Magnetic resonance diffusion-weighted imaging: quantitative evaluation of age-related changes in healthy liver parenchyma
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Abstract

The purpose of this study was to verify in healthy liver parenchyma the possible influence of age on DwI-related parameters: apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), perfusion fraction (PF), diffusion and pseudodiffusion coefficient ($D$ and $D^*$). Forty healthy adult volunteers (age range 26–86 years), divided into four age groups, were prospectively submitted to a breath-hold magnetic resonance diffusion imaging (MR-DwI) (two $b$ values, 0–300 and 0–1000 s/mm²). A smaller cohort of 16 subjects underwent a free-breath multi-$b$ acquisition (16 $b$ values, 0–750 s/mm²). Quantitative analysis was performed by two observers with manually defined regions of interest, on the most homogeneous portion of the right liver lobe. Individual and group statistical analysis of data was performed: ANOVA to establish differences between groups and Pearson correlation coefficient to investigate the association between DwI parameters and age. The mean, S.D. and 95% limits of agreement of ADC values for each age-defined group are reported. ANOVA showed no significant differences between group means ($P$ always $>0.05$). No significant correlation between subjects’ age and DwI parameters was established, both in breath-hold and free-breath acquisitions, on the whole range of adopted $b$ values. Our study conducted on healthy liver parenchyma shows that there are no significant differences in ADC, PF, $D$ and $D^*$ of younger or older subjects.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Measurement of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) by means of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MR-DwI) allows quantification of the combined effects of molecular Brownian motion of water within tissues and perfusion [1,2]. MR-DwI has become a tool for intensive clinical research which can quantitatively differentiate benign from malignant focal hepatic lesions or stage hepatic fibrosis [3–8]. However, the quantitative results in this field are still controversial due to several technical and physiological factors that concurrently affect ADC measurements such as $b$ factor, echo time (TE), site and size of sampling methods, temperature, nondiffusional intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) related to perfusion, modality of acquisition (breathhold BH, free-breath FB, echo-navigator), without considering difficulties related to intrinsic sensitivity to motion and magnetic susceptibility of Dw sequences [2,9–20].

Another physiological effect which has never been investigated, as far as we know, that could determine ADC variation in the liver is the subject’s age, whose influence on quantitative DwI is still unknown [6,7].

With this background, the aim of our study was to analyze the possible influence of age on DwI main quantitative parameters, which are ADC, perfusion fraction (PF), diffusion ($D$) and pseudodiffusion ($D^*$).
2. Methods

2.1. Study participants

Forty healthy adult volunteers prospectively underwent abdominal MR-DWI from November 2009 to October 2010 (27 males, 13 females, ranging in age from 26 to 86 years, mean age 48 years). Subjects were selected to homogeneously cover the whole age range and were divided into four groups of 10 subjects each in the following age ranges: 18–30, 31–45, 46–65, >65 years, respectively, identified as Groups A, B, C, and D.

The inclusion criteria for this study were as follows: no history of illegal drug use or alcohol abuse, normal liver on ultrasound study (no focal or diffuse liver disease, including mild steatosis) [21], normal liver function tests and no history of abdominal surgery. The study protocol was approved by the investigation and ethics committee of our institution. The aim and nature of this prospective study were explained to the volunteers, each of whom provided written consent before beginning the examination, in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (revision of Edinburgh, 2000). All examinations were performed after overnight fasting.

2.2. In vitro MR imaging

All examinations were carried out using a 1.5-T MR body scanner (Gyroscan NT Intera Release 12, Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands; maximum gradient strength 30 mT/m, peak slew rate 120 mT/m/ms) equipped with a four-channel receiver coil, positioned to cover the upper abdomen of the subject lying in a supine position; the arms were extended over the head to reduce artefacts. As recommended [19], we checked the accuracy of our scanner by doing an MR-DWI phantom study [20], applying two different DwI multi-b acquisition sequences: the first with the sensitizing factor varied within a short range of b values (b=0–200 s/mm² with steps of 20 s/mm²). This sequence enabled us to assess the gradient accuracy and the errors induced on the effective b value by the imaging gradients. The second sequence applied differed by a greater b value interval (b=0–1000 s/mm² with steps of 100 s/mm²), and provided information on reproducibility and signal stability [20].

