Reperfusion Injury after ischemic Stroke Study (RISKS): single-centre (Florence, Italy), prospective observational protocol study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Treatments aiming at reperfusion of the acutely ischaemic brain tissue may result futile or even detrimental because of the so-called reperfusion injury. The processes contributing to reperfusion injury involve a number of factors, ranging from blood–brain barrier (BBB) disruption to circulating biomarkers. Our aim is to evaluate the relative effect of imaging and circulating biomarkers in relation to reperfusion injury.

Methods and analysis Observational hospital-based study that will include 140 patients who had ischaemic stroke, treated with systemic thrombolysis, endovascular treatment or both. BBB disruption will be assessed with CT perfusion (CTP) before treatment, and levels of a large panel of biomarkers will be measured before intervention and after 24 hours. Relevant outcomes will include: (1) reperfusion injury, defined as radiologically relevant haemorrhagic transformation at 24 hours and (2) clinical status 3 months after the index stroke. We will investigate the separate and combined effect of pretreatment BBB disruption and circulating biomarkers on reperfusion injury and clinical status at 3 months. Study protocol is registered at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT03041753).

Ethics and dissemination The study protocol has been approved by ethics committee of the Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Careggi (Università degli Studi di Firenze). Informed consent is obtained by each patient at time of enrolment or deferred when the participant lacks the capacity to provide consent during the acute phase. Researchers interested in testing hypotheses with the data are encouraged to contact the corresponding author. Results from the study will be disseminated at national and international conferences and in medical thesis.

Trial registration number NCT03041753.

INTRODUCTION

Ischaemic stroke is a major cause of death and disability. The target of treatments aiming at recanalisation of the occluded vessel is the reperfusion of the ischaemic brain tissue. However, reperfusion of the acutely ischaemic tissue may result clinically futile, or even detrimental because of the so-called reperfusion injury.1 Reperfusion injury is a pathophysiologic term that describes complex biochemical mechanisms that may boost the damage of the ischaemic tissue, even with successful recanalisation of the occluded vessel.2 Haemorrhagic transformation (HT) may be considered as expression of the reperfusion injury. Preliminary evidence showed that the disruption of the blood–brain barrier (BBB), which can be investigated by
MR techniques or CT using permeability maps, is a key phenomenon of the reperfusion injury. Experimental studies on cerebral ischaemia have demonstrated that a number of biological factors, including inflammatory mediators, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and endothelial function mediators may contribute to reperfusion injury. Specifically, unbalance of MMP levels and their natural inhibitors (tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases) seem to be associated with disruption of BBB and increased risk of HT. However, scanty clinical data exist about relationships between circulating and imaging biomarkers of reperfusion injury. Specifically, unbalance of MMP levels and their natural inhibitors (tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases) seem to be associated with disruption of BBB and increased risk of HT. However, scanty clinical data exist about relationships between circulating and imaging biomarkers of reperfusion injury. Results may help to identify imaging and circulating biomarkers useful for selection of patients in future clinical trials.

METHODS
Design
This is an observational prospective single-centre hospital-based study that will include 140 consecutive patients who had an ischaemic stroke treated with recanalisation therapies, we plan to investigate the separate effect of pretreatment BBB disruption and circulating biomarkers on reperfusion injury. Moreover, we will investigate the combined effect of BBB disruption and circulating biomarkers in relation to reperfusion injury. Results may help to identify imaging and circulating biomarkers useful for selection of patients in future clinical trials.

Study population
The study will include patients who had an acute ischaemic stroke in the anterior circulation with National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) ≥7 within 12 hours from last time seen well, treated with systemic thrombolysis, endovascular treatment or both. Post-stroke functional status will be measured with the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) administered at 3 months by visit or phone interview. We will rate HT grade using the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS II) criteria. Collection of clinical data will be blinded to the biomarkers’ results. Key inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarised in table 2.

Imaging protocol
Cerebral imaging will include baseline plain CT, CT angiography and CT perfusion (CTP). CT will be repeated at 24 hours and at any time if clinical deterioration occurs. Collection of imaging data will be blinded to both clinical and laboratory data. Baseline and follow-up CT scans will be assessed by three stroke physicians (FA, BP and VP) for presence of early ischaemic signs (Alberta Stroke

## Table 1 Schedule of assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical data</th>
<th>0 (pretreatment)</th>
<th>24–36 hours</th>
<th>7 days/ discharge</th>
<th>3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic variables</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional status (mRs)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular risk factors</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroimaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT perfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT angiography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recanalisation assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CT angiography, MR angiography and transcranial Doppler)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood samples</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mRs, modified Rankin scale; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

## Table 2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute stroke eligible for systemic thrombolysis or endovascular treatment or both</td>
<td>Ischaemic stroke in posterior circulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥18 years</td>
<td>Contraindication for iodine contrast medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS ≥7</td>
<td>&gt;12 hours from last time seen well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.
patients treated with endovascular procedures with effective recanalisation and clinical improvement, we will not reassess recanalisation at 24 hours, and we will assume the recanalisation to be as it was at the end of the endovascular treatment.

