

Halide and Hydroxide Anions Binding in Water

Matteo Savastano,[†] Carla Bazzicalupi,[†] Celeste García,[‡] Claudia Giorgi,[†] Maria Dolores López de la Torre,[‡] Fabio Pichierri,[§] Antonio Bianchi,^{†*} Manuel Melguizo^{‡*}

[†]Department of Chemistry “Ugo Schiff”, University of Florence, Via della Lastruccia 3, 50019, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy.

[‡]Department of Inorganic and Organic Chemistry, University of Jaén 23071, Jaén, Spain.

[§]Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan.

Abstract

The formation of halide and hydroxide anions complexes with the two ligands L1 (3,6-bis(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine) and L2 (3,6-bis(morpholin-4-ylethyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine) were studied in aqueous solution, by means of potentiometric and ITC procedures. In the solid state, halide complexes of H_2L2^{2+} were analyzed by single crystal XRD measurements. Further information on the latter were obtained with the use of density functional theory (DFT) calculations in combination with the polarization continuum model (PCM). The presence of two halide anions forming anion- π interactions, respectively above and below the ligand tetrazine ring is the leitmotiv of the $[(H_2L2)X_2]$ ($X = F, Cl, Br, I$) complexes in the solid state, while hydrogen bonding between anions and protonated morpholine ligand groups contribute to strengthen the anion-ligand interaction, in particular in the case of Cl^- and Br^- . In contrast to the solid state, only anion:ligand complexes of 1:1 stoichiometry were found in solution. The stability of these complexes displays the peculiar trend $I^- > F^- > Br^- > Cl^-$ which was rationalized in terms of electrostatic, hydrogen bond, anion- π interactions and solvent effects. DFT calculations performed on $[(H_2L2)X]^+$, $X = F, Cl, Br, I$ in PCM water suggested that the ligand assumes an U-shaped conformation to form one anion- π and two salt bridge interactions with the included anions and furnished structural information to interpret the solvation effects affecting complex formation. The formation of hydroxide anion complexes with neutral (not protonated) L1 and L2 molecules represents an unprecedented case in water. The stability of the $[L(OH)]^-$ ($L = L1, L2$) complexes is comparable to or higher than the stability of halide complexes with protonated ligand molecules, their formation being promoted by largely favorable enthalpic contributions that prevail over unfavorable entropic changes.

Introduction

Positively charged functions and hydrogen bond donor groups are the principal structural elements that have been included into synthetic receptors and made possible the achievement of efficient anion binding and recognition. Nevertheless, even if coulombic attractions and hydrogen bonds are relatively strong forces, anion binding remains a challenging task when the action of these receptors is required in solution of highly polar, protic solvents, like water.¹ But water is the most attractive medium, being related with all known living systems, and, accordingly, we observe a continuous shift of anion receptor chemistry toward applications under real-life conditions.²

Other weak forces can be used for anion binding. Among them, anion interactions with aromatic groups, referred to as anion- π interactions, have become rather popular^{3,4} and are now taken into account for the construction of new functional materials,⁵ anion receptors,^{4a,6} carriers,⁷ catalysts,⁸ and sensors.^{4a,9} Also the role of anion- π interactions in biological processes is increasingly appreciated.^{4d-g} An electron-deficient π -system is the prime condition to make attractive the interaction between electron-rich species, like anions, and the π electron clouds of aromatic molecules. For instance, the *s*-tetrazine molecule (Figure 1) is characterized by a high and positive quadrupole moment ($Q_{zz} = 10.7$ B) and by a high molecular polarizability ($\alpha_{||} = 58.7$ a.u.), therefore, both electrostatic and ion-induced polarization terms contribute to make it a strong π -acid, that is a potentially good receptor for anions.¹⁰




Figure 1. *S*-tetrazine and its L1 and L2 derivatives.

Unfortunately, the use of tetrazines for anion binding in water is hampered by their low solubility. Decoration of *s*-tetrazine with two morpholine pendants gave rise to L1 and L2 ligands (Figure 1) having sufficient solubility (especially L2) to be studied as anion receptors in water.¹¹ The positively charged species formed upon protonation of the morpholine groups (HL^+ , H_2L^{2+}) and, in several cases, even the unprotonated (uncharged) molecules proved able to bind inorganic anions of different geometries, forming complexes of moderate, but significant, stability in water. All solution data acquired for these complex systems strongly suggested that, even in water, anion- π interactions are of prime importance to stabilize the anion complexes formed by both protonated and neutral forms of the ligands. In the solid state, the importance of anion- π interactions is evident for these systems, as revealed by the crystal structures of several anion complexes with diprotonated ligands ($\text{H}_2\text{L1}^{2+}$, $\text{H}_2\text{L2}^{2+}$), showing that the anions are invariably located over the positive electrostatic potential of the ligands' tetrazine ring, at short interaction distances, despite the presence of two ammonium groups in their structure, that only in some cases contribute to stabilize the anion complexes through salt-bridge interactions.¹¹

The ability of *s*-tetrazines to act as acceptors of electron densities is further proved by the presence of lone pair- π interactions in the crystal structures of the free L1 and L2 molecules reported in this paper. Nevertheless, the main focus of the present work is the interaction of these ligands with halide anions F^- , Cl^- , Br^- and I^- . All four halides are present in biological system, albeit at quite different levels, where they are involved in important roles and, accordingly, they are the most common targets for anion receptor chemistry.¹ Beyond biological aspects, halide anions are quite interesting because they are a group of mono-charged spherical anions whose physico-chemical properties vary rather uniformly with their size, thus making easier the correlation of complex stability with the contribution of binding forces. From F^- to I^- , the anion size increases while their charge density decreases, the anions lose basicity and acquire greater polarizability, their ability to form hydrogen bonds drops down and their hydration free energies as well. As shown later on, combination of these tendencies with the anion binding properties of L2 gives rise to a non-monotonous, V-shaped variation of the anion complex stability along the F^- - I^- series. Regrettably, in the case of L1, it was not possible to obtain equilibrium data for the whole series of halides (see experimental section).

