



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE

FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Assessing Learning to Learn through Rubrics and Authentic Tasks

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Assessing Learning to Learn through Rubrics and Authentic Tasks / Davide Capperucci. - In: STUDI SULLA FORMAZIONE. - ISSN 2036-6981. - ELETTRONICO. - 2:(2019), pp. 123-136.

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1182057 since: 2020-01-19T14:28:45Z

Terms of use:

Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (<https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf>)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

Assessing Learning to Learn through Rubrics and Authentic Tasks

DAVIDE CAPPERUCCI

Ricercatore di Pedagogia sperimentale – Università degli Studi di Firenze

Corresponding author: davide.capperucci@unifi.it

Abstract. The present paper outlines a research-training process carried out with a sample of primary and lower secondary school teachers aimed at assessing the key competence of citizenship learning to learn. For this purpose, an assessment model was developed called KC-ARCA Model (Key Competences – Assessment, Rubrics, Certification of Achievement Model) which was tested in an exploratory way within the schools involved in the research. The research-training group identified the competence of learning to learn as a sort of “core competence” able to develop learning and effective behaviours in several fields of action and study.

Keywords. learning to learn – assessment – key competences – rubrics – authentic tasks

1. Introduction

In recent decades, the construct of competences has been one of the most innovative issues within the framework of the scholastic curriculum¹. This process, which is still ongoing, has focused attention on the importance of learning outcomes rather than on contents and subjects, emphasizing not the teaching process, but rather learning gained by the students².

These changes have had an impact not only on curricular design, teaching methods and teaching organization but also on evaluation³. Therefore, it is necessary to use a variety of assessment tools able to detect not only a solid knowledge base but also the ability to apply it in real problematic situations. The aim should be to construct tools capable of detecting transversal skills such as problem analysis and problem solving, learning to learn and reflecting on one's own experiences, exploiting the past ones

¹ OECD, *The definition and selection of key competencies (DeSeCo): theoretical and conceptual foundations*, Strategic paper, 7 October 2002; M. Pellerey, *Le competenze. Il ruolo delle competenze nei processi educativi scolastici e formativi*, Napoli, Tecnodid, 2010; P. Perrenoud, *Costruire competenze a partire dalla scuola*, Roma, Anicia, 2003; B. Rey, *Ripensare le competenze trasversali*, Milano, Franco Angeli, 2003.

² D. Maccario, *A scuola di competenze. Verso un nuovo modello didattico*, Torino, Sei, 2012.

³ G. Benvenuto, *Stili e metodi della ricerca educativa*, Roma, Carocci, 2015; R. Trinchero, *Costruire, valutare, certificare competenze. Proposte di attività per la scuola*, Milano, Franco Angeli, 2012; R. Viganò, G. Brex, C. Goisis (Eds.), *Per il gusto di apprendere. La didattica come risorsa contro il disagio*, Milano, Franco Angeli, 2011.

to be able to experiment in new fields of action⁴. It is assumed to be a kind of assessment which focuses on both of solving problem processes and the products, and considers both the individual dimension of learning but also the ability to work effectively and cooperatively with others to achieve common goals, molded as an external evaluation tool, but which is also able to develop students' self-assessment and self-regulation, improving the teacher's feedback⁵.

2. Authentic assessment theoretical framework

The theoretical model that inspired this research is "Authentic Assessment" which aims at developing multidimensional methods of assessment able to overcome the rigidity sometimes attributed to the testing assessment⁶. In this case, the task of assessment is not intended to measure learning, but to provide information on the processes that generate learning and how the knowledge acquired is put into practice through effective behaviours inside and outside the school. Authentic assessment focuses on how the student builds up his/her own personal learning operating actively in different situations, rather than on standardization of the results. In so doing, it can also promote a new way of thinking of the assessment processes inside the school, referring to direct forms of performance assessment: authentic assessment doesn't assume any predictive or projective function, but evaluates the action produced directly in the field. Learning is seen as a product of contextualized knowledge accordingly, transferable in similar situations of use (*near transfer*)⁷.

