Antidiabetic activity of some pentacyclic acid triterpenoids, role of PTP–1B: In vitro, in silico, and in vivo approaches
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Abstract
The aim of the current study was to investigate the oral antidiabetic activity of four structurally-related triterpenic acids: ursolic (RE-01), oleanolic (RE-02), moronic (RE-03) and morolic (RE-04) acids. STZ-nicotinamide diabetic rats were treated with these triterpenes (50 mg/kg) and the antidiabetic effects in acute experiment were determined. All compounds showed significant antidiabetic activity in comparison with control group (p < 0.05). The in vitro inhibitory activity of compounds against protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP–1B) was also evaluated. At 50 μM, the enzymatic activity was almost completely inhibited. All compounds were docked with a crystal structure of PTP–1B. Docking results suggested the potential binding of the triterpenic acids in a binding pocket next to the catalytic site. An extensive hydrogen bond network with the carboxyl group and Van der Waals interactions stabilize the protein-ligand complexes.

© 2011 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic disease that occurs when the pancreas does not produce enough insulin or when the body cannot effectively use it, resulting in hyperglycemia. Over time this condition can cause serious damage to several body systems, in particular the nerves and blood vessels. Type 2 DM, or non insulin dependent diabetes, results from ineffective use of insulin as consequence of excess body weight, physical inactivity, and genetic susceptibility [1]. This disease has been becoming more common because of a lifestyle and diet that promotes obesity; it is particularly prevalent in Hispanic and aging populations [2]. Treatment of diabetes involves lowering blood glucose through different mechanisms, including insulin secretion, glucose absorption, and metabolism [3]. Activation of PPAR-α and PPAR-γ by rosiglitazone [4] or inhibition of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) by sitagliptin [5] are novel approaches for the treatment of diabetes. Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP–1B) has recently shown an important influence over insulin sensitivity since it is implicated in modulating insulin signal transduction becoming a key regulator of insulin receptor activity and downstream signaling pathways [6]. This is related with a dephosphorylation of active insulin receptor (IR) [7]. Studies carried out with knock-out mice suggest that the lack of PTP–1B enzyme would result in activated insulin receptors, improved sensibility to insulin, and stimulated glucose uptake [8].

The study of medicinal plants has lead to the discovery of new chemical structures for potential development as drugs that act over new or known therapeutic targets. Thus, the Phoradendron genus has been used in Mexico since ancient times for the treatment of diabetes, hypertension, among other diseases [9]. Pentacyclic acid triterpenoids and other compounds isolated from Phoradendron reichenbachianum, a parasitic herbal of Holm oaks, have shown significant cytotoxic [10] and antiviral activities [11].

In an attempt to find novel antidiabetic drugs from medicinal plants, herein we report in vivo, in silico, and in vitro studies of a series of structurally-related pentacyclic acid triterpenoids (Fig. 1)
using the streptozotocin-nicotinamide induced diabetic rat model. In addition, we report the results of in vitro enzymatic and in silico experiments and discuss the possible mechanism of action of these metabolites through the inhibition of PTP–1B.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Chemistry

Ursolic (RE-01), oleanolic (RE-02), moronic (RE-03), and morolic (RE-04) acids were purified and characterized from acetone extract of leaves and stems of Phoradendron reichenbachianum (AEP) as previously reported in Reference [10].

2.2. In vivo antidiabetic activity

Table 1 shows that i.g. administration of AEP (100 mg/kg) and RE-01, RE-02, RE-03 and RE-04 (50 mg/kg), induced, in general, a significant decrease in plasma glucose concentration in diabetic rats (p < 0.05) during acute time periods (7 h) as compared with the control group (vehicle). All compounds showed significant antidiabetic activity, in particular RE-01 and RE-03 showed the best activity as compared with the control group (p < 0.05). RE-01 and RE-03 produced a sustained decrease of blood glucose levels, close to 25%, during all the experiment. The in vivo antidiabetic activity of RE-01 and RE-02 on streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats has been described in References [12,13]. Also, RE-02 significantly (p < 0.05) improved the glucose tolerance test at the 30 min period with a maximal decline at 10 mg/kg. Compared to vehicle-treated control, RE-02 lowered the blood glucose levels by 30, 37, and 22%, respectively, for the respective doses [14]. These previous reports are in agreement with our results made on the non insulin-dependent diabetes model. On the other hand, AEP showed the same potency as pure compounds, which suggests a possible additive action of triterpenic acids contained there. Moreover, this is the first report concerning the in vivo antidiabetic effect of AEP, RE-03, and RE-04.