Interestingly, during the treatment of equilibrium data, it came out that in alkaline solutions, from pH 10 on, even the OH^- ion interacts with the neutral L1 and L2 ligands. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of non-covalent binding of hydroxide anions in water with metal-free synthetic receptors.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. All reagents and solvents were of reagent-grade purity or higher. They were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise stated. The halide anions used for potentiometric measurements were obtained as high purity sodium salts from commercial sources and were used without further purification. L1 (3,6-bis(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine) and L2 (3,6-bis(morpholin-4-ylethyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine) were synthesized as previously described.^{11c} Red crystals of L1 and L2 were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature of solutions containing L1 and L2 in methanol. Red crystals of $\text{H}_2\text{L}_2\text{F}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by the following procedure. A solution of HF in a methanol/water (9:1, v:v) mixture was layered over a butanol solution of L2 contained in a plastic vessel. The crystals appeared in a few days upon diffusion of the two solutions and slow evaporation at room temperature. Deep pink crystal of $\text{H}_2\text{L}_2\text{Cl}_2$ were obtained by slow evaporation under anhydrous conditions of a methanolic solution of L2 acidified with gaseous HCl. Deep pink crystal of $\text{H}_2\text{L}_2\text{Br}_2$ were prepared by slow evaporation of a methanolic solution of L2 containing a modest excess of HBr. Single crystals of L1 and L2 suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from chloroform solutions.

Potentiometric Measurements. Potentiometric (pH-metric) titrations employed for the determination of equilibrium constants were carried out in degassed aqueous solutions at 298.1 ± 0.1 K, with a 0.1 M ionic strength, by using previously described equipment and procedures.¹² The determined ionic product of water was $\text{p}K_w = 13.83(1)$ (298.1 ± 0.1 K, 0.1 M Me_4NCl). Ligand concentration was about 5×10^{-4} M, while anion concentration was about 2.5×10^{-3} M. The ionic strength was adjusted to 0.10 M by the addition of Me_4NCl . In the case of Cl^- , the concentration was increased up to 1.1 M including Me_4NCl from the ionic medium. The studied pH range was 2.5-11. The computer program HYPERQUAD¹³ was used to calculate equilibrium constants from potentiometric data deriving from three independent titration experiments for each systems. Complications, denoted by a slight change of color of the sample solution, were encounter for the system L1/ Br^- . All attempts to treat the relevant potentiometric titration curves with the program HYPERQUAD were unfruitful. In the case Cl^- , no interaction was found with both ligands.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. Anion complexation enthalpies were determined in 0.10 M Me_4NCl aqueous solutions at 298.1 K by using previously described equipment and procedures.^{11c} Due to solubility problems or ligand (L1) instability in acidic solution, we only manage to study the interaction of neutral L1 and L2 with OH^- . In a typical experiment, a NMe_4OH solution (0.10 M, addition volumes 15 μl) was added to acidic solutions of the ligands (5×10^{-3} M, 1.5 cm^3).

Corrections for the heats of dilution were applied. Data fitting and calculation of enthalpy changes were performed as previously described.^{11c}

X-ray Structure Analyses. Red crystals of H₂L2F₂·3H₂O·CH₃OH (a) and deep pink crystals of H₂L2Cl₂ (b), H₂L2Br₂ (c), L1 (d) and L2 (e) were used for X-ray diffraction analysis. A summary of the crystallographic data is reported in Table 1. The integrated intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and an empirical absorption correction was applied.¹⁴

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for H₂L2F₂·3H₂O·CH₃OH (a), H₂L2Cl₂ (b), H₂L2Br₂ (c), L1 (d) and L2 (e)

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
Empirical formula	C ₁₅ H ₃₆ F ₂ N ₆ O ₆	C ₁₄ H ₂₆ Cl ₂ N ₆ O ₂	C ₁₄ H ₂₆ Br ₂ N ₆ O ₂	C ₁₂ H ₂₀ N ₆ O ₂	C ₁₄ H ₂₄ N ₆ O ₂
Formula weight	434.5	381.31	470.23	280.34	308.39
Temperature (K)	293	293	150	150	100
space group	<i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i>	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i>	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i>	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>n</i>	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ / <i>c</i>
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.1360(5)	5.8409(2)	6.0275(6)	6.2753(4)	11.6821(6)
<i>b</i> (Å)	8.6567(4)	13.9837(7)	13.5630(9)	13.9813(8)	6.8313(2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	19.1395(7)	11.5093(5)	11.9342(9)	7.4837(4)	10.7321(6)
α (°)	90	90	90	90	90
β (°)	92.145(4)	103.017(4)	104.374(8)	97.110(5)	117.200(7)
γ (°)	90	90	90	90	90
Volume (Å ³)	2009.3(1)	1418.74(16)	945.1(1)	651.55(7)	761.75(8)
Z	4	2	2	2	2
Independent reflections / R(int)	2418 / 0.0215	1741 / 0.0853	1770 / 0.0344	822/0.0303	1541/ 0.0169
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.122/ (Mo-κ α)	3.363 / (Cu-κ α)	5.613/ (Cu-κ α)	0.840/ (Cu-κ α)	0.767/ (Cu-κ α)
R indices [I > 2σ(I)]*	R1 = 0.0908	R1 = 0.0484	R1 = 0.0321	R1 = 0.0549	R1 = 0.0355
	wR2 = 0.2776	wR2 = 0.0816	wR2 = 0.0804	wR2 = 0.1367	wR2 = 0.1002
R indices (all data)*	R1 = 0.1083	R1 = 0.0882	R1 = 0.0419	R1 = 0.0919	R1 = 0.0377
	wR2 = 0.2958	wR2 = 0.0964	wR2 = 0.0941	wR2 = 0.1958	wR2 = 0.1019

$$* R1 = \sum || Fo| - |Fc|| / \sum |Fo| ; wR2 = [\sum w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2 / \sum wFo^4]^{1/2}$$

The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR-92).¹⁵ Refinements were performed by means of full-matrix least-squares using SHELXL Version 2014/7.¹⁶ All the non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropically refined. Hydrogen atoms were usually introduced in calculated position and their coordinates were refined according to the linked atoms, with the exception of the acidic protons of H₂L₂Cl₂ (b) and H₂L₂Br₂ (c). In the H₂L₂F₂·3H₂O·CH₃OH (a) structure, one of the three water molecules and the cocrystallized methanol molecule are disordered and were refined with partial occupation factor (OW2 o.f. = 0.5), or shared over two positions (methanol molecule). In case of L1 (d), due to very low intensity of reflections, data were collected only up to theta=55.4° (0.94 Å resolution). CCDC 1584768-1584772 contain the crystallographic data for these structures.