2.3. In vivo MR imaging

In vivo, we applied the following protocol of acquisitions on all the 40 subjects:

Axial and coronal T2-weighted half-Fourier single-shot turbo spin-echo (HASTE) free-breath sequence, TR/TE=810/80 ms, echo-train length=69, slice thickness/number=5 mm/40, intersection slice gap=10%, field of view (FOV)=300–420 mm, reconstruction matrix size=256×165, number signal averages (NSA)=1, acquisition time=2–3 min;

Axial T1-weighted 2D gradient echo in/out phase breath-hold sequence, TR/TE=231–121/14.6–2.3 ms, slice thickness/number=5 mm/24, intersection slice gap=10%, flip angle=80°, sense factor 1.5, FOV=300–420 mm, reconstruction matrix size=256×165, NSA=1, acquisition time=18 s;

Axial D-weighted echo planar (EPI) spin echo; single-shot, breath-hold sequence; b value 0–300 s/mm² and 0–1000 s/mm²; TR/TE=1343/42 ms and 1867/67 ms for b value 0–300 s/mm² and 0–1000 s/mm², respectively; EPI factor=39; slice thickness/number=9 mm/12; intersection slice gap=1 mm; flip angle=90°; sense factor 2; FOV=300–420 mm; NSA=2; half scan factor 62%; bandwidth 1976–1493 Hz for b value 0–300 and 0–1000 s/mm², respectively; RFOV 70%; phase scan percentage 73%; acquisition voxel (mm³) 3.32/4.55/9.00; reconstructed voxel (mm³) 1.33/1.33/9.00; acquisition matrix 128×64; reconstruction matrix 320×220; acquisition time=17 and 22 s for b value 0–300 and 0–1000 s/mm², respectively; fat suppression obtained by spectral presaturation inversion recovery. Considering the already demonstrated liver isotropy [8], only one diffusion gradient direction was applied in every acquisition in order to reduce the minimum available TE and consequently the unwanted T₂ weighting of the Dw sequence. The orientation of the diffusion gradient is defined by the option “gradient overplus” (Philips Medical System) and the corresponding ADC maps were calculated (b=0–300 s/mm², b=0–1000 s/mm²).

Moreover, a smaller cohort of 16 subjects out of 40 were submitted to a supplemental FB, multi-b, DwI acquisitions (16 b value, range 0–750 s/mm², with steps of 50 s/mm²), with a total duration of 8 min and 27 s:

Axial D-weighted EPI spin echo, single-shot, TR/TE=1800/61 ms, EPI factor=39, slice thickness/number=9 mm/20, intersection gap=1 mm, flip angle=90°, sense factor 2, FOV=300–420 mm, NSA=3; half scan factor 62%, bandwidth 1976–1493 Hz, RFOV 70%, phase scan percentage 73%, acquisition voxel (mm³) 3.32/4.55/9.00, reconstructed voxel (mm³) 1.33/1.33/9.00, acquisition matrix 128×64, reconstruction matrix 320×220.

2.4. DwI quantitative analysis

We analyzed the middle-lower portion of the right liver lobe, where ADC values were recently demonstrated to be more repeatable and reproducible [20]. Quantitative analysis of ADC was performed with manually defined regions of interest (ROIs) (about 1250 pixels per slice for a total of 5000 pixels). We took care to include in each slice the most homogeneous portion of the middle-lower portion of the right lobe in each slice, and ROIs were placed on 4 out of 12 slices acquired, excluding four slices from the most cranial and four others from the most caudal portion of the entire liver parenchyma acquired. Furthermore, the observers took care to exclude large vessels from the ROIs (Fig. 1).
Dw images were evaluated in consensus by a radiologist and a physicist (both with 4 years of experience in DwI) and then reevaluated by the study coordinator (with 12 years of experience in DwI). When the reviewers and the coordinator expressed discordant opinions about an ADC value or every other estimated parameter (>10% difference), they reached a consensus through a joint review of the images with a supplemental ADC measurement.

