

ABSTRACT BOOK



CONTENTS

COMMITTEES	3
ORAL COMMUNICATIONS Monday, June 6th, 2022	4
Session 1 - Physiology and nutritional requirements Session 2 - Physiology and nutritional requirements 2 Session 3 - Selective breeding and larval nutrition	001 - 006 007 - 013 014 - 020
Tuesday, June 7th, 2022	
Session 4 - Alternative lipid sources Session 5 - Alternative protein sources	O21 - O26 O27 - O33
Session 6 - Alternative protein sources Session 6 - Alternative protein sources 2	034 - 040
SESSION O METERINATIVE PROTEIN SOURCES 2	
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 8TH, 2022	
Session 7 - Feed additives and functional feeds	041 - 048
Session 8 - Nutritional and feeding strategies	049 - 055
T	
Thursday, June 9th, 2022 Session 9 - Nutrition and Fish health	056 - 061
Session 10 - Nutrition and Fish health 2	062 - 068
Session 11 - Feed additives and functional feeds 2	069 - 075
Session 12 - Feed technology and product quality	076 - 080
POSTERS	60
Monday, June 6th, 2022	80
FEED ADDITIVES AND FUNCTIONAL FEEDS	M01 - M36 AND M80, M81, M82
FEED TECHNOLOGY AND INGREDIENTS	M37 - M43
Larval nutrition and feeding	M44 - M51
Physiology and nutritional requirements	M52 - M79
Tuesday, June 7th, 2022	
ALTERNATIVE LIPID SOURCES	T01 - T09
ALTERNATIVE PROTEIN SOURCES	T10 - T40 AND T79
Nutrition and fish health	T41 - T60 AND T78
Nutrition and product quality	T61 - T65
Nutrition and selective breeding	T66
Nutritional and feeding strategies	
FOR PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS	T67 - T73
Nutritional modelling and precision feeding	T74 - T77
INDEX OF AUTHORS	152
SPONSORS AND PARTNERS	157

COMMITTEES

LOCAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

- Alessio Bonaldo, University of Bologna (Chair)
- Luca Parma, University of Bologna
- Marco Candela, University of Bologna
- Emilio Tibaldi, University of Udine
- Francesca Tulli, University of Udine
- Gloriana Cardinaletti, University of Udine
- Marco Saroglia, University of Insubria
- Genciana Terova, University of Insubria
- Laura Gasco, University of Turin
- Giuliana Parisi, University of Florence
- Giovanni Piccolo, University of Naples Federico II
- Alessandra Roncarati, University of Camerino
- Concetta Messina, University of Palermo

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

- Dr Genevieve Corraze, Institut Nationale de Recherche Agrinomique, Francenstitut Nationale de Recherche Agrinomique, France
- Prof. Delbert Gatlin III, Texas Agricultural and Medicine University, United States of America
- Prof. Brett Glencross, Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, United Kingdom (Chair)
- Prof. Marisol Izquierdo, Grupo Investigaciones Aquacultura, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain
- Prof. Kangsen Mai, Ocean University of Qingdao, China
- Prof. Shuichi Satoh, Tokyo University of Fisheries, Japan
- Prof. Shi-Yen Shiau, Department of Food Science, National Taiwan Ocean Universitu. Taiwan
- Prof. Luisa Valente, CIIMAR, University of Porto, Portugal
- Dr Rune Waagbo, Institute of Marine Research, Norway
- Prof. Seunghyung Lee, Korea



T63 CONSUMERS APPRECIATE EUROPEAN SEABASS WHEN FED INNOVATIVE DIETS COMMITTED TO A CIRCULAR ECONOMY

L.F. Pulido Rodriguez ¹, L. Bruni ¹, G. Secci ¹, A.C. Lira De Medeiros ¹, G. Parisi ¹

¹Dipartimento di Scienze e tecnologie Agrarie, Alimentari, Ambientali e Forestali, Università degli Studi di Firenze, via delle cascine 5, Firenze

Poultry by-product meal (PM), defatted Hermetia illucens meal (HM), the invasive crayfish Procambarus clarkii meal (CM) and dried microalgae biomass (MA) are examples of nutritious and sustainable aquafeed ingredients1,2. Ten diets were tested on the European seabass Dicentrarchus labrax: a vegetable-based diet (CV); a fishmeal-based diet (CF); eight diets prepared by replacing 10, 20 or 40% of the plant proteins in CV with HM or PM singly or together (HP), with CM, or with MA. Firstly, fillet quality of fish and fillets were fully characterised, then, fish underwent a blind consumer test.

