

LIVENARCH VI

livable environments & architecture



proceedings volume II

6th International Congress

September 25-28 2019 Trabzon TURKEY



KARADENİZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Architecture Department of Architecture

Edited by

Nilgün Kuloğlu

Asu Beşgen



LIVENARCH VI

LIVable ENvironments & ARCHitecture

6th international congress

september 25-28 / 2019

trabzon - Turkey

karadeniz technical university

faculty of architecture

department of architecture

REPLACING ARCHITECTURE



LIVENARCH VI

LIVable ENvironments & ARCHitecture

6th international congress

september 2528 / 2019, trabzon - Turkey

karadeniz technical university, faculty of architecture, department of architecture

REPLACING ARCHITECTURE

Chair: Ahmet Melih ÖKSÜZ

Editors: Nilgün KULOĞLU & Asu BEŞGEN

Teams:*

Organizers: Özlem AYDIN, Asu BEŞGEN, Sonay ÇEVİK, Nihan ENGİN, Nilgün KULOĞLU, Ayşegül ÖZYAVUZ, Reyhan MİDİLLİ SARI, Derya ELMALI ŞEN, Ayça ARAZ USTAÖMEROĞLU, Nilhan VURAL

Keynoters: Dan TEODOROVICI, Pelin TAN, Heidi SVENNINGSSEN KAJITA, Murat TABANLIOĞLU, Mehmet KÜTÜKÇÜOĞLU, Kerem PİKER

Scientific Committee: Aysu AKALIN, Emine Nilüfer AKINCITÜRK, Burak ASILISKENDER, Sofia ALEXIO, Havva ALKAN BALA, Kathryn L. BEDETTE, Gonca BÜYÜKMIHÇI, Özge CORDAN, Nilay COŞGUN, Pelin DURSUN ÇEBİ, Altay ÇOLAK, Ebru ÇUBUKÇU, Sevinç ERTÜRK, Demet İRKLİ ERYILDIZ, Ayşe Nilay EVCİL, Helene FRICHOT, Catharina GABRIELSSON, Şebnem HOŞKARA, Pınar DİNÇ KALAYCI, Sait Ali KÖKNAR, Manfredo MANFREDINI, Lale ÖZGENEL, Kamuran ÖZTEKİN, Christina PECH, İakovos POTAMIANOS, Gökçeçek SAVAŞIR, Meike SCHALK, Levent ŞENTÜRK, Marc Aurel SCHNABEL, Ayşin SEV, Zihni TURKAN, Zeynep ULUDAĞ, Sibel URAL, Ayhan USTA, Asuman TÜRKÜN, Semiha YILMAZER, Kestutis ZALECKIS

Sub-Teams:

Theme Research Team: Özlem AYDIN, Asu BEŞGEN, Sonay ÇEVİK, Nihan ENGİN, Nilgün KULOĞLU, Ayşegül ÖZYAVUZ, Reyhan MİDİLLİ SARI, Derya ELMALI ŞEN, Ayça ARAZ USTAÖMEROĞLU, Nilhan VURAL

Scientific Committee Research Team: Özlem AYDIN, Asu BEŞGEN, Sonay ÇEVİK, Nihan ENGİN, Nilgün KULOĞLU, Ayşegül ÖZYAVUZ, Reyhan MİDİLLİ SARI, Derya ELMALI ŞEN, Ayça ARAZ USTAÖMEROĞLU, Nilhan VURAL

Keynote Speakers Research Team: Özlem AYDIN, Asu BEŞGEN, Sonay ÇEVİK, Nihan ENGİN, Nilgün KULOĞLU, Ayşegül ÖZYAVUZ, Reyhan MİDİLLİ SARI, Derya ELMALI ŞEN, Ayça ARAZ USTAÖMEROĞLU, Nilhan VURAL

Communication and MediaTeam: Özlem AYDIN, Deniz BAYRAK, Nilgün KULOĞLU, Gizem SEYMEN, Reyhan MİDİLLİ SARI, Derya ELMALI ŞEN, Gürkan TOPALOĞLU, Melis YAZICI

Transportation & Catering Team: Çağlar AYDIN, Asu BEŞGEN, Elif ÖZTÜRK, Ayça ARAZ USTAÖMEROĞLU

Registration and Technical Team: Barış ÇAĞLAR, Sena Göknur KOÇ, Mehmet Ali OTYAKMAZ, Tayfur Emre ÖZDEMİR, Ceyda YURTTAŞ ŞAHİN, Merve TUTKUN, Aybike TÜRK, Ayça ARAZ USTAÖMEROĞLU, Ece SEYHAN VAROL

Finance and Sponsor Research Team: Gizem ALTUNKAYA, Güray Yusuf BAŞ, Nihan ENGİN, Ahmet Melih ÖKSÜZ, Ayşegül ÖZYAVUZ, Nilhan VURAL, Büşra TOPDAĞ YAZICI, Semih YILMAZ

Graphic and Cover Design: Cansu BEŞGEN

Print: KTU Printing Center, Trabzon/Turkey

* in alphabetical order

ISBN 978-605-2271-17-9 (1.c)

ISBN Tk 978-605-2271-16-2 (Tk)

LivenARCH VI Congress is supported by TUBITAK within the scope of 2223-B National Scientific Event Organization Program (at 28.06.2019 Meeting no. 27) and Karadeniz Technical University, Scientific Event Organization Support Project BAP12.

© All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying and recording or otherwise without the prior permission of the editors.



CONGRESS CHAIR

Ahmet Melih ÖKSÜZ

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



ORGANIZING COMMITTEE *

Özlem AYDIN

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Asu BEŞGEN

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Sonay ÇEVİK

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Nihan ENGİN

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Nilgün KULOĞLU

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Ayşegül ÖZYAVUZ

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Reyhan MİDİLLİ SARI

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Derya ELMALI ŞEN

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Ayça ARAZ USTAÖMEROĞLU

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey



Nilhan VURAL

Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey

* in alphabetical order



KEYNOTE SPEAKERS*



Dan TEODOROVICI

“Replacing Architecture: Marginal Thoughts on Architecture as Cosa Mentale”

University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany



Pelin TAN

“Threshold Architecture: The Unconditional Hospitality”

Art & Film School, Kabelvag, Norway



Heidi SVENNINGSSEN KAJITA

“Architectural Care: Norms of Welfare and the Mess of Life in Scandinavian Mass-Housing”

KTH School of Architecture, Stockholm, Sweden



Murat TABANLIOĞLU

“Memory in Architecture / Places of Memory”

Founding Partner at Tabanlıoğlu Architects, İstanbul, Turkey



Mehmet KÜTÜKÇÜOĞLU

“Darzanà, Border Violations and Hybridity”

