On Bott-Chern cohomology of compact complex surfaces

Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **195** (2016), no. 1, 199–217,
DOI: 10.1007/s10231-014-0458-7,
arXiv:1402.2408.

ON BOTT-CHERN COHOMOLOGY OF COMPACT COMPLEX SURFACES

DANIELE ANGELLA, GEORGES DLOUSSKY, AND ADRIANO TOMASSINI

Abstract. We study Bott-Chern cohomology on compact complex non-Kähler surfaces. In particular, we compute such a cohomology for compact complex surfaces in class VII and for compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds.

Introduction

For a given complex manifold X, many cohomological invariants can be defined, and many are known for compact complex surfaces.

Among these, one can consider *Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies*. They are defined as follows:

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) := \frac{\ker\partial\cap\ker\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X) := \frac{\ker\partial\overline{\partial}}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial+\mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}} .$$

Note that the identity induces natural maps

$$\begin{tikzcd} & H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\ H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \arrow[dr] & H^{\bullet}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \arrow[d] & H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\partial}(X) \arrow[dl] \\ & H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X) & \end{tikzcd}$$

where $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ denotes the Dolbeault cohomology and $H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\partial}(X)$ its conjugate, and the maps are morphisms of (graded or bi-graded) vector spaces. For compact Kähler manifolds, the natural map $\bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) \to H^{\bullet}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism.

Assume that X is compact. The Bott-Chern and Aeppli cohomologies are isomorphic to the kernel of suitable 4th-order differential elliptic operators, see [19, §2.b, §2.c]. In particular, they are finite-dimensional vector spaces. In fact, fixed a Hermitian metric g, its associated $\mathbb{C}$-linear Hodge-$*$-operator induces the isomorphism

$$H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) \stackrel{\simeq}{\to} H^{n-q,n-p}_{A}(X) ,$$

for any $p,q\in\{0,\ldots,n\}$, where n denotes the complex dimension of X. In particular, for any $p,q\in\{0,\ldots,n\}$, one has

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{q,p}_{BC}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n-p,n-q}_{A}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n-q,n-p}_{A}(X) .$$

For the Dolbeault cohomology, the Frölicher inequality relates the Hodge numbers and the Betti numbers: for any $k\in\{0,\ldots,2n\}$,

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) .$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32C35, 57T15, 32J15.

Key words and phrases. compact complex surfaces, Bott-Chern cohomology, class VII, solvmanifold.

This work was supported by the Project PRIN “Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica”, by the Projects FIRB “Geometria Differenziale Complessa e Dinamica Olomorfa” and “Geometria Differenziale e Teoria Geometrica delle Funzioni”, by GNSAGA of INdAM, and by ANR “Méthodes nouvelles en géométrie non kählerienne”.

Similarly, for Bott-Chern cohomology, the following inequality *à la* Frölicher has been proven in [3, Theorem A]: for any $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$,

$$\sum_{p+q=k} \left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) \;\geq\; 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{k}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;.$$

The equality in the Frölicher inequality characterizes the degeneration of the Frölicher spectral sequence at the first level. This always happens for compact complex surfaces. On the other side, in [3, Theorem B], it is proven that the equality in the inequality *à la* Frölicher for the Bott-Chern cohomology characterizes the validity of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$*-Lemma*, namely, the property that every ∂-closed $\overline{\partial}$-closed d-exact form is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-exact too, [8]. The validity of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma implies that the first Betti number is even, which is equivalent to Kählerness for compact complex surfaces. Therefore the positive integer numbers

$$\Delta^k \;:=\; \sum_{p+q=k} \left(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{A}(X)\right) - 2\, b_k \;\in\; \mathbb{N} \;,$$

varying $k \in \{1, 2\}$, measure the non-Kählerness of compact complex surfaces X.

Compact complex surfaces are divided in seven classes, according to the Kodaira and Enriques classification, see, e.g., [4]. In this note, we compute Bott-Chern cohomology for some classes of compact complex (non-Kähler) surfaces. In particular, we are interested in studying the relations between Bott-Chern cohomology and de Rham cohomology, looking at the injectivity of the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$. This can be intended as a weak version of the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, compare also [10].

More precisely, we start by proving that the non-Kählerness for compact complex surfaces is encoded only in Δ^2, namely, Δ^1 is always zero. This gives a partial answer to a question by T. C. Dinh to the third author.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact complex surface. Then:*

(i) the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ induced by the identity is injective;

(ii) $\Delta^1 = 0$.

In particular, the non-Kählerness of X is measured by just $\Delta^2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

For compact complex surfaces in class VII, we show the following result, where we denote $h^{p,q}_{BC} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X)$ for $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Theorem 2.2. *The Bott-Chern numbers of compact complex surfaces in class VII are:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & h^{0,0}_{BC} = 1 & & \\ & h^{1,0}_{BC} = 0 & & h^{0,1}_{BC} = 0 & \\ h^{2,0}_{BC} = 0 & & h^{1,1}_{BC} = b_2 + 1 & & h^{0,2}_{BC} = 0 \\ & h^{2,1}_{BC} = 1 & & h^{1,2}_{BC} = 1 & \\ & & h^{2,2}_{BC} = 1 \;. & & \end{array}$$

According to Theorem 1.1, the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ is injective for any compact complex surface. One is then interested in studying the injectivity of the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ induced by the identity, at least for compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds. In fact, by definition, the property of satisfying the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, [8], is equivalent to the natural map $\bigoplus_{p+q=\bullet} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) \to H^{\bullet}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ being injective. Note that, for a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n, the injectivity of the map $H^{n,n-1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2n-1}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ implies the $(n-1,n)$-th weak $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma in the sense of J. Fu and S.-T. Yau, [10, Definition 5].

