



FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

The effect of effusion holes inclination angle on the adiabatic film cooling effectiveness in a three-sector gas turbine combustor rig with

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

The effect of effusion holes inclination angle on the adiabatic film cooling effectiveness in a three-sector gas turbine combustor rig with a realistic swirling flow / Andreini, Antonio; Becchi, Riccardo; Facchini, Bruno; Picchi, Alessio; Peschiulli, Antonio. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF THERMAL SCIENCES. - ISSN 1290-0729. - STAMPA. - 121:(2017), pp. 75-88. [10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2017.07.003]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1102881 since: 2021-03-28T22:56:41Z

Published version: DOI: 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2017.07.003

Terms of use: Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)

The Effect of Effusion Holes Inclination Angle on the Adiabatic Film Cooling Effectiveness in a Three-Sector Gas Turbine Combustor Rig with a Realistic Swirling Flow

Antonio Andreini^{a,*}, Riccardo Becchi^a, Bruno Facchini^a, Alessio Picchi^a, Antonio Peschiulli^b

^aDepartment of Industrial Engineering DIEF, University of Florence, Via di Santa Marta 3, 50139 Florence, Italy ^bGE Avio S.R.L. - Via I Maggio, 99, 10040, Rivalta di Torino (TO), Italy

Abstract

The introduction of Lean Burn concept as basic Low- NO_x scheme for future aero-engines is heavily affecting the aero-thermal design of combustors. A great amount of air is admitted through the injection system with relevant swirl components, producing very complex flow structures (recirculations, vortex breakdown) for flame stabilization. As a consequence a reduced quantity of air is available for liner cooling, pushing the adoption of high effectiveness cooling schemes. Effusion cooling represents one of the first choices due to its low weight and a relatively easy manufacturability. Liner metal temperature is kept low by the combined protective effect of coolant film, heat removal inside holes and an improved cold-side convection. In lean burn systems the evolution of film protection can be heavily influenced by the swirl flow interaction with combustor walls.

The subject of this work is to investigate the effects of the realistic flow field of a lean burn injector on the adiabatic film cooling effectiveness on an effusion cooled combustor liner. A dedicated three-sector rig was designed with the aim of measuring film effectiveness with Pressure Sensitive Paint technique. Three effusion cooling geometries with different inclination angles were tested at various levels of pressure drops across the perforation, resulting in different blowing ratio values. It was also taken into consideration several flow rate levels of starter film realized by spent dome cooling air, injected through a dedicated plain slot. The analysis of film effectiveness measurements were supported by flow field investigation in the near wall region carried out by means of Particle Image Velocimetry.

Results pointed out the relevant impact of combustor flow field on the adiabatic film cooling effectiveness as well as a significant role of the inclination angle, recommending a careful revision of standard design practices based on one dimensional flow assumption and suggesting possible holes arrangement optimization.

Keywords: Gas Turbine, Combustor, Liner, Effusion cooling, Adiabatic Film Cooling Effectiveness, Swirl flows, PSP, PIV

^{*}Corresponding Author

Email address: antonio.andreini@unifi.it (Antonio Andreini)

Nomenclature Momentum flux $[kg/m/s^2]$ GAcronyms PBRBlowing Ratio [-]Static pressure [Pa]CCDCharged Coupled Device SHole pitch [m]TCdDischarge coefficient [-]Temperature [K]CRCorner Recirculation VVelocity [m/s]DRWDensity Ratio [-]Slot coolant consumption [-]IRInner Recirculation Stream-wise, axial direction [m]x NO_x Nitrogen Oxides Span-wise, lateral direction [m]yPERM Partial Evaporation and Rapid Mixing Orthogonal to test plate direction [m]zPIVParticle Image Velocimetry **Subscripts** PMMA Poly-Methyl Methacrylate adadiabatic PSPPressure Sensitive Paint adiabatic wall awReReynolds number [-]cooling flow cool S_N Swirl Number [-]effeffusion flow Greek symbols hydraulic hInjection angle [deg]ininlet α Film Cooling Effectiveness mainmainstream η Perforation porosity [-]maximum σ maxθ Tangential direction in swirler flow [-]outlet outLatin symbols slot cooling system slotMass flow [g/s]swirler \dot{m} swΑ Area $[m^2]$ wall wCMass fraction [-]axial direction xDiameter [m]D lateral direction ydHoles diameter [m]orthogonal to test plate direction \boldsymbol{z}

1 1. Introduction

In modern gas turbine combustors the process of flame stabilization and anchoring is widely based on 2 the use of swirling flows. Combustion air is delivered as swirling jets in single or multiple configurations. 3 The objective is to promote the so-called vortex breakdown process, which is the base flow structure of swirl л stabilized flames. With this type of flow, wide low speed regions are produced by the onset of inner and outer 5 recirculations, supporting local flame anchoring. Recirculating flows allow to have a continuous supply of 6 high temperature gases to incoming fresh mixture, while the strong velocity gradients and flow unsteadiness greatly enhance free stream turbulence which improves the overall reaction and mixing rates. This type of 8 flame stabilization process has become more and more common and exasperated with the widespread use of q lean flames for reduction of NO_x emissions, firstly adopted in heavy duty gas turbines [1], and more recently 10 considered also for aero-engine combustors to fulfil the future emissions standards [2]. 11

A common characteristics of lean burn gas turbine combustors is the great amount of air delivered by the 12 fuel-air injection system, that can reach 70 - 75% of total combustor air. This means a strong reduction of 13 air available for liner wall cooling, forcing to the introduction of high effectiveness cooling schemes. Among 14 different possible solutions, effusion cooling (or full coverage film cooling) certainly represents one of the most 15 promising technology. It is based on the injection of cooling air through a dense pattern of small diameter 16 holes drilled on the liner. The purpose is to generate an high effectiveness layer of coolant on the liner surface, 17 avoiding its direct exposure to hot gases, and to provide heat removal by forced convection inside each hole. 18 An additional positive contribution to overall cooling effectiveness may come to an increased convective heat 19 transfer on the cold-side of the liner due to the suction effect of coolant flow near the rim of each effusion 20 holes. Thanks to the relative simple manufacturing process involved and a reduced impact on combustor 21 weight, effusion is one the first options, especially in aero-engine applications. A recent review on effusion 22 cooling concept with a discussion about the basics related to hole spacing and coolant-hot-gas interaction 23 can be found in Krewinkel [3], where some perspectives about the application of effusion cooling to turbine 24 blade cooling are also reported. More specific assessments regarding the application of effusion cooling to 25 combustor liner with fundamental analysis about the relative weight of the three main contributions to overall 26 cooling effectiveness can be found in Martiny et al. [4] and more recently in Gerendás et al. [5] and Andreini 27 et al. [6]. 28

