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#### Abstract

The therapeutic use of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ adenosine receptor (AR) antagonists for the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders, such as Parkinson and Alzheimer diseases, is a very promising approach. Moreover, the potential therapeutic role of $A_{2 A} A R$ antagonists to avoid both immunoescaping of tumor cells and tumor development is well documented. Herein, we report on the synthesis and biological evaluation of a new set of piperazine- and piperidinecontaining 7-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine derivatives designed as human $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists/inverse agonists. Binding and potency data indicated that a good number of potent and selective $h A_{2 A} A R$ inverse agonists were found. Amongst them, the 2-(furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-(2-(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)thiazolo[5,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine 11 exhibited the highest $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=8.62 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ as well as inverse agonist potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.42 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. In addition, bioinformatics prediction using the web tool SwissADME revealed that 8,11 , and 19 possessed good drug-likeness profiles.
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## 1. Introduction

Adenosine is an endogenous purinergic nucleoside which interferes in many physiological states related to cardiovascular, immune, and neurological functions. Extracellular adenosine acts via four distinct $G$ protein-coupled membrane receptors, namely $A_{1}, A_{2 A}, A_{2 B}$, and $A_{3}$ adenosine receptors (ARs). The $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$ receptors are principally coupled to $G_{i / o}$ proteins thus inducing an inhibitory effect on adenylyl cyclase and reducing cAMP production, while the $A_{2 A}$ and $A_{2 B}$ receptors stimulate the production of cAMP via $G_{s}$ proteins [1]. ARs are distributed all over in the body and elevated adenosine levels and/or upregulation of ARs have been detected in many pathological conditions [2]. The $A_{2 A} A R$ is located both peripherally and centrally, with the highest expression levels in the striatum, olfactory tubercle, and the immune system. The $A_{2 A} A R$ is a very promising target in the field of neurodegenerative pathologies, mainly Parkinson's (PD) and Alzheimer's (AD) diseases [3-5]. Several $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists have demonstrated to improve PD motor dysfunctions in various preclinical animal models as well as in clinical studies [5]. Furthermore, neuroprotective functions were associated with
the use of $A_{2 A} A R$ antagonists thus suggesting that they may delay the onset and progression of PD [5]. The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists, such as Tozadenant (SYN 115) [6], ST 1535 [7], Vipadenant [8], Preladenant (SCH 420814) [9], and Istradefylline [10], have been clinically investigated showing potential effect in the treatment of PD. In particular, Istradefylline received marketing approval in Japan in 2013 as NOURIAST ${ }^{\circledR}$ (Figure 1) and in 2019 was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for PD [11]. In the case of AD, it is well established that $A_{2 A} A R$ antagonists prevent amyloid beta toxicity accompanied by improvement of spatial memory [12].
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Figure 1. $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists progressed into clinical testing for the treatment of Parkinson's disease (PD) and cancer.

Recently a large amount of research focused on the $A_{2 A} A R$ as a new target for cancer immunotherapy [13,14]. In fact, the $A_{2 A} A R$ represents an important immune checkpoint for $T$ cells and NK cells and its activation induces suppression of immune cells response. Considering the increased $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR expression in activated tumor infiltrating T cells, it is thus clear that this mechanism is important to favor tumor escape [15]. Moreover, $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ is expressed also in tumor cells and its stimulation induces and increases cell proliferation, chemotaxis and migration, thus favoring tumor growth and metastasis [16]. The potential therapeutic role of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists to avoid immunoescaping of tumor cells and tumor development is evident. Indeed, four $A_{2 A} A R$ antagonists, including Preladenant [17], PBF-509 [18], CPI-444 [19], and AZD4635 [20] have entered clinical development as anticancer drugs alone and in combination with other agents (Figure 1).

Our group previously synthesized some potent human (h) $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists/inverse agonists belonging to different chemical classes [21-31]. Among these, the thiazolo[5,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine one (TP series) has been deeply investigated allowing us to delineate comprehensive structure activity relationships [21,25-27,31]. This was possible because the central thiazolopyrimidine scaffold can be easily decorated by at least three different substituents at positions 2, 5, and 7, to explore diverse sites of interaction. To obtain potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists/inverse agonists, the
thiazolopyrimidine core must exhibit an exocyclic amine group at position 7 and a furan-2-yl moiety at position 2. In contrast, substituents endowed with variable properties, such as the steric hindrance, seems to be tolerated at position 5. In fact, good to high $A_{2 A} A R$ affinity was observed when an (hetero)aryl or alkyl residue was attached by diverse linkers at position 5 of the thiazolopyrimidine scaffold [21,25-27]. In particular, in a recent paper by us some interesting results were obtained when the linker was a piperazine moiety directly attached to the bicyclic core or spaced by an ethylamino chain [31]. It has to be noted that piperazine derivatives are reported to elicit a broad spectrum of pharmacological activities. In fact, this heterocycle is present in many well-known drugs belonging to diverse pharmacological classes [32].

