approximation defined by (21), for all  $k \geq s$ . In particular, when  $k = s$ , one obtains the  $s$ -stage Gauss-Legendre collocation method. As a result, the Butcher tableau of a HBVM( $k, s$ ) method turns out to be given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{c} \mid \mathcal{I}_s \mathcal{P}_s^\top \Omega}{\mathbf{b}^\top} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{c} \mid \mathcal{P}_{s+1} \hat{X}_s \mathcal{P}_s^\top \Omega}{\mathbf{b}^\top} =: \frac{\mathbf{c} \mid A = (a_{ij})}{\mathbf{b}^\top}, \quad (25)$$

with  $\hat{X}_s$  the matrix defined in (22),

$$\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)^\top, \quad \mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_k)^\top, \quad (26)$$

the vectors containing the weights and abscissae of the quadrature, respectively,<sup>5</sup>

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I}_s = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^{c_1} P_0(x) dx & \dots & \int_0^{c_1} P_{s-1}(x) dx \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \int_0^{c_k} P_0(x) dx & \dots & \int_0^{c_k} P_{s-1}(x) dx \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times s}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_r = \begin{pmatrix} P_0(c_1) & \dots & P_{r-1}(c_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ P_0(c_k) & \dots & P_{r-1}(c_k) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times r}, \quad r = s, s+1. \quad (28)$$

In particular, from (23) one obtains that the entries of matrix  $A$  in (25) are given by

$$a_{ij} = a_{c_i c_j}^{(s)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k.$$

### 3 Second order problems

Inspired by [38, 37] (see also [12]), we now consider the case of special second order problems, i.e., ODE-IVPs in the form (4). By setting  $p(t) \equiv \dot{q}(t)$ , one then obtains the following equivalent system of first order ODEs,

$$\dot{q}(t) = p(t), \quad \dot{p}(t) = f(q(t)), \quad t \in [0, h], \quad q(0) = q_0, \quad \dot{q}(0) = p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (29)$$

HBVMs have been considered for numerically solving this problem [19]. We can then consider the use of HBVM( $\infty, s$ ), too. To begin with, by applying same steps as above, one then obtains that (29) can be formally written as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}(ch) &= \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top \otimes I_m \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m p(\tau h) d\tau \right], \\ \dot{p}(ch) &= \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top \otimes I_m \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m f(q(\tau h)) d\tau \right], \quad c \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Any quadrature is in principle allowed, provided that it is enough accurate.

Simplifying the expressions, integrating side by side, and imposing the initial conditions, then gives

$$\begin{aligned} q(ch) &= q_0 + h \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m p(\tau h) d\tau, \\ p(ch) &= p_0 + h \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m f(q(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the second equation in the first one, and taking into account (11)-(12), then gives, setting  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots)^\top$  and considering that  $\mathcal{I}_\infty(c)e_1 = c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} q(ch) &= q_0 + h \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi) \otimes I_m p(\xi h) d\xi \\ &= q_0 + h \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi) \otimes I_m \left[ p_0 + h \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(\xi)^\top \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m f(q(\tau h)) d\tau \right] d\xi \\ &= q_0 + h \underbrace{\mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi) d\xi}_{=e_1} \otimes p_0 \\ &\quad + h^2 \underbrace{\mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi) \mathcal{I}_\infty(\xi)^\top d\xi}_{=X_\infty} \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m f(q(\tau h)) d\tau \\ &= q_0 + chp_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m f(q(\tau h)) d\tau \\ &= q_0 + chp_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty^2 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \otimes I_m f(q(\tau h)) d\tau \\ &=: q_0 + chp_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \bar{a}_{c\tau}^{(\infty)} \otimes I_m f(q(\tau h)) d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

where, by considering that (see (12))

$$X_\infty^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0^2 - \xi_1^2 & -\xi_0\xi_1 & \xi_1\xi_2 & & & \\ \xi_0\xi_1 & -\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 & 0 & \xi_2\xi_3 & & \\ \xi_1\xi_2 & 0 & -\xi_2^2 - \xi_3^2 & 0 & \ddots & \\ & \xi_2\xi_3 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

and taking into account (8), we have set :