Partner of Teget Architecture, İstanbul, Turkey



Kerem PİKER

“Thoughts, State of Mind and Atmosphere”

KPM-Kerem Piker Architecture, İstanbul, Turkey



* in alphabetical order



SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE*

Aysu AKALIN, *Gazi University, Turkey*
Emine Nilüfer AKINCITÜRK, *Uludağ University, Turkey*
Burak ASILISKENDER, *Abdullah Gül University, Turkey*
Sofia ALEXIO, *Universidade de Évora, Portugal*
Havva ALKAN BALA, *Selçuk University, Turkey*
Kathryn L. BEDETTE, *Kennesaw State University, USA*
Gonca BÜYÜKMIHÇI, *Erciyes University, Turkey*
Özge CORDAN, *Istanbul Technical University, Turkey*
Nilay COŞGUN, *Gebze Technical University, Turkey*
Pelin DURSUN Çebi, *Istanbul Technical University, Turkey*
Altay ÇOLAK, *Çukurova University, Turkey*
Ebru ÇUBUKÇU, *Dokuz Eylül University, Turkey*
Sevinç ERTÜRK, *Kültür University, Turkey*
Demet IRKLI ERYILDIZ, *Istanbul Okan University, Turkey*
Ayşe Nilay EVCİL, *Beykent University, Turkey*
Helene FRICHOT, *KTH Royal Institute of Tecnology, Sweden*
Catharina GABRIELSSON, *KTH Royal Institute of Tecnology, Sweden*
Şebnem HOŞKARA, *Eastern Mediterranean University, North Cyprus*
Pınar DINÇ KALAYCI, *Gazi University, Turkey*
Sait Ali KÖKNAR, *Kadir Has University, Turkey*
Manfredo MANFREDINI, *The University of Auckland, New Zeland*
Lale ÖZGENEL, *Middle East Technical University, Turkey*
Kamuran ÖZTEKİN, *Doğuş University, Turkey*
Christina PECH, *KTH Royal Institute of Tecnology, Sweden*
Iakovos POTAMIANOS, *Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece*
Gökçeçiçek SAVAŞIR, *Dokuz Eylül University, Turkey*
Meike SCHALK, *KTH Royal Institute of Tecnology, Sweden*
Levent ŞENTÜRK, *Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Turkey*
Marc Aurel SCHNABEL, *Victoria University of Wellington, New Zeland*
Ayşin SEV, *Mimar Sinan Fine Arts University, Turkey*
Zihni TURKAN, *Near East University, North Cyprus*
Zeynep ULUDAĞ, *Gazi University, Turkey*
Sibel URAL, *Bilkent University, Turkey*
Ayhan USTA, *Kültür University, Turkey*
Asuman TÜRKÜN, *Yıldız Technical University, Turkey*
Semiha YILMAZER, *Bilkent University, Turkey*
Kestutis ZALECKIS, *Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania*

* in alphabetical order

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Ahmet Melih ÖKSÜZ, Chair XVI-XVIII

VOLUME I

THEORY ■ HISTORY ■ DISCOURSE
IDENTITY ■ CULTURE ■ TRADITION
CONSERVATION ■ TRANSFORMATION ■ RE-USE

PART 1

THEORY ■ HISTORY ■ DISCOURSE

DISPLACEMENT OF MODERN ARCHITECTURE:

BAUHAUS IN EARLY REPUBLICAN TURKIYE

Aysun AYDIN ÖKSÜZ, Bahar KÜÇÜK KARAKAŞ, Gizem SEYMEN

AN ARCHITECT ON THE MOVE-AN ARCHITECTURE ON THE STAGE:

RE-READING BRUNO TAUT

Damla KALMUK, Eda AKTÜRK, Şeyma DUMAN, Asu BEŞGEN

DISCUSSION OF "PLACELESSNESS" AND "ACONTEXTUAL" CONCEPTS THROUGH JEAN BAUDRILLARD'S SIMULATION THEORY

Melih KAMAOĞLU

REPLACING ARCHITECTURE OVER REMAKE CONCEPT:

SIMULACRA SPACES AS DESIGN REALITY AND REPRESENTATION

Semiha ISMAILOĞLU, Asu BEŞGEN

REPLACING ARCHITECTURE OVER HEIDEGGERIAN PHILISOPHY:

REDEFINITION SANCAKLAR MOSQUE OVER AROLAT ARCHITECTURE

Şeyma DUMAN, Asu BEŞGEN

EVOLUTION OF MOSQUE ARCHITECTURE IN UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Zahraa ALKHALED, İlker Fatih ÖZORHON

RE-SHAPING SPACES:

HOW THE CONCEPT OF MOBILITY TRANSFORMS ARCHITECTURE

Tuba SARI

THE DISPLACEMENT OF MILITARY KNOWLEDGE:

19th CENTURY OTTOMAN BARRACK BUILDINGS

Aysun AYDIN ÖKSÜZ, Büşra TOPDAĞI YAZICI

REPLACEMENT OF SHOPPING CULTURE SPACES: PERA PASSAGES

Aysun AYDIN ÖKSÜZ, Bahar KÜÇÜK KARAKAŞ, Mehmet Atalay YILMAZ

REPLACING ARCHITECTURE BY CONSUMING TOURISM INDUSTRY

Betül SEZGIN, Sema TOPALOĞLU, Ali ASASOĞLU, Nilgün KULOĞLU

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PLACE AND PLACELESSNESS IN THE

UTOPIC/DYSTOPIC ARCHITECTURE

Serbülent VURAL, Barış ÇAĞLAR

EXHIBITING BELONGING: OSLO ARCHITECTURE TRIENNALE 2016

Şölen KÖSEOĞLU

THE EXPERIENCE OF RAILROAD JOURNEY:
APPROPRIATION OF THE CITY AND LANDSCAPE
DURING THE RAILROAD TRAVEL IN THE LATE OTTOMAN EMPIRE
Ahmet Erdem TOZOĞLU

RAILROAD AND MODERNITY IN THE CITYSCAPE:
DEVELOPMENT OF A RAILROAD NEIGHBORHOOD IN EDİRNE
AND THE EMERGENCE OF NEW SOCIAL PRACTICES
Ahmet Erdem TOZOĞLU

SEARCHING FOR THE POSSIBILITIES OF TRANSFORMATION
IN OPEN PUBLIC SPACE NETWORK FROM CARTESIAN TO DYNAMIC SYSTEM :
LOOKING AT TRIPOLI AS A SMART CITY
Islah BENARAFI, Bahar BAŞER KALYONCUOĞLU