Authentic assessment aims to provide feedback on products and processes of learning and allows to collect information related to the capacity of critical thinking, problem solving, metacognition, working efficiency and reasoning which are at the basis of learning to learn competence⁸. In order to obtain such results, it is necessary to use specific tools capable of going beyond the detection of accurate knowledge and describing the quality of the behaviours implemented in problematic situations we are not aware of. In this case, referring to the assessment rubrics may be useful⁹. To be able to detect

⁴ I. Vannini, *La Qualità nella didattica. Metodologie e strumenti di progettazione e valutazione*, Trento, Erickson, 2009; A. Nuzzaci, *Competenze, processi regolativi e valutativi. Insegnare ed apprendere*, Lecce, Pensa Multimedia, 2012.

⁵ P. Lucisano, C. Corsini, *Docenti e valutazione di scuole e insegnanti*, in «Giornale Italiano della Ricerca Educativa», 15, 2015, pp. 98-109.

⁶ D. C. McClelland, *The knowledge testing-educational complex strikes back*, in «American Psychologist», 49(1), 1994, pp. 66-69; H. Gardner, *Assessment in Context: The Alternative to Standardized Testing*, in B. R. Gifford, M. C. O'Connor (Eds.), *Changing Assessments. Alternative Views of Aptitude, Achievement and Instruction*, Boston, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1992, pp. 77-119; R. Glaser, L. B. Resnick (Eds.), *Knowing, learning and instruction: Essays in honor of Robert Glaser*, Hillsdale (NJ), Erlbaum, 1989.

⁷ B. R. Worthen, *Measurement and Assessment in Schools*, Reading (MA), Longman, 1992; C. I. Chase, *Contemporary Assessment for Educators*, Reading (MA), Longman, 1999; G. Wiggins, *The case for authentic assessment*, in «Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation», 2(2), 1990, pp. 1-3.

⁸ J. Arter, L. Bond, *Why is assessment changing*, in R. E. Blum, J. A. Arter (Eds.), *A handbook for student performance assessment in an era of restructuring*, Alexandria (VA), Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, 1996.

⁹ H. Goodrich, *Understanding rubrics*, in «Educational Leadership», 54(4), 1996, pp. 14-17; D. Capperucci, *L'uso delle rubriche valutative per la certificazione delle competenze: il modello Va.R.C.Co.*, in «Form@re», 16(1), 2016, pp. 133-151.

the levels with which a child or young person can perform a performance, it is however necessary to create real or simulated situations to work concretely for solving significant problems, both operational and intellectual. In this case it is useful to refer to the so-called “authentic tasks” or “reality tasks”¹⁰.

An authentic task requires the use of internal capabilities and knowledge, skills and competences that students have learned at school or in other non-formal/informal educational contexts. Authentic assessment is therefore founded on the belief that academic achievements are not given by the accumulation of knowledge base, but are based on the ability to generalize, modeling, identifying relationships, transfer the acquired knowledge in real contexts. In this sense, assessment and certification of achievements are closely related to highlight how students’ knowledge has generated competences that can be used effectively in multiple contexts and learning situations¹¹. Also in this case, the competence of learning to learn can provide a fundamental contribution to the development of higher cognitive functions, such as reflection, analysis, meta-reflection, the formulation of questions and hypotheses, the formation of critical thinking and the argumentative one, necessary both in the study and in life contexts¹².

3. The in-field research

3.1 Research context

Over the last few decades within the Italian school system, the issue of competences has been supported by several regulatory measures¹³. Considering the most recent ones, an important contribution was provided by the *National Guidelines for curriculum*¹⁴, as well as by decree no. 139 of 22 August 2007 which clearly indicated the key competences of citizenship to be developed in the course of compulsory education¹⁵, recalling those provided for by the European Recommendation on key competences for lifelong learning of 2006, as updated in 2018.

As regards the assessment of competences, the Italian Ministry of Education has elaborated a national experimental document of assessment and certification of achievement that will gradually be extended to all primary and lower secondary schools¹⁶. The ministerial acts stated that the certificate of achievements is issued by the school at the

¹⁰ L. Darling-Hammond, *Performance assessment and educational equity*, in «Harvard Educational Review», 64(1), 1994, pp. 5-30; G. Moretti, A. L. Morini, A. Giuliani, *Promuovere e accertare competenze nelle classi prime di scuola primaria: il dispositivo “compito di realtà” in forma di simulazione*, in «Form@re», 17(3), 2017, pp. 149-161; F. Tessaro, *Compiti autentici o prove di realtà?*, in «Formazione & Insegnamento. Rivista internazionale di Scienze dell’educazione e della formazione», 12(3), 2014, pp. 77-88.