Quantum Chemical Calculations. The density functional theory (DFT) calculations performed in this work employed the dispersion-corrected ωB97X-D functional of Chai and Head-Gordon¹⁷ in combination with the 6-31+G(d,p) and LANL2DZ basis sets^{18,19} (the latter for iodide). Implicit solvation (hydration) effects were included with the polarization continuum model (PCM) of Tomasi and coworkers²⁰ as implemented in the Gaussian 09 software package.²¹

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crystal Structures of Anion Complexes. Single crystals of fluoride H₂L₂F₂·3H₂O·CH₃OH, chloride H₂L₂Cl₂ and bromide H₂L₂Br₂ complexes suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from alcoholic solutions. In the case of H₂L₂Cl₂, anhydrous conditions were required.

In the crystal structure of the fluoride H₂L₂F₂·3H₂O·CH₃OH complex (Figure 2), the ligand is placed around an inversion center, giving rise to anion-π interactions with two fluoride anions placed, respectively, above and below its tetrazine ring (Figure 2a). Moreover, each F⁻ is H-bonded to an adjacent ligand molecule, through the protonated morpholine nitrogen (N···F 2.595(3)Å), to the disordered methanol molecule (F···O 2.49(1)Å), and to a water molecule (F···O 2.321(4)Å). This water molecule forms a H-bond bridge, through a CH···O interaction, with another ligand molecule (O···C3 3.269(4) Å), Figure 2b). It is noteworthy the remarkable structural similarity existing between this structure with that previously reported for H₂L₂I₂·2H₂O^{11a} despite the considerable size difference between F⁻ and I⁻. Also in H₂L₂I₂·2H₂O, the anion (I⁻) is connected to three diprotonated ligand molecules via one anion-π contact and two H-bonds (N-H···I and CH···I). Even the conformations assumed by the ligand in the F⁻ and in the I⁻ complexes are very similar, being intermediate between the planar and the chair conformation of L2 found in previously reported complexes.^{11c}




Figure 2. Crystal structure of $\text{H}_2\text{L2F}_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{CH}_3\text{OH}$. Details of the $\text{H}_2\text{L2F}_2$ complex (a) and of the crystal packing (b).

Conversely, in $\text{H}_2\text{L2Cl}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{L2Br}_2$, the diprotonated ligand lies on an inversion center and assumes an overall symmetric chair conformation (Figures 3a,b). In both structures, the tetrazine ring forms anion- π interactions with two centrosymmetric anions that are involved in salt-bridge $\text{NH} \cdots \text{X}$ interactions ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ 3.057(3) Å, $\text{X} = \text{Br}$ 3.234(3) Å) with protonated morpholine groups. The Cl^- and Br^- anions lie, respectively, 3.31 and 3.41 Å from the tetrazine ring centroid, with offsets of 0.44 and 0.22 Å respectively, that can be compared with the anion-centroid/offset distances of 3.00/0.08 Å for fluoride and 3.7/0.3 Å for iodide complexes (Figure 4). Nevertheless, if the anion-centroid distances are corrected for the anion ionic radii, F^- remains a little further from the tetrazine centroid (1.67 Å) than Cl^- (1.50 Å), I^- (1.5 Å) and Br^- (1.45 Å).

Crystal Structures of L1 and L2 free ligands. As in the structures of the anion complexes, in the crystal structures of the free ligands, L1 and L2 are centrosymmetric and the tetrazine ring gives rise to two lone pair- π contacts, symmetric with respect to the aromatic ring and involving morpholine oxygen (L1) and nitrogen (L2) atoms of adjacent L1 and L2 molecules (Figure 5). The short lone pair-centroid/offset distances (2.96/0.17 Å for morpholine oxygen in L1, and 3.24/0.25 Å for morpholine nitrogen in L2) account for strong interactions. Actually, the distances from centroids are in the shorter range observed for all the studied systems, being 1.46 Å and 1.58 Å for oxygen (L1) and nitrogen (L2), respectively, when corrected for the proper VdW radii. Moreover, it is to be mentioned that while L2 assumes an almost planar conformation, like that found in the fluoride and the iodide complexes,^{11a} L1 shows a greater similarity to chloride and bromide structures, as it assumes a chair conformation allowing the morpholine oxygen atom to form a non-conventional H-bond with a morpholine C-H group ($\text{C} \cdots \text{O}$ 2.434(6) Å) in addition to the lone pair- π interaction (Figure 5a).




Figure 3. Crystal structures of $H_2L_2Cl_2$ (a) and $H_2L_2Br_2$ (b).




Figure 4. Distances (\AA) of anions from the tetrazine ring centroid and offsets in the crystal structures of $H_2L_2F_2 \cdot 3H_2O \cdot CH_3OH$, $H_2L_2Cl_2$, $H_2L_2Br_2$ and $H_2L_2I_2 \cdot 2H_2O$. Data for $H_2L_2I_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ are from ref. 11a.




Figure 5. Crystal structures of the free ligands L1 (a) and L2 (b) with details of the packing interaction.

These crystal structures highlight the strong ability of the electron deficient tetrazine ring to attract species with available electron pairs, regardless they are negatively charged or not.

Anion Binding in Solution. Speciation of the $L2/X^-$ ($X = \text{halide}$) systems and determination of the complex stability constants were performed by potentiometric (pH-metric) titrations in aqueous 0.1 M Me_4NCl solution at 298.1 K and successive analysis of the titration curves by means of the HYPERQUAD¹³ program. The determined stability constants are listed in Table 2. As can be seen from this table, halide complexes are formed with mono- and diprotonated ligand forms. In the case of L1, only the stability constants of I^- complexes were determined for the reasons specified in the experimental section. In the $L2/\text{Br}^-$ system, only $\text{H}_2\text{L}2^{2+}$ appeared to interact appreciably with the anion, while in the case of Cl^- no evidence of complexation was found. Obviously, using 0.1 M Me_4NCl aqueous solution as ionic medium, all complexation equilibria involving anions are competitive with possible ligand- Cl^- interactions. Upon addition of further anions to this ionic medium, the titration curves change profile if further complexation equilibria are established or existing complexation equilibria are enhanced. This occurred with all anions studied in this and previous¹¹ works, with the exception of Cl^- . This means that Cl^- is the anion interacting less among

Table 2. Equilibrium constants and relevant $-\Delta G^\circ$ values for anion complex formation determined at 298.1 ± 0.1 K in 0.1 M Me_4NCl aqueous solution. Values in parentheses are standard deviation on the last significant figure.

