

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF AN APPROXIMATE DECONVOLUTION MODEL TO THE 3D MEAN BOUSSINESQ EQUATIONS

LUCA BISCONTI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a Large Eddy Simulation (LES) model for the approximation of large scales of the 3D Boussinesq equations. This model is obtained using the approach first described by Stolz and Adams, based on the Van Cittern approximate deconvolution operators, and applied to the filtered Boussinesq equations. Existence and uniqueness of a regular weak solution are provided. Our main objective is to prove that this solution converges towards a solution of the filtered Boussinesq equations, as the deconvolution parameter goes to zero.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q35; 76F65; 76D03.

Key words: Boussinesq equations; Large eddy simulation; Deconvolution models.

1. INTRODUCTION

The interactive motion of a passive scalar and a viscous incompressible 3D fluid is governed by the following Boussinesq equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla \pi &= \theta e_3, \\ \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta &= 0, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0, \\ (u, \theta)|_{t=0} &= (u_0, \theta_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity, $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is the velocity field, θ that may be interpreted physically as a thermal variable (or a density variable), π the cinematic pressure and $e_3 := (0, 0, 1)^T$ where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . The data u_0 and θ_0 are the given initial velocity and density, where u_0 is divergence-free. This system, possibly considered on appropriate domains, is used as a mathematical model in the description of various geophysical phenomena and has a relevant role in atmospheric sciences (see [13, 14, 15]). Moreover, it has received considerable attention in mathematical fluid dynamics for incompressible flows with a number of studies (see, e.g., [5, 8, 9, 17] for some recent papers about this subject).

It is well known that neither the current mathematical theory nor the analytical improvements are sufficient to show the global well-posedness of 3D-dimensional Navier-Stokes-like equations, namely the Boussinesq system, which is a coupling between the fluid velocity u and a density term θ . In order to overcome the main difficulties and to perform numerical simulations, many regularization methods for the 3D-dimensional fluid equations have been proposed. Let us recall that the main idea behind LES is that of computing suitable mean values of the considered quantities (see [2, 6, 16]). More precisely, in LES models for (1.1), approximations

(w, ρ, q) of the means $(\bar{u}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\pi})$ are considered, with

$$\bar{u}(t, x) = \int G_\alpha(x, y)u(t, y)dy, \quad \bar{\theta}(t, x) = \int G_\alpha(x, y)\theta(y)dy, \quad \bar{\pi} = \int G_\alpha(x, y)\pi(y)dy,$$

where α is a scale parameter and G_α is a smoothing kernel such that $G_\alpha \rightarrow \delta$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, with δ the Dirac function. This is a convolution filter and represents the case that we consider throughout the article.

When we formally filter Equations (1.1), we obtain what we call the ‘‘mean Boussinesq equations’’, i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{u} + \nabla \cdot \overline{(u \otimes u)} - \nu \Delta \bar{u} + \nabla \bar{\pi} &= \bar{\theta} e_3, \\ \partial_t \bar{\theta} + \nabla \cdot \overline{(\theta u)} &= 0, \\ \nabla \cdot \bar{u} &= 0, \\ \overline{(u, \theta)}|_{t=0} &= (\bar{u}_0, \bar{\theta}_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $u \otimes u := (u_1 u, u_2 u, u_3 u)$ and, in the current case, we supply this problem with periodic boundary conditions.

Here, we consider the Approximate Deconvolution Model (ADM), introduced by Adams and Stolz [1, 18, 19], as far as we know. This model uses, roughly speaking, similarity properties of turbulence and it is defined by approximating the filtered bi-linear terms as follows:

$$\overline{(v \otimes v)} \sim \overline{(D_N(\bar{v}) \otimes D_N(\bar{v}))} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{(\varphi v)} \sim \overline{(D_N(\bar{\varphi}) D_N(\bar{v}))},$$

where v and φ play the role of u and θ respectively, and the filtering operator G_α is defined by the Helmholtz filter (see, e.g., [3, 11]), with $\overline{(\cdot)} = G_\alpha(\cdot)$ and $G_\alpha := (I - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{-1}$. Here, D_N is the deconvolution operator, which is constructed using the Van Cittert algorithm (see, e.g. [11]) and is formally defined by

$$(1.3) \quad D_N := \sum_{n=0}^N (I - G_\alpha)^n \quad \text{with } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The ADM that we study in this paper is defined by

$$(1.4) \quad B(w, w) := \overline{D_N(w) \otimes D_N(w)}, \quad \mathcal{B}(\rho, w) := \overline{D_N(\rho) D_N(w)},$$

and the system that we consider, in the space-periodic setting, is the following

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t w + \nabla \cdot \overline{D_N(w) \otimes D_N(w)} - \nu \Delta w + \nabla q &= \rho e_3, \\ \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot \overline{D_N(\rho) D_N(w)} - \epsilon \Delta \rho &= 0, \\ \nabla \cdot w &= 0, \\ w(0, x) &= \bar{u}_0(x), \\ \rho(0, t) &= \bar{\theta}_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is the diffusion coefficient, with $0 < \epsilon < 1$. We are aimed at considering (1.5) as an approximation of (1.2) and, somehow, the related solutions are such that $w \simeq G_\alpha(u)$ and $\rho \simeq G_\alpha(\theta)$. In this model, we take into account the case in which ‘‘ N is large’’, and the convolution operator D_N is such that

$$D_N \rightarrow G_\alpha^{-1} = A := I - \alpha^2 \Delta, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

in a suitable sense (see below for details, see also [4]). Then, taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, we prove that the system (1.5) converges to the averaged Equations (1.2), as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, when the scale of filtration α remain fixed.

Given $\theta_0, u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$, in the weak sense, we first show the existence and uniqueness of solutions $(w_N^\epsilon, \rho_N^\epsilon, q_N^\epsilon)$ to problem (1.5) (cf. Theorem 3.1) such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_N^\epsilon &\in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3), \\ \rho_N^\epsilon &\in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; W^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)), \\ q_N^\epsilon &\in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^{5/3}(0, T; W^{2,5/3}(\mathbb{T}^3)). \end{aligned}$$

Later on, we will take ϵ depending on N , and we will write (w_N, ρ_N, q_N) in place of $(w_N^\epsilon, \rho_N^\epsilon, q_N^\epsilon)$ as the solution of (1.5). Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\theta_0, u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ and let $\alpha > 0$. Assume that $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, from the sequence of solutions $\{(w_N, \rho_N, q_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ to (1.5), one can extract a sub-sequence (still labeled $\{(w_N, \rho_N, q_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} w_N &\rightarrow w \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3) \text{ and weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3), \\ \text{strongly in } L^p(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3), \forall 1 \leq p < +\infty, \end{cases} \\ \rho_N &\rightarrow \rho \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \text{ and weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)), \\ \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \end{cases} \\ q_N &\rightarrow q \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^{5/3}(0, T; W^{2,5/3}(\mathbb{T}^3)), \end{aligned}$$

with (w, ρ, q) verifying the weak formulation for the system (1.2). Further, the following energy inequality holds true

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|Aw\|^2 + \|A\rho\|^2) + \nu \|\nabla Aw\|^2 \leq (A\rho e_3, Aw).$$

Plan of the paper In Section 2 we recall the notation, we introduce the used functional spaces and we summarize the main properties of the deconvolution operator D_N . Next, in Section 3, we prove the existence and uniqueness result for the problem (1.5) and some fundamental bounds for the related solutions. Finally, in Section 4, Theorem 1.1 is proved.