¹¹ D. Hart, *Authentic assessment. A Handbook for Educators*, Menlo Park (CA), Addison-Wesley, 1994.

¹² R. E. Bennett, F. Jenkins, H. Persky, A. Weiss, *Assessing complex problem-solving performances*, in «Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice», 10(3), November 2003, pp. 347-365.

¹³ M. Castoldi, *Progettare per competenze. Percorsi e strumenti*, Roma, Carocci, 2011; D. Capperucci, G. Franceschini, E. Guerin, G. Perticone, *Progettare per unità di competenza nella scuola primaria*, Milano, Franco Angeli, 2016.

¹⁴ MIUR, *Indicazioni Nazionali per il curriculum della scuola dell’infanzia e del primo ciclo*, in «Annali della Pubblica Istruzione», s.n., Le Monnier, 2012.

¹⁵ See Decree no. 139, August 22, 2007 – Appendix no. 2.

¹⁶ See Act no. 3, February 13, 2015 and Decree no. 742, October 3, 2017.

end of the fifth grade of primary school and the end of the third grade of lower secondary school. It is delivered to the student's family and to the subsequent school or vocational training center. In this way, the step-by-step developmental and unifying nature of the first cycle of education is emphasized, assigning to the certification of achievement the function of promoting the continuity between different grades of schools and supporting students' efforts towards the attainment of school or vocational qualifications.

The achievements to certify are those described in the *Student Profile* of the national core curriculum¹⁷. They are referred both to subject competences and key competences of citizenship, many of which are based primarily on learning to learn.

The KC-ARCA Model was designed to respond to the need of schools to plan shared assessment tools that can evaluate a complex competence such as learning to learn.

3.2 Research methodology: objectives, questions, phases, instruments

The present research comes from the need of schools to develop a model for assessing the key competence of citizenship learning to learn, in order to consider the evolution in a perspective of continuity between primary and lower secondary school¹⁸.

The research objectives were:

1. choose and/or build assessment tools to learning to learn competences that are valid and reliable within the sample schools;
2. contribute to the professional development of teachers regarding the assessment of competences.

The research question was how to develop a methodological model that can support teachers in the assessment of learning to learn, so that it can be recognized in subsequent grades of schooling.

The research sample was represented by 7 schools in the province of Arezzo that established a network following a grant from the Regional Scholastic Office of Tuscany to carry out experimentations for innovation and improvement of teaching. The research was attended by 52 teachers (29 from the primary school and 23 from the lower secondary school).

The research was conducted through the following phases:

1. setting up of the research-training group: 7 teachers in charge (1 per school), 3 researchers from the University of Florence and 1 delegate from RSO Tuscany;
2. definition of the research design: sharing of the research methodology and of the tools to be used (rubrics and authentic tasks);
3. setting up of work groups aimed at constructing rubrics and authentic tasks on the competence of learning to learn;
4. peer review of the designed instruments;
5. socialization and dissemination of the evaluation tools designed within the schools involved in the research project.

One of the activities that most involved the research group before the definition of the survey design was to identify a shared definition of the competence of learning to learn. We started from the analysis of some studies developed within two different rese-

¹⁷ MIUR, *Indicazioni Nazionali per il curriculum della scuola dell'infanzia e del primo ciclo*, cit., 2012.

¹⁸ G. Asquini (Ed.), *La Ricerca-Formazione. Temi, esperienze, prospettive*, Milano, Franco Angeli, 2018.

arch paradigms: a) the cognitive psychology paradigm which considers the mechanisms used to assimilate the knowledge base, and b) the social cultural paradigm which is focused on the process of learning embedded in social context¹⁹. In these perspectives, learning to learn refers to the ability to access, gain, process and assimilate new knowledge and skills, followed by the ability to critically reflect on the purposes and aims of learning. The definition of learning to learn also contains numerous references to how learning to learn is embedded in social relationship and the social context, for example, it refers to group work, “seeking and making use of guidance” and building on “life experiences”. For other authors it is a complex mix of dispositions, experiences, social relations, values, attitudes and beliefs that coalesce to shape the nature of an individual’s engagement with any particular learning opportunity of individual students²⁰. Learning to learn has to be seen also as “the ability and willingness to adapt to novel tasks, activating one’s commitment to thinking and the perspective of hope by means of maintaining one’s cognitive and affective self-regulation in and of learning action”²¹. It comprises various domains of skills and abilities that can be divided into cognitive skills and abilities, affective control skills and abilities, task acceptance²². Therefore, learning to learn is a process of discovery about learning. It involves a set of principles and skills which can help learners learn more effectively and so become learners for life. The belief that learning is learnable represents the core principle. It offers pupils an awareness about how they prefer to learn and their learning strengths, how they can motivate themselves and have the self-confidence to succeed, some of the specific strategies they can use, for example to improve their memory or make sense of complex information, some of the habits they should develop, such as reflecting on their learning so as to improve next time²³.