**Laboratory protocol**

Blood will be collected before and 24 hours after acute interventions. Tubes will be centrifuged at room temperature at 1500 g for 15 min, and the supernatants will be stored in aliquots at −80°C. The complete list of biomarker under study is depicted in table 3. Novel candidates that will emerge over the course of the study will be considered for analysis. Thrombus obtained after endovascular procedure will be placed into RNA stabilisation reagent and stored at −80°C for global gene expression analysis.

**Treatment or intervention**

No adjunctive selective study-related interventions are planned. Patients are treated following current guidelines.

**Primary endpoint**

- Relevant HT (defined as haemorrhagic infarction type two or any type of parenchymal haemorrhage (PH1 and PH2)) at 24 hours CT.

**Secondary endpoints**

- Symptomatic HT (defined as any deterioration in NIHSS score or death combined with intracerebral haemorrhage of any type) at 24 hours CT.
- Categorical shift in mRS score 3 months after the index stroke.

**Statistical analysis**

We will describe general characteristics of the study population, and test differences using Pearson $\chi^2$ for categorical variables, analysis of variance and Mann-Whitney U test for numeric variables, as appropriate. BBB disruption and differences in biomarkers levels between baseline and 24 hours will be evaluated according to their distribution. In case of skewed distribution of biomarker values, we will consider the possibility of log-transformation of data. Considering a possible effect of systemic thrombolysis on biomarker levels, the patient cohort will be divided in two groups on the basis of treatment received at baseline (systemic thrombolysis vs mechanical thrombectomy alone). For imaging data, as a main explanatory variable, we will use BBB disruption values. For biomarkers data, as a main explanatory variable, we will use single patient’s relative prethrombolysis and post-thrombolysis variation ($\Delta$ median value) of biomarker levels, calculated according to the formula: (24 hours post-tissue Plasminogen Activator biomarker levels–pre-tPA biomarker levels)/pre-tPA biomarker levels. Differences in these $\Delta$ values will be analysed in relation to demographic and clinical features and across subgroups of patients with different outcomes. The net effect of each biomarker (baseline, 24 hours and $\Delta$ values) on outcomes will be also

---

Figure 1  CT perfusion. Permeability map of middle cerebral artery territory on the affected side compared with the contralateral hemisphere.
conducted in 32 patients who had a similar stroke by our group. Results of a pilot study conducted by our group and on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) acute ischaemic stroke have shown an association between BBB disruption, turned out to be associated with both baseline levels of MMP9. In a larger cohort of 180 patients who had an acute stroke, T2 FLAIR hyperintensities, a possible expression of vasogenic oedema in the setting of the successful enrolment of our first 100 patients may indicate clinical information about biological factors involved in reperfusion injury after cerebral ischaemia.

**Sample size**

We plan to include 140 patients. The sample size was calculated using observational data from a pilot study conducted in 32 patients who had a similar stroke by our group. Permeability values above the threshold within the ischaemic core were found in 38% of patients who had a stroke. Considering a statistical power of 0.80 (alpha error of 0.05), we estimated a sample size of 140 patients to demonstrate an effect of significant BBB disruption on relevant HT in at least 22% of patients.

Enrolment started on October 2015 and is ongoing. Using a definite protocol, a prospective collection of data and an adequate number of patients, this study will integrate clinical information about biological factors involved in reperfusion injury after cerebral ischaemia.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patient and Public Involvement in the development of the research question, in outcome measures and in the design of this study could not be planned. Results will be disseminated through patient’s association.

**DISCUSSION**

Circulating and neuroimaging biomarkers of reperfusion injury measured in the acute ischaemic stroke setting represent potentially useful predictors of undesirable complications after recanalisation procedure. On the basis of the results of three small studies, CTP seems to have high sensitivity and specificity to predict HT after acute ischaemic stroke. MRI data from 41 patients evaluated for acute stroke have shown an association between BBB disruption at 24 hours (defined as gadolinium enhancement of CSF on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI) and baseline levels of MMP9. In a larger cohort of 180 patients who had an acute stroke, T2 FLAIR hyperintensities, possible expression of vasogenic oedema in the setting of BBB disruption, turned out to be associated with both baseline MMP-9 level and risk of haemorrhage.

The RISKS combines advanced neuroimaging techniques for the study of BBB disruption with analyses of circulating biomarkers as potential predictors of reperfusion injury after acute phase interventions. The following considerations motivate this study: (1) in the human setting, the biological mechanisms of reperfusion injury remain incompletely described and understood; (2) a better knowledge of the underlying mechanisms may help discriminating patients at risk for complications from those who may definitely benefit from targeted interventions; (3) the validation of surrogate diagnostic markers of reperfusion injury damage such as BBB disruption may help designing and conducting clinical trials testing effectiveness of reperfusion injury antagonists.

Results of a pilot study conducted by our group and the successful enrolment of our first 100 patients may allow us to calculate patient’s baseline levels of MMP-9, with a statistical power of 0.80, for the validation of surrogate diagnostic markers of reperfusion injury damage such as BBB disruption.
Informed consent is obtained by each patient before enrolment. A full explanation of the study, a written ‘Patient Information Sheet’ (detailing rationale, design and personal implications of trial entry) and informed consent form is provided. The consent process is deferred when the participant lacks the capacity to provide consent. Researchers interested in testing hypotheses with the data are encouraged to contact the corresponding author. Results from the study will be disseminated at national and international conferences and in MD and PhD medical theses.
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