2. BASIC FACTS AND NOTATION

In this section we introduce the functional setting that we will use in the sequel, and we give the definition and the main properties of the deconvolution operator.

We denote by $x := (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ a generic point in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let be given $L \in \mathbb{R}_+^* := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$, and define $\Omega :=]0, L[^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. We put $\mathcal{T}_3 := 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3/L$ and \mathbb{T}^3 is the torus defined by $\mathbb{T}^3 := (\mathbb{R}^3/\mathcal{T}_3)$. We use the classical Lebesgue spaces $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p} = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{T}^3)$, with $H^k := W^{k,2}$, for $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$, in the periodic setting. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ -norm and the associated operator norms and we always impose the zero mean condition on the considered fields. In the sequel, we will use the same notation for scalar and vector-valued functions, since no ambiguity occurs. Moreover, dealing with divergence-free vector fields, we also define, for a general exponent $s \geq 0$, the following spaces

$$H_s := \left\{ v : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 : v \in (H^s)^3, \nabla \cdot v = 0, \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v dx = 0 \right\}.$$

If $0 \leq s \leq 1$, the condition $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ must be understood in the weak sense. Let X be a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. We will use the customary Bochner spaces $L^q(0, T; X)$, with norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^q(0, T; X)}$.

For $v \in H^s$, we can expand the fields as $v(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*} \widehat{v}_k e^{ik \cdot x}$, where $k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*$, and the Fourier coefficients are defined by $\widehat{v}_k = 1/|\mathbb{T}^3| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx$. The magnitude of k is given by $|k|^2 := (k_1)^2 + (k_2)^2 + (k_3)^2$. The H^s norms are defined by $\|v\|_s^2 := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*} |k|^{2s} |\widehat{v}_k|^2$, where $\|v\|_0^2 := \|v\|^2$. The inner products associated to these norms are $(w, v)_{H^s} := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*} |k|^{2s} \widehat{w}_k \cdot \overline{\widehat{v}_k}$, where $\overline{\widehat{v}_k}$ denotes the complex conjugate of \widehat{v}_k . To have real valued vector fields, we impose $\widehat{v}_{-k} = \overline{\widehat{v}_k}$ for any $k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*$ and for any field denoted by v . It can be shown (see e.g. [7]) that when s is an integer, $\|v\|_s^2 = \|\nabla^s v\|^2$ and also, for general $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(H^s)' = H^{-s}$. All these considerations can be adapted straightforwardly to the case of the spaces H_s . In particular, we denote $(H_s)'$ by H_{-s} .

We will denote by C generic constants, which may change from line to line, but which are independent of the diffusion coefficient ϵ , the deconvolution parameter N and of the solution of the equations we are considering.

Let us now briefly recall the properties of the Helmholtz filter. We also introduce some additional notation about the the operators involved in the definition of the considered deconvolution model. Let $\alpha > 0$ be a given fixed number and, for $w \in H_s$, $s \geq -1$, let us denote by $(\overline{w}, \pi) \in H_{s+2} \times H^{s+1}$, the unique solution of the following Stokes-like problem:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{w} - \alpha^2 \Delta \overline{w} + \nabla \pi &= w \text{ in } \mathbb{T}^3, \\ \nabla \cdot \overline{w} &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{T}^3, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \overline{w} dx &= 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \pi dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The velocity component of (\overline{w}, π) is denoted also by $\overline{w} = G_\alpha(w)$ and $A_1 := G_\alpha^{-1}$. Let us consider an element $w \in H_s$ and take its expansion in terms of Fourier series as $w = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*} \widehat{w}_k e^{ik \cdot x}$, so that inserting this expression in (2.1) and looking for (\overline{w}, π) , in terms of Fourier series, we get

$$(2.2) \quad \overline{w} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^2 |k|^2} \widehat{w}_k e^{ik \cdot x} = G_\alpha(w), \text{ and } \pi = 0.$$

For a scalar function χ we still denote by $\overline{\chi}$ the solution of the pure Helmholtz problem

$$(2.3) \quad -\alpha^2 \Delta \overline{\chi} + \overline{\chi} = \chi \text{ in } \mathbb{T}^3,$$

where $A_2 \overline{\chi} := -\alpha^2 \Delta \overline{\chi} + \overline{\chi}$. Further, taking $\chi \in H^s$ the expression of $\overline{\chi}$ in terms of Fourier series can be retrieved, formally, by (2.3) substituting χ in place of w .

In what follows, in order to keep the notation compact, we use the same symbol A for the operators A_1 and A_2 , distinguishing the two situations only when it is required by the context. According to the above facts, the deconvolution operator D_N in (1.3) is actually given by $D_N = \sum_{n=0}^N (I - A^{-1})^n$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, with A defined by (2.1), when it is acting on the elements of H_s and, by (2.3), in the case of the scalar functions in H^s .

Notice that., in the LES model (1.5) and in the filtered equations (1.2), the symbol “ $\overline{\quad}$ ” denotes the pure Helmholtz filter, applied component-wise to the various vector and tensor fields. Referring to the right-hand side of first equation in (1.2), since e_3 is a constant vector, then we have that $G_\alpha(\theta e_3) = \overline{\theta e_3} = \overline{\theta} e_3 = G_\alpha(\theta) e_3$

and $A(\overline{\theta e_3}) = A(\overline{\theta})e_3$ (where the meaning of A is understood in the sense stated above).

Also, for brevity, in the sequel we omit the explicit dependence of G_α on α , and we write G in place of G_α .

The deconvolution operator D_N is constructed thanks to the Van Cittert algorithm; the reader will find a complete description and analysis of the Van Cittert algorithm and its variants in [11]. Here, we only report the properties needed to describe the considered model. Let $\omega \in H_s$ (or $\omega \in H^s$), starting from the expression (2.2), we can write the deconvolution operator in terms of Fourier series by the formula

$$(2.4) \quad \widehat{D}_N(k) = \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\frac{\alpha^2 |k|^2}{1 + \alpha^2 |k|^2} \right)^n = (1 + \alpha^2 |k|^2) \varrho_{N,k}$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \varrho_{N,k} = 1 - \left(\frac{\alpha^2 |k|^2}{1 + \alpha^2 |k|^2} \right)^{N+1}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad D_N(\omega) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*} \widehat{D}_N(k) \widehat{\omega}_k e^{ik \cdot x}.$$

The basic properties satisfied by \widehat{D}_N are summarized in the next results

Lemma 2.1. *For each fixed $k \in \mathcal{T}_3$,*

$$(2.7) \quad \widehat{D}_N(k) \rightarrow 1 + \alpha^2 |k|^2 = \widehat{A}_k, \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty,$$

even if not uniformly in k .

