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#### Abstract

. The imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine ring system has been chosen as a new decorable core skeleton for the design of novel adenosine receptor (AR) antagonists targeting either the human (h) $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ or the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor subtype. The $\mathrm{N}^{8}$-(hetero)arylcarboxyamido substituted compounds 4-14 and 21-30, bearing a 6-phenyl moiety or not, respectively, show good $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor affinity and selectivity versus the other ARs. In contrast, the 8 -amino-6-(hetero)aryl substituted derivatives designed for targeting the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor subtype (compounds 31-38) and also the 6-phenyl analogues 18-20 do not bind the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, or show $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ or balanced $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR affinity in the micromolar range. Molecular docking of the new $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ antagonists was carried out to depict their hypothetical binding mode to our refined model of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor. Some derivatives were evaluated for their fluorescent potentiality and showed some fluorescent emission properties. One of the most active $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ antagonists herein reported, i.e. the 2,6-diphenyl-8-(3-pyridoylamino)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine 29, tested in a rat model of cerebral ischemia, delayed the occurrence of anoxic depolarization caused by oxygen and glucose deprivation in the hippocampus and allowed disrupted synaptic activity to recover.
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## 1. Introduction

Extracellular adenosine acts as an endogenous modulator in mammals through the activation of four cell surface adenosine receptors (ARs) classified as $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ [1]. Every AR subtype belongs to the G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family and mediates distinct physiological actions by coupling with different secondary messenger systems. Among the latter, adenylate cyclase (AC) is considered the principal effector system which can be either inhibited ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) or stimulated ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ), thus decreasing or increasing, respectively, cAMP production [1-3]. ARs, which are ubiquitously expressed in the human body, mediate a large number of physiological and pathophysiological processes. Thus, selective modulation of each receptor subtype could be utilized to therapeutic advantage [4]. In fact, there is ample evidence that ARs could be promising therapeutic targets for many pathological conditions.

In particular, the $A_{3} A R$ has recently been found to be very interesting since it is associated with important neuroprotective effects, despite its low expression in the brain [5]. However, it has to be noted that the role of $\mathrm{A}_{3} A R$ in several neurological diseases is often controversial [6, 7]. Its inhibition by selective antagonists has been reported to be potentially neuroprotective after brain injury [8]. Thus, although to date a considerable number of selective $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ modulators have been discovered, much is still to be learned about the exact function of this receptor subtype in ischemia. A similar enigmatic role can be attributed also to the adenosine $A_{2 A}$ subtype which has recently emerged as an interesting target in ischemia as being involved in the modulation of brain damage after injury. However, the length of the time window in which the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor plays a harmful or protective role after ischemic insult is still to be determined [9]. Thus, for both $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptors, it is intriguing to clarify whether and in which cases, selective agonist or antagonists could represent the best choice. In the light of the fact that no promising therapy is yet available for acute ischemic stroke, many scientists indicate adenosine as a target for possible therapeutic implementation.

Starting from the classical AR antagonist tricyclic core [4, 8, 10-12], bicyclic and monocyclic scaffolds have been evaluated in order to explore the structural requirements that could be important for the binding at the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor subtype [13-18]. Evaluation of simplified core skeletons to obtain new AR antagonists have confirmed that, in some cases, structural simplification can represent a drug design strategy for maintaining or enhancing the biological activity of the original candidate [13, 14, 17]. Recently, we embarked on a research program to develop a new class of bicyclic compounds targeting the ARs, the 2-arylpyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidines (PP) [16, 19] (Figure 1), which show promise for the development of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. Structure activity relationship (SAR) studies on these compounds have led us to deduce some structural features that could be important for $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor-ligand interaction and selectivity.


Series PP

```
\(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}_{5}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\)
\(\mathrm{R}_{7}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COAlk}, \mathrm{COAr}, \mathrm{COHetAr}\)
```

Figure 1. Previously reported pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine derivatives (PP).

On this basis, in the present work, we studied a series of 2-arylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine-8-amine (IP, Figure 2) derivatives in order to obtain new potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists and also to preliminarily evaluate the structural modifications to be performed on this series to target the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ subtype.


Series IP

## Targeting the hA3 AR

$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, furan-2-yl
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{NHCOAr}^{2}$, NHCOHetAr , $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{COAr})_{2}, \mathrm{NHCONHC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

Targeting the $h_{A_{2 A}} A R$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \text { furan }-2-\mathrm{yl} \\
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{6}}=\text { Aryl, furan-2-yl } \\
\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}=\mathrm{NH}_{2} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
$$

Figure 2. Currently reported imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine derivatives as AR antagonists.
Firstly, we investigated the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ pharmacophore by choosing $\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ substituents on the basis of the SAR studies performed on our previously described derivatives [14-16, 18] and on many other structurally correlated classes of AR antagonists [4, 20-22]. Thus, starting from the imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine-8-amine core skeleton, both the suitable phenyl and 4-methoxyphenyl substituents were introduced at position 2, but also the less usual furan-2-yl moiety was evaluated for $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinity. At
the same time, the 8 -amino group was transformed into the canonical $\mathrm{N}^{8}$-heteroaryl-carboxyamidoor $\mathrm{N}^{8}$-phenylureido-function. In the first set of compounds (1-14), position 6 was maintained unsubstituted $\left(\mathrm{R}_{6}=\mathrm{H}\right)$. For comparison, in the second set of derivatives, a phenyl moiety (18-30) or a bromine atom (15-17) was introduced at $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ to evaluate the importance of a lipophilic substituent at this position. In this part of our study, it emerged that the contemporary presence of a lipophilic substituent at position 6 (the phenyl moiety, i.e. compounds 18-20 but also the bromine atom in 1517), and of the primary 8 -amino group leads to an increased affinity for the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and/or $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ ARs. These data encouraged us to start a preliminary investigation on 6-(hetero)aryl substituted compounds (Figure 2) whose $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ substituents were chosen on the basis of literature data of similar bicyclic $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ antagonists [19, 23-25].
It is important to underline that we have focused our attention on the imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine-8-amine scaffold because the 2-phenyl-substituted compound 1, although being totally inactive at all the AR subtypes, possesses some fluorescent emission property. Thus, it might have been a promising starting point for developing fluorescent AR antagonists. In particular, the imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine scaffold closely resembles that of other bicyclic heteroaromatic ring systems with documented fluorescent properties [26, 27]. For our purpose, we decided to decorate the core skeleton to optimize its fluorescence emission and AR affinity at the same time. In this, we were hoping to be helped by the fact that some substituents in precise positions, i.e. the methoxy group, as well as being reported as profitable for $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ affinity [14, 16], are so far improving fluorescence properties [27].

Thus, all the new derivatives (1-38) were tested to evaluate their affinities at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs and their efficacy at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ subtype. Considering the imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine scaffold as a new structural motif for the design of AR antagonists, a few selected compounds ( $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{2 2}, \mathbf{2 9}$ ) were also tested in cAMP functional assays to assess their $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ antagonistic activity. In addition, the measurement of some photophysical properties for some derivatives was performed.

One of the most interesting compounds (29) in terms of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and selectivity was also evaluated in an in vitro rat model of cerebral ischemia, since in previous studies [11, 12] structurally related compounds proved to be effective in preventing the failure of synaptic activity induced by oxygen and glucose deprivation (OGD) in the hippocampus.

Along with the pharmacological evaluation, molecular docking of this new class of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists was carried out to depict their hypothetical binding mode to an $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor model.

## 2. Chemistry

The imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine derivatives $\mathbf{1 - 3 8}$ were synthesized as depicted in Schemes 1-3. The $\mathrm{N}^{8}$ substituted compounds $\mathbf{4 - 1 4}$ together with the corresponding 8 -amino intermediates $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ were
prepared according to the procedure described in Scheme 1. By reacting the 3,5-dibromo-2aminopirazine [28] with the suitable $\alpha$-bromoketone [29] in solvent-free conditions, the 6,8 -dibromoderivatives 39-41 [30] were obtained with high yields. Regiospecific displacement of the 8 -bromo atom with ammonia in absolute ethanol at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an autoclave gave the 8 -ammino-derivatives $\mathbf{1 5}$ 17. Catalytic dehalogenation with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ yielded compounds $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ which were acylated at the 8 -aminosubstituent for obtaining the corresponding amido-derivatives $\mathbf{4 - 1 3}$. The reaction can be performed with the suitable aroyl chloride in tetrahydrofuran containing pyridine (compounds $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}$ ) or by using the necessary carboxylic acid in the presence of group activators (derivatives 6-13). The 8 -ureido derivative $\mathbf{1 4}$ was obtained by reacting the 8 -amino-2-phenyl compound $\mathbf{1}$ with phenylisocyanate in dichloromethane at room temperature for a long reaction time.


|  | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 5}, \mathbf{1 , 4 - 1 1 , ~ 1 4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0 , 1 6 , 2 , 1 2}$ | 4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 7 , \mathbf { 3 } , \mathbf { 1 3 }}$ | furan-2-yl |


|  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |  | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 , 1 2 , 1 3}$ | $\mathrm{NHCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}($ furan-2-yl) |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}($ pyridin-3-yl) |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathrm{NHCONHC} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathrm{NHCOCH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |  |  |

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COR}_{2}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (gas), absolute ethanol, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, autoclave; c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, ethanol; d) RCOCl , anhydrous tetrahydrofuran, pyridine, reflux, or RCOOH , N -(3-dimethylammino-propyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide•HCl, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate, anhydrous dimethylformamide, r.t.; e) PhNCO, anhydrous dichloromethane, r.t.

The 6-phenyl-8-amino derivatives 18-20 were obtained by reacting the 6-bromo-derivatives 15-17 with phenylboronic acid in 1,2-dimethoxyethane/water in the presence of tetrakis and sodium carbonate (Scheme 2). Then, the 8 -amino group was reacted with the suitable aroyl chloride in anhydrous pyridine at reflux to yield the desired compounds 21-30.

$\downarrow$ b
25
26
27
28
29 NHCO(pyridin-3yl)

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, tetrakis, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 1,2-dimethoxyethane/water $3: 1,85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) RCOCl , pyridine, reflux.

Scheme 3 depicts the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 8}$ which bear different substituents at the $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ position. These derivatives were obtained by reaction of $\mathbf{1 5}, 17$ with the suitable boronic acid, all commercially available with the exception of the 3-(2-phenylacetamido)phenyl boronic acid $\mathbf{4 2}$, that was obtained by acylation of the 3-aminophenylboronic acid with 2-phenylacetyl chloride in tetrahydrofuran containing trimethylamine (see experimental section).


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: a) Commercially available $\mathrm{R}_{6} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ or $\mathbf{4 2}$ ( $\mathrm{R}_{6}=3$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, tetrakis, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dimethoxyethane/water 3:1, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 3. Pharmacology.