The engineering problem of applying effusion to combustor liner cooling, together with all related physi-29 cal aspects, has been widely analysed over the last 40 years, with several contributions available in the open 30 literature. In particular most part of the studies have usually been aimed at investigating the role of the var-31 ious flow and geometric parameters on the film cooling effectiveness, generally with simplified configurations 32 (flat plates with uniform mainstream flow). One of the first contribution is due to Kasagi et al. [7] where 33 the overall cooling effectiveness of full coverage film cooling plates was measured at different blowing ratios 34 with liquid crystals technique. The focus was put on the the role of thermal properties of the plate material. 35 Among the pioneering studies it is worth to cite the contributions by Andrews and co-workers [8, 9, 10] where 36

the effects on film effectiveness of several parameters, as the number of holes, length and arrangement, were 37 investigated. In their study, Martiny et al. [11] evaluated row by row adiabatic film effectiveness (via Infra-38 Red thermography) and performed flow visualizations (by means of Schlieren photography) on a full coverage 39 film cooling plate with highly inclined holes (17°) at different blowing ratios (0.5 to 4.0). It was observed 40 that, even with high blowing ratio and therefore with full penetration of jets, an appreciable cooling benefit 41 can be measured in terms of adiabatic film effectiveness. This is due to a reduction of gas temperature in the 42 mixing region contributing to keep near wall temperature low even without the presence of a coherent film: 43 this is expected to be the process in actual combustor where high blowing ratios are commonly observed. 44

An extensive parametric study was later realized by Gustafsson and Johansson [12] where overall cool-45 ing effectiveness was tested with Infra-Red thermography. A large database was obtained varying several 46 flow and geometric parameters, nevertheless results in terms of overall cooling effectiveness do not permit 47 to accurately separate the effects on adiabatic film effectiveness and heat transfer. In the contribution by 48 Harrington et al. [13] the effect of an increasing free stream turbulence on the adiabatic film effectiveness was 49 analysed for normal injection holes. A reduction of film coverage is observed when turbulence increases, but 50 the impact is largely reduced with blowing ratios approaching 1.0. More recently Martin and Thorpe [14] 51 observed an increase of adiabatic effectiveness with realistic high free stream turbulence when using inclined 52 holes at blowing ratio above 1.0: this is due to an increased mixing rate of the jet with the mainstream, which 53 enhance the amount of coolant close to wall region. The investigation carried out by Scrittore et al. [15] was 54 focused on the measurement of adiabatic film effectiveness and flow field from inclined effusion cooling jets in 55 a range of blowing ratios (3.2 to 5.0) that can be observed in actual combustors. A large number of effusion 56 rows was considered (20) permitting to observe the achievement of fully developed film after the 15th row. 57 In their recent study, Ligrani et al. [16] showed adiabatic film effectiveness and heat transfer for full coverage 58 film cooling configurations in the presence of a streamwise pressure gradient; the effect of the blowing ratio 59 and the influence of dense/sparse hole arrays on the thermal effectiveness are discussed. 60

One of the most important parameter affecting the final adiabatic film effectiveness of multi row effusion 61 cooling is certainly the inclination angle of the holes. Among the first systematic studies concerning this 62 aspect is worth to be mentioned Foster and Lampard [17] who analysed the effects of the injection angle in-63 vestigating a set of geometries with $\alpha = 35^{\circ}, 55^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$. Great dependence from this parameter was observed, 64 with small injection angle that showed the highest cooling effectiveness at low blowing ratios, while large 65 injection angles were advantageous at high blowing ratios. Coming to more recent contributions, Hale et al. 66 [18] performed a parametric study for a single row of short holes, fed by a narrow plenum, with two different 67 injection angles $(35^{\circ} \text{ and } 90^{\circ})$. Results highlighted that under specific conditions, similar or improved cover-68 age was achieved with orthogonal injection compared with 35° holes. An investigation carried out by Baldauf 69 et al. [19] indicated optimum flow conditions for efficient cooling for a wide range of configurations, among 70 which it's worth to mention three different streamwise angle levels (30°, 60°, 90°). The same range of angles 71 were investigated by Yuen and Martinez-Botas [20] in a flat plate test facility with a zero pressure gradient 72

⁷³ for a wide range of blowing ratios. Behrendt et al. [21] presented results about the characterization of the ⁷⁴ film effectiveness of advanced combustor cooling concepts at realistic operating conditions. They observed ⁷⁵ an effectiveness improvement at lower cooling hole angles (20°) due to the reduced penetration depth of the ⁷⁶ cooling air jets. More recently Andreini et al. [22] carried out an investigation on several multi-perforated ⁷⁷ plates measuring the adiabatic film effectiveness by using Pressure Sensitive Paint technique on a flat plate ⁷⁸ test rig. A comparison between 30° and 90° at different blowing ratios was discussed, pointing out the role ⁷⁹ of different free-stream turbulence levels and coolant to mainstream density ratio.

All the above mentioned works regarding effusion cooling are based on simple mainstream flow fields 80 (i.e., uniform velocity). First attempts to take into account actual combustor flow field features are due for 81 instance to Scrittore et al. [23] and later to Ceccherini et al. [24], where the interactions of effusion cooling 82 flow with dilution jets or starter film cooling were investigated on single flat plate configurations. The use 83 of high swirling flows for flame stabilization purposes may result in a great interaction between swirl jet and 84 combustor liner, which can deeply affect both convective heat transfer and film cooling protection. Very few 85 studies can be found in the open literature where the investigation of such effects are reported. It's worth 86 to be recalled the pioneering studies realized at the Karlsruhe Institute of Techonology (KIT) [25, 26], where 87 effusion cooling effectiveness in a three sector rig with realistic lean direct injection nozzles was measured 88 by Infra-Red thermography. More recently, Andreini and co-workers [27, 28] carried out an experimental 89 survey aimed at measuring adiabatic film cooling effectiveness and heat transfer coefficient in a planar three 90 sector rig operated with a representative swirling flow field: a cooling scheme based on effusion with slot 91 cooling was considered. In both studies, a specific configuration of multi-perforated liner was used, without a 92 systematic deepening about the role of geometric parameters on cooling effectiveness. A similar investigation 93 was recently carried out by Ge et al. [29] with Infra-Red thermography in reactive conditions but with a 94 reduced number of effusion rows: the authors point out a complex measurement process in presence of the 95 heat release due to combustion which affects the accuracy of the obtained results. 96

The aim of the present study is to deepen the knowledge on film cooling interaction with highly swirling flows in realistic combustor flow field environment, exploring the impact on adiabatic film cooling effectiveness when varying one of the most critical parameter: the coolant injection angle.

100

¹⁰¹ 2. Experimental setup

¹⁰² 2.1. Experimental geometry

Experiments were carried out in an open loop wind tunnel device reported installed at the THT-Lab of the University of Florence, Italy: schematic representation is reported in Figure 1. The test rig was developed within the European Research project LEMCOTEC (Low Emissions Core-Engine Technologies) [30] and consists of a planar three-sector combustor operating at ambient conditions without reactive processes. It is equipped with a complete cooling scheme composed of a slot system and multi-perforated liners whose



Figure 1: Cross sectional view of the test rig

mass flow rates can be controlled independently. The mainstream flow is delivered by three injectors which produce a flow field representative of a swirl stabilized combustor. Details of the swirler geometry will be discussed in a following section.

The experimental tests were designed to work at ambient pressure and near ambient temperature conditions, so allowing the use of Pressure Sensitive Paint (organic compound). An enlarged scale factor was selected with respect to reference engine in order to replicate Reynolds number and pressure drop of the swirlers with respect to the engine nominal conditions.

