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Self-Assembly of Mn(II)-Phytate Coordination Polymers: 
Synthesis, Crystal Structure and Physicochemical Properties


Abstract: myo-inositol phosphates are an important group of biomolecules present in all eukaryotic cells. The most abundant member of this family in nature is InsP₆ (phytate, L₁₂⁻ in its fully deprotonated form). Phytate interacts strongly with inorganic and organic cations, and this interaction is essential for determining the possible functions of this biomolecule. We present here the chemical, thermodynamic and structural characterization of phytate-Mn(II) species in a contribution to understand how the interaction of both components modulates their biological roles and their bioavailability. Polynuclear complexes Mn₃(H₂L)-16H₂O (1) and (H₂terpy)[Mn(H₂L)(terpy)]·17H₂O (terpy = terpyridine) (2) were prepared and characterized by different techniques. The isolation of 1, and the determination of its solubility, together with potentiometric titrations of the Mn(II)-phytate system allowed the full description of this binary systems. The preparation and crystal structure of 2 shows a novel coordination mode of phytate, the formation of infinite polymeric chains through equatorial phosphate groups.

Introduction

Among the first row transition metals, the element manganese is second only to iron in terms of its terrestrial abundance. Given the relative abundance and accessibility of manganese in the biosphere, the versatility of its coordination chemistry, and its wide range of oxidation states, it is not surprising that diverse plant and animal life forms have evolved with a requirement for this metal ion as an essential trace element. The concentration of Mn(II) is close to 10⁻⁷ M almost inside or outside cells. Its concentration reaches 0.11 µM in human plasma, and 0.25 - 0.70 µM in rat hepatocytes.

Manganese is commonly associated with its role as a catalytic and/or structural protein cofactor. Nevertheless, the majority of the intracellular manganese is thought to be present as low molecular-weight Mn(II) complexes that, among other functions, can act independently of proteins to either defend against oxidative stress and disease.

In this context, it is widely accepted that the understanding of manganese speciation in cells is critical for deciphering the mechanisms by which cells appropriately handle this “essential toxin”, and for addressing the role of manganese in human health and disease. Even though it has been recently reported that approximately 24 % of the intracellular chelatable manganese is present as complexes with polyphosphate ligands, the chemical nature of those species remains unknown.

One polyphosphate anion that stands a chance to act as a low molecular-weight ligand for the chelatable intracellular manganese pool is phytate (InsP₆, L₁₂⁻, Figure 1). It is by far the most abundant inositol polypolyphosphate in eukaryotic cells, with a concentration in mammalian cells in the range 10-60 µM and up to 0.7 mM in slime moulds. Phytate exhibits a strong interaction with any cation present in physiological media because it is highly charged (the predominant species are H₄L⁶⁻ and H₆L⁴⁻). In the cytosolic/nuclear compartment, InsP₆ is predicted to exist as the polymetallic complex [Mg₆(H₂L)₆]. The solubility of this species limits the amount of protein-free InsP₆ under these intracellular conditions. The relevance of its interaction with Mn(II) cannot be ruled out. Phytate forms highly stable 1:1 complexes with Mn(II) in solution, and has been found precipitated with this metal ion as globoid crystals in grains. The latter exemplifies a common trend in nature: the phytate anion is the major phosphorous component of plants and, in particular, cereal grains. That being said, phytate has long been known as an antinutritional factor, since it can precipitate several minerals from the diet in the gut. Thus, the interest in studying the phytate-Mn(II) interaction is also due to the fact that the complexation and precipitation processes that phytate undergoes in the presence of multivalent cations modulate manganese bioavailability. Certainly, it has been proved that the presence of phytate in soy decreases the manganese intestinal absorption.

Figure 1. Structure of InsP₆ (L₁₂⁻) for both conformations: (a) 1 axial-5 equatorial (1a5e) and (b) 5 axial-1 equatorial (5a1e).
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In the light of the above, the chemical, thermodynamic and structural characterization of phytate-Mn(II) species is essential to understand how the interaction of both components modulates their biological roles and their bioavailability. Even though highly stable [Mn(H$_L$L)$_x$] species, with $x = 0 - 5$, were detected in solution,[12, 14, 18] the chemical nature and solubility of the sparingly soluble phytate-Mn(II) solid phases were poorly addressed. Indeed, there is only one report that dealt with this matter,[18] but no solubility product was determined. Without this parameter, a complete thermodynamic picture of the chemical behavior of manganese in the presence of different amounts of phytate cannot be given.

Until now, the use of X-ray diffraction techniques to obtain further structural data of metal-phytate solids has been restricted by the amorphous characteristic of these phases. In the past two years, our group made a breakthrough in this field, reporting three new structures of Cu(II)-Ins$_P$ complexes determined by X-ray diffraction.[20] These compounds were obtained by the use of an aromatic rigid amine (terpyridine, phenanthroline), which acts as an auxiliary ligand, satisfying some of the metal coordination sites and promoting the crystallization of the solid phases.