All the reported compounds 1-38, were tested for their ability to displace specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX, $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{ZM} 241385$ and $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ binding from $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptors, respectively. The potency of the compounds at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ subtype was investigated by measuring their inhibitory effect on 200 nM NECA-stimulated cAMP levels in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells transfected with
the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor. The affinity (expressed as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) and potency (expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ ) values of the novel compounds are reported in Tables 1-2. Moreover, to evaluate the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR antagonistic effect, compounds $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{2 2}, 29$ were tested for their ability to counteract the 100 nM Cl -IB-MECAmediated inhibition of cAMP production in CHO cells expressing $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR. On the same set of compounds, rat (r) A3 AR affinity values were evaluated using [ ${ }^{125}$ I]AB-MECA in membranes from CHO cells transfected with $\mathrm{rA}_{3}$ ARs (Table 3). Compound 29 was selected to evaluate its effect in an in vitro rat model of cerebral ischemia obtained by oxygen and glucose deprivation (OGD).

## 4. Fluorescence evaluation.

The fluorescent properties of some imidazopyrazine derivatives are listed in Table 4. Excitation and emission spectra were generally obtained from solutions of the compounds in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. To probe the environment affecting the sensitivity of the fluorescent compound, the quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) values of the selected derivatives $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ were determined also in a polar solvent, i.e. EtOH. Lower $\Phi$ values are expected in polar solution compared to nonpolar solvents. In fact, the highest $\Phi$ values were those recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The fluorescence quantum yield was calculated by using the fluorescent 2-aminopyridine in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution ( $\Phi=0.6$, excitation wavelength ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}$ ) $=285 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) as reference compound.

## 5. Results and discussion.

### 5.1. Structure-affinity relationship studies and fluorescence properties.

As shown in Tables 1 and 2, while most of the synthesized compounds targeting the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR (derivatives 4-14 and 21-30) have affinity in the nanomolar range and selectivity for this subtype, only a few derivatives designed as $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists (compounds 31-38) together with the 6-phenyl substituted analogues 18-20 have $A_{1}$ or balanced $A_{1} / A_{2 A} A R$ affinity in the micromolar range. These data suggest that the imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine ring system can be considered as a new scaffold for the development of potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR antagonists. Starting from the 2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine-8-amine 1 (Table 1), other compounds bearing different substituents on the 8 -amino group were synthesized (4-14, 21-30). Introduction of the benzoyl group, once (compound 4) or twice (derivative 5) on the 8 -amino function, leads to potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists. In contrast, the presence at position 8 of different N -(hetero)arylcarboxyamido groups does not have a profitable effect, with the exception of compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ that show $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinity in the micromolar range. Nor did the insertion of the phenylureido substituent at position 8 have a positive effect on the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ binding leading to the inactive compound $\mathbf{1 4}$. The same applies to the 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) substituent that does not lead to significant modification of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity (compare $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ with
$\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, respectively) as described for previously reported derivatives [16]. In contrast, the presence of the more polar 2-(furan-2-yl) moiety in the 8 -benzoylamino derivative $\mathbf{1 3}$ produces a total loss of $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ binding affinity compared to 4 .

Table 1. Binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs and potencies $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$.


|  | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{8}$ | Binding experiments ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n M})$ or $\mathbf{I \%}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { cAMP } \\ \text { assay } \\ \mathbf{I \%} \\ \hline \mathbf{h A}_{2 \mathbf{B}}{ }^{\text {e }} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $h A_{1}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $h \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | hA ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 30\% | 13\% | 12\% | 30\% |
| 2 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| 3 | 2-furanyl | H | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 21\% | 12\% | 5\% | 1\% |
| 4 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $\mathrm{NHCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 18\% | 1\% | $52 \pm 5$ | 2\% |
| 5 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{COC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ | 4\% | 5\% | $64 \pm 6$ | $3 \%$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | 1\% | $3 \%$ | $162 \pm 14$ | 1\% |
| 7 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | 26\% | 21\% | 45\% | 10\% |
| 8 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 46\% | 37\% | $524 \pm 47$ | 15\% |
| 9 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ | 32\% | 1\% | $245 \pm 22$ | 25\% |
| 10 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | NHCO(2-furanyl) | 25\% | 34\% | 40\% | 19\% |
| 11 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | NHCO(3-pyridyl) | 24\% | 13\% | 42\% | 22\% |
| 12 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | H | $\mathrm{NHCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1\% | 1\% | $121 \pm 11$ | 1\% |
| 13 | 2-furanyl | H | $\mathrm{NHCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 7\% | 1\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| 14 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | NHCONHC ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 1\% |
| 15 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | Br | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $420 \pm 40$ | 18\% | 14\% | 40\% |
| 16 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Br | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 29\% | 11\% | 24\% | 27\% |
| 17 | 2-furanyl | Br | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $210 \pm 18$ | $265 \pm 21$ | 28\% | 29\% |
| 18 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $180 \pm 15$ | $310 \pm 26$ | 23\% | 36\% |
| 19 | 4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $524 \pm 47$ | 1\% | 27\% | 1\% |


| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 2-furanyl | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $143 \pm 11$ | $520 \pm 46$ | $21 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $82 \pm 7$ | $1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $25 \pm 3$ | $1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $223 \pm 21$ | $3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $156 \pm 12$ | $1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}\left(4-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $38 \pm 4$ | $2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CO} 4-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2}$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}(2-$-furanyl) | $10 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $67 \pm 7$ | $2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}(2-$ thienyl) | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $185 \pm 16$ | $4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCO}(3-$ pyridyl) | $11 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $54 \pm 6$ | $3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{NHCOC} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $312 \pm 32$ | $1 \%$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values are means $\pm$ SEM of four separate assays each performed in duplicate. Percentage of inhibition (I\%) is determined at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the tested compounds.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Displacement of specific [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ ZM241385 competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{125}$ I]AB-MECA competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{e}$ Percentage of inhibition on cAMP experiments in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells, stimulated by 200 nM NECA, at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the examined compounds.

The binding affinities of the 8 -bromo substituted compounds $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 7}$, selected among the synthetic intermediates, were evaluated. The presence of the 8 -bromo atom enhanced the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ (compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ vs 1) or $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ affinity (compare $\mathbf{1 7}$ to $\mathbf{3}$ ). While the 2 -(furan- 2 -yl) compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ shows balanced $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ binding affinities, the corresponding 2-phenyl derivatives $\mathbf{1 5}$ preferentially binds the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$. In contrast, the presence of the 8 -bromo atom has no profitable effect on the binding of the 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) substituted compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ when compared to 2.

When a phenyl group was introduced at position 6 of derivative $\mathbf{1 - 3}$, the corresponding compounds 18-20 were obtained, all three showing enhanced binding affinity for the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ AR. The same applies for the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ with the exception of compound 19 that does not bind this subtype. Acylation of the 8 -amino group produced a new set of $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR antagonists (compounds 21-30). Compound 22, the 8 -(4-methoxybenzoyl)amino substituted, emerges as the most active among the 6-phenylimidazopyrazines herein reported but closely followed by the 8-(4-fluorobenzoyl)amino derivative 25 and the 8-(3-pyridinoyl)amino compound 29. By comparison with the unsubstituted analogues at $\mathrm{R}_{6}$, it emerges that the 6-phenyl group has a profitable effect on $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ binding affinity of these derivatives. In fact, while the affinity of the 8 -benzoylamino compound 21 is comparable to that of 4, for the other substituted compounds the binding is ameliorated (compare 22 and 23 to $\mathbf{6}$ and 7, respectively) or highly increased (compare 27 and 29 to 10 and 11, respectively).

In Table 2, the pharmacological data of the imidazo[1,2- $a$ ]pyrazine-8-amine derivatives designed for targeting the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ subtype are reported. The idea to start this preliminary structural investigation ensued from considerations that the contemporary presence of the primary 8 -amino group and of a substituent at 6 position, either the phenyl or the 2 -furanyl moiety (compounds $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 0}$ ) leads to increased $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and/or $h \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities.

Table 2. Binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs and potencies $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$.


|  |  |  | Binding experiments ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n M})$ or $\mathbf{I} \%$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { cAMP assay } \\ \mathbf{I} \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{R 2}_{2}$ | R6 | hA1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $h^{\prime} \mathbf{2 A}^{\text {a }}$ | hA3 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $h^{\prime} \mathbf{2 B}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 31 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 37\% | 24\% | 21\% | 30\% |
| 32 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 20\% | 23\% | 23\% | 16\% |
| 33 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3 \%$ | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| 34 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $3-\mathrm{COOH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 7\% | 9\% | 1\% | $3 \%$ |
| 35 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 3-( $\left.\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $37 \%$ | 33\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| 36 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 10\% | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% |
| 37 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 2-furanyl | 28\% | 38\% | 20\% | 13\% |
| 38 | 2-furanyl | 2-furanyl | $316 \pm 28$ | $854 \pm 82$ | 38\% | 30\% |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values are means $\pm$ SEM of four separate assays each performed in duplicate. Percentage of inhibition (I\%) is determined at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the tested compounds.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ ZM241385 competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{d}$ Displacement of specific [ ${ }^{125}$ I]AB-MECA competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{e}$ Percentage of inhibition on cAMP experiments in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells, stimulated by 200 nM NECA, at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the examined compounds.

Taking the 2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine-8-amine derivative $\mathbf{1 8}$ as lead compound, and on the basis of literature data [25, 31], a few different aryl substituents and also the 2-furanyl moiety were introduced at position 6 (compounds $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 6}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 - 3 8}$, respectively). All the performed modifications lead to a decrease in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ binding affinity with respect to $\mathbf{1 8}$. The results obtained modifying the $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ substituent are not encouraging since the compounds binding the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor $(\mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 8})$ also have affinity for the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ subtype. Even the introduction of the furan-2-yl moiety at both positions
does not exert the expected positive effect on $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ affinity, as suggested by SAR studies on structurally related $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ antagonists [32]. In fact, compounds 20 and 38, bearing the 2-furan-2-yl moiety at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and at both positions 2 and 6 , respectively, have $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ affinities in the micromolar range as the 2-phenyl analogue 18. The results obtained up to now on these $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ substituted derivatives are, in our opinion, not intriguing enough to encourage further investigation in this direction.

The effect of compounds $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{2 1}, \mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 9}$ on the Cl-IB-MECA-inhibited cAMP accumulation in $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells was also determined. In accordance with their $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinity, all the selected pyrazoloquinoline derivatives proved to be potent in this test, showing antagonistic behavior (Table $3)$.

Table 3. Antagonistic potencies at $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ and binding affinity at $\mathrm{rA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ of some selected derivatives.

|  | cAMP assays <br> hA3 $\mathbf{C H O}$ cells <br> IC $\mathbf{0}(\mathbf{n M})^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\left[{ }^{\mathbf{1 2 5}} \mathbf{I}\right] \mathrm{ABMECA}$ <br> binding <br> rat A3 $\mathbf{C H O}$ cells <br> $\mathbf{I \%} \mathbf{~ a t ~} \mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $163 \pm 12$ | $2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $187 \pm 15$ | $1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $235 \pm 19$ | $2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $73 \pm 6$ | $1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $172 \pm 13$ | $2 \%$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are expressed as means $\pm$ SEM of four independent cAMP experiments, performed in triplicate, in $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells in the presence of 100 nM Cl-IB-MECA. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percentage of inhibition (I\%) of specific binding to $\mathrm{rA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.