These studies were then compared with the provisions of the reference legislation and with the Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 on Key Competences for Lifelong Learning (2006/962/EC) and Decree no. 139, August 22, 2007.

In the first case,

learning to learn is the ability to pursue and persist in learning, to organize one’s own learning, including through effective management of time and information, both individually and in groups. This competence includes awareness of one’s learning process and needs, identifying available opportunities, and the ability to overcome obstacles in order to learn successfully. This competence means gaining, processing and assimilating new knowledge and skills as well as seeking and making use of guidance. Learning to learn engages learners to build on prior learning and life experiences in order to use and apply knowledge and skills in a variety of contexts: at home, at work, in education and training. Motivation and confidence are crucial to an individual’s competence²⁴.

¹⁹ C. Stringer et al., *What is social learning?*, in «Ecology and Society», 15(4), 2010, pp. 1-21.

²⁰ R. E. Deakin Crick, P. M. Broadfoot, G. L. Claxton, *Developing an effective lifelong learning inventory: The ELLI project*, in «Assessment in Education», 11(3), 2004, pp. 247-272.

²¹ J. Hautamäki et al., *Assessing learning-to-learn: a framework*, Centre for Educational Assessment, Helsinki, Helsinki University/National Board of Education, 2002, p. 39.

²² *Ibidem*.

²³ E. Amalathas, *Learning to Learn in Further Education: A literature review of effective practice in England and abroad*, London (UK), The Campaign for Learning, 2007.

²⁴ European Union, *Recommendation of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 on*

The national legislation has adopted and adapted these indications identifying in learning to learn the ability to perform different actions, namely:

organizing one's own learning, identifying, choosing and using various sources and various information methods and of training (formal, non-formal and informal), also as a function of the time available, of one's own strategies and of one's method of study and work²⁵.

In view of the breadth of the processes underlying a complex competence and referring to McCormick's studies²⁶, the research group decided to break down the competence of learning to learn in specific indicators easier to be considered in the construction of specific rubrics and authentic tasks. For the first circle of education (from the age of 6 to 14) the competence has been made to coincide with the ability to: 1. self-evaluation; 2. identification and selection of information; 3. use of information and acquisition of a study method; and 4. organization of schoolwork.

4. Research products and results

Having established a shared definition of the competence of learning to learn, vertical work groups, made up of teachers of primary and lower secondary school have been set up for each indicator, so as to proceed to the construction of the instruments to evaluate it (rubrics and authentic tasks).

In a second step in order to share the tools and verify their reliability both internally and transversely to the various working groups, peer review groups were set up with the task of revising the products fostering the triangulation of point of views between teacher and researchers.

4.1 Setting up assessment rubrics

The assessment rubrics were designed to highlight the progression of the indicators considered in the different classes of primary and lower secondary schools²⁷. The descriptors identified have been considered as flexible reference to guide both the didactic planning for parallel classes and the assessment of the results at the end of each year.

Tables 1, 2 and 3 show some examples of competence descriptors for primary and lower secondary school classes referring to the following indicators: "Identification and selection of information", "Acquisition of the study method", "Self-assessment".

Key Competences for Lifelong Learning (2006/962/EC).

²⁵ See Decree no. 139, August 22, 2007 – Appendix no. 2.

²⁶ R. McCormick, *Learning to Learn: Learning, Teachers and Schools*, in U. Fredriksson, B. Hoskins (Eds.), *Learning to Learn Network Meeting Report*, Report from the Second Meeting of the Network, 2006, pp. 38-42.

²⁷ C. Danielson, P. Hansen, *A collection of performance tasks and rubrics*, Larchmont (NY), Eye On Education, 1999.