The mainstream flow is fed inside the test rig by means of a 90 kW centrifugal blower and enters inside the test section, which reproduces an aero-engine lean combustor, after being swirled by the injectors. The inner liner of the chamber is represented by a multi-perforated plate and is fed by an upstream large plenum



Figure 2: Summary of effusion perforation geometry

chamber. During the experimental campaign three different effusion liner geometries were investigated vary-118 ing the holes injection angle (evaluated with respect to liner surface along nominal mainstream direction): 119 tested angles are 20° , 30° and 90° . All the effusion plates share the same holes pattern: 1184 cylindrical 120 holes are arranged in a staggered array counting for a total of 23 rows, with the first row located about 121 $0.22S_x$ from the beginning of the liner. Scaled holes diameter is equal to d = 1.65 mm in all cases, while 122 normalized streamwise and spanwise pitches are respectively $S_x/d = 7.64$ and $S_y/d = 6$. This common 123 diameter and holes arrangement leads to a common plate porosity of about 1.17%: porosity is here defined 124 as the ratio between holes aperture and overall plate surface. A summary of the principal geometric data of 125 multiperforations is shown in Figure 2. 126

The slot exit is positioned on the dome wall below the three injectors. It has a constant height of 5mm and a 127 width equal to 2 times the swirlers pitch. For both the cooling systems, air passes through screens and flow 128 straighteners upstream of the injection, and the mass flow rates are set by adjusting two manual ball valves. 129 The test section has a length in the flow direction equal to x/d = 200, a width of y/d = 455 and an height 130 of z/d = 182. The lateral walls and the top side of the chamber, located in opposite position with respect 131 to the multi-perforated liner, are made in a transparent material (in this case Poly-Methyl MethAcrylate 132 (PMMA)) in order to allow wide optical accesses for both adiabatic film cooling effectiveness tests and PIV 133 measurements. Downstream of the test section, the mainstream and the mixed cooling flows pass through a 134 constant cross-section channel and a smooth converging duct before flowing towards the silencer installed at 135 the blower inlet. 136

The pressure drop across the swirlers and consequently the mainstream mass flow rate is imposed acting 137 on the rotating speed of the centrifugal blower by means of an inverter. The mass flow rate is measured by 138 means of a Pitot tube, located downstream of the rig inlet bell mouth, and double checked evaluating the 139 injectors pressure drop, assumed as known the effective passage area. The uncertainty of the main mass flow 140 measurement is $\pm 6\%$ with a level of confidence of 95%. Calibrated nozzles, installed in two dedicated feeding 141 ducts positioned upstream of the coolant plena, are used to evaluate the slot and effusion mass flow rates 142 with an uncertainty of $\pm 5\%$. T type thermocouples (uncertainty $\pm 0.5 K$ with level of confidence of 95%) are 143 employed to monitor the flow temperature in several locations of the rig with the data acquisition provided 144 by an HP/Agilent[®] 34972A unit. A pressure scanner Scanivalve[®]DSA 3217 with temperature compensated 145 piezoresistive relative pressure sensors measures the static pressure in 13 different locations with a maximum 146 uncertainty of $\pm 7 Pa$ (level of confidence of approximately 95%). 147

148

149 2.2. Swirler geometry

The apparatus is characterized by the presence of three air spray swirlers designed by GE-Avio. The objective of the design is to realize a device capable of Ultra Low NO_x operations through a lean, swirl stabilized, spray flame [31]. The injectors, called PERM (Partial Evaporation and Rapid Mixing), are characterized by two radial co-rotating swirlers which have the role of producing a highly swirling flow at the ¹⁵⁴ outlet section of the nozzle (Figure 3). The final outcome is the achievement of a large inner recirculation ¹⁵⁵ region surrounded by an high velocity annular jet, which represents the main flow structures of typical swirl ¹⁵⁶ stabilized flames.





Figure 3: Geometry of the adopted swirler injectors

of a central toroidal recirculation region, can be established by a proper sizing of the swirling intensity of the flow. The common criteria is to introduce the so-called Swirl Number (S_N) which is defined as the ratio between the axial flux of circumferential momentum G_{θ} and the axial momentum flux G_x :

$$S_N = \frac{G_\theta}{R_0 G_x} \tag{1}$$

where R_0 represents a characteristic dimension of the swirler. A Swirl Number greater than 0.5-0.6 may result 161 in strong not equilibrated radial and axial pressure gradients which induce main vortex collapse (breakdown). 162 As discussed in Marinov et al. [31], a swirl number of 0.75 is prescribed at the throat section of the in-163 vestigated nozzles $(R_0 = 0.5D_{sw})$, with a highly uniform velocity distribution along the tangential direction. 164 A fundamental geometric parameter affecting the stability of the flame by acting on the size of the central 165 recirculation region, is the expansion ratio (see for instance Fu et al. [33] or Andrews et al. [34]) defined as the 166 ratio between combustion chamber hydraulic diameter and nozzle diameter (D_h/D_{sw}) according to Figure 3): 167 a value of 2.5 can be observed in the case of PERM design. All the features of the PERM injector discussed 168 above allow to generate a flow field that can be considered representative of a typical lean direct injection 169 burning system for modern aero-engine combustors. 170

171

157

172 2.3. PSP technique

¹⁷³ In order to estimate the film covering performance of the three effusion geometries and to evaluate the ¹⁷⁴ mutual effects between coolant and the mainstream swirled flow, a Pressure Sensitive Paint technique was ¹⁷⁵ employed in the central region of the liner.

Thanks to the luminescence behaviour due to their chemical composition, PSP can be exploited as a re-176 liable detector of fluid oxygen concentration close to the paint layer and hence used for film effectiveness 177 measurements based on heat and mass transfer analogy (gas concentration technique). Since the governing 178 equations for heat and mass transfer phenomena are similar, the solutions of the two analogous problems 179 are identical if the boundary conditions are the same and if the molecular/turbulent Schmidt number are 180 identical to molecular/turbulent Prandtl number (i.e. Lewis number equal to one). As reported by several 181 authors, turbulent flow are characterized by a turbulent Lewis number roughly equal to one as required 182 by the analogy [35]. Regarding the applicability of the heat and mass transfer analogy in the investigated 183 case - effusion cooling with highly swirled turbulent flow and cooling jets in penetration regime - the mixing 184 process is mainly located far from the test plate where the turbulence effects are dominant, and hence the 185 analogy can be considered satisfied. It is worth notice that, even if the hypothesis of unity turbulent Lewis is 186 usually met, the similarity of molecular diffusion may not be satisfied. In the present test case the molecular 187 quantities influence the heat and mass transfer phenomena in the viscous sub layer near the wall. However, a 188 lower influence of molecular parameter in this region is expected due to the zero concentration/temperature 189 gradient at the adiabatic/non-permeable liner wall. 190

Therefore, assuming valid the heat and mass transfer analogy and using a tracer gas without free oxygen as coolant, is possible to estimate the adiabatic film cooling effectiveness distribution on the liner [36] according to the following equations:

$$\eta_{ad} = \frac{T_{main} - T_{aw}}{T_{main} - T_{cool}} \equiv \frac{C_{main} - C_w}{C_{main}} \tag{2}$$

where C_{main} and C_w are oxygen concentration respectively in the main free stream and in proximity of the wall.

