Weekly Administration of Docetaxel and Epirubicin as First-Line Treatment for Hormone-Refractory Prostate Carcinoma

B. Neri,* E. Molinara,* P. Pantaleo,* S. Rangan,* A. Crisci,† A. Della Melina,† A. Raugei,† D. Villari,† and G. Nicita†

*Department of Oncology, Center for Experimental and Clinical Oncology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy
†Department of Urology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Androgen-independent prostate carcinoma (AIPC) is one of the tumors that continue to respond poorly to chemotherapy. Recently, protocols based on the use of docetaxel have significantly improved survival for patients in this disease. In other types of neoplastic disease, combined therapy with taxanes and anthracycline derivatives has been shown to produce additive effects in terms of growth inhibition, and superior tolerability when associated with weekly administration schedules. These findings prompted us to examine the tolerability and efficacy of weekly treatment of AIPC with docetaxel (DOX) plus epirubicin (EPI). We enrolled 35 chemotherapy-naive men with AIPC (mean age 72 years, range 68–77) and normal hepatic, renal, and cardiac function. The chemotherapy protocol provided for the IV administration of DOX (30 mg/m²) and EPI (30 mg/m²) on days 1, 8, and 15 every 28 days. Treatment was continued for 6 months or until disease progression and/or unacceptable toxicity was observed. Serum levels of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) were monitored in all patients, and reductions from baseline values of >50% were considered indicative of positive responses to treatment. Thirty-four patients were included in the analysis of toxicity, and objective responses to treatment were assessed in the 28 patients with measurable lesions. Nineteen patients (56%) experienced PSA reductions of >50% that persisted for more than 4 weeks. The response to therapy was classified as complete in 1 of the 28 patients (4%) with measurable disease (at the lymph node level). Thirteen others (13/28, 46%) had partial responses, in nine (32%) the disease remained unchanged, and progression was observed in the remaining five (18%); overall response rate was 50% (CR + PR). Of the 27 patients with pain at the time of enrollment, 16 (59%) experienced pain reduction during treatment. The median time to disease progression was 11.7 months (95% CI: 7.7–15.7) while the median survival time was 18.7 months (95% CI: 12.3–25.1). During the study, four patients developed grade 3 anemia and leukopenia, which was reversible in all cases. Lower grades of asthenia, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and peripheral edema were also observed. There were no cases of cardiotoxic effects. Alopecia was frequent but reversible in all cases. The results of this preliminary study indicate that the combined administration of DOX and EPI for treatment of AIPC is effective and well tolerated. The weekly administration of the drug combination appears to be a promising approach to the treatment of these tumors.
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INTRODUCTION

Carcinoma of the prostate is the major cause of morbidity and mortality among elderly men in Western countries (1), and it has increased by 3% per year over the past decade (2). This is a hormone-dependent tumor, and androgen blockade is currently the first and the most effective treatment. Although most patients respond positively to this type of treatment, the duration of the response is hard to predict. Data from randomized trials and studies of patients with advanced disease indicate that the median time to progression ranges from 12 to 18 months, and median survival varies from 24 to 36 months (3–5). These data are consistent with the clonal selection model proposed by Isaac and Coffey (6), in which disease progression mainly involves cell populations that are refractory to hormone therapy, a characteristic that is often associated with increased expression of the oncogene BCL-2. Overexpression of this oncogene protects the tumor cells from the apoptotic effects of most chemotherapy agents (7,8). This probably explains why chemotherapy for androgen-independent prostate cancer (AIPC) has thus far produced only transient responses and/or marginal benefits in terms of symptom palliation (9,10).

Recently, however, interest in cytotoxic chemotherapy for prostate cancer has been renewed by the results obtained in clinical trials with the combined use of estra-
mustine and docetaxel (11–14). These observations prompted us to consider three aspects of the problem. First, the most promising chemotherapeutic agents available today for treatment of AIPC are those that act on the microtubules, docetaxel (DOX) in particular. Second, both DOX and anthracycline derivatives like epirubicin (EPI) can provoke apoptosis in prostate carcinoma cells that overexpress the BCL-2 oncogene (15,16). Third, experimental and clinical studies have shown that, in other types of neoplastic disease, the use of taxanes with anthracycline derivatives produces additive effects against the neoplastic cell clone (17,18). These considerations and the fact the AIPC patients are generally quite elderly led us to evaluate both the tolerability and clinical efficacy of a schedule with DOX plus EPI administered on a weekly basis, which allowed for better control of the comorbidities caused by the toxic effects of the drugs being used.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Eligible patients had histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate with advanced stage and/or metastatic disease that had showed signs of progression during standard hormonal therapy. Additional inclusion criteria were: <80 years old; informed consent; Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) score ≤2; absence of brain metastases; normal cardiac, hepatic, renal, and bone marrow function; nNo prior chemotherapy with cytotoxic drugs.

