Activation of [calix[4]arene-(O2)-{(OPr')2}]-TiCl3 with methylalumoxane results in an efficient ethylene polymerization catalyst suitable for the production of UHMWPE. The catalytic system displays remarkable high thermal stability due to the presence of two coordinating propyloxy side groups.
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The new complex 25,27-dipropyloxy-26,28-dioxocalix[4]arene titanium (IV) dichloride (1) was evaluated as an ethylene polymerization catalyst. Activation with methylaluminoxane resulted in an active system producing ultrahigh-molecular-weight polyethylene. As expected for a Ziegler-Natta catalyst, the polymerization reaction follows first-order kinetics. The most striking feature of the catalytic system (1/MAO) is its remarkably high thermal stability. This peculiarity probably relies on the electronic stabilization of the metal center by the two coordinating propoxy groups.

Introduction

The design and synthesis of molecular complexes suitable for efficient Ziegler-Natta polymerization still constitutes an important challenge in both industrial and academic research. In this respect, the choice of the catalyst’s first coordination sphere is critical for tuning the catalytic properties of the complex and notably for controlling the features of the outcome polymer. Most soluble Ziegler-Natta catalysts are very sensitive to high reaction temperatures and this represents a drawback for possible industrial applications. This defect is usually attributed to deactivation reactions, which lead to both a decrease in activity and a loss of selectivity. The molecular weight of polyethylene obtained with [Cp₂ZrCl₂], for example, drops from 600 000 to 120 000 when the reaction temperature is raised from 20 to 70 °C.¹,²
Recently, several research groups have shown that various complexes of calixarenes and group IV or VI metals are able to promote the polymerization of α-olefins. Calixarenes are macrocyclic molecules consisting of phenol units linked via methylene bridges at the ortho positions of the phenol rings. They have found many applications in molecular and supramolecular chemistry, but surprisingly few studies have focused on the use of well-defined calixarene complexes in ethylene polymerization.

The calix[4]arenes, i.e., those calixarenes containing four phenolic units, have several well-defined conformations but, in the so-called “cone”, they provide an O₄ donor-atom set able to function towards transition metals, in particular early transition metals, like a small oxo surface. In the present work we describe a complex derived from such a ligand, namely /C223 Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2007 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.mcp-journal.de

General Catalytic Testing Procedure for Ethylene Polymerization

All polymerization reactions were performed in a 500 mL Brignole AU steel autoclave equipped with a magnetic stirrer. The autoclave was dried under vacuum at 95 °C for 1 h prior to use upon which it was cooled to room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The polymerization was performed at 80 °C and 50 bar ethylene pressure. The autoclave was charged with 100 mL of toluene and 1.5 g (2.9 mmol) of an MAO solution (10 wt.-% aluminum, Crompton GmbH). The mixture was stirred at 80 °C under an ethylene atmosphere for 2.5 h. After polymerization, the polymer was isolated as a white powder by filtration and washing with toluene, followed by vacuum-drying at 80 °C.

Characterization of the Catalytic Species (1/MAO)

31 × 10⁻³ g (0.05 mmol) of complex 1 was dissolved in a solution (3 mL) of MAO in C₆D₆ (MAO = 100 mg·mL⁻¹). An aliquot (0.8 mL) of the resulting brown solution was used for NMR analysis.

Synthesis of the Titanium Complex 1

To a solution of 25,27-dipropyloxy-26,28-dihydroxycalix[4]arene (1.000 g, 1.96 mmol) in toluene (100 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature a 1 M solution of TiCl₄ in CH₂Cl₂ (2.16 mmol, 1.1 equiv., 2.16 mL). The red solution was heated at 60 °C during 48 h, before being concentrated to ca. 15 mL. Addition of hexane (100 mL) afforded complex 1 as a red precipitate, which was filtered off and dried under vacuum. Yield: 1.030 g (84%).

1H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 6.96 (d, 4H, m-ArH, J = 7.3 Hz), 6.82 (dd, 2H, p-ArH, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.53 (d, 4H, m-ArH, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.20 (t, 2H, p-ArH, J = 7.3 Hz), 4.85 (pseudo t, 4H, OCH₂, J = 8.6 Hz), 4.61 and 3.02 (AB spin system, 8H, ArCH₂Ar, J(AB) = 13.2 Hz), 1.88–1.78 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 0.33 (t, 6H, CH₂C₂H₅), 0.76 (t, 6H, CH₂C₂H₅, J = 7.4 Hz).

13C NMR (C₆D₆): δ = 132.79–121.73 (arom. Cs), 87.16 (s, OCH₂), 34.10 (s, ArCH₂Ar), 21.34 (CH₃CH₃), 9.07 (s, CH₃CH₃).

