

Edited by
OLA EL-AGUIZY, BURT KASPARIAN

ICE XII

Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress
of Egyptologists

3rd - 8th November 2019, Cairo, Egypt

II



INSTITUT FRANÇAIS D'ARCHÉOLOGIE ORIENTALE
MINISTRY OF TOURISM AND ANTIQUITIES

Ilaria Cariddi*

“A God Who Perceives What is in the Hearts”

On the New Kingdom Concept of Silent Prayer

IN THE *INCIPIT* of an influential paper, Pieter van der Horst thus summarizes the problems underlying the notion of “silent”, “inner” or “mental” prayer in the Mediterranean antiquity:

The ancients said their prayers out loud. There can be little doubt that throughout the ancient world, the common practice was to say prayers in way audible to other persons. The reason simply was (...) the anthropomorphic conception of the deities (...). No sound implied no hearing. (...) When silent prayer is mentioned, it is undeniably regarded as an anomaly.¹

Although the essay does not deal with Egyptian literature, the statement seems generally tenable for most Pharaonic sources. The vast majority of the recorded “appeals” or “prayers”² are framed as outspoken, and a long tradition of studies has been devoted to the “personal piety”³ notion of a “god who hears prayers”, with its strong focus on the vocal and aural components of the exchange.⁴ Several factors may have concurred to this prevalence: from the anthropomorphic/theriomorphic conception of most of the pantheon, to culturally specific phenomena, such as the confidence in the performative power of utterances, the divine origin of speech and writing, and the role of listening as both a cornerstone of social coexistence and a means to access the transcendent. The present contribution offers a brief overview to integrate such perspective: “*la prière personnelle se fait habituellement dans le silence*”,⁵ and several ancient Egyptian texts, in fact, explicitly mention inner prayers, not as deviations from the norm, or caused by impeding external factors, but being a conscious choice of the worshipper, met with the favour of the god.

The most renowned examples are found in the *corpus* of the Teachings. The virtue of “keeping silence” had prominently featured in the genre since the Teaching for Kagemni, mainly as a value of relational ethics, a culturally codified practice that ensured personal advantages and smooth functioning to

* Università degli Studi di Firenze (Italy).

1. VAN DER HORST 1994, pp. 1–2.

2. For an assessment of the terminology of “prayers”, see MEEKS 2000.

3. LUISELLI 2008.

4. For recent treatises and bibliography on the subject, see EMERIT 2011; MEYER-DIETRICH 2017.

5. MEEKS 2000, p. 18.

the state; but a definite expansion of this notion in the religious sphere can be observed from the 18th dynasty onwards.⁶ The first and most perspicuous appearance of inaudible prayer is the early 19th dynasty⁷ Teaching of Ani (17, 1–4; 20, 10–14):

m dꜣyt ꜥꜥ mdwt
gr.tw ḥpr.k m nfr
m ir irt-ḥrw (m) ḥnw n ntr
bwt.f pw sbḥ
snmḥ.n.k m ib mry
iw mdt.f nb imn
iry.f ḥrt.k
sḏm.f [...]
sšp.f wdnt.k⁸

Refrain from uttering many words:
 be silent, and all will end well.
 Do not raise your voice in the chapel of the god:
 he abhors shouting.
 If you pray with a loving heart,
 whose every word is concealed,
 he will satisfy your needs,
 as he hears [your words]
 and he will accept your offering.

A comparable counsel for a demure interaction with deities, as consequence of their “*bwt*” of noise and verbal disturbance, is clearly articulated in the Ramesside pChester Beatty IV, both in its Teaching (v, 5, 2) and in an hymn to Amun-Re (r, 5, 3–8):

n.k imi.k ndnd ntr nn mr ntr ḥnhn sw (...)
sꜣw.tw ḥr ꜥꜣi ḥrw m pr.f mr ntr sgr⁹

Do not interrogate God: God loves not a man who approaches him (...).
 Beware of loudness of voice in his house: God loves silence.

Imn (...) *mr gr r ꜥꜣi ḥrw¹⁰*

Amun (...), he who loves the silent more than the high of voice.