Since compound 29 was tested in an in vitro rat model of cerebral ischemia, its binding affinity for $\mathrm{rA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ was measured together with those of other selected compounds $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 2})$ (Table 3). The data obtained indicate that all the tested compounds are devoid of any binding activity at the $\mathrm{rA}_{3}$ receptor although being highly potent at the human one.

Considering the fluorescence properties of some selected imidazopyrazine derivatives determined in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution (Table 4), we can observe that the structural modification carried out at $\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ position on the imidazopyrazine core has little or no influence on either $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ or emission wavelengths $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}\right)$. Most of the compounds showed $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}$ in the middle UV region. The quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) was calculated to probe the potentiality of these compounds in relation to their fluorescent properties. The generally low $\Phi$ values disappointed our expectations. In addition to this behavior, all the derivatives considered in this study have a maximal $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}$ in the UV range, and this finding alone impeded their potentiality as fluorescent probes in biological assays.

Table 4. Fluorescence properties of some imidazopyrazine derivatives ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$.

|  | $\lambda_{\text {ex }}(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ |  | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 261 | 367 | 0.303 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 285 | 387 | 0.128 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $(260)^{*}$ | $(364)^{*}$ | $(0.007)^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 267 | 358 | 0.189 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 286 | 400 | 0.112 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 326 | n.d. | n.d. | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 320 | n.d. | n.d. |
|  | $(250)^{*}$ | $(389)^{*}$ | 0.047 | $(0.006)^{*}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 287 | 389 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 258 | 380 | n.d. | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 281 | 400 | n.d. |
|  | $(255)^{*}$ | $(380)^{*}$ | $(0.004)^{*}$ |  |  |  | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 257 | 380 | 0.095 | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 280 | n.d. | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 257 | n.d. | n.d. | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 249 | 400 | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 270 | n.d. | n.d. | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 280 | 400 | 0.177 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 264 | 394 | n.d. | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 294 | 390 | 0.179 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 267 | 387 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Excitation and emission spectra were recorded by dissolving compounds in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or, otherwise stated,* in EtOH . Fluorescence quantum yield values ( $\Phi$ ) were calculated by using 2-aminopyridine dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} 0.1 \mathrm{M}(\Phi=0.6$, $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=285 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) as standard fluorescent compound; n.d. $=$ not detectable.

Compound 1, that represents one of the simplest structures among the tested compounds, showed the highest $\Phi$ value herein reported (0.303). It has to be noted that, similar to compound $\mathbf{1}$, all the other derivatives bearing a free amino group at position 8 (compounds 2, 19, 20, 37 and $\mathbf{3 8}$ ) are endowed with $\Phi$ values ranging from 0.1 to 0.2 . These data confirm that electron-donating substituents such as an amino group have a beneficial effect on fluorescence. The available data do not allow us to speculate on the effect of the methoxy electron-donating group on fluorescent properties of compounds [27].

### 5.2. Molecular modeling studies

To define the structural features at the basis of the different binding affinities of the new derivatives, a molecular docking analysis was performed on a homology model of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ developed by using the X-ray structure of the inverse agonist-bound $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ as template (pdb code: 3EML; 2.6-A resolution [33]). The $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ crystal structure improves the accuracy of AR homology models, due to the high residue conservation in the primary sequences of the AR subtypes, which share a sequence identity of $\sim 57 \%$ within the transmembrane (TM) domains [34]. The residues located within the seven TM
domains in the upper part of ARs, corresponding to the ligand binding site, are conserved with an average identity of $71 \%$ [35]. Furthermore, the above cited $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ crystal structure has been solved in complex with high affinity inverse agonist (ZM241385), and hence presents a cavity suitable as a binding site for docking analysis. The obtained $\mathrm{hA}_{3} A R$ homology model was checked by using the Protein Geometry Monitor application within Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) [36], which provides a variety of stereochemical measurements for inspection of the structural quality in a given protein, such as backbone bond lengths, angles and dihedrals, Ramachandran $\varphi-\psi$ dihedral plots, and sidechain rotamer and nonbonded contact quality.
A preliminary docking analysis was performed by manually docking the high affinity antagonist MRS $1220\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}=0.65 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ [37]) structure within the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ model binding site. The obtained $h^{2} A_{3} A R-M R S ~ 1220$ complex was then subjected to energy minimization refinement and to Monte Carlo analysis to explore the favorable binding conformations. The input structure consisted of the ligand and a shell of receptor amino acids within $6 \AA$ distance from the ligand. A second external shell of all the residues within a distance of $8 \AA$ from the first shell was kept fixed. During the Monte Carlo conformational searching ( 10,000 steps), the input structure was modified by random changes in torsion angles (for all input structure residues), and molecular position (for the ligand). Hence, the ligand was left free to be continuously re-oriented and re-positioned within the binding site and the conformation of both ligand and internal shell residues could be explored and reciprocally relaxed. This stage allowed us to provide an $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding site conformation able to accommodate the analyzed antagonists. The best receptor-MRS 1220 complex was subjected to energy minimization. Once the MRS 1220 compound was removed, the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ model was then used as target for the docking analysis of synthesized derivatives. All ligand structures were optimized using RHF/AM1 semi-empirical calculations and the software package Molecular Orbital Package (MOPAC) implemented in MOE was utilized for these calculations [38]. The compounds were then docked into the binding site of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR model by using the MOE Dock tool. Top-score docking poses of each compound were subjected to energy minimization and then rescored using three available methods implemented in MOE: the London $d G$ scoring function that estimates the free energy of binding of the ligand from a given pose; the Affinity $d G$ scoring tool that estimates the enthalpic contribution to the free energy of binding; the dock-p $K_{i}$ predictor that uses the MOE scoring.svl script to estimate a $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value for each ligand, which is described by the H -bonds, transition metal interactions, and hydrophobic interactions energy. For each compound, the top-score docking poses, according to at least two out of three scoring functions, were selected for final ligand-target interaction analysis. Considering the binding mode of the imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine derivatives in the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, docking results present several possible orientations and conformations for the analyzed compounds, with a
conformation family energetically favored and statistically more represented compared to the others. It has to be noted that, in general, the two main series of compounds reported in Table 1, i.e. the 6unsubstituted compounds 1-14 and those bearing the phenyl substituent at $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ (derivatives $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{- 3 0}$ ), have a similar binding mode. This binding mode shows the bicyclic scaffold vertically oriented with the imidazole ring almost externally located and the pyrazine ring positioned more in depth in the pocket. However, due to the presence or not of the 6 -substituent, a few important differences have been evidenced justifying the discrepancy in binding results between the two series. In fact, since the 6-phenyl ring seems to act as an anchor point for the binding mode, the lack of this same substituent makes derivatives more able to move within the pocket.

For the 6-phenyl-substituted derivatives, the position of the bicyclic scaffold is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions with the second extracellular loop (EL2) (Phe168) and TM7 (Leu264 and Ile268 residues (Figure 3). The nitrogen atom at 1-position and the $8-\mathrm{NH}$ group form a double H bond interaction with the amide group of Asn250 (TM6) side chain, hence providing further anchor points to the scaffold for interaction with the receptor. This binding mode makes the 2 -aromatic ring to be located at the entrance of the binding site in a region presenting some hydrophobic profile due to the presence of residues like Val169 (EL2) and Ile253 (TM6). Introduction of a methoxy group in para-position of the 2-phenyl ring leads to reduced affinity with respect to the unsubstituted analogue ( $\mathbf{2 1}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=82 \mathrm{nM}$ vs $\left.\mathbf{3 0}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=312 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, probably due to the exposure of this hydrophobic group to the solvent which possibly affects complex stability. Analogous behavior can be observed for the 6unsubstituted derivatives $\left(\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=52 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ vs $\left.\mathbf{1 2}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=121 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The aromatic ring at $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ is located in proximity of TM2 (Ala69 and Val72), TM3 (Leu90), EL2 (Phe168), and TM7 (Ile268) residues, in a small sub-pocket presenting a hydrophobic profile. Together with the 8 -substituent, this group acts as a constraint, making the ligand orientation strongly anchored within the pocket. As previously observed, the binding mode of the 6 -unsubstituted derivatives is very similar to the above described 6-phenyl analogs, with the 2-aromatic ring being located at the entrance of the binding site in a region presenting some hydrophobic profile due to the presence of residues like Val169 (EL2) and Ile253 (TM6). The 6 -unsubstituted compounds move quite freely within the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ binding pocket, partially losing the reinforcing H -bond interaction with Asn250.


Figure 3. Docking conformations of the 2,6,8-substituted imidazopyrazine derivatives. (A) The binding mode of compound 22 at 3EML-based $h_{3} A R$ model is shown as an example. (B) Schematic view of ligand-receptor interaction for the same compound.

This is particularly evident for compounds 6 and 8 (see Figure 4) bearing either a paramethoxyphenyl group or a benzyl moiety at $\mathrm{R}_{8}$, respectively. The increased size of $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ with respect to
the unsubstituted phenyl ring (compound 4), together with the lack of the 6-phenyl substituent,


Figure 4. Docking conformations of the 2,8-substituted imidazopyrazine derivatives. (A) The binding mode of compound 6 (green) at 3EML-based hA3AR model is shown as an example. The docking mode of compound 22 (light pink) is shown for comparison. (B) Schematic view of ligand-receptor interaction for the same compound.
make the compounds slightly shifted compared to the corresponding binding mode of the 6-phenyl analogues. For this reason, $\mathbf{6}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=162 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $\mathbf{8}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=524 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ have decreased $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ binding affinity compared to 4 that, in contrast, has a $K_{i}$ value in the nanomolar range $\left(K_{i}=52 n M\right)$. All the other modifications at the 8 -substituent result in a loss of affinity.
Regarding the 8-position on the 6-phenyl-imidazopyrazine derivatives, the $\mathrm{N}^{8}$ (hetero)arylcarboxyamido substituent is located in the depth of the pocket. The NH function makes an H-bond interaction with the $\mathrm{A} n 250$ (TM6) amide group, while the carbonyl function does not seem to make any direct interaction with the receptor. The aromatic ring is positioned in proximity to TM3 (Leu91), TM5 (Met177 and Ser181), and TM6 (Trp243, Leu246, Ser247, and Asn250) residues, in a region presenting mainly (but not completely) a hydrophobic profile. The replacement of the phenyl ring on the $\mathrm{N}^{8}$-carboxyamido chain $\left(\mathbf{2 1}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=82 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ with a more polar substituent like the 3-pyridinyl (compound 29) or 2-furanyl (derivative 27) maintains high $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ affinity ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=54$ and 67 nM , respectively). Polar interactions with residues in proximity (i.e. Asn250) can be hypothesized. On the contrary, the replacement with a 2-thienyl is detrimental for the activity $\left(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=185 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

Substituents at para-position of the phenyl ring at $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ appear to provide higher volume complementarity with the cavity with respect to the unsubstituted aromatic ring, as shown in Figure 5A-B. On the other hand, the different chemical-physical profile of the para-substituent takes to a higher or a lower compound affinity for the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. In the case of a substituent presenting an H -bond acceptor function, polar contacts are observable with Ser181 and Ser247 side chains. In fact, compound 22 (the most active of the series, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=25 \mathrm{nM}$ ) has a methoxy substituent at this position and the oxygen atom of this function is located in proximity of Ser181 hydroxyl (Figure 5C). This polar contact is absent for all the other derivatives. On the contrary, the presence on the aromatic ring of hydrophobic substituents like a methyl group (23) or a chlorine atom (24) leads to a reduced affinity even with respect to the para-unsubstituted derivative $\mathbf{2 1}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=223\right.$ and 156 nM , respectively vs $\mathbf{2 1}$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=82 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Thus, considering that the substituent on the $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ phenyl ring might interact with the binding site residues in proximity, the effect of the presence of different substituents on this molecule portion was evaluated. This analysis was performed by the use of the IF-E 6.0 [39] tool retrievable at the SVL exchange service. The script (already successfully used for the analysis of ligand-target interaction for $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ [40] and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ [41] agonists) calculates and displays atomic and residue interaction forces as 3D vectors. It also calculates the per-residue interaction energies (values in kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), where negative and positive energy values are associated with favorable and unfavorable interactions, respectively. The results (Figure 5D) show that the different substituents provide diverse interactions with the nearby residues.