1H NMR spectra were referenced within three weeks to avoid self-condensation effects of MAO.

Fourier-transform mode at 298 K. 1H NMR spectra were referenced for consistency on a Varian Mercury 400 apparatus operating at 100 MHz in deuterated solvents (77.0 ppm for CDCl₃ and 128.0 ppm for C₆D₆).

The 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ultrashield 400 MHz instrument (400.0 MHz for 1H and 100.6 MHz for 13C) and on a Varian Mercury 400 apparatus operating at 100 MHz in Fourier-transform mode at 298 K. 1H NMR spectra were referenced to residual protonated solvents (7.26 ppm for CDCl₃ and 7.15 ppm for CD₃OD). 13C chemical shifts are reported relative to deuterated solvents (77.0 ppm for CDCl₃ and 128.0 ppm for C₆D₆).

The calix[4]arenes, i.e., those calixarenes containing four phenolic units, have several well-defined conformations but, in the so-called “cone”, they provide an O₄ donor-atom set able to function towards transition metals, in particular early transition metals, like a small oxo surface. In the present work we describe a complex derived from such a ligand, namely cone-25,27-dipropyloxy-26,28-dioxocalix[4]arene titanium (IV) dichloride (Figure 1), together with its catalytic properties in ethylene polymerization. A related complex has previously been reported, independently, by Floriani et al. and by Radius et al.

Experimental Part

General

All manipulations were carried out under a dry argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk tube techniques. The solvents were dried and distilled before use. Argon (99.998%) and ethylene (99.95%) were purchased by Air Liquide and used as received. 25,27-Dipropyloxy-26,28-dihydroxycalix[4]arene (99.95%) were purchased by Air Liquide and used as received. Dried and distilled before use. Argon (99.998%) and ethylene (99.998%) were used.

The 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ultrashield 400 MHz instrument (400.0 MHz for 1H and 100.6 MHz for 13C) and on a Varian Mercury 400 apparatus operating at 100 MHz in Fourier-transform mode at 298 K. 1H NMR spectra were referenced to residual protonated solvents (7.26 ppm for CDCl₃ and 7.15 ppm for CD₃OD). 13C chemical shifts are reported relative to deuterated solvents (77.0 ppm for CDCl₃ and 128.0 ppm for CD₃OD).

Characterization of the Catalytic Species (1/MAO)

31 × 10⁻³ g (0.05 mmol) of complex 1 was dissolved in a solution (3 mL) of MAO in C₆D₆ (MAO = 100 mg·mL⁻¹). An aliquot (0.8 mL) of the resulting brown solution was used for NMR analysis.

1H NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 6.99–6.97 (3H, CH arom), 6.96–6.95 (3H, CH arom), 6.38 (4H, m-ArH, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.08 (t, 2H, p-ArH, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.24 (pseudo t, 4H, OCH₂, J = 8.2 Hz), 4.15 and 3.17 (AB spin system, 8H, ArCH₂Ar, J(AB) = 13.2 Hz), 1.61–1.54 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 0.76 (t, 6H, CH₂C₂H₅), 0.33 (t, 6H, CH₂C₂H₅, J = 7.4 Hz). The signals corresponding to unreacted MAO appear in the range -0.4/–0.6 ppm.

13C (¹H) NMR (C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ = 162.98 (s, CqOTi), 144.11 (s, CqOPr), 137.47–125.27 (arom. Cs), 87.89 (s, OCH₂), 87.76 (s, TiCH₃), 32.38 (s, ArCH₂Ar), 22.07 (s, CH₂CH₃), 8.99 (s, CH₃CH₃). The 1H NMR spectrum recorded at 100 °C is not significantly different from that obtained at room temperature.
atmosphere. The ethylene pressure was kept constant during the catalytic run (semi batch process). The monomer concentrations were calculated using literature data. Toluene (200 mL) and MAO (100 mg mL⁻¹) were introduced in the reactor kept at 30 °C. After thermal equilibration, a constant ethylene pressure was applied for a few minutes (until the solution was saturated with ethylene). The reactions were started by injection of 1 mL of a toluene stock solution of the precatalyst (4.2 × 10⁻³ M). The ethylene consumption was monitored with a digital mass flow meter (Bronkhorst High-Tech). After 2 h the reactions were quenched by injecting 1 mL of ethanol. The reaction mixture was poured into a solution (200 mL) containing ethanol (425 mL), HCl (37%, 200 mL), and water (1 450 mL) solution and stirred overnight. The polymers were filtered off, washed with water and ethanol, then dried at 60 °C under vacuum to constant weight.