PART 2 IDENTITY ■ CULTURE ■ TRADITION

FAÇADE FEATURES OF THE STRUCTURES OF RUSSIAN PERIOD
(1878-1918) IN ARTVIN PROVINCE
Hande Nur GÜLEÇOĞLU, İlkay ÖZDEMİR

DISCUSSION OF NON-PLACE AND PLACELESSNESS CONCEPTS IN
TOKI HOUSINGS: CASE OF BURSA DOĞANBEY URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT
İkbal BERK

RELATION BETWEEN PLACE-PLACELESSNESS AND NOMADISM
Serife Gül KARADALLI, Yonca YILMAZ

URBAN IDENTITY AND MEMORY PROBLEMS: A CASE STUDY OF SAMSUN
Merve YAZICI, Ayşen ÇELEN ÖZTÜRK

URBAN IDENTITY AND MEMORY PROBLEMS: A CASE STUDY OF KONYA
İrem YAŞAR, Ayşen ÇELEN ÖZTÜRK

THE ROLE OF MEMORY AS A BENEFIT OF PLACE ATTACHMENT
ON REFUGEES' ATTACHMENT TO PLACE:
CASE STUDY ON TURKISH CYPRIOT REFUGEES
IN REFUGEE VILLAGE, NICOSIA, NORTH CYPRUS
Burcu M. ESENTEPE, Kağan GÜNÇE

CONFLICTS OF CHANGE IN THE CITIES:
PLACE ATTACHMENT AND URBAN MEMORY IN BORNOVA, İZMİR
İpek ÖZBEK SÖNMEZ, Tülin SELVİ ÜNLÜ

THE PAST'S MAGNIFICENT,
BUT TODAY'S DERELICT BUILDINGS AND URBAN SUSTAINABILITY:
KARABÜK YENİŞEHİR CINEMA
Beril BAL, Ümran SOFUOĞLU, Şengül YALÇINKAYA

THE DISPLACING OF CULTURAL KNOWLEDGE IN BETWEEN GEOGRAPHIES :
OTTOMAN THEATRE BUILDINGS IN 19th CENTURY
Aysun AYDIN ÖKSÜZ, Sema TOPALOĞLU

THE STORY OF EXISTENCE IN THE SHADOW OF DESTRUCTION
HÜSEYİN AVNİ AKER STADIUM
Ümran SOFUOĞLU, Beril BAL, Şengül YALÇINKAYA

PLACE ATTACHMENT IN ARCHITECTURE: OLD AND NEW YUSUFELI
Gizem UYGUN ALTUNKAYA, Nihan ENGIN

THE IMPACT OF HARMONIOUS RECONSTRUCTION WITH THE URBAN
ENVIRONMENT IN ENHANCING THE BELONGING AND ARCHITECTURAL
IDENTITY OF DAMAGED BUILDINGS BY WARS AND DISASTER
Ayhan KARADAYI, Shafik SHAMMA

PART 3

CONSERVATION ■ TRANSFORMATION ■ RE-USE

REFUNCTIONALIZATION OF HISTORIC BUILDINGS:
OLD SELAMET HOTEL IN TRABZON
Cansu Niyet HAYAL, Funda KURAK AÇICI

THE SCRUTINISE OF TRADITIONAL RURAL ARCHITECTURE
IN CONTEXT OF BELONGING AND TRANSFORMATION:
TIRE-EĞRIDERE VILLAGE
Sevim ERDOĞDU, Müjgan BAHTIYAR KARATOSUN

ADAPTABLE EDUCATION BUILDINGS FOR ALTERNATIVE INSTRUCTION
APPROACHES: REVIEW AND SUGGESTIONS
Hatice OZLER, Basak GUCYETER

READING THE MUSEUM AS TIMELESS AND PLACELESS SPACE:
THE ADANA NATIONAL TEXTILE FACTORY
Asiye Seray ÇAĞLAYAN, Pelin GÜROL ÖNGÖREN

ADAPTIVE REUSE IN INTERIOR ARCHITECTURE:
A CONCEPTUAL AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK
Özge CORDAN

KALE TAVAS AS A DEPARTURE LOCATION OF A REPLACED TOWN
Ayşen ETLACAKUŞ, Mine HAMAMCIOĞLU TURAN

AN EXAMINATION OF GÖLCÜK SARAYLI VILLAGE'S CHANGE-
TRANSFORMATION PROCESS
Elmas UZUNER, Ayşe Tuba KESKİL, Nilüfer AKINCITÜRK

LESSONS LEARNED FROM POST-WAR CITIES
FOR THE RE-CONSTRUCTION OF CULTURAL HERITAGE IN NINEVEH, IRAQ
Hussein ALFARHANI, Bahar BAŞER KALYONCUOĞLU

THE INVESTIGATION OF THE PERIODICAL CHANGES
IN THE CITY CENTER OF TOKAT BY HISTORICAL MONUMENTAL BUILDINGS
Hatice BIYIK, Nihan Engin

A STRUCTURE RELOCATION: THE RIZE ORTA (MIDDLE) MOSQUE
Özge ISLAMOĞLU, Aysin ARAS

CONVERSION OF MEMORY IN ARCHITECTURE AND CULTURE OF
ISTIKLAL STREET BETWEEN 2005-2019
Aliye Rahşan KARABETÇA, Sedef SAV

THE ARCHITECTURE OF MOVEMENT:
TRANSFORMABLE STRUCTURES AND SPACES
Feray MADEN



TRANSFORMATION OF COLLECTIVE MEMORY IN THE CASE OF
MERSIN TEVFIK SIRRI GÜR STADIUM
Elvan Elif ÖZDEMİR, Meryem SAKMAN

TRANSFORMING PUBLIC SPACES THROUGH URBAN ACUPUNCTURE
Bahareh JOOSHANI, Sibel POLAT

STREET AS A SPACE OF URBAN MEMORY:
A CASE STUDY OF URAY STREET IN MERSIN
Elvan Elif ÖZDEMİR

INDUSTRIAL HERITAGE AND DIGITAL ERA INTERVENTION STRATEGIES: KEEPING THE MEMORY IN THE MIDDLE OF TRANSFORMATIONS

Pelin ARSLAN¹, Giorgio VERDIANI²

¹ *Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands*

² *Università degli Studi di Firenze, Italia*

ABSTRACT

Nowadays the urban tissue often presents mixed architectures, abandoned parts from a recent past, mostly former industrial buildings, near new saturation and developments. The city growth has included them after they lost their use, they have still a strong appeal on the collective imagination. Recovering them is not necessarily a demolition and reconstruction operation while such an intervention is at risk about causing the loss of important urban aspects and characterizations. The historical, or even “popular” beliefs about them can be something to implement and/or enhance the quality of urban areas, increasing the chances of a real reintegration into productive reuse. In this complex logic of interventions, the contemporary, “digital layer” may play a strategic role. In the use of this very contemporary solution, both industrial and archaeological heritage are interesting subjects, with very different declinations. From one side there is the need of mixing old peculiar aspects with new functions, with risk about missing the chance in being fully efficient in communication. On the other there is the new, almost intangible, possibility to overlay reality with onsite or online elements, creating “new realities” where anyone should find fascinating elements coming from usual places and/or discovering new information, learning about the value of a place. To better identify strategies and proposals three “operative clusters” will be defined to group the functions and tools for the architect/built heritage expert to operate. A set of case studies, selected while consistent, thus not “compromised by an excess of popularity”, will help to put in evidence aspects that can be useful contributions in defining intervention choices: the Montemartini Museum in Rome; industrial buildings from the Beyoğlu neighborhood in Istanbul; London King’s Cross intervention, the LocHal Library, in Tilburg, Netherlands, the industrial heritage forgiveness in Tirana, Albania.