Figure 5. Docking conformation of compounds 21 (A) and 22 (B). Molecular surfaces of both the ligand (dark) and the cavity (light) are represented. Comparison highlights the higher volume complementarity between the cavity and compound 22 with respect to that of compound 21 . (C) Detailed view of the interaction of the 8 -substituent of compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ with the nearby residues. (D) Ligand-receptor interaction energies (per-residue values) calculated with IF-E 6.0 script. Data of interaction between the receptor and the aromatic group within the 8 -substituent are represented. See text for details.

In particular, the methoxy group of $\mathbf{2 2}$ increases the interaction with Ser181, Phe182 and $\operatorname{Trp} 243$ residues. In the first case, a polar contact is present between the oxygen atom of the ligand and the hydroxyl group of the receptor residue. This interaction is obviously absent in the case of the unsubstituted ligand 21 and for the other two derivatives 23 and 24 presenting, respectively, a methyl group and a chlorine atom as substituents. In the case of Phe182 and Trp243, the methoxy group of 22 makes the methyl function be in proximity of the side chain of these residues, providing an interaction that is almost absent for the other ligands.

In the case of both compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{9}$, bearing hindering substituents at $\mathrm{R}_{8}$, the displayed $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ binding activity could be explained by hypothesizing an alternative binding mode that allows the two aromatic rings on $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ to be located within the cavity or possibly to point toward the extracellular space. However, the docking results did not provide a clear depiction for this possible alternative binding mode.

Regarding the 6-phenyl-substituted compound 18, the lack of the (hetero)aroyl substituents on the 8amino group makes this analogue inactive at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ but active at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs. Docking studies at these two receptors were not performed in this investigation, but a possible explanation for this activity could be given by supposing that the binding mode of compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ is different from that above described. In this case, the 8-amino group would be allocated in proximity of the Glu 172 (EL2) and Asn254 (TM6) of $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ or Glu169 (EL2) and Asn252 (TM6) of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$.

### 5.3. Electrophysiological studies.

Compound 29, which is one of the most potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists of this series, was tested in an in vitro rat model of cerebral ischemia obtained by oxygen glucose deprivation (OGD) (Figure 6). An important factor in the study of brain ischemia is the generation of anoxic depolarization ( AD ), a decrease in neuron and glial membrane potential, that is an important parameter of brain tissue integrity contributing to the amount and severity of neuronal damage [42, 43].

In a first series of experiments we characterized the response of evoked field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) in the CA1 region before and following severe (30-min) OGD, an ischemic insult that under our experimental conditions constantly produces an irreversible loss of synaptic transmission (not shown) even when hippocampal slices were reperfused with oxygenated, glucosecontaining aCSF (artificial cerebrospinal fluid). At the same time, we monitored the direct current (d.c.) shift produced by AD during $30-\mathrm{min}$ OGD. The time of the appearance and magnitude of depolarizing d.c. shift, in the absence or in the presence of compound $29(200 \mathrm{nM})$, were compared (Figure 6A,B). In agreement with previous findings [8, 11], 30-min OGD elicited the appearance of AD in untreated OGD slices, with a mean latency of $6.3 \pm 0.3$ minutes ( $n=5$, calculated from the beginning of OGD, panel a) and a mean peak amplitude of $-7.5 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{mV}(n=5$, panel b) (Figure 6A and panel a). When OGD was applied in the presence of 200 nM compound $\mathbf{2 9}$, the d.c. shifts were always delayed with a mean latency of $8.2 \pm 0.5$ minutes ( $n=8, \mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) (Figure 6B and panel a), although the AD amplitude $(-7.1 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{mV}, n=8)$ was not significantly changed. In agreement with our previous results [11, 12], 7-min OGD completely abolished the fEPSP which did not recover its amplitude after reperfusion in normal oxygenated aCSF (Figure 6C). Seven-min OGD was then applied in the presence of compound $\mathbf{2 9}$.


Figure 6. Compound 29 significantly delayed the appearance of AD induced by 30-min OGD in rat hippocampal slices. The graph shows the d.c. shift traces during 30-min OGD in untreated slices (OGD, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) (A) or in slices treated with compound $29(200 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{n}=8)(\mathbf{B})$. (a) Each column represents the mean ( $\pm$ SEM) of AD latency recorded in hippocampal slices during 30-min OGD in the absence (OGD) or in the presence of 200 nM compound 29 (OGD + compound $29, \mathrm{n}=8$ ). AD was measured from the beginning of OGD insult. Note that compound 29 significantly delayed AD development. * $\boldsymbol{P}<0.05$, unpaired two-tailed Student's $t$-test in comparison to untreated OGD slices. (b) Each column represents the mean ( $\pm$ SEM) of AD amplitude, recorded in hippocampal slices during 30-min OGD, in the absence or in the presence of 200 nM compound 29 (OGD + compound $29, n=8$ ). The number ( n ) of slices tested is reported inside columns. (C) Graph shows the time course of 7-min OGD effects on fEPSP amplitude in an untreated OGD slice and in compound 29-treated OGD slice. Amplitude of fEPSP is expressed as percent of pre-ischemic baseline. Note that, after reperfusion in normal oxygenated aCSF, a significant recovery of fEPSP was found only in compound 29-treated OGD slices.

As illustrated in Figure 6C, application of compound $29(200 \mathrm{nM}), 15$ minutes before, during, and 5 minutes after the OGD insult, provided a significant recovery of fEPSP amplitude within $15-\mathrm{min}$ reperfusion with oxygenated and glucose-containing aCSF. Similar experiments were obtained in three out of the four slices tested (not shown).

Although compound 29 does not show any $\mathrm{rA}_{3}$ binding affinity (Table 3), it was able to delay AD initiation in a similar manner to those obtained with other structurally related compounds [8, 11, 12]. It is clear that the electrophysiological effect exerted by compound 29 is not mediated through the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR receptor, but we can hypothesize another mechanism of action that can include an intracellular molecular target.

In any case, compound 29 delays the occurrence of AD and induces a significant recovery of otherwise disrupted neurotransmission acting on fEPSP amplitude. Since AD is an important
determinant of the degree and extent of ischemic damage, compounds that delay the AD initiation may become new therapeutics for counteracting stroke-induced neurodegeneration.

### 5.4. Conclusions

The present study has led to the identification of some imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazines as new hA ${ }_{3}$ AR antagonists. In particular, the $\mathrm{N}^{8}$-(hetero)arylcarboxyamido substituted compounds 4-14 and 21-30, bearing a 6-phenyl moiety or not, respectively, show on the whole good $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR affinity and selectivity versus the other ARs. Surprisingly, the 8-amino-6-(hetero)arylimidazopyrazines designed for targeting the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ subtype (compounds $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 8}$ ), together with the 6 -phenyl analogues $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 0}$, turn out to be inactive at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, or endowed with $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ or balanced $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity in the micromolar range. Molecular docking of the newly $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ antagonists allowed us to depict their hypothetical binding mode to our $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ receptor model as well as to rationalize the observed SARs. Some derivatives were evaluated for their fluorescent emission properties showing some potentiality but not as fluorescent probes in biological assays. The selected $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR antagonist 2,6-diphenyl-8-(3-pyridoylamino)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine 29, tested in a rat model of cerebral ischemia, delayed the occurrence of AD caused by 30 min OGD in the hippocampus and proved to be significantly effective in recovering the otherwise disrupted neurotransmission, acting on fEPSP amplitude.

## 6. Experimental section

### 6.1. Chemistry

Silica gel plates (Merck $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ ) and silica gel 60 (Merck; 70-230 mesh) were used for analytical and preparative TLC, and for column chromatography, respectively. All melting points were determined on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus. Elemental analyses were performed with a Flash E1112 Thermofinnigan elemental analyzer for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$, and the results were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. All final compounds revealed a purity not less than $95 \%$. The IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum RX I spectrometer in Nujol mulls and are expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker Avance spectrometer ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). The chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ and are relative to the central peak of the solvent. The coupling constant ( J ) are expressed in Hz. All the exchangeable protons were confirmed by addition of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The following abbreviations are used: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, and $\mathrm{ar}=$ aromatic protons.
6.1.1. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-(hetero)aril-6,8-dibromoimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazines (39-41) [30].
A mixture of 3,5-dibromo-2-aminopyrazine [28] ( 1.2 mmol ) and an excess of the suitable $\alpha$ bromoketone [29] ( 1.8 mmol ) was heated at the convenient temperature $\left(\mathrm{T}=100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, for compounds