Polymer Characterization

¹³C NMR spectra of the polymers were recorded on a Bruker 400-Mhz instrument (100.6 MHz) at 100 °C using the Waltz16 decoupling method. Polymer samples of 150–200 mg (10 mass %) were dissolved in a 4:1 (v/v) mixture of 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane-d₂ (signal at δ = 74.24 ppm) in 10 mm NMR tube; pulse angle 30 °; delay time 5 s; scan number 1 024. Melting temperatures (Tm) of the polymers were determined by DSC with a Mettler-Toledo DSC 821e instrument equipped with an intracooler and calibrated with the melting transition of indium (156.1 °C). The polymer sample mass was 10 mg and aluminum pans were used. The thermal history of the polymers was eliminated by heating the specimen at a rate of 20 to 200 °C·min⁻¹, then by cooling it at 20 to −100 °C·min⁻¹. The second scan was then recorded from −100 to +200 °C. The molecular weight (Mw) and polydispersity index (Mw/Mn) of the polymers were determined, when possible, by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) with a Waters GPCV 2000 Alliance system equipped with a refractive index detector, viscosimetric detector, and a set of three columns, Styragel type (HT6, HT5, HT3). The analyses were performed at 140 °C using 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene as solvent, 2,6-di(tert-butyl)-4-methylphenol as thermostatizer, with a flow rate of 1.0 mL·min⁻¹ and standard polystyrene as the reference. In the case of high molar mass polymers, the molecular weight was estimated by viscosimetric analysis. The samples were prepared by dissolving 10–15 mg of polymer in 50 mL of decahydronaphthalene (Decalin) stabilized with 2,6-di(tert-butyl)-4-methylphenol (1 g L⁻¹). The viscosity measurements were done at 135 °C using an Ubbelohde viscosimeter (Capillary 5300a, K = 0.005 mm²·s⁻¹). The time was measured with a ViskoBoy 2 analyzer. The solution was kept at 135 °C overnight and filtered at high temperature before the measurement. The capillary was cleaned up two times with the next polymer solution before measurement. The Mark-Houwink constants were taken from the literature.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Molecular Structure of 1

The titanium (IV) complex 1 was obtained in 84% yield by reacting 25,27-dipropoxy-26,28-dihydroxycalix[4]arene with TiCl₄ at 60 °C in toluene. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 1, which reveal a C₂ᵥ-symmetrical structure, are consistent with a calixarene in the cone conformation (see Experimental Part). The molecular structure of 1 was determined by a single crystal X-ray diffraction study (Figure 2). The unit cell of 1 contains two molecules (1a and 1b) which structurally differ only little. In both complexes the titanium atom is octahedrally coordinated to four methyl groups and a single oxygen atom from a solvent molecule (dichloromethane). The calixarene ring is in the cone conformation, as indicated by the long C₆–C₆ distance of 2.737 Å (Figure 2). The titanium atom is coordinated to two phenolic oxygen atoms and two methyl groups, which are involved in hydrogen bonding with the solvent molecules. The solvent molecules are located in the channels formed by the calixarene structure and are disordered. The ethylene groups are located in the center of the calixarene structure, as expected for a calix[4]arene in the cone conformation.
oxygen and two chlorine atoms, the two propylated oxygen atoms occupying trans positions. In keeping with the fact that the phenolate oxygen atoms are the better oxygen donors, the Ti–O (phenolate) bonds (aver. 1.79 Å in 1a; 1.80 Å in 1b) are significantly shorter than the other Ti–O bonds (aver. 2.11 Å in 1a; 2.11 Å in 1b). The calixarene core adopts a flattened cone conformation, with dihedral angles between the symmetrically sited phenoxy rings of 122° (average) and 42° (average), respectively. A similar distortion has been observed by Radius et al. in the related complex [calix[4]arene-(O2)-(OMe)2]TiCl2.[9b]

Polymerization Studies

The polymerization runs were carried out in toluene in the presence of methylalumoxane (MAO) as activator applying a constant pressure of ethylene (semi-batch process). Mixing complex 1 with 1 400 equiv. of MAO at 45 °C under 5.3 bar of ethylene produced an active catalyst (Table 1, run 1). Its catalytic performance was first optimized by studying the influence of the temperature in the range 45–120 °C, the ethylene concentration being kept constant (0.5 M) [11] (Table 1 and Figure 3). The highest activity was found at 90 °C [65.9 kg(polymer.) mol⁻¹(Ti) h⁻¹]. The GPC analysis revealed the formation of high molecular weight polymers (M_w = 1.11 × 10⁶ g mol⁻¹ at 90 °C), a result that was rather unexpected for such high temperatures. It is noteworthy that the M_w/M_n ratios were all smaller than 2, which is typical for a single-site catalyst. Polymerization runs carried out at temperatures below 90 °C even led to much higher molar masses (up to 4 × 10⁶ g mol⁻¹, see Table 1). For the latter experiments, the measurements were done by viscosimetric analysis. Overall, complex 1 is suitable for the production of ultrahigh-molecular-weight polyethylene (UHMWPE).

The DSC thermogram of the polymers showed only one melting temperature (T_m), which is consistent with the.

Table 1. Polymerization of ethylene at different temperatures in the presence of a calix[4]arene-Ti catalyst. Experimental conditions: [Ti] = 2.1 × 10⁻⁵ M; [Al]/[Ti] = 1 440; toluene 200 mL; polymerization time = 2 h; [ethylene] = 0.5 M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Pethylene (bar)</th>
<th>Isolated yield (g)</th>
<th>Activity (kg(polymer.) mol⁻¹(Ti) h⁻¹)</th>
<th>T_m (°C)</th>
<th>M_n a) (10⁶ g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>M_w b) (10⁶ g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>M_w/M_n c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>136.9</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>134.2</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Viscosimetric average molar mass; b) Weight-average molar mass measured by GPC with light scattering online and expressed in g·mol⁻¹; c) Polydispersity index.
formation of a homogeneous material (Figure 4). The \( T_m \) values lie in the range 135.5–145.0 \(^\circ\)C, a range which is typical for high-density polyethylene (HDPE) as well as UHMWPE.\(^{[13]}\)

The linearity of the polymers was easily deduced from the \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra, which displayed a unique peak at 30.0 ppm (–CH\(_2\)– groups) (Figure 5). No ethylene branching was detected by \(^{13}\)C NMR analysis on the polymer formed under these polymerization conditions. In spite of its moderate activity compared to that of \( \text{Cp}\(_2\)ZrCl}_\(_2\) [the latter typically leads to activities as high as 3 \times 10^4 \text{ kg(polymer.) mol}^{-1} \text{ (Zr) h}^{-1} \], complex 1 gave polyethylenes with higher molecular weights when operating at high temperatures. The polydispersities obtained with 1 were also remarkable, the \( M_w/M_n \) ratios being all smaller than 2. These results strongly contrast with those recently obtained for some ansa-zirconocenes leading also to high molecular weight polyethylene, but with considerable higher polydispersity index (>5).\(^{[14]}\)

The remarkable property of precatalyst 1 to polymerize ethylene at high temperatures is possibly due to the presence of the two propyloxy groups capable of stabilizing by coordination catalytic intermediates. Clearly, the calixarene core serves as pre-organizing unit that maintains the two ether arms close to the metal center.

The influence of the ethylene concentration on the reactivity was also studied. In these experiments the following conditions were applied: \( T = 60 \, ^\circ\)C, \([\text{Al}] / [\text{Ti}] = 1440 \) (Table 1 run 2 and Table 2). The catalytic

---

**Table 2.** Influence of [ethylene]/[Ti] ratio on the polymerization yield of polyethylenes synthesized with 1 as catalyst precursor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>[Ethylene]</th>
<th>( P_{\text{ethylene}} )</th>
<th>Isolated yield</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>( T_m )</th>
<th>( M_w^{a)} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mol L(^{-1})</td>
<td>Bar</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>kg(polymer.) mol(^{-1})(Ti) h(^{-1})</td>
<td>( ^\circ)C</td>
<td>( 10^6 \text{ g mol}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>136.9</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>137.8</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a)}\text{Viscosimetric average molar mass.}\n
---

\( \text{Figure 5.} \) \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum of polyethylene obtained at 60 \(^\circ\)C in the presence of 1/MAO (Table 1, run 2).
activity followed a linear trend (Figure 6), the production reaching 82.4 kg\(\text{polym.}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\text{(Ti)}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\) for a 1 M solution of ethylene. Such a linear variation is typical for Ziegler-Natta catalytic systems.

Let us consider the Arrhenius Eq. (1) where \(k\) is the kinetic constant, and \(K\) a pre-exponential factor.\[15\]

Assuming that \(k\) represents the activity of the catalyst expressed in kg\(\text{polym.}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\text{(Ti)}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}\), the Arrhenius equation was found to be valid over the range of temperatures 45–90 °C (Table 1, Figure 7):

\[
\ln k = \ln K - \frac{E_a}{RT}
\]  

The calculated activation energy for the system was \(E_a = 36.1 \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\). The linear relation between \(\ln\) activity versus \(1/T\) is in line with the remarkable stability of the catalytic species at high temperature. Moreover, the occurrence of side reactions such as the formation of bimetallic species may be ruled out in these conditions for the Ti complex 1. The latter would result in a non-linear relation of the Arrhenius plot, as found for polymerizations carried out at higher temperature (\(T > 90^\circ\text{C}\)).\[16\]

In order to get some insight into the nature of the catalytically active species, \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectra of mixtures containing 1 and an excess of MAO were run (all spectra in C\(_6\)D\(_6\)). NMR assignments were made in combination with \(^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}\) COSY (Figure 8), \(^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) gHSQC analysis (Figure 9) and DEPT (see Figure S2, Supporting Information). The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum reveals a singlet at 2.66 ppm corresponding to a TiMe fragment (Figure 10). Integration shows a Me/Calixarene ratio of 1:1. This observation is in keeping with the general faith that
treatment with MAO of complexes with the general formula $L_n MX_2$ result in a cationic, monoalkylation product.\cite{17,18}

It is also noteworthy that the NMR spectra are consistent with a $C_{2v}$-symmetrical structure. This finding suggests that the titanium center adopts a pentacoordinate square pyramidal coordination geometry (with the Me group in apical position), as found by Floriani et al. in [calix[4]arene-(O$_3$)-(OMe)]Ti(p-MeC$_6$H$_5$).\cite{9a}

In the NMR spectrum, the ArCH$_2$ groups appear as an AB pattern with an AB separation of 0.98 ppm, versus 1.59 ppm for 1, which indicates that the calixarene has undergone some flattening with respect to the calixarene core of 1.\cite{19}

Finally, we found that this cationic species was stable in solution at room temperature during several days. Introduced in an autoclave after standing for three days, the solution displayed activity in ethylene polymerization comparable to that of an catalyst prepared in situ.

Conclusion

The present work has shown that calixarene 1 provides, in its deprotonated form, an “O$_4$-surface” suitable for the stabilization of a TiCl$_2$ unit. Combined with MAO, complex 1 reacts with ethylene to give UHMWPE at high temperatures. As unambiguously inferred from an NMR study, the catalytically active species is a titanium-alkyl complex containing one methyl group per calixarene fragment. Its remarkably high thermal stability makes it interesting for possible industrial applications. Further studies will concentrate on variants of complex 1, with the aim of improving the activity of the catalyst.
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The NOTES annotation tool can be used with either Adobe Acrobat 4.0, 5.0 or 6.0. Other annotation tools are also available in Acrobat 4.0, but this instruction sheet will concentrate on how to use the NOTES tool. Acrobat Reader, the free Internet download software from Adobe, DOES NOT contain the NOTES tool. In order to softproof using the NOTES tool you must have the full software suite Adobe Acrobat 4.0, 5.0 or 6.0 installed on your computer.

Steps for Softproofing using Adobe Acrobat NOTES tool:

1. Open the PDF page proof of your article using either Adobe Acrobat 4.0, 5.0 or 6.0. Proof your article on-screen or print a copy for markup of changes.

2. Go to File/Preferences/Annotations (in Acrobat 4.0) or Document/Add a Comment (in Acrobat 6.0) and enter your name into the “default user” or “author” field. Also, set the font size at 9 or 10 point.

3. When you have decided on the corrections to your article, select the NOTES tool from the Acrobat toolbox and click in the margin next to the text to be changed.

4. Enter your corrections into the NOTES text box window. Be sure to clearly indicate where the correction is to be placed and what text it will effect. If necessary to avoid confusion, you can use your TEXT SELECTION tool to copy the text to be corrected and paste it into the NOTES text box window. At this point, you can type the corrections directly into the NOTES text box window. **DO NOT correct the text by typing directly on the PDF page.**

5. Go through your entire article using the NOTES tool as described in Step 4.

6. When you have completed the corrections to your article, go to File/Export/Annotations (in Acrobat 4.0) or Document/Add a Comment (in Acrobat 6.0). Save your NOTES file to a place on your harddrive where you can easily locate it. **Name your NOTES file with the article number assigned to your article in the original softproofing e-mail message.**

7. **When closing your article PDF be sure NOT to save changes to original file.**

8. To make changes to a NOTES file you have exported, simply re-open the original PDF proof file, go to File/Import/Notes and import the NOTES file you saved. Make changes and re-export NOTES file keeping the same file name.

9. When complete, attach your NOTES file to a reply e-mail message. Be sure to include your name, the date, and the title of the journal your article will be printed in.