



FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

In-situ synchrotron X-ray tomography of fluid injection experiments

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

In-situ synchrotron X-ray tomography of fluid injection experiments / Chandler, {Michael}; Fauchille, {Anne-Laure}; Ma, {Lin}; May, {Steven}; Lee, {Peter D.}; Bogush, {Anna}; Mecklenburgh, {Julian}; Rizzo, {Roberto Emanuele}; Dowey, {Patrick J.}; Atwood, {Robert C.}; Rutter, {Ernest H.}; Taylor, {Rochelle};. - ELETTRONICO. - (2019), pp. 0-0. (Intervento presentato al convegno AGU - Fall Meeting tenutosi a New Orleans nel 9-13 December 2019).

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1261266 since: 2022-03-17T16:09:28Z

Publisher: AGU

Terms of use: Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

Conformità alle politiche dell'editore / Compliance to publisher's policies

Questa versione della pubblicazione è conforme a quanto richiesto dalle politiche dell'editore in materia di copyright.

This version of the publication conforms to the publisher's copyright policies.

(Article begins on next page)

Abstract ID: MR41D-0073 https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019AGUFMMR41D0073C/abstract

In-situ synchrotron X-ray tomography of fluid injection experiments

Chandler, M.¹; Mecklenburgh, J.¹; Fauchille, A. L.²; Rizzo, R. E.³; Ma, L.¹; Dowey, P. J.¹; May, S.¹; Atwood, R. C.⁴; Lee, P. D.⁵; Rutter, E. H.¹; Bogush, A.⁶; Taylor, R.⁷

¹School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom; ²Department of Engineering, Ecole Centrale de Nantes, Nantes, France; ³University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom; ⁴Diamond Light Source, Didcot, United Kingdom; ⁵University College London, London, United Kingdom; ⁶Centre for Agroecology, Water and Resilience, Coventry University, Coventry, United Kingdom; ⁷Department of Geography, Edge Hill University, Liverpool, United Kingdom

A suite of laboratory-scale fluid injection experiments were conducted on 20mm diameter shale samples at elevated confining pressures between 20 and 50 MPa. Experiments were conducted on Bowland, Haynesville, Kimmeridge, Mancos and Whitby shales, as well as Solnhofen limestone. An Aluminium pressure vessel was specially developed to perform these fluid injection experiments with in-situ X-Ray Tomography on the 112 beamline at Diamond Light Source, UK. Tomographs with a voxel edge-length of 17µm were generated in this way and have been used to correlate fractures to the layering in the materials.

Here, the breakdown pressures of the various rock samples were seen to increase linearly with confining pressure as has been seen in previous studies, with little difference between sample materials. However, here, two distinct linear trends are interpreted, offset by around 10MPa. The tomographs demonstrate that the higher of these two trends corresponds to the development of new fractures, while the lower trend corresponds to reopening in samples featuring populations of existing fractures.

Tomographs were recorded throughout the application of the confining pressure, and subsequently, the injection of fluid into the central boreholes in the samples. These tomographic images have been used to investigate the closure of existing fractures during the application of the confining pressure, as well as the development of new fractures induced by the fluid pressure. In general, the primary fracture opened up parallel to the borehole, independent of the orientation to bedding. However, borehole-perpendicular primary fractures were observed in some of the more laminated shales, and secondary, bedding-parallel, fractures were induced in many samples with the borehole perpendicular to bedding.

Post-experiment SEM images of the regions around the main fractures demonstrate substantial differences in the characteristics of the damaged region around the main fracture body between materials. In Haynesville shale, the damaged zone appears to mostly contain reactivated microfractures lying parallel to bedding, while in the more homogenous Bowland shale, this small-scale damage has a wider range of orientations.