Thus, to further investigate the structure-activity relationships of the 7-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)-thiazolo[5,4- $d$ ]pyrimidines as $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists/inverse agonists, in the present paper we describe the synthesis of the new derivatives $\mathbf{1 - 8}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 2 1}$ (Figure 2) bearing at position 5 a piperidine or a piperazine moiety directly attached to the bicyclic core ( $\mathbf{1}$, and $\mathbf{2}-\mathbf{8}$, respectively) or spaced by an ethylamino chain ( $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 11-16, respectively). Moreover, a little set of compounds bearing at position 5 a methylamino (17) or a methylaminopiperidine chain (18-21) is reported.
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Figure 2. General structure of the designed 7-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)-thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidines.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. Chemistry

Compounds 1-8, 10-21 were prepared following a common procedure that first involved the obtainment of the 7-amino-5-chloro-2-(furan-2-yl)-thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine 22 and of the appropriate amine tails 23-42. Then, the two building blocks were reacted together to provide the desired compounds (Scheme 1).

The 7-amino-5-chloro-thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine 22 was prepared as previously described [21]. The reaction of the latter with an excess of the proper amine 23-42, under microwave irradiation, delivered the target compounds 1-8, 10-21. The amines 23-24, 26, 28-30 were commercial, while 25, 27 , and 38 were prepared according to the literature [33-35]. The ethylamine derivatives 31-37 [36,37] were synthesized as outlined in Scheme 2.

Briefly, 4-benzylpiperidine 23 and the $N^{1}$-substituted piperazines 24-28, 43 [38] were alkylated in standard conditions with $N$-(2-bromoethyl)phalimide 44 to achieve the $N$-(2-ethylsubstituted)phtalimide derivatives 45-51. Removal of the phthaloyl group of the latters by hydrazinolysis produced compounds 31-37.
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Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions. (a) n-BuOH, $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ MW, 20 min .


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions. (a) For $45-50: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, reflux, 14 h; for 51: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, reflux, 24 h ; (b) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 2 h .

Finally, the 4-aminomethyl-piperidine derivatives $39-42$ [39,40] were prepared starting from the commercial 4-aminomethylpiperidine 52, following the reported procedure (Scheme 3) [31]. The reaction of the latter with benzaldehyde in absolute ethanol gave the imino derivative 53 [39] which was then reacted with the proper alkyl(aryl)halide 54-57 to furnish, in satisfactory yields, the corresponding N -substituted piperidine derivatives 58-61 [39,40]. Acidic hydrolysis of the protecting imino group of the latter gave the desired 39-42.
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|  | $\mathbf{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 4 , 5 8}, \mathbf{3 9}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5}, \mathbf{5 9}, \mathbf{4 0}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\square$ |
| $\mathbf{5 6 , 6 0 , 4 1}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 7 , 6 1 , 4 2}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions. (a) EtOH , benzaldehyde, reflux, 24 h ; (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, rt, 12 h ; (c) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, oxalic acid, reflux, 3 h .

### 2.2. Pharmacological Assays

Binding affinities of compounds $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 8}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 2 1}$ for the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR subtypes, expressed in Chinese Hamster Ovary ( CHO ) cells, were determined in radioligand competition experiments. In the binding affinity assays, the competition of ligands for specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{DPCPX},\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{ZM} 241385$, and $\left[{ }^{125} I\right] A B-M E C A$, respectively was measured to $h A_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 A}$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs. Activities of compounds $\mathbf{1 - 8}, \mathbf{1 0}-\mathbf{2 1}$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR subtype was determined by measuring the inhibition of NECA stimulated adenylyl cyclase activity in CHO cells expressing the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor. Compounds $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$, and $\mathbf{1 9}$, the best in terms of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and selectivity, were also evaluated for their functional behavior. Hence, compounds were tested to assess their ability to modulate cAMP production in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells. All pharmacological data are reported in Tables 1 and 2 together with those of the reference compound ZM 241,385 [41].

Table 1. Binding affinities $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at $h \mathrm{~A}_{1}, h \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $h \mathrm{~A}_{3}$ ARs and potencies $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ at $h \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs.


Data are expressed as means $\pm$ SEM. Affinity values obtained from the displacement of specific [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{DPCPX}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ ZM $2413833^{\text {b }}$, or $\left[^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ AB-MECA ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ ARs, $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \text { A ARs, or }} \mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs, respectively $(n=3-6)$. ${ }^{\text {c Potency }}$ $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ in cAMP assays to $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Percentage of inhibition ( $\mathrm{I} \%$ ) is determined at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the tested compounds. ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Ref. 31.

Table 2. Potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) of selected compounds on cyclic AMP assays in CHO cells expressing $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR.

| Compounds | Potency <br> $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}, \mathbf{n M}$ | Intrinsic <br> Activity | Pharmacological <br> Behavior |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $13.8 \pm 1.2$ | $-44 \pm 3$ | Inverse agonist |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $7.42 \pm 0.68$ | $-52 \pm 4$ | Inverse agonist |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $15.2 \pm 1.3$ | $-51 \pm 5$ | Inverse agonist |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $9.42 \pm 0.87$ | $-67 \pm 5$ | Inverse agonist |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $14.8 \pm 1.4$ | $-64 \pm 4$ | Inverse agonist |
| ZM 241385 | $1.42 \pm 0.11$ | $-48 \pm 4$ | Inverse agonist |

Data are expressed as means $\pm$ SEM.

## 3. Discussion

### 3.1. Structure-Activity Relationships

Binding and potency data of the newly synthesized compounds $\mathbf{1 - 8}, \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 2 1}$, and of the previously reported derivative 9 [31] are summarized in Table 1.