We then compute the Bott-Chern cohomology for compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds, according to the list given by K. Hasegawa in [11], see Theorem 4.1. More precisely, we prove that the cohomologies can be computed by using just left-invariant forms. Furthermore, for such complex surfaces, we note that the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ is injective, see Theorem 4.2.

We note that the above classes do not exhaust the set of compact complex non-Kähler surfaces, the cohomologies of elliptic surfaces being still unknown.

Acknowledgments. The first and third authors would like to thank the Aix-Marseille University for its warm hospitality. Many thanks are due to the referee for her/his suggestions that improved the presentation. The third author would like to dedicate this paper to the memory of his mother.

1. Non-Kählerness of compact complex surfaces and Bott-Chern cohomology

We recall that, for a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n, for $k \in \{0, \ldots, 2n\}$, we define the "non-Kählerness" degrees, [3, Theorem A],

$$\Delta^k := \sum_{p+q=k} \left(h^{p,q}_{BC} + h^{n-q,n-p}_{BC} \right) - 2\, b_k \in \mathbb{N} \, , .$$

where we use the duality in [19, §2.c] giving $h^{p,q}_{BC} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{n-q,n-p}_{A}(X)$. According to [3, Theorem B], $\Delta^k = 0$ for any $k \in \{0, \ldots, 2n\}$ if and only if X satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, namely, every ∂-closed $\overline{\partial}$-closed d-exact form is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-exact too. In particular, for a compact complex surface X, the condition $\Delta^1 = \Delta^2 = 0$ is equivalent to X being Kähler, the first Betti number being even, [14, 17, 20], see also [15, Corollaire 5.7], and [5, Theorem 11].

We prove that Δ^1 is always zero for any compact complex surface. In particular, a sufficient and necessary condition for compact complex surfaces to be Kähler is $\Delta^2 = 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact complex surface. Then:*

(i) the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ induced by the identity is injective;

(ii) $\Delta^1 = 0$.

In particular, the non-Kählerness of X is measured by just $\Delta^2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha \in \wedge^{2,1}X$ be such that $[\alpha] = 0 \in H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$. Let $\beta \in \wedge^{2,0}X$ be such that $\alpha = \overline{\partial}\beta$. Fix a Hermitian metric g on X, and consider the Hodge decomposition of β with respect to the Dolbeault Laplacian $\overline{\square}$: let $\beta = \beta_h + \overline{\partial}^* \lambda$ where $\beta_h \in \wedge^{2,0}X \cap \ker \overline{\square}$, and $\lambda \in \wedge^{2,1}X$. Therefore we have

$$\alpha \;=\; \overline{\partial}\beta \;=\; \overline{\partial}\,\overline{\partial}^* \lambda \;=\; -\overline{\partial} * \underbrace{(\partial * \lambda)}_{\in \wedge^{2,0}X} \;=\; -\overline{\partial}\, (\partial * \lambda) \;=\; \partial\overline{\partial}\, (*\lambda) \;,$$

where we have used that any $(2,0)$-form is primitive and hence, by the Weil identity, is self-dual. In particular, α is $\partial\overline{\partial}$-exact, so it induces a zero class in $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X)$.

(ii) On the one hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1,0}_{BC}(X) \;&=\; \frac{\ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X}{\operatorname{im}\partial\overline{\partial}} \;=\; \ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X \\ &\subseteq\; \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X \;=\; \frac{\ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X}{\operatorname{im}\overline{\partial}} \;=\; H^{1,0}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \,. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{BC}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,0}_{BC}(X) \;\leq\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,0}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \;=\; b_1 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \;,$$

where we use that the Frölicher spectral sequence degenerates, hence in particular $b_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,0}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$.

On the other hand, by part (i), we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,2}_{BC}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \;\leq\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \;,$$

where we use the Kodaira and Serre duality $H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \simeq H^1(X;\Omega^2_X) \simeq H^1(X;\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq H^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$.

By summing up, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^1 \;&=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,0}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,2}_{BC}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) - 2\, b_1 \\ &\leq\; 2\left(b_1 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) - b_1 \right) \;=\; 0 \,, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. □

2. Class VII surfaces

In this section, we compute Bott-Chern cohomology for compact complex surfaces in class VII.

Let X be a compact complex surface. By Theorem 1.1, the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ is always injective. Consider now the case when X is in class VII. If X is minimal, we prove that the same holds for cohomology with values in a line bundle. We will also prove that the natural map $H^{1,2}_{BC}(X) \to H^{1,2}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ is not injective.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a compact complex surface in class VII_0. Let $L \in H^1(X;\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. The natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X;L) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X;L)$ induced by the identity is injective.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \wedge^{2,1}X \otimes L$ be a $\overline{\partial}_L$-exact $(2,1)$-form. We need to prove that α is $\partial_L\overline{\partial}_L$-exact too. Consider $\alpha = \overline{\partial}_L \vartheta$, where $\vartheta \in \wedge^{2,0}X \otimes L$. In particular, $\partial_L \vartheta = 0$, hence $\bar{\vartheta}$ defines a class in $H^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}}(X;L)$. Note that $H^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}}(X;L) \simeq H^2(X;\mathcal{O}_X(L)) \simeq H^0(X;K_X \otimes L^{-1}) = \{0\}$ for surfaces of class VII_0, [9, Remark 2.21]. It follows that $\bar{\vartheta} = -\overline{\partial}_L \bar{\eta}$ for some $\eta \in \wedge^{1,0}X \otimes L$. Hence $\alpha = \partial_L \overline{\partial}_L \eta$, that is, α is $\partial_L\overline{\partial}_L$-exact. $\square$

We now compute the Bott-Chern cohomology of class VII surfaces.