39, 41; $\mathrm{T}=120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for 40 ) in an open tube until the disappearance of the starting material (TLC monitoring, eluting system dichloromethane/ethyl acetate $6: 4$ ). The resulting brown solid was worked up with the minimal amount of ethyl acetate and then collected by filtration.
6.1.1.1. 6,8-Dibromo-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (39) [30]. Yield 93\%; m.p. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): $7.42(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J = 7.04 Hz ), $7.50(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.72 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.04 (d, 2 H , ar, J = 7.56 Hz ), 8.71 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 9.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ); IR: 1645. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.1.2. 6,8-Dibromo-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (40). Yield 99\%; m.p. 212-216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate/methanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 ): $3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.88 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.99 (d, 2H, ar, J = 8.88 Hz ), 8.60 (s, 1H, H-5), 8.96 (s, 1H, H-3); IR: 1643, 1651, 1659. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.1.3. 6,8-Dibromo-2-(furan-2-yl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (41). Yield 50\%; m.p. $216-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 6.67-6.68 (m, 1H, ar), 7.07-7.08 (m, 1H, ar), 7.86-7.88 (m, 1H, ar), 8.44 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 148.12, 144.52, 139.93, 138.46, 131.96, 121.32, 119.24, 113.17, 112.61, 109.51; IR: 1624, 1654, 1685. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ (C, H, N).
6.1.2. General procedure for the synthesis of 6-bromo-2-arylimidazo[1,2-a]pirazin-8-amines (15-17) A suspension of the 6,8-dibromo-derivative $\mathbf{3 9 - 4 1}(0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute ethanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ saturated with ammonia was heated overnight at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube. The solid that precipitated upon cooling (compounds $\mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 6}$ ) was collected by filtration and washed with water. For intermediate 17, the cooled reaction mixture was diluted with water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL}$ $x$ 2). The organic layer was washed with water ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ), anhydrified $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and then evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a solid.
6.1.2.1. 6-Bromo-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (15). Yield $82 \%$; mp 283-285 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)_{6}$ : $7.34\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.24 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 7.44-7.48 (m, 4H, 2 H ar $+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.95 (d, 2H, ar, J = 7.60 Hz ), 8.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ); IR: 1642, 3117, 3170, 3302. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrN}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.2.2. 6-Bromo-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (16). Yield 27\%; m.p. 286$287{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39$ (br s, 2H, NH2), 7.88 (d, 2H, ar, J = 8.36 Hz ), 8.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 8.18 (s, 1H, H-3); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 159.68, $149.25,144.51,131.85,127.40,126.21,122.20,114.66,111.35,109.71,55.65$; IR: 1644, 3132, 3165, 3300. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.2.3. 6-Bromo-2-(furan-2-yl)-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (17). Yield $61 \%$; m.p. 235-238 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.52$ (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.76 (m, 1H, ar), 8.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 8.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar); IR: 1643, 3182, 3304. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$ 6.1.3. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-(hetero)arylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amines (1-3)
$10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was cautionally added to a hot solution of the 6-bromo-derivative (15-17) (0.63 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was hydrogenated in a Parr apparatus, at 20 psi , for 15 h . The catalyst was filtered off and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in water $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was basified with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The solid that precipitated was collected and washed with water (compounds 1, 2). For compound 3, upon treatment with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, the resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, anhydrified $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to small volume under reduced pressure. The white solid that precipitated was collected by suction.
6.1.3.1. 2-Phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (1). Yield 77\%; m.p. 208-209 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 6.88 (br s, 2H, NH ${ }_{2}$ ), 7.21 (d, 1H, H-6, J = 4.56 Hz ), $7.32(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=4.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.33 (s, 1H, H-3); IR: 1630, 3130, 3273, 3444. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.3.2. 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (2). Yield 44\%; m.p. 249-250 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)$ : 3.81 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.83 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.02 (d, 2H, ar, J = 8.68 $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{~J}=4.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=4.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.68 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21$ (s, 1H, H-3); IR: 1627, 3142, 3283, 3445. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.3.3. 2-(Furan-2-yl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (3). Yield $42 \%$; m.p. $225-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 6.61 (br s, 1 H , ar), 6.80 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}$ ), $6.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{~J}=4.56 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.77-7.76 (m, 2H, H-5 + ar), 8.13 (s, 1H, H-3); IR: 3302, 3143. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ (C, H, N).
6.1.4. Synthesis of 8-benzoylamino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (4) and 8-dibenzoylamino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (5)

A solution of the 8 -amino derivative $\mathbf{1}(0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzoyl chloride $(0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 2 mL ) and anhydrous pyridine ( 3 mL ) was heated at reflux for 6 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ice ( 50 g ) was added. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The solid which precipitated (compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and 5, 1:2 mixture, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR valutation) was collected by filtration and washed with water. Compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and 5 were separated by silica gel column chromatography, eluting system dichloromethane/ethyl acetate 1:1. The first and the central eluates were evaporated under reduced pressure to give compound $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, respectively.
6.1.4.1. 8-Benzoylamino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (4). Yield 27\%; m.p. 179-180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether/petroleum ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $7.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=7.36 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=7.32$ Hz ), 7.58 (t, 2H, ar, J = 7.64 Hz ), $7.66(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 8.0(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}$
$=7.24 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=6.88 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 10.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $166.21,145.77,144.00,136.50,134.29,133.36,132.68,129.29,129.03,128.88,128.58$, $127.79,126.50,118.96,112.21$; IR: 1627, 1700, 3391. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.4.2. 8-Dibenzoylamino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (5). Yield $41 \%$; m.p. $219-220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (ethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): 7.38-7.40 (m, 1H, ar), 7.47-7.49 (m, 6H, ar), 7.59 (t, 2H, ar, J = 7.12 $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), \mathrm{J}=4.36 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.56$ (d, 1H, H-5, J = 4.40 Hz ), 8.68 (s, 1H, H-3); IR: 1697, 3396. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.1.5. Synthesis of 8-dibenzoylamino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (5)

A solution of the 8 -amino derivative $1(0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzoyl chloride ( 6.7 mmol ) in anhydrous pyridine ( 3 mL ) was heated at reflux for 3 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with 6 N HCl to pH 2 . The resulting suspension was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 15 mL x 3 ) and the organic layers were washed with water ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), anhydrified $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting system cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1. Yield 47\%.
6.1.6. General procedure for the synthesis of 8-amido-substituted 2-(hetero)arylimidazo[1,2a]pyrazine derivatives (6-13)

A mixture of the imidazopyrazin-8-amino derivative $\mathbf{1 - 3}(1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$, the suitable carboxylic acid (10 mmol), 1-(3-(dimehylamino)-propyl))-3-ethyl-carbodiimide hydrochloride ( 10 mmol ), 1-hydroxy-benzotriazole hydrochloride ( 10 mmol ), triethylamine $(21.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 4(dimethylamino)pyridine ( 0.14 mmol ) in anhydrous dimethylformamide ( 3 mL ) was stirred at room temperature until the disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 50 mL ). For compounds $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water and purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluting system dichloromethane/ethyl acetate/methanol 7:2:1 for compounds 6, 7 or dichloromethane/ethyl acetate $1: 1$ for compound 9 ), or directly by crystallization (compound 11). In the case of derivative $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 0}, 12$ and 13, the aqueous suspension obtained after dilution of the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layers were anhydrified $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a solid which was purified by crystallization (compounds 12,13) or by silica gel column chromatography (eluting system dichloromethane/ethyl acetate/methanol 6:3:1 for compound $\mathbf{8}$, or 7:2:1 for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ ).
6.1.6.1. 8-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)amino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (6). Yield 75\%; m.p. 197-198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J $=8.24$ Hz ), $7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.02 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J = 7.80 Hz ), $7.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}$
$=7.76 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.16 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=4.36 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 10.68(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); IR: 1643, 1695, 3237. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.6.2. 8-(4-Methylbenzoylamino)-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (7). Yield 59\%; m.p. 202-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): $2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.12$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.74\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{-6}, \mathrm{~J}=4.56 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.99-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=4.32 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H-3), 10.75 (br s, 1H, NH); IR : 1703, 3103, 3407. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$
6.1.6.3. 8-(Phenylacetyl)amino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (8). Yield $19 \%$; m.p. 177-179 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $3.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.34-7.43 (m, 5 H , ar), $7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J = 7.44 Hz ), $7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{~J}=4.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.64 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 8.36 (d, 1H, H-5, J = 4.48 Hz ), 8.58 (s, 1H, H-3), 10.54 (br s, 1H, NH); IR: 1670, 3357. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.6.4. 8-Diphenylacetylamino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (9). Yield $62 \%$; m.p. $90-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)$ : $5.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.27-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.36-7.42 ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.47-7.51$ (m, 6H, ar), 7.65 (d, 1H, H-6, J $=4.52 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.04 (d, 2H, ar, J = 7.32 Hz ), 8.39 (d, 1H, H-5, J = 4.52 Hz ), 8.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 10.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); IR: 1701. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ (C, H, $\mathrm{N})$.
6.1.6.5. 8-(2-Furoylamino)-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (10). Yield $22 \%$; m.p. 199-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 6.77 (br s, 1 H, ar), $7.39(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.47-7.50 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{~J}=4.08 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.01-8.04 (m, 3H, ar), $8.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 10.58 (br s, 1H, NH); IR: 1692, 3383. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.6.6. 2-Phenyl-8-(3-pyridoylamino)-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (11). Yield 53\%; m.p. $163-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : 7.37 (t, 1H, ar, J = 7.36 Hz ), 7.47 (t, 2H, ar, J = 7.36 Hz ), 7.60-7.62 (m, 1H, ar), 7.76 (br s, 1H, H-6), 8.01 (d, 2H, ar, J = 7.40 Hz ), 8.39 (br s, 1H, ar), 8.52 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 5), $8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 8.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=3.80 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.19$ (br s, 1 H , ar), 11.20 (br s, 1H, NH); IR: 1702, 3100, 3246. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.6.7. 8-Benzoylamino-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (12). Yield 20\%; m.p. 135-136 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 7.05 (d, 2H, ar, J = 8.72 Hz ), 7.57$7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.66-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.78$ (d, 1H, H-6), 7.95 (d, 2H, ar, J = 8.64 Hz ), 8.09 (d, 2H, ar, J = 7.36 Hz), 8.51 (d, 1H, H-5, J = 4.36 Hz ), 8.59 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 10.83 (s, 1H, NH); IR: 1685, 1702, 3400. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.6.8. 8-Benzoylamino-2-(furan-2-yl)-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (13). Yield $30 \%$; m.p. 209-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 6.62-6.63 (m, 1H, ar), $6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J $=3.36$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ar}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{~J}=4.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80-7.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=4.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR: 166.26, 148.94, 143.88, 138.00, 136.57, 134.16, 132.70, 128.99, 128.62, 127.92, 119.05, 112.38, 111.66, 108.34; IR: 1683, 3182. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.7. Synthesis of 2-phenyl-8-(3-phenylureido)imidazo[1,2-a]pirazine (14)

Phenylisocyanate ( 0.95 mmol ) was added to an equimolar amount of the 8 -amino derivative $\mathbf{1}$ in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 6 ml ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h . The solid that precipitated was collected by filtration. Yield: $83 \%$; m.p. $222-224{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): 7.10 (t, 1H, ar, J = 7.40 Hz), 7.34-7.42 (m, 3H, ar), 7.48 (m, 2H, ar), 7.63-7.65 (m, 3H, ar + H-6), $8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.24 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~J}=4.68 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3)$, $9.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$; IR: 3407, 3139, 1701. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.8. General procedure for the synthesis of 2-(hetero)aryl-6-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8amines (18-20)
The suitable 6-bromo-2-(hetero)aryl substituted derivative 15-17 ( 0.86 mmol ) was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, tetrakis ( 0.08 mmol ) and phenyl boronic acid ( 1.29 mmol ) in $1,2-$ dimethoxyethane/water $3: 1(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere until the disappearance of the starting material (TLC monitoring, eluting system cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 6:4). After dilution with water ( 250 mL ) a solid precipitated which was collected by suction (compounds $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ ) or extracted with ethyl acetate ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic payer was washed with water ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), anhydrified $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to give a solid (compound 19). In the case of derivatives 19, 20 the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting system cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 6:4 (compound 19) or dichloromethane/methanol 9:1 (compound 20).
6.1.8.1. 2,6-Diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (18). Yield 98\%; m.p. 207-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (ethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 7.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.33-7.38 (m, 2H, ar), 7.44-7.49 (m, 4H, ar), 7.968.01 ( m, 4H, ar), 8.34 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 8.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ); IR: 1643, 3181, 3304. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.8.2. 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-6-phenyl-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (19). Yield 80\%; m.p. 196198 (ethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); 6.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ); 7.04 (d, 2H, ar, J = 8.80 $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 7.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.30 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.45(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.60 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.91-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}) ; 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 3); 8.37 (s, 1H, H-5); IR: 1654, 3325. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ (C, H, N).
6.1.8.3. 2-(Furan-2-yl)-6-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (20). Yield 50\%; m.p. 201-202 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (petroleum ether/ethyl ether) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)_{6}$ : 6.62-6.63 (m, 1H, ar), 6.84-6.86 (m, 1H, ar), 7.09 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=6.60 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.44 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ar}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J = 7.84 Hz ), 8.13 (s, 1H, H-3), 8.40 (s, 1H, H-5); IR: 3309, 3159. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.9. General procedure for the synthesis of 8 -amido-substituted 2-(hetero)aryl-6-phenylimidazo[ 1,2-a]pyrazine derivatives (21-30)