This provides that $\{D_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to A , in some sense, when $N \rightarrow +\infty$. The meaning of this convergence is specified in the next lemma (see also [3, §2]).

Lemma 2.2. *For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator $D_N: H_s \rightarrow H_s$ is self-adjoint, it commutes with differentiation, and the following properties hold true:*

$$(2.8) \quad 1 \leq \widehat{D}_N(k) \leq N + 1, \forall k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \widehat{D}_N(k) \cong (N + 1) \frac{1 + \alpha^2 |k|^2}{\alpha^2 |k|^2} \text{ for large } |k|,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{|k| \rightarrow +\infty} \widehat{D}_N(k) = N + 1 \text{ for fixed } \alpha > 0,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \widehat{D}_N(k) \leq 1 + \alpha^2 |k|^2 = \widehat{A}_k, \forall k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*, \alpha > 0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \text{the map } \omega \mapsto D_N(\omega) \text{ is an isomorphism s.t. } \|D_N\|_{H_s} = O(N + 1), \forall s \geq 0,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} D_N(\omega) = A\omega \text{ in } H_s \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \omega \in H_{s+2}.$$

Also in this case Lemma 2.2 can be directly extended to the spaces H^s .

Finally, for the remainder of the paper we will always use the natural notation $G = A^{-1} = (I - \alpha^2 \Delta)^{-1}$.

3. THE APPROXIMATE PROBLEM

In this section we prove existence and uniqueness of suitable weak solutions to the system (1.5). For the remainder of this section the parameters N , α and ϵ are fixed, and we assume that $u_0 \in H_0$, $\theta_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, which gives $\overline{u_0} = G(u_0) \in H_2$ as well as $\overline{\theta_0} = G(\theta_0) \in H^2$. Let us recall the following definition

Definition 3.1 (Regular weak solution). *We say that a triple (w, ρ, q) is a “regular weak solution” to the system (1.5) if the three following conditions are satisfied:*

Regularity:

$$(3.1) \quad w \in L^2(0, T; H_2) \cap C([0, T]; H_1), \quad \rho \in L^2(0, T; H^2) \cap C([0, T]; H^1)$$

$$(3.2) \quad \partial_t w \in L^2(0, T; H_0), \quad \partial_t \rho \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$$

$$(3.3) \quad q \in L^2(0, T; H^1).$$

Initial data:

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|w(t, \cdot) - G(u_0)\|_1 = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\rho(t, \cdot) - G(\theta_0)\|_1 = 0,$$

Weak formulation: For all $(v, h) \in L^2(0, T; H_1) \times L^2(0, T; H^1)$

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t w \cdot v - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(w) \otimes D_N(w)) : \nabla v \\ + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla w : \nabla v + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla q \cdot v = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho e_3 \cdot v,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t \rho \cdot h - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(\rho) D_N(w)) \cdot \nabla h + \epsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla h = 0.$$

Notice that, to keep the notation coincide, we suppressed all dx and dt from the above space-time integrals. For the remainder of the paper we always use this convention.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $u_0 \in H_0$ and that $\theta_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, with $\alpha > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ given. Then, problem (1.5) has a unique regular weak solution (w, ρ, q) . Moreover, this solution satisfies the following energy equality*

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)\|^2) + \nu \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)\|^2 \\ + \epsilon \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)\|^2 = (A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)).$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We follow the main lines in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.1] by using the Galerkin method to approximate a regular weak solution to the problem (1.5) with finite dimensional velocities and densities. We now proceed with the following steps.

Step 1: *Construction of the approximations for velocity and density.* Since the construction of the approximate solutions is very classical, we will only sketch it

(for more details see, e.g., [10, 12]). Let be given $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} V^m &= \left\{ w \in H^1 : \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} w(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx = 0, \forall k \text{ with } |k| > m \right\}, \\ \mathbf{V}_m &= \left\{ \mathbf{w} \in H_1 : \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \mathbf{w}(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx = 0, \forall k \text{ with } |k| > m \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\{E_j\}_{j=1, \dots, d_m}$ and $\{\mathbf{E}_j\}_{j=1, \dots, \delta_m}$ be orthogonal bases of V^m and \mathbf{V}_m respectively. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the E_j 's are eigen-functions of the operator $I - \alpha^2 \Delta$ introduced in (2.3) as well as the \mathbf{E}_j 's are eigen-functions of the Stokes-like operator associated to (2.1). Further, the E_j 's and \mathbf{E}_j 's are supposed to have unitary norm. We denote by P_m the orthogonal projection from H^1 onto V^m and, similarly, by \mathbf{P}_m the the orthogonal projection from H_1 onto \mathbf{V}_m .

For every positive integer m , we look for an approximate solution of problem (1.5) of the form

$$\rho_m(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \rho_{m,j}(t) E_j(x) \quad \text{and} \quad w_m(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\delta_m} w_{m,j}(t) \mathbf{E}_j(x).$$

Thanks to the Cauchy-Lipschitz Theorem, we can prove the existence of a unique C^1 maximal solution $(w_m(t), \rho_m(t)) \in \mathbf{V}_m \times V^m$ for all $t \in [0, T_m)$ where $T_m > 0$ is the maximal existence time, to the system

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t w_m \cdot v - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(w_m) \otimes D_N(w_m)) : \nabla v \\ + \nu \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla w_m : \nabla v = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho_m e_3 \cdot v, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t \rho_m \cdot h - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(\rho_m) D_N(w_m)) \cdot \nabla h + \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla \rho_m \cdot \nabla h = 0,$$

for all $(v, h) \in L^2(0, T_m; \mathbf{V}_m) \times L^2(0, T_m; V^m)$. As we will see in the sequel, we can actually take $T_m = T$, and this concludes the construction of the approximate solutions $\{(w_m, \rho_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Step 2: Energy a priori estimates The natural and correct test functions in (3.8)-(3.9) to get a priori estimates are $(AD_N(w_m), AD_N(\rho_m))$. Since A is self-adjoint and commute with the differential operators, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(w_m) \otimes D_N(w_m)) : \nabla(AD_N(w_m)) dx &= 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(\rho_m) D_N(w_m)) \cdot \nabla(AD_N(\rho_m)) dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since A and D_N commute, the following identities hold true

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t w_m, AD_N(w_m)) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2, \\
(-\Delta w_m, AD_N(w_m)) &= \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2, \\
(\partial_t \rho_m, AD_N(\rho_m)) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2, \\
(-\Delta \rho_m, AD_N(\rho_m)) &= \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2, \\
(\rho_m e_3, AD_N(w_m)) &= (A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)) \\
&= (A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)),
\end{aligned}$$

where the meaning of the operators A and D_N , as previously discussed, depends on the type of their arguments.