	$\log K$	ΔG° kJ/mol	ΔH° kJ/mol	$T\Delta S^\circ$ kJ/mol
$\text{HL1}^+ + \Gamma = (\text{HL1})\Gamma$	1.5(1)	-8.6(6)		
$\text{H}_2\text{L1}^{2+} + \Gamma = [(\text{H}_2\text{L1})\Gamma]^+$	2.01(6)	-11.5(3)		
$\text{HL2}^+ + \text{F}^- = (\text{HL2})\text{F}$	1.58(8) ^a	-9.0(5) ^a		
$\text{H}_2\text{L2}^{2+} + \text{F}^- = [(\text{H}_2\text{L2})\text{F}]^+$	1.97(3) ^a	-11.2(2) ^a		
$\text{H}_2\text{L2}^{2+} + \text{Br}^- = [(\text{H}_2\text{L2})\text{Br}]^+$	1.3(1)	-7.6(6)		
$\text{HL2}^+ + \Gamma = (\text{HL2})\Gamma$	2.03(7) ^b	-11.6(4) ^b		
$\text{H}_2\text{L2}^{2+} + \Gamma = [(\text{H}_2\text{L2})\Gamma]^+$	2.35(4) ^b	-13.4(2) ^b		
$\text{L1} + \text{OH}^- = [(\text{L1})\text{OH}]^-$	2.60(7)	-14.8(4)	-25.1(4)	-10.3(8)
$\text{L2} + \text{OH}^- = [(\text{L2})\text{OH}]^-$	1.76(7)	-10.0(4)	-18.8(4)	-8.8(8)

^a Taken from ref. 11c. ^b Taken from ref. 11a.

those up to now studied, justifying its use as the anionic component of the ionic medium. According to these results, we can say that, relative to our experimental conditions, the stability constants of the Cl^- complexes is too small to be determined, at least by means of the employed potentiometric method.

An inspection to data in Table 2 points out a few general trends. First of all, it is to be noted that the complex stability constants increase very little with ligand protonation. The free energy increment of 1.8-2.6 kJ/mol associated with the variation of a single positive charge of the ligand is remarkably smaller than the value 5 ± 1 kJ/mol expected for the formation of a single salt bridge in water.²² This is a common feature invariably observed with these tetrazine-based ligands,¹¹ indicating that forces other than charge-charge attraction are important for these system, i.e. the anion- π interaction, which dominates L2 complexes with halide anions in the solid state, and the effect of the solvent, which should be reckoned with in solution studies. Similar trends were previously observed for the formation of other anion complexes with other ligands that avail of anion- π interactions as prime binding forces.^{41,5d,23} Nevertheless, contributions from electrostatic

attraction and hydrogen bonds, that are very significant for anion complexes with ammonium ligand,²⁴ cannot be neglected.

A special trend of complex stability is encountered moving along the halides series. As shown in Figure 6, the equilibrium constants (K) for the complexation equilibria $\text{H}_2\text{L}2^{2+} + \text{X}^- = [(\text{H}_2\text{L}2)\text{X}]^+$ ($\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}$) give rise to a V-shaped profile with a minimum for Cl^- , that, as commented above, forms undetectable complexes ($K \approx \text{zero}$) under the experimental conditions employed. On the basis of the crystallographic results, we would have expected that Cl^- and Br^- were the stronger interacting anions, as they form simultaneous anion- π and salt-bridge interactions with $\text{H}_2\text{L}2^{2+}$, while they actually interact little or nothing in solution. On the basis of the physico-chemical properties of the anions we would expect different and homogeneous trends. For instance, the charge density of the anions, as well as their ability to form H-bonds decrease from F^- to I^- , suggesting a steady weakening of both anion- π and salt-bridge contributions along the series. The same is expected for the polarization effect induced by the anions on the ligand π -electron system which should be modest and decrease with the anion charge density, while the opposite effect of anion polarization by the ligand is expected to be almost negligible. Also dispersion contributions to the total interaction energy are expected to be modest.²⁵ That is, according to these properties, the complex stability should decrease along the F^- - I^- series, in contrast with the experimental evidence.




Figure 6. Equilibrium constants (K) for the reactions $\text{H}_2\text{L}2^{2+} + \text{X}^- = [(\text{H}_2\text{L}2)\text{X}]^+$ ($\text{X} = \text{halide}$). For Cl^- , K was arbitrarily set equal to zero, as it is expected to be close to this value.

Conversely, an opposite trend is to be expected if solvation effects are taken into account. As a matter of fact, the formation of anion complexes causes a release of solvent molecules from the interacting species. For a given ligand, the differences between the corresponding overall energetic effects are mostly determined by the hydration free energies ($\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{hyd}}$) of anions that may have quite different values. Indeed, for the halide anions, the $\Delta G^{\circ}_{\text{hyd}}$ is -472 kJ/mol (F^-), -347 kJ/mol (Cl^-), -321 kJ/mol (Br^-) and -283 kJ/mol (I^-),^{1a} the associated energetic cost for desolvation decreasing in the order $\text{F}^- > \text{Cl}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{I}^-$, thus favoring complexation in the opposite direction.

Most likely, combination of these opposite trends generates the V-shaped profile of stability constants shown in Figure 6.

DFT optimized geometries for diprotonated complexes ($[(\text{H}_2\text{L}_2)\text{X}]^+$, X = F, Cl, Br, I) in PCM water are shown in Figure 7. In these complexes, in contrast to the crystallographic results, the ligand assumes an U-shaped conformation and forms a pair of salt bridges with the included anions, while the morpholine pendants spread more and more as the guest anions becomes bigger and




Figure 7. Top (above) and lateral (below) views of the DFT optimized geometries for fluoride (a), chloride (b), bromide (c) and iodide (d) complexes of $\text{H}_2\text{L}_2^{2+}$ in PCM water.

bigger. The selected structural parameters collected in Table 3 show that, in the minimized geometries, all halide anions are located along, or very close to, the perpendicular to the tetrazine passing through the ring centroid, and that the anion-centroid distances corrected for the anion ionic radii are short and similar. Conversely, the salt bridge contacts $\text{NH}^+\cdots\text{X}^-$ corrected for the anion ionic radii account for a significant weakening of the interaction from F^- to I^- . While fluoride is totally engulfed by the ligand, forming quasi linear $\text{H}^+\cdots\text{X}^-\cdots\text{H}^+$ interactions (165.54° , Table 3) the

Table 3. Interaction parameters for the minimized [(H₂L2)X]⁺ (X = halide) complexes.