6. ASSMANN 1984.

7. For the dating of the *Teaching*, see QUACK 1994, pp. 61–62.

8. QUACK 1994, pp. 94, 108.

9. GARDINER 1935, vol. I, p. 42; vol. II, pl. 20.

10. GARDINER 1935, vol. I, p. 30; vol. II, pl. 14.

At the end of the New Kingdom, the Teaching of Amenemope coalesces the wisdom tradition with the conceptualizations of the Ramesside *Zeitgeist*, thoroughly delineating the figure of the “truly silent man who sets himself aside”¹¹ as the correct model of approach to the divine. Besides the many scenarios where silence stands as a fruitful solution for its social and cultic benefits, and the iconic contrast, in Chapter 4, between the opposite destinies of the “*grw*” and the “hot one” (*p3 šmm*) in the temple,¹² Chapter 5 (7, 7–10) also delineates the *makarismòs* of the pious man with the oxymoron of the “silent who speaks”:

ir gr nb n hwt-ntr
st dd wr hs R'
*i.mh.tw n gr gm.k p3 'nh*¹³

As for all the silent ones in the temple,
 they say ‘Re is great of blessings.’
 Fill yourself with silence, and you will find life.

These literary motifs find correspondence in various private documents displaying a special attentiveness of the gods towards “the silent man”, a figure with whom the devotees thus yearn to identify. Such re-semanticization of the sapiential and biographical archetype of the “*grw*” with nuances of humility, and dependence from the deity, is a complex phenomenon that exceeds the scope of the present paper. The selection of sources below highlights the Ramesside *topos* of the association between Amun and the “silent one”.

Statuette of the royal scribe Amenemope (Berlin 6910, Sethi I-Ramses II; Deir el-Medina):

How good it is, to sit in the hand of Amun,
 the guardian of the silent, the saviour of the poor (*p3 sbb m gr šd nmh*)!¹⁴

Stela of the draughtsman Nebre (Berlin 20377, early 19th dynasty; Deir el-Medina):

You are Amun, the lord of the silent, who comes at the voice of the poor (*p3 nb n grw iy hr hrw nmh*):

I call (*i'š*) to you in my suffering, and you come to save me.¹⁵

11. Ch. 4 (6, 7); LAISNEY 2007, p. 72.

12. LAISNEY 2007, p. 72.

13. LAISNEY 2007, pp. 79–80.

14. ASSMANN 1999, p. 397.

15. ASSMANN 1999, p. 372; ERMAN 1911, p. 1091, pl. 16.

Block-stature of the royal scribe Ray (CG917, 19th dynasty; Temple of Mut, Karnak):

*Amun (...) lives on truth, his abomination is falsehood;
he loves the silent, the calm one (‘nb.f m mꜣ’t bwt.f grg mr.f gr ꜣbb).*¹⁶

Anonymous inscribed seat (Turin n. 50259, Ramesside period; Deir el-Medina):

[...] arms of Amun, the [lord of] the silent (pꜣ [nb n] gr), eyes in the place [...].¹⁷

oPetrie 6 (UC39609, Ramesside period):

*Amun, the protector of he who has no mouth (Īmn pꜣ ‘dr n pꜣ s iwty rꜣ.f).*¹⁸

Graffito n. 1396, Valley of the Kings (Ramesside period):

Amun (...) the saviour of the righteous who has no mouth (šd pꜣ mꜣ’ty iwty rꜣ.f).¹⁹

Together with the imagery of these examples, it is possible to also quote other distinct references to nonverbal worship. In the chapel of Amenhotep-Huy (TT 40), viceroy of Kush under Tutankhamun, the caption of a scene of adoration of Osiris includes the following passage:

*wnn ꜣss tw ꜣr gr ink dr sꜣf*²⁰

He who praises you keeps silent; I am he who fends off the ardour.