Therefore, with usual computations, we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 \\ \hspace{15em} = (A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)), \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

which provide the following energy equality

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 \right) + \nu \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 \\ + \epsilon \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 = (A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)).$$

Again, from relations in (3.10), integrating by parts and using Poincaré's inequality together with Young's inequality, we get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 \\ \hspace{10em} \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_m(\overline{u_0})\|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2, \\ \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 + 2\epsilon \int_0^t \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_m(\overline{\theta_0})\|^2, \end{cases}$$

with $t \in [0, T_m)$. Recalling the properties of D_N , that P_m and \mathbf{P}_m and commute with A and D_N and that the operator $A^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has for symbol $0 \leq \varrho_{N,j}^{1/2} \leq 1$, we get

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_m(\overline{u_0})\| = \|\mathbb{P}_m A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{u_0})\| \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{u_0})\| = \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(u_0)\| \leq \|u_0\|,$$

as well as $\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_m(\overline{\theta_0})\| \leq \|\theta_0\|$. Hence, adding the two inequalities in (3.12) we obtain that, for $t \in [0, T_m)$

$$(3.13) \quad \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)\|^2 \\ + 2\epsilon \int_0^t \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)\|^2 \leq \|u_0\|^2 + (1 + \frac{t}{\nu}) \|\theta_0\|^2.$$

Exploiting the fact that E_j 's and \mathbf{E}_j 's are eigen-functions for both A and D_N and hence also for $A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and recalling (2.4), then we also have that

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \varrho_{N,j} w_{m,j}^2(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\delta_m} \varrho_{N,j} \rho_{m,j}^2(t) \leq C(\|u_0\|^2 + (1 + \frac{t}{\nu})\|\theta_0\|^2).$$

In particular, from (3.13) and (3.14), we infer that the maximal solution (w_m, ρ_m) of (3.8)-(3.9) is global. Otherwise, if T_m is finite, then the right-hand side of (3.13) will be finite as well and the approximate solution (w_m, ρ_m) (that can not blow-up in $[0, T_m]$ being bounded) would have a life span strictly larger than T_m , which is in contradiction with maximality. Therefore, we can take $T_m = T$ for any $T < +\infty$, and the approximate solutions are well-defined on $[0, +\infty)$.

Step 3: Further a priori estimates. We now provide other suitable bounds, for the terms w_m and ρ_m , that are summarized in the tables below. Let us consider the first table (3.15), the other one is organized in a similar way. In the first column we have labeled the estimates. The second specify the quantity that is bounded in the norm indicated in column third. Finally, fourth column states the order of magnitude of the norms in terms of the relevant parameters α , ϵ , m and N . Notice that $O(1) = O(1; m)$ means a uniform bound with respect to m . For instance, the meaning of ((3.15)-(a)) is that $A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(I; H_0) \cap L^2(I; H_1)$, where $I = [0, T]$, $T > 0$, with order $O(1; m)$. All the estimates, except ((3.15)-(g)) and ((3.16)-(g)), are also uniform with respect to the deconvolution parameter N .

Label	Variable	Bound	Order
(a)	$A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)$	$L^\infty(I; H_0) \cap L^2(I; H_1)$	$O(1)$
(b)	$D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)$	$L^\infty(I; H_0) \cap L^2(I; H_1)$	$O(1)$
(c)	$D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)$	$L^\infty(I; H_1) \cap L^2(I; H_2)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$
(d)	w_m	$L^\infty(I; H_0) \cap L^2(I; H_1)$	$O(1)$
(e)	w_m	$L^\infty(I; H_1) \cap L^2(I; H_2)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$
(f)	$D_N(w_m)$	$L^\infty(I; H_0) \cap L^2(I; H_1)$	$O(1)$
(g)	$D_N(w_m)$	$L^\infty(I; H_1) \cap L^2(I; H_2)$	$O(\frac{\sqrt{N+1}}{\alpha})$
(h)	$\partial_t w_m$	$L^2(I; H_0)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$

and

Label	Variable	Bound-A	Order-Bound-A	Bound-B	Order-Bound-B
(a)	$A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)$	$L^\infty(I; L^2)$	$O(1)$	$L^2(I; H^1)$	$O(\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1})$
(b)	$D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)$	$L^\infty(I; L^2)$	$O(1)$	$L^2(I; H^1)$	$O(\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1})$
(c)	$D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m)$	$L^\infty(I; H^1)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$	$L^2(I; H^2)$	$O((\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon})^{-1})$
(d)	ρ_m	$L^\infty(I; L^2)$	$O(1)$	$L^2(I; H^1)$	$O(\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1})$
(e)	ρ_m	$L^\infty(I; H^1)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$	$L^2(I; H^2)$	$O((\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon})^{-1})$
(f)	$D_N(\rho_m)$	$L^\infty(I; L^2)$	$O(1)$	$L^2(I; H^1)$	$O(\sqrt{\epsilon}^{-1})$
(g)	$D_N(\rho_m)$	$L^\infty(I; H^1)$	$O(\frac{\sqrt{N+1}}{\alpha})$	$L^2(I; H^2)$	$O(\frac{\sqrt{N+1}}{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}})$
(h)	$\partial_t \rho_m$	$L^2(I; L^2)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$		

- *Checking* ((3.15)-(a)) and ((3.16)-(a)): These bounds are a straightforward consequence of inequality (3.13). In particular, ((3.16)-(a)) means that $A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m) \in L^\infty(I, L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ and that $\sqrt{\epsilon}\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_m) \in L^2(I, L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ both with order $O(1)$.
- *Checking* ((3.15)-(b))-((3.15)-(c)) and ((3.16)-(b))-((3.16)-(c)): Let $v \in H_2$. Then, with obvious notations one has

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}}v\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{T}_3^*} (1 + \alpha^2|k|^2)|\widehat{v}_k|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \alpha^2\|\nabla v\|^2.$$

It suffices to apply this identity to $v = D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)$ and to $v = \partial_i D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_m)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, in (3.13) to get the claimed results. The same considerations can be used for ρ_m to prove ((3.16)-(b)) and ((3.16)-(c)).

- *Checking* ((3.15)-(d))-((3.15)-(e)) and ((3.16)-(d))-((3.16)-(e)): These bounds are consequence of ((3.15)-(b))-((3.15)-(c)) (respectively, (3.16)-(b))-((3.16)-(c))) combined with (2.8), which give

$$\|v\|_s \leq \|D_N(v)\|_s \leq (N+1)\|v\|_s,$$

for general v and for any $s \geq 0$. In particular, for the case of ((3.16)-(d)), we obtain that $\rho_m \in L^\infty(I, L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ and that $\sqrt{\epsilon}\nabla\rho_m \in L^2(I, L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ both with order $O(1)$. Similarly, for the case of ((3.16)-(e)), it follows that $\rho_m \in L^\infty(I, H^1)$ and that $\sqrt{\epsilon}\nabla\rho_m \in L^2(I, H^1)$ both with order $O(\alpha^{-1})$.

- *Checking* ((3.15)-(f)) and ((3.16)-(f)): The operator $A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has for symbol $(1 + \alpha^2|k|^2)\varrho_{N,k}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ while the one of D_N is $(1 + \alpha^2|k|^2)\varrho_{N,k}$. Since $0 \leq \varrho_{N,k} \leq 1$, then $\|D_N(v)\|_s \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)\|_s$, for general v and for any $s \geq 0$. Hence, the bounds follow as a consequence of ((3.15)-(a)) and ((3.16)-(a)).