Most of the tested compounds (2-3, 6-8, 10-15, 19-20) displayed high to good affinity for the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR ( $8.62 \mathrm{nM}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}<187 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Instead, no significant affinity was detected for the off-target hARs with the exception of that of compounds $\mathbf{3 - 5}, \mathbf{1 1}, 20$ which bind the $h A_{1}(3,11,20)$ and the $h A_{3}$ subtypes (4-5) with good affinities.

Compounds 1-9 bear a piperidine (1) or a piperazine (2-9) substituted ring directly linked to the bicyclic thiazolopyrimidine core. Comparison of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ binding activity of the piperidine substituted $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=594 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and of its corresponding piperazine analogue $3\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}=58 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, both bearing an appended benzyl group, indicates that the piperazine linker is preferred. Analyzing the effect of different substituents on the piperazine ring, the data indicate that while an appended phenyl (2) or benzyl residue (3) was equally tolerated, a longer phenylethyl group (4) or a para-substituent $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{COOEt}\right)$ on the phenyl ring of 2 (compounds 5 and 9 , respectively), produced a drop in the binding activity. Introduction of a furan-2-yl methanone residue on the piperazine ring gave compound $\mathbf{6}$ which shows good $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR affinity even if lower than that of 2 and 3 . In contrast, the presence of an ethylamine chain yielded derivatives $7-8$ endowed with higher $h_{2 A} A R$ affinity than that of $\mathbf{2}$ and 3 . Moreover, the (pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl derivative 8 is also highly selective toward this receptor subtype.

With respect to derivatives $\mathbf{1 - 6}$ and $\mathbf{9}$, the piperidine or piperazine residue at position 5 of compounds 10-16 was shifted from the thiazolopyrimidine core by an ethylamino linker thus increasing chain flexibility. In general this structural change leads to an improved binding affinity with only two exceptions. In fact, while derivative 12 is slightly less active than its homologue 3, the ethylbenzoate derivative 16 is equiactive to 9 . Among the herein reported compounds, the phenylpiperazine derivative 11 possesses the highest $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR affinity displaying a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 8.6 nM . Compared to the latter, the (furan-2-yl)methanonepiperazine derivative 15 shows a similar binding activity ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=10.8 \mathrm{nM}$ ) but is more selective toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR. Compound 14, characterized by the same side chain of Preladenant, possesses high $h A_{2 A}$ AR affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=18.3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ similar to that of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$, and is also highly selective.

Finally, the binding results of the last set of compounds (17-21), all characterized by an aminomethyl linker between the bicyclic core and the ethylbenzoate (17) or the substituted piperidine residue (18-21), indicate that only in one case, i.e., the benzyl piperidine derivative 19, a high affinity ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=15.2 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and a good selectivity toward the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ subtype is reached.

Selected compounds $8,11,14-15$, and 19 , the best in terms of $h A_{2 A} A R$ affinity and selectivity, were also evaluated in functional assays to assess their ability to modulate cAMP production in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ CHO cells (Table 2, Figure S1). All the tested compounds behaved as inverse agonists since they were able to inhibit basal cAMP accumulation. In particular, according to their nanomolar $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities, compounds $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$, and $\mathbf{1 9}$ show $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values spanning from 15.2 to 7.42 nM and also in this assay derivative 11 is the most active.

### 3.2. In Silico ADME Prediction

Compounds $8,11,14-15$, and 19 were also evaluated in silico to test their "drug-likeness" profiles on the basis of the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) properties. Calculations were performed by the SwissADME web service (http://www.swissadme.ch developed by the Molecular Modeling Group of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics) that gives free access to a pool of fast yet robust predictive models for small molecules pharmacokinetic properties [42]. The data evaluated for the selected compounds are summarized in the Supplementary Materials (Table S1).

Investigated molecules possessed several favorable ADME properties. All compounds obeyed the Lipinsky's rule of five indicating drug-likeness. Moreover, they possessed good probability to have at least $10 \%$ oral bioavailability in rat or measurable Caco-2 permeability. SwissADME returns warnings if the molecule under evaluation contains fragments that could yield a false positive biological output (PAINS Pan Assay Interference Structures). Compounds 8, 11, 15, and 19 had no PAINS alerts, while 14 showed one alert. The topological surface area (TPSA) measures the drug ability to permeate cells. Compounds 8, 11, and 19 showed similar TPSA values less than $140 \AA^{2}$ suggesting that they could permeate cell membranes. The Consesus $\log \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w}}$ (octanol/water partition coefficient) values indicated rather a reasonable absorption ( $1.71<$ Consensus $\log \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{w}}<3.34$ ), while the $\log \mathrm{S}$ values defined moderate solubility in the body.

The bioavailability radars (Figure 3) are the drug-likeness graphs of analyzed compounds presented in the form of a hexagon with each of the vertices representing a parameter (lipophilicity, size, polarity, solubility, flexibility, and saturation) that define a bioavailable drug. The pink region is the suitable physicochemical space for oral bioavailability. The radar plot of the molecule, represented by the red distorted hexagon, has to fall entirely in the pink area to be considered drug-like. From the graphs in Figure 3, it was found that while compounds 8, 11, and 19 were orally bioavailable, compounds 14 and 15 were not, because of being too polar and 14 also too flexible.