	1 st Class	2 nd Class	3 rd Class	4 th Class	5 th Class
Competence descriptors	The pupil understands the global meaning of a message or a story	<p>The pupil understands the global and partial meaning of a message or a story</p> <p>The pupil can identify and establish the sequence of the main information of a message or a story</p>	<p>The pupil understands the global and partial meaning of a message or a story</p> <p>The pupil can identify and establish the sequence of the main information of a message or a story</p> <p>The pupil identifies useful and accessory information</p>	<p>The pupil understands the global and partial meaning of a message or a story</p> <p>The pupil can identify and establish the sequence of the main information of a message or a story</p> <p>The pupil identifies useful and accessory information</p> <p>The pupil makes a distinction between direct and indirect sources</p>	<p>The pupil understands the global and partial meaning of a message or a story</p> <p>The pupil can identify and establish the sequence of the main information of a message or a story</p> <p>The pupil identifies useful and accessory information</p> <p>The pupil uses the sources to retrieve information, make judgments and decisions, motivating his/her choice</p>

	1 st Class	2 nd Class	3 rd Class
Competence descriptors	The student uses the sources analytically to retrieve information, make judgments and make decisions, motivating his/her choice	The student uses and independently chooses the sources to obtain information and uses them in a knowledgeable way	The student uses and chooses the sources in an autonomous, responsible and critical way to retrieve information and re-elaborate it in a knowledgeable and personal way

Table 1 – Assessment rubric of “Identification and selection of information” in primary and lower secondary school

	1 st Class	2 nd Class	3 rd Class	4 th Class	5 th Class
Competence descriptors	The pupil masters the basic skills	The pupil consolidates and uses the basic skills	<p>The pupil uses the basic skills and the information retrieved to reflect and asks questions</p> <p>The pupil expands learning strategies</p>	<p>The pupil uses the basic skills and the information retrieved to reflect and asks questions</p> <p>The pupil expands and uses learning strategies</p>	<p>The pupil uses the basic skills and the information retrieved to reflect and asks questions</p> <p>The pupil discriminates, chooses and uses the most effective learning strategies</p>

	1 st Class	2 nd Class	3 rd Class
Competence descriptors	The student employs diversified learning procedures useful for the development of essential knowledge	The student uses diversified learning procedures useful for the development of knowledge through the method of analysis and synthesis	The student elaborates the contents in a personal and critical way, using the skills acquired in the various subject areas

Table 2 – Assessment rubric of “Acquisition of the study method” in primary and lower secondary school

	1 st Class	2 nd Class	3 rd Class	4 th Class	5 th Class
Competence descriptors	The pupil can ask for help	The pupil recognizes and communicates the difficulties encountered	The pupil recognizes and communicates the difficulties encountered, talking about his/her own work	The pupil recognizes and communicates the difficulties encountered, talking about his/her own work	The pupil motivates his/her own work
	If guided, the pupil recognizes the outcome of his/her work at a global level	If guided, the pupil uses (sometimes asking for help) error recognition and self-correction strategies	The pupil in a mostly autonomous way uses (sometimes asking for help) error recognition and self-correction strategies	The pupil in a mostly autonomous way uses error recognition and self-correction strategies	The pupil uses autonomously error recognition and self-correction strategies
			The pupil identifies new learning	The pupil identifies new learning	<p>The pupil identifies new learning and can use it in new contexts</p> <p>The pupil identifies, by describing them, the main strengths and weaknesses of the task performed, proposing possible procedural and executive alternatives</p>

	1 st Class	2 nd Class	3 rd Class
Competence descriptors	The student explains his/her own work	The student explains and analyses his/her own work autonomously	The student motivates his/her work in a knowledgeable way
	The student recognizes his/her own weaknesses and, if guided, knows how to use his/her own strengths	The student recognizes his/her own weaknesses and, if guided, knows how to use his/her own strengths to face a task autonomously	The student is aware of his weaknesses and can use strategies to deal with difficulties
	The student autonomously uses strategies to recognize errors	The student autonomously uses error recognition and self-correction strategies	The student autonomously and consciously uses error recognition and self-correction strategies
		The student chooses the most effective learning strategies, applying them to known situations	The student chooses the most effective learning strategies, applying them to new situations
			The student identifies new learning and knows how to transfer it from one context to another

Table 3 – Assessment rubric of “Self-assessment” in primary and lower secondary school