Theorem 2.2. *The Bott-Chern numbers of compact complex surfaces in class VII are:*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & h^{0,0}_{BC} = 1 & & & \\
 & & h^{1,0}_{BC} = 0 & & h^{0,1}_{BC} = 0 & & \\
h^{2,0}_{BC} = 0 & & & h^{1,1}_{BC} = b_2 + 1 & & & h^{0,2}_{BC} = 0 \\
 & & h^{2,1}_{BC} = 1 & & h^{1,2}_{BC} = 1 & & \\
 & & & h^{2,2}_{BC} = 1\,. & & &
\end{array}
$$

Proof. It holds $H^{1,0}_{BC}(X) = \frac{\ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} = \ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X \subseteq \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X = \frac{\ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,0}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}} = H^{1,0}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) = \{0\}$ hence $h^{1,0}_{BC} = h^{0,1}_{BC} = 0$.

On the other side, by Theorem 1.1, $0 = \Delta^1 = 2\left(h^{1,0}_{BC} + h^{2,1}_{BC} - b_1\right) = 2\left(h^{2,1}_{BC} - 1\right)$ hence $h^{2,1}_{BC} = h^{1,2}_{BC} = 1$.

Similarly, it holds $H^{2,0}_{BC}(X) = \frac{\ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{2,0}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} = \ker\partial \cap \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{2,0}X \subseteq \ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{2,0}X = \frac{\ker\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{2,0}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\overline{\partial}} = H^{2,0}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) = \{0\}$ hence $h^{2,0}_{BC} = h^{0,2}_{BC} = 0$.

Note that, from [3, Theorem A], we have $0 \leq \Delta^2 = 2\left(h^{2,0}_{BC} + h^{1,1}_{BC} + h^{0,2}_{BC} - b_2\right) = 2\left(h^{1,1}_{BC} - b_2\right)$ hence $h^{1,1}_{BC} \geq b_2$. More precisely, from [3, Theorem B] and Theorem 1.1, we have that $h^{1,1}_{BC} = b_2$ if and only if $\Delta^2 = 0$ if and only if X satisfies the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma, in fact X is Kähler, which is not the case.

Finally, we prove that $h^{1,1}_{BC} = b_2 + 1$. Consider the following exact sequences from [21, Lemma 2.3]. More precisely, the sequence

$$0 \to \frac{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} \to H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \to \mathrm{im}\left(H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^2_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})\right) \to 0$$

is clearly exact. Furthermore, fix a Gauduchon metric g. Denote by $\omega := g(J\cdot, \cdot\cdot)$ the $(1,1)$-form associated to g, where J denotes the integrable almost-complex structure. By definition of g being Gauduchon, we have $\partial\overline{\partial}\omega = 0$. The sequence

$$0 \to \frac{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} \stackrel{\langle\cdot|\omega\rangle}{\to} \mathbb{C}$$

is exact. Indeed, firstly note that for $\eta = \partial\overline{\partial}f \in \mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial} \cap \wedge^{1,1}X$, we have

$$\langle\eta|\omega\rangle \;=\; \int_X \partial\overline{\partial}f \wedge \overline{*\omega} \;=\; \int_X \partial\overline{\partial}f \wedge \omega \;=\; \int_X f\,\partial\overline{\partial}\omega \;=\; 0$$

by applying twice the Stokes theorem. Then, we recall the argument in [21, Lemma 2.3(ii)] for proving that the map

$$\langle\cdot|\omega\rangle : \frac{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}} \to \mathbb{C}$$

is injective. Take $\alpha = \mathrm{d}\,\beta \in \mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1}X \cap \ker\langle\cdot|\omega\rangle$. Then

$$\langle\Lambda\alpha|1\rangle \;=\; \langle\alpha|\omega\rangle \;=\; 0\,,$$

where Λ is the adjoint operator of $\omega \wedge \cdot$ with respect to $\langle\cdot|\cdot\cdot\rangle$. Then $\Lambda\alpha \in \ker\langle\cdot|1\rangle = \mathrm{im}\,\Lambda\partial\overline{\partial}$, by extending [16, Corollary 7.2.9] by $\mathbb{C}$-linearity. Take $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X;\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Lambda\alpha = \Lambda\partial\overline{\partial}u$. Then, by defining $\alpha' := \alpha - \partial\overline{\partial}u$, we have $[\alpha'] = [\alpha] \in \frac{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1}X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\overline{\partial}}$, and $\Lambda\alpha' = 0$, and $\alpha' = \mathrm{d}\,\beta'$ where $\beta' := \beta - \overline{\partial}u$. In particular, α' is primitive. Since α' is primitive and of type $(1,1)$, then it is anti-self-dual by the Weil identity. Then

$$\|\alpha'\|^2 \;=\; \langle\alpha'|\alpha'\rangle \;=\; \int_X \alpha' \wedge \overline{*\alpha'} \;=\; -\int_X \alpha' \wedge \overline{\alpha'} \;=\; -\int_X \mathrm{d}\,\beta' \wedge \mathrm{d}\,\overline{\beta'} \;=\; -\int_X \mathrm{d}\left(\beta' \wedge \mathrm{d}\,\overline{\beta'}\right) \;=\; 0$$

and hence $\alpha' = 0$, and therefore $[\alpha] = 0$.