Figure 3. Bioavailability radars for the analyzed compounds, from the SwissADME web tool. LIPO $=$ lipophilicity (XLOGP3 between -0.7 and 5.0); SIZE (molecular weight between 150 and $500 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ); POLAR $=$ polarity (TPSA between 20 and $130 \AA^{2}$ ); INSOLU $=$ solubility ( $\log$ S not higher than 6); INSATU = saturation (fraction of carbons in the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization not less than 0.25 ); FLEX $=$ flexibility (no more than nine rotatable bonds).

Finally, the BOILED-egg (Brain Or IntestinaL EstimateD) method (Figure 4) allows predicting simultaneously two keys in vivo ADME parameters, i.e., the passive gastrointestinal absorption (HIA) and brain access (BBB) [43]. While all studied compounds had no BBB permeability (none in the yellow region), compounds 8,11 , and 19 exert high HIA (in the white region) and compounds 14 and 15 were not permeable (in the grey region). Moreover, they all were predicted as actively effluxed by Pgp (blue dots = PGP + ).


Figure 4. Predicted BOILED-Egg diagram of the analyzed compounds, from the SwissADME web tool.

## 4. Materials and Methods

### 4.1. Chemistry

### 4.1.1. General Methods

The microwave-assisted syntheses were performed using an Initiator EXP Microwave Biotage instrument (frequency of irradiation: 2.45 GHz ). Analytical silica gel plates (Merck F254, Kenilworth, NJ, USA), preparative silica gel plates (Merck F254, 2 mm ), and silica gel 60 (Merck, 70-230 mesh) were used for analytical and preparative TLC, and for column chromatography, respectively. All melting points were determined on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed with a FlashE1112 Thermofinnigan elemental analyzer for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ and the results were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. All final compounds revealed a purity not less than $95 \%$. Compounds were named following IUPAC rules as applied by ChemDrawUltra 9.0. The IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum RX I spectrometer in Nujol mulls and are expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and 100 MHz for $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\right)$. The chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ and are relative to the central peak of the solvent which was $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{DMSOd}_{6}$. The following abbreviations are used: s: Singlet, d: Doublet, t: Triplet, m: Multiplet, br: Broad, and ar: Aromatic protons.

### 4.1.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 1-8, 10-21

The proper amine $23-42$ ( 3 mmol ) was added to a solution of the 5 -chloro-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-7-amine derivative 22 [21] ( 1 mmol ) in n - $\mathrm{BuOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was microwave irradiated at $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , then cooled at room temperature and basified with an aqueous KOH solution ( $50 \%$ ). Addition of water afforded a solid which was
collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The crude material was purified by crystallization or by chromatography.

5-(4-Benzylpiperidin-1-yl)-2-(furan-2yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-7-amine (1). Yield 51\%. Mp: $197-199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 1.06-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.77$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.04-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.17-7.30$ (m, 7H, 5ar $+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}\right): \mathrm{C}, 64.43 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 5.41 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 17.89 \%$. Anal. found: C, $64.55 \%$; H $5.77 \%$; N 18.13\%.

2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-7-amine (2). Yield 30\%. Mp: $219-221^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3.27(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.57-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $6.91(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.29-7.33(m,2H, ar), 7.57-7.58 (m, 1H, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 164.99, 159.26, 157.29, 151.56, 148.58, 146.30, 129.42, 125.09, 119.63, 116.32, 113.20, 110.26, 48.87, 44.20. IR: 3172, 3213, 3300, 3392. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, $60.30 \%$; H, $4.79 \%$; N, $22.21 \%$. Anal. found: C, $60.43 \%$; H, $5.08 \%$; N, $22.49 \%$.

5-(4-Benzylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-7-amine (3). The crude product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane $7 / 3$. Yield $40 \%$. Mp: $181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 2.34-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.06-7.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ar), $7.23-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{ar}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90-7.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ : $164.97,159.24,157.21,148.58,146.12,138.54,124.44,129.24,128.67,128.58,127.43,127.31,113.19,110.16$, $62.59,53.01,44.27$. IR: $3149,3172,3211,3304$. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right): \mathrm{C}, 61.21 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 5.14 \%$; N , $21.41 \%$. Anal. found: C, $61.48 \%$ H, $5.51 \%$; N, $21.74 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(4-phenethylpiperazin-1-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-7-amine (4). The crude product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane $7 / 3$. Yield $30 \%$. Mp: 203-204 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 2.52-2.57 (m, 6H), 2.75-2.79 (m, 2H), 3.72-3.77 $(\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.06-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.17-7.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{ar}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91-7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 164.97,159.26,157.20,148.56,146.09,140.86,129.11,128.70,126.30,124.93$, $113.22,110.18,60.25,53.06,44.30,33.17$. IR: 3280, 3421. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right): \mathrm{C}, 62.05 \%$; H , $5.46 \%$; N, $20.67 \%$. Anal. found: C, $61.98 \%$; H, $5.54 \%$ N, $21.03 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(4-(4-(2-methoxyethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)thiazolo[5,4- $d$ ]pyrimidin-7-amine (5). Yield $50 \%$. Mp: $181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 3.05-3.08 (m, 4H). $3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.32\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ : C, $58.39 \%$; H, $5.35 \%$; N, $18.57 \%$. Anal. found: C, $58.68 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 5.58 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 18.79 \%$.
(4-(7-Amino-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)piperazin-1-yl)(furan-2-yl)methanone (6). The crude product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane $7 / 3$. Yield $25 \%$. Mp: $227-229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (tetrahydrofuran/water). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 3.74-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 6.65-6.66 (m, 1H, ar), 6.74-6.75 (m, 1H, ar), 7.04-7.05 (m, 1H, ar), 7.08-7.09 (m, 1H, ar), $7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.47,159.31,158.89,156.28,148.70,147.91$, $144.07,143.82,125.30,116.65,112.33,111.38,110.07,60.41,44.38,26.91,21.07,14.21$. IR: 3429, 3307, 3209, 1620. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ : C, $54.54 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 4.07 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 21.20 \%$. Anal. found: $\mathrm{C}, 54.00 \%$; H , $4.29 \%$; N, 21.39\%.