X	Centroid distance (Å) ^a	Corrected centroid distance (Å) ^b	Offset (Å)	NH ⁺ ...X ⁻ distance (Å) ^c	Corrected NH ⁺ ...X ⁻ distance (Å) ^d	H-X-H angle (°) ^e
F	2.73	1.45	0.00	1.46, 1.47	0.17, 0.18	165.54
Cl	3.31	1.50	0.00	2.07	0.26	134.05
Br	3.37	1.41	0.08	2.21, 2.22	0.25, 0.26	110.46
I	3.70	1.5	0.17	2.56	0.4	106.93

^a Distance of the anion from the tetrazine ring centroid. ^b Centroid distance corrected for the anion ionic radius. ^c Hydrogen bond distance. ^d Hydrogen bond distance corrected for the anion ionic radius. ^e Angles formed by the anion and the ammonium protons involved in the formation of salt bridges.

bigger halide anions are more and more external (Figure 7), the H⁺...X⁻...H angle becoming 106.93° in the iodide complex (Table 3). Accordingly, the halide anions become increasingly exposed to the solvent from F⁻ to I⁻, thus being subject to a decreasing desolvation upon complexation. Therefore, the computational results are in line with the importance of the anion-π interaction and the interplay of opposite tendencies of salt bridge interactions and solvation phenomena that generate the V-shaped profile of complex stability shown in Figure 6.

Unfortunately, poor information is available for halide complexes with L1. With this ligand, we only managed to determine the stability constants of I⁻ complexes (see experimental section) that emerged to be relatively smaller than the constants determined for the analogous species with L2 (Table 2), as already observed for other anion complexes of the same ligands.^{11c}

In contrast with complexes of the same ligands with other anions, the neutral (unprotonated) L1 and L2 molecules do not form halide complexes of sufficient stability to be detected by our potentiometric (pHmetric) method. Most likely, this is due to the general lower stability of halide complexes that is probably related to the monoatomic nature of these anions which makes more difficult the association of different binding forces in keeping together the interacting partners. Nevertheless, during the present study, we stumbled upon an unexpected result. We found that the neutral L1 and L2 ligands interact with hydroxide (OH⁻) anions, in water, to form [(L1)OH]⁻ and [(L2)OH]⁻ complexes, a result that, at first, seemed rather surprising. Actually, as far as we know, these are the first reported cases of non-covalent binding of hydroxide anions in water with metal-free synthetic receptors, even if, examples of OH⁻ anions interacting with electron poor aromatic groups in the solid state can be found in published crystal structures.²⁶ In only few of them, the existence of anion-π interactions was noted.^{26j,o}

In reality, there was no reason of surprise in this finding. OH⁻ is an anion, it can be involved in interactions of different natures with L1 and L2, its hydration free energy ($\Delta G_{\text{hyd}}^{\circ} = -403$ kJ/mol) is

intermediate between those of F^- and Cl^- (see above), thus, OH^- has all the requisites to be bound by L1 and L2 like, or better than, other anions. The formation of these OH^- complexes was undetected in previous studies because their formation become appreciable only above pH 10, when the concentration of OH^- anions becomes significant, while all measurements previously used for the speciation of the complexes with other anions were conducted up to pH 9.¹¹ Accordingly, below pH 9 the OH^- anions are very scarce and unable to compete with the formation of other anions' complexes. For this reason, the stability constants previously reported for L1 and L2 anion complexes do not need to be corrected for OH^- binding.²⁷ As can be seen from Table 2, the stability of OH^- complexes with the neutral L1 and L2 is comparable with that of halide complexes of charged ligand forms, $[(L1)OH]^-$ being the most stable among all complexes in this work. Unlike halide anions, OH^- can act as hydrogen bond donor toward the unprotonated morpholine nitrogen atoms of the ligands (Figure 8), affording an important contribution to complex stability. Most likely, this is the reason why neutral L1 and L2 form complexes of appreciable stability with OH^- , while they do not bind halide anions. We believe that the marked exothermicity of the reactions of neutral L1 and L2 with OH^- ($\Delta H^\circ = -25.1$ and -18.8 kJ/mol, Table 2) reflects the contribution of hydrogen bonding in the formation of OH^- complexes, in contrast with the almost athermic binding of inorganic anions unable to donate hydrogen bonds ($L2/SO_4^{2-}$, $\Delta H^\circ = -0.6$ kJ/mol; $L2/PF_6^-$, $\Delta H^\circ = -0.5$ kJ/mol; $L2/ClO_4^-$, $\Delta H^\circ = -2.3$ kJ/mol).^{11c} Consistently, the entropy changes for OH^- bind by the neutral ligands are negative ($T\Delta S^\circ = -10.3$ and -8.8 kJ/mol, Table 2), while in the case of SO_4^{2-} , PF_6^- and ClO_4^- , binding by L2 were positive ($T\Delta S^\circ = 11.8, 17.0, 9.0$ kJ/mol, respectively), as expected for the formation of an hydrogen bond anchorage ($OH^- \cdots N$), between OH^- and the nitrogen atom of the morpholine functionality, that reduces the freedom of this ligand arm.




Figure 8. Schematic representation of the hydrogen bond and anion- π interactions suggested for $[L1(OH)]^-$ ($n = 1$) and $[L2(OH)]^-$ ($n = 2$) complexes.

CONCLUSIONS

Protonated forms of the tetrazine-based ligand L2 display a significant ability to bind halide anion in water thanks to the interplay of electrostatic, hydrogen bond, anion- π interactions and solvent

effects. Both crystallographic and theoretical analysis of the anion complexes show that the anion are invariably located over the tetrazine rings at close interacting anion- π distances. Combination of the above binding forces with solvation contributions gives rise to a particular stability pattern ($I^- > F^- > Br^- > Cl^-$), the I^- and F^- complexes being the most stable. Results obtained for the I^- complexes with L1, the only halide complexes that we managed to study, are consistent with the behavior of L2.