Since the space $\frac{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1} X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\bar\partial}$ is finite-dimensional, being a sub-space of $H^{1,1}_{BC}(X)$, and since the space $\mathrm{im}\left(H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^2_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})\right)$ is finite-dimensional, being a sub-space of $H^2_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$, we get that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1} X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\bar\partial} \;\leq\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} \;=\; 1 \;,$$

and hence

$$b_2 \;<\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{im}\left(H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^2_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})\right) + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathrm{im}\,\mathrm{d} \cap \wedge^{1,1} X}{\mathrm{im}\,\partial\bar\partial} \;\leq\; b_2 + 1 \;.$$

We get that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,1}_{BC}(X) = b_2 + 1$. □

Finally, we prove that the natural map $H^{1,2}_{BC}(X) \to H^{1,2}_{\bar\partial}(X)$ is not injective.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a compact complex surface in class VII. Then the natural map $H^{1,2}_{BC}(X) \to H^{1,2}_{\bar\partial}(X)$ induced by the identity is the zero map and not an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that, for class VII surfaces, the pluri-genera are zero. In particular, $H^{1,2}_{\bar\partial}(X) \simeq H^{1,0}_{\bar\partial}(X) = \{0\}$, by Kodaira and Serre duality. By Theorem 2.2, one has $H^{1,2}_{BC}(X) \neq \{0\}$. □

2.1. **Cohomologies of Calabi-Eckmann surface.** In this section, as an explicit example, we list the representatives of the cohomologies of a compact complex surface in class VII: namely, we consider the Calabi-Eckmann structure on the differentiable manifolds underlying the Hopf surfaces.

Consider the differentiable manifold $X := \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. As a Lie group, $\mathbb{S}^3 = SU(2)$ has a global left-invariant co-frame $\left\{e^1, e^2, e^3\right\}$ such that $\mathrm{d}\,e^1 = -2e^2 \wedge e^3$ and $\mathrm{d}\,e^2 = 2e^1 \wedge e^3$ and $\mathrm{d}\,e^3 = -2e^1 \wedge e^2$. Hence, we consider a global left-invariant co-frame $\left\{f, e^1, e^2, e^3\right\}$ on X with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\,f &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\,e^1 &=& -2\,e^2 \wedge e^3 \\ \mathrm{d}\,e^2 &=& 2\,e^1 \wedge e^3 \\ \mathrm{d}\,e^3 &=& -2\,e^1 \wedge e^2 \end{cases} .$$

Consider the left-invariant almost-complex structure defined by the $(1,0)$-forms

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^1 &:=& e^1 + \mathrm{i}\; e^2 \\ \varphi^2 &:=& e^3 + \mathrm{i}\; f \end{cases} .$$

By computing the complex structure equations, we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial\varphi^1 &=& \mathrm{i}\;\varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \\ \partial\varphi^2 &=& 0 \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{cases} \bar\partial\varphi^1 &=& \mathrm{i}\;\varphi^1 \wedge \bar\varphi^2 \\ \bar\partial\varphi^2 &=& -\,\mathrm{i}\;\varphi^1 \wedge \bar\varphi^1 \end{cases} .$$

We note that the almost-complex structure is in fact integrable.

The manifold X is a compact complex manifold not admitting Kähler metrics. It is bi-holomorphic to the complex manifold $M_{0,1}$ considered by Calabi and Eckmann, [6], see [18, Theorem 4.1].

Consider the Hermitian metric g whose associated $(1,1)$-form is

$$\omega \;:=\; \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \varphi^j \wedge \bar\varphi^j \;.$$

As for the de Rham cohomology, from the Künneth formula we get

$$H^\bullet_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C}) \;=\; \mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \varphi^2 - \bar\varphi^2 \right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \varphi^{12\bar1} - \varphi^{1\bar1\bar2} \right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \varphi^{12\bar1\bar2} \right\rangle \;,$$

(where, here and hereafter, we shorten, e.g., $\varphi^{12\bar1} := \varphi^1 \wedge \varphi^2 \wedge \bar\varphi^1$).

By [12, Appendix II, Theorem 9.5], one has that a model for the Dolbeault cohomology is given by

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\bar\partial}(X) \;\simeq\; \bigwedge \left\langle x_{2,1},\, x_{0,1} \right\rangle \;,$$

where $x_{i,j}$ is an element of bi-degree (i,j). In particular, we recover that the Hodge numbers $\left\{h^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)\right\}_{p,q\in\{0,1,2\}}$ are

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & h^{0,0}_{\overline{\partial}}=1 & & \\ & h^{1,0}_{\overline{\partial}}=0 & & h^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}=1 & \\ h^{2,0}_{\overline{\partial}}=0 & & h^{1,1}_{\overline{\partial}}=0 & & h^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}}=0 \\ & h^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}=1 & & h^{1,2}_{\overline{\partial}}=0 & \\ & & h^{2,2}_{\overline{\partial}}=1 & & \end{array} .$$

We note that the sub-complex

$$\iota\colon \bigwedge \left\langle \varphi^1,\, \varphi^2,\, \bar\varphi^1,\, \bar\varphi^2 \right\rangle \hookrightarrow \wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X$$

is such that $H_{\overline{\partial}}(\iota)$ is an isomorphism. More precisely, we get

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \quad = \quad \mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{\bar 2}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{12\bar 1}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{12\bar 1\bar 2}\right]\right\rangle ,$$

where we have listed the harmonic representatives with respect to the Dolbeault Laplacian of g.