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{c\tau}^{(\infty)} &= \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \equiv \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty^2 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \equiv \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\xi_1}{2} (P_1(c) - P_1(\tau)) + \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [(\xi_j^2 + \xi_{j+1}^2) P_j(c) P_j(\tau) - \xi_j \xi_{j+1} (P_{j-1}(c) P_{j+1}(\tau) + P_{j-1}(\tau) P_{j+1}(c))], \quad c, \tau \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Moreover, by setting  $q_1 \equiv q(h)$  and (see (29))  $\dot{q}_1 \equiv p(h)$ , one obtains

$$\dot{q}_1 = \dot{q}_0 + h \int_0^1 f(q(ch))dc,$$

and, by also considering that  $f(q(\tau h)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} P_j(\tau) \int_0^1 P_j(\xi) f(q(\xi h))d\xi$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= q_0 + h \int_0^1 p(ch)dc = q_0 + h \int_0^1 \left[ \dot{q}_0 + h \int_0^c \dot{p}(\tau h)d\tau \right] dc \\ &= q_0 + h\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^c f(q(\tau h))d\tau dc \\ &= q_0 + h\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^c \left[ \sum_{j \geq 0} P_j(\tau) \int_0^1 P_j(\xi) f(q(\xi h))d\xi \right] d\tau dc \\ &= q_0 + h\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \left[ \sum_{j \geq 0} P_j(\xi) \int_0^1 \int_0^c P_j(\tau) d\tau dc \right] f(q(\xi h))d\xi \\ &= q_0 + h\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \left[ \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi)^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)dc \right] f(q(\xi h))d\xi \\ &\equiv q_0 + h\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \bar{b}_\xi f(q(\xi h))d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by taking into account (11), one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{b}_\xi &:= \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi)^\top \int_0^1 \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)dc = \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi)^\top X_\infty^\top \int_0^1 P_\infty(c)dc \\ &= \mathcal{P}_\infty(\xi)^\top X_\infty^\top e_1 = \xi_0 - \xi_1 P_1(\xi) = 1 - \xi. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

In conclusion, we can summarize the above procedure as follows (see (31)):

$$\begin{aligned} q(ch) &= q_0 + ch\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \bar{a}_{c\tau}^{(\infty)} f(q(\tau h))d\tau, \quad c \in [0, 1], \\ q_1 &= q_0 + h\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 (1-c)f(q(ch))dc, \\ \dot{q}_1 &= \dot{q}_0 + h \int_0^1 f(q(ch))dc. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

In other words, we are speaking about the application of the following ‘‘continuous-stage Runge-Kutta-Nyström (RKN, hereafter) method’’ for solving problem (29), i.e., (4) :

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & \mathcal{I}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \\ \hline & 1-c \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \equiv \begin{array}{c|c} c & \mathcal{P}_\infty(c)^\top X_\infty^2 \mathcal{P}_\infty(\tau) \\ \hline & 1-c \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \equiv \begin{array}{c|c} c & \bar{a}_{c\tau}^{(\infty)} \\ \hline & 1-c \\ \hline & 1 \end{array}, \tag{34}$$

which provides the exact solution of the problem.

### 3.1 Polynomial approximation

As done for first order problems, also in this case we can consider a polynomial approximation  $\sigma \in \Pi_s$  to  $q$ . This is done by resorting to the same finite vectors and matrices defined in (18) and (22), resulting into the following continuous-stage RKN method:

$$\frac{c \mid \mathcal{I}_s(c)^\top X_s \mathcal{P}_s(\tau)}{\mid \frac{1-c}{1}} \equiv \frac{c \mid \mathcal{P}_{s+1}(c)^\top \hat{X}_s X_s \mathcal{P}_s(\tau)}{\mid \frac{1-c}{1}} \equiv \frac{c \mid \bar{a}_{c\tau}^{(s)}}{\mid \frac{1-c}{1}}, \quad (35)$$

which defines the application of the HBVM( $\infty, s$ ) method for solving (4). One has, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(ch) &= q_0 + ch\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 \bar{a}_{c\tau}^{(s)} f(\sigma(\tau h)) d\tau, & c \in [0, 1], \\ q_1 &= q_0 + h\dot{q}_0 + h^2 \int_0^1 (1-c) f(\sigma(ch)) dc, & (36) \\ \dot{q}_1 &= \dot{q}_0 + h \int_0^1 f(\sigma(ch)) dc. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known [12, 14] that  $q_1 - q(h) = \dot{q}_1 - \dot{q}(h) = O(h^{2s+1})$ .<sup>6</sup>

**Remark 2** We observe, however, that in order for (32) to hold, one must have  $s \geq 2$ . Conversely, one would obtain  $\bar{b}_\xi \equiv 1$ , in place of  $\bar{b}_\xi = 1 - \xi$ .