Disease progression was defined by the presence of at least one of the following: an increase of 25% or more in serum levels of PSA in two consecutive measurements obtained at least 1 week apart; an increase of 25% or more in the size of metastatic lesions or the appearance of new lesions; appearance of new bone lesions documented by bone scan.

Androgen blockade achieved with LH-RH analogs was maintained in all patients. At the time of enrollment and prior to the initiation of treatment, each patient had a thorough physical examination, and standard blood chemistry parameters were measured along with serum levels of testosterone and PSA. The patient also had a total-body bone scan, radiologic studies aimed at locating the cause of pain, and, when indicated, computed tomographic scans of the chest and abdomen.

**Treatment Regimen**

DOX (30 mg/m²) and EPI (30 mg/m²) were administered on days 1, 8, and 15 every 28 days. The treatment was repeated for 6 months or until evidence of disease progression, patient refusal, or unacceptable adverse reactions.

DOX was diluted in 500 cc of a saline solution and administered by IV infusion over 2 h, followed by an IV bolus of EPI diluted in 100 cc of saline solution. Premedication for DOX included dexamethasone (8 mg) administered by intramuscular injection the evening before the treatment day and again at the time of treatment. Ondansetron (8 mg) was administered IV at the beginning of each cycle to control nausea and vomiting.

In the presence of grade 3 (or higher) hematological toxicity, the doses of DOX and EPI were reduced by 25% or treatment was postponed until complete recovery had occurred.

**Criteria Used to Assess Toxicity and Response to Treatment**

Vital signs, adverse effects, performance-status scores, and complete blood counts were recorded weekly for each patient. Clinical evaluations, including measurement of PSA and instrumental studies of target lesions, were performed every 4 weeks. Pain responses were evaluated with the orally administered McGill-Melzack questionnaire (19), in which pain is rated according to a 5-point scale (0 = no pain, 1 = mild, 2 = discomforting, 3 = distressing, 4 = horrible, 5 = excruciating).

The criteria used in assessing the efficacy of treatment were: reduction of PSA levels by at least 50% that persisted for at least 4 weeks; pain reduction of two points or more on the McGill Melzack questionnaire.

We also assessed the effect of treatment on measurable lesions in accordance with the WHO criteria for evaluation of the response to treatment of solid tumors.

**Statistical Methods**

The study was a nonrandomized, phase II study. The primary end point included the evaluation of the regimen-related toxicities and objective responses, and after time to disease progression (TTP) and overall survival (OS). The sample size was calculated on the assumption that a percentage of objective response variables between 20% and 40% could be detected. According to the optional Simon two-step design, if a minimum objective response rate >20% was observed in the first 15 patients, an additional 15 patients should be enrolled, and if >12 responses were observed in 30 patients (40%), the regimen was considered active to be submitted for further evaluation (20). Descriptive statistics was reported as proportions and medians. TTP was defined as the interval between initial treatment and the time of disease progression or death. Survival time was calculated from the date of treatment initiation until the date of the last follow-up evaluation or death. TTP and OS were analyzed according to the Kaplan-Meier method (21). The confidence intervals (CIs) for response rates, TTP, and OS.
were calculated using methods for exact binomial con-

fidence interval (22). Survivors were censored on the
date they were last known to be alive.

RESULTS

The characteristics of the 35 patients enrolled in the
trial are reported in Table 1. One patient withdrew from
the study after the second week without completing the
first cycle of treatment. Of the 34 patients who com-
pleted the treatment as planned, 28 had measurable les-
sions. One patient achieved a complete response (CR
rate = 4%), and 13/28 had partial responses (PR rate =
46%). In nine patients, the disease remained stable (SD
rate = 32%), and disease progression was observed in
the other five (PD = 18%). Figures 1 and 2 report the
curve of median time to progression, which was 11.7
months (range 6.1–20.1, 95% CI 7.7–15.7), and the me-
dian survival time, which was 18.7 months (range
8.1–36+, 95% CI 12.3–25.1).

Reductions of >50% in serum PSA levels were ob-
erved in 19/34 patients (56%), and the mean duration
of this response was 5.4 months (range 2.4–7.8, 95% CI
3.6–7.2), and reductions of <50% were observed in nine
(26%) others. On the whole, performance status im-
proved during treatment in 16/34 patients (47%). Specif-
ically, pain improved in 16 of the 27 (59%), remained
stable in 15%, and worsened in 26% (Table 2).

