Impact of chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine antibiotics on soil enzyme activities
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Abstract. Pharmaceutical antibiotics are frequently used in the livestock and poultry industries to control infectious diseases. Due to the lack of proper guidance for use, the majority of administrated antibiotics and their metabolites are excreted to the soil environment through urine and feces. In the present study, we used chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine antibiotics to screen out their effects on dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase and urease activity. Factorial experiments were conducted with different concentrations of antibiotic (0, 10, 25 and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of soil) mixed with soil samples, and the enzyme activity was measured at intervals of 1, 4 and 21 days. The results show that the chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine antibiotics negatively affect the dehydrogenase activity, but the effect of sulfapyridine decreases with time of incubation. Indeed, sulfapyridine antibiotic significantly affect the alkaline phosphatase activity for the entire three-time interval, while chlortetracycline seems to inhibit its activity within 1 and 4 days of incubation. The effects of chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine antibiotics on urease activity appear similar, as they both significantly affect the urease activity on day 1 of incubation. The present study concludes that chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine antibiotics have harmful effects on soil microbes, with the extent of effects varying with the duration of incubation and the type of antibiotics used.
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INTRODUCTION

The intensive use of pharmaceutical antibiotics has become a common modern practice in animal husbandry for treating infectious diseases or for promoting animal growth (Ji et al., 2009; Sarmah et al., 2006). Due to lack of proper guidance for use, many antibiotics and their derivatives (i.e. chlortetracycline, sulfapyridine, sulfadiazine, oxytetracycline and tetracycline) are excreted in large quantities as active compounds into the environment (Ding and He, 2010; Kim et al., 2011; Nelson et al., 2011). Indeed, a considerable proportion of antibiotic gets into the soil structure after the contaminated manure is used to fertilize agricultural soils. Although antibiotic residues will undergo biological and physicochemical transformations, these compounds still act as antimicrobial agents, and they have a potentially toxic effect on the favourable bacteria and other organisms in the environment, affecting soil health (Baguer et al., 2000). These effects include:

- contamination of ground and sub-surface waters and soils;
- phylogenetic structure alteration and resistance expansion;
- damage to the microbial community and to terrestrial, as well as aquatic ecosystems functions; and
- adverse health effects in humans, plants and livestock (Mojica and Aga, 2011).

In Europe, complexes of tetracyclines (TCs) and sulfonamides (SAs) are commonly utilized as veterinary antibiotics (García-Galán et al., 2008; Schauss et al., 2009). Residues of such pharmaceuticals have already been discovered in soils in concentrations up to 300 ng g\(^{-1}\) for tetracyclines and 11 ng g\(^{-1}\) for sulfonamides (Hamscher et al.,...
Tetracyclines can affect a large variety of microorganisms, such as aerobic, anaerobic, gram-positive, gram-negative bacteria (Liu et al., 2015); while sulfonamides hinder the synthesis of dihydropteroate in the direction of folic acid, which results in a reduced bacteria reproduction level (Demoling et al., 2009). Therefore, these antibiotics pose a potential ecological and environmental risk to soil microbial activities.

Soil enzyme activity is involved in nutrient cycling and the bioavailability of nutrients, and it may thus be used as an indicator of soil microbial function (Nannipieri et al., 2003). The total enzyme activity in the soil is a combination of the activities of accumulated enzymes (extracellular enzymes), such as urease and phosphatase, and enzymes of proliferating microorganisms (intracellular enzymes), such as dehydrogenase.

Anthropogenic activities, such as deposition of antibiotics in soil environment, may affect the amount and activity of soil enzyme at diverse functional levels which reduce plant growth and subsequent crop yield (Bansal, 2015). Therefore, the determination of soil enzyme activity is a potential bio-indicator for the analysis of the functional diversity of soil microbial communities because of its relation to soil enzymology (Yao et al., 2006). Moreover, the measurement of soil enzyme activity is a cultivation-independent method and can reflect the actual functioning of the entire microbial community.

In this study, chlortetracycline (CTC) and sulfapyridine (SPY) antibiotics were selected from the tetracyclines and sulfonamides groups, respectively, to investigate their effects on soil enzyme activities. The purpose of this study was (1) to determine the concentration and time-dependent effects of these antibiotics on soil enzyme activities, and (2) to study the specific effects of two different antibiotics on soil enzyme activities.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Soil sampling was randomly carried out from agricultural land, which had been used for alfalfa (M. sativa) cultivation for five years prior to sampling, located in the countryside of Urmia, Iran. In the experiment, soil samples were collected in black polythene bags from 1-20 cm of top soil. No biological or chemical fertilizers, or other organic materials, were added to this cropland within a decade preceding the sampling. Therefore, the probability of getting any trace of antibiotics in the soil was insignificant. The soil samples were sieved through a 2 mm particle sieve and then stored at 4°C in the dark for further use.