Moreover, considering that (compare with (30))

$$\hat{X}_s X_s = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0^2 - \xi_1^2 & -\xi_0 \xi_1 & \xi_1 \xi_2 & & & \\ \xi_0 \xi_1 & -\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 & 0 & \ddots & & \\ \xi_1 \xi_2 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \xi_{s-2} \xi_{s-1} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -\xi_{s-2}^2 - \xi_{s-1}^2 & 0 & \\ & & \xi_{s-2} \xi_{s-1} & 0 & -\xi_{s-1}^2 & \\ & & & \xi_{s-1} \xi_s & 0 & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(s+1) \times s}, \quad (37)$$

one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{c\tau}^{(s)} &= \mathcal{I}_s(c)^\top X_s \mathcal{P}_s(\tau) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{s+1}(c)^\top \hat{X}_s X_s \mathcal{P}_s(\tau) \equiv \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\xi_1}{2} (P_1(c) - P_1(\tau)) + \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{s-2} [(\xi_j^2 + \xi_{j+1}^2) P_j(c) P_j(\tau) - \xi_j \xi_{j+1} (P_{j-1}(c) P_{j+1}(\tau) + P_{j-1}(\tau) P_{j+1}(c))] + \\ &- \xi_{s-1}^2 P_{s-1}(c) P_{s-1}(\tau) - \xi_{s-1} \xi_s P_s(c) P_{s-1}(\tau), \quad c, \tau \in [0, 1], \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

in place of (31).

<sup>6</sup>Also in this case, one could derive the result through the simplifying assumptions for continuous-stage RKN methods [37].

### 3.1.1 Discretization

We conclude this section by recalling that, by approximating the integrals appearing in (36) by means of a Gauss-Legendre formula of order  $2k$ , one obtains a HBVM( $k, s$ ) method, which retains the order  $2s$  of the approximation defined by (36), for all  $k \geq s$ .<sup>7</sup> The Butcher tableau of this  $k$ -stage RKN method turns out to be given by:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{c} & \mathcal{I}_s X_s \mathcal{P}_s^\top \Omega \\ \hline & \mathbf{b}^\top \circ (1 - \mathbf{c}^\top) \\ \hline & \mathbf{b}^\top \end{array} \quad \equiv \quad \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{c} & \mathcal{P}_{s+1} \hat{X}_s X_s \mathcal{P}_s^\top \Omega \\ \hline & \mathbf{b}^\top \circ (1 - \mathbf{c}^\top) \\ \hline & \mathbf{b}^\top \end{array} \quad =: \quad \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{c} & \bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{ij}) \\ \hline & \mathbf{b}^\top \circ (1 - \mathbf{c}^\top) \\ \hline & \mathbf{b}^\top \end{array}, \quad (39)$$

with  $\circ$  the Hadamard (i.e., componentwise) product, and the same matrices and vectors defined in (22) and (26)–(28). As in the case of first order problems, one has that the entries of the Butcher matrix  $\bar{A}$  in (39) are given by (see (38))

$$\bar{a}_{ij} = \bar{a}_{c_i c_j}^{(s)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k,$$

for all  $k \geq s$  and  $s \geq 2$ .

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the formulation of the class of energy-conserving methods named *Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs)* as continuous-stage RK methods. When applied for solving special second-order problems, such methods also provide a class of continuous-stage RKN methods, whose derivation has been provided in full details. The formulation of HBVMs as continuous-stage RK/RKN methods, in turn, is interesting by itself, even though the efficient implementation and analysis of the methods is better addressed, in our opinion, in their original formulation (see, e.g., the monograph [12] or the review paper [14].)

## References

- [1] P. Amodio, L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. Energy-conserving methods for Hamiltonian Boundary Value Problems and applications in astrodynamics. *Adv. Comput. Math.* **41** (2015) 881–905.
- [2] P. Amodio, L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. Analysis of Spectral Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (SHBVMs) for the numerical solution of ODE problems. *Numer. Algorithms* (2019) 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-019-00733-7>
- [3] L. Barletti, L. Brugnano, G. Frasca Caccia, F. Iavernaro. Energy-conserving methods for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **318** (2018) 3–18.
- [4] L. Brugnano, M. Calvo, J.I. Montijano, L. Rández. Energy preserving methods for Poisson systems. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **236** (2012) 3890–3904.
- [5] L. Brugnano, G. Frasca Caccia, F. Iavernaro. Efficient implementation of Gauss collocation and Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods. *Numer. Algorithms* **65** (2014) 633–650.

---

<sup>7</sup>In particular, when  $k = s$ , one obtains the RKN method induced by the  $s$ -stage Gauss collocation method,  $s \geq 2$ .