Following the same strategy, in this work we report the synthesis and chemical characterization of the Mn(II)-phytate solid phase. Then, the solubility product of this phase was determined under simulated physiological conditions (0.15 M NaClO$_4$, 37.0 °C). With this data, we were able to furnish a complete picture of the chemical behavior of the system under different conditions. In order to get structural information on the Mn(II)-phytate interaction, terpyridine (terpy) was added as a co-ligand, and the first crystalline structure of a Mn(II)-phytate complex, (H$_2$terpy)$_2$[Mn(H$_L$L)$_4$-terpy](H$_2$O)$_6$ was elucidated by X-ray diffraction. This information, coupled with potentiometric and computational data of the soluble Mn(II)-phytate-terpy species, allowed us to shed light on the multifaceted coordination ability of Ins$_P$ in the presence of manganese(II).

Results and Discussion

Characterization of manganese(II) phytate

**Stoichiometry**

The manganese(II) phytate synthesized in this work was found to have the same stoichiometry already reported for the Ca, Sr and Ba phytates: Mn$_x$(H$_L$L)$_{16}$H$_2$O (1)[13, 21]. The same 5:1 metal-to-ligand ratio was also reported for other phytates,[15, 22] which suggests similar structures for those Ins$_P$ solids. However, the ligand protonation state (H$_L$L$^{[5+]}$) is only compatible with previous reports on magnesium, calcium,[12, 13, 22] strontium, barium[21] and cadmium(II)[22] phytates.

The unique manganese(II) phytate reported to date was synthesized at pH 7 – 8 in a methanol/water mixture (20:1, v/v), and yielded the formula Na$_2$Mn$_6$L$_2$(OH)$_4$·9H$_2$O.[19] This is a peculiar result, since at neutral pH the phytate anion is protonated to a great extent (H$_L$L$^{[7+]}$ and H$_L$L$^{[5+]}$) and the OH$^-$ concentration is certainly low. In this work, however, we prepared the solid phase 1 from an aqueous solution of phytate, in order to adequately represent the Ins$_P$ precipitation process that may occur in the presence of Mn(II) in environmental or biological aqueous media.

**Infrared spectroscopy and thermal analysis**

The infrared spectrum of 1 is shown in Figure 2a. In order to analyze the normal modes associated with the most important IR bands and their change upon complexation and deprotonation of the ligand, we began by performing a detailed assignation of the spectral infrared profile of K$_2$H$_2$L$_2$. To do this, we conducted gas phase Hartree-Fock calculations on the totally protonated species of phytate, H$_2$L$_2$, as a model of the H$_2$L$^{2+}$ anion present in the dipotassium salt. Further details on the assignment of the K$_2$H$_2$L$_2$ infrared bands are presented in the ESI (Figure S1).

Between 3700 and 2500 cm$^{-1}$, the K$_2$H$_2$L$_2$ infrared salt shows a medium intense broad band ascribed to the stretching of O–H bonds, in line with reported data for Ca(H$_2$PO$_3$)$_2$·H$_2$O.[23] The intensity of this band increases substantially in 1, due to the presence of a much larger amount of crystallized solvent.

![Infrared spectra of compounds 1 (a) and 2 (b). The vibrational spectra of the dipotassium salt and terpyridine are shown for comparison. Complete range spectra are shown in Figure S2.](image-url)
Quantification of water content by thermogravimetric analysis showed that the sixteen water molecules in 1 were lost across a wide temperature range, namely between 30 and 200 °C (Figure S3). This is consistent with the presence of a set of weakly to strongly bonded water molecules, which according to the variation of the first derivative of the curve (not shown) are lost in two steps: from 30 to 80 °C (step 1) and from 80 to 200 °C (step 2). The first step corresponds to the release of ca. 12 water molecules (19 % weight loss), which are the weakest bound. The rest of the water content (4 molecules, 5.7 % weight loss) was lost at higher temperatures in the second step, and possibly represents those H$_2$O molecules linked to the metal centers. This result suggests that less than one water molecule is probably coordinated to each Mn(II) ion in 1.

Just below 3000 cm$^{-1}$, the v(C–H) normal modes of the isoquinoline ring appears superimposed to the v(O–H) broad and intense band of 1. This is expected to occur for P-O-R compounds in the range 3030 – 2800 cm$^{-1}$.[25] The band at about 1655 cm$^{-1}$ is not predicted among the computational results for H$_2$L (Figure S1). It can be assigned to the bending of the H–O–H bonds of hydration water molecules,[26] and its fine structure can be accounted for by the presence of the infrared overtones of δ(PO–H) and ρ(PO–H) normal modes.[25]

The intense and broad band observed between 1400 and 700 cm$^{-1}$ for K$_2$H$_2$L·2.5H$_2$O is associated with several normal modes of the phosphate groups (Figure S1). This band is split into three peaks upon complexation and deprotonation to form 1. The first two, at around 1090 and 991 cm$^{-1}$, are assigned to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching of the PO$_2^-$ groups. In fact, this two bands have been already reported for pyridoxal phosphate.[27] The third band appears in the region 849 – 791 cm$^{-1}$, and can be associated with v(P–OH) and ρ(PO–H) vibrations, in agreement with evidence found for some copper complexes.[27] Finally, the peak at 546 cm$^{-1}$ in 1 is attributed to the δ(O–P–O) normal mode, and is observed at higher wavenumbers than the same band in K$_2$H$_2$L·2.5H$_2$O. The splitting and shifting of the bands related to the phosphate vibrations is a symptomatic of a direct and bidentate M–O–P coordination of the metal ion.[19, 28]