By [2, Theorem 1.3, Proposition 2.2], we have also $H_{BC}(\iota)$ isomorphism. In particular, we get

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{BC}(X) \quad = \quad \mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{1\bar 1}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{12\bar 1}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{1\bar 1\bar 2}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{12\bar 1\bar 2}\right]\right\rangle ,$$

where we have listed the harmonic representatives with respect to the Bott-Chern Laplacian of g.

By [19, §2.c], we have

$$H^{\bullet,\bullet}_{A}(X) \quad = \quad \mathbb{C}\left\langle 1 \right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{2}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{\bar 2}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{2\bar 2}\right]\right\rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[\varphi^{12\bar 1\bar 2}\right]\right\rangle ,$$

where we have listed the harmonic representatives with respect to the Aeppli Laplacian of g.

Note in particular that the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ induced by the identity is an isomorphism, and that the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ induced by the identity is injective.

3. Complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds

Let X be a compact complex surface diffeomorphic to a solvmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$. By [11, Theorem 1], X is *(A)* either a complex torus, *(B)* or a hyperelliptic surface, *(C)* or a Inoue surface of type $\mathcal{S}_M$, *(D)* or a primary Kodaira surface, *(E)* or a secondary Kodaira surface, *(F)* or a Inoue surface of type $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$, and, as such, it is endowed with a left-invariant complex structure.

In each case, we recall the structure equations of the group G, see [11]. More precisely, take a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ naturally associated to G. We have the following commutation relations, according to [11]:

(A) differentiable structure underlying a *complex torus*:

$$[e_j, e_k] = 0 \qquad \text{for any } j,k \in \{1,2,3,4\} ;$$

(hereafter, we write only the non-trivial commutators);

(B) differentiable structure underlying a *hyperelliptic surface*:

$$[e_1, e_4] = e_2 , \quad [e_2, e_4] = -e_1 ;$$

(C) differentiable structure underlying a *Inoue surface of type* $\mathcal{S}_M$:

$$[e_1, e_4] = -\alpha\, e_1 + \beta\, e_2 , \quad [e_2, e_4] = -\beta\, e_1 - \alpha\, e_2 , \quad [e_3, e_4] = 2\alpha\, e_3 ,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$;

(D) differentiable structure underlying a *primary Kodaira surface*:

$$[e_1, e_2] = -e_3 ;$$

(E) differentiable structure underlying a *secondary Kodaira surface*:

$$[e_1, e_2] = -e_3 , \quad [e_1, e_4] = e_2 , \quad [e_2, e_4] = -e_1 ;$$

(F) differentiable structure underlying a *Inoue surface of type* $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$:

$$[e_2, e_3] = -e_1 , \quad [e_2, e_4] = -e_2 , \quad [e_3, e_4] = e_3 .$$

Denote by $\left\{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4\right\}$ the dual basis of $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. We recall that, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, it holds $\mathrm{d}\,\alpha(x,y) = -\alpha\left([x,y]\right)$. Hence we get the following structure equations:

(A) differentiable structure underlying a *complex torus*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\, e^1 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^2 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^3 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^4 &=& 0 \end{cases} ;$$

(B) differentiable structure underlying a *hyperelliptic surface*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\, e^1 &=& e^2 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^2 &=& -e^1 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^3 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^4 &=& 0 \end{cases} ;$$

(C) differentiable structure underlying a *Inoue surface of type* $\mathcal{S}_M$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\, e^1 &=& \alpha\, e^1 \wedge e^4 + \beta\, e^2 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^2 &=& -\beta\, e^1 \wedge e^4 + \alpha\, e^2 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^3 &=& -2\alpha\, e^3 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^4 &=& 0 \end{cases} ;$$

(D) differentiable structure underlying a *primary Kodaira surface*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\, e^1 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^2 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^3 &=& e^1 \wedge e^2 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^4 &=& 0 \end{cases} ;$$

(E) differentiable structure underlying a *secondary Kodaira surface*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\, e^1 &=& e^2 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^2 &=& -e^1 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^3 &=& e^1 \wedge e^2 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^4 &=& 0 \end{cases} ;$$

(F) differentiable structure underlying a *Inoue surface of type* $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\, e^1 &=& e^2 \wedge e^3 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^2 &=& e^2 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^3 &=& -e^3 \wedge e^4 \\ \mathrm{d}\, e^4 &=& 0 \end{cases} .$$

In cases (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), consider the G-left-invariant almost-complex structure J on X defined by

$$J e_1 \;:=\; e_2 \quad \text{and } J e_2 \;:=\; -e_1 \quad \text{and} \quad J e_3 \;:=\; e_4 \quad \text{and } J e_4 \;:=\; -e_3 \,.$$

Consider the G-left-invariant $(1,0)$-forms

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^1 &:=& e^1 + \mathrm{i}\, e^2 \\ \varphi^2 &:=& e^3 + \mathrm{i}\, e^4 \end{cases} .$$