Since the epithet of “*dr/dꜣr sꜣf*” is a frequent feature of New Kingdom biographies, revolving around the conceptual metaphor “antagonism is heat”,²¹ and often paired with the qualities of “cool calm” (“*ꜣbb*”) and “silent”, the whole phrase seems focused on a tacit mode of veneration—possibly related to the nature of the god involved, the “*nb sgr*” and “Lord of Igeret”.²²

A stela dedicated by the royal scribe Thutmose-Tjuroy, from the reign of Ramses IX (DeM 280), explicitly states that the god not only cares for the “*grw*”, but actually responds to him, in a striking juxtaposition between the vocal and factual act of answering, and silence of the worshipper:

16. ASSMANN 1980, pp. 2–3; BORCHARDT 1930, pp. 153, 5. The text quotes the hymn to Akhenaten in the tomb of Panehesy (EA 6: ASSMANN 1999, p. 528), but with the addition of the line on the “*grw*”, as if in a “modernization” of the discourse.

17. TOSI, ROCCATI 1972, pp. 209, 360.

18. ČERNÝ, GARDINER 1957, p. 3, pl. VII, 3, 3–4.

19. ČERNÝ 1956, p. 27, 1936, 4, pl. 77.

20. DAVIES 1926, p. 30, pl. XXI.

21. DI BIASE-DYSON 2018, p. 35.

22. LGG III, pp. 590, 742–744.

Amun-Re (...), he who responds to the silent, who gives breath to his throat (*wšbw n grw pꜣ dd tꜣw n ḥḥ.f*).²³

This rarer model of piety does not detract from the spoken and aural interaction with the divine. “He has eyes and ears, a face on each side for his beloved ones; he hears the prayers of those who call to him, and he comes from afar for those who summon him” (Great Hymn to Amun of Leiden):²⁴ this is, indeed, the preferred pattern to express the connection between the celestial spheres and humankind, with its powerful imagery of virtuous devotees imploring in the hour of need, and gods who hear and rush to their aid—so pervasive that the “cry to Amun” motif was even employed by Ramses II in his propaganda.²⁵ The gods of “personal piety” are gods who speak, respond, instruct. Nonetheless, the New Kingdom theological speculation produced the parallel concept of a god who can hear a tacit call from the heart.

As illustrated by van der Horst, a significant conceptual gap seems to have hindered the validation of inaudible prayers for centuries. But in the 18th and 19th dynasties, the Egyptian religious experience had already laid stable grounds for this theoretical leap: one above all, the long-assessed notion that “god sees in the heart”. As early as in the Teaching for Merikare,²⁶ the theme of the divine interest for his creatures, complete with active listening, was established lucidly:

(Well) provided is humankind, the cattle of the god. (...)
He traverses (the sky) to see them,
he has built a shrine behind their backs,
and when they weep, he hears (*rmm.sn iw.f ḥr sꜣm*).²⁷

The academic literature on the subjects of *Gottesnähe*, divine omniscience and providence in the New Kingdom is, naturally, vast.²⁸ As our topic is concerned, the aforementioned considerations are effectively condensed in a passage from the chapel of Rekhmire, vizier of Thutmose III (TT 100). Well in advance of the Ramesside developments of the idea, he raises a hymn to the all-encompassing, all-knowing divine principle, with a particular reference to the “silent man”:

sꜣmw [irf tn] ntyw m ḥpr nṯr rḥ ntt m ḥt ꜥwt [nbt imnt] spg ḥr ꜥwy.f (...) *sꜣtꜣ [...]* *pḥꜣ m ḥr.f grw [...]*
*wf rḥ sw*²⁹

Listen, [o you who] are in existence: God knows what is in the body, the limbs [that are in it, all of them], are open in front of him. (...) The secrets of [...], they open up to his view, the silent one [...], he knows him.