For further information, an extensive description of the technique operating principles and the experimental procedure are reported in previous works conducted by the authors [37][28].

As shown in Figure 4, the central region of the liner geometry was sprayed with several light coats of



Figure 4: 20° effusion plate covered with PSP

198

¹⁹⁹ PSP. The paint employed was provided by Innovative Scientific Solutions Inc., and it was composed by a

blend of Fluoro Isopropyl Butyl polymer (FIB) and Platinum tetra(pentafluorophenyl) porphyrin (PtTFPP). 200 During realized tests the paint was excited with an high performance led illuminator DLR-IL104[®] and the 201 emission was captured by a 1600x1200 resolution 14-bit CCD camera PCO.1600. The selected foreign gas 202 used to perform adiabatic film cooling effectiveness tests is nitrogen. Two dedicated feeding lines, equipped 203 with calibrated orifices, are employed to feed the effusion and the slot plenum chamber, from a 10bar pressure 204 tank where the N_2 is stored. 205

The uncertainty of adiabatic film cooling effectiveness measurements was evaluated following the method 206 proposed by Kline and McClintock [38], achieving values around 10% for $\eta_{ad} = 0.2$ and 3% for regions where 207 $\eta_{ad} > 0.8.$ 208

209

223

2.4. PIV measurements 210

Particle Image Velocimetry campaign was aimed at supporting the adiabatic film cooling effectiveness 211 measurements in order to deeply understand the complex interactions between main swirled flow and the 212 cooling flows. For this purpose three investigation planes were selected: the first, *Center* plane, is the merid-213 ian projection perpendicular to the liner test plate and passing trough the center of the central swirler, while 214 the second, *Median* plane, is parallel to combustor liner passing through the axis of the injector and finally 215 the third is the *Wall* plane located 5mm above the liner (Figure 5). With the effusion cooling flow enabled, 216 PIV measurements were realized on center plane only, focusing the attention on the corner region underneath 217 the central injector. The laser sheet (1mm thickness) was introduced through the top side PMMA window 218 involving the use of a 45° inclined mirror, while the optical access for the camera was obtained from one of 219 the transparent lateral walls. As a tracer, $1\mu m$ diameter olive oil particles were used, employing a Laskin 220 nozzle for their generation. The injection takes place, through a perforated pipe, immediately downstream 221 of the rig inlet bell mouth, alongside its whole height. 222



Two different camera/laser positions were necessary to cover, with enough image resolution, the estab-



Figure 5: Position of the PIV measurement planes

lished investigation area, including a 5mm overlap to avoid loss of information in the neighbouring regions. A 224 large number of image pairs were acquired, setting a time delay between the two laser pulses of about $10\mu s$, 225 finally an iterative procedure based on an adaptive cross-correlation method was performed to obtain the 226 velocity field distributions. Measurements were carried out using a Dantec Dynamics PIV system, based on a 120mJ New Wave Solo Nd:YAG pulsed laser (wavelength of 532nm). For the effusion geometry with 30° holes 228 inclination angle, a FlowSense 2Mpixel camera operating at a data rate of 15Hz was employed, with control 229 and post-processing operations managed by means of the commercial software Dantec FlowManager[®]. For 230 the other two multi-perforated plates was involved a SpeedSense 4Mpixel camera, coupled with the Dantec 231 Dynamic Studio[®]. software. 232

Employing the method proposed by Westerweel [39] and considering a particle displacements varying from 5 to 10 pixels, measurements uncertainty in the mean velocity is estimated around 3%.

235 2.5. Test conditions

PSP measurements were conducted for all the three effusion geometries characterized by different injection 236 angle, while PIV investigation wasn't performed for the 20° configuration because not significant variations 237 were expected with respect to the already tested 30° . The whole experimental campaign was performed 238 imposing representative operating flow conditions both for the mainstream and the cooling lines and repli-239 cating the relevant non dimensional parameters. The pressure drop across the set of swirlers was evaluated 240 by means of multiple static pressure taps located upstream the dome (P_{in}) and downstream the investigated 241 liner region near the outlet section (P_{out}) . The pressure drop was maintained constant at the reference value 242 of 3.5%: 243

$$\frac{\Delta P}{P} = \frac{P_{in} - P_{out}}{P_{in}} \tag{3}$$

With the imposed pressure drop, values of mainstream Reynolds number of about 160000 were achieved, considering the hydraulic diameter of the test section $(D_h/d = 260)$ as the reference length. Regarding the cooling line, first focussing on the effusion system, the coolant was set acting on the pressure drop across the plate:

$$\Delta P/P_{eff} = \frac{P_{eff} - P_{out}}{P_{eff}} \tag{4}$$

where P_{eff} represent the static pressure measured inside the feeding plenum. Different different mass flow rates were tested, with the reference effusion pressure drop set at 3%. The pressure drop across the perforation was selected as the controlling parameter of coolant flow according to the operations of the real engine. Regarding the slot system, the test conditions are imposed through the coolant consumption parameter W, defined as the ratio between slot and mainstream mass flow rate related to the central swirler.

$$W = \frac{\dot{m}_{slot}}{\dot{m}_{main}} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \tag{5}$$

Tests were carried out for two levels of coolant consumption: with slot system disabled and with the actual combustor flow split W = 3%. Highest Reynolds number of effusion jets, obtained with the maximum

Main flow	Effusion flow	Slot flow
Air	$N_2 - Air(PIV)$	$N_2 - Air(PIV)$
$T_{main} = 300K$	$T_{eff} = 300K$	$T_{slot} = 300K$
$Re_{main} = 160000$	$Re_{eff} = 0 - 4000$	$Re_{slot} = 0 - 3500$
$\Delta P/P = 3.5\%$	$\Delta P / P_{eff} = 0 - 1 - 2 - 3\%$	W = 0 - 3%



pressure drop, is 4000, while for the slot mass flow the greatest Reynolds, based on slot height, is 3500.

For the whole experimental campaign, mainstream flow is air at ambient conditions, regarding the coolant flows, air is employed for PIV test while Nitrogen was used to perform PSP measurements resulting in a coolant to mainstream density ratio equal to 1.

²⁵⁹ During the commissioning phase of the test rig, the three test plates where separately flow checked ²⁶⁰ imposing the same conditions in terms of tested pressure drop in order to asses the values of discharge ²⁶¹ coefficients (Cd). The two test plates with slant injection angle highlighted a Cd approximately equal to ²⁶² 0.67, while the normal hole perforation exhibited an higher discharge coefficient close to 0.75 as already ²⁶³ documented by Others in the open literature [40].