The physicochemical properties of soil samples were analyzed by using protocols described in the publication by Richards (1954). The soil texture was a sandy loam consisting of 52.35% sand, 29.23% silt and 18.42% clay. The pH value, total organic carbon and water holding capacity (WHC) were 7.56, 0.95 and 20%, respectively.

The highly pure (>99%) sulfapyridine and chlortetracycline antibiotics were obtained from Fluka and Sigma-Aldrich, respectively. The soil samples were prepared so as to contain four different level concentrations (0, 10, 25 and 100 mg kg⁻¹) of CTC and SPY antibiotics. Since the concentration of the added antibiotics was very low, in order to obtain a homogenous antibiotic distribution in all soil samples, we first mixed the antibiotic with 10 g of preheated (600°C, 48 h) soil. The antibiotic-mixed soil was then added to the 200 g dry weight of soil sample. Finally, the soil samples were placed in 500 ml trays covered over with a cap containing many small holes to allow gas exchange and to minimize water evaporation. For the activation of soil microbial growth, 2 g of glucose per 1 kg of soil was added to the samples, and then the soil samples were incubated for 21 days in the dark, at 25°C, to avoid the quick degradation of the antibiotics. The optimum moisture content (50% of the field water capacity) was maintained throughout the incubation period. The same procedure was followed for the preparation of samples of four different concentrations.

Soil dehydrogenase activity (DHA) was determined in accordance with Casida Jr et al. (1964), by the application of colorimetric measurement of reduction of 2, 3, 5- triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) to triphenyl formazan (TPF). Briefly speaking, 5 ml of an aqueous TTC solution (0.4%) and 5 ml of 0.1M tris hydroxymethyl aminomethane (≥99.8%, Merck), adjusted with HCl (32%) to pH 7.4, were added to 5 g of a soil sample in 30 ml glass flasks; samples were then incubated for 24 h at 28°C. For the blank sample, no TTC solution was added. The produced TPF was extracted with 25 ml acetone through shaking for 2 h on a horizontal rotary shaker. Subsequently, the solution was filtered, and the TPF concentration was determined spectrophotometrically at 482 nm. DHA was reported as micrograms TPF per gram (dw) d⁻¹.

The alkaline phosphatase activity was determined using the method which was previously described by Rodriguez-Loizaz et al. (2008). A reaction mixture consisting of 1 g fresh soil (<2 mm), 0.2 ml of toluene, 1 ml of p-nitrophenyl phosphate solution and 4 ml of modified universal buffer (MUB, pH 11) was incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After the incubation, the reaction was stopped by adding 1 ml of CaCl₂ 0.5 M and 4 ml of NaOH 0.5 M. The soil solutions were then filtered through a Whatman filter paper (42 pore size), and the yellow color intensity of the filtrates was measured spectrophotometrically at 410 nm.

The urease enzyme activity was determined using the steam distillation method as described by Mulvaney (1996) and Tabatabai (1994). Briefly speaking, 5 g of fresh soil samples (<2 mm) were mixed with 0.2 ml of toluene, 9 ml of tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (THAM) buffer (0.01 M, pH 9) and 1 ml of a 0.2 M urea solution as
a substrate. The reaction mixture was subsequently incubated at 37°C for 2 h. The released NH$_2$-N from the urea hydrolysis was measured with a steam distillation apparatus.

Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS, 9.2 software. Microbial parameter data were analyzed using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with antibiotic concentration and incubation time acting as variance factors. Differences between means for a given antibiotic concentration and incubation times were compared using a one-way ANOVA and Fisher’s LSD post-hoc test. The values were considered to be significantly different at a 95% confidence level. The values in the figures correspond to the average of triplicate data ± standard deviations (SD).