- *Checking* ((3.15)-(g)) and ((3.16)-(g)): These relations follow directly from ((3.15)-(e)) (respectively, ((3.16)-(e))) used together with (2.8).

- *Checking* ((3.15)-(h)) and ((3.16)-(h)): Using $\partial_t w_m \in \mathbf{V}_m$ and $\partial_t \rho_m \in V^m$ as test functions in the equations (3.8) and (3.9) respectively, we get

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} \|\partial_t w_m\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} A_{N,m} \cdot \partial_t w_m + \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w_m\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho_m e_3 \cdot \partial_t w_m, \\ \|\partial_t \rho_m\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} B_{N,m} \cdot \partial_t \rho_m + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho_m\|^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{N,m} &:= G(\nabla \cdot [D_N(w_m) \otimes D_N(w_m)]), \\ B_{N,m} &:= G(\nabla \cdot [D_N(\rho_m)D_N(w_m)]). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the time derivatives, we need to bound the the bi-linear terms $A_{N,m}$ and $B_{N,m}$. The former can be treated as done in [3]. In fact, observing that $D_N(w_m) \in L^4(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ with order $O(1)$, we obtain that $D_N(w_m) \otimes D_N(w_m) \in L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3)^9)$ with order $O(1)$. Further, we also have that $D_N(\rho_m)D_N(w_m) \in L^2(0, T; L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ with order $O(1)$. Indeed, by applying Hölder's inequality and the

embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |D_N(\rho_m)|^{\frac{3}{2}} |D_N(w_m)|^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]^{\frac{4}{3}} &\leq \int_0^T \|D_N(\rho_m)\|^2 \|D_N(w_m)\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \\ &\leq \|D_N(\rho_m)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))}^2 \|D_N(w_m)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows recalling ((3.15)-(d)) and ((3.16)-(f)).

Since the operator $(\nabla \cdot) \circ G$ has symbol corresponding to the inverse of one space derivative, and its norm is of order $O(\alpha^{-1})$, it follows that $A_{N,m} \in L^2(0, T; W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ as well as $B_{N,m} \in L^2(0, T; W^{1, \frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3))$ both with order $O(\alpha^{-1})$. Moreover, these bound yield $A_{N,m} \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)^3$ as well as $B_{N,m} \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$ since $W^{1, 3/2}(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and the space $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ is isomorphic to $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$. Thus, from (3.17), with standard calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t w_m\|^2 + \|\partial_t \rho_m\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w_m\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho_m\|^2 \\ \leq \|\rho_m\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t w_m\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |A_{N,m} \cdot \partial_t w_m| + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |B_{N,m} \cdot \partial_t \rho_m| \\ \leq \|\rho_m\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t w_m\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_t \rho_m\|^2 + \|A_{N,m}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|B_{N,m}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t w_m\|^2 + \|\partial_t \rho_m\|^2 + \nu \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla w_m\|^2 + \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \rho_m\|^2 \\ \leq 2\|\rho_m\|^2 + 2\|A_{N,m}\|^2 + \|B_{N,m}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|\nabla w_m(0)\| = \|\nabla \mathbf{P}_m(\bar{u}_0)\| = \|\mathbf{P}_m \nabla(\bar{u}_0)\| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|u_0\|$ as well as $\|\nabla \rho_m(0)\| = \|\mathbf{P}_m \nabla(\bar{\theta}_0)\| \leq C\alpha^{-1} \|\theta_0\|$, then recalling ((3.15)-(e)) and ((3.16)-(e)), the bounds in ((3.15)-(h)) and ((3.16)-(h)) follow easily.

Step 4: *Taking the limit in the equations for $m \rightarrow +\infty$, when N and ϵ are fixed.* Thanks to the bounds in (3.15), we can extract from $\{(w_m, \rho_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sub-sequence (still denoted $\{(w_m, \rho_m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$) which converge to (w, ρ) such that $w \in L^\infty(0, T; H_1) \cap L^2(0, T; H_2)$ and $\rho \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2)$. Using Aubin-Lions theorem, by ((3.15)-(d)) and ((3.15)-(h)), we get

$$(3.18) \quad w_m \rightarrow w \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H_2), \\ \text{strongly in } L^p(0, T; H_1), \forall p \in [1, \infty[, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.19) \quad \rho_m \rightarrow \rho \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^2), \\ \text{strongly in } L^p(0, T; H^1), \forall p \in [1, \infty[, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.20) \quad \partial_t w_m \rightarrow \partial_t w \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H_0),$$

$$(3.21) \quad \partial_t \rho_m \rightarrow \partial_t \rho \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)).$$

As a direct consequence of these convergences types, it follows that (w, ρ) satisfies (3.1)-(3.2). From (3.18)-(3.19) and the continuity of D_N in H^s and H_s , we have that $D_N(w_m)$ and $D_N(\rho_m)$ are strongly convergent, respectively, to $D_N(w)$ and $D_N(\rho)$ in $L^4([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$. Hence, the corresponding bi-linear terms $A_{N,m}$ and $B_{N,m}$ converge strongly, respectively, to $D_N(w) \otimes D_N(w)$ and $D_N(\rho) D_N(w)$ in $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$. This is sufficient to pass to the limit in the weak formulation

(3.8)-(3.9) (see [3]) and to get that: For all $(v, h) \in L^2(0, T; H_1) \times L^2(0, T; H^1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t w \cdot v - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(w) \otimes D_N(w)) : \nabla v \\ & \quad + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla w : \nabla v + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla q \cdot v = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho e_3 \cdot v, \\ & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t \rho \cdot h - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(D_N(\rho) D_N(w)) \cdot \nabla h + \epsilon \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla h = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to recover the pressure, we take the divergence of the equation for w in (1.5), to get

$$(3.22) \quad \Delta q = \nabla \cdot (\rho e_3 + A_N),$$

where

$$A_N := G(\nabla \cdot [D_N(w) \otimes D_N(w)]).$$

Moreover, $\rho \in L^2(0, T; H^1)$ (and much more) and, due to the regularity of w , we have that $A_N \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)^3$ as well as $\Delta w \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)^3$. Then, the elements $v \in L^2(0, T; H_0)$ are admissible test fields for the weak formulation for w , given by (3.5), that we rewrite as follows: For all $v \in L^2(0, T; H_0)$, it holds true that

$$(3.23) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\partial_t w + A_N - \nu \Delta w - \rho e_3) \cdot v dx ds = 0,$$

and in particular the vector field in parentheses is orthogonal to divergence-free vector fields in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$. Hence, using (3.22) together with (3.23) and the regularity of A_N , by De Rham's Theorem one retrieves the pressure field $q \in L^2(0, T; H^1)$.

Lastly, we have that the energy inequality (3.7) holds true. Indeed, due to the obtained regularity for (w, ρ, q) , we can use $(AD_N(w), AD_N(\rho))$ as a test in the weak formulation (3.5)-(3.6). Therefore, it follows easily that $(A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w), A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho))$ satisfies (3.7).