### Solubility product

Following the same procedure applied for other bivalent phytates,[13, 21] we measured the solubility of the manganese(II) phytate. From a thermodynamic viewpoint, the solubility of 1 can be characterized by its solubility product constant $K_{sp} = [\text{Mn}^{2+}]^5 [\text{H}_2\text{L}^{10–}]$. Determination of the $K_{sp}$ value requires measuring sets of values for the concentrations of Mn$^{2+}$ and H$_2$L$^{10–}$ at equilibrium with the solid. These can be calculated from the straightforward analytical data by means of appropriate software such as HySS,[24] fed with the complete set of equilibrium constants for the protonation and complexation equilibria.[12] The results are shown in Table 1, and can be summarised in the solubility product:

$$\text{Mn}_2(\text{H}_2\text{L})·16\text{H}_2\text{O}(s) \rightarrow 5 \text{Mn}^{2+}(aq) + \text{H}_2\text{L}^{10–}(aq) \log K_{sp} = -39.9(9)$$

which is valid at 0.15 M NaClO$_4$ and 37.0 °C. This data can be compared with the log$K_{sp}$ determined for the alkaline earth phytates under the same conditions: −32.93 (Mg), −39.3 (Ca), −35.6 (Sr), −38.3 (Ba).[13, 21] Taking into account their intrinsic solubilities (i.e. without considering the effects of the participation of Ins$_6$P$_6$ in other equilibria in solution), the manganese(II) phytate is the least soluble of all these phytates, their solubility increasing according to Mn(II) < Ca < Ba < Sr < Mg.

A complete view of the manganese(II)-phytate interaction

A complete description of the behavior of Ins$_6$P$_6$ in the presence of Mn(II) requires the thermodynamic characterization of all the equilibria involved. The log$K_{sp}$ value determined for 1, together with the protonation and Mn(II) complexation constants in solution previously reported by us under the same conditions,[22] were handled by the HySS program to calculate the species distribution shown in Figure 3a. Under equimolar conditions of phytate and Mn(II), 1:1 metal-to-ligand soluble complexes are present over the entire pH range, while the precipitation of 1 is predicted above pH = 7. Interestingly, with Mg(II) (with which Ins$_6$P$_6$ is thought to be complexed in the cytosolic and nuclear compartments[18]) the phytane anion does not precipitate under the set of conditions chosen (Figure 3b). This low solubility behavior displayed by Ins$_6$P$_6$ in the presence of Mn(II) is in line with the fact that phytate is commonly present in grains as solid globoid crystals containing this metal ion.[15]

Figures 4a and b summarize the behavior of Ins$_6$P$_6$ in the presence of Mn(II) at physiological pH. For a fixed total manganese(II) concentration, the abundance of the solid phytate increases as the total Ins$_6$P$_6$ concentration is raised, reaching a maximum near to the stoichiometric 5:1 Mn(II):Ins$_6$P$_6$ ratio (Figure 4a). When Ins$_6$P$_6$ concentration is increased beyond this point, the manganese(II) phytate is redissolved gradually, being replaced by the soluble 1:1 complexes (see Figure 4b). This “paradoxical” solubility behavior of Ins$_6$P$_6$ was also reported for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>[Mn(II)]$_{tot}$ (mM)$^a$</th>
<th>[Ins$_6$P$<em>6$]$</em>{tot}$ (mM)$^a$</th>
<th>[H$^+$]$_{tot}$ (mM)$^b$</th>
<th>[Mn(II)]$_{free}$ (mM)$^c$</th>
<th>[H$<em>2$L$^{10–}$]$</em>{free}$ (M)$^d$</th>
<th>log $K_{sp}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.5 x 10$^{–28}$</td>
<td>–40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.3 x 10$^{–29}$</td>
<td>–40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>7.4 x 10$^{–30}$</td>
<td>–39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.8 x 10$^{–30}$</td>
<td>–38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Total concentration of Mn determined in the saturated solution. [b] [Ins$_6$P$_6$]$_{tot}$ corresponds to 1/5 the amount of Mn(II). [c] [H$^+$]$_{tot}$ is calculated as [H$^+$] added plus 2 times the total amount of Ins$_6$P$_6$, according to the stoichiometry of the solid phytate. [d] Free equilibrium concentrations calculated using HySS software.[24]
The difference between the solubility profiles of Mn(II) and Mg phytates becomes evident when analyzing the behavior of the system in the low InsP$_6$ concentration range, where no 1:1 soluble species are predict to coexist (see the insets in Figures 4a and c). The manganese(II) concentration needed for a complete precipitation of the phytate anion is more than ten times lower than for magnesium. Even though this can be rationalized by the lower solubility product of manganese(II) phytate, this is not the only reason. Indeed, the behavior of InsP$_6$ in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ is slightly more complex due to the formation of the polynuclear complex [Mg$_2$(H$_2$L)] (undetected for Mn(II)), which has a significant window of solubility under these conditions. Only when Mg and phytate concentrations are high enough, the polynuclear [Mg$_2$(H$_2$L)] species starts to precipitate as [Mg$_2$(H$_2$L)$_2$]·22H$_2$O(s) (see the inset in Figure 4c).[$^{13}$]