Each geometry was tested at the same pressure drop levels. According to the different effective areas 264 of the perforations, the 20° and 30° plates have a ratio between effusion and mainstream mass flow on the 265 central sector in the range 7.5 - 13% when varying the $\Delta P/P_{eff}$, on the other hand the coolant consumption 266 for the plate with normal holes is in the range 8.4 - 14.5%. All the test conditions are summarized in Table 1. 267 The main issue related to the adopted test conditions is the reduced level of density ratio with respect to 268 expected actual engine condition ($DR \approx 2.5$). The density ratio has an impact on the adiabatic film cooling 269 effectiveness distribution particularly in the transition between mass addiction and penetration regime and 270 its effect seems to be negligible in full penetration regime [41]. These aspects have been already debated by 271 the Authors by means of a dedicated experimental survey using effusion plates with uniform flow conditions 272 [22]. However, considering the expected effusion flow field, mainly in penetration regime, and the pure 273 comparative purpose of the survey, the main outcomes of the work can be considered unaffected by the lack 274 of DR similitude. 275

276 3. Results

277 3.1. Flow field investigation - Case without effusion cooling

²⁷⁸ Before analyzing the behaviour of the effusion cooling process, a description of the flow field generated ²⁷⁹ by the adopted swirlers will be reported. According to its high swirl number and expansion factor, the ²⁸⁰ swirling jet delivered by the nozzles quickly breakdown when entering in the chamber, with the generation ²⁸¹ of a large inner recirculation region. As a consequence of the abrupt change in cross section, the swirling jets ²⁸² trigger two recirculating regions in the outer corners between liners and heat shield. An high speed annular

swirling jet is observed between central and corner recirculations. Such flow structures are clearly shown 283 in Figure 6 where flow field measured by the described PIV technique is reported on the previously defined 284 Center plane: the value U_{max} used to normalize the velocity is, for all the shown maps, 50 m/s. The near 285 wall region up to effusion row 14 is interested by a very complex flow field which is expected to heavily affect 286 the development of the film. In particular it can be observed a reverse flow up to the 5th row, due to corner 287 recirculation, followed by a stagnation area where the swirling jet collides with the liner (between rows 5 and 288 8). Downstream of the 8th row a strong flow acceleration can be observed, while after row 14 the flow begins 289 to develop in a smoother way. As it will clearly results from the discussion of adiabatic film effectiveness 290 measurements, a significant impact on effusion cooling jets mixing is observed in this region. 291

To better understand the swirler flow field, the results obtained on an additional PIV frame are shown in Figure 7 which shows the streamlines on the median plane. Thanks to this visualization the complete



Figure 6: Flow field with no coolant injection on the central meridian plane



Figure 7: Flow field with no coolant injection on the median plane

extension of the central recirculation regions can be clearly pointed out. It is also important to observe the almost exact symmetry of the inner recirculation generated by the central nozzle respect to swirler axis. This finding confirms the proper design of the rig with representative results coming from investigations on the central sector.

Contour plot depicted in Figure 8 highlights the main flow direction close to liner surface: reverse flow is observed upstream of the stagnation region, while more downstream the flow is gradually loosing the residual swirling component. This velocity map will be used in the following to provide an estimation of effusion jets Blowing Ratio.

Exploiting available CFD results obtained on the present geometry with an Hybrid RANS/LES approach, which proved to perfectly match the measured flow field (see Mazzei et al. [42] for additional details), more quantitative evaluations of the flow field were carried out. First of all the swirl number in the throat section of the central nozzle was verified. According to the definition provided in previous sections, the computed swirl number is 0.77 which is fairly close to the nominal expected design value of 0.75. Mass flow rates entering into the inner region, \dot{m}_{IR} , and into the corner region, \dot{m}_{CR} , are computed to be respectively 57% and 38% of the mass flow delivered by the swirler.

309

310 3.2. Flow field investigation - Effect of effusion cooling

Results of Figure 9 highlight deep modifications in the flow field varying the coolant injection angle and strong interaction phenomena near the wall between the mainstream and the cooling flows. A different extension of the investigation area was achieved for the two configurations, both covering however the region



Figure 8: Flow field with no coolant injection on wall plane. Length of vectors are not proportional to velocity magnitude

of greater interest near the heat shield and liner corner. As expected minor discrepancies are observed in the
the main recirculation region.

As already shown in [28], two well-distinct counter rotating vortices are generated by the 30° geometry with only the effusion system activated, while enabling the slot injection a coherent flow structure with high and positive velocity components in the axial direction is established. In this condition, the slot system seems to have a positive effect on film covering development inhibiting reverse flow near the liner.

Observing the corner regions in the 90° configuration with effusion coolant injection, a clockwise vortex 320 can still be recognized as in the no cooling case. Nevertheless the high momentum of effusion jets in the 321 positive z direction pushes the vortex center more downstream and closer to liner. A disturbing effect of this 322 strong flow interaction is expected in terms of film cooling effectiveness in the early part of the liner. For 323 W = 3% condition, slot coolant doesn't exhibits enough axial momentum to prevail on orthogonal effusion 324 jets and is early lifted up, generating a low velocity region established near the heat shield underneath the 325 swirling jet. However, similarly to 30° plate, slot injection tends to destroy the clockwise corner vortex, 326 accomplishing only positive velocity components in the x direction near the wall. 327

As a general result, the 90° perforation tends to lift up the swirler jet, reducing its opening angle and



Figure 9: PIV results: flow field on measurements plane

³²⁹ moving slightly downstream the impingement region on the liner.





Figure 10: Adiabatic film cooling effectiveness distributions

331 3.3. Adiabatic film cooling effectiveness measurements

PSP campaign was aimed at investigating the adiabatic film cooling effectiveness distributions of three effusion plates under representative swirling flow, in order to explore the effect of injection angle on film covering. Tests were conducted imposing the swirlers pressure drop at the reference condition of $\Delta P/P =$ 3.5%. Effusion mass flow rates were set on the basis of multi-perforated plates pressure drop: three conditions were investigated corresponding to $\Delta P/P_{eff} = 1\%, 2\%, 3\%$. For each geometry, one test with slot cooling enabled was carried out in concurrence of the maximum level of effusion injection.

In Figure 10 are respectively reported from left to right the 2-D maps of η_{ad} obtained for 20°, 30° and 90° injection angle with a reference value of $\Delta P/P_{eff}$ equal to 3%. Distributions on the left column were obtained with the slot system disabled, while for the right column ones a coolant consumption equal to W = 3% was set. All maps are characterized by a non-symmetric central region with low effectiveness, corresponding to the stagnation region of the impinging jet highlighted by the PIV measurements. It is also worth to notice that probably part of the coolant is entrapped in the dome recirculation structures and is responsible of generating a streak with high effectiveness between about $y/S_y = 7$ and $y/S_y = 11$.

As expected, the highest film covering is achieved by the geometry with the lower inclination angle,



Figure 11: Laterally averaged adiabatic film cooling effectiveness profiles

where the coolant slant injection is capable to limit jet penetration and to take benefit from superposition effects. The high resolution color maps obtained allow to appreciate the different shape of coolant wall traces produced by each jet. Longer and more defined coolant imprints are observed for 20° geometry, while for 90° coolant traces appears less coherent and more sensible to mainstream flow field.

The importance of using the slot system to start the film protection is clearly stated in the right column distributions with an high film protection region obtained for $x/S_x < 2$. The role of slot cooling is appreciable up to the jet stagnation region, while downstream approaching the exit, its effect is almost negligible.