5-(4-(2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-7-amine (7). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system chloroform/methanol/ammonium hydroxide 8.5/1.5/0.15. Yield $38 \%$. Mp: 183-186 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.06-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar). Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}, 54.67 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.21 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 26.25 \%$. Anal. found: C, $55.01 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.54 \%$; N, 26.39\%.

2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(4-(2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-7-amine (8). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system chloroform/methanol/ammonium hydroxide $8.5 / 1.5 / 0.15$. Yield $25 \%$. Mp: 181-183 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 1.59-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.06-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90-7.91(\mathrm{~m}$,

1 H, ar). Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, $57.12 \%$; H, $6.31 \%$; N, $24.54 \%$. Anal. found: C, $56.89 \%$; H , $6.45 \%$; N, 24.77\%.
$N^{5}$-(2-(4-Benzylpiperidin-1-yl)ethyl)-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (10). Yield $22 \%$. Mp: $155-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.28-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48-3.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.48\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.57-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.97-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.15-7.32$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 165.01,160.30,157.45,148.63,140.86,129.43$, 128.56, 126.15, 113.15, 109.98, 57.77, 53.86, 42.87, 37.89, 32.26. IR: 3323, 3169, 3116. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, $63.57 \%$; H, $6.03 \%$; N, $19.34 \%$. Anal. found: C, $63.88 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.36 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 19.21 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-(2-(4-phenylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)thiazolo[5,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (11). Yield $60 \%$. Mp: 218- $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-methoxyethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.67-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.49\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.57-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.27-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 160.36$, $157.49,151.54,148.64,129.36,119.17,115.77,113.14,110.01,57.55,53.25,48.70,38.83$. IR: 3334,3263 , 3165,3115 . Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, $59.84 \%$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.50 \%$; N, $23.26 \%$. Anal. found: $\mathrm{C}, 60.19 \% ; \mathrm{H}$, $5.55 \%$, N, 23.55\%.
$N^{5}$-(2-(4-Benzylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (12). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane/ methanol 6.5/2/1.5. Yield $45 \%$. Mp: 133-135 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.54-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 5.53\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.56-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.97-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.28-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 160.29,157.44,148.61,138.68,133.04,129.26,127.31,125.56$, 113.12, 110.00, $62.54,57.47,53.24,53.08$. IR: 3331, $3265,3174,3082$. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, $60.67 \%$; H, $5.79 \%$; N, $22.51 \%$. Anal. found: C, $60.49 \%$; H, 6.09\%; N, $22.43 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-(2-(4-phenethylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (13). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane/ methanol 6.5/2/1.5. Yield $37 \%$. Mp: 151-153 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.61-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.86(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.48-3.57 (m, 2H), $5.51\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.56-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.98-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.20-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ar), 7.56 (s, 1H, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 160.30,157.46,148.63,140.95,133.00$, $129.07,128.24,125.60,113.15,109.99,60.24,57.50,53.25,53.21,33.22$. IR: 3325, 3259, 3184, 3105. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, $61.45 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.05 \%$; N, 21.81\%. Anal. found: C, $61.63 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.34 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 22.11 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-(2-(4-(4-(2-methoxyethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (14). Yield $25 \%$. Mp: 203-204 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.67-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.50\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.57(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.57-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.98-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 159.78,156.65,152.94,148.76,145.92,143.96,118.05,115.41,112.26,109.88,71.20,67.75,59.19$, $56.85,53.05,50.51,38.31$. IR: $3325,3263,3167$. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right): \mathrm{C}, 58.16 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 5.90 \%$; N , $19.78 \%$. Anal. found: C, $58.29 \%$; H, $5.63 \%$; N, $20.05 \%$.
(4-(2-((7-Amino-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)amino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)(furan-$2-y l) m e t h a n o n e(15)$. The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane/methanol 6/4/0.5. Yield $33 \%$. Mp: 163-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.57-2.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.54-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.53-5.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.49-6.50$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}$ ), 6.57-6.58 (m, 1H, ar), 6.98-6.99 (m, 1H, ar), 7.01-7.02 (m, 1H, ar), $7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 164.97,160.31,158.70,157.45,148.61,147.51,145.07,115.91,113.15,111.71$, 110.00, 57.33, 53.27, 38.65. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ : C, $54.66 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 4.82 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 22.31 \%$. Anal. found: C, $54.94 \%$; H, $5.18 \%$; N, $22.67 \%$.

