Polyphenolic Content in Olive Oil Waste Waters and Related Olive Samples
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The production of olive oil yields a considerable amount of waste water, which is a powerful pollutant and is currently discarded. Polyphenols and other natural antioxidants, extracted from olives during oil extraction process, partially end up in the waste waters. Experimental and commercial olive oil waste waters from four Mediterranean countries were analyzed for a possible recovering of these biologically interesting constituents. Identification and quantitation of the main polyphenols were carried out by applying HPLC-DAD and HPLC-MS methods. Representative samples of ripe olives were also analyzed at the same time to correlate, if possible, their polyphenolic profiles with those of the corresponding olive oil waste waters. The results demonstrate that Italian commercial olive oil waste waters were the richest in total polyphenolic compounds with amounts between 150 and 400 mg/100 mL of waste waters. These raw, as yet unused, matrices could represent an interesting and alternative source of biologically active polyphenols.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the main problems faced by processing companies involved in the extraction of olive oil is the elimination and/or treatment of olive oil waste waters (OOWW) because they contain powerful pollutants (1). This waste is an acidic (pH 5–5.5), malodorous liquid that contains potassium and phosphatic salts and organic substances such as fats, protein, sugars, organic acids, and polyphenols. Moreover, suspended matter consisting of olive pulp, mucilage, pectin, and oil in a relatively stable emulsion is also present (2).

The amount of OOWW produced, and therefore the environmental impact, varies depending on the method of olive oil extraction used. In fact, the traditional press method produces ~50% of OOWW relative to the initial weight of the olives, whereas the continuous process produces 80–110% of OOWW due to the continuous washing of the olive paste with warm water before oil separation from the paste.

The treatment and disposal of waste waters are critical problems, especially in the Mediterranean area, where olive cultivation is widespread and a large volume of the effluent (800000 m³/year in Italy alone) is produced and concentrated within a period of only a few months (from November to February). For these reasons, increasing attention has been given to finding the best methods to distribute OOWW on agricultural lands and to recycle both organic matter and nutritive elements in the soil–crop system (3) or to recover products of biological interest, such as polyphenols. Olive tissue and olive oil polar compounds, according to their partition coefficients, end up in the OOWW during the oil extraction process, rendering this byproduct a potential source of polyphenols.

In fact, several compounds from Olea europaea L., such as hydroxytyrosol and oleuropein, have shown antimicrobial, hypoglycemic, hypolipidemic, and hypocholesterolemic properties that can be very interesting for investigations of their role in human health (4, 5). In particular, olives and virgin olive oil contain phenolic
compounds (6, 7) that, in vitro, exert potent biological activities including antioxidant and free radical scavenging actions (8, 9).

The growing evidence that free radical-mediated events are involved in several pathological processes has stimulated interest in natural antioxidants. Free radical formations have been shown to be involved in a series of pathophysiologies, including neoplastic and coronary heart diseases and aging processes, because free radicals markedly alter the functioning of biomolecules such as lipids, protein, DNA, and biomembranes (10, 11).

The present research is part of an EU project entitled "Natural antioxidants from olive oil processing waste waters" (FAIR PL 973039) with the aim of evaluating the polyphenolic contents in different samples of OOWW and the possibility of recovering them. For this purpose a preliminary qualitative screening was performed working on waste waters obtained from an experimental mill in Tuscany (12). Some data from this European project related to antioxidant and other biological activities of extracts obtained from this matrix have recently been published (13). Other authors have studied samples of OOWW and found only catechol, 4-methylcatechol, tyrosol, and hydroxytyrosol as the main phenols (14). This investigation was carried out by considering only one specific source of raw material coming from a mill of Avellins province (Italy).

The present paper reports investigations performed on several experimental and commercial samples of OOWW obtained from Italy, Spain, France, and Portugal to compare the content and to identify and quantify the main polyphenols present in these matrices. For each country, representative ripe olive samples were also analyzed. For both OOWW and olives HPLC-DAD and HPLC-MS methods were applied.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Sample Preparation. Olives. The following cultivars were analyzed: Galega from Portugal, Salonenque from France, Picual from Spain, and Frantoio from Italy. The olive pulp, obtained from 30 olives, was frozen with liquid nitrogen, ground, and extracted with 4×400 mL of EtOH/H2O at pH 2.2 by HCOOH (80:20) as previously described (15).

Experimental Waste Waters (ExpOOWW). All of the samples, except for the Portuguese one, were obtained from olives at standard degree using a continuous centrifugation process. The OOWW were obtained from the olive residues which had previously had the same weight of water. The solvent was added because the benchtop laboratory mill was a biphasic type. The ExpOOWW were then lyophilized, and the corresponding yields are reported in Table 1a.