For a more quantitative analysis, the laterally averaged distributions of adiabatic film cooling effectiveness are reported in Figure 11. A comparison between the three multi-perforated plates is shown for all the three levels of effusion mass flow rate, with the slot disabled, and for the reference condition with both the cooling systems activated.

Apart from the expected increase of the film effectiveness in the final part of the liner $(x/S_x > 14)$ when cooling flow rate is increased (alongside with $\Delta P/P_{eff}$), similar evolution is observed for all the tested conditions. Minimum adiabatic film cooling effectiveness values are clearly detected in the swirling jet stagnation region $(x/S_x \approx 7)$ due to the high turbulence levels generated by impingement phenomena that tends to destroy the film and to lift up the effusion flow. Downstream $(x/S_x > 9 - 10)$, superposition effect leads to an almost linear growth of η_{ad} allowing to guarantee sufficient film protection also for the lower effusion mass flow rates.

Results confirm the superiority of the 20° geometry in almost all the liner, with the gap versus the other configurations that tends to increase enhancing the $\Delta P/P_{eff}$. With respect to 30° angle, a mean gain of about 30% is achieved in terms of averaged film effectiveness with the 20° geometry. In the very first part of the liner $(x/S_x < 2)$ good results are also obtained by the 90° configuration due to the presence of reverse flow near the wall, that leads to upstream film covering produced by the first rows of holes.

Distributions concerning the tests with slot coolant injected report approximately unitary values at the liner entrance with the 30° plates showing the best results up to $x/S_x = 3$. Differences between the film protection generated by the 30° and 90° inclination angle are appreciable in the first part of the liner $(x/S_x < 9)$, while downstream the values are almost comparable. The behaviour of the 90° test case with slot injection in the early part of the liner is a consequence of the observed premature film lift up due to strong flow recirculation (see 9): adiabatic film effectiveness values for normal holes plate are roughly half of the values registered for the 20° case at rows 3-4.

A more comprehensive understanding of the obtained results can be achieved by the observation of the Blowing Ratio distribution along the effusion cooling rows. A direct measure of the velocity at the outlet of each effusion cooling hole was not possible and therefore BR is obtained assuming an uniform mass flow rate across the perforation. This assumption is justified by the presence of a feeding plenum upstream of the effusion plate and to small pressure variations on the mainstream side. The variation of BR along the plate is therefore related mainly to the distribution of mainstream velocity close to liner wall. According



Figure 12: Distribution of the reference Blowing Ratio throughout the liner for the different cases investigated

to the mainstream velocity map obtained by PIV measurement on the Wall plane obtained with no coolant injection (Figure 8), it is possible to draw a distribution of a reference BR throughout the plate. Wall plane was considered as the reference location for mainstream velocity because it is located just at the outer edge of the slot (whose height is 5mm): this plane also represents the nearest location to liner surface where the effect of effusion cooling jets is no longer observed. Reference BR is computed as follows:

$$BR = \frac{\frac{\dot{m}_{eff}}{A_{eff}}}{\rho V_{main}} \tag{6}$$

where \dot{m}_{eff} is the effusion cooling mass flow, A_{eff} is the geometric cross section of the effusion perforation 387 while ρV_{main} is obtained assuming a constant density in the mainstream and taking the velocity from the 388 PIV wall plane measurements. Figure 12 shows the distribution of the above defined BR, with different 389 scales for each level of pressure drop across the effusion plates (which implies different \dot{m}_{eff}) distinguishing 390 between 90° and 20° - 30° according to the different discharge coefficients. It can be observed that, at the 391 nominal level of effusion pressure drop (3%), the reference BR is always above 1.5 for all the cases, with values 392 between 4-5 observed in the low mainstream velocity region of swirling jet impingement. The assumption of 393 full penetration state for the film cooling regime is therefore definitely confirmed. 394

Focusing the attention on the initial part of the liner, the three maps of Figure 13 allow to deepen the 395 impact of the slot system varying the effusion angle. The top figure shows the adiabatic film cooling effective-396 ness distribution obtained with only the slot system activated with the holes of the effusion plates plugged 397 on the rear side to avoid air ingestion. Map is relative to the 90° geometry but an analogous behaviour 398 was comprehensibly achieved also for the other configurations. A significant non-symmetric distribution in 399 tangential direction is observed due to the macro flow structure which affects the test section and tends to 400 direct towards the right side the flow near the liner surface [27], resulting in an high film protection region 401 up to the third row of holes between $y/S_y \approx 5$ and $y/S_y \approx 10$. In the remaining parts of the map, high 402 adiabatic film cooling effectiveness values are limited to the first row of holes. 403

The two following maps of Figure 13 were respectively obtained with 90° and 20° geometries and were carried out with both the slot and effusion system set at their reference conditions. Nevertheless, in this case the multi-perforated plates were fed with air, instead of Nitrogen, in order to take into account the fluid dynamic effect of coolant injection through the liner perforation without contributing to film protection detection (no free-oxygen tracer). Both the two distributions highlight a significant positive effect produced by effusion flow on the contribution of the slot coolant to the global film protection. In particular, the slant



Figure 13: Adiabatic effectiveness distributions: slot injection



Figure 14: Lateral profiles of adiabatic effectiveness extracted at different axial positions

injection of the 20° liner produces inclined jets with high momentum that tend to energize the coolant flow structure exiting from the slot and to drag downstream its effect. Moreover, the distribution seems to be less affected by the test section flow field with a more constant behaviour alongside the y direction and with a film protection destroyed more gradually respect to the plate with perpendicular holes.

To better understand the behaviour of effusion film, three lateral profiles of adiabatic effectiveness have 414 been extracted and reported in Figure 14: plots highlight the effect of the coolant injection angle at the more 415 representative axial positions $x/S_x = 1.5$; 6.5; 15.5, respectively in the corner region, in the impingement zone 416 and in the last part of the plate with more uniform flow structures. In the corner vortex region, the 90° plate 417 shows film effectiveness values higher respect to the 30° especially in the $3 < y/S_y < 9$ zone where the slant 418 angle performance seems strongly affected by the dome vortex structures. The superiority of the 20° plate is 419 clearly represented in the impingement region where, despite the strong interactions with the main flow, the 420 jets still present well defined coolant traces downstream of the injection points, especially for $y/S_y > 0$. It is 421 interesting to observe that the 90° plate is not able to produce the high effectiveness streak at $y/S_y > 6$ and 422 the η_{ad} is almost constant around the value 0.1. Finally, at $x/S_x = 15.5$ where the main flow field is more 423 uniform the slant injection plates show their potentiality with pronounced and extended film traces. 424



Figure 15: Comparisons of adiabatic film effectiveness measured in the present experimental campaign with literature correlations and experimental results obtained with a uniform mainstream velocity (only 20° and 30° cases)

425

426 3.4. Comparison with literature correlations

In order to assess how common literature correlations for adiabatic film effectiveness could be a reliable tool for the prediction of the investigated configurations, a comparison with formulas proposed by L'Ecuyer and Soechting [41] and by Colban et al. [43] was carried out. Both correlations are valid for a single row of holes on flat plate: the evaluation of the film effectiveness over the entire multi-perforated liner is realized by assuming a superposition of the contributions predicted for each row, recalling the superposition criteria ⁴³² proposed by Sellers [44]. The correlation proposed by L'Ecuyer and Soechting is based on a large database ⁴³³ of experimental results for standard cylindrical holes with inclination angles between 30° and 90°. The ⁴³⁴ correlation proposed by Colban and coworkers was developed to predict adiabatic film effectiveness with ⁴³⁵ common fan shaped holes, but it can be used also for not shaped cylindrical perforations: in this case no ⁴³⁶ explicit dependency on the inclination angle is accounted in the expression.