- [6] L. Brugnano, G. Frasca Caccia, F. Iavernaro. Line Integral Solution of Hamiltonian PDEs. *Mathematics* **7**(3) (2019) article n. 275. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math7030275>
- [7] L. Brugnano, G. Frasca Caccia, F. Iavernaro. Energy conservation issues in the numerical solution of the semilinear wave equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **270** (2015) 842–870.
- [8] L. Brugnano, G. Gurioli, F. Iavernaro. Analysis of Energy and QUadratic Invariant Preserving (EQUIP) methods. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **335** (2018) 51–73.
- [9] L. Brugnano, G. Gurioli, F. Iavernaro, E. Weinmüller. Line Integral Solution of Hamiltonian Systems with Holonomic Constraints. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **127** (2018) 56–77.
- [10] L. Brugnano, G. Gurioli, Y. Sun. Energy-conserving Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods for the numerical solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **351** (2019) 117–135.
- [11] L. Brugnano, G. Gurioli, C. Zhang. Spectrally accurate energy-preserving methods for the numerical solution of the “Good” Boussinesq equation. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Eq.* **35**, No. 4 (2019) 1343–1362.
- [12] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. *Line Integral Methods for Conservative Problems*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [13] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. Line Integral Methods which preserve all invariants of conservative problems. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **236** (2012) 3905–3919.
- [14] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro. Line Integral Solution of Differential Problems. *Axioms* **7**(2) (2018) article n. 36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/axioms7020036>
- [15] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, J.I. Montijano, L. Rández. Spectrally accurate space-time solution of Hamiltonian PDEs. (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-018-0586-z>
- [16] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, T. Susca. Numerical comparisons between Gauss-Legendre methods and Hamiltonian BVMs defined over Gauss points. *Monogr. Real Acad. Cienc. Zaragoza* **33** (2010) 95–112.
- [17] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Hamiltonian BVMs (HBVMs): A family of “drift-free” methods for integrating polynomial Hamiltonian systems. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1168** (2009) 715–718.
- [18] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (Energy Preserving Discrete Line Integral Methods). *JNAIAM J. Numer. Anal. Ind. Appl. Math.* **5**, 1-2 (2010) 17–37.
- [19] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. A note on the efficient implementation of Hamiltonian BVMs. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **236** (2011) 375–383.
- [20] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. A simple framework for the derivation and analysis of effective one-step methods for ODEs. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2012) 8475–8485.
- [21] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. The lack of continuity and the role of infinite and infinitesimal in numerical methods for ODEs: the case of symplecticity. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218** (2012) 8053–8063.
- [22] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. A two-step, fourth-order method with energy preserving properties. *Computer Phys. Commun.* **183** (2012) 1860–1868.

- [23] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Energy and QUadratic Invariants Preserving integrators based upon Gauss collocation formulae. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **50**, No. 6 (2012) 2897–2916.
- [24] L. Brugnano, F. Iavernaro, D. Trigiante. Analysis of Hamiltonian Boundary Value Methods (HBVMs): A class of energy-preserving Runge-Kutta methods for the numerical solution of polynomial Hamiltonian systems. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **20** (2015) 650–667.
- [25] L. Brugnano, J.I. Montijano, L. Rández. On the effectiveness of spectral methods for the numerical solution of multi-frequency highly-oscillatory Hamiltonian problems. *Numer. Algorithms* **81** (2019) 345–376. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11075-018-0552-9>
- [26] L. Brugnano, Y. Sun. Multiple invariants conserving Runge-Kutta type methods for Hamiltonian problems. *Numer. Algorithms* **65** (2014) 611–632.
- [27] L. Brugnano, C. Zhang, D. Li. A class of energy-conserving Hamiltonian boundary value methods for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with wave operator. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* **60** (2018) 33–49.
- [28] K. Burrage, P. Burrage. Low rank Runge-Kutta methods, symplecticity and stochastic Hamiltonian problems with additive noise. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **236** (2012) 3920–3930.
- [29] J.C. Butcher. *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations, 2nd ed.* Wiley, Chichester, England, 2008.
- [30] E. Hairer. Energy-preserving variant of collocation methods. *JNAIAM J. Numer. Anal. Ind. Appl. Math.* **5**, 1-2 (2010) 73–84.
- [31] E. Hairer, G. Wanner. *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II, 2nd revised edition.* Springer, Heidelberg, 2002.
- [32] J. Li, X. Wu. Energy-preserving continuous stage extended Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods for oscillatory Hamiltonian systems. *Appl. Numer. Math.* (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnum.2019.05.009>
- [33] Y. Miyatake, J.C. Butcher. A characterization of energy preserving methods and the construction of parallel integrators for Hamiltonian systems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **54**, No. 3 (2016) 1993–2013.
- [34] G.R.W. Quispel, D.I. McLaren. A new class of energy-preserving numerical integration methods. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* **41** (2008) 045206.
- [35] W. Tang, Y. Sun. Time finite element methods: A unified framework for numerical discretizations of ODEs. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219** (2012) 2158–2179.
- [36] W. Tang, Y. Sun. Construction of Runge–Kutta type methods for solving ordinary differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **234** (2014) 179–191.
- [37] W. Tang, Y. Sun, J. Zhang. High order symplectic integrators based on continuous-stage Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **361** (2019) 670–679.
- [38] W. Tang, J. Zhang. Symplecticity-preserving continuous stage Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **323** (2018) 204–219.