Key Words: Built Heritage; Industrial Architecture; Industrial Archaeology; Transformation; Digital Layer.

INTRODUCTION

“An immense industrial network cannot be managed in the same way that one changes a tire... It expresses a circuit of cosmic energy on which it depends, which it cannot limit, and whose laws it cannot ignore without consequences”,

Georges Bataille [4].

The evolution of the urban settlements should be seen by analogy with a complex network of rivers and torrents: there are some main streams and many minor waterlines, hidden waters and apparently desiccated channels. Which thing, as it is for a real system of waters, does not mean that the real transformations or the issues will come only from the main flux. And as it is in nature, on the long run, it is not possible to fight and win against time and physical rules, in the same way the urban evolution cannot escape from economic and social features connected to its development reasons. In both cases, accompanying the flows, exploiting the rules, guiding the transformation reducing to a minimal the use of walls or dams can be a better strategy than simply trying to contrast the events. At the base of such a logic of intervention there is the understanding of a very articulated system, where is still possible to separate single sub-parts, finding their reasons and solutions, which thing may result useful until it is possible not to lose the reference to the global asset.

In many cities of our time, the urban tissue often presents a mix of architectures, abandoned parts from a recent past, mostly former industrial buildings stand close to new, sometimes untidy constructions. Most of the time the industrial heritage is reached by new expansions of the neighborhoods or by saturations of previously partially built lots. The city growth has included these buildings after they lost their use, losing with it their need of isolation from common urban living [1, 2]. Now they do not pollute the air anymore, they do not produce noises, dusts, smokes, they do not need heavy trucks and other vehicles moving in and out their lots, nor need large depot areas around the main productive buildings. Thus, the main core of this industrial past still has a strong appeal on the collective imagination, influencing the place from the toponymy name to the urban asset of the following settlements.

The intervention on these areas is often called “industrial archaeology”, creating a “controversial” parallel with traditional archaeology [17, 21] or being intended as included in the “urban regeneration” processes [18]. This variation is applied, most of the time accordingly to the nature more or less inclined to “musealization” of the spaces. In the interventions, many characteristics of the process are undoubtedly a common ground between the two “archaeologies”, with a strong difference in the approaches and in the freedom about altering the consistency of the original building/remains. Thus, it is possible to assume that many solutions adopted in designing the new relationship between traditional archaeologies and the contemporary urban development should be mediated in industrial archaeologies interventions. Some countries, especially

from the European area, have developed a specific inclination towards the re-use/regeneration of industrial spaces, between them, United Kingdom had in the last years an eminent rule [8], bringing some experiences to be considered of reference and capable of interesting replication. The interventions in Germany follow immediately, with less networking solutions, but bringing on high quality and well-structured restorations/reuses [5].

For both industrial and traditional archaeologies, information technology should give a series of great tools in investigating, understanding, promoting, disseminating and, in the end, enhancing the possibility in revitalization of such areas. On the base of the ongoing “digital revolution” what it is possible to call the “digital layer” is more and more a part and a robust set of instruments in the design strategies. All the operators and users of the urban environment are now a days involved, directly or indirectly, in the “digital layer”, a virtual, online or onsite, system of digital tools that extends the comprehension, simplifies the access and, in the end influence the perception of the spaces. Each time users or visitors use their personal devices to navigate to a place, ask information about where they are, scan a QR code, or even when they photograph or write a note about a place and post it to social networks, they contribute to the construction of this layer. It exists and makes sense in the measure it is used or exploited, otherwise it is merely intangible. But even in this specific condition, in our times, it is a robust presence and may influence the way people access a place and the way they interpret its values. More than any other things, the Digital Layer is a design “subject” strongly influencing the project of places, giving new options to define choices, it allows to expand the perception of architecture and the way it can be communicated to visitors and users. The digital layer starts from a first phase, the one of the system of tools that allow the gathering of information and then define the design proposal where the operators create and work on a “digital twin” [27] of the real place; then, it evolves in digital solutions allowing to get onsite specific information about what is in front of the users/visitors, later it is integrated by online digital system that in sparse or coherent and connected ways define the amount of information available in place (and from anywhere) about the subject. This sequence contributes to the definition of the Digital Layer that overlay the traditional reality, influencing people and asking for specific strategies. According to the concept of “Cyberspace”, defined by William Gibson, the Cyberspace “Actually it’s the place where any telephone call takes place and we take that very much for granted” [12], a quarter of century after this definition, the possibility of abstraction and overlaying of the digital on the real is extremely diffused and it looks like something just at the beginning in the way it will change the perception and use of museums, infrastructures, entire urban areas.

The use and design of the digital layer can be considered as something that may contribute efficiently on both the two archaeologies above mentioned, because its potentiality in guiding, teaching and telling about the past aspects, features and stories coming from a former industrial, former abandoned, architectural space.

Antoine Picon in his book, “Smart Cities, A Spatialized Intelligence”, mentions that digital technologies have recovered the importance of space by including geolocation and physical reality through the ability of knowing the position of different movable objects and by enhancing the three dimensionalities of the space. According to Picon, they did not cause a tangible physical change in the past, but it is obvious that the digital improvements will bring soon to morphological transformations. The term “spatial turn” which was defined by the geographer and urban planner Edward Soja, when applied in the field of digital transformation, may correspond to electronic interfaces, emergence of wireless connections, computation and their improvements [24].

The parallel between traditional and industrial archaeology and their (possible) integration with a “digital layer” as active part of the design process, can be spotted taking in consideration two “opposite” cases, where the common rules seem approached in original ways while the use of the “digital layer” appears at the antipodes. The Montemartini Museum in Rome is a rare case, where the industrial building now hosts archaeological remains coming from the Capitoline Museums in Rome, with a minimal (if none) exploitation of digital opportunities. The Montemartini’s Museum in Rome, former a Power Plant [9], at first was setup to host a temporary exhibition and later permanently changed into a museum [3]. It presents a new asset mixing old peculiar aspects with new functions, where very traditional solutions may be enough to create a fascinating environment [29], characterized by traditional solutions in the access, with an almost complete absence of the digital layer (if not for the basic website and the modest dissemination produced by the pictures taken by visitors in the social networks) and so at risk about missing the chance in being fully efficient in its teaching/learning aims and in communication.