4.2. Planning authentic tasks

According to Authentic Assessment theories it is necessary to consider the construction of specific authentic tasks for the assessment of competences²⁸. An authentic task:

1. Is based on real tasks and not on evidence which have a predictive value;
2. requires judgment and innovation, as it leads to the solution of problems that may have more than one right answer or multiple ways of solving the problems;
3. asks the student to participate in the construction of knowledge, identifying, recognizing and processing the main structures of the subjects;
4. requires the effective use of a *repertoire* of knowledge and functional skills to deal with complex tasks; not just to show the amount and extent of knowledge, skills and competences acquired, but to highlight the plasticity, integra-

²⁸ D. A. Archibald, F. M. Newman, *Beyond standardized testing: Assessing authentic academic achievement in secondary schools*, Washington DC, National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1988; L. A. Shepard, *Psychometricians' beliefs about learning*, in «Educational Researcher», 20(7), 1991, pp. 2-16; R. J. Stiggins, *Student-centered classroom assessment* New York, Macmillan, 1994; E. Gredler, *Classroom Assessment and Learning*, Reading (MA), Longman, 1999.

tion, connectivity of knowledge among them and the surrounding reality; 5. gives the opportunity to select, repeat, test pattern of action, check resources, get feedback and improve performance by increasing levels of *mastery*²⁹.

Some authentic tasks designed for the assessment of the indicators of the competence learning to learn are exemplified below (Table 4 and 5) and they are declined in the rubrics illustrated in the previous paragraph.

<i>Competence</i>	Learning to learn
<i>Indicator</i>	Acquisition of the study method
<i>Authentic task typology</i>	In small group work create a printed and/or multimedia brochure for the exit in the historical center of the city and/or of the territory
<i>Recipients</i>	5 th grade primary school class – 1 st grade lower secondary class
<i>Activities</i>	a. collection of information through different sources (paper, multimedia, oral); b. selection of the sources based on the functionality and type of information; c. definition of diversified tasks and completion times among group members; d. selection, synthesis, individual and collective processing of information; e. production of a written and/or graphic report (also in multimedia format) (brochure); f. presentation to the class of each work; g. role playing: simulation of a tour guide for classmates and teachers; h. analysis in the small group and (later) at the class level of the strengths and weaknesses of the products (brochure and simulation)
<i>Products</i>	Paper and/or multimedia brochures; simulation of a tourist guide

Table 4 – Authentic task 1 – indicator “Acquisition of the study method” in primary and lower secondary school

²⁹ G. Wiggins, *Assessing student performance: Exploring the purpose and limits of testing*, San Francisco (CA), Jossey-Bass, 1993.

<i>Competence</i>	Learning to learn
<i>Indicator</i>	Self-assessment
<i>Authentic task typology</i>	Self-assessment questionnaire or cognitive biography related to the realization of an individual and/or collective task
<i>Recipients</i>	5 th grade primary school class – 1 st grade lower secondary class
<i>Activities</i>	<p>Compilation of a questionnaire or construction of a cognitive biography</p> <p><i>"Answer the following questions. When requested, indicate how satisfied you are with your job (not satisfied, not very satisfied, quite satisfied, very much satisfied, delighted with)</i></p> <p><i>Was the task you have just realized difficult/easy? Explain the reason why</i></p> <p><i>Did you encounter any difficulties during the realization of the task?</i> <i>If yes, what were they?</i> <i>How did you solve them?</i> <i>Did you need to ask for help?</i> <i>Were the aids provided useful?</i></p> <p><i>What were the mistakes you made?</i> <i>Were you able to correct them? If yes, how?</i></p> <p><i>How and what would you improve on the final product?</i></p> <p><i>What do you like most about the work you have done? What least?</i></p> <p><i>What did you learn anew?</i></p> <p><i>How could you reuse what you've learned?</i></p> <p><i>What did you like most about working on the task that was proposed to you?</i> <i>What less?</i></p> <p><i>How did you feel during the entire performance of the task (at the beginning, during, at the end)?</i></p> <p><i>(in case of group work) Did you collaborate easily in solving the task?</i> <i>How did you set up the work at the beginning of the task? ...and how did you finish it?</i> <i>What choices did you make during the task?</i> <i>Were there any reasons for dispute among you?</i> <i>If yes, how did you overcome them (or were they not exceeded)</i></p> <p><i>Would you like to be engaged in a similar task again? "</i></p>
<i>Products</i>	questionnaire/cognitive biography and analysis of the answers

Table 5 – Authentic task 2 – indicator “Self-assessment” in primary and lower secondary school

4.3. Research group internal validation of the products

Once the planning of the assessment rubrics and of the authentic tasks was completed, the reliability and the degree of sharing among the participants in the research-training course was verified.