ADC maps calculated on BH and in vitro DwI acquisitions (b=300 and 1000 s/mm²) were sampled using MRIcro software (1.39/5, Chris Rorden’s MRIcro 1999–2005, University of South Carolina, Columbia, USA). ADC maps calculated on FB DwI acquisitions (b=50, 100, 150, 300 s/mm² of the multi-b acquisition) were sampled using Image J [22].

For both BH and FB acquisitions, minimum, maximum, mean ADC values, and pixel number were recorded by the two observers. Data are given for individuals and groups. ROI’s data of multi-b Dw images were processed through the well-known two-compartment model of IVIM [1], based on the following equation:

\[
\frac{S_b}{S_0} = (1 - PF)\exp(-bD) + PF\exp(-bD^*)
\] (1)

where PF represents the voxel fraction of water diffusing and flowing in the random oriented capillary network, 1–PF the fraction of extravascular water random walk, D the diffusion coefficient and finally D* the pseudodiffusion coefficient, related to the mean capillary segment length and to the average blood velocity. PF, D and D* were obtained by fitting data through Eq. (1).

Since a simultaneous fit of all these parameters could be difficult, given the high dispersion and the limited sampling of DwI signals at low b value (b<150 s/mm²) [23,24], a two-step fitting procedure was adopted, as described in other papers [25,26].

Briefly, PF and D where estimated from signal intensity data with strong diffusion weighting (b≥200 s/mm², where pseudodiffusion contribution is negligible) by fitting the equation

\[
\frac{S_b}{S_0} = (1 - PF)\exp(-bD).
\] (2)

In order to estimate D*, the outcome values of PF and D were then introduced in Eq. (1) and all multi-b (whole b range: 0–750 s/mm²) data were subsequently processed. This two-step fitting procedure was performed using a semiautomatic homemade software driving the nonlinear regression algorithms provided in Gnuplot (http://www.gnuplot.info/, 4.4.2 release).

2.5. Statistical analysis

The mean, S.D. and 95% limits of agreement of ADC values were calculated for each group, using BH acquisitions, both at low and high b value (0–300 and 0–1000 s/mm², respectively); the ANOVA test was performed to evaluate differences between ADC group means. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) was obtained to investigate the association between ADC (b values 300 and 1000 s/mm² for BH acquisitions and 50, 100, 150, 300 s/mm² for FB acquisitions), PF, D, D* and age. The variation across all ages of all these DwI parameters was assessed in a linear regression model. In case of absence of significant correlation between DwI parameters and age, the mean, S.D. and 95% limits of agreement of all the parameters were calculated considering all the subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>ADC values by age group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>b=300 s/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2742</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADC mean, S.D. and 95% confidence interval (CI) for each group both at b=300 and 1000 s/mm² (data in 10⁻⁶ mm²/s).
Finally, the skewness of the distributions of 0–300 and 0–1000 s/mm² BH acquisition ADC values and FB acquisition D values was calculated considering the larger and the smaller cohort, respectively. Skewness is a measure of asymmetry of the distribution; the greater the absolute value of the skewness, the greater the asymmetry. The significance level was set at P < 0.05 for all tests. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (release 17.0.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