A remarkable feature of these ligands is their ability to bind OH^- in water when they are in the form of free (unprotonated) amines. At the best of our knowledge, this is an unprecedented observation for organic receptors in water. Dissection of the free energy changes for OH^- binding into their enthalpic and entropic contributions suggests that the formation of such complexes is granted by the ability of OH^- to act as an hydrogen bond donor toward the nitrogen atoms of ligand morpholine residues, a property not available for halide anions.

In conclusion, all data confirm that anion- π interactions have a prominent position in the stabilization of anion complexes with L1 and L2 and are well suited for collaboration with other weak forces. Accordingly, the tetrazine ring appears to be valuable element for the construction of anion receptors and, beyond them, for the construction of receptors for all kind of substrates carrying lone pairs of electrons.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

X-ray crystallographic files in CIF format for $H_2L_2F_2 \cdot 3H_2O \cdot CH_3OH$, $H_2L_2Cl_2$, $H_2L_2Br_2$, L1 and L2.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: antonio.bianchi@unifi.it, mmelgui@ujaen.es

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Italian MIUR (project 2015MP34H3) and from the Spanish MINECO (project MAT2014-60104-C2-2-R) is gratefully acknowledged. The centre of instrumental facilities, STI, of the University of Jaén is acknowledged for technical assistance. FP thanks the

Department of Applied Chemistry of the Graduate School of Engineering of Tohoku University for financial support.

References

- (1) (a) *Anion Coordination Chemistry*; Bowman-James, K.; Bianchi, A.; Garcia-España, E., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2012. (b) Sessler, J. L.; Gale, P. A.; Cho, W. S. *Anion Receptor Chemistry (Monographs in Supramolecular Chemistry)*; RSC Publishing: Cambridge, 2006.
- (2) Gale, P. A.; Howe, E. N.W.; Wu, X. Anion Receptor Chemistry. *Chem.* **2016**, *1*, 351-422.
- (3) Quiñero, D.; Frontera, A.; Deyà, P. M. *Anion- π Interactions in Molecular Recognition*, in *Anion Coordination Chemistry*; Bowman-James, K., Bianchi, A., García-España, E., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2012.
- (4) (a) Molina, P.; Zapata, F.; Caballero, A. Anion Recognition Strategies Based on Combined Noncovalent Interactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9907-9972. (b) Rissanen, K. Crystallography of encapsulated molecules. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 2638-2648. (c) Kobylarczyk, J.; Pinkowicz, D.; Srebro-Hooper, M.; Hooper, J.; Podgajny, R. Anion- π recognition between $[M(CN)_6]^{3-}$ complexes and HAT(CN)₆: structural matching and electronic charge density modification. *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 3482-3491. (d) Giese, M.; Albrecht, M.; Rissanen, K.; Experimental Investigation of Anion- π Interactions – Applications and Biochemical Relevance. *Chem. Comm.* **2016**, *52*, 1778-1795. (e) Lucas, X.; Bauzá, A.; Frontera, A.; Quiñero, D. A thorough anion- π interaction study in biomolecules: on the importance of cooperativity effects. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 1038-1050. (f) Smith, M. S.; Lawrence, E. E. K.; Billings, W. M.; Larsen, K. S.; Becar, N. A.; Price, J. L. An Anion- π Interaction Strongly Stabilizes the β -Sheet Protein WW. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2017**, DOI: 10.1021/acscchembio.7b00768. (g) Vesna R. R., Srđan Đ. S., Mario V. Z. Anion- π interactions in active centers of superoxide dismutases. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2017**, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.08.050. (h) Giese, M.; Albrecht, M.; Rissanen, K. Anion- π Interactions with Fluoroarenes. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 8867-8895. (i) Chifotides, H.T.; Dunbar, K.R. Anion- π Interactions in Supramolecular Architectures. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 894-906. (j) Ballester, P. Experimental Quantification of Anion- π Interactions in Solution Using Neutral Host-Guest Model Systems. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 874-884. (k) Watt, M.M.; Collins, M.S.; Johnson, D.W. Ion- π Interactions in Ligand Design for Anions and Main Group Cations. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 955-966. (l) Arranz-Mascarós, P.; Bazzicalupi, C.; Bianchi, A.; Giorgi, C.; Godino-Salido, M. L.; Gutierrez-Valero, M. D.; Lopez-Garzón, R.; Savastano, M. Thermodynamics of Anion- π Interactions in Aqueous Solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 102-105.

- (5) (a) Yan, J.; Kang, C.; Bian, Z.; Ma, X.; Jin, R.; Du, Z.; Gao, L. An Optically Active Polymer for Broad-Spectrum Enantiomeric Recognition of Chiral Acids. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 5824-5829. (b) Aliakbar Tehrani, Z.; Kim, K. S. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2016**, *116*, 622–633. (c) Liao, J.-Z.; Zhang, H.-L.; Wang, S.-S.; Yong, J.-P.; Wu, X.-Y.; Yu, R.; Lu, C.-Z. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 4345–4350. (d) Savastano, M.; Arranz-Mascarós, P.; Bazzicalupi, C.; Bianchi, A.; Giorgi, C.; Godino-Salido, M. L.; Gutiérrez-Valero, M. D.; López-Garzón, R. *RSC Adv.*, **2014**, *4*, 58505–58513. Berger, R.; Resnati, G.; Metrangolo, P.; Weber, E.; Hulliger, J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 3496-3508. (e) Arranz, P.; Bianchi, A.; Cuesta, R.; Giorgi, C.; Godino, M. L.; Gutierrez, M. D.; Lopez R.; Santiago, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 9321–9332; *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 4883.
- (6) (a) Gale, P. A.; Caltagirone, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 4212–4227. (b) Evans, N. H.; Beer, P. D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11716–11754.
- (7) (a) Gale, P. A.; Davis, J. T.; Quesada, R. Anion transport and supramolecular medicinal chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46*, 2497-2519. (b) Vargas Jentsch, A.; Matile, S. Anion Transport with Halogen Bonds. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2015**, *358*, 205–239. (c) Vargas Jentsch, A.; Hennig, A.; Mareda, J.; Matile, S. Synthetic Ion Transporters that Work with Anion– π Interactions, Halogen Bonds, and Anion–Macrodipole Interactions. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 2791–2800. (d) Jentsch, A. V. ; Emery, D.; Mareda, J.; Nayak, S. K.; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. Transmembrane anion transport mediated by halogen-bond donors. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 905. (e) Vargas Jentsch, A.; Emery, D.; Mareda, J.; Metrangolo, P.; Resnati, G.; Matile, S. Ditopic Ion Transport Systems: Anion– π Interactions and Halogen Bonds at Work. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 11675–11678.
- (8) (a) Breugst, M.; Von der Heiden, D.; Schmauck, J. Novel Noncovalent Interactions in Catalysis: A Focus on Halogen, Chalcogen, and Anion- π Bonding. *Synthesis* **2017**, *49*, 3224–3236. (b) Wang, C.; Matile, S. Anion- π Catalysts with Axial Chirality. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 11955-11960. (c) Zhao, Y.; Cotellet, Y.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. Unorthodox Interactions at Work. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 4270–4277. (d) Lu, T.; Wheeler, S. E. Quantifying the Role of Anion– π Interactions in Anion– π Catalysis. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 3268–3271. (e) Zhao, Y.; Domoto, Y.; Orentas, E.; Beuchat, C.; Emery, D.; Mareda, J.; Sakai, N.; Matile, S. Catalysis with Anion– π Interactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9940–9943.
- (9) (a) Gale, P. A.; Caltagirone, C. Fluorescent and colorimetric sensors for anionic species. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2017**, DOI: 10.1016/j.ccr.2017.05.003. (b) Solis-Delgado, L. E.; Ochoa-Teran, A.; Yatsimirsky, A. K.; Pina-Luis, G. Colorimetric and Fluorescent Determination of Fluoride Using a Novel Naphthalene Diimide Boronic Acid Derivative. *Anal. Lett.* **2016**, *49*, 2301–2311. (c) Fang, X.; Guo, M.-D.; Weng, L.-J.; Chen, Y.; Lin, M.-J. Cooperative Effect of anion- π and Electrostatic