A solution of the 8 -amino derivative 18, $19(0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the suitable (hetero) aroyl chloride ( 0.77 mmol ) in anhydrous pyridine ( 4 mL ) was heated at reflux until the disappearance of the starting material (TLC monitoring, eluting system dichloromethane/ethyl acetate 7:3). After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with 6 N HCl to pH 3 . The resulting suspension was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) and the organic layers were washed with water ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), then with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ) and finally with water ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} x$ 2). After anhydrification $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ the organic solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by preparative silica gel thin layer chromatography $1: 1$ (eluting system dichloromethane for compound 21, dichloromethane/ethyl acetate 9:1, compound 23, dichloromethane/acetonitrile, compound 27 and dichloromethane/ethyl acetate 9.5:0.5 for derivative $\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 0}$ ) or directly by crystallization $(\mathbf{2 4}, \mathbf{2 6})$. Derivatives $\mathbf{2 2}, \mathbf{2 5}$ were obtained as an oily residue that was worked up with a little amount of diethyl ether/petroleum ether 1:1. A solid precipitated which was collected by filtration and recrystallized.
6.1.9.1. 8-Benzoylamino-2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (21). Yield 20\%; m.p. 124-125 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): 7.37-7.54 (m, 6H, ar), 7.58-7.62 (m, 2H, ar), 7.67 (m, 1H, ar), 8.04 (m, 4H, ar), $8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.64 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.61 (s, 1H, H-3), $9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 10.97$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); IR: 1705, 3401. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.9.2. 8-(4-Methoxylbenzoyl)amino-2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (22). Yield 28\%; m.p. $114-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=8.76 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.39-7.54 (m, 6H, ar), $8.04(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=8.04 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=8.76 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 8.60 (s, 1H, H-3), 9.08 (s, 1H, H-5), 10.78 (s, 1H, NH); IR: 1693, 3385. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$. 6.1.9.3. 8-(4-Methylbenzoyl)amino-2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (23). Yield 14\%; m.p. 110$111{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $2.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.38-7.54$ (m, 8H, ar), 8.00-8.05 (m, 6H, ar), 8.61 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 9.10 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 10.89 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); IR: 1679, 3434. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.9.4. 8-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)amino-2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (24). Yield 12\%; m.p. 217$218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 7.37-7.54 (m, 6H, ar), 7.67 (d, 2H, ar, J =7.4 Hz), $8.03(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.04 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.72)$, $8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5) 11.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$; $\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1667,3356$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.9.5. 8-(4-Fluorobenzoyl)amino-2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (25). Yield 17\%; m.p. 195$196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. cyclohexane/ethylacetate; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 7.39-7.54 (m, 8H, ar), 8.04 (d, 4H, ar, $\mathrm{J}=7.72 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 11.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $166.20,165.48,163.71,146.30,142.94,136.72,136.39,135.59,133.30,131.53,131.44,130.85$,
129.26, 128.98, 128.94, 126.52, 126.29, 116.12, 115.90, 114.93, 112.69; IR: 1713, 3403. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.9.6. 8-[bis(4-Fluorobenzoyl)amino)]-2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (26). Yield 24 \%; m.p. 274-275 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)_{6}$ : 7.33-7.49 (m, 10H, ar), $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7,20 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.95-7.98 (m, 6H, ar), 8.66 (s, 1H, H-3), 9.16 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ); IR:1699, 3380. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O})$. 6.1.9.7. 8-(2-Furanoyl)amino-2,6-diphenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (27). Yield $28 \%$; m.p. 202-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : 6.78-6.80 (m, 1H, ar), 7.40-7.45 (m, 2H, ar), 7.48-7.55 (m, 5H, ar), 8.058.08 (m, 5H, ar), 8.61 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ) 9.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ), 10.65 (s, 1H, NH); IR: 1696, 3372. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.9.8. 2,6-Diphenyl-8-(2-thenoyl)aminoimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (28). Yield $72 \%$; m.p. 206-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : $7.30(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=4.44 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.37-7.55 (m, 6 H , ar), $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=$ 4.76 Hz ), 8.04-8.07 (m, 4H, ar), 8.19 (br s, 1H, ar), $8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 11.07$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$; IR: 1669,3457 . Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.9.9. 8-Benzoylamino-2-(4-methoxy)phenyl-6-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (30). Yield 26\%; m.p. 136-138 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 3.81 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 7.04 (d, 2H, ar, J $=8.60$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J $=7.60 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.78 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.24), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.64 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.84 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=8.60$ Hz ), $8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 10.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$; IR : 1679, 3445. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}$, H, N).

### 6.1.10. Synthesis of 2,6-diphenyl-8-(3-pyridoylamino)imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine (29)

A solution of the 8 -amino derivative $\mathbf{1 8}(0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-pyridoyl chloride $(0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous pyridine ( 4 mL ) was heated at reflux for 3 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was diluted with water $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then extracted with ethyl acetate $(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$. The organic layers were washed with water ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), then anhydrified $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. Yield $28 \%$; m.p. 233-234 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 7.39-7.53 (m, 7H, ar), 7.61-7.65 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.00-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.96 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 8.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}$ $=3.36 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $9.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 9.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 11.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 165.42, 153.06, 149.66, 146.37, 142.62, 136.66, 136.41, 136.33, 135.38, 133.28, 130.21, 129.30, 129.27, 128.97, 126.54, 126.27, 124.09, 115.02, 112.77; IR: 1684. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.11. Synthesis of 3-(2-phenylacetamido)phenylboronic acid (42)

A solution of 2-phenylacetyl chloride ( 2.92 mmol ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was drop by drop added at room temperature to a solution of 3-aminophenylboronic acid ( 1.46 mmol ) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran ( 4 mL ) containing triethylamine ( 7.3 mmol ). After stirring at room temperature for 1 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred again for 30 min .

Then, the suspension was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) and the dried organic layers $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was pure enough to be used without further purification. Yield $48 \% ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}^{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.23-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $\mathrm{J}=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OH})$, $10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$.
6.1.12. General procedure for the synthesis of 2,6-(hetero)arylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amines (3138)

The 6-bromo-2-(hetero)aryl substituted derivative $\mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 7}(0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, tetrakis $(0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the suitable (hetero)arylboronic acid ( 1.29 mmol ) (compound 42 or commercially available boronic acid) in 1,2-dimethoxyethane/water 3:1 ( 15 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen until the disappearance of the starting material (TLC monitoring). In the case of compounds $\mathbf{3 1}, \mathbf{3 3}, \mathbf{3 6 - 3 8}$ after dilution with water ( 60 mL ) a solid precipitated which was collected by suction and treated as described below. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting systems dichloromethane/ethyl acetate $8: 2$ (compound 31), cyclohexane/ethylacetate 1:1 (compound 33), dichloromethane/methanol 9:1 (compound 38) and with a gradient silica gel column for compound 37 (eluting system dichloromethane/ethyl acetate $7: 3$ for the first eluates, and then in the ratio $1: 1$ for the second crop). For derivative 32, after dilution with water $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ of the reaction mixture and separation of the precipitate, the aqueous solution was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The organic layers were anhydrified $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a crude product which was worked up with diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) and collected by filtration. The resulting solid and the precipitate collectetd above were purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting system cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1: Derivative 36, after subsequent hot washings with methanol ( 2 mL x 2 ), was separated by suction and washed with diethyl ether ( 2 mL ).
Compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ was isolated after evaporation at reduced pressure of the reaction mixture, treatment with water ( 15 mL ) of the crude residue, acidification of the resulting suspention with glacial acetic acid, extraction of the resulting aqueous suspension with ethyl acetate ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) and evaporation of the dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ organic layers. For compound 35 the reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 60 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} x 3$ ); then, the dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ organic layers were evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white solid.
6.1.12.1. 6-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (31). Yield 51\%; m.p. 198$200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 ): 3.84 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.06 (s, 2H, NH2), 7.33-7.39 (m, 2H, ar), $7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$,
ar, $J=7.60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.33 (s, 1H, H-3), 8.41 (s, 1H, H-5); IR: 1643, 3158, 3307. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N).
6.1.12.2. 6-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (32). Yield 29\%; m.p. 219$221{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 7.01-7.03 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ar $+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.35 $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.40 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ), 8.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5$ ); IR: 1640,3181 . Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.12.3. 6-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (33). Yield 27\%; m.p. 223$225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}$ ): $7.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}$, 2 H , ar, $\mathrm{J}=7.36 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J = 8.56 Hz ), 7.99-8.01 (m, 4H, ar), $8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H, H-5); IR: 1640, 3381. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{4}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.12.4. 3-(8-Amino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-6-yl)benzoic acid (34) Yield 30\%; m.p. 197$199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (methanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 7.14 (s, 2H. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.35 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.52 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.48 (t, 2H, ar, J = 7.60 Hz), $7.58(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.68 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.08 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.60 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}) ;$ IR: 3398, 1680. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.
6.1.12.5. N-[3-(8-Amino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-6-yl)phenyl]-2-phenylacetamide (35). Yield $42 \%$; m.p. $204-205{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 3.68 (s, 2H, CH $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 7.03 (s, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=6.84 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, ar), $7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.60 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, $J=7.76 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.52 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5)$, 10.29 (s, 1H, NH); IR: 1640, 1955, 3292, 3372. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ (C, H, N).
6.1.12.6. 6-[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (36). Yield 26\%; m.p. 211-212 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 5.16 (s, 2H, CH2), 7.03 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, ar, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.35-7.48 (m, 8H, ar), $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.68 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.72 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.30$ (s, 1H, ar), 8.31 (s, 1H, ar); IR: 1648, 3267. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ (C, H, N).
6.1.12.7. 6-(Furan-2-yl)-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (37). Yield 50\%; m.p. 244-246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)$ : $6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 6.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}), 7.1\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ar}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, H-3), 8.38 (s, 1H, H-5); IR: 1648, 3151, 3308. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ (C, H, N, O).
6.1.12.8. 2,6-(Furan-2-yl)-imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazin-8-amine (38). Yield 63\%; m.p. 256-257 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (diethyl ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $)_{6}$ ): 6.58-6.62 (m, 2H, ar), 6.78-6.82 (m, 2H, ar), 7.14 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.74 (s, 1 H , ar), 7.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}$ ), 8.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3+\mathrm{H}-5$ ); IR: 3314, 3152. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N})$.