Figure 1. Interiors of the Montemartini Museum in Rome, July 2018.

On the opposite, the London Mithraeum, a valuable remain from the ancient London. This is clearly not an industrial archaeology, but it cannot be considered a “fully” traditional one, while the ruins were moved from their original location for unstoppable construction needs and then rebuilt in a new location [15]. It is characterized by a very robust digital layer integration, maybe one of the strongest around, with no similar equivalent about technological development in Industrial archaeology interventions.



Figure 2. Inside the London Mithraeum, February 2019.

This important digital effort was probably applied to compensate somehow the “suffering” from the fact of being a “migrant” archaeology and is fully a part of the exhibition design. This very specific museum completely exploits the new, almost intangible, possibility to overlay reality with onsite and online elements and/or exhibitions. In example, it presents the ruins moved from their original location in a new, attracting architectural and “digital” packaging. This kind of intervention needs a multi-disciplinary approach, moving go and back from the architecture, to the informatics, to the communication, to the pedagogy, to the archaeology. The lesson that is possible to learn from this apparently weird parallel between the Mithraeum and the Montemartini is that the traditional archaeology, with a longer past behind and with subjects of strong appeal, finds easier the access to digital layer integration, especially when the gap between the present conditions and the possibility from the visitors to have a proper abstraction about the place is very large. Specialization and innovative exhibition solutions seem to be the proper way for our time. Massive exhibition, serialization, modest teaching apparatus, lack of communication seem to afflict the possibility of the interventions to fulfill their aims. The digital layer may become the most attractive element of the exhibition, even if, apparently, it remains in the second line, justified by the need of “explaining” and giving the right evidence to the remains. The Industrial archaeology may benefit from the development of this approach, getting similar solutions and inheriting a possible processing to make easier the access and the attraction of visitors. Most of all, if the strength of digital innovation can give a new value to a heavily altered/moved around ruin like the London Mithraeum, it is possible to imagine that similar and even more articulated approaches can be the right solution in regenerating urban archaeology places, helping the preservation of the memory for this complex heritage. In its suffered story, the London Mithraeum, from the context of the “traditional archaeology”, seems to positively indicate a way to digitally-led interventions that may be efficiently declined in the interventions on industrial archaeologies. While the “static” and very traditional solutions of the Montemartini, seem to afflict the potentiality of the place, no matter the richness, quality and spectacularism of the exhibited items and industrial archaeology elements and spaces.

An Architecture Challenge in the Digital Era

An industrial architecture may apparently disappear from the perception of the environment, just to come back in the occasion of its reuse with some new function, bringing back to the eyesight the surprise of incredible, unexpected spaces. In this phase of transformation, the digital layer can be a strong tool to enhance the comprehension and the communication of the place under intervention or in its “new life”. More than ever, the digital layer may allow making the place more interesting and attractive, it may help in creating more chances in learning from the visit, getting useful information and the correct approach in preserving element of the heritage, both built and intangible. And this with the simple and at the same time complex operation of making easy to reach, or even adding, contents to that area. The presence of these contents, telling stories and/or removing uncertainty about the value and the qualities of the place, as well as its development strategies, may allow to make easier any intervention, connecting specificities, addressing the users and the visitors and at the same time leaving the option to people about not using it. Who want to get the simple surprise from a traditional approach to places should be completely free to move looking around leaving the digital layer to those who want it. There are no doubts that such a feature needs a specific project, design and management, all the cases at now, even the more surprising, seem developed most of all chasing the latest technologies or by “spontaneous” overlapping of digital elements over the real. Starting from the reasoning of few pages behind, it is possible to define three ways putting in relationship places and the digital layer:

- 1) The creation of “digital twins”: in the past 20 years the approach to surveying and inspecting an architecture or a whole neighborhood is more and more a digital operation that creates a virtual model, representation of the reality and of its dataset and information on which all the operators focus their processing. This base brings the development of a digital project, manifestation of the designers’ intentions and conjectures that then reflects itself in what is being constructed. Both the knowledge and project phases are conducted using digital tools. To observe such a result is enough to look around, from the shapes of design objects to those of the urban assets it is clear how much the Ernesto Nathan Rogers’ concept “from the spoon to the town” indicating the whole range of design opportunities, is now clearly guided by a digital-led process.

- 2) The overlaying of a digital layer as a way to integrate the project: the large number of digital technologies enters and enriches the project strategies; side by side the plumbing, the electrical and the cooling systems, there are the systems of monitors, of interconnected sensors, of cameras and of virtual tools, taking part to the definition of the results, more and more they are not considered as external parts of the intervention, but as fully integrated components, influencing architectural choices. For the “virtual tools” it is possible to mention augmented realities (AR) solutions [25], connections using QR codes [31], information got after localization, like Google Maps and

similar neogeography solutions and all the possible digital services overlaying and connected to the physical place [10].

3) The overlaying of planned, or even spontaneous digital elements and phenomena: the presence of social network activities and online services in everyday life creates a powerful influence in behaviors. The way people choose where to go, where taking a picture, what to buy or where to have a dinner... The strength of communication from the online communities may force the way certain places are interpreted or the way they attract visitors. From the marketing initiative, to the dissemination about events and attractions, to what directly starts by chance from a community and that becomes “viral”, the use of social media is a very interesting factor to be considered in a design process.

Approaching an Open Scenario

The digital tools have made possible to develop extremely articulated tasks, creating new way to approach architecture and urban planning. But even our world made itself more intricate, with overlaying, expansion of the town, movements of people, new consciousness about environment and pollution.

When facing such complex contexts, any scholar should identify strategies and proposals, which may be organized in what it is possible to define as three “operative clusters”, that can be useful to group the functions and tools for the architect/engineer/built heritage expert to operate:

The cluster of gathering information and transmit the values.

In this it is important to consider that photography, filmmaking, survey procedures are changed, multimedia is more and more a clear creature than a chimaera. The implications with social media are an opportunity. So, it became fundamental to identify the right tools to capture the values of a place. The most interesting strategies and solutions from the infield operations to the social media presence should be controlled and properly used.

The Cluster of Intervention

Sometimes finding the right questions is better than keep on searching for the correct answers. In the intervention on former industrial building, at a very first level, the two main questions should be: Which are the more appropriate strategies in approaching the reuse of industrial heritage? Not only for size or potential economic success but in the capacity of creating innovation and being attractive in front of a worldwide community. And in consequence of this: which strategies can be used to fulfil this task?