Our scanner showed good stability, with all the b values adopted: repeatability and reproducibility related errors were always <1%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method (subj. n)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Mean 95% CI</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>r 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF FB (16)</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>14.07–43.07</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>−0.23 to 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D FB (16)</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>816–1364</td>
<td>−0.28</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>−0.68 to 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D* FB (16)</td>
<td>26728</td>
<td>9151</td>
<td>8792–44664</td>
<td>−0.27</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>−0.67 to 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC50 FB (16)</td>
<td>3825</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>2935–4715</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>−0.52 to 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC100 FB (16)</td>
<td>3333</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>2573–4093</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>.27</td>
<td>−0.32 to 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC500 FB (16)</td>
<td>2966</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>2223–3709</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>−0.35 to 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC1000 FB (16)</td>
<td>2238</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>1746–2730</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>−0.13 to 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC1000 BH (40)</td>
<td>2742</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>1548–3936</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>−0.26 to 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC1500 BH (40)</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1182–1934</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>−0.48 to 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PF (%), diffusion coefficient (D, in 10⁻⁶ mm²/s), pseudodiffusion coefficient (D*, in 10⁻⁶ mm²/s), ADCs (ADCx, in 10⁻⁶ mm²/s, where x indicates the b value in s/mm²), mean, S.D., 95% confidence interval (CI) of healthy subjects, with the corresponding Pearson correlation coefficient with age (r, with statistical significance, P and 95% CI), varying the acquisition method (FB=free-breath, BH=breath-hold) and the number of subjects.

For each group, mean, S.D. and 95% confidence interval of ADC values, calculated on BH with b value=0–300 and 0–1000 s/mm² Dw images, are reported (Table 1). ANOVA showed no significant differences in the mean ADC of the different groups (P always >0.05).

No significant correlation between the subject’s age and ADC was established, considering either BH or FB acquisition data, obtained at all the considered b values (50, 100, 150, 300, 1000 s/mm²). The same absence of significant correlation with age was observed for PF, D and D* evaluation. Pearson correlation coefficient for all the DwI parameters, with statistical significance and 95% confidence interval, is reported (Table 2). Figs. 2 and 3 show the scatterplots with linear regression line, respectively, of BH-ADC values and PF, D, D* vs. age.
Given the absence of significant correlation between all the DwI parameters and age, mean, S.D. and 95% confidence interval of each DwI parameter were calculated considering all the subjects belonging to each cohort (Table 2).

Fig. 4 shows BH-ADC histograms for all the 40 subjects at \( b = 300 \) and \( b = 1000 \) s/mm\(^2\): the skewness of each distribution was 0.48 and 0.79 (\( b = 300 \) and \( b = 1000 \) s/mm\(^2\), respectively).

4. Discussion and conclusion

Many efforts have been made and are ongoing to establish a correlation between DwI measurements and physiological changes in the liver where ADC measurements are frequently used to grade different levels of fibrosis [1,17,27–32]. ADC is also influenced, prevalently at low \( b \) values, by perfusion, that is strongly related to liver fibrosis and parenchymal derangement progression during chronic hepatitis [6,17,33]. The prevalent influence of perfusion has also been demonstrated in experimental animals [6]. However, as reminded in the introduction, there are many other factors, which could influence DwI quantitative measurements, and we wondered if among these, there were also the aging. Subsequently, we have firstly investigated the possible correlation between age and ADC values of the liver without finding out any significant correlation, either at low-medium \( b \) values (FB acquisitions: 50–100–150–300 s/mm\(^2\)) or medium-high \( b \) values (BH acquisitions: 300–1000 s/mm\(^2\)). This finding is unexpected since in the normal liver, aging does not imply a fibrotic/cirrhotic-like evolution [33], but it is well known that there is about a 40% reduction in blood flow and a similar or slightly less reduction in liver mass [33–39]. The reasons for this age-linked reduction in hepatic blood flow and volume, which are perhaps related, are still unknown but perplexing since there seem to be few significant structural or biochemical changes in the aging liver [40–42]. With a relevant decrement of liver blood flow, one would presume that there would be a decrease in ADC values with age, at least at lower \( b \) values. In our series, the width and asymmetry of ADC histogram not only show that the ADC values are affected by a perfusion phenomenon, but also that its spread is probably due to different components of flow and to a large variability in PFs. This probably also reflects the observed change in skewness between \( b = 300 \) and \( b = 1000 \) s/mm\(^2\) ADC distributions and the symmetry of the \( D \) values distribution (0.48, 0.79 and 0.06, respectively). In fact, at high \( b \) value, the diffusion component is predominant in ADC measurements and the residual effect of perfusion probably contributes to the asymmetric right tail of the ADC histogram (Fig. 4): the absence of asymmetry in the \( D \) value distribution supports this hypothesis. This ADC stability during different ages offers
the possibility to use, in the future, non-age-matched groups in studies on liver ADC. Moreover, ADC variation that has already been observed and reported in the literature cannot be ascribed to ADC age-related changes: this is important especially in diffuse liver disease studies, which usually involve older patients. Furthermore, the documented wide range of liver parenchyma ADC values (at $b=1000$ s/mm$^2$) among healthy subjects, from around 1300 to 2000×10$^{-6}$ mm$^2$/s (Fig. 2), suggests that it is improbable that this technique provides a valid mean value as a reference for all or at least most of the subjects. We can argue that quantitative DwI seems more useful in following a single subject’s disease over time and is therefore more appropriate for longitudinal rather than cross-sectional studies. In fact, recent literature reports have shown that ADC is not satisfactory for distinguishing different solid focal liver lesions [27,28,43] or grading fibrosis [3–5,44]. On the contrary, results of the studies devoted to the evaluation and follow-up of the response of metastatic liver tumors to chemotherapy are hopeful [42,44,45].