The following aspects were considered:

1. clarity and progression of the descriptors of the assessment rubrics;
2. the ability of the rubrics to discriminate qualitatively different behaviours with reference to the criteria of complexity, accuracy, extent, transferability of the expected actions;
3. validity and consistency of the descriptors of the rubrics with the types of authentic tasks proposed;
4. sustainability and viability of the designed authentic tasks.

To this end, a process of triangulation relating to the products and to the teachers' and researchers' point of views has been set up through peer review³⁰. The triangulation process was applied above all to the research results (the rubrics and the authentic tasks) and to the teachers' and researchers' point of view³¹, and was divided into two levels. In the first level, 4 peer reviewer groups were formed and were made up of 52 teachers involved in the research. The members of the four groups were selected randomly. The task assigned to the 4 groups was to review in parallel the rubrics and authentic tasks designed by the work groups other to the one they belonged to, highlighting, through a specific format, all the critical issues and changes to be made to the processed products. The second level of the triangulation process coincided with the establishment of a third reviewer group, made up of 16 reviewers, 4 for each of the 4 groups set up in the first level. This third group included school lecturers, primary and lower-secondary school teachers randomly selected from the 4 first level review groups.

The number of revision interventions done by the third group decreased by more than 86% compared to the total number of those carried out by the 2 groups of the first revision level, demonstrating a greater triangulation of the teachers' and researchers' points of view on the rubrics and authentic tasks quality. This data is also confirmed by other educational research based on a mixed methods approach³².

5. Conclusions

Learning to learn assessment is an ambitious challenge due to the breadth and complexity of this competence. However, within learning processes and in scholastic con-

³⁰ A. Bonaccorsi, *La valutazione della ricerca come esperimento sociale*, in «Scuola democratica», 6(3), 2012, pp. 156-165; D. M. Sluijsmans, S. Brand-Gruwel, J. J. van Merriënboer, R. L. Martens, *Training teachers in peer-assessment skills: effects on performance and perceptions*, in «Innovations in Education and Teaching International», 41(1), 2004, pp. 59-78.

³¹ R. Trincherò, *Costruire, valutare, certificare competenze. Proposte di attività per la scuola*, Milano, Franco Angeli, 2012.

³² W. E. Hanson, J. W. Creswell, V. L. P. Clark, K. S. Petska, J. D. Creswell, *Mixed methods research designs in counseling psychology*, in «Journal of counseling psychology», 52(2), 2005, pp. 224-235.

texts, it is so relevant that didactic research cannot avoid trying to investigate its constitutive aspects through survey tools built together with schools and teachers.

Despite the limits linked to the narrowness of the reference sample, the KC-ARCA model has set itself this priority objective and has represented a pilot project capable of stimulating the teachers to build new assessment tools and to a critical and more aware use of the rubrics and authentic tasks; the didactic research is working intensively to increase the degree of validity and reliability of such tools³³.

The added value of the research-training experience linked to the KC-ARCA model lies precisely in having broadened the range of teachers' assessment competences, looking beyond the fence of knowledge and subject skills, to project itself towards more inaccessible and little known paths such as those related to the development of transversal competences and learning to learn. The limits of the present research depend not only on the narrowness of the sample, but also on the external validity and the generalizability of the results that instruments such as those examined here are able to provide, but which are important since they may become heuristic devices able to widen the knowledge on the ways of competences construction and on how to evaluate their acquisition starting from the school context.

³³ T. Grange, *La pedagogia sperimentale. Riflessioni su alcune sfide, piste, cautele di ricerca in pedagogia sperimentale*, in G. Bertagna, S. Ulivieri (Eds.), *La ricerca pedagogica nell'Italia contemporanea. Problemi e prospettive*, Roma, Studium, 2017, pp. 171-179; J. McTighe, G. Wiggins, *Understanding by Design: A Framework for Effecting Curricular Development and Assessment*, Alexandria (VA), Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, 2006.