In case (F), consider the G-left-invariant almost-complex structure J on X defined by

$$J e_1 \;:=\; e_2 \quad \text{and } J e_2 \;:=\; -e_1 \quad \text{and} \quad J e_3 \;:=\; e_4 - q\, e_2 \quad \text{and } J e_4 \;:=\; -e_3 - q\, e_1 \,,$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the G-left-invariant $(1,0)$-forms

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^1 &:=& e^1 + \mathrm{i}\, e^2 + \mathrm{i}\, q\, e^4 \\ \varphi^2 &:=& e^3 + \mathrm{i}\, e^4 \end{cases} .$$

With respect to the G-left-invariant coframe $\left\{\varphi^1,\, \varphi^2\right\}$ for the holomorphic tangent bundle $T^{1,0}\,\Gamma\backslash G$, we have the following structure equations. (As for notation, we shorten, e.g., $\varphi^{1\bar{2}} := \varphi^1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}^2$.)

(A) *torus*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^1 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^2 &=& 0 \end{cases}$$

(B) *hyperelliptic surface*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^1 &=& -\frac{1}{2}\,\varphi^{12}+\frac{1}{2}\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}} \\ \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^2 &=& 0 \end{cases}$$

(C) *Inoue surface $\mathcal{S}_M$*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^1 &=& \frac{\alpha-\mathrm{i}\,\beta}{2\,\mathrm{i}}\,\varphi^{12}-\frac{\alpha-\mathrm{i}\,\beta}{2\,\mathrm{i}}\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}} \\ \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^2 &=& -\,\mathrm{i}\,\alpha\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}} \end{cases}$$

(where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$);

(D) *primary Kodaira surface*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^1 &=& 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^2 &=& \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\varphi^{1\bar{1}} \end{cases}$$

(E) *secondary Kodaira surface*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^1 &=& -\frac{1}{2}\,\varphi^{12}+\frac{1}{2}\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}} \\ \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^2 &=& \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\varphi^{1\bar{1}} \end{cases}$$

(F) *Inoue surface $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$*:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^1 &=& \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{i}}\,\varphi^{12}+\frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{i}}\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}}+\frac{q\,\mathrm{i}}{2}\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}} \\ \mathrm{d}\,\varphi^2 &=& \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{i}}\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}} \end{cases}.$$

4. Cohomologies of complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds

In this section, we compute the Dolbeault and Bott-Chern cohomologies of the compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to a solvmanifold.

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a compact complex surface diffeomorphic to a solvmanifold $\Gamma\backslash G$; denote the Lie algebra of G by $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the inclusion $\left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\wedge^{\bullet,\bullet}X,\, \partial,\, \overline{\partial}\right)$ induces an isomorphism both in Dolbeault and in Bott-Chern cohomologies. In particular, the dimensions of the de Rham, Dolbeault, and Bott-Chern cohomologies and the degrees of non-Kählerness are summarized in Table 5.*

Proof. Firstly, we compute the cohomologies of the sub-complex of G-left-invariant forms. The computations are straightforward from the structure equations.

	(A) torus				(B) hyperelliptic				(C) Inoue $\mathcal{S}_M$			
$(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$	$H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\langle\varphi^1,\,\varphi^2\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^1,\,\varphi^2\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^2\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^2\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\langle\varphi^{12}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}}\rangle$	4	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}}\rangle$	4	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle\varphi^{2\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{12\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{12\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1

Table 1. Dolbeault and Bott-Chern cohomologies of compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds, part 1.

$(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$	(D) primary Kodaira $H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}$	(E) secondary Kodaira $H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}$	(F) Inoue $\mathcal{S}_{\pm}$ $H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$H^{p,q}_{BC}$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p,q}_{BC}$
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	$\langle\varphi^{1}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{1}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	$\langle\varphi^{12}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}}\rangle$	3	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle\varphi^{2\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{12\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{12\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	$\langle\varphi^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1

TABLE 2. Dolbeault and Bott-Chern cohomologies of compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds, part 2.

$\mathbf{k}$	(A) torus H^k_{dR}	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_{dR}$	(B) hyperelliptic H^k_{dR}	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_{dR}$	(C) Inoue $\mathcal{S}_M$ H^k_{dR}	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_{dR}$
$\mathbf{0}$	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1
$\mathbf{1}$	$\langle\varphi^{1},\,\varphi^{2},\,\varphi^{\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	4	$\langle\varphi^{2},\,\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{2}-\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$\mathbf{2}$	$\langle\varphi^{12},\,\varphi^{1\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	6	$\langle\varphi^{1\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$\mathbf{3}$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{12\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	4	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	2	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}-\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$\mathbf{4}$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1

TABLE 3. de Rham cohomology of compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds, part 1.

$\mathbf{k}$	(D) primary Kodaira H^k_{dR}	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_{dR}$	(E) secondary Kodaira H^k_{dR}	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_{dR}$	(F) Inoue $S^{\pm}$ H^k_{dR}	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^k_{dR}$
$\mathbf{0}$	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1	$\langle 1\rangle$	1
$\mathbf{1}$	$\langle\varphi^{1},\,\varphi^{\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{2}-\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	3	$\langle\varphi^{2}-\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{2}-\varphi^{\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$\mathbf{2}$	$\langle\varphi^{12},\,\varphi^{1\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}},\,\varphi^{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	4	$\langle 0\rangle$	0	$\langle 0\rangle$	0
$\mathbf{3}$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}},\,\varphi^{12\bar{1}}-\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	3	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}-\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}}-q\,\varphi^{12\bar{2}}-\varphi^{1\bar{1}\bar{2}}+q\,\varphi^{2\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1
$\mathbf{4}$	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1	$\langle\varphi^{12\bar{1}\bar{2}}\rangle$	1

TABLE 4. de Rham cohomology of compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds, part 2.