MA diet efficiently increased the yellowness index of fish skin (p<.05), similarly to what found in Sparus aurata2, while no effect on fillet colour emerged (p>.05). Dietary HM and PM increased fillet texture (52.8, 78.3, 91.9 and 80.0 N in CV, HM40, PM40 and HP; p<.01). Fillet fatty acid profiles reflected the dietary ones, in line with the literature3, except for docosahexaenoic acid, whose content did not vary in fillets belonging to different groups (5.8g/100g total fatty acid).

Consumers' liking for odour, flavour and texture was high in HM, PM, and HP fillets, as found in Salmo salar and Oncorhynchus mykiss fed HM or PM3,4. Besides, the highest intention of reconsumption was expressed for HP fish (89%), while the other groups ranged between 78 and 84%.

In conclusion, a possible functional effect of MA emerged, while HP seemed a feasible and promising combination for D. labrax nutrition. However, an insight into the metabolic mechanism behind these positive results is necessary for a comprehensive knowledge of fish qualitative aspects.

References:

- 1. Maiolo, S. et al. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 2020, 25, 1455–1471; doi.org/10.1007/s11367-020-01759-z.
- 2. Pulcini, D. et al. Animals 2020, 10, 02138; doi. org/10.3390/ani10112138.
- 3. Bruni, L. et al. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2020, 100, 1038–1047; doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10108.
- 4. Bruni, L. et al. Aquaculture 2021, 543, 736996; doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736996.

T64

IS IT SAFE TO CONSUME GSB AND ESB FROM AQUACULTURE? A MERCURY LEVEL ASSESSMENT.

<u>S. Magalhães ¹</u>, T. Aires ¹ ¹SORGAL, S.A.

Mercury (Hg), in the form of methylmercury, is one of the most concerning heavy metals in seafood, for its toxic properties and lack of biological function. It is released into the environment from natural and anthropogenic sources, the highest levels being found in fish of high trophic levels, as a result of a bioaccumulation process along the food chain. Aquaculture fish are mostly exposed to Hg through fish feed, with fish meals (FM) assuming here an important role. Fish trimmings can be riskier than FM from whole fish as they result mainly from viscera (where Hg mostly accumulates) of high trophic level species, whereas FM from whole fish is typically composed of low trophic level species. There is, thus, a tight regulation by the European Commission for Hg, displaying maximum values for the edible fish portion (0,5 mg/kg for most species), complete aquafeeds (0,2 mg/ kg) and feed materials (0,1 mg/kg, except for those deriving from fish processing – 0,5 mg/kg) (Commission Regulation No. 1881/2006 and No. 2019/1869).

aimed at This work understanding the relationship between fish formulations containing 20-25% of fish trimmings and the Hg levels in fish muscle, based on assessed Hg concentration for fish trimmings of 0,20-0,30 mg Hg/kg and for the complete feed of 0,10-0,15 mg Hg/kg. Fish from 14 and 10 groups of gilthead seabream and European seabass, respectively, with a known feed history, were collected from Iberian farms (cages and ponds), weighted and filleted. Composite samples were further analyzed for Hg (Merieux NutriSciences).

Results showed a positive and significant correlation between fish body weight and Hg concentration in the fillet (R2=0,56; p<0,05). A logarithmic response was observed, meaning a more pronounced accumulation of Hg in juvenile stages that tends to stabilize as fish grows, though on a level very significantly below the maximum legal value (~0,3 mg/kg).

Concluding, when it comes to Hg risk exposure, the collected data reinforces farmed bream and bass as safe for the final consumer. Moreover, the use of fish trimmings in aquafeeds, once well monitored for Hg levels, is encouraged, with these results further supporting its wider use, besides being an environmental and economically sustainable raw material.





ORGANIZING SECRETARIAT

VET INTERNATIONAL SRL Via Carlo Farini, 81 – 20159 Milano

Tel: +39 344 0886035

francesca.mazzucchelli@vetinternational.eu

Website: www.isfnf2022.org