RESULTS

The DHA was determined during the incubation in soil samples treated with CTC and SPY (Fig. 1a). Herein, the DHA ranged from 4.56 to 14.23 µg TPF g$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, and from 5.88 to 14.23 µg TPF g$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ in soil samples treated with CTC and SPY, respectively. Changes in DHA in CTC treatments depended on the incubation time and antibiotic concentration. The DHA was highest at control treatment of day 1 and the lowest at 10, 25 and 100 mg kg$^{-1}$ of day 21 of incubation. The enzyme activity of urease (URE) during the incubation in soil samples treated with CTC and SPY (Fig. 1c). The activities of DHA, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and urease (URE) enzymes were measured (Fig. 1). The activities of DHA,

DISCUSSION

To clarify the impact of CTC and SPY antibiotics on soil microbial functions, the activities of dehydrogenase (DHA), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and urease (URE) enzymes were measured (Fig. 1). The activities of DHA,
Fig. 1. The activity of: a – dehydrogenase, b – alkaline phosphatase, and c – urease depending on sample treatment of CTC and SPY (spiking concentrations: 0, 10, 25 and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and incubation time (1, 4 and 21 days). The different letters indicate significant difference (95% confidence intervals) during incubation (one-way ANOVA, followed by the least significant difference test). Error bars represent the standard deviation of replicate analyses (n=3).
ALP and URE correlate with the oxidative activity, and the P and N cycles of soil microbiota in the environment, respectively (Liu et al., 2014).

Various studies have been reported that glucose or other organic substrates are helpful in activating soil microbial activities for observing the effects of veterinary antibiotics on soil microbial functions, such as enzymatic activities (Demoling et al., 2009; Hammesfahr et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2015; Qingxiang et al., 2009). In the present study, glucose as a substrate was co-applied with the CTC and SPY in order to amplify the activity of the soil microbiota.

It was revealed that chlortetracycline antibiotic adversely affected the DHA on day 1 and on day 4 of incubation (Fig. 1a). This is not a surprising result because CTC as a bacterial inhibitor may affect the soil microbial functions through the direct shift of the soil bacterial structure and the frequency of some bacterial functions (Bailey et al., 2003). What is more, the DHA significantly reduced at the CTC treatments, in comparison with the control treatment, on 21 days of incubation, but the effect of CTC on DHA was reduced, when compared to DHA on days 1 and 4 of incubation. Still, assuming that the CTC concentration may be partly adsorbed by the soil surface over time (Chander et al., 2005), we concluded that residual concentrations of this antibiotic might be high enough to exert undesirable effects on soil bacteria, and the growth of soil microflora was still under the influence of preliminary concentrations of this antibiotic. Reichel et al. (2013) observed that the antibacterial activity of sulfadiazine (SDZ) and difloxacin (DIF) antibiotics was maintained despite the gradual reduction in these compounds after 63 days of incubation. Thus, despite the residual concentrations of antibiotics having a low bioavailability in soils, these act as a long-term release source of antibiotics.

In contrast, the SPY antibiotic had an undesirable effect on soil dehydrogenase activity only at higher antibiotic concentrations on 1 day of incubation (Fig. 1a), while the DHA was increased at SPY treatments, compared to the control treatment, after 4 days of incubation. One important factor involved in the degradation of sulfonamide antibiotics in soils is the photo-degradation of these compounds (Baran et al., 2009). In the present study, the antibiotic treatments were incubated in the dark. Hence, the dissipation of SPY antibiotic was most probably low because of photo-degradation. Nevertheless, Drillia et al. (2005) hold that the biodegradation of sulfonamide antibiotics is negligible. Therefore, the increase in DHA at SPY treatments, when compared to the control treatment, on day 4 was unclear at least in this study. However, there was no significant difference in DHA between the SPY and control treatments after 21 days. Still, in this study, the bioavailable concentrations of SPY antibiotics were not measured. Therefore, we do not expect that the similar bioavailability of antibiotic treatments is the reason for the obtained results. Nevertheless, the extractable concentrations of antibiotics, considered as bioavailable concentration, were probably reduced due to the sorption of antibiotics on soil particles (Kahle and Stamm, 2007), as well as due to the degradation and formation of non-extractable residuals (Heise et al., 2006). Our observations were in line with the findings of Boleas et al. (2005), in which soil enzyme activities were inhibited by antibiotics in the early days of incubation, and then recovered at the end of the experiment.

Phosphatase enzyme catalyzes the mineralization of phosphorous. This enzyme is mostly found in soil, and is used as an indicator of soil biological activity (Xiu-Mei et al., 2008).

In the early days of incubation (days 1 and 4), the ALP activity was significantly decreased at all CTC treatments, compared to the control treatment (Fig. 1b), which results from the CTC antibiotic being a broad spectrum antibacterial agent (Pinna et al., 2012). Our data were in line with those of Qingxiang et al. (2009). In their work, the OTC antibiotic was seen to adversely affect the alkaline phosphatase activity at soil pH 7.8, and ALP activity was reduced by 40, and 64, to 80%, at 10 mg kg⁻¹ and 30 mg kg⁻¹ concentrations, respectively. The increase in ALP activity at higher CTC concentrations, as compared to lower concentrations on day 21 of incubation, might be due to a decrease in the extractable CTC fraction, as tetracycline antibiotics hold high potential for rapid adsorption on soil matrix, and thus for stabilization in free concentration. Liu et al. (2015) also found that the extractable concentration of CTC intensively decreased over time. In addition to the decrease in CTC bioavailability, the development of antibiotic resistant bacteria (Wu et al., 2010), as well as the release of bioavailable substrate from dead microbial biomass, might mask the antibacterial activity of CTC (Widenfalk et al., 2004).