Step 5: Uniqueness. Let (w_1, ρ_1, q_1) and (w_2, ρ_2, q_2) be two solutions of (1.5) and consider the differences $W := w_1 - w_2$ and $\Gamma := \rho_1 - \rho_2$. We will use $AD_N(W)$ and $AD_N(\Gamma)$ as test functions for the equations satisfied by W and Γ respectively. Taking the inner product of the equation for W against $AD_N(W)$ and integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \nu \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla D_N(w_1)| |D_N(W)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)| |A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)| \\ & \leq \|D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla D_N(w_1)\| + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\|^2 \\ & \leq \|D_N(W)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla D_N(W)\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla D_N(w_1)\| + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking the product of the equation for Γ against $AD_N(\Gamma)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\|^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\|^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |D_N(W)| |\nabla D_N(\rho_1)| |D_N(\Gamma)| \\ & \leq \|D_N(\Gamma)\|_{L^4} \|D_N(W)\|_{L^4} \|\nabla D_N(\rho_1)\| \\ & \leq \|D_N(\Gamma)\|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla D_N(\Gamma)\|^{\frac{3}{4}} \|D_N(W)\|^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla D_N(W)\|^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla D_N(\rho_1)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, adding the two above inequalities, and recalling that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_N(w)\| & \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)\|, \quad \|\nabla D_N(w)\| \leq \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w)\|, \\ \|D_N(\rho)\| & \leq \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)\|, \quad \|\nabla D_N(\rho)\| \leq \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho)\|, \end{aligned}$$

that D_N and ∇ commute and that $\|D_N\| = (N+1)$, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\|^2 \right) + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\|^2 \\ & \leq C(N+1)^4 \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla w_1\|^4 \right) \left[\frac{1}{\nu^3} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\|^2 \\ & \quad + C(N+1)^4 \left(\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \rho_1\|^4 \right) \frac{1}{\nu^{3/2} \epsilon^{3/2}} \left[\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} W\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\|^2 \right) \leq M \left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(W)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)\|^2 \right),$$

where $M = M(N, \nu^{-1}, \epsilon^{-1}, \|\rho_1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)}, \|w_1\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^1)})$. Therefore, the conclusion follows by using the Gronwall's inequality and recalling that $W(0) = 0$ as well as $\Gamma(0) = 0$. \square

4. CONVERGENCE TO THE SOLUTIONS OF (1.2) WHEN $N \rightarrow +\infty$

As a result of the previous section, we have a disposal a sequence of solutions $\{(w_N, \rho_N, q_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ to (1.5) that actually depends on N , α and ϵ . Here, we prove our main result, Theorem 1.1, which states that, taking ϵ such that $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, then the sequence $\{(w_N, \rho_N, q_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is compact, in a suitable sense, and converges to a solution of the mean Boussinesq problem (1.2), as $N \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will show that the weak formulation (3.5)-(3.6) converges to the weak formulation of (1.2) as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, and that the limiting functions satisfy the claimed properties. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1: Estimates uniform in N . To get compactness properties about the sequences $\{(w_N, \rho_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{(D_N(w_N), D_N(\rho_N))\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$, we will provide some additional bounds. Using the same notation of the previous section, we quote in the following tables the estimates that we will use for passing to the limit, as

$N \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $I = [0, T]$, $T > 0$, we have

Label	Variable	Bound	Order
(a)	w_N	$L^\infty(I; H_0) \cap L^2(I; H_1)$	$O(1)$
(b)	w_N	$L^\infty(I; H_1) \cap L^2(I; H_2)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$
(c)	$D_N(w_N)$	$L^\infty(I; H_0) \cap L^2(I; H_1)$	$O(1)$
(d)	$\partial_t w_N$	$L^2(I; H_0)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$
(e)	$\partial_t D_N(w_N)$	$L^{4/3}(I; H_{-1})$	$O(1)$
(f)	q_N	$L^2(I; H^1) \cap L^{5/3}(I; W^{2,5/3})$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$

and

Label	Variable	Bound	Order
(a)	ρ_N	$L^\infty(I; L^2)$	$O(1)$
(b)	ρ_N	$L^\infty(I; H^1)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$
(c)	$D_N(\rho_N)$	$L^\infty(I; L^2)$	$O(1)$
(d)	$\partial_t \rho_N$	$L^2(I; L^2)$	$O(\alpha^{-1})$
(e)	$\partial_t D_N(\rho_N)$	$L^2(I; H^{-2})$	$O(1)$

• *Checking* ((4.1)-(a))-((4.1)-(d)) and ((4.2)-(a))-((4.2)-(d)): These bounds are direct consequences of those in ((3.15)-(a))-((3.15)-(d)) and (3.16)-(b))-((3.16)-(d)), respectively.

• *Checking* ((4.1)-(e)) and ((4.2)-(e)): Let be given $v \in L^4(0, T; H_1)$ and $h \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$. We use $D_N(v)$ and $D_N(h)$ as test functions. Since D_N commute with differential operators, G and D_N are self-adjoint, then classical integrations by parts give

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\partial_t w_N, D_N(v)) &= (\partial_t D_N(w_N), v) \\
 &= \nu(\Delta w_N, D_N(v)) + (D_N(w_N) \otimes D_N(w_N), GD_N(\nabla v)) \\
 &\quad + (D_N(\rho_N)e_3, v),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\partial_t \rho_N, D_N(h)) &= (\partial_t D_N(\rho_N), h) \\
 &= \epsilon(\Delta \rho_N, D_N(h)) + (D_N(\rho_N)D_N(w_N), GD_N(\nabla h)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.4}$$

Let us consider (4.3). Using the duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between H^1 and H^{-1} and standard estimates we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(\Delta w_N, D_N(v))| &= |(\nabla D_N(w_N), \nabla v)| \leq C_1(t)\|v\|_1, \\
 |(D_N(\rho_N)e_3, v)| &= |\langle D_N(\rho_N)e_3, v \rangle| \leq \|D_N(\rho_N)\|\|v\|_1 \leq C_2(t)\|v\|_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, the $L^2(0, T; H_1)$ -bound for $D_N(w_N)$ together with the $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ -bound for $D_N(\rho_N)$ imply that $C_1, C_2 \in L^2(0, T)$ with order $O(1)$. Again, from ((4.1)-(c)) and usual interpolation inequalities, we obtain that $D_N(w_N)$ belongs to $L^{8/3}(0, T; L^4(\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$, which yields

$$D_N(w_N) \otimes D_N(w_N) \in L^{4/3}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)^9).$$

Hence, using the this bound together with $\|GD_N(\nabla v)\| \leq \|\nabla v\|$, we get

$$|(D_N(w_N) \otimes D_N(w_N), GD_N(\nabla v))| \leq C_3(t)\|v\|_1,$$

where $C_3 \in L^{4/3}(0, T)$, and these estimates are uniform in $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, using all the above bounds we get, uniformly in N ,

$$|(\partial_t D_N(w_N), v)| \leq (\nu C_1(t) + C_2(t) + C_3(t)) \|v\|_1,$$

with $(\nu C_1 + C_2 + C_3) \in L^{4/3}(0, T)$. This proves ((4.1)-(e)).