Correlations were applied by assuming a uniform distribution of the measured mass flow rate over the 437 perforations, while the velocity evolution along the axial direction on the mainstream side was obtained by 438 laterally averaging the module of velocity retrieved by PIV measurements on the Wall plane (see 5): the 439 resulting blowing ratios for the effusion cooling rows in each case are exactly equivalent to values reported in 440 12. Results for the three investigated cases at $\Delta P/P_{eff} = 3\%$ without slot cooling injection are shown in 15. 441 As additional term of comparison, for inclined holes only $(20^{\circ} \text{ and } 30^{\circ})$, 15 shows the measured adiabatic 442 film effectiveness obtained on the same hardware and with the same measurement technique but removing 443 the swirlers and therefore prescribing a uniform velocity in the mainstream. In these cases a constant blowing 444 ratio is obtained and the considered value (2.0) represents an averaged of the values observed for inclined 445 cases in the three sector rig. It is interesting to point out the quite good agreement between correlations 446 and experiments with uniform mainstream, confirming the reliability of the used correlations and of the 447 assumption of full superposition in presence of simple mainstream flow. On the contrary, when a realistic 448 swirling flow is considered, correlations are not able to properly catch the adiabatic film evolution in the early 449 part of liner affected by mainstream flow recirculation (upstream rows 6-7). Downstream the stagnation region 450 of the swirling jet, when the combustor mainstream starts to assume a more uniform behaviour, the adiabatic 451 film begins to point out a row by row superposition with a rate similar to what predicted by correlations 452 and to what observed in the simple flat plate configurations, as confirmed by an equivalent slope of adiabatic 453 film effectiveness curves along the x direction. This observation suggests a possible fruitful use of simple 454 correlations at least in the final part of the multiperforated liner. 455

456 4. Conclusions

⁴⁵⁷ An experimental study was presented dealing with the impact of holes injection angle on the performance ⁴⁵⁸ of an effusion cooling system. Test were conducted under realistic flow field conditions in a non-reactive three ⁴⁵⁹ sector planar rig equipped with a lean burn swirler injectors and a complete cooling scheme composed of a ⁴⁶⁰ slot for starter film cooling and a multi-perforated liner. The work was focused on the adiabatic film cooling ⁴⁶¹ effectiveness measurement for three effusion plates with different injection angle (20°, 30°, 90°) under several ⁴⁶² cooling conditions. A PSP technique was exploited to obtain detailed η_{ad} distributions and a supplementary ⁴⁶³ PIV survey was carried out to support the analysis.

Velocity maps show an apparently critical behaviour for the 90° injection angle where the orthogonal coolant injection seems to be subjected to an high penetration in the mainstream, generating streamlines oriented mainly in the z direction and leading to a premature lifting of the slot coolant. On the other hand a slant injection from the effusion system helps the development of a more coherent slot coolant stream and
avoids the generation of reverse flow near the liner wall.

Adiabatic film cooling effectiveness maps show a deep impact of the injection angle on the effusion system performance. As expected, the more tilted geometry (20°) leads to the best film protection, revealing a better opposition to the coolant layer destruction caused by the impinging swirl jet and showing an advantageous exploitation of superposition effects thanks to the limited penetration of the cooling jets. Furthermore the slanted injection of effusion coolant has a beneficial impact on the slot system, extending more downstream its effects.

In conclusion, the experimental survey allowed to deeply characterize a typical effusion system and to investigated the impact of coolant injection angle on adiabatic film cooling effectiveness distribution. The interaction with a typical combustion chamber flow field and the coexistence with other cooling method, as the slot starter film cooling, were also analysed, providing fundamental information for the design of modern combustor cooling scheme.

480

481 Acknoledgement

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge LEMCOTEC (Low Emissions Core-Engine Technologies) Consortium for the kind permission of publishing the results herein. LEMCOTEC is a Collaborative Project co-funded by the European Commission within the Seventh Framework Programme (2007-2013) under the Grant Agreement n 283216.

486 References

- [1] S. M. Correa, Power generation and aeropropulsion gas turbines: From combustion science to combustion
 technology, Symposium (International) on Combustion 27 (2) (1998) 1793–1807.
- ⁴⁸⁹ [2] H.-J. Bauer, New low emission strategies and combustor designs for civil aeroengine applications,
 ⁴⁹⁰ Progress in Computational Fluid Dynamics, An International Journal 4 (3-5) (2004) 130–142.
- [3] R. Krewinkel, A review of gas turbine effusion cooling studies, International Journal of Heat and Mass
 Transfer 66 (2013) 706 722.
- [4] M. Martiny, A. Schulz, S. Wittig, Mathematical model describing the coupled heat transfer in effusion
 cooled combustor walls, ASME Conference Proceedings (97-GT-329).
- [5] M. Gerendás, K. Hoeschler, S. Th., Development and Modeling of Angled Effusion Cooling for the
 BR715 Low Emission Staged Combustor Core Demonstrator, RTO AVT Symposium on "Advanced
 Flow Management: Part B Heat Transfer and Cooling in Propulsion and Power Systems".

- [6] A. Andreini, R. Becchi, B. Facchini, L. Mazzei, A. Picchi, A. Peschiulli, Effusion cooling system optimization for modern lean burn combustor, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2016-57721).
- [7] N. Kasagi, M. Hirata, M. Kumada, Studies of full-coverage film cooling 1. cooling effectiveness of
 thermally conductive wall, American Society of Mechanical Engineers (Paper) (81 -GT-37).
- [8] G. E. Andrews, A. A. Asere, M. L. Gupta, M. C. Mkpadi, Effusion cooling: the influence of number of
 hole, Journal of Power and Energy 204.
- [9] G. E. Andrews, A. A. Asere, M. L. Gupta, M. C. Mkpadi, A. Tirmahi, Full Coverage Discrete Hole
 Film Cooling: The Influence of the Number of Holes and Pressure Loss, ASME Conference Proceedings
 (90-GT-61).
- [10] G. E. Andrews, I. M. Khalifa, A. A. Asere, F. Bazdidi-Tehrani, Full Coverage Effusion Film Cooling
 With Inclined Holes, ASME Conference Proceedings (95-GT-274).
- [11] M. Martiny, A. Schulz, S. Wittig, Full-coverage film cooling investigations: adiabatic wall temperatures
 and flow visualization, ASME Conference Proceedings (95-WA/HT-4).
- [12] K. M. B. Gustafsson, T. Johansson, An Experimental Study of Surface Temperature Distribution on
 Effusion-Cooled Plates, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power. 123 (2001) 308–316.
- [13] M. K. Harrington, M. A. McWaters, D. G. Bogard, C. A. Lemmon, K. A. Thole, Full-Coverage Film
 ⁵¹³ Cooling With Short Normal Injection Holes, J. Turbomach. 123 (2001) 798–805.
- [14] A. Martin, S. J. Thorpe, Experiments on Combustor Effusion Cooling Under Conditions of Very High
 Free-Stream Turbulence, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2012-68863).
- [15] J. J. Scrittore, K. A. Thole, S. Burd, Investigation of Velocity Profiles for Effusion Cooling of a Combustor
 Liner, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2006-90532).
- [16] P. Ligrani, M. Goodro, M. Fox, H.-K. Moon, Full-Coverage Film Cooling: Film Effectiveness and Heat
 Transfer Coefficients for Dense and Sparse Hole Arrays at Different Blowing Ratios, J. Turbomach.
 134 (6) (2012) 061039.
- [17] N. W. Foster, D. Lampard, The Flow and Film Cooling Effectiveness Following Injection through a Row
 of Holes, Journal of Engineering for Power 102 (3) (1980) 584.
- [18] C. A. Hale, M. W. Plesniak, S. Ramadhyani, Film Cooling Effectiveness for Short Film Cooling Holes
 Fed by a Narrow Plenum, J. Turbomach. 122 (3) (2000) 553.
- [19] S. Baldauf, A. Schulz, S. Wittig, High-Resolution Measurements of Local Effectiveness From Discrete
 Hole Film Cooling, J. Turbomach. 123 (4) (2001) 758.