In contrast to the CTC antibiotic, the SPY antibiotic significantly decreased the ALP activity at all concentrations of antibiotic, compared to the control treatment. This reflects the longer effects of this antibiotic on soil alkaline phosphatase activity. Adsorption coefficients of sulfonamides in the range of pH 4 to pH 8 depend on soil pH, due to the amphoteric properties of these compounds. In acidic pH, sulfonamides have a positive or neutral charge, while at neutral and alkaline pH, charges on these are negative (Park and Huwe, 2016). In our study (soil pH 7.80), sulfonamides have a negative charge, and this results in a decreased adsorption coefficient. Therefore, SPY antibiotic become less adsorbed by the soil particles, thus resulting in adverse effects on soil functional activity such as alkaline phosphatase activity (Hamscher et al., 2005). Another important factor that retains the adverse effects of SPY during the incubation process might be the high resistance of this antibiotic to biodegradation (Drillia et al., 2005; Kümmner et al., 2004). Indeed, Al-Ahmad et al. (1999) observed weak biodegradability of sulfamethoxazole (SMX) on day 28 of incubation. The weak degradation of
sulfonamides, therefore, could contribute to the inhibition of microorganism activity due to high primary concentrations of antibiotics (Zhang et al., 2013).

The overall changes in the urease activity that had a close correlation with the soil N cycle were, in our study, seen in soil samples treated with CTC and SPY antibiotics (Fig. 1c), and it is noticeable that both CTC and SPY antibiotics significantly affected urease activity on day 1 of incubation. However, the adverse effect of CTC was more significant than that of SPY, indicating the higher toxicity of CTC on soil microbial activity. In this regard, Thiele-Bruhn (2005) showed that the toxicity of tetracycline antibiotics was slightly bigger than that of sulfonamides antibiotics. However, in our work, the URE activity was considerably enhanced by treatments of CTC and SPY antibiotics on day 4 and day 21 days of incubation, which indicates that soil microflora could be using these antibiotics as a carbon source. These results were in line with the findings of Liu et al. (2015) who observed an increase in soil enzyme activity on soil samples treated with chlortetracycline and sulfonamides in the early days of incubation. However, the URE activity was significantly decreased at different concentrations of CTC and SPY (compared to the control treatment), indicating the inhibitory effects of these antibiotics on soil microbial functions. Similar results about the effects of antibiotics on enzyme activities have been reported by Thiele-Bruhn and Beck (2005), and by Pinna et al. (2012), and our results clarify the adverse effects of residual antibiotics on soil microbial communities.

In general, the effects of chlortetracycline (CTC) and sulfapyridine (SPY) antibiotics on dehydrogenase and alkaline phosphatase activities were different in the course of incubation, while the effects of these antibiotics on urease activity followed the same trend. These results suggest that the effects of pharmaceutical antibiotics on soil microbial functions depend on the soil microbial parameters determined and on the kind of antibiotic used.

In our study, the measurements of soil enzyme activities showed the undesirable effects of pharmaceutical antibiotics (CTC and SMX) on soil enzyme activities. However, in contrast to heavy metals, the effects of pharmaceutical antibiotics on soil biochemical activities cannot be assessed by one special method alone. For a comprehensive study of antibiotics on soil microbial communities, a combination of different bioassays must be used.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Chlortetracycline antibiotics adversely affect dehydrogenase activity, while sulfapyridine antibiotics have a transient adverse effect on dehydrogenase activity during incubation.

2. Alkaline phosphatase activity is differently affected by these two antibiotics; chlortetracycline antibiotic has a positive effect on alkaline phosphatase activity with increasing incubation time, while sulfapypyridine antibiotic has a constant negative effect on the activity of this enzyme.

3. The effects of chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine antibiotics on urease activity follow almost exactly the same pattern; chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine negatively affect the urease activity on day 1 of incubation. However, with increasing time, the adverse effect of chlortetracycline and sulfapyridine antibiotics decreases.

4. The present results indicate that the pharmaceutical antibiotics might exert undesirable effects on soil microbial functions, such as enzyme activities, depending on the microbial parameters considered and the kind of antibiotics used.
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