Now, take into account (4.4). For $h \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$, we have that

$$|(\Delta \rho_N, D_N(h))| = |(D_N(\rho_N), \Delta v)| \leq \|D_N(\rho_N)\| \|h\|_2 \leq C_4(t) \|h\|_2,$$

where $C_4 \in L^2(0, T)$ with order $O(1)$. Since $D_N(\rho_N)D_N(w_N) \in L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$ and $W^{2,2} \hookrightarrow W^{1,3}$, we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} |(D_N G((\rho_N)D_N(w_N)), \nabla h)| &= |\langle D_N G(D_N(\rho_N)D_N(w_N)), \nabla h \rangle| \\ &\leq \|D_N G(D_N(\rho_N)D_N(w_N))\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla h\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|D_N(\rho_N)D_N(w_N)\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla h\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ &\leq C \|D_N(\rho_N)\| \|D_N(w_N)\|_{H^1} \|h\|_{H^2} \\ &\leq C_5(t) \|h\|_{H^2}, \end{aligned}$$

with $C_5 \in L^2(0, T)$ with order $O(1)$. Whence

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial_t D_N(\rho_N), h)| &\leq (\epsilon C_4(t) + C_5(t)) \|h\|_{H^2} \\ &\leq (\sqrt{\epsilon} C_4(t) + C_5(t)) \|h\|_{H^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used that $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Here $(\sqrt{\epsilon} C_4 + C_5) \in L^2(0, T)$, hence ((4.2)-(e)) follows.

• *Checking* ((4.1)-(f)): To obtain further regularity properties of the pressure we use again the bounds listed in (3.15). Let $A_N = G(\nabla \cdot [D_N(w_N) \otimes D_N(w_N)])$ be the bi-linear form introduced in the proof of Theorem 3.1. From the estimates proved in the previous section we have that $\rho_N \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1)$ and that $A_N \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)^3$. This gives the first bound in $L^2(0, T; H^1)$ for q_N . Moreover, classical interpolation inequalities used together with ((4.1)-(c)) yield $D_N(w_N) \in L^{10/3}([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)^3$. Therefore, $A_N \in L^{5/3}(0, T; W^{1,5/3}(\mathbb{T}^3)^3)$. Consequently, we have that q_N is bounded in $L^2(0, T; H^1) \cap L^{5/3}(0, T; W^{2,5/3}(\mathbb{T}^3))$ with order $O(\alpha^{-1})$.

Step 2: Compact sub-sequence. Thanks to the uniform estimates established in (4.1) and (4.2), one can use the Aubin-Lions compactness theorem (see, e.g., [12]) that provides the existence of a sub-sequence of $\{(w_N, \rho_N, q_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ (still denoted $\{(w_N, \rho_N, q_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$) and functions w, ρ, q, z and γ , with $\nabla \cdot w = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot z = 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} w, z &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_1) \cap L^2(0, T; H_2), \\ \rho, \gamma &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \\ q &\in L^2(0, T; H^1) \cap L^{5/3}(0, T; W^{2,5/3}(\mathbb{T}^3)), \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
w_N &\rightarrow w \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H_2) \text{ and weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H_1), \\ \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; H_1), \end{cases} \\
\rho_N &\rightarrow \rho \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^1) \text{ and weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1), \\ \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \end{cases} \\
\partial_t w_N &\rightarrow \partial_t w \text{ weakly in } L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3), \\
\partial_t \rho_N &\rightarrow \partial_t \rho \text{ weakly in } L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3), \\
D_N(w_N) &\rightarrow z \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H_1) \text{ and weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0), \\ \text{strongly in } L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3), \forall p \in [1, 10/3[, \end{cases} \\
D_N(\rho_N) &\rightarrow \gamma \quad \begin{cases} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \text{ and weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \\ \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}), \end{cases} \\
\partial_t D_N(w_N) &\rightarrow \partial_t z \text{ weakly in } L^{4/3}(0, T; H_{-1}), \\
\partial_t D_N(\rho_N) &\rightarrow \partial_t \gamma \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

By exploiting the same identification of the limit used in [3], one can check that $z = Aw$. Moreover, using the notation (\cdot, \cdot) for the scalar product in $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)$, we have that

$$(D_N(\rho_N), h) = (\rho_N, D_N(h)) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} (\rho, Ah) \text{ for all } h \in L^2(0, T; H^2),$$

and since $D_N(\rho_N) \rightarrow \gamma$ weakly in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ it follows that $(\gamma, h) = (\rho, Ah)$ and hence $\gamma = A\rho$, in the distributional sense. Again, by the above convergences types and the interpolation theorem, we also have that $w \in C([0, T]; H_1)$ and $\rho \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$.

Step 3: *Taking the limit in the system.* In order to pass to the limit in the weak formulation (3.5)-(3.6) we use the compactness properties satisfied by $\{D_N(w_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{D_N(\rho_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let us focus on the nonlinear terms. The convergence results from Step 2 imply that

$$D_N(w_N) \otimes D_N(w_N) \rightarrow Aw \otimes Aw \text{ strongly in } L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^3)^9, \forall p \in [1, 5/3[,$$

and that

$$D_N(\rho_N)D_N(w_N) \rightarrow A\rho Aw \text{ in the sense of distribution.}$$

We actually prove that the latter convergence is stronger: For $h \in L^2(0, T; H^1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^T \left(G(D_N(\rho_N)D_N(w_N) - A\rho Aw), \nabla h \right) \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_0^T \left(D_N(\rho_N)(D_N(w_N) - Aw), \nabla G(h) \right) \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_0^T \left((D_N(\rho_N) - A\rho), Aw \cdot \nabla G(h) \right) \right| \\
&= I_1^N + I_2^N.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, $I_1^N \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, since $D_N(w_N) \rightarrow Aw$ strongly in $L^2(0, T; H_0)$ and $D_N(\rho_N)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$. Let us also prove that $I_2^N \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$.

First, observe that $Aw \cdot \nabla G(h) \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$. Indeed, recalling that the operator $(\nabla \cdot) \circ G$ makes to “gain one derivative”, we have that $\nabla G(h) \in L^2(0, T; H^2)$, then using the Hölder’s inequality and the embedding $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{T}^3) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |Aw|^2 |\nabla G(h)|^2 &\leq \int_0^T \|Aw\|^2 \|\nabla G(h)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \\ &\leq C \int_0^T \|Aw\|^2 \|\nabla G(h)\|_{H^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \|Aw\|_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))}^2 \|h\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, thanks to the weak convergence of $D_N(\rho_N) \rightarrow A\rho$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ we have that $I_2^N \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since all the other terms in the weak equation pass easily to the limit in (3.5) and (3.6), as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, we conclude the following: For all $(v, h) \in L^2(0, T; H_1) \times L^2(0, T; H^1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t w \cdot v - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(A(w) \otimes A(w)) : \nabla v \\ + \nu \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla w : \nabla v + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla q \cdot v = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho e_3 \cdot v, \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_t \rho \cdot h - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} G(A(\rho)A(w)) \cdot \nabla h = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is the weak formulation for (1.2). Hence, the conclusion follows. \square