Commercial Waste Waters (ComOOWW). One liter of Spanish, French, and Portuguese commercial waste waters was purchased from Tecnolimendi S.C.p.A. (Italy), responsible for the collection and shipping procedures within the EU project. Italian commercial waste waters were obtained using a triphasic mill near Florence (Italy). Five samples from different harvest years were compared: three collected in November 1997 (A–C), one in November 1998 (D), and the last in November 1999 (E). All of the commercial samples, except sample A, were lyophilized, and the relative yields are shown in Table 1b.

**Treatment of Waste Waters.** Twelve milliliters of fresh OOWW were diluted to 15 mL with acid water (pH 2.2 by HCOOH) and then fractionated by applying a liquid–solid
extraction (LSE). For the lyophilized samples the weight equivalent to 12 mL of OOWW was dissolved in 15 mL of acid water as described above. Each sample was placed in the Extrelut 20 mL cartridge (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Twenty minutes after deposition, the following elution steps were performed: (1) n-hexane; (2) ethyl acetate (EtOAc); (3) acid methanol (MeOH), pH 2.2 by HCOOH. The elution steps were carried out up to colorless eluate using 200–300 mL of solvents 1 and 2 and 150–200 mL for solvent 3. The absence of spots in TLC was indicative for stopping the elution due to exhaustive extraction. A TLC plate of silica gel and EtOAc/MeOH/H₂O 77:13:10 as mobile phase were used.

The EtOAc and MeOH fractions were concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure, dissolved in MeOH/CH₃CN/H₂O, pH 3.2 (60/20/20), solution (1–2 mL) and then analyzed by HPLC-DAD and HPLC-MS.

**Analytical Techniques and Equipment.** HPLC-DAD analyses were performed on an HP 1090L liquid chromatograph equipped with a DAD detector (all from Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA). The analytical column was a 4.6 x 250 mm LiChrosorb RP18, 5 µm (Merck), maintained at 26 °C. The HPLC-DAD analyses were performed with solvents of analytical grade purchased from Carlo Erba (Milano, Italy).

A seven-step linear solvent gradient was used, starting from 100% H₂O (adjusted to pH 3.2 by H₃PO₄) up to 100% CH₃CN, during a 106-min period, at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹, as previously described (16) and already applied for olive samples (15). UV–vis spectra were recorded in the 190–450 nm range, and the chromatograms were acquired at 240 nm using oleuropein as reference. For the deacetoxy oleuropein aglycon (dAcOlAg), a correction of molecular weight with a multiplication factor of 320/540 was applied, whereas for elenolic acid and its derivatives a multiplication factor of 242/540 was used.

Flavonoid compounds were calibrated using the corresponding standard evaluated at 350 nm. Verbascoside and cinnamic acid derivatives were quantified using a caffeic acid calibration curve at 330 nm. For verbascoside the correction of molecular weight corresponding to 624/180 as multiplicative factor was calculated.

All of the data reported in Tables 2–4 represent an average of two analysis with the percentage standard deviation ranging within 3–4%.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Olive.** Among the considered cultivars, Frantoio (Italy) contained the highest amounts of polyphenolic compounds (> 8 g/kg); for the others the concentrations ranged between 1.68 and 2.86 g/kg (Table 2). The quantities of oleuropein, its derivatives, and elenolic acid derivatives were quantified using a caffeic acid calibration curve at 330 nm. For verbascoside the correction of molecular weight corresponding to 624/180 as multiplicative factor was calculated.

All of the data reported in Tables 2–4 represent an average of two analysis with the percentage standard deviation ranging within 3–4%.
in cv. Picual (Spain) to 24.8% in cv. Salonenque (France) relative to total polyphenols.

The greatest differences were observed for verbascoside, which ranged between 1.4 g/kg in the Italian olive sample and 0.002 g/kg in the French one. This compound could be an important marker for differentiating specific cultivars harvested at the same time, as previously suggested (15). Moreover, its chemical structure suggests that it may be a potential antioxidant. As an example, the HPLC profiles of the French olive sample at 280, 254, and 360 nm are reported in Figure 1.