The in vitro enzymatic activity of RE-01 and RE-02 as antidiabetic agents has been reported; both triterpenic acids induced a significant PTP–1B [15,16] and 11β-HSD1 [17,18] enzymatic inhibition. RE-02 enhanced the IRβ tyrosine kinase activity and also enhanced the effect of insulin on translocation of glucose transporter 4 in 3T3–L1 adipocytes, a classical insulin-sensitive cell line [19]. Therefore, we decided to determine the in vitro enzymatic PTP–1B inhibition of RE-03 and RE-04 as structural related analogs of RE-01 and RE-02. We also conducted automated docking studies in order to propose a binding model with PTP–1B.

2.3. In vitro PTP–1B assay

To test the ability of each compound to inhibit PTP–1B, aliquots of stock solutions (dissolved in DMSO to prepare 20 mM) were diluted with the assay buffer, containing 2.5 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate.

![Fig. 1. Compounds evaluated.](image1)

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test samples</th>
<th>Dose (mg/Kg)</th>
<th>Percentage of variation of blood glucose concentration (%)</th>
<th>Values represent the mean ± S.E.M. (n = 6). p &lt; 0.05 compared with control group. The negative value (−) indicates decrease in glycemia.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glibenclamide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEP</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-01</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-02</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-03</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-04</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(pNPP), to obtain two different inhibitor concentrations. Samples were incubated at 37 °C, and the reactions were initiated by adding an appropriate enzyme aliquot. Fig. 2 shows the preliminary results. This figure clearly shows that all compounds induced a significant enzyme inhibition at both evaluated concentrations (10 and 50 mM), and the inhibition effects were more pronounced at the highest concentration.

In order to determine the IC50 value of each compound, the enzyme activity was measured at a fixed substrate concentration (corresponding to the K_m) and varying inhibitor concentrations. IC50 values were calculated by fitting experimental data in the following equation, using a non-linear fitting program (FigSys, Biosoft, UK):

\[
y = \frac{\text{Max} - \text{Min}}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{\text{IC}_{50}}\right)^\text{slope}} + \text{Min}
\]

**Fig. 2.** Assay of inhibition activity of ursolic (RE-01), oleanolic (RE-02), moronic (RE-03), and morolic (RE-04) acids at 10 and 50 mM against PTP. All assays were performed in 0.075 M β,δ-dimethylglutarate buffer pH 7.0, containing 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM dithiothreitol. The final concentration of the substrate (pNPP) in each assay was 2.5 mM. All experiments were performed in triplicate. The data reported represent the mean ± S.E.M.

**Fig. 3.** A–D: IC50 determination. The IC50 value for compounds RE-01 (A), RE-02 (B), RE-03 (C) and RE-04 (D) were determined by plotting the data relative to the residual activity of the PTP against the inhibitor concentration. 15–18 different inhibitor concentrations were used for each inhibitor. All assays were performed in quadruplicate. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M.

**Fig. 4.** Inhibition reversibility assay. Aliquots of PTP were incubated in the presence of a fixed concentration of each compound for 1 h at 37 °C (RE-01, 10 μM; RE-02, 25 μM; RE-03, 35 μM; RE-04, 25 μM). Then, the enzyme was diluted 400-fold with the assay solution to measure the residual activity (37 °C, 10 mM pNPP). Control experiments were carried out adding DMSO. All tests were performed in triplicate. The data represent the mean ± S.E.M.
where \( y = \frac{v_i}{v_o} \) is the ratio between the measured activity in the presence of the inhibitor \( (v_i) \) and the activity of the control without the inhibitor \( (v_o) \). 

\( x \) is the inhibitor concentration.

All compounds induced a PTP-1B enzymatic inhibition in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3A–D). Moreover, RE-01 and RE-04 were the most potent inhibitors. RE-01 and RE-02 acids have been reported as inhibitors of PTP-1B with IC_{50} values of 3.28 and 3.02 \( \mu \)M, respectively [15,16]. These results are in agreement with our previous observations (Fig. 3A–D). Yet for RE-03 and RE-04 acids, there are no reports concerning their PTP-1B inhibitory properties. Also, the selectivity and type of inhibition for these compounds have not been reported. Therefore, the dilution method was used to determine the ability of each compound to dissociate from PTP-1B after binding. The enzyme was incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in the presence of each inhibitor. Then aliquots of enzyme mixture was withdrawn and diluted with the assay buffer to determine the residual activity. Fig. 4 summarizes the results indicating that the recovery of enzyme activity is almost complete in all cases, with the exception of RE-02, suggesting that most compounds behave as reversible inhibitors.