**Structural analysis of phytate coordination ability towards manganese(II)**

**First crystalline complex containing manganese(II) bound to phytate**

The inclusion of terpyridine or phenanthroline (phen) as an auxiliary ligand allowed us recently to obtain the first three crystalline complexes of Cu(II) and InsP$_6$ ([(Cu$_2$(H$_2$L)(terpy)$_2$]·7.5H$_2$O, [Cu$_2$(H$_2$L)(phen)$_2$]·26H$_2$O, and [Cu$_2$(H$_2$L)$_2$$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$]·(phen)$_2$·23H$_2$O), whose structures were solved by X-ray diffraction.$^{[20]}$ Along the same line, we present here the synthesis and characterization of the first crystalline complex between phytate and Mn(II), using terpyridine as a terminal ligand that prevents the scarcely soluble amorphous manganese(II) phytate to be formed in the system. When an equimolar solution of Mn(II), InsP$_6$ and terpy (pH = 2.6) was subjected to slow evaporation, yellow crystals of (H$_2$terpy)$_2$(Mn(H$_2$L)(terpy)(H$_2$O))·15H$_2$O (2) were obtained. Even though several experiments were carried out under a wide variety of conditions, we could only obtain a crystalline phase in an acid medium and in the absence of other competing anions, such as O$_2$$^{2-}$ or SO$_4$$^{2-}$. Compound 2 was characterized by elemental analysis, thermogravimetric measurements, and infrared spectroscopy. The assignment of the most important IR bands was made based on previous data reported for terpyridine.$^{[29]}$ and the abovementioned discussion for compound 1. Additionally, we also made use of the detailed assignment already published for [Cu$_2$(H$_2$L)$_2$$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$](phen)$_2$·23H$_2$O.$^{[28]}$ Since its infrared spectral profile is similar to the one for compound 2 (Figure S4).

The infrared spectrum of 2 is depicted in Figure 2b. It shows a wide and strong absorption band in the range 3700 – 2000 cm$^{-1}$, ascribed to the O·H stretching modes. This band has two contributions: the coordinated and co-crystallized water molecules (3700-2800 cm$^{-1}$), and the protonated phosphate groups not involved in the net of H-bonds (2800 – 1800 cm$^{-1}$). The ν(C=H) normal modes of both ligands are superimposed to this band, being evidenced as less absorptive peaks in the range 3102 – 2845 cm$^{-1}$. Water bending vibration and phytate C–H deformation modes appear as a broad band centered at 1618 cm$^{-1}$. The superimposed, sharp medium intensity peaks observed between 1655 and 1420 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the C=C and C–N stretching and C–H bending modes of terpy. These vibrations are shifted in comparison to the same bands for the free ligand, which evidences metal complexation.$^{[29]}$

In agreement with the infrared spectra of 1 (Figure 2a), the normal modes attributed to the phosphate groups are observed below 1300 cm$^{-1}$ as broad and intense spectral bands. Strong peaks appear at 1175, 1067 and 993 cm$^{-1}$, being brought about by the stretching of the PO$_2$–H bonds engaged in H-bonds, the stretching of double P=O and single P=O and PO–C bonds, and...
Figure 4. Behavior of InsP$_6$ in the presence of manganese(II). The graphs show the predicted abundances of solid manganese phytate (a) and of the sum of the different soluble 1:1 Mn:InsP$_6$ complexes (b), plotted against total concentrations of InsP$_6$ and Mn(II). In c and d, the same information is shown for Mg-phytate system\cite{13}. Predictions are drawn for pH 7.5, in 0.15 M NaClO$_4$ and 37.0 °C. The insets in a) and c) show a “zoom-in” of the low InsP$_6$ concentration range.

The PO$_3$H scissoring modes. At lower wavenumbers, numerous normal modes are detected, although a detailed assignment is not straightforward. The stretching of P─OC bonds and the O─P─O bending vibrations of phytate are observable at 586 and 511 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, while a very intense peak at 775 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the ρ(C─H) modes of terpy, which was also found for other terpy complexes.\cite{29}

Crystal structure of 2
Crystals of (H$2$terpy)$_2$[Mn(H$_6$L)(terpy)(H$_2$O)]·17H$_2$O are constituted by 1D coordination polymers developing along [100] direction (Figure 5). Each Mn(II) cation is hexacoordinated in a strongly distorted octahedral environment by the three nitrogen atoms of a terpyridine molecule, a water molecule and two oxygen atoms belonging to two symmetry related phytate anions (see Table 2). The equatorial plane is defined by the terpyridine nitrogen atoms and the coordinated water molecule, while the phytate oxygen atoms occupy the apical positions. The metal atom is 0.039(3) Å displaced from the equatorial plane. The metal-metal distance between contiguous Mn atoms is 10.3486(7) Å. A similar distance (10.2 Å) separates two consecutive coordinated terpyridine groups. This distance is divided into three almost equal sections by two intercalated terpyridine molecules (interplanar π-π contact distances ca 3.4 Å).