In Tables 1 and 2 and in Tables 3 and 4, we summarize the results of the computations. The subcomplexes of left-invariant forms are depicted in Figure 1 (each dot represents a generator, vertical arrows depict the $\overline{\partial}$-operator, horizontal arrows depict the ∂-operator, and trivial arrows are not shown.) The dimensions are listed in Table 5.

On the one side, recall that the inclusion of left-invariant forms into the space of forms induces an injective map in Dolbeault and Bott-Chern cohomologies, see, e.g., [7, Lemma 9], [1, Lemma 3.6]. On the other side, recall that the Frölicher spectral sequence of a compact complex surface X degenerates at the first level, equivalently, the equalities

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,0}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)+\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2,0}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)+\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{1,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)+\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,2}_{\overline{\partial}}(X) \;=\; \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2}_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$$

hold. By comparing the dimensions in Table 5 with the Betti numbers case by case, we find that the left-invariant forms suffice in computing the Dolbeault cohomology for each case. Then, by [1, Theorem 3.7], see also [2, Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.6], it follows that also the Bott-Chern cohomology is computed using just left-invariant forms. $\square$



(A) torus (B) hyperelliptic surface (C) Inoue surface $\mathcal{S}_M$

(D) primary Kodaira surface (E) secondary Kodaira surface (F) Inoue surface $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$

FIGURE 1. The double-complexes of left-invariant forms over 4-dimensional solvmanifolds.

	(A) torus				(B) hyperell				(C) Inoue $\mathcal{S}_M$				(D) prim Kod				(E) sec Kod				(F) Inoue $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$			
$(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})$	$h^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$h^{p,q}_{BC}$	b_k	Δ^k	$h^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$h^{p,q}_{BC}$	b_k	Δ^k	$h^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$h^{p,q}_{BC}$	b_k	Δ^k	$h^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$h^{p,q}_{BC}$	b_k	Δ^k	$h^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$h^{p,q}_{BC}$	b_k	Δ^k	$h^{p,q}_{\overline{\partial}}$	$h^{p,q}_{BC}$	b_k	Δ^k
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0})$	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{0})$	2	2	4	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$	2	2			1	1			1	0			2	1			1	0			1	0		
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$	1	1	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	4	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})$	4	4			2	2			0	1			2	3			0	1			0	1		
$(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2})$	1	1			0	0			0	0			1	1			0	0			0	0		
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{1})$	2	2	4	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	2	2	3	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
$(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2})$	2	2			1	1			0	1			1	2			0	1			0	1		
$(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0

TABLE 5. Summary of the dimensions of de Rham, Dolbeault, and Bott-Chern cohomologies and of the degree of non-Kählerness for compact complex surfaces diffeomorphic to solvmanifolds.

We prove the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a compact complex surface diffeomorphic to a solvmanifold. Then the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ induced by the identity is an isomorphism, and the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ induced by the identity is injective.*

Proof. By the general result in Theorem 1.1, the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^{2,1}_{\overline{\partial}}(X)$ is injective. In fact, it is an isomorphism as follows from the computations summarized in Tables 1 and 2. As for the injectivity of the natural map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$, it is a straightforward computation from Tables 1 and 2 and Tables 3 and 4.

As an example, we offer an explicit calculation of the injectivity of the map $H^{2,1}_{BC}(X) \to H^3_{dR}(X;\mathbb{C})$ for the Inoue surfaces of type 0, see [13], see also [22]. We will change a little bit the notation. Recall the construction of Inoue surfaces: let $M \in \mathrm{SL}(3;\mathbb{Z})$ be a unimodular matrix having a real eigenvalue $\lambda > 1$ and two complex eigenvalues $\mu \neq \overline{\mu}$. Take a real eigenvector $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and an eigenvector $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ of M. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im\mathfrak{m}\, z > 0\}$; on the product $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ consider the following transformations defined as

$$\begin{array}{rcll} f_0(z,w) & := & (\lambda z,\, \mu w) & \\ f_j(z,w) & := & (z+\alpha_j, w+\beta_j) \quad \text{for} & j \in \{1,2,3\}\,. \end{array}$$

Denote by Γ_M the group generated by $f_0, \ldots, f_3$; then Γ_M acts in a properly discontinuous way and without fixed points on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathcal{S}_M := \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} / \Gamma_M$ is an Inoue surface of type 0, as in case (C) in [11]. Denoting by $z = x + \mathrm{i}\, y$ and $w = u + \mathrm{i}\, v$, consider the following differential forms on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$:

$$e^1 := \frac{1}{y}\, \mathrm{d}\, x\,, \quad e^2 := \frac{1}{y}\, \mathrm{d}\, y\,, \quad e^3 := \sqrt{y}\, \mathrm{d}\, u\,, \quad e^4 := \sqrt{y}\, \mathrm{d}\, v\,.$$