We also determined the selectivity of each inhibitor towards other none structurally related PTPases, such as the IF1, IF2 isoenzymes of human LMW-PTP, the yeast LMW-PTP (LTP1) and human LAR. The selectivity was measured at the corresponding experimental IC_{50} value of each compound. Results are summarized in Table 2. All IC_{50} values for other PTPases were higher than the corresponding IC_{50} value for PTP-1B. These results indicate that all compounds are selective towards PTP-1B with respect to the other PTPases tested.

To determine the inhibition mechanism of each compound, we studied the effects of increasing inhibitors concentration on main kinetics parameters, \( K_m \) and \( V_{max} \) (Figs. 5–8). We deduced from the experimental results that the inhibition mechanism of compounds RE-01, RE-02 and RE-03 (Figs. 5–7) can be referred to a linear mixed-type inhibition model (Scheme 1), suggesting a possible binding in a different cavity from the catalytic site. This was further supported with molecular docking results discussed later. In contrast, the inhibition mechanism of RE-04 (Fig. 8) can be referred to a simple linear non-competitive inhibition model. The \( Ki \) values for all compounds were calculated using the appropriate equations and are presented in Table 3.

**Table 2**
The IC_{50} values determined for different PTPases. For each inhibitor, between 15 and 18 different inhibitor concentrations were used. All tests were performed in triplicate. The data represent the mean ± S.E.M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>RE-01</th>
<th>RE-02</th>
<th>RE-03</th>
<th>RE-04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTP-1B</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>13.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF1</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>21.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>20.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>13.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF2</td>
<td>11.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>22.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>27.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>30.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTP1</td>
<td>20 ± 0.7</td>
<td>44.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>51.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>52.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAR</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>45.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>35.9 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6. Compound RE-02. Double reciprocal plot (1/v versus 1/[S]) (A), the inhibitor concentrations were: 0 μM ■; 6.5 μM ○; 10.5 μM ▲; 14.5 μM ▼. Dependence of main kinetic parameters $K_m$ (B) and $V_{max}$ (C) from the concentration of RE-02, the concentrations of inhibitor used were: 0, 6.5, 10.5 and 14.5 μM. All assays were performed by triplicate. The data reported in the graph, represent the means (A) or values (B and C) ± S.E.M.

Fig. 7. Compound RE-03. Double reciprocal plot (1/v versus 1/[S]) (A), the concentrations of inhibitor used were: 0 μM ■; 10 μM ○; 15 μM ▲. Dependence of main kinetic parameters $K_m$ (B) and $V_{max}$ (C) from the concentration of compound RE-03, the concentrations of inhibitor used were: 0, 10 and 15 μM. All assays were performed by triplicate. The data reported in the graph, represent the means (A) or values (B and C) ± S.E.M.
2.4. Molecular docking of triterpene acids derivatives with PTP–1B

In order to gain insight into the putative binding mode of RE-01, RE-02, RE-03 and RE-04 with PTP–1B, these compounds were docked with a crystallographic structure of human PTP–1B. The crystallographic structure was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB), accession code 1C83 [20]. This is the first study describing the docking of these triterpene derivatives with PTP–1B.

Before docking the triterpenic acids, the docking protocol was validated by predicting the binding mode of the crystallographic

![Fig. 8. Double reciprocal plot of RE-04 (1/v versus 1/[S]) (A), the concentrations of inhibitor used were: 0 μM ■; 7.5 μM ○; 11 μM ▲; 15 μM ▼. Dependence of main kinetic parameters $K_m$ (B) and $V_{max}$ (C) from the concentration of compound RE-04, the concentrations of inhibitor used were: 0, 7.5, 11 and 15 μM. All assays were performed by triplicate. The data reported in the graph, represent the means (A) or values (B and C) ± S.E.M.

![Scheme 1. The linear mixed-type inhibition of evaluated compounds. When the parameter $a = 1$, the model describes the classical non-competitive inhibition.