As the acidic hydrogens were not clearly found in the ΔF map, different formulas, differing for the location of acidic protons in the solid complex, could be possible. On the basis of phytate
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and terpy protonation constants and the experimental conditions adopted for the synthesis of the complex, \( (\text{H}_2\text{terpy})\text{Mn(H}_2\text{O})\text{[terpy]}\text{(H}_2\text{O})\text{[17H}_2\text{O]} \) \( (2) \) would reflect the most reasonable distribution of acidic protons among the basic sites of the complex (see below). In any case, very short H-bond contacts are established between the two intercalated terpyridine molecules and the same phytate anion \((\text{N}1\text{A} = \text{O}62 \ 2.67(2), \ \text{N}2\text{A} = \text{O}62 \ 2.90(2), \ \text{N}3\text{A} = \text{O}62 \ 2.58(2), \ \text{N}1\text{B} = \text{O}54 \ 2.67(2), \ \text{N}2\text{B} = \text{O}54 \ 3.00(2), \ \text{N}3\text{B} = \text{O}54 \ 2.65(2) \ \AA, \ \text{Figure} \ 5)\).

![Crystal structure of \((\text{H}_2\text{terpy})\text{Mn(H}_2\text{O})\text{[terpy]}\text{(H}_2\text{O})\text{[17H}_2\text{O]} \) \( (2) \). Up: 1D coordination polymers developing along [100] direction. Thermal ellipsoids are plotted at the 40% probability level. Down: view of the crystal packing (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Color code: C (grey), H (white), O (red), N (blue), P (orange), Mn (violet).](image)

**Table 2.** Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg) for the metal coordination environment. (e.s.d. in parentheses).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mn01-O52 2.12(1)</th>
<th>Mn01-N2C 2.29(2)</th>
<th>Mn01-OW1 2.14(1)</th>
<th>Mn01-O63’ 2.13(1)</th>
<th>Mn01-N1C 2.28(2)</th>
<th>Mn01-N3C 2.29(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1C-Mn01-N2C</td>
<td>70.8(6)</td>
<td>N2C-Mn01-N3C</td>
<td>70.4(6)</td>
<td>N3C-Mn01-O62</td>
<td>100.8(5)</td>
<td>O52-Mn01-O63’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1C-Mn01-N3C</td>
<td>141.20(6)</td>
<td>N2C-Mn01-O52</td>
<td>98.3(5)</td>
<td>N3C-Mn01-O63’</td>
<td>95.4(5)</td>
<td>O52-Mn01-OW1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1C-Mn01-O52</td>
<td>85.6(5)</td>
<td>N2C-Mn01-O63’</td>
<td>98.7(5)</td>
<td>N3C-Mn01-OW1</td>
<td>93.7(6)</td>
<td>O63-Mn01-OW1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1C-Mn01-O63’</td>
<td>89.3(5)</td>
<td>N2C-Mn01-OW1</td>
<td>163.7(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Logarithms of the equilibrium constants for the reactions \( p \text{Mn}^{2+} + q \text{terpy} + r \text{L}^{2+} + s \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn(terpy)}_p \text{L}_r \text{H}_s \) in 0.15 M \( \text{NMe}_2\text{Cl} \) at 37.0 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( q )</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( s )</th>
<th>( \log \beta_{\text{pnqrs}}^{\text{Mn}} )</th>
<th>( \sigma^{\text{Mn}} )</th>
<th>( \log \beta_{\text{pnqrs}}^{\text{Mn}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>36.34(2)</td>
<td>4.4(1)</td>
<td>36.34(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>10.04(2)</td>
<td>15.27(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>15.0(1)</td>
<td>46.15(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>5.59(2)</td>
<td>5.59(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>1118</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>10.04(2)</td>
<td>15.27(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>11110</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>11111</td>
<td>76.1(2)</td>
<td>76.1(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2114</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2115</td>
<td>37.4(1)</td>
<td>37.4(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2117</td>
<td>46.3(2)</td>
<td>46.3(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2118</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2119</td>
<td>55.17(7)</td>
<td>55.17(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2121</td>
<td>61.6(2)</td>
<td>61.6(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2123</td>
<td>66.94(6)</td>
<td>66.94(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2124</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2125</td>
<td>70.17(7)</td>
<td>70.17(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2126</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2127</td>
<td>72.35(9)</td>
<td>72.35(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2128</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2129</td>
<td>73.86(7)</td>
<td>73.86(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2131</td>
<td>76.89(1)</td>
<td>76.89(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2132</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2133</td>
<td>80.5(5)</td>
<td>80.5(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2134</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2135</td>
<td>72.51(4)</td>
<td>72.51(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2136</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>67.28(4)</td>
<td>67.28(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(II)-terpy</td>
<td>2138</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2139</td>
<td>76.25(8)</td>
<td>76.25(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] This work. \( \sigma \) represents the scaled sum of square differences between predicted and experimental values. Values given in parentheses are the 1σ statistical uncertainties in the last digit of the constant. \[b\] Values for the analogous systems with Cu(II) reported previously under the same conditions are included for comparison.