(Note that e^1 and e^2, and $e^3 \wedge e^4$ are Γ_M-invariant, and consequently they induce global differential forms on $\mathcal{S}_M$.) We obtain

$$\mathrm{d}\, e^1 = e^1 \wedge e^2\,, \quad \mathrm{d}\, e^2 = 0\,, \quad \mathrm{d}\, e^3 = \frac{1}{2}\, e^2 \wedge e^3\,, \quad \mathrm{d}\, e^4 = \frac{1}{2}\, e^2 \wedge e^4\,.$$

Consider the natural complex structure on $\mathcal{S}_M$ induced by $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}$. Locally, we have

$$Je^1 = -e^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Je^2 = e^1 \quad \text{and} \quad Je^3 = -e^4 \quad \text{and} \quad Je^4 = e^3\,.$$

Considering the Γ_M-invariant $(2,1)$-Bott-Chern cohomology of $\mathcal{S}_M$, we obtain that

$$H^{2,1}_{BC}(\mathcal{S}_M) = \mathbb{C}\left\langle \left[e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 + \mathrm{i}\, e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4\right] \right\rangle\,.$$

Clearly $\overline{\partial}\left(e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 + \mathrm{i}\, e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4\right) = 0$ and $e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 + \mathrm{i}\, e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 = e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 + \mathrm{i}\, \mathrm{d}\left(e^3 \wedge e^4\right)$, therefore the de Rham cohomology class $\left[e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4 + \mathrm{i}\, e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4\right] = \left[e^1 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4\right] \in H^3_{dR}(\mathcal{S}_M)$ is non-zero. □

References

[1] D. Angella, The cohomologies of the Iwasawa manifold and of its small deformations, *J. Geom. Anal.* **23** (2013), no. 3, 1355-1378. (Cited on p. 9, 10.)

[2] D. Angella, H. Kasuya, Bott-Chern cohomology of solvmanifolds, `arXiv:1212.5708v3 [math.DG]`. (Cited on p. 6, 10.)

[3] D. Angella, A. Tomassini, On the $\partial\overline{\partial}$-Lemma and Bott-Chern cohomology, *Invent. Math.* **192** (2013), no. 1, 71–81. (Cited on p. 2, 3, 4.)

[4] W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Second edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **3**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. (Cited on p. 2.)

[5] N. Buchdahl, On compact Kähler surfaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, xi, 287–302. (Cited on p. 3.)

[6] E. Calabi, B. Eckmann, A class of compact, complex manifolds which are not algebraic, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **58** (1953), no. 3, 494–500. (Cited on p. 5.)

[7] S. Console, A. Fino, Dolbeault cohomology of compact nilmanifolds, *Transform. Groups* **6** (2001), no. 2, 111–124. (Cited on p. 9.)

[8] P. Deligne, Ph. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. P. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), no. 3, 245–274. (Cited on p. 2.)

[9] G. Dloussky, On surfaces of class VII_0^+ with numerically anticanonical divisor, *Amer. J. Math.* **128** (2006), no. 3, 639–670. (Cited on p. 4.)

[10] J. Fu, S.-T. Yau, A note on small deformations of balanced manifolds, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **349** (2011), no. 13–14, 793–796. (Cited on p. 2.)

[11] K. Hasegawa, Complex and Kähler structures on compact solvmanifolds, Conference on Symplectic Topology, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **3** (2005), no. 4, 749–767. (Cited on p. 2, 6, 11.)

[12] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, Translated from the German and Appendix One by R. L. E. Schwarzenberger, With a preface to the third English edition by the author and Schwarzenberger, Appendix Two by A. Borel, Reprint of the 1978 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. (Cited on p. 5.)

[13] M. Inoue, On surfaces of Class VII_0, *Invent. Math.* **24** (1974), no. 4, 269–310. (Cited on p. 11.)

[14] K. Kodaira, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces. I, *Amer. J. Math.* **86** (1964), 751–798. (Cited on p. 3.)

[15] A. Lamari, Courants kählériens et surfaces compactes, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 1, vii, x, 263–285. (Cited on p. 3.)

[16] M. Lübke, A. Teleman, *The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1995. (Cited on p. 4.)

[17] Y. Miyaoka, Kähler metrics on elliptic surfaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **50** (1974), no. 8, 533–536. (Cited on p. 3.)

[18] M. Parton, Explicit parallelizations on products of spheres and Calabi-Eckmann structures, *Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste* **35** (2003), no. 1-2, 61–67. (Cited on p. 5.)

[19] M. Schweitzer, Autour de la cohomologie de Bott-Chern, `arXiv:0709.3528v1 [math.AG]`. (Cited on p. 1, 3, 6.)

[20] Y. T. Siu, Every K3 surface is Kähler, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), no. 1, 139–150. (Cited on p. 3.)

[21] A. Teleman, The pseudo-effective cone of a non-Kählerian surface and applications, *Math. Ann.* **335** (2006), no. 4, 965–989. (Cited on p. 4.)

[22] F. Tricerri, Some examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* **40** (1982), no. 1, 81–92. (Cited on p. 11.)

(Daniele Angella) Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica

Current address: Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 53/A, 43124, Parma, Italy

Email address: `daniele.angella@math.unipr.it`

(Georges Dloussky) Aix-Marseille University, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, UMR 7373, 13453, 39 rue F. Joliot-Curie 13411, Marseille Cedex 13, France

Email address: `georges.dloussky@univ-amu.fr`

(Adriano Tomassini) Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 53/A, 43124, Parma, Italy

Email address: `adriano.tomassini@unipr.it`