The Cluster of Overlaying

The common questions about this part should be about which are the main tools to operate and promote a “mixed realities” intervention, but the tools are right now under a continuous evolution, the guidelines seem well defined (interaction, localization, augmented reality, real time) but the real feedback

from the users is still missing and so this system is yet far to define a stable scenario. If such an “intangible” approach will be capable to suggest a step forward in architectural work or not is something that can be approached in two ways: analyzing the most interesting case studies where it has a consolidated presence and reasoning about situations where it can be a prepositive and efficient tool in all its range of applications.

CASE STUDIES

The “New” King’s Cross Railway Station in London

King’s Cross Station is considered one of the main train stations to serve London, England by being one of the oldest train stations with St. Pancras, they both took their names from different companies owning railways. Since 1852 it kept its fundamental rule of transportation knot [13], and in 2012 it was open back after a consistent restoration to better accomplish the new needs of a modern station [6]. The King’s Cross intervention presents all the three ways of the digital layer. The spontaneous one can be found in one of the reason that bring many people to visit the place, without any need about taking a train and which is linked to a specific and original subject: in the worldwide famous series of books and movies dedicated to the fictional Harry Potter’s saga, ideated by J. K. Rowling since 1997, the students of Hogwarts School of Witchcraft and Wizardry take the scarlet steam engine named the “Hogwarts Express” to Hogwarts from Platform 9¾. To get to Platform 9¾, they must run straight at the wall between 9 and 10. Today, this place it is still a part of touristic attractions in London. Its consistency in capturing interest is due to the wide diffusion in the social networks of images and references about this specific place. The fans of the Rowling’s creations are happy to take a picture after a long queue with other people waiting for the pose.



Figure 3. Images of People Playing the “Platform 9¾” Scene at King’s Cross, Taken from Instagram and an Image of the Queue of Fans Waiting to Pose.

They may keep the picture as a souvenir and obviously, they will post it in their social profiles. In this way the attraction coming from the place self-feeds itself from the interaction with the fans. The invention, thanks to its popularity, makes the place interesting, the replication of the action of miming the novels/movies acts keep on the interest. The fans go there not (only) to see the place, but to take that picture. Nothing is real, but all pass by the digital devices in a circle of seeing, understanding the pose, taking the picture in the place, posting it online, someone else doing it again. The digital layer made

the place attractive and at the same time de-materializes it, its manifestation on the Internet become stronger than its presence in the real world.



Figure 4. The Large Shelter Designed Using Parametric Software in the King's Cross Station Main Hall (pictures by Elliott Brown).

The second way of the digital layer is well visible in all the panels, screens and structured info tools around the station, the old parts of the building, as well as the new ones, receive electronic displays guiding people to their destinations, informing them about the news and pushing them with commercial proposals. The online tools, like Google Maps, include a map of the place and all the schedules of the trains, bringing people to move around in the common pose of the smartphone in one hand and the head reclined on it.

Thus, the main hall of the station leaves no doubts about how is worthy to look up. The large shelter of this wide space is clearly the product of digital design, a fascinating work from Arup, John McAslan and partners [16], exploiting the art of parametric/procedural design to develop a large network of beams.

The LocHal Library, in Tilburg, Netherlands

The LocHal library presents a robust digital layer through the transformation of a former locomotive atelier [30], this is done taking various advantages and inspiration from what is in the vicinity of Tilburg. In its nearby there is the Efteling, one of the biggest amusement parks ("luna park" using the common definition used in many European/Mediterranean countries) this element, together with the fame of the city due to its textile industry, gave inspiration to be blended in the redesign of the library, actually much more than a library.

The idea "library as a living lab" has been utilized here through the re-functioning of the elements. This was done from the former function as well as thinking to flexible uses of the building. Paying attention to needs and expectations from the future and digital adaptation. From this point of view, LocHal places itself in a threshold from the regular transformation to a transformation into the digital era.



Figure 5. Views from the Interiors of the LocHal Library in Tilburg, Netherlands (pictures by Ossip Architectuurfotografie, courtesy of Mecanoo).

Design and transformation of LocHal consists of three main parts: the *Bibliotheek Midden Brabant* which serves as folk library, *Kuntsloc Brabant* as culture and art research centre and the *Brabant C* hosting a lot of elements related to the digital layer of the LocHal, aimed to support culture and creativity.

Some specific parts of the LocHal library enhance themselves as a digital layer, connecting to the ideas behind the design and transformations as well as defining the way for using formal elements, spaces and materials. This structure is based on a system of laboratories: Digilab allows visitors to meet photograph and video facilities, editing as well as 3D productions. Gamelab has been designed for the young generations to support creative thinking and problem solving. These two main themes affect the way of structuring areas in order to serve the facility. Futurelab is represented as the part dedicated to future thinkers. Futurelab also addresses the students, entrepreneurs and companies in order to share ideas through presentations and meetings. Mobile Foodlab, corresponds the sense of taste, seeing, smelling and feeling through the idea of food. Different types of activities match with portable/mobile elements. *Kennis Makerij* (learning lab) offers to visitors various spaces to allow them to organize conferences, meetings, performances and discussions in any fields. The aim here is to support active learning by sharing. *Tijdlab* (time lab) is a unique part of LocHal by addressing past, present and future of Tilburg. Any object related to city in timeline, photographic collection, videos, movies and documentaries are represented here together with a specific silence area to focus and to study. *Stemming makerij* (communication lab), refers to a colorful community which respects and appreciates different kind of ideas. A glass curtain is used here to define digital space allowing presentations and digital screen shows. *Woord lab* (word lab), promotes the improvements in language, literature and creative writing. The concept guiding this part is to enhance writing and speaking skills with direct exercises.

In the whole asset of this intervention it is appreciable a specific overlay of elements: the past and the heritage consistency, composed in a sort of background and guiding the further developments: the present arrangement is light, polite, neat, it defines the space and leaves wide open views to the elements from the past; then there is the space of the small elements -while the presence of books opens a travel into a word of details- that fragments

and enriches each view; and last, the digital layer, connecting the mass of the resources to the possibility of finding and enhancing the options of studying and learning.

The Beyoğlu Neighbourhood in Istanbul

Since the XIVth century, the core of today's Beyoğlu, Galata has been the window of Istanbul to Europe, not only in terms of trade but also in terms of lifestyle. In the Ottoman period when the old Istanbul was turned into a center of administration and settlement, Galata and Beyoğlu (*Pera*) were left to the Genoese administration and then to the other Franks who came to trade, making of these areas a small Genoese town, transformed in the architectural features into a sort of Mediterranean Italian urban landscape. This tolerant environment in Beyoğlu and its surroundings has supported both new developments in later stages and played a role in the creation of its own identity. The existing cosmopolitan structure in the region is a natural consequence of Galata being an important port and trade center. All along these phases there is the definition of the urban pattern, with its logic of key buildings, open spaces and housing and marketplace settlements. A trace that, in plant, was destined to remain in time if not for the progressive saturation of the empty lots and the continuous integration of all the existing architectures by superfetation.