As already observed in the crystal structures containing phytate anions previously reported in the literature, the overall packing is additionally stabilized by a dense H-bond network involving the high number of co-crystallized water molecules (Figure 5).

**Chemical speciation of Mn(II)-terpy system**

Table 3 shows the results obtained in the potentiometric studies. The interaction of Mn(II) with terpy was also studied in 0.15 M \( \text{NMe}_2\text{Cl} \) at 37.0 °C as the first step. Results are similar to previously reported values under different experimental conditions. The most relevant species in the Mn(II)-terpy...
In fact, for the system containing the three components (terpy, InsP₆ and manganese(II)), various protonated species with 1:1:1 molar ratio are among the most important complexes formed in solution (Figure 6a). Besides, some polynuclear species (manganese:terpy:InsP₆) 2:2:1, 3:3:1 or 4:4:1 are also detected. As expected, these polynuclear species become predominant for phytate defect conditions (Figure 6b). The stoichiometries of the detected species are very similar to the results obtained for Cu(II):terpy:InsP₆ system (last column in Table 3)²⁰, showing lower stability constant values in the case of Mn(II), reflecting the electrostatic character of the interaction.

The species [Mn(H₆L)(terpy)]²⁺ found in the solid complex 2 was also detected in solution (Figure 6a). It forms above pH 2 and predominates at ca. pH 4 for 1:1:1 manganese(II):terpy:InsP₆ molar ratio. Notwithstanding, compound 2 was only isolated if the pH was adjusted to 2.6, probably due to the necessary protonation of the amine to generate the solid compound (Figure S5). Although this is a plausible explanation, we cannot exclude that a different assembly process takes place starting from other protonated ternary species.

In order to furnish a picture of a possible assembling mechanism different [Mn(H₆L)(terpy)]²⁺ microspecies were DFT optimized, starting from the structural parameters obtained for compound 2. This is shown in Figure 7. In line with the magnetic measurements (see experimental), manganese(II) high-spin state gave place to more stable adducts. Among the two tested [Mn(H₆L)(terpy)]²⁺ microspecies, the most stable one was that involving metal coordination via the phytate phosphate group in C6. This species can be thought as the most contributing in solution that could in turn give place to the assembly of compound 2.

Conclusions

The interaction of InsP₆ with inorganic and organic cations has been recognized to be of utmost importance to understand the function of this molecule in biological environments. In this work we expanded the study to the system Mn(II)-phytate. Soluble species with 1:1 molar ratio are predominant if excess of phytate is present in solution. All the species are anionic and differ in the protonation degree of phytate, which becomes lower as pH increases. A solid phase appears as the amount of Mn(II) is increased, especially at high pH values. This solid was characterized as Mn₆(H₆L)∙16H₂O (1) and its solubility was determined as logK_{s0} = ─39.9(9) at 0.15 M NaClO₄ and 37.0 °C. This data is essential for a complete thermodynamic picture of the Mn(II):phytate system over a wide pH range including those found in many biological media.

The coordination mode of phytate in the presence of Mn(II) was analyzed for the ternary system Mn(II)-phytate-terpy. The complex (H₄terpy)₂[Mn(H₆L)(terpy)(H₂O)]∙17H₂O (2) was isolated and fully characterized by X-ray diffraction, being the first reported structure containing Mn(II) and phytate. The formation of polymeric chains in the structure was also observed in the case of [Cu₂(H₆L)(terpy)]₂·7.5H₂O,²⁰ but the coordination mode of phytate is not equivalent. The most stable conformation of InsP₆ in acidic solution shows 1 axial and 5 equatorial phosphate groups (Figure 1). The single axial phosphate group is responsible for the formation of the chain in [Cu₂(H₆L)(terpy)]₂·7.5H₂O, joining [Cu(terpy)] units through a μ-π⁻ single bond. In compound 2, the axial phosphate group remains free and does not participate in the coordination. The connection between [Mn(terpy)] units is sustained through contiguous equatorial phosphate groups acting as monodentate ligands. This structure is a novel example of the multifaceted coordination ability of InsP₆.
FULL PAPER

Figure 7. A possible mechanism for self-assembling of Mn(II)-phytate-terpy soluble species to form \((H_2\text{terpy})_2[Mn(H_6L)(\text{terpy})]\). All involved species are formed in solution under the experimental conditions adopted to synthesize the complex according to the distribution diagram reported in the inset ([\text{terpy}] = [\text{InsP}]) = [\text{Mn(II)}] = 15 mM; minor species were omitted for clarity). Structures of Mn(H_6L)(\text{terpy})^+ isomers are geometry optimized at UB3LYP/LANL2DZ SMD level of theory. Color code: C (grey), H (white), O (red), N (blue), P (orange), Cu (pink).