![Table 3. Values of the inhibition constants ($K_i$) and docking scores. The data shown represents the mean $K_i$ values ± S.E.M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$K_i$ (μM)</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>Inhibition type</th>
<th>Docking score (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RE-01</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Linear mixed type inhibition</td>
<td>−2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-02</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Linear mixed type inhibition</td>
<td>−7.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-03</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Linear mixed type inhibition</td>
<td>−7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE-04</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Non-competitive inhibition</td>
<td>−6.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the PTP–1B catalytic site, Puius et al. identified a second aryl phosphate binding site in PTP–1B [21]. Fig. 9 shows the catalytic binding site (site A) and the second binding site (site B), as proposed by Puius et al. [21]. The most important residues of site B are Arg24, Arg254, and Glu262. Other residues in this site are Tyr46, Asp48, Val49, Ile219, and Met258 [21]. Before docking the triterpenic acids, the docking protocol was validated by predicting the binding mode of the crystallographic
6-(oxalyl-amino)-1H-indole-5-carboxilic acid, a competitive inhibitor of PTPε1B [20]. Fig. 9 shows a comparison between binding mode of the crystallographic ligand and the binding mode predicted by AutoDock. Fig. 9 clearly shows that AutoDock successfully predicted the binding mode of crystallographic ligand with a root-mean square (RMS) deviation of 0.35 Å. Predicted binding energies for the triterpenes are summarized in Table 3. The four compounds showed comparable energies as calculated by AutoDock. Fig. 10 summarizes the binding modes of the triterpenic acids predicted by AutoDock. According to the docking models, all four compounds were predicted to bind into the site B, thus sharing a very similar binding mode (Fig. 10A and B). Interestingly, no binding poses were found by AutoDock into the catalytic binding site. Fig. 10C depicts the optimized binding mode of RE-01, the most active triterpene. In this binding mode, the carboxylic group of RE-01 at C28 forms an extensive hydrogen bond network with Arg24, Arg254 and Gln262; the surface of these residues is showed in red in Fig. 10B. Other residues that form van der Waals contacts with the triterpene are Tyr46, Asp48, Val49, Met258, and Gly259 (showed in green in Fig. 10B). Fig. 10D shows the corresponding two-dimensional interaction diagram of the optimized binding model generated with the program Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) [22].

3. Conclusions

We obtained four pentacyclic triterpenic acids from P. reichenbachianum with significant in vivo antidiabetic activity on non-insulin dependent diabetic rat model. Moreover, the main mode of action of triterpenic acids was produced by PTPε1B enzymatic inhibition with potent, reversible, selective, and linear mixed-type inhibition model. According to the docking results, the proposed binding mode of the triterpene derivatives in a second binding site (site B) of PTPε1B suggests a new strategy to obtain compounds with higher affinity and specificity. Arg254 and Gln262 are conserved among all PTPases, though other residues such as Gln262, Met258 and Gly259 are less conserved. Finally, pentacyclic triterpenic acids could be potential drugs for the treatment of type 2 diabetes as insulin sensitizer [23].

4. Experimental

4.1. Chemistry

Glibenclamide, nicotinamide, streptozotocin (STZ) and glucose (GLU) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Pentobarbital (Anestesal/C210) was obtained from Smith Kline Co. (Mexico City, Mexico). Test evaluations for GLU were acquired from Roche (ACCUTREND) (Mexico City, Mexico). Ursolic (RE-01), Oleanolic (RE-02), Moronic (RE-03) and Morolic (RE-04) acids were purified and characterized from acetone extract of leaves and stems of P. reichenbachianum (AEP) as previously reported in Reference [10].

4.2. Biological assays

4.2.1. Expression and purification of recombinant PTPases

All experiments were performed using recombinant enzymes. Human PTPε1B, the IF1 and IF2 isoenzymes of LMW-PTP, and the yeast LMW-PTP (LTP1) were prepared and purified in our laboratory, whereas the recombinant catalytic domain of LAR (Leukocyte
antigen-related PTPase) was purchased from Sigma. Recombinant enzymes were expressed in a bacterial line and purified by chromatography techniques. Briefly, the complete sequence of PTP–1B, IF1, IF2, and LTP1 were cloned in the pGEX–2T bacterial expression vector downstream the GST sequence. These vectors were used to transform *Escherichia coli* TB1 strain. The recombinant fusion proteins were purified from bacterial lysate using a single-step affinity chromatography on glutathione-agarose. The solution containing each purified fusion protein was treated with thrombin for 3 h at 37 °C. Then the active PTPases were purified from GST and thrombin by gel filtration on a Superdex G75 column. The purity of all enzymes was assessed by SDS–PAGE.