23. ASSMANN 2013, p. 79; BRUYÈRE 1952, pp. 118–120, pl. XLIV.

24. ASSMANN 1995, p. 200.

25. GARDINER 1960, pp. 9–10. For the spatial dimension of the interaction of “calling and coming”, see POTTER 2018.

26. For the problems of dating Merikare, see QUACK 2013a; STAUDER 2013, pp. 175–198.

27. P134-138; see HELCK 1977, p. 98; LORTON 1993; QUACK 1992.

28. E.g., ASSMANN 2001 and related bibliography.

29. Urk. IV, 1083.

Such considerations are echoed in the tomb of the High priest of Amun Nebwenenef, in the proclamation of his appointment by Ramses II:

As for my father Amun, he is a great god (...) who investigates bodies, who reveals hearts (*dʿr ḥwt wḅ ḥṯyw*); he is Perception, who knows inside the body (*siṯ rḥ ḥnw ḥt*).³⁰

Omniscience, “hearing of the heart” and the presence of God in men are cited in another hymn to Amun of pChester Beatty IV (r, 10,13):

in iw ʿnḥwy.ky pḥr.w ibw.sn sšmt m ḥt nbt

Are not your ears inclined (to) their hearts? (Your) guidance is in every body.³¹

In the opinion of J. Assmann, the roots of the model of “god in the heart” can be traced back to the Amarnian proscription of traditional deities, resulting in a new quality of hiddenness of the divine:³² transfigured in an object of inner spiritual reflection, the Ramesside god is manifested only to those who search for him, who hunger and thirst after him, who have “placed him in the heart” (*rḏi nṯr m ib*).³³ Whether this theory is accepted or not, it is still possible to consider how the concealed nature of divine will—a problem of theodicy in the Middle Kingdom pessimistic literature—becomes, in the New Kingdom, a fundamental characteristic of the gods, making them accessible only for those who seek them with commitment. The process is archetypically represented in the Ramesside prayer to Thot from pSallier I (8, 2–7), where the “silent man” is the sole receiver of the divine message of wisdom and truth, a pool of fresh water in the heat of violent discourses.

mī šd.k wī pṯ grw Dḥwty tṯ ḥmt nḏmt mī s ib (m) dšrt
sw ḥtmw.ti n pṯ gm rṯ.f sw wn.ti n pṯ grw
ii pṯ grw gm.f tṯ ḥmt
*(n) pṯ šmw tw.k [mḥt]*³⁴

Come and save me, the silent one! O Thot, you, sweet well for he who suffers thirst in the desert!
It is closed for him who finds his mouth, open for the silent one.
May the silent one come, and he will find the well;
O hot one, you are under control.

30. KRI III, 284, 1–2.

31. GARDINER 1935, I p. 34, II pl. 16.

32. ASSMANN 1994.

33. ASSMANN 2001, pp. 197–198.

34. CAMINOS 1954, pp. 413–414; GARDINER 1937, p. 86. Translation follows ASSMANN 1999, p. 413–414; QUACK 2013b.

“The heart of man is the nose of the god (*ir ḥꜣty n rmt̄ fnd n ntr*), beware of neglecting it”, recites a maxim of Amenemope (24, 4–5):³⁵ the innermost being is placed in direct contact with the divine, so that thoughts are not just heard, or seen, but *inhaled* by the god. Praying “with a loving heart, of which all words are hidden” thus develops as a logic consequence of this axis between heart and god; while temples and contra-temples become auditory places of supplication, the “*ib*” poses as an alternative dimension, an imagined space of immediate closeness. The coexistence between such an innovative elaboration in the frame of reference of the man-god relationship, and the time-honoured aural model, is indubitably supported by the versatile quality of the ancient Egyptian mindset, in its “multiplicity of approaches”.

The principles of divine omniscience and inner prayer will be explicitly reiterated in the Demotic Teaching of P. Insinger (31, 1–5):

When people raise their hands, the god knows.

He knows the impious man who ponders iniquities,

he knows the man of god, and that he has the greatness of the god in his heart.

Before the tongue has been questioned, the god knows its answers (*pꜣ ls iw bw-r' .tw.w šn.t.f n.f ddu pꜣ ntr ir-rḥ st*).³⁶

The same is said of Hathor in her festal song in the Temple of Dendera (A.3, 5), testifying the persistence of this pattern in the official religious discourse of the Greco-Roman age:

You see what is in his body

even when he does not speak with his mouth (*mꜣꜣ.t nfc m ḥt.f nn dd m rꜣ.f*).³⁷

This limited compendium can be concluded with two “foreign” quotes of Neoplatonic philosophy. Porphyry reports that “the Pythagoreans, and the wise men among the Egyptians, forbade speaking while passing through doors or gates; as they venerated in silence that God who is the principle of all that exists (σεβομένους ὑπὸ σιγῆς θεὸν ἀρχὴν τῶν ὅλων ἔχοντα).”³⁸ Along the same lines, Iamblichus asserts he is quoting sources of Egyptian wisdom when describing “the Primal intellegising element and the Primal object of intellection, which is worshipped even by means of silence alone (ὁ δὴ καὶ διὰ σιγῆς μόνης θεραπεύεσται).”³⁹

It is, of course, unlikely that 2nd century philosophers had access to the aforesaid sources. But it is worthy to note that, from the *mare magnum* of the Egyptian religion, the *interpretatio graeca*

35. See LAISNEY 2007, p. 208. “fnd” is a *lectio difficilior*, while the Torino n. 58005 tablet has “the heart of man is the gift (*fꜣꜣ*) of god”: however, the vision of the organ as an element of divine nature inside the person, a place familiar to the god, is fundamentally analogous.

36. LEXA 1926, pp. 98–99.

37. MARIETTE 1870, pl. 31.

38. Porphyry, *Antr.* 27, 13–19.

39. Iamblichus, *Myst.* VIII, 3.

had been able to isolate and preserve an echo of the principle of tacit prayer, and the distinctively Egyptian concept of silence as a privileged framework of communication—an intimate, perfect communion between the heart of men and the mind of God.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ASSMANN 1980
Assmann, J., “Die “loyalistische Lehre” Echnatons”, *SAK* 8, 1980, pp. 1–32.
- ASSMANN 1984
Assmann, J., *LÄ V*, 1984, pp. 195–201, s.v. “Reden und Schweigen”.
- ASSMANN 1994
Assmann, J., “Ocular Desire in a Time of Darkness. Urban Festivals and Divine Visibility in Ancient Egypt”, *Jahrbuch für Religiöse Anthropologie* 1, 1994, pp. 13–29.
- ASSMANN 1995
Assmann, J., *Egyptian Solar Religion in the New Kingdom: Re, Amun and the Crisis of Polytheism*, London, New York, 1995.
- ASSMANN 1999
Assmann, J., *Ägyptische Hymnen und Gebete*, Freiburg, Göttingen, 1999.
- ASSMANN 2001
Assmann, J., *The Search for God in Ancient Egypt*, Ithaca, 2001.
- ASSMANN 2013
Assmann, J., “Die soziale Konstruktion des Schweigens am Beispiel altägyptischer Texte”, in A. Assmann, J. Assmann (eds.), *Schweigen*, Munich, 2013, pp. 69–86.
- BORCHARDT 1930
Borchardt, L., *Statuen und Statuetten von Königen und Privatleuten im Museum von Kairo*, 3, Berlin, 1930.
- BRUYÈRE 1952
Bruyère, B., *Rapport sur les fouilles de Deir el Médineh: 1933-1934. Fasc. II: Trouvailles d'objets*, Cairo, 1952.
- CAMINOS 1954
Caminos, R.A., *Late-Egyptian Miscellanies*, London, 1954.
- ČERNÝ 1956
Černý, J., *Graffiti hiéroglyphiques et hiératiques de la nécropole thébaine: n^{os} 1060 à 1405*, Cairo, 1956.
- ČERNÝ, GARDINER 1957
Černý, J., Gardiner, A., *Hieratic Ostraca*, vol. 1, Oxford, 1957.
- DAVIES 1926
Davies, N. de G., *The Tomb of Huy, Ciceroy of Nubia in the Reign of Tut'ankhamūn (no. 40)*, London, 1926.
- DI BIASE-DYSON 2018
Di Biase-Dyson, C., “The Figurative Network: Tracking the Use of Metaphorical Language in Ramesside Literary Texts”, in S. Kubisch, U. Rummel (eds.), *The Ramesside Period in Egypt*, Berlin, 2018, pp. 33–44.
- EMERIT 2011
Emerit, S., “Listening to the Gods: Echoes of the Divine in Ancient Egypt”, in E. Meyer-Dietrich (ed.), *Laut und leise: der Gebrauch von Stimme und Klang in historischen Kulturen*, Bielefeld, 2011, pp. 61–89.
- ERMAN 1911
Erman, A., *Denksteine aus der thebanischen Gräberstadt*, Berlin, 1911.
- GARDINER 1935
Gardiner, A.H., *Hieratic Papyri in the British Museum. Third series*, London, 1935.
- GARDINER 1937
Gardiner, A.H., *Late-Egyptian Miscellanies*, Bruxelles, 1937.
- GARDINER 1960
Gardiner, A.H., *The Kadesh Inscriptions of Ramesses II*, Oxford, 1960.
- HELCK 1977
Helck, W., *Die Lehre für König Merikare*, Wiesbaden, 1977.