Experimental

All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Mn(ClO_4)_2·6H_2O (Alfa Aesar, >99%) was used as metal source for the synthesis; MnCl_2·4H_2O (Sigma, >99%) was used for potentiometric measurements. 2,2´:6´2´´-terpyridine (98%) was supplied from Sigma-Aldrich. The dipotassium salt of InsP_6, K_2H_10L·2.5H_2O, was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and its purity was rechecked by elemental and thermogravimetric analyses. Methanol and ethanol (99%) were obtained from Dorwill. All aqueous solutions were prepared with ultrapure water obtained from a Millipore-MilliQ plus system (up to 18 MΩ cm) and used immediately after preparation. The ionic strength was adjusted to 0.15 M with NMe_4Cl or NaClO_4 (Fluka). The standard HCl solutions were prepared by diluting Merck standard ampoules. The titrant solution (0.1 M NMe_4OH in 0.15 M NMeCl) was prepared by dissolving NMe_4OH·5H_2O (Fluka), and was standardized with potassium biphthalate. Mn(II) solutions were standardized according to standard techniques.\(^{32}\)

Synthesis

\(\text{Mn(H}_2L\text{)-16H}_2\text{O (1)}\)

A 0.01 M aqueous solution of InsP_6 was prepared and its pH adjusted to 10 by the addition of 1 M LiOH. To 30 mL (0.3 mmol) of this solution, 0.54 g Mn(ClO_4)_2·6H_2O (1.5 mmol) dissolved in the minimum amount of water was added. A beige solid (344 mg, 95%) immediately formed, which was separated by centrifugation, thoroughly washed with water (3 x 10 mL), then with ethanol (2 x 10 mL) and air-dried: IR (KBr): \(\nu=3400, 1655, 1090, 991, 546 \text{ cm}^{-1}\); elemental analysis calcd (%) for Mn(H_2L)(H_6O)(\text{terpy})(H_2O): C 5.9, H 3.3, Mn 22.7; found: C 5.9, H 3.1, Mn 22.9; thermal analysis agreed with the proposed formula: 24.7% weight loss corresponding to the elimination of water, compared with a calculated value of 23.8%.

\((H_2\text{terpy})_2[Mn(H_6L)(\text{terpy})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\cdot15\text{H}_2\text{O (2)}\)
27 mg of 1 (0.022 mmol) was dissolved in 0.4 mL aqueous solution of K$_2$H$_2$O$_2$.2.5 H$_2$O (69 mg, 0.088 mmol) and 5 mL of water was added. Finally, 2 mL of a solution of terpy (26 mg, 0.11 mmol) in methanol was slowly added with stirring (pH ca. 2.6). Yellow crystals of 2 (21 mg, 34%) were obtained by slow solvent evaporation at room temperature, and were collected by filtration and air-dried: v=3426, 1618, 1450, 1175, 1067, 993, 775, 511 cm$^{-1}$; elemental analysis calc. (%) for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$O$_7$N$_2$: C 64.0, H 4.6; found: C 63.3, H 4.8; thermal analysis agreed with the proposed formula: 16.9% weight loss corresponding to the elimination of water, compared with a calculated value of 17.0%. $\mu_{abs}$ = 5.91 B.M. per Mn atom. Several crystals, of X-ray diffraction quality, taken from different batches of compound 2, gave crystal structures corresponding to the formula (H$_2$terpy)$_2$[Mn(H$_2$L)$_2$(terpy)(H$_2$O)$_4$]·17H$_2$O. Throughout this work, 2 was used to indicate both (H$_2$terpy)$_2$[Mn(H$_2$L)$_2$(terpy)(H$_2$O)$_4$]·15H$_2$O and (H$_2$terpy)$_2$[Mn(H$_2$L)$_2$(terpy)(H$_2$O)$_4$]·17H$_2$O.

Infrared spectroscopy, elemental analysis, thermal analysis and magnetic measurements

Infrared spectroscopy was carried out on a Shimadzu FT-IR spectrophotometer, with samples present as 1% KBr pellets. The assignment of the most important infrared bands was carried out according to previously reported works on related systems.$^{[20-21, 28-29]}$

Elemental analysis (N, C, H) was performed on a Thermo Scientific FLASH 2000 CHNS/O instrument. Thermal analysis was performed on a Shimadzu TGA 50 instrument with a TA 50 I interface, using a platinum cell and nitrogen atmosphere. Experimental conditions were 0.5 °C min$^{-1}$ temperature ramp rate and 50 mL min$^{-1}$ nitrogen flow rate. Magnetic measurements at r.t. were performed on a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID magnetometer. Diamagnetic corrections were estimated using SHELXL Version 2014/7 program.