Beyoğlu was formed as a result of this pioneering and important accumulation that symbolized the West in the region. As a result, the entrance of the Industrial Revolution, which influenced the period of the Ottoman Empire, became the gateway. Maintaining this feature without interruption, Beyoğlu is almost like the first brilliant product of the Industrial Revolution in Istanbul [14]. Visiting these neighborhoods in the present time, there is something like a colorful intangible heritage that is corroding little by little the built heritage with its wills and behaviors. According to the most consolidated theories about the waterfront evolution, the one in Beyoğlu should be considered in the passage between "Deterioration" and "Rediscovery" [23]. In this way a proper knowledge about the entity of the patrimony is fundamental: the use of buildings, their potentiality, their specific features, the value of the "intangible heritage", balanced with the value of the "built heritage" [7] and the behaviors of the people. Any strategy "cutting out" the present considering the actual configuration "poor" or "too old", like something just to be left behind and replaced by "new" and "more modern/actual" structures, should be successful from an economic point of view, but a failure in preserving the layering and the richness of the place [22]. The high level of details, the large extension of the area and the mix of small to medium size items disseminated all around the shops and restaurants make quite complex to define a "quick" tool to gather details, "intangible features" and architectural descriptions of this settlement. In such a situation the creation of a proper "digital twin" can only start from a global digital survey.



Figure 6. 3D Laser Scanning in Beyoğlu to Create the Bases for a Detailed Digital Twin of the Urban Façade of the Neighborhood (March 2019).

The use of digital tools for survey may allow to create a base of knowledge, sharable in between different competencies. But in such a complexity, an approach based only on architectural solutions, should be limited and only partially effective. The implementation of digital media strategies, passing by social networks, may success in promoting a better use of the built patrimony, not because it is the “right thing”, or because rules make it mandatory, but because is the more convenient one. And this can be well defined in these digital environment as well as expanding the practice of “on the field” activities, like workshops and/or shared events, teaching methods to enhance the approach of users to their built heritage and their recent construction. Once more, the use of social media may greatly contribute to the tourism, not only massive, but most of all cultural and interested in specificities, and the access to places by the locals, helping the sustainability of the whole settlement. Moving it to its next steps limiting the risk of losing specificities and original aspects. A strategic physical/digital-led plan of intervention should be a great challenge for this complex neighborhood in Istanbul.

The Industrial Heritage Forgiveness in Tirana

Albania has seen significant transformations in the past 30 years, passing from one of the most rigorous communist dystopias, which was planted in a country which previously had a troubled political century, to a new condition of possible freedom combined with social and economic crisis. The country has experienced a wide range of complex events, which brought to the present annihilation of most of the industrial apparatus and of some infrastructures (like, with a quite weird choice, the railway system). In the cruise to political stability, large industrial settlements, former pride of the dictatorship [11], felt in abandon: their machines somehow removed, the statues of Stalin or Lenin destroyed or moved somewhere else [20]. Is this the case of the *Kombinat*, a large industrial settlement, located in the Western periphery of Tirana. This industrial settlement was a combination of facilities aimed to production and housing for the workers. Despite a modest quality in the architectural solutions it still keeps a significant presence even if the long years of abandon has brought a quite sad patina on the whole settlement.



Figure 7. Images from the Kombinat area, in the Left Lower Corner a Picture from the '80 with a Statue of Stalin (Kristiana Kumi and Elisa Miho, 2019).

The conditions in the present are characterized by a large abandon of the former industrial structure, while the recent choices in the Albanian urban renovation put large doubts on the future of this industrial heritage, with a difficult equilibrium between complete demolition/reconstruction or partial reuse. In this second possibility, the potentiality of such an area are quite interesting and “in line” with the recent choices about “specific” recovering of the recent past, in the form of a valuable memory of a difficult period. Or at least as a tourist attraction. In this it is important to take in count the rapid evolution of the Albanian society [19], apparently quite inclined in trashing elements of the past and moving quickly to a foggy but attractive future: the concept of metropolis in place of large town, the interest in new malls in place of industrial area, a “boulevard” in place of the central train station [28]. In this, a policy oriented to a partial recovery of the more valuable and impressive buildings from the industrial past, with the reuse of eminent elements justified by their spectacularism can be a choice that may well be integrated by the digital layer, the use of digital survey and digital diagnostic tools to understand the real possibility of recovering, especially in front of unclear pollution conditions, combined with digital strategies for dissemination, can well integrate the ongoing regeneration scenario [20].

The recent restoration of the bunker architectures from the past regime, converted in exhibition spaces presenting memories of the past oppressions, as well as the recent recovery project of the “Hoxha’s Pyramid” by MVRDV and the active debate about the demolition of the National Theatre of Opera and Ballet (*Teatri Kombetar*) [26] seem to create positive premises in putting more attention in the new interventions. A system of operations that need a proper rethinking of the approach to elements from the recent past, while their documentation and investigation must be operated from zero.

The digital layer tools may bring an efficient benefit to this processing, offering practical and low-cost solutions in front of the massive interventions needed and the quality of the possible results.