- Interactions in NIR Absorbing Phenolate Naphthalene Diimide Conjugates. *Dyes Pigm.* **2015**, *113*, 251–256. (d) Guha, S.; Saha, S. Fluoride Ion Sensing by an Anion– π Interaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 17674–17677.
- (10) Schottel, B. L.; Chifotides, H. T.; Shatruk, M.; Chouai, A.; Pérez, L. M.; Bacsá, J.; Dunbar, K. R. Anion– π Interactions as Controlling Elements in Self-Assembly Reactions of Ag(I) Complexes with π -Acidic Aromatic Rings. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 5895–5912.
- (11) (a) Savastano, M.; Bazzicalupi, C.; García, C.; López de la Torre, M. D.; Mariani, P.; Pichierri, F.; Bianchi, A.; Melguizo, M. Iodide and triiodide anion complexes involving anion– π interactions with a tetrazine-based receptor. *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 4518–4529. (b) Savastano, M.; García, C.; López de la Torre, M. D.; Pichierri, F.; Bazzicalupi, C.; Bianchi, A.; Melguizo, M. Interplay between salt bridge, hydrogen bond and anion– π interactions in thiocyanate binding. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2017**, DOI: 10.1016/j.ica.2017.04.029. (c) Savastano, M.; Bazzicalupi, C.; García, C.; López de la Torre, M. D.; Pichierri, F.; Bianchi, A.; Melguizo, M. Anion Complexes with Tetrazine-Based Ligands: Formation of Strong Anion– π Interactions in Solution and in the Solid State. *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 8013–8024.
- (12) Bazzicalupi, C.; Bianchi, A.; Biver, T.; Giorgi, C.; Santarelli, S.; Savastano, M. Formation of Double-Strand Dimetallic Helicates with a Terpyridine-Based Macrocyclic. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 12215–12224.
- (13) Gans, P.; Sabatini, A.; Vacca, A. Investigation of equilibria in solution. Determination of equilibrium constants with the HYPERQUAD suite of programs. *Talanta* **1996**, *43*, 1739–1753.
- (14) CrysAlisPro, Agilent Technologies, Version 1.171.35.11.
- (15) Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Burla, M. C.; Polidori, G.; Camalli, M. SIR92 – a program for automatic solution of crystal structures by direct methods. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **1994**, *27*, 435.
- (16) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Cryst.* **2015**, *C71*, 3–8.
- (17) Chai, J.-D.; Head-Gordon, M. Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom-atom dispersion corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620.
- (18) Francl, M. M.; Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J.; Binkley, J. S.; DeFrees, D. J.; Pople, J. A.; Gordon, M. S. Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. 23. A polarization-type basis set for 2nd-row elements. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1982**, *77*, 3654–3665.
- (19) Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. Ab initio effective core potentials for molecular calculations – potentials for main group elements Na to Bi. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 284–298.
- (20) Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R. Quantum Mechanical Continuum Solvation Models. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3094.

(21) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö .; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, revision C.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.


(22) (a) Schneider, H.-J. Linear free energy relationships and pairwise interactions in supramolecular chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1994**, *22*, 227–234. (b) Schneider, H.-J.; Blatter, T.; Eliseev, A.; Rüdiger, V.; Raevsky, O. A. Electrostatics in molecular recognition: From ion pairs and inophores to nucleotides and DNA. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1993**, *65*, 2329–2334. (c) Schneider, H.-J.; Schiestel, T.; Zimmermann, P. Host-guest supramolecular chemistry. 34. The incremental approach to noncovalent interactions: coulomb and van der Waals effects in organic ion pairs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 7698–7703.

(23) Arranz, P.; Bianchi, A.; Cuesta, R.; Giorgi, C.; Godino, M. L.; Gutiérrez, M. D.; López, R.; Santiago, A. Binding and Removal of Sulfate, Phosphate, Arsenate, Tetrachloromercurate, and Chromate in Aqueous Solution by Means of an Activated Carbon Functionalized with a Pyrimidine-Based Anion Receptor (HL). Crystal Structures of $[H_3L(HgCl_4)] \cdot H_2O$ and $[H_3L(HgBr_4)] \cdot H_2O$ Showing Anion- π Interactions. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 9321–9332.