Ethyl 4-(4-(2-((7-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)amino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl) benzoate (16). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane/methanol 5/4/1. Yield $17 \%$. Mp: 242-244 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.27(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.59-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.52\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.98-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.57-7.59$
(m, 1H, ar), $7.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right): \mathrm{C}, 58.40 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 5.51 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 19.86 \%$. Anal. found: C, $58.67 \%$; H, $5.82 \%$; N, $20.10 \%$.

Ethyl 4-(((7-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)amino)methyl)benzoate (17). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system ethyl acetate/cyclohexane 4/6. Yield $36 \%$. Mp: 218-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $1.31(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.29(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.53-4.61 (m, 2H), 6.70-6.71 (m, 1H, ar), 7.03-7.07 (m, 1H, ar), $7.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.41-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{ar}+$ NH), 7.86-7.94 (m, 3H, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $164.95,159.22,157.22,148.55,146.15,143.28,142.87$, $124.95,113.23,110.21,65.38,61.86,53.02,44.45$. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}, 57.71 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 4.33 \%$; $\mathrm{N}, 17.71 \%$. Anal. found: C, $57.58 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 4.65 \%$ N, $17.88 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-((1-(2-methoxyethyl)piperidin-4-yl)methyl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (18). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system chloroform/methanol 8/2. Yield $20 \%$. Mp: $143-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.45-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.59-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 5.49 (br s, 2H, NH2 ), 6.56-6.58 (m, 1H, ar), 6.97-6.98 (m, 1H, ar), $7.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 165.03, 160.63, 157.41, 148.67, 113.17, 109.96, 70.36, 58.46, 57.77, 53.95, 47.12, 35.85, 30.21. IR: 3315, 3261, 3178. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ : C, $55.65 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.23 \%$; N, $21.63 \%$. Anal. found: C, $55.98 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 5.98 \%$; N, 21.77\%.
$N^{5}$-((1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)methyl)- 2-(furan-2-yl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (19). Yield $17 \%$. Mp: 188-190 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 1.12-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.64-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.71-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.03-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.11\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.21-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 160.62,157.41,148.67,139.24,129.15,128.54,127.19,113.13$, 109.92, 62.94, 53.51, 36.07, 30.37. IR: 3311, 3263, 3201. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right): \mathrm{C}, 62.83 \%$; H , $5.75 \%$; N, $19.98 \%$. Anal. found: C, $63.15 \%$; H, $5.59 \%$, N, $20.21 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-((1-(4-methoxybenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)methyl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7diamine (20). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system chloroform/methanol $8 / 2$. Yield $15 \%$. Mp: $182-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.34-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.34(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, $5.47\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.3$ Hz ), 7.56 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 160.62,158.72,157.51,148.62,130.94,130.41,113.94,113.18$, 109.99, 62.29, 55.49, 53.37, 47.14, 36.09, 30.30. IR: 3313, 3255, 3197. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ : C, $61.31 \%$; H, $5.82 \%$; N, $18.65 \%$. Anal. found: C, $61.49 \%$; H, $5.75 \%$; N, $18.78 \%$.

2-(Furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-((1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)methyl)thiazolo[5,4-d]pyrimidine-5,7-diamine (21). The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting system chloroform/methanol $8 / 2$. Yield $27 \%$. Mp: 174-176 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.40-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.59-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.47(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.57-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.98-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar $), 7.22-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, ar $), 7.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ar). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $160.62,157.41,148.66,141.05,129.11,128.67,126.21,113.13,109.87,60.59,53.52,47.18,36.09$, 33.35, 30.35. IR: 3311, 3267, 3197. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, $63.57 \%$; H, $6.03 \%$; $\mathrm{N}, 19.34 \%$. Anal. found: C, $63.72 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.39 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 19.51 \%$.

### 4.1.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 31-32

In a 50 mL flask, equipped with a magnetic stirrer and reflux condenser, the proper phthalimide derivatives 45-46 ( 7 mmol ), hydrazine hydrate ( 10 mmol ), and methanol ( 50 mL ) were added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 2 h , cooled down to room temprature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The remaining residue was dissolved in a NaOH aqueous solution ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washed with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The oily residue without further purification was used in the following step.

2-(4-Benzylpiperidin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (31). Yield $85 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.26-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, ar).

2-(4-Phenylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (32) [36]. Yield 63\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

### 4.1.4. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 33-36

In a 50 mL flask, equipped with a magnetic stirrer and reflux condenser, the proper phthalimide derivatives $47-50(7 \mathrm{mmol})$, hydrazine hydrate ( 10 mmol ), and methanol ( 50 mL ) were added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 2 h , cooled down to room temprature, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The remaining residue was treated with diethyl ether and the solid was filtered and used without further purification in the following step.

2-(4-Benzylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (33) [37]. Yield 85\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.10$ (br s, 4H), $2.34-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$.

2-(4-Phenethylpiperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (34). Yield 90\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.73$ (br s, 4 H$)$, $2.46(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$.

2-(4-(4-(2-Methoxyethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-amine (35). Yield $30 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ar).
(4-(2-Aminoethyl)piperazin-1-yl)(furan-2-yl)methanone (36). Yield $60 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $2.47-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.99-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$, 7.49 (s, 1H, ar).