- [20] C. Yuen, R. Martinez-Botas, Film cooling characteristics of rows of round holes at various streamwise
 angles in a crossflow: Part I. Effectiveness, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 48 (23-24)
 (2005) 4995–5016.
- [21] T. Behrendt, C. Hassa, M. Gerendás, Characterization of Advanced Combustor Cooling Concept Under
 Realistic Operating Conditions, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2008-51191).
- [22] A. Andreini, B. Facchini, A. Picchi, L. Tarchi, F. Turrini, Experimental and theoretical investigation
 of thermal effectiveness in multiperforated plates for combustor liner effusion cooling, J. Turbomach.
 136 (9).
- J. J. Scrittore, K. A. Thole, S. Burd, Experimental Characterization of Film-Cooling Effectiveness
 NearCombustor Dilution Holes, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2005-68704).
- [24] A. Ceccherini, B. Facchini, L. Tarchi, L. Toni, D. Coutandin, Combined Effect of Slot Injection, Effusion
 Array and Dilution Hole on the Cooling Performance of a Real Combustor Liner, ASME Conference
 Proceedings (GT2009-48845).
- [25] B. Wurm, A. Schulz, H. J. Bauer, M. Gerendás, Impact of Swirl Flow on the Cooling Performance of an
 Effusion Cooled Combustor Liner, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power. 134 (12) (2012) 121503–121503.
- ⁵⁴³ [26] B. Wurm, A. Schulz, H. J. Bauer, M. Gerendás, Cooling Efficiency for Assessing the Cooling Performance
 ⁵⁴⁴ of an Effusion Cooled Combustor Liner, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2013-94304).
- ⁵⁴⁵ [27] A. Andreini, G. Caciolli, B. Facchini, A. Picchi, F. Turrini, Experimental Investigation of the Flow Field
 ⁵⁴⁶ and the Heat Transfer on a Scaled Cooled Combustor Liner With Realistic Swirling Flow Generated by
 ⁵⁴⁷ a Lean-Burn Injection System, J. Turbomach. 137 (3) (2014) 031012–031012, ISSN 0889-504X.
- [28] A. Andreini, R. Becchi, B. Facchini, L. Mazzei, A. Picchi, F. Turrini, Adiabatic Effectiveness and Flow
 Field Measurements in a Realistic Effusion Cooled Lean Burn Combustor, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power.
 138 (3) (2015) 031506-031506, ISSN 0742-4795.
- [29] B. Ge, Y. Ji, Z. Chi, S. Zang, Effusion cooling characteristics of a model combustor liner at non reacting/reacting flow conditions, Applied Thermal Engineering 113 (2017) 902 911, ISSN 1359-4311.
- [30] LEMCOTEC Low Emissions Core-Engine Technologies, http://http://www.lemcotec.eu/, 2011 2016.
- [31] S. Marinov, M. Kern, K. Merkle, N. Zarzalis, A. Peschiulli, F. Turrini, On Swirl Stabilized Flame
 Characteristics Near The Weak Extinction Limit, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2010-22335).
- ⁵⁵⁷ [32] D. Lilley, Swirl Flows in Combustion: a Review, AIAA J. 15 (8).

- [33] Y. Fu, J. Cai, S.-M. Jeng, H. Mongia, Confinement effects on the swirling flow of a counter-rotating
 swirl cup, ASME Conference Proceedings (GT2005-68622).
- [34] G. E. Andrews, N. Ahmed, R. Phylaktou, P. King, Weak extinction in low NOx gas turbine combustion,
 ASME Conference Proceedings (2009) GT2009–59830.
- ⁵⁶² [35] R. L. Simpson, R. L. Field, A Note on the Turbulent Schmidt and Lewis Numbers in a Boundary Layer,

⁵⁶³ International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 15 (1972) 177–180,.

- [36] T. V. Jones, Theory for the use of foreign gas in simulating film cooling, International Journal of Heat
 and Fluid Flow 20 (1999) 349–354.
- [37] G. Caciolli, B. Facchini, A. Picchi, L. Tarchi, Comparison between PSP and TLC steady state techniques
 for adiabatic effectiveness measurement on a multiperforated plate, Experimental Thermal and Fluid
 Science 48 (0) (2013) 122–133.
- [38] S. J. Kline, F. A. McClintock, Describing Uncertainties in Single Sample Experiments, Mechanical
 Engineering 75 (1953) 3–8.
- [39] J. Westerweel, Fundamentals of digital particle image velocimetry, Measurement Science and Technology
 8 (12) (1997) 1379–1392.
- ⁵⁷³ [40] M. Gritsch, A. Schulz, S. Wittig, Effect of Crossflows on the Discharge Coefficient of Film Cooling Holes
 ⁵⁷⁴ With Varying Angles of Inclination and Orientation, J. Turbomach. 123 (4) (2001) 781–787.
- ⁵⁷⁵ [41] M. R. L'Ecuyer, F. O. Soechting, A model for correlating flat plate film cooling effectiveness forrows of ⁵⁷⁶ round holes, in: AGARD Heat Transfer and Cooling in Gas Turbines 12p (SEE N86-29823 21-07), 1985.
- [42] L. Mazzei, A. Andreini, B. Facchini, F. Turrini, Impact of Swirl Flow on Combustor Liner Heat Transfer
 and Cooling: A Numerical Investigation With Hybrid Reynolds-Averaged Navier–Stokes Large Eddy
 Simulation Models, J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power. 138 (5) (2016) 051504.
- [43] W. F. Colban, K. A. Thole, D. Bogard, A film-cooling correlation for shaped holes on a flat-plate surface,
 Journal of Turbomachinery 133 (1) (2011) 011002.
- [44] J. P. Sellers, Gaseous Film Cooling with Multiple Injection Stations, AIAA Journal 1 (9) (1963) 2154–
 2156.