The painful search of a painless labour

During the 12th and 13th centuries, rivalry between “The Guelphs” and “The Ghibellines” (factions supporting the Church and the Holy Roman Empire, respectively) characterized a particularly intense aspect of the internal politics in the city-states of central and northern Italy, particularly in Tuscany. The Guelph-Ghibelline conflict, which was described also in Dante’s *Divina Comedia*, gave way to an especially ferocious and painful civil war lasted for decades, and ending without a real winner [1].

In 2000, a preliminary investigation on remifentanil as a systemic drug for obstetric analgesia concluded that remifentanil was “unsuitable during labour” [2]. Months later (2001) a prospective study on the same topic stated that it had the properties of an “ideal systemic analgesic for use during labour” [3]. Since then something like 160 articles evaluating the use of intravenous remifentanil for labour analgesia, resulted in mixed findings regarding its safety and efficacy in attenuating labour pain if compared with other opioids or neuraxial techniques. Some strong statements were in favor of remifentanil “… it is an important advance in the obstetric anesthesiology armamentarium for parturients who do not want neuraxial analgesia” [4]. While some others were against it: “… routine use in labour must be discouraged … must we press on until a young mother dies?” [5]. This scientific epistologists conflict (no holds barred) sounds like the “Guelphs vs Chibelines” but among two obstetric anesthesiologists “factions”, and it has been now lasting for two decades: on one hand those who strongly supported the technique and on the other, with just as much commitment, the opponents.

The pain associated with labour is highly personal and varies greatly among individual, but most of women do experience anything but modest pain during labour, resulting in negative physical, emotional and psychological effects [6].

In the December issue of Trends in Anaesthesia and Critical Care [7], Andrea Melber and Alex Sia, explore efficacy, safety and risks of remifentanil Patient-Controlled Intravenous Analgesia (PCA) for labour in relation to the other better established pharmacological methods. We want to thank the authors to point out some adverse event [14].

From the fetal-neonatal side, remifentanil has less side effects than other parenteral opioids. Should reduced fetal heart rate variability be observed, the reported need for neonatal resuscitation is low [8].

Thirdly: “In the use of Remifentanil PCA … new technology ... will hopefully improve this aspect of care for the parturient”. In addition to one-to-one midwifery/nursing surveillance, with the aim of increasing the safety of remifentanil analgesia, a promising novel feedback system, integrating a vital signs-controlled and patient-assisted intravenous remifentanil analgesia (VPNA) has been developed [15]. The system automatically increases or decreases the bolus doses based on parturient’s requests of remifentanil and stops further remifentanil administration in the presence of maternal hypoxia, thanks to integrated real-time continuous maternal data obtained from pulse oximetry and heart rate values. An implementation of the system with capnography and fetal heart rate monitoring has been recommended [16]. An algorithm based on a time series of painful contractions during labour, which can predict the future contractions with sufficient precision, optimizing remifentanil bolus application and so improving the efficacy of PCA during first-stage labour, has also been developed [17].

Two final considerations. Remifentanil PCA technique will become safer and more effective than it is today, however a strong
reason for supporting epidural labour analgesia is the ability to rapidly convert analgesia to surgical anesthesia for unscheduled cesarean delivery. Especially in complicated parturients (e.g. morbidly obese) the early placement of an epidural catheter for labour analgesia seems to be the only “routine” viable option and probably the actual gold standard for labour analgesia, which somehow results in a painful search of a painless labour.

Women requesting pain relief for labour who have contraindications for an epidural block (impaired coagulation due to prophylactic anticoagulants, or pre-existing blood clotting abnormality or platelet dysfunction) or when central neuraxial analgesia may be technically impossible to perform, could benefit from intravenous remifentanil analgesia. However significant availability of medical staff and monitoring devices, and large-scale studies are needed before remifentanil can be safely recommended as a “standard” in this context.

Until this time comes, our duty is to pursue patients’ safety and satisfaction, and to provide, as from excellent research from Melber and Coworkers [7], the search of the perfect painless labour. A search which is part of our nature, as from same Dante Alighieri’s words: “Considerate la vostra semenza: fatti non foste a vivere come bruti, ma per seguir virtute e conoscenza” “Consider ye the seed from which ye sprang: Ye were not made to live like unto brutes, But for pursuit of virtue and of knowledge” [19].
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