### 4.1.5. Ethyl 4-(4-(2-aminoethyl)piperazin-1-yl)benzoate (37)

In a 50 mL flask, equipped with a magnetic stirrer and reflux condenser, the proper phthalimide derivatives $51(7 \mathrm{mmol})$, hydrazine hydrate $(8.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and methanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 2 h , cooled down to room temprature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The remaining residue was treated with a solution of HCl 1 M and the solid residue was filtered. The acidic solution was alkalinized with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and the obtained precipitate was filtered and used without further purification in the following step. Yield $50 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): 1.29(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.48-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.29-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.78$ (d,2H, ar).

### 4.1.6. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 39-42

To a solution of 58-61 ( 5.6 mmol ) in dichloromethane $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, oxalic acid ( 6.3 mmol ) was added. The solution was diluted with water ( 30 mL ) and refluxed under vigorous stirring for 3 h . After cooling, the aqueous layer was isolated, washed twice with dichloromethane ( 30 mL ), added with a NaOH aqueous solution ( $1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH} 9-10$ ), and extracted with chloroform ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated under reduced pressure, and the obtained oily residue was used as such in the next step.
(1-(2-Methoxyethyl)piperidin-4-yl)methanamine (39). Yield $60 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.01-1.11 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ $3.39(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
(1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)methanamine (40) [39]. Yield 35\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.15-1.17 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.33 (m, 5H, ar).
(1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)methanamine (41). Yield $55 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.27-1.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
(1-Phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)methanamine (42) [40]. Yield 63\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.27-1.31$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.21-7.32$ (m, 5H, ar).

### 4.1.7. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 45-50

In a 100 mL flask, equipped with a reflux condenser and a magnetic stirrer, benzyl piperidine 23 or the proper piperazine $24-28(5 \mathrm{mmol})$, alkyl bromide $44(5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and MeCN $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 14 h . The warm suspension was filtered and the resulting filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by crystallization.

2-(2-(4-Benzylpiperidin-1-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (45). Yield $54 \% . \mathrm{Mp}: 100-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.18-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.51-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.13-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.17-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.72-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.85-7.87$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar). IR: 1770, 1708, 1705. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 75.85 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.94 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 8.04 \%$. Anal. found: C, $76.17 \%$; H, $7.23 \%$; N, $8.33 \%$.

2-(2-(4-Phenylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (46) [36]. Yield 45\%. Mp: 152-154 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.69-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.24-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.72-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.85-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar). IR: 1712. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ : C, $71.62 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.31 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 12.53 \%$. Anal. found: C, $71.95 \%$; H, 6.52\%; N, 12.88\%.

2-(2-(4-Benzylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (47) [37]. Yield $43 \%$. Mp: $88-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.72-7.75 (m, 2H, ar), 7.85-7.87 (m, 2H, ar). Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 72.18 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.63 \%$; $\mathrm{N}, 12.03 \%$. Anal. found: $\mathrm{C}, 72.39 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.50 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 12.29 \%$.

2-(2-(4-Phenethylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (48). Yield $42 \%$. Mp: $131-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.52-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19-7.22$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.27-7.31 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.71-7.75 (m, 2H, ar), 7.84-7.88 (m, 2H, ar). Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 72.70 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.93 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 11.56 \%$. Anal. found: $\mathrm{C}, 72.81 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.81 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 11.43 \%$.

2-(2-(4-(4-(2-Methoxyethoxy)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (49). Yield 36\%. Mp: $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.69-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.72-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.84-7.87$ (m, 2H, ar). IR: 1697. Anal. calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right): \mathrm{C}, 67.46 \% ; \mathrm{H}, 6.65 \% ; \mathrm{N}, 10.26 \%$. Anal. found: C, $67.55 \%$; H, $7.01 \%$; N, 10.33\%.

2-(2-(4-(Furan-2-carbonyl)piperazin-1-yl)ethyl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (50). Yield 61\%. Mp: $146-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 2.57-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74$ (br $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.47-6.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.97-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.48-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.72-7.76$ (m, 2H, ar), 7.85-7.89 (m, 2H, ar). Anal. calcd. for ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ): C, 64.58\%; H, 5.42\%; N, 11.89\%. Anal. found: C, $64.67 \%$; H, $5.69 \%$; N, $12.17 \%$.

### 4.1.8. Ethyl 4-(4-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)benzoate (51)

In a 100 mL flask, equipped with a reflux condenser and a magnetic stirrer, the aryl piperazine 43 ( 5 mmol ), alkyl bromide $44(5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{MeCN}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 24 h . The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the oily residue was treated with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid was filtered, washed with diethyl ether, and used as such in the next step. Yield $46 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.53-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.22-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$.