- HIAMBlichus, *Myst.*
Hiamblichus, *On the Egyptian Mysteries. I misteri egiziani*, A. Sodano (trans.), Milan, 2013.
- VAN DER HORST 1994
van der Horst, P.W., “Silent Prayer in antiquity”, *Numen* 41, 1994, pp. 1–25.
- LAISNEY 2007
Laisney, V.P.-M., *L’Enseignement d’Aménémopé*, Rome, 2007.
- LEXA 1926
Lexa, F., *Papyrus Insinger. Les enseignements moraux d’un scribe égyptien du premier siècle après J.-C.*, Paris, 1926.
- LORTON 1993
Lorton, D., “God’s Beneficent Creation: Coffin Texts Spell 1130, the Instructions for Merikare, and the Great Hymn to the Aton”, *SAK* 20, 1993, pp. 125–155.
- LUISELLI 2008
Luiselli, M., *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, 2008, s.v. “Personal Piety (modern theories related to)”, <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/49q0397q>.
- MARIETTE 1870
Mariette, A., *Dendérah: Description générale du grand temple de cette ville I*, Paris, 1870.
- MEEKS 2000
Meeks, D., “La prière en Égypte : entre textualité et oralité”, in G. Dorival, D. Pralon (eds.), *Prières méditerranéennes hier et aujourd’hui*, Aix-en-Provence, 2000, pp. 9–23.
- MEYER-DIETRICH 2017
Meyer-Dietrich, E., *Auditive Räume des alten Ägypten: Die Umgestaltung einer Hörkultur in der Amarnazeit*, Leiden, 2017.
- PORPHYRY, *Anthr*
Porphyry, *On the Cave of the Nymphs. L’antro delle ninfe*, L. Simonini (trans.), Milan, 1986.
- POTTER 2018
Potter, D.M., “Deictic Motion Verbs and Divine Interaction. Placing the Individual and the Divine in Time and Space”, in G. Chantraine, J. Winand (eds.), *Time and Space at Issue in Ancient Egypt, LingAeg. Studia Monographica* 19, Hamburg, 2018.
- QUACK 1992
Quack, J.F., *Studien zur Lehre für Merikare*, Wiesbaden, 1992.
- QUACK 1994
Quack, J.F., *Die Lehren des Ani: ein neuägyptischer Weisheitstext in seinem kulturellen Umfeld*, Freiburg, Göttingen, 1994.
- QUACK 2013a
Quack, J.F., “Irrungen, Wirrungen? Forscherische Ansätze zur Datierung der älteren ägyptischen Literatur”, in G. Moers, K. Widmaier, A. Giewekemeyer, et al. (eds.), *Dating Egyptian Literary Texts*, LingAeg. Studia Monographica 11, Hamburg, 2013, pp. 405–469.
- QUACK 2013b
Quack, J.F., “3.9 Gebet an Thor”, in B. Janowski, D. Schwemer (eds.), *Hymnen, Klagelieder und Gebete*, TUAT 7, Gutersloh, 2013, pp. 163–164.
- STAUDER 2013
Stauder, A., *Linguistic Dating of Middle Egyptian Literary Texts*, LingAeg. Studia Monographica 12, Hamburg, 2013.
- TOSI, ROCCATI 1972
Tosi, M., Roccati, A., *Stele e altre epigrafi di Deir el Medina (n. 50001–50262)*, Turin, 1972.