Solubility determinations

Solubility measurements of compound 1 were carried out at constant ionic strength $I$ = 0.15 M NaClO$_4$ and 37.0 °C, following the procedure applied earlier for alkaline-earth phytates.$^{[13, 21]}$ Approximately 100 mg of compound 1 was suspended in 15 mL 0.15 M aqueous NaClO$_4$. Known amounts of HCl were added, so as to reach equilibrium points corresponding to measurable amounts of manganese in solution. Each mixture was kept in a glass jacketed cell at 37.0 °C under continuous stirring until measured pH was constant (ca. one week). After the equilibrium was reached, excess solid was filtered out (0.2 µm pore size Minisart$^\text{®}$ Sartorius disk filter), and manganese concentration was determined in the supernatant.$^{[32]}$ Starting from these Mn concentration values, and assuming a 5:1:2 stoichiometry (Mn$^{II}$):Ins$_n$ P$_{Cl}$, total amounts of H$^+$ and Ins$_n$P$_{Cl}$ were calculated. This information was used as an input in the HySS software$^{[34]}$ to determine the equilibrium concentrations of free Mn$^{II}$ and H$_2$L$^{10-}$ species, which define the $K_{eq}$. In this calculation, the thermodynamic data of the complete set of equilibria involved$^{[35]}$ were taken into account.

X-ray diffraction analysis

A yellow crystal of (H$_2$terpy)$_2$[Mn(H$_2$L)$_2$(terpy)(H$_2$O)$_4$]·17H$_2$O (2) was used for X-ray diffraction analysis. The integrated intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and an empirical absorption correction was applied.$^{[36, 37]}$ The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86).$^{[34]}$ Refinements were performed by means of full-matrix least-squares using SHELXL Version 2014/7 program.$^{[35]}$ All the non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropically refined. Terypine hydrogen atoms were introduced in calculated position and their coordinates were refined according to the linked atoms. We were not able to clearly localize hydrogen atoms belonging to phytate, protonated terypine units and cocryrstallized water molecules. These hydrogen atoms were not introduced in the calculation. Details of data collection and refinement are summarized in Table 4. The CCDC number for 2, 1523045, contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Crystal data and structure refinement for (H$_2$terpy)$_2$[Mn(H$_2$L)$_2$(terpy)(H$_2$O)$_4$]·17H$_2$O (2).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Empirical formula</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formula weight</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature (K)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal system</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a (Å)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b (Å)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c (Å)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β (°)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume (Å$^3$)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$\mu_{max}$ (g cm$^{-1}$)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R indices (I&gt;2σ(I))</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R indices (all data)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potentiometric measurements

The chemical behavior of terpy-Mn(II) system in the presence and absence of Ins$_n$P$_{Cl}$ was analyzed through potentiometric titrations in 0.15 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ at 37.0 °C, according to our previous works.$^{[20]}$ Experimental details of titrations are listed in Table S1. The equilibrium constants for phytate and terpy protonation, Mn(II):Ins$_n$P$_{Cl}$ complexes formation and phytate-terpy interaction measured under the same conditions were taken from our previous reports.$^{[12, 14, 204]}

Potentiometric data were analyzed by using the HYPERQUAD program.$^{[34]}$ The fit of the values predicted by the model to the experimental data was estimated on the basis of the $\sigma$ parameter, corresponding to the scaled sum of square differences between predicted and experimental values. Many other possible stoichiometries were tried for each system, and final models were selected on the basis of the $\sigma$ parameter, the model confidence level estimator, chi-square, and the internal consistency of data reflected in standard deviations of the formation constants.$^{[36]}$ Species distribution diagrams were produced by using the HySS program.$^{[34]}$

Computational calculations

All the calculations were run by means of the methods described hereinafter as implemented in Gaussian 09.$^{[37]}$ The interaction with the bulk of the solvent was modeled through an IEFPCM method, with radii and non-electrostatic terms from Truhlar and coworkers’ SMD solvation model.$^{[38]}$ The final structures obtained were all minima in the potential energy surface, being the nature of the stationary points verified through
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vibrational analysis. The outputs were rendered with Discovery Studio Visualizer software.[39]

In order to make a detailed assignment of the infrared spectra of the dipotassium salt, the vibrational normal modes of the totally protonated phytate anion were calculated at RHF/3-21+G* level of theory. The modelling of the H$_2$L$^2$- anion present in the dipotassium salt was not possible, since there is no data on its protonation pattern. The optimized geometry of H$_2$L was taken from our previously reported data.[40] For the molecular modeling approach to the 1:1:1 Mn(II):Ins$_{2}$:terpy complexes, unrestricted Density Functional Theory (DFT) geometry optimization runs were carried out, using the B3LYP functional and the effective core potential LANL2DZ basis set.[41] The initial geometries were built using the information given by the crystal structure of 2, and the phytate protonation pattern was fixed according to the relative position of the ionizable groups. Water molecules were placed to complete the metal coordination sphere. Manganese(II) high- and low-spin states were considered and the most stable geometries were selected.
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We present the chemical, thermodynamic and structural characterization of phytate-Mn(II) species in a contribution to study the interaction of this molecule with cations. The polynuclear complexes $[\text{Mn}_5(\text{H}_2\text{L})]$ and $(\text{H}_2\text{terpy})_2[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_6\text{L})(\text{terpy})(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (terpy = terpyridine) were prepared and characterized by different techniques.