4.2.2. Enzyme assays

All assays were carried out at 37 °C. The substrate (pNPP) was dissolved in 0.075 M of β,β-dimethylglutarate buffer pH 7.0, containing 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM diithiothreitol. The final volume was 1 ml. The reactions were initiated by adding appropriate aliquots of the enzyme, and stopped at final times with 4 ml of 1 M KOH. The released p-nitrophenolate ion was determined by reading the absorbance at 400 nm (ε = 18,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The main kinetic parameters (K_{m} and V_{max}) were determined by measuring the initial rates using eight different substrate concentrations in the 0.5–40 mM range. Experimental data was analyzed using the Michaelis equation and a nonlinear fitting program (FigSys).

4.2.3. Animals

Male Wistar rats weighing 200–250 g body weight were housed at standard laboratory conditions and fed with a rodent pellet diet and water *ad libitum*. They were maintained at room temperature and a photoperiod of 12 h day/night cycle. Animals described as ad libitum. They were maintained at room temperature and a photoperiod of 12 h day/night cycle. Animals described as fasted were deprived of food for 16 h but had free access to water. All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with our Federal Regulations for Animal Experimentation and Care (SAGARPA, NOM-062-ZOO-1999, México), and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee based on US National Institute for Health publication (No. 85–23, revised 1985).

4.2.4. Induction of diabetes

Streptozotocin (STZ) was dissolved in citrate buffer (pH 4.5) and nicotinamide was dissolved in normal physiological saline solution. T2DM was induced in overnight fasted rats by a single intraperitoneal injection of 65 mg/kg streptozotocin, 15 min after the i.p. administration of 110 mg/kg of nicotinamide. Hyperglycemia was confirmed by the elevated glucose concentration in plasma, determined at 72 h by glucometer. The animals with blood glucose concentration higher 250 mg/dl were used for the antidiabetic screening.

4.2.5. In vivo antidiabetic assay (T2DM model)

The diabetic animals were divided into groups of six animals each (n = 6). Rats of experimental groups were orally administered a suspension of the compounds (prepared in 10% Tween 80) (50 mg/kg body weight) and a similar suspension of dry acetone extract (100 mg/kg body weight). Control groups were also fed with 10% Tween 80. Gilbenclamide (5 mg/kg) was used as hypoglycemic reference drug. Blood samples were collected from the caudal vein at 0, 1, 3, 5 and 7 h after vehicle, sample and drug administration. Blood glucose concentration was estimated by enzymatic glucose oxidase method using a commercial glucometer (Accutrend GCT, Roche®). The percentage variation of glycaemia for each group was calculated in relation to initial (0 h) level, according to: %Variation of glycaemia = [(G_f – G_0)/G_0] × 100, where G_0 were initial glycaemia values and G_f were the glycaemia values at +1, +3, +5 and +7 h respectively. All values were expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Statistical significance was estimated by analysis of variance (ANOVA), p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 implies significance.

4.3. Docking

Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) 2009.10 was used for ligand and protein preparation, and molecular structure viewing. The crystal structure was obtained from the PDB with the accession code 1C83 [20]. Docking calculations were conducted with AutoDock, version 4.0 [24] In short, AutoDock performs an automated docking of the ligand with user-specified dihedral flexibility within a protein rigid binding site. The program performs several runs in each docking experiment. Each run provides one predicted binding mode. All water molecules and 6-oxalylamino)-1H-indole-5-carboxilic acid (crystallographic ligand) were removed from the crystallographic structure and all hydrogen atoms were added. For all ligands and protein, Gasteiger charges were assigned and non-polar hydrogen atoms were merged. All torsions were allowed to rotate during docking. The auxiliary program AutoGrid generated the grid maps. Each grid was centered at the crystallographic coordinates of the crystallographic compound. The grid dimensions were 22.12 × 22.12 × 22.12 Å³ with points separated by 0.375 Å. Lennard–Jones parameters 12–10 and 12–6, supplied with the program, were used for modeling H-bonds and Van der Waals interactions, respectively. The Lamarckian genetic algorithm was applied for the search using default parameters. The number of docking runs was 100. After docking, the 100 solutions were clustered into groups with RMS lower than 1.0 Å. The clusters were ranked by the lowest energy representative of each cluster. In order to describe the ligand-binding pocket interactions, the top ranked binding mode found by AutoDock in complex with the binding pocket of PTP–1B was subjected to full energy minimization using the MMFF94 force field implemented in MOE until the gradient 0.05 was reached. PyMOL 1.0 [25] was used to generate the molecular surface of docking models.
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