### 6.2. Fluorescence studies.

6.2.1. Materials and instrumentation.

Uvasol ethanol for spectroscopy, chloroform, 2-aminopyridine and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ were from Merck (Germany). Quinine sulfate was from Laboratori Angelini (Italy). MilliQ water was used to prepare sulphuric acid diluted solutions throughout this work. Fluorescence and absorbance measurements were performed in 10 mm pathlenght quartz cuvettes.
Fluorescence spectra were obtained using a Jasco FP-750 Spectrofluorimeter controlled by Jasco software.

The UV-visible adsorption spectra and absorbance measurements were obtained using a EVOLUTION 220 UV-visible spectrophotometer controlled by Thermoinsight software (Thermo Scientific).

### 6.2.2. Fluorescence spectroscopy.

Emission spectra of the different compounds were determined in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and in some case in EtOH solution. In all the experiments the excitation and emission bandpass was set at 5 nm , with "medium" sensitivity and response. The emission spectra were obtained from 250 to 600 nm with the excitation set at the appropriate $\lambda_{\text {ex. }}$. The excitation spectra were obtained from 220 and 500 with the emission being recorded at the appropriate wavelenght.

Cross-calibration was performed using quinine sulfate and 2-Aminopyridine as standard compounds. This was achieved by calculating the quantum yield of each standard compound relative to the other. The value of the quantum yield of each standard compound obtained by experiments should match the literature values. The match is generally considered valid and acceptable if the data obtained is of good quality (i.e. good linearity with a zero intercept) and the experimental quantum yields match their literature counterparts within $\pm 10 \%$.

### 6.2.3. Fluorescence quantum yield evaluation.

The most reliable method for recording fluorescence quantum yield ( $\Phi$ ) is the comparative method [44] which involves the use of well characterized standard samples with known $\Phi$ values. The quantum yield is calculated using the slope of the line determined from the calibration plot of the absorbance against the integrated fluorescence intensities.

The overall procedure can be summarized as follows:

1. The UV-vis absorbance spectrum of the solvent background for the chosen sample is recorded.
2. Fluorescence spectrum of the same solution in the 10 mm fluorescence cuvette was recorded and the integrated fluorescence intensity was calculated
3. The above mentioned steps were repeated for five solutions with increasing concentrations of the chosen sample; there will be six solutions, corresponding to absorbances at the excitation wavelength of $0 /$ solvent (blank), $0.02,0.04,0.06,0.08,0.10$ ) [45].
4. A graph of integrated fluorescence intensity $v s$ absorbance is plotted. The results should be a straight line with gradient m , and intercept $=0$.

The gradients of the graphs obtained as described above are proportional to the quantum yield of the different samples. Absolute values are calculated using the standard samples which have a fixed and known fluorescence quantum yield value, according to the following equation:

$$
\Phi_{\mathrm{x}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{sT}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Grad}_{\mathrm{x}}}{\operatorname{Grad}_{\mathrm{sT}}}\right)\left(\frac{\eta_{\mathrm{X}}^{2}}{\eta_{\mathrm{sT}}^{2}}\right)
$$

Where the subscripts st and x denote standard and test respectively, $\Phi$ is the fluorescence quantum yield, Grad the gradient from the plot of integrated fluorescence intensity $v s$ absorbance, and $\eta$ the refractive index of the solvent.
The gradient for each sample is proportional to that sample's fluorescence quantum yield. Conversion into an absolute quantum yield is achieved through the equation given in the text.

### 6.3. Molecular modeling studies

### 6.3.1. Computational methodologies

Homology modeling, energy minimization, and docking studies were carried out using Molecular Operating Environment (MOE, version 2010.10) suite [36]. Manual docking and Monte Carlo studies of the MRS 1220 binding mode were done using MOE and Schrodinger Macromodel (ver. 8.0) [46] with Schrodinger Maestro interface. Compounds docking analyses were then performed with MOE. All ligand structures were optimized using RHF/AM1 semiempirical calculations and the software package MOPAC implemented in MOE was utilized for these calculations [38].

### 6.3.2. Homology modeling of the human $A_{3} A R$.

The homology model of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ was built using the X-ray structure of the inverse agonist-bound $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ as template (pdb code: 3EML; 2.6- $\AA$ resolution [33]). A multiple alignment of the AR primary sequences was built within MOE as preliminary step. The boundaries identified from the used X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{AAR}}$ were then applied for the corresponding sequences of the TM helices of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. The missing loop domains were built by the loop search method implemented in MOE. Once the heavy atoms were modeled, all hydrogen atoms were added, and the protein coordinates were then minimized with MOE using the AMBER99 force field [47]. The minimizations were performed by steepest descent steps followed by conjugate gradient minimization until the RMS gradient of the potential energy was less than $0.05 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. Reliability and quality of the model was checked using the Protein Geometry Monitor application within MOE, which provides a variety of stereochemical measurements for inspection of the structural quality in a given protein, like
backbone bond lengths, angles and dihedrals, Ramachandran $\varphi-\psi$ dihedral plots, and sidechain rotamer and nonbonded contact quality.

### 6.3.3. Preliminary docking analysis with MRS 1220.

A preliminary docking analysis was performed by manually docking MRS 1220 structure within the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ model binding site. The obtained $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}-\mathrm{MRS} 1220$ complex was then subjected to energy minimization refinement and to Monte Carlo analysis to explore the favorable binding conformations. This analysis was conducted by Monte Carlo Conformational Search protocol implemented in Schrodinger Macromodel. The input structure consisted of the ligand and a shell of receptor amino acids within the specified distance ( $6 \AA$ ) from the ligand. A second external shell of all the residues within a distance of $8 \AA$ from the first shell was kept fixed. During the Monte Carlo conformational searching, the input structure was modified by random changes in user-specified torsion angles (for all input structure residues), and molecular position (for the ligand). Hence, the ligand was left free to be continuously re-oriented within the binding site and the conformation of both ligand and internal shell residues could be explored and reciprocally relaxed. The method consisted of 10,000 Conformational Search steps with MMFF94s force field [48-54]. The best hA3AR-MRS 1220 complex was saved and subjected to energy minimization with the same protocol as above. This protocol was recently used to prepare $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ models for docking and dynamics studies of nucleoside agonists at the same receptor [40,55].

### 6.3.4. Molecular docking analysis.

All compound structures were docked into the binding site of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ model using the MOE Dock tool. This method is divided into a number of stages: Conformational Analysis of ligands. The algorithm generated conformations from a single 3D conformation by conducting a systematic search. In this way, all combinations of angles were created for each ligand. Placement. A collection of poses was generated from the pool of ligand conformations using Triangle Matcher placement method. Poses were generated by superposition of ligand atom triplets and triplet points in the receptor binding site. The receptor site points are alpha sphere centers which represent locations of tight packing. At each iteration a random conformation was selected, a random triplet of ligand atoms and a random triplet of alpha sphere centers were used to determine the pose. Scoring. Poses generated by the placement methodology were scored using two available methods implemented in MOE, the London $d G$ scoring function which estimates the free energy of binding of the ligand from a given pose, and Affinity $d G$ scoring which estimates the enthalpic contribution to the free energy of binding. The top 30 poses for each ligand were output in a MOE database.

### 6.3.5 Post Docking analysis

The five top-score docking poses of each compound were then subjected to AMBER99 force field energy minimization until the RMS gradient of the potential energy was less than $0.05 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. Receptor residues within $6 \AA$ distance from the ligand were left free to move, while the remaining receptor coordinates were kept fixed. AMBER99 partial charges of receptor and MOPAC output partial charges of ligands were utilized. Once the compound-binding site energy minimization was completed, receptor coordinates were fixed and a second energy minimization stage was performed leaving free to move only compound atoms. MMFF94 force field was applied. For each compound, the minimized docking poses were then rescored using London $d G$ and Affinity $d G$ scoring functions and the dock-pKi predictor. The latter tool allows estimating the $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for each ligand using the "scoring.svl" script retrievable at the SVL exchange service (Chemical Computing Group, Inc. SVL exchange: http://svl.chemcomp.com). The algorithm is based on an empirical scoring function consisting of a directional hydrogen-bonding term, a directional hydrophobic interaction term, and an entropic term (ligand rotatable bonds immobilized in binding). For each compound, the top-score docking poses according to at least two out of three scoring functions were selected for final ligandtarget interaction analysis.
The interactions between the ligands and the receptors binding site were analysed by using the $I F-E$ 6.0 tool [39] retrievable at the SVL exchange service. The program calculates and displays the atomic and residue interaction forces as 3D vectors. It also calculates the per-residue interaction energies, where negative and positive energy values (expressed as $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) are associated to favourable and unfavourable interactions, respectively. A shell of residues contained within a $10 \AA$ distance from ligand were considered for this analysis.

### 7.1. Pharmacology.

### 7.1.1. Human cloned $A_{1}, A_{2 A}$ and $A_{3}$ AR binding assay

All synthesized compounds were tested to evaluate their affinity at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ adenosine receptors. Displacement experiments of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX $(1 \mathrm{nM})$ to $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ CHO membranes ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein/assay) and at least six to eight different concentrations of antagonists for 120 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 50 mM Tris HCl buffer pH 7.4 were performed [56]. Non specific binding was determined in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of DPCPX ( $\leq 10 \%$ of the total binding). Binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{ZM}-241385(1 \mathrm{nM})$ to $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{CHO}$ membranes ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein/assay) was performed using 50 mM Tris HCl buffer, 10 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ and at least six to eight different concentrations of antagonists studied for an incubation time of 60 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [57]. Non specific binding was determined in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ZM241385 and was about $20 \%$ of total binding. Competition binding experiments to $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}^{\text {or }} \mathrm{rA}_{3}$ membranes
( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein/assay) and $0.5 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}$-MECA, 50 mM Tris HCl buffer, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 7.4 , with at least six to eight different concentrations of examined ligands for 120 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, were conducted [58]. Non-specific binding was defined as binding in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{MAB}-$ MECA and was about $20 \%$ of total binding. Bound and free radioactivity were separated by filtering the assay mixture through Whatman GF/B glass fiber filters using a Brandel cell harvester. The filter bound radioactivity was counted by Scintillation Counter Packard Tri Carb 2810 TR with an efficiency of $62 \%$.