CONCLUSIONS

The consistency of architecture is not only its walls, the conditions of the windows, the presence of precious details, it is also a matter of perception, of correct reading and interpretation. The original designer thought that architecture according to his/her technical, practical and aesthetic values, which may foresee that building being a significant urban element or even “hidden” and “isolated” from the urban context. In our time the digital revolution is bringing more and more significative transformations. Some of them are immediate, some others take time. But there is no doubt that starting from very first experiences half a century ago, the digital transformation of architectural/urban planning is at a nodal point. The process previously limited to the design/projecting is like “bending” on itself, influencing the architecture is managed and accessed after its opening and for all its use. Planning the digital layer is an opportunity helping a positive result of the intervention, it comes from “the outside” of the architectural world, but it needs to be planned properly, being an integration and keeping the possibility to adapt and evolve. It is a significant challenge for the schools, starting from the re-definition of competencies and moving to the professional firms and to all the operators, asking for ideas, inventions, creative strategies. Something that will not wipe out the previous experiences, but must develop and grow from them, integrating, creating contents, it does not mean if permanent or ephemeral, but keeping connected the architectural design/restoration to its own time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is the result of personal researches and studies, the authors want to thank all the scholars and professionals who have contributed and supported the contents presented here. In the specific the people at the Mecanoo Studio and in special arch. Fedele Canosa, for the contents about the LocHal Library; the organizing committee of the “Urban Façade” workshop in Istanbul 2019 and in special Alessandro Camiz from the Özyeğin University and Bora Yavuz, Litech Engineering; Kristiana Kumi and Elisa Miho from the UNIZKM, Tirana for their contribution about the Kombinat area. All the pictures, where not differently indicated, are by the authors.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aymonino, C., *Origini e sviluppo della città moderna*, Marsilio, Italy. 1993.
- [2] Benevolo, L., *Storia della città*, Laterza, Italy 1973.
- [3] Bertolotti, M. Cima, M. and Talamo, E. (editors). *Sculptures of Ancient Rome, the Collection of the Capitoline Museums at the Montemartini Power Plant*, Mondadori Electa, Rome, Italy. 2002.
- [4] Bataille, G. *The Accursed Share: An Essay on General Economy*, V. 1: Consumption, Zone Books, New York, USA, 1988.
- [5] Čopić, S., Đorđević, a J., Lukić, T., Stojanović, V., Đukićin, S., Besermenji, S., Stamenković, I., Tumarić, A., Transformation of industrial heritage: An example of tourism industry development in the Ruhr area (Germany), in *Geographica Pannonica*, vol. 18, iss. 2, pp. 43-50, 2014.
- [6] Christopher, H., In Progress: King's Cross Station/John McAslan+ Partners, in *ArchDaily*, August 2011, online edition available at www.archdaily.com/162461/in-progress-kings-cross-station-john-mcaslan-partners/ (last access July 2019). 2011.
- [7] Dastgerdi, A. S., De Luca, G., Specifying The Significance of Historic Sites in Heritage Planning, in *Conservation Science in Cultural Heritage*, 03, 2019.
- [8] Falconer, K., The industrial heritage in Britain – the first fifty years, in *La revue pour l'histoire du CNRS*, 14, 2006.
- [9] Fiore, A.D., La Centrale Termoelettrica Giovanni Montemartini, in *Sculture di Roma antica*, Bertolotti M., Cima M., Talamo E. (editors), Electa, Italy, 2006.
- [10] Foth, M., *Handbook of Research on Urban Informatics: The Practice and Promise of the Real-Time City: The Practice and Promise of the Real-Time City*, IGI Global, 2008.
- [11] Gegprifti, L. (editor), Tirana, Editions "8 Nëntori" Tirana, Albania. 1990.
- [12] Gibson, D., Interview: I don't even have a Modem, by Josefsson D., Sveriges Television AB, available at <http://josefsson.net/gibson/> (last access, July 2019). 1995.
- [13] Inglis, A., *Railway Lands: Catching St. Pancras and King's Cross*, Troubador Publishing Ltd, 2007.
- [14] Kucukerman, O., Kirac, B., *Sanayi Devrimi'nin Istanbul'daki İlk Parlak Urunu Beyoglu*, TAC V. 2003.
- [15] Jackson, S., A Mithraeum for a modern city: rebuilding the Temple of Mithras in London, in *Architecture, Archaeology and Contemporary City Planning "Issues of scale"*, Proceedings of the Workshop, Dixon, J., Verdiani, G., Cornell, P. (editors), Lulu.com. 2017.
- [16] Józwick, A., Modernization Of Saint Pancras And King's Cross Railway Stations In London, in *Civil and Environmental Engineering Reports*, 18 (3): 065-073 De Gruyter, 2015.

- [17] Labadi, S., Industrial Archaeology as Historical Archaeology and Cultural Anthropology. *Papers from the Institute of Archaeology*, 12, pp.77–85, 2001.
- [18] Lusiani, M., Panozzo, F., Industrial Heritage in Action, Beyond Museification and Regeneration, in *Sapere l'Europa, sapere d'Europa 4: Cultural Heritage. Scenarios 2015-2017*, Pinton S., Zagato L. (editors), 2017.
- [19] Nase, I., Ocakc M., Urban Pattern Dichotomy in Tirana: Socio-spatial Impact of Liberalism, in *European Planning Studies Vol. 18, No. 11*, Routledge, 2010.
- [20] Nepravishita, F., Industrial Heritage in Albania and the Opportunities for Regeneration and Adaptive Re-use, in *Journal of International Academic Research for Multidisciplinary*, V.3, I.6, 2015.
- [21] Orange, H., Industrial Archaeology: Its Place Within the Academic Discipline, the Public Realm and the Heritage Industry, in *Industrial Archaeology Review*, XXX:2, The Association for Industrial Archaeology, 2008.
- [22] Osterlund, P.B., "Destroying Istanbul to 'Restore' It", in *The Atlantic*, 21/03 www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2019/03/modern-istanbul-destroy-restore/585373/ [last time checked, June 2019], 2019.
- [23] Pekin Timur, U., "Urban Waterfront Regenerations, Advances" in *Landscape Architecture*, Özyavuz M. (editor), IntechOpen, 2013.
- [24] Picon, A., *Smart Cities, a Spatialised Intelligence*, Wiley, 2015.
- [25] Sørensen, S.S., The development of Augmented Reality as a tool in Architectural and Urban design, in *Nordic Journal of Architectural Research*, V. 19, No.4, 2006.
- [26] Stigliano, M., Menghini, A.B., Pashako, F., *Architettura moderna italiana per le città d'Albania. Modelli e interpretazioni*, Botimet Dudaj, Archinauti, monographs, 36, Politecnico di Bari, 2012.
- [27] Tao, F., Zhang, M., Nee A.Y.C., *Digital Twin Driven Smart Manufacturing*, ISBN 0128176318, 9780128176313, Academic Press, 2019.
- [28] Veizaj, D., Islami, G., Thomai, G., *Stacionet Vështrim tipologjik mbi arkitekturën shqiptare 1945-1990*, Botimet FleSH, 2016.
- [29] Verdiani, G., Arslan, P., A special poetic of iron and stone: reflections about the design of the Montemartini Museum in Rome, in *Mantua Humanistic Studies. Vol. 5*, Scarpanti, E. (editor), Mantova: Universitas Studiorum, 2019.
- [30] Vinci, R., Completati gli interni della Biblioteca del LocHal, in *Edilportale*, Italy, Edilportale website (last access, June 2019), 2019.
- [31] Winter, M., Unseen Napa, QR codes and as Virtual Portals, in *City Imaging: Regeneration, Renewal and Decay*, V. 108 *GeoJournal Library*, Brabazon T. (editor), pp 208-219, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.



KARADENİZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Architecture
Department of Architecture



TMMOB
The Chamber of Architects of Turkey

www.livenarch.org

ISBN 987-605-2271-17-9 (1.c)
ISBN Tk 987-605-2271-16-2 (Tk)