Finally, recasting the argument used in the proof of [3, Proposition 4.1], one proves the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $u_0 \in H_0$ and $\theta_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$. Let (w, ρ, q) the weak regular solution to (1.2) given by in Theorem 1.1 and let $u = Aw$ and $\theta = A\rho$. Then, it holds true that*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|^2 + \|\theta\|^2) + \nu \|\nabla u\|^2 \leq (\theta e_3, u).$$

Proof. Consider the energy equality (3.7) for the approximate model (1.5). The computations made in the proof of Theorem 3.1, together with the analysis performed in Theorem 1.1, show also that

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) &\rightarrow A^{\frac{1}{2}}w & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H_1) \text{ and} \\ A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) \rightarrow Aw & \text{weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0), \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) &\rightarrow A^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \text{ and} \\ A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) \rightarrow A\rho & \text{weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we also have that $\partial_t w_N \in L^{4/3}(0, T; H_{-1})$. In fact, taking $v \in L^4(0, T; H_1)$ and using $A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)$ as test function, we reach

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t w_N, A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)) &= (\partial_t A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N), v) \\ &= \nu (\Delta w_N, A^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(v)) - (D_N(w_N) \otimes D_N(w_N), GA^{\frac{1}{2}}D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\nabla v)) \\ &\quad + (D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N)e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}}v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using standard estimates we get

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\Delta w_N, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(v))| &= |(\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N), \nabla v)| \leq C_1(t) \|v\|_1, \\ |(D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} v)| &\leq \|D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N)\| \|v\|_1 \leq C_2(t) \|v\|_1, \\ |(D_N(w_N) \otimes D_N(w_N), G A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\nabla v))| &\leq C_3(t) \|v\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1, C_2 \in L^2(0, T)$ and $C_3 \in L^{4/3}(0, T)$, and these estimates are uniform in $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, using (4.7) we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad |(\partial_t A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N), v)| \leq (\nu C_1(t) + C_2(t) + C_3(t)) \|v\|_1,$$

with $(\nu C_1 + C_2 + C_3) \in L^{4/3}(0, T)$. By appealing to the Aubin-Lions theorem, thanks to (4.5) and (4.8), we also have

$$(4.9) \quad A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) \rightarrow Aw \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; H_0).$$

Now, as a consequence of (4.6) and (4.9) we get, for $t \in [0, T]$

$$(4.10) \quad \int_0^t \left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) \right) ds \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t (A \rho e_3, Aw) ds.$$

In fact, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^t \left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) \right) ds - \int_0^t (A \rho e_3, Aw) ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t \left((A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) - A \rho) e_3, Aw \right) ds \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_0^t \left((A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) - Aw) \right) ds \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_0^t \left((A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) - A \rho) e_3, Aw \right) ds \right| \\ & \quad + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N)\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2)}^2 \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) - Aw\|_{L^2(0, T; H_0)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N)\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))$ and $Aw \in L^2(0, T; H_0)$, then (4.6) and (4.9) allow us to pass to the limit in the above estimate as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, and this proves (4.10).

Recalling that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that $w_N(0) = G(u_0) = \bar{u}_0 \in H_2$ and that $\rho_N(0) = G(\theta_0) = \bar{\theta}_0 \in H^2$, then taking the limit as $N \rightarrow +\infty$ in the right-hand side of (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N)(0)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N)(0)\|^2 \right) + \int_0^t \left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N) e_3, A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N) \right) ds \\ & \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2} (\|Aw(0)\|^2 + \|A \rho(0)\|^2) + \int_0^t (A \rho e_3, Aw) ds, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N)(t)\|^2 + \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\rho_N)(t)\|^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \liminf_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\nu \int_0^T \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_N)(s)\|^2 ds + \epsilon \int_0^T \|\nabla A^{\frac{1}{2}} D_N^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_N(s)\|^2 ds \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|Aw(0)\|^2 + \|A\rho(0)\|^2) + \int_0^t (A\rho e_3, Aw) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By lower semicontinuity of the norm and identification of the weak limit, we get the thesis. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. A. Adams, S. Stolz, *Deconvolution methods for subgrid-scale approximation in large eddy simulation*, in: *Modern Simulation Strategies for Turbulent Flow*, R.T. Edwards, 2001.
- [2] L. C. Berselli, T. Iliescu, W. J. Layton, *Mathematics of Large Eddy Simulation of Turbulent Flows*, Scientific Computation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [3] L. C. Berselli, R. Lewandowski, *Convergence of approximate deconvolution models to the mean Navier-Stokes equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 29 (2012) 171-198.
- [4] L. C. Berselli, D. Catania R. Lewandowski, *Convergence of approximate deconvolution models to the mean magnetohydrodynamics equations: Analysis of two models* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013) 864-880.
- [5] L. C. Berselli, S. Spirito, *On the Boussinesq system: regularity criteria and singular limits*, Methods Appl. Anal. 18 (2011) 391-416
- [6] T. Chacón-Rebollo, R. Lewandowski, *Mathematical and numerical foundations of turbulence models*, Birkhäuser, New-York, 2013 (in press).
- [7] C. R. Doering, J. D. Gibbon, *Applied analysis of the NavierStokes equations*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [8] J. Fan, Y. Zhou. *A note on regularity criterion for the 3D Boussinesq system with partial viscosity*. Appl. Math. Lett. 22 (2009), 802-805.
- [9] J. Fan, Y. Zhou, *On the Cauchy problems for certain Boussinesq- α equations* Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics, Vol. 140, Issue 02 April 2010, pp 319-327
- [10] B. Guo, *Nonlinear Galerkin methods for solving two dimensional Newton-Boussinesq equations*, Chin. Ann. Math., Ser. B 16 (1995), no. 3, 379-390.
- [11] R. Lewandowski, *On a continuous deconvolution equation for turbulence models*, Lecture Notes of Nečas Center for Mathematical Modeling 5 (2009) 62-102
- [12] J.-L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [13] A. Majda, *Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 9, AMS/CIMS, 2003.
- [14] J. C. McWilliams *Fundamentals of Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences University of California, Los Angeles, 2006
- [15] R. Salmon, *Lectures on geophysical fluid dynamics*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [16] P. Sagaut, *Large Eddy Simulation for Incompressible Flows*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [17] R. Selmi *Global Well-Posedness and Convergence Results for the 3D-Regularized Boussinesq System* Canad. J. Math. Vol. 64 (6), 2012 pp. 1415-1435
- [18] S. Stolz, N. A. Adams, *An approximate deconvolution procedure for large-eddy simulation*, Phys. Fluids 11 (7) (1999) 1699-1701.
- [19] S. Stolz, N. A. Adams, L. Kleiser, *An approximate deconvolution model for large-eddy simulation with application to incompressible wall-bounded flows*, Phys. Fluids 13 (4) (2001) 997-1015.

(LUCA BISCONTI) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA "U. DINI," UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI DI FIRENZE, VIA S. MARTA 3, I-50139, FIRENZE, ITALIA
E-mail address: `luca.bisconti@unifi.it`