### 7.1.2. Measurement of cyclic AMP levels in CHO cells transfected with $h A_{2 B}$ or $h A_{3} A R s$.

CHO cells transfected with $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ or $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, detached with tripsine and centrifuged for 10 min at 200 g . The pellet containing the CHO cells ( $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells /assay) was suspended in 0.5 ml of incubation mixture (mM): $\mathrm{NaCl} 15, \mathrm{KCl} 0.27, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} 0.037$, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} 0.1, \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 0.1$, Hepes $0.01, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 1$, glucose 0.5 , pH 7.4 at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{ml}$ adenosine deaminase and 4-(3-butoxy-4-methoxybenzyl)-2-imidazolidinone (Ro 20-1724) as phosphodiesterase inhibitor and preincubated for 10 min in a shaking bath at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The potency of antagonists to $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs was determined by antagonism of NECA ( 200 nM )-induced stimulation of cyclic AMP levels. In addition, the potency of antagonists to $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptors was determined in the presence of forskolin $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and Cl-IB-MECA ( 100 nM ) that mediated inhibition of cyclic AMP levels. The reaction was terminated by the addition of cold $6 \%$ trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The TCA suspension was centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the supernatant was extracted four times with water saturated diethyl ether. The final aqueous solution was tested for cyclic AMP levels by a competition protein binding assay. Samples of cyclic AMP standard ( $0-10$ pmoles) were added to each test tube containing $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ cyclic AMP and the incubation buffer (trizma base 0.1 M , aminophylline 8.0 mM , 2-mercaptoethanol $6.0 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ). The binding protein prepared from beef adrenals, was added to the samples previously incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 150 min , and after the addition of charcoal were centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 min . The clear supernatant was counted in a Scintillation Counter Packard Tri Carb 2810 TR with an efficiency of 58\% [59].

### 7.1.3. Rat $A_{3}$ receptor binding.

Selected compounds were tested for evaluating their affinity at ratA $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptors expressed in HEK293 cells (Perkin-Elmer, Boston, USA). Competition binding experiments to $\mathrm{rA}_{3}$ HEK membranes ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein/assay) and $0.5 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- HCl buffer, 10 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 7.4 and at least 3-4 different concentrations of examined ligands for 120 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [58]. Non-specific binding was defined as binding in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ AB-MECA and was about $20 \%$ of total binding. Bound and free radioactivity were separated by filtering the assay mixture through Whatman GF/B glass fiber filters by using a Brandel cell harvester. The filter
bound radioactivity was counted by Scintillation Counter Packard Tri Carb 2500 TR with an efficiency of $58 \%$.

### 7.1.4. Data analysis

The protein concentration was determined according to a Bio-Rad method with bovine albumin as a standard reference [58]. Inhibitory binding constant ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) values were calculated from those of IC ${ }_{50}$ according to Cheng \& Prusoff equation $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{IC} \mathrm{C}_{50} /\left(1+\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right] / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}} *\right)$, where $\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right]$ is the concentration of the radioligand and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}} *$ its dissociation constant [59]. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained in cyclic AMP assay were calculated by non linear regression analysis using the equation for a sigmoid concentrationresponse curve (Graph-PAD Prism, San Diego, CA, U.S.A) [59].

### 8.1. Elecrophysiological assays.

### 8.1.1. Slice Preparation.

All animal procedures were conducted according to the European Community Guidelines for Animal Care, DL 116/92, application of the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC). Experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the University of Florence and performed according to the Italian Law on Animal Welfare (DL 116/92). All efforts were made to minimize animal sufferings and to use only the number of animals necessary to produce reliable scientific data. Experiments were carried out on acute hippocampal slices [60], prepared from male Wistar rats (Harlan Italy; Udine Italy, 150-200 g body weight). Animals were killed with a guillotine under anesthesia with isoflurane (Baxter, Rome, Italy) and their hippocampi were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold oxygenated ( $95 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}-5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) aCSF of the following composition (mM): $\mathrm{NaCl} 125, \mathrm{KCl} 3, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} 1.25, \mathrm{MgSO}_{4} 1, \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 2, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} 25$, and D-glucose 10. Slices ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick) were cut using a McIlwain tissue chopper (The Mickle Laboratory, Engineering, Co. Ltd., Gomshall, U.K.) and kept in oxygenated aCSF for at least 1 h at room temperature. A single slice was then placed on a nylon mesh, completely submerged in a small chamber $(0.8 \mathrm{ml})$, and superfused with oxygenated aCSF $\left(31-32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ at a constant flow rate of $1.5-$ 1.8 mL min- 1 . The treated solutions reached the preparation in 60 s , and this delay was taken into account in our calculations.

### 8.1.2. Extracellular Recording.

Test pulses ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~s}, 0.066 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) were delivered through a bipolar nichrome electrode positioned in the stratum radiatum. Evoked extracellular potentials were recorded with glass microelectrodes (2-10 $\mathrm{M} \Omega$, Harvard Apparatus LTD, Edenbridge, U.K.) filled with 150 mM NaCl and placed in the CA1 region of the stratum radiatum. Responses were amplified (BM 622, Mangoni, Pisa, Italy), digitized (sample rate, 33.33 kHz ), and stored for later analysis with LTP (version 2.30D) program [61].

Stimulus-response curves were obtained by gradual increases in stimulus strength at the beginning of each experiment, when a stable baseline of evoked response was reached. The test stimulus pulse was then adjusted to produce a fEPSP whose amplitude was $40 \%$ to $50 \%$ of the maximum and was kept constant throughout the experiment. The fEPSP amplitude was routinely measured and expressed as the percentage of the average amplitude of the potentials measured during the 5 min preceding exposure of the hippocampal slices to OGD. In some experiments, both the amplitude and initial fEPSP slope were quantified, but because no appreciable differences between these two parameters were observed in drug effect and OGD, only the amplitude measurement is expressed in the Figures. Simultaneously with fEPSP amplitude, AD, induced by 7 or 30 min OGD, was recorded as negative extracellular d.c. shifts. The d.c. potential is an extracellular recording considered to provide an index of the polarization of cells surrounding the tip of the glass electrode [62]. AD latency, expressed in min, was calculated from the beginning of OGD; AD amplitude, expressed in mV , was calculated at the maximal negativity peak. In the text and bar graphs, AD amplitude values were expressed as positive values.

### 8.1.3. Application of OGD and Drugs.

OGD was obtained by perfusing the slice with aCSF without glucose and gassed with nitrogen (95\% $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) [63]. This caused a drop in pO 2 in the recording chamber from $\sim 500 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (normoxia) to a range of $35-75 \mathrm{mmHg}$ (after 7 min of OGD) [6]. At the end of the ischemic period, the slice was again superfused with normal, glucose containing, oxygenated aCSF. Throughout this paper, the terms 'untreated OGD slices' or 'treated OGD slices' refer to hippocampal slices in which OGD episodes of different duration were applied in the absence or in the presence of compound 29, respectively. The selective $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ adenosine receptor antagonist was applied 15 min before, during, and 5 min after OGD. The compound was made up to a $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). These stock solutions were aliquoted, stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and diluted in aCSF before use. The final concentration of DMSO ( $0.05 \%$ and $0.01 \%$ in aCSF) used in our experiments did not affect either fEPSP amplitude or the depression of synaptic potentials induced by OGD (not shown).

### 8.1.4. Statistics.

Data were analyzed using Prism 3.02 software (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA). All numerical data are expressed as the mean (SEM). Data were tested for statistical significance with the unpaired Student's $t$ test. A value of $p<0.05$ was considered significant.
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## Figure Captions.

Figure 1. Previously reported pyrazolo[4,3- $d$ ]pyrimidine derivatives ( $\mathbf{P P}$ ).
Figure 2. Currently reported imidazo[1,2-a]pyrazine derivatives as AR antagonists.
Figure 3. Docking conformations of the 2,6,8-substituted imidazopyrazine derivatives. (A) The binding mode of compound 22 at 3EML-based hA ${ }_{3}$ AR model is shown as an example. (B) Schematic view of ligand-receptor interaction for the same compound.

Figure 4. Docking conformations of the 2,8-substituted imidazopyrazine derivatives. (A) The binding mode of compound 6 (green) at 3EML-based $\mathrm{hA}_{3} A R$ model is shown as an example. The docking mode of compound 22 (light pink) is shown for comparison. (B) Schematic view of ligand-receptor interaction for the same compound.

Figure 5. Docking conformation of compounds 21 (A) and 22 (B). Molecular surfaces of both the ligand (dark) and the cavity (light) are represented. Comparison highlights the higher volume complementarity between the cavity and compound 22 with respect to that of compound 21. (C) Detailed view of the interaction of the 8 -substituent of compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ with the nearby residues. (D) Ligand-receptor interaction energies (per-residue values) calculated with IF-E 6.0 script. Data of interaction between the receptor and the aromatic group within the 8 -substituent are represented. See text for details.

Figure 6. Compound 29 significantly delayed the appearance of AD induced by $30-\mathrm{min}$ OGD in rat hippocampal slices. The graph shows the d.c. shift traces during 30-min OGD in untreated slices (OGD, $\mathrm{n}=5$ ) (A) or in slices treated with compound $29(200 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{n}=8)(\mathbf{B})$. (a) Each column represents the mean ( $\pm$ SEM) of AD latency recorded in hippocampal slices during 30-min OGD in the absence (OGD) or in the presence of 200 nM compound 29 (OGD + compound 29, $\mathrm{n}=8$ ). AD was measured from the beginning of OGD insult. Note that compound 29 significantly delayed AD development. *P $<0.05$, unpaired two-tailed Student's $t$-test in comparison to untreated OGD slices. (b) Each column represents the mean ( $\pm$ SEM) of AD amplitude, recorded in hippocampal slices during 30 -min OGD, in the absence or in the presence of 200 nM compound 29 (OGD + compound $29, n=8$ ). The number ( $n$ ) of slices tested is reported inside columns. (C) Graph shows the time course of 7-min OGD effects on fEPSP amplitude in an untreated OGD slice and in compound 29-treated OGD slice. Amplitude of fEPSP is expressed as percent of pre-ischemic baseline. Note that, after reperfusion in normal oxygenated aCSF, a significant recovery of fEPSP was found only in compound 29-treated OGD slices.

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COR}_{2}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (gas), absolute ethanol, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, autoclave; c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, ethanol; d) RCOCl, anhydrous tetrahydrofuran, pyridine, reflux, or RCOOH, N -(3-dimethylammino-propyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide•HCl, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate, anhydrous dimethylformamide, r.t.; e) PhNCO , anhydrous dichloromethane, r.t.

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, tetrakis, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 1,2-dimethoxyethane/water $3: 1,85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) RCOCl , pyridine, reflux.

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: a) Commercially available $\mathrm{R}_{6} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ or 42 ( $\mathrm{R}_{6}=3$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, tetrakis, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dimethox yethane/water 3:1, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 1. Binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs and potencies $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$.
Table 2. Binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$ and potencies $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$.
Table 3. Antagonistic potencies at $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ and binding affinity at $\mathrm{rA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ of some selected derivatives.
Table 4. Fluorescence properties of some imidazopyrazine derivatives ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT NMR spectra of some selected derivatives (........).

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT (Attached Proton Test) experiment distinguishes between C-H multiplicities. CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ vectors have opposite phases compared to C and CH 2 . Therefore, the phase of CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ peaks is $180^{\circ}$ different from C (including the solvent DMSO ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ peaks.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 4.
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT NMR spectrum ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 4.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 13.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT NMR spectrum ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 13.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 16.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT NMR spectrum ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 16.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 25.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT NMR spectrum ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 25.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 29.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT NMR spectrum ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 29.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 41.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ APT NMR spectrum ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) of compound 41.