### 4.1.9. General Procedure for the Synthesis of 58-61

Benzaldehyde ( 5 mmol ) was added to a solution of 4-aminomethylpiperidine $52(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute ethanol ( 10 mL ), and the mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h . After cooling, the solvent was removed by evaporation at reduced pressure. Oily $N$-(piperidin-4-ylmethyl)-1-phenylmethanimine 53 [39] was thus obtained, and used in a subsequent reaction without further purification. The imine derivative $53(2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in acetone ( 15 mL ) containing potassium carbonate ( 5.1 mmol ) and the proper bromide derivative $54-57(3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture thus obtained was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , then suspension was filtered and the solvent was evaporated at reduced pressure. The remaining oily residue without further purification was used in the next step.
$N-\left((1-(2-M e t h o x y e t h y l) p i p e r i d i n-4-y l)-1-p h e n y l m e t h a n i m i n e ~(58) . ~ Y i e l d ~ 67 \% . ~{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\right.$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.04-1.14 (m, 1H), 1.17-1.26 (m, 1H), 1.57-1.67 (m, 4H), 1.89-1.94 (m, 1H), 2.41-2.44 (m, 2H), 2.84-2.93 (m, 2H), $3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.72-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$, 8.30 (s, 1H, CH).
$N$-((1-Benzylpiperidin-4-yl)methyl)-1-phenylmethanimine (59) [39]. Yield 90\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $1.22-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.42-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.40-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.70-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$.
$N$-((1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)methyl)-1-phenylmethanimine (60). Yield $90 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.15-1.27 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.65 (m, 3H), 1.83-1.93 (m, 2H), 2.79-2.81 (m, 2H), $3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.45-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.72-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$.
$N$-((1-Phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)methyl)-1-phenylmethanimine (61) [40]. Yield 90\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): 1.11-1.27 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.73 (m, 3H), $1.94(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.14$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.44-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.73-7.74$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$.

### 4.2. Pharmacological Assays

### 4.2.1. Cell Culture and Membrane Preparation

CHO cells transfected with $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs were grown adherently and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with nutrient mixture F12, containing 10\% fetal calf serum, penicillin ( $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), streptomycin $(100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$, L-glutamine ( 2 mM ), geneticin (G418; $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / 95 \%$ air [44]. For membrane preparation, the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and scraped off T75 flasks in an ice-cold hypotonic buffer ( $5 \mathrm{mMTris-HCl}$, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4 ). The cell suspensions were homogenized with a Polytron, centrifuged for 30 min at $40,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting membrane pellets were used for competition binding experiments [44].

### 4.2.2. Competition Binding Experiments

All synthesized compounds have been tested for their affinity to $h A_{1}, h A_{2 A}$, and $h A_{3}$ ARs. Competition experiments to $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ ARs were performed incubating $1 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-8$-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DPCPX) with membrane suspension ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein $/ 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and different concentrations of the examined compounds at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min in 50 mM TrisHCl, pH 7.4 . Non-specific binding was defined as binding in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DPCPX}$ and was always $<10 \%$ of the total binding [44]. Inhibition experiments to $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs were performed incubating 1 nM of [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{ZM} 241385$ with the membrane suspension ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein $/ 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and different concentrations of the examined compounds for 60 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $50 \mathrm{mMTris-HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$. Non-specific binding was evaluated in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ZM241385 and was about $20 \%$ of the total binding [45]. Competition binding experiments to $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs were carried out incubating the membrane suspension ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein $/ 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) with 0.5 nM [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-N^{6}$-(4-aminobenzyl)- $N$-methylcarboxamidoadenosine ( $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$-ABMECA) in the presence of different concentrations of the examined compounds for an
incubation time of 120 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $50 \mathrm{mMTris-} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA. Non-specific binding was defined as binding in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ABMECA}$ and was always $<10 \%$ of the total binding [46]. Bound and free radioactivity were separated by filtering the assay mixture through Whatman GF/B glass fiber filters using a Brandel cell harvester (Brandel Instruments, Unterföhring, Germany). The filter bound radioactivity was counted in a Packard Tri Carb 2810 TR scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA).

### 4.2.3. Cyclic AMP Assays

CHO cells transfected with hAR subtypes were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, detached with trypsin, and centrifuged for 10 min at $200 \times \mathrm{g}$. Cells were seeded in a 96 -well white half-area microplate (Perkin Elmer, Boston, USA) in a stimulation buffer composed of Hank Balanced Salt solution, 5 mM HEPES, 0.5 mM Ro 20-1724, $0.1 \%$ BSA, $1 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL}$ adenosine deaminase. cAMP levels were then quantified by using the AlphaScreencAMP detection kit (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions [47]. At the end of the experiments, plates were read with the Perkin Elmer EnSight Multimode Plate Reader.

### 4.2.4. Data Analysis

The protein concentration was determined according to a Bio-Rad method with bovine albumin as a standard reference. Inhibitory binding constant (Ki) values were calculated from those of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ according to the Cheng and Prusoff equation $\mathrm{Ki}=\mathrm{IC}_{50} /\left(1+\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right] / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{*}\right)$, where $\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right]$ is the concentration of the radioligand and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{*}$ is its dissociation constant [46]. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated by the non-linear regression analysis using the equation for a sigmoid concentration-response curve (Graph-PAD Prism, San Diego, CA, USA).

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the herein reported structural investigation has led to a good number of new 7-amino-2-(furan-2-yl)-thiazolo[5,4- $d$ ]pyrimidines, featuring piperidine or piperazine substituents at position 5 , endowed with potent and selective $h_{A_{2 A}}$ AR inverse agonist activities. Among them, compound 11 bearing a phenylpiperazine-ethylamino chain at position 5 , showed the highest $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR binding affinity and potency. Furthermore, the SwissADME prediction indicated that compounds 8,11 , and 19 exhibited good drug-likeness properties.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1424-8247/13/8/161/s1, Figure S1: Inhibition curves of cAMP levels in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells by selected compounds in comparison with the reference compound ZM 241385. Table S1: Selected physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties and drug-likeness predictions of analyzed compounds $8,11,14,15,19$.
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