(24) (a) Mateus, P.; Bernier, N.; Delgado, R. Recognition of anions by polyammonium macrocyclic and cryptand receptors: Influence of the dimensionality on the binding behavior. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *254*, 1726–1747. (b) Bowman-James, K. Alfred Werner Revisited: The Coordination Chemistry of Anions. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2005**, *38*, 671–678. (c) Garcia-España, E.; Díaz, P.; Llinares, J. M.; Bianchi, A. Anion coordination chemistry in aqueous solution of polyammonium receptors. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 2952–2986. (d) Bianchi, A.; Micheloni, M.; Paoletti, P. Supramolecular interaction between adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) and polycharged tetraazamacrocycles. Thermodynamic and ^{31}P NMR studies. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **1988**, *151*, 269–272.

- (25) (a) Kim, D. Y.; Singh, N. J.; Kim, K. S. Cyameluric acid as anion- π type receptor for ClO_4^- and NO_3^- : π -Stacked and edge-to-face structures. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 1401–1407. (b) Kim, D.; Tarakeshwar, P.; Kim, K. S. Theoretical investigations of anion- π interactions: The role of anions and the nature of π systems. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **2004**, *108*, 1250–1258. (c) Garau, C.; Frontera, A.; Quiñonero, D.; Ballester, P.; Costa, A.; Deyà, P.M. A topological analysis of the electron density in anion- π interactions. *ChemPhysChem* **2003**, *4*, 1344–1348. (d) Quiñonero, D.; Garau, C.; Frontera, A.; Ballester, P.; Costa, A.; Deyà, P. M. Counterintuitive interaction of anions with benzene derivatives. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2002**, *359*, 486–492.
- (26) (a) Im, S. Y.; Park, S. J.; Im, H. J.; Lee, S.W. Conversion of Ni–Nd and Ni–Tb compartment compounds into one-dimensional coordination polymers or tetranuclear dimers. *Polyhedron* **2016**, *117*, 231–243. (b) Escuer, A.; Mayans, J.; Font-Bardia, M. Linked Nickel Metallacrowns from a Phosphonate/2-Pyridyloximate Blend of Ligands: Structure and Magnetic Properties. *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 3161–3168. (c) Wei, S. Y.; Wang, J. L.; Zhang, C. S.; Xu, X.-T.; Zhang, X. X.; Wang, J. X.; Xing, Y.-H. d^7/d^8 Metal Complexes Constructed from 2,6-Bis(2-benzimidazolyl)pyridyl or 2,6-Di-(pyrazol-3-yl)pyridine Derivatives: Synthesis, Structure, Characterization, and Photocatalytic Activity. *ChemPlusChem* **2015**, *80*, 549–558. (d) Guan, Q.-L.; Liu, Z.; Wei, W.-J.; Xing, Y.-H.; Liu, J.; Zhang, R.; Hou, Y.-N.; Wang, X.; Bai, F.-Y. Synthesis, structure, spectroscopy of four novel supramolecular complexes and cytotoxicity study by application of multiple parallel perfused microbio reactors. *New J. Chem.* **2014**, *38*, 3258–3268. (e) Yang, L.; Xin, L.; Gu, W.; Liao, S.; Du, P.; Tian, J.; Zhang, Y.; Lv, R.; Wei, X.; Liu, X.; Liao, D. Synthesis, Characterizations, Magnetism and Thermal Degradation of a 2-Fold Interpenetrated 3D Cobalt-Organic Framework. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2014**, *32*, 227–232. (f) Alexandropoulos, D. I.; Manos, M. J.; Papatriantafyllopoulou, C.; Mukherjee, S.; Tasiopoulos, A. J.; Perlepes, S. P.; Christou, G.; Stamatatos, T. C. Squaring the clusters”: a $\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_4\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}_4$ molecular square from nickel(II)-induced structural transformation of a $\text{Mn}^{\text{II/III/IV}}_{12}$ cage. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 4744–4747. (g) Derikvand, Z.; Dorosti, N.; Hassanzadeh, F.; Shokrollahi, A.; Mohammadpour, Z.; Azadbakht, A. Three new supramolecular compounds of copper (II), cobalt (II) and zirconium (IV) with pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate and 3,4-diaminopyridine: Solid and solution states studies. *Polyhedron* **2012**, *43*, 140–152. (h) Duong, A.; Maris, T.; Wuest, J. D. Using Pyridinyl-Substituted Diaminotriazines to Bind Pd(II) and Create Metallotectons for Engineering Hydrogen-Bonded Crystals. *Inorg.Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 5605–5618. (i) Lei, W.; Xia, M.-Z.; Wang, F.-Y.; Heng, L.-J.; Yang, T.-H. Synthesis and Crystal Structure of Nickel(II) Complex Derived from Benzotriazole-4-Sulfonate. *Asian J. Chem.* **2011**, *23*, 3755–3756. (j) Jian, F. F.; Wang, J.; Huang, L. H.; Wang, X.; Xiao, H. L. Two supramolecular microporous frameworks stabilized by hydroxyl anionic water cluster. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2010**, *973*, 136–143. (k)

Strotmeyer, K. P.; Fritsky, I. O.; Pritzkow, H.; Kramer, R. Self-assembly of a molecular figure-of-eight strip. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 28–29. (l) Bergman, S. D.; Goldberg, I.; Barbieri, A.; Barigelletti, F.; Kol, M. Mononuclear and Dinuclear Complexes of Dibenzoeilatin: Synthesis, Structure, and Electrochemical and Photophysical Properties. *Inorg.Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 2355–2367. (m) Zheng, Y.-Q.; Lin, J.-L.; Sun, J. Crystal structure of disodium di- μ -hydroxo-bis(chloro-1,10-phenanthro-line-N,N'-copper(II))dihydroxide, $\text{Na}_2[\text{Cu}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{Phen})_2(\text{OH})_2](\text{OH})_2$. *Z. Krist.-New Cryst. St.* **2001**, *216*, 135-136. (n) Boury, B.; Carré, F.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Nuñez, R. New sodium organobis(silantriolates). *Chem. Commun.* 1998, 2309–2310. (o) Hu, N.-H. A hydroxide inclusion complex of a methylene-bridged tetrapyrimidinium macrocycle. *Acta Cryst.* **1994**, *C50*, 2082–2085. (27) The former potentiometric measurements used to study the formation of other L1 and L2 anion complexes in previous works¹¹ were reanalysed by using the program HYPERQUAD¹³ –according to the procedure described in the experimental section– after the introduction of the stability constants obtained in this work for the formation of OH^- complexes (Table 2). The stability constants obtained by this procedure were equal, within experimental errors, to those previously determined neglecting the formation of OH^- complexes.



Graphical Abstract