To better investigate possible age-related quantitative DwI variations, we examined also PF, $D$ and $D^*$ in a smaller cohort of 16 volunteers (four for each age group), using a multi-$b$ sequence with many $D$ weightings, which allows an highly accurate representation of DwI signal decay. Interestingly, we did not find any significant correlation also with these non-$b$-linked parameters and age, even if a nonsignificant increase of PF and decrease of $D$ and $D^*$ were observed.

Fig. 4. Histogram of breath-hold ADC values. Data at $b=300$ (bin size=260×10$^{-6}$ mm$^2$/s) (A; left) and $b=1000$ s/mm$^2$ (bin size=85×10$^{-6}$ mm$^2$/s) (B; right). The higher ADC mean value at $b=300$ s/mm$^2$ (A) compared to $b=1000$ s/mm$^2$ (B) and the more symmetric shape of ADC distribution in (A) vs. (B) (skewness=0.48 and 0.79, respectively) should be noted.

The slight decrease in $D$ value with aging (Table 2) could find a partial explanation in studies which have reported that there are significant age-associated reductions in the fenestration of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells in rats; increased expression of von Willebrand’s factor; and increased deposition of extracellular matrix, basal lamina and connective tissue elements in the space of Disse [46]. Conversely, on the basis of 40% reduction in blood flow, a significant difference with aging can be expected in flow-related DwI parameters.

Expressing PF, the fraction of water molecules in the capillary network (blood) within the voxel, it is possible that this parameter is insensitive to perfusion changes in liver aging because in this case, flow and volume equally decrease. Instead, $D^*$ is related to the net capillary flow within the voxel, and then it seems to be a suitable parameter to study flow variation. Though, considering that the estimation of $D^*$ is strongly dependent on the lower $b$ value data, where the standard error is really relevant, it is possible that flow variation with age might be hidden by the wide dispersion of data (about ±35%, Table 2).

The first limitation of our study is represented by the small-sized cohort submitted to the multi-$b$ sequence. However, the size of our control group is comparable with those reported in other recent papers [4,8,23] and allows us to show at least a preliminary trend. Secondly, in our scanner, echo-navigator technique is not available, and then we have obtained multi-$b$ sequence in FB modality, surely
less reproducible than that acquired with navigator. Nevertheless, our results seem to be reliable considering the slightly dissimilar ADC values with differences that can be easily explained by the diverse acquisition modalities at $b=300 \text{s/mm}^2$ (BH and FB) and TE (42 and 61 ms for BH and FB, respectively). Moreover, the use of echo-navigator would increase significantly the already relevant acquisition precision, accuracy and flow effects. NMR Biomed 1999;8:307–32.
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