A total of 184 cycles of treatment were administered
during the trial, with a mean of 5.4 cycles per patient
(3–8 cycles). In three cases (9%), a 25% dose reduction
was necessary because the patient developed grade 3 he-
matological toxicity; in two others (6%) the treatment
was simply postponed for 7 days. Two patients de-
veloped grade 3 anemia, and three others experienced non-
febrile leukopenia. Table 3 shows the adverse effects
observed during the trial.

DISCUSSION

Androgen-independent prostate cancer (AIPC) is one
of the unresolved problems in the field of treatment for
solid tumors. Most chemotherapeutic agents have dis-
played only marginal activity against these tumors, but
in recent years promising results have emerged from
studies of taxanes and other chemotherapy agents that
act on the microtubules. These agents are the first drugs
that seem to be able to increase survival in patients with
AIPC (23,24). Anthracycline derivatives have also dis-
played some degree of efficacy in the treatment of ad-
vanced prostate cancer (25), and clinical trials have re-
vealed additive effects when these drugs are used with
taxanes in the treatment of other types of tumors (26,27).
Therefore, in the present study we evaluated the efficacy
and safety of weekly administration of DOX + EPI for
treatment of advanced AIPC and control of the pain that
often accompanies this disease.

The DOX + EPI regimen was associated with an
overall response rate of 50% (1 CR + 13 PR). In nine
patients, the disease remained stable, and progression
was observed in the remaining five (18%). A reduction
of >50% in serum PSA levels occurred in 19/34 patients
(57%). Moreover, weekly administration of the two
drugs was well tolerated. Ninety-one percent of the pa-
tients completed the six cycles of treatment provided for
in the study protocol, and none experienced adverse
events serious enough to warrant discontinuation of
treatment. The most severe adverse events were grade 3
anemia and leukopenia, which occurred in four patients
(12%). All of these episodes resolved completely with-
out hospitalization. There were no cases of thromboem-
bolic complications, signs of cardiotoxicity, or asthenia
>grade 2. This rate is higher than those reported in pa-
tients treated with other chemotherapy drugs, especially
estramustine (9,11–14), which is also associated with
poorly tolerated gastrointestinal side effects.

Of the 28 patients with measurable lesions, one (4%)
had a complete response to treatment, and 13 others
(46%) had partial responses. The overall objective re-

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of the Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No. Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years; median 72, range 68–77)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main site of metastases:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>20 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue and/or lymph nodes</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone therapy (LHRH agonists + androgen blockers)*</td>
<td>35 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline serum PSA levels (ng/ml):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>7–1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline pain intensity scores:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>4 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>12 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>8 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 5</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hormone treatment begun prior to study enrollment was continued
during the study.
Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier estimates of time to progression among patients with prostate cancer treated with the docetaxel and epirubicin weekly regimen.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of overall survival among patients with prostate cancer treated with the docetaxel and epirubicin weekly regimen.
Table 2. Results of Patients Who Could Be Evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological response</td>
<td>34 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in serum PSA &gt;50%</td>
<td>19 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in serum PSA &lt;50%</td>
<td>9  (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to treatment</td>
<td>28 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete response</td>
<td>1  (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>13 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease stabilization</td>
<td>9  (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease progression</td>
<td>5  (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain†</td>
<td>27 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>16 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>4  (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened</td>
<td>7  (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients with measurable lesions: 28/34.
†Patients with pain at enrollment: 27/34.

Response rate was thus 50% (1 CR + 13 PR). In nine patients, the disease remained stable, and progression was observed in the remaining five (18%). These results are in line with those achieved with other combined drug protocols based on the use of vinblastine, etoposide, paclitaxel, and docetaxel, with or without estramustine, but most of these approaches are associated with more severe gastrointestinal toxicity and thromboembolic events (23,24). All of the patients with objective responses also experienced decreases in serum PSA levels and, none showed any signs of disease progression during the period of decreased PSA levels. This observation seems to confirm the hypothesis advanced by other investigators that significant reductions in the level of this antigen are associated with better control of symptoms and longer survival.

The results of our study indicate that weekly administration of DOX + EPI can inhibit the progression of AIPC and provide rapid and effective control of pain with provoking uncontrollable or irreversible toxicity. These preliminary findings confirm the results of other studies, which showed that DOX therapy for AIPC is well tolerated and associated with good objective response rates (25,26). Most AIPC patients are elderly men with multiple concomitant diseases, and their bone marrow responses are often reduced. For this reason, we feel that the control of symptoms and good tolerability should be the primary objectives of treatment in AIPC patients. In this context, better results might be achieved by subjecting responding patients to intermittent treatment, as soon as disease progression occurs. The main limitation of our study was the number of enrolled patients. Because the number of patients was so small, no conclusive answer can be drawn. Our future efforts will focus on randomized trials evaluating this DOX + EPI regimen with DOX alone or DOX in other combinations.
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