Olive Oil Waste Waters. Different aliquots of OOWW (from 100 mL to 1 L) were freeze-dried in order to store the samples before analysis and to guarantee the stability of this complex matrix over time. Dry material yields are summarized in Table 1. Comparable values were obtained for the ExpOOWW (ranging from 4.5 to 16.3%), whereas very different values were registered for the ComOOWW. All samples were submitted to an LSE extraction (see Experimental Procedures), and two fractions were obtained: EtOAc and acid MeOH eluates. The EtOAc fraction usually contained 90–100% of the total polyphenolic amounts. Both eluates were analyzed by HPLC-DAD, and quantitative results, reported in Table 3, represent the sum of each compound only when the molecule was also present in the methanolic eluate.

Generally, the same compounds were identified whether fresh commercial or experimental OOWW were considered (Table 3).

The ExpOOWW showed, in every sample, a similar polyphenolic pattern with (EA) and its derivatives as main compounds at values ranging from 28.8 to 80.0% of the total phenolics (Table 3a). Figure 2 shows, as an example, the HPLC-DAD profiles of the French ExpOOWW with the list of identified compounds. The total polyphenolic amounts were comparable among the samples from the various countries: the French sample showed the highest value (71.2 mg/100 mL) and the Portuguese the lowest content (30.5 mg/100 mL) essentially constituted by enolic acid derivatives (73%). This difference may be due to the fact that the processed Portuguese olives were in a late stage of ripening and not adequately stored. From a comparison of the polyphenolic amounts in the standard degree olives (Table 2) and the corresponding experimental waste waters (Table 3a), it is clear that identical crushing methods strongly reduce the differences observed among the fruits. This fact was particularly evident for the Italian sample, after crushing, the total phenol concentration is strongly reduced.

ComOOWW showed a wider variability in the amount of polyphenols and secoiridoids with respect to the corresponding experimental waste waters (Table 3b). Among these, Italian OOWW appeared to be the richest in total polyphenolic compounds with values up to 401.7 mg/100 mL including consistent amounts of secoiridoid derivatives (EA and dAcOlAg). In particular, this latter compound (deacetoxy oleuropein aglycon), which contains the hydroxytyrosol moiety and two dialdehyde groups that are responsible for its high reactivity, was very abundant, whereas it was absent in the other commercial samples. These compounds were identified by HPLC-MS and NMR analyses carried out on purified fractions obtained from semipreparative HPLC, and their structures are shown in Figure 3. NMR data concerning enelic acid are consistent with those reported by Gariboldi et al. (18), and the structure of

---

**Figure 2.** Chromatographic profiles of French ExpOOWW at 280, 254, and 360 nm. Peaks: 1, hydroxytyrosol; 2, tyrosol; 3, enelic acid derivative; 4, enelic acid; 5, luteolin 7-O-glucoside; 6, damicid acid derivative; 7, luteolin.

**Figure 3.** Molecular structures of enelic acid (a) and deacetoxy oleuropein aglycon (b).
deacetoxy oleuropein aglycon agrees with that described by Lo Scalzo and Scarpati (19).

The peculiarity of the Italian sample (sample A, Table 3b) was the presence of large quantities of suspended material compared with all of the other ComOOWW. Obviously the higher yields in dried material observed for lyophilized Italian waste waters agree with this result as well (Table 1b).

The Italian commercial waste waters seemed to be an interesting source of polyphenols, and therefore it was decided to verify this hypothesis by comparing several commercial samples from the same Italian mill to check the quali-quantitative variability of the raw material over time (Table 4). The HPLC-DAD profiles registered at 240, 280, and 350 nm are reported in Figure 4 for sample C.

In Table 4, it is possible to note similar total amounts for samples B and C, collected in the same year, whereas for the other ones a minor content was observed. However, for all of the waste waters, the amount varies from about 150 to 400 mg/100 mL OOWW. Several compounds, such as verbascoside, flavonoids, and deacetoxy oleuropein aglycon, were present in traces or absent in the clarified waste waters (French, Portuguese, and Spanish, Table 3b), whereas in all Italian commercial waste waters these compounds are present in larger amounts. Two reasons can explain this result: good storage of material, immediately lyophilized after collection, and the presence of a high quantity of solid residue that can represent a source of minor hydrophilic compounds such as dAcOlAg and EA. The richest commercial OOWW samples were also treated by our group to prepare some extracts to use in biological tests, and the results have recently been published (13).

It is difficult to find a correlation between raw material (olives) and final waste product (ExpOOWW) indicating that technological processes for oil extraction play a more important role with respect to the cultivar and the ripenings. It is worth noting that the total amount of minor polar compounds in several OOWW samples, collected from the same geographical area and processed in the same mill, show comparable values over time (Table 4).

Work is in progress to test and optimize purified procedures able to recover higher quantities of polyphenols by working on larger quantities